N eutrinoless double beta decay potential in a large m ixing angle world ?

H.V.K lapdor{K leingrothaus¹, H.Pas², and A.Yu.Sm imov³

- ¹ M ax {P lanck {Institut fur K emphysik, P.O. Box 103980, D {69029 H eidelberg, G erm any
- ² Department of Physics and Astronom y, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37235, USA
- ³ The Abdus Salam International Center of Theoretical Physics, Strada Costiera 11, Trieste, Italy, Institute for Nuclear Research, RAS, Moscow, Russia

A bstract. We discuss the possibility of reconstructing the neutrino m ass spectrum from the complementary processes of neutrino oscillations and double beta decay in view of the new data of Super-K am iokande presented at the Neutrino2000 conference. Since the large mixing angle solution is favored, now, the prospects to observe double beta decay and provide informations on the absolute m ass scale in the neutrino sector have been im proved.

1 Double Beta decay and neutrino oscillations

Neutrinos nally have been proven to be massive by atm ospheric and solar neutrino oscillation experiments. However, the absolute scale of neutrino masses, a necessary ingredient for reconstructing beyond the standard model physics, is still unknown, since informations obtained in neutrino oscillation experiments regard the mass squared di erences and mixing angles, only. Only both neutrino oscillations and neutrinoless double beta decay together could solve this absolute neutrino mass problem [1,2,3]. In this paper we discuss the most recent data, as presented by the Super-K amiokande Collaboration at the Neutrino2000 conference [4]. The smallm ixing angle solution for solar neutrinos is ruled out, now, at 90 % C L. Moreover, solutions including sterile neutrinos. In the follow ing we thus will restrict ourselves to a three neutrino fram ework, om itting the LSND anomaly. (For a discussion of the small mixing angle solution and four neutrino scenarios see [1]). A global analysis in a three neutrino fram ework yield the follow ing favored regions [5,6]:

Solar neutrino oscillations favor e 6 oscillations within the large mixing angle (LM A) M SW solution: $m^2 = 3 (1 \ 10) \ 10^6 \text{ eV}^2$ $\tan^2 = 0.5 (0.2 \ 0.6)$, where the best t is given with the 90 % C L. region in the brackets. A lso a sm all region in the QVO (quasi-vacuum -oscillation)-LOW regime at

 $^{^?}$ Talk presented by H .P as at the DARK 2000 C onference, H eidelberg, G erm any.

2 Heinrich Paset al.

m² = 10⁷ eV², \tan^2 = (0:6 0:8) is still allowed at 90 % C L, while disfavored compared to the small and large m ixing solutions in an analysis of the neutrino energy spectra of supernova 1987A [7].

A tm osheric neutrino oscillations are solved by oscillations with: $m_{atm}^2 = 3 (1.6 5) 1 \hat{\sigma} eV^2$, $\sin^2 2_{atm} > 0.85$.

Neutrinoless double beta (0) decay

$${}^{A}_{Z}X ! {}^{A}_{Z+2}X + 2e$$
(1)

has been shown to be a sensitive tool both for physics beyond the standard model [8,9] as well as for the reconstruction of the neutrino mass spectrum [1]. The most stringent limit is obtained from the Heidelberg [M oscow experiment [10],

$$\text{hm i} = 0.27 \text{ eV}$$
 (68% C L:): (2)

Future experiments such as CUORE [11], MOON [12] and EXO [13] and GE-NIUS [14] aim at sensitivities down to 10 2 -10 3 eV .

The observable measured in the mass mechanism of 0 decay is the ee element of the neutrino mass matrix in avor space, the elective neutrino mass

$$\operatorname{Im} i = j \quad U_{ei}^{2} \mathfrak{m}_{i} j \qquad (3)$$

where U_{ei} denote the elements of the neutrino mixing matrix. For the three-neutrino case we get

$$\operatorname{Im} i = jn_{ee}^{(1)} j + e^{i_{2}} jn_{ee}^{(2)} j + e^{i_{3}} jn_{ee}^{(3)} j; \qquad (4)$$

where $m_{ee}^{(i)}$ $jn_{ee}^{(i)}$ jexp (i i) (i = 1;2;3) are the contributions to hm i from individualm ass eigenstates, which can be written in terms of oscillation parameters as:

$$jn_{ee}^{(1)} j = jJ_{e1} f_{m_1};$$
(5)

$$jm_{ee}^{(2)} j = jU_{e2} j^2 m_{21}^2 + m_1^2;$$
 (6)

$$jn_{ee}^{(3)} j = jJ_{e3}j^2 m_{32}^2 + m_{21}^2 + m_1^2;$$
(7)

and $_{i}$ are the relative M a prana CP-phases. The contributions m $_{ee}^{(i)}$ can be illustrated as vectors in the complex plane (g.1).

Some of the parameters in eq. 7 can be xed or restricted from neutrino oscillation data: In the case of norm al hierarchy m $^2_{21}$, $j_{1e1}j_{1} = \cos^2$ and $j_{1e2}j_{1} = \sin^2$ can be obtained from solar neutrinos, m $^2_{32}$ from atm ospheric neutrinos and $j_{1e3}j_{1}$ is restricted from experiments searching for electron disappearance such as CHOOZ. For inverse hierarchy one has to exchange neutrinos $_1$ \$ $_3$ in the equations. The phases $_i$ and the mass of the lightest neutrino, m $_1$, are free parameters. Thus the search for neutrinoless double beta decay

3



Fig.1. The elective M ajorana mass hm i in the complex plane. Vectors show contributions to hm i from individual eigenstates. The total hm i appears as the sum of the three vectors. A llowed values of hm i correspond to modulies of vectors which connect two points on the circles. Here = 3, = 2.a, $jn \frac{(1)}{ee} j > jn \frac{(2)}{ee} j + jn \frac{(3)}{ee} j$; the vectors m $_{ee}^{(i)}$ can not form a triangle and no complete cancellation occurs. b) $jn \frac{(1)}{ee} j + jn \frac{(3)}{ee} j$; in this case complete cancellation occurs in the intersection points of the circles, so that hm i = 0. (from [1]).

can provide inform ations about the neutrino mass spectrum and the absolute mass scale. With increase of m₁ the level of degeneracy of the neutrino spectrum increases and we can distinguish the extrem e cases of hierarchical spectra, $m_1^2 = m_{21}^2 = m_{31}^2$ and degenerate spectra $m_{21}^2 = m_{31}^2 = m_1^2$. In the following we discuss these extrem e cases as well as transition regions in detail, and comment on the case of inverse hierarchy.

2 Hierarchical spectra

Hierarchical spectra (g.2)

$$m_1 m_2 m_3$$
 (8)

can be motivated by analogies with the quark sector and the simplest see-saw models. In these models the contribution of m_1 to the double beta decay observable hm i is small. The main contribution is obtained from m_2 or m_3 , depending on the solution of the solar neutrino de cit.



F ig.2.N eutrino m asses and m ixings in the scheme with m ass hierarchy.C oloured bars correspond to avor adm ixtures in the mass eigenstates $_1$, $_2$, $_3$. The quantity hm i is determined by the dark blue bars denoting the adm ixture of the electron neutrino $U_{\rm ei}$.

A fler N eutrino2000, the prospects of a positive signal in double beta decay are more promising, now. If the large mixing solution of the solar neutrino de cit is realized, the contribution of m_2 becomes dominant due to the almost maximal U_{e2} and the relatively large m_{21}^2 :

$$\operatorname{Im} i' \ \mathrm{m} _{ee}^{(2)} = \frac{\tan^2 \ q}{1 + \tan^2} \ \mathrm{m} ^2 : \tag{9}$$

Fig.3 shows values offm i in the range of the largem ixing angle solution. The closed lines denote the regions allowed at 90 % C L. and 99 % C L. according to [5]. In the 90 % C L. region the prediction for hm i becomes de nite, now,

$$hm i = (1 \ 3) \ 10^{\circ} eV:$$
 (10)

A coincident measurement of mint is order of magnitude with corresponding results of day-night asymmetry and energy spectra of solar neutrino rates together with a conmation of the large mixing angle solution by the long baseline reactor experiment KAM LAND [16] would identify a single point in the large mixing angle MSW solution and provide a strong hint for this scheme.

It should be stressed, that a large portion of the 99 % C L. favored region extends to large m 2 allowing for e ective neutrino M a jorana m asses well above 10 2 eV even in the hierarchical case.

If the less favored QVO-LOW solution is realized in solar neutrinos, U_{e2} is close to maxim albut the mass of the second state is tiny. In these cases the main contribution to lm i comes from m₃:

$$\operatorname{hm} i' \operatorname{m}_{ee}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{m}_{atm}^{2} \sin^{2} 2_{ee}; \qquad (11)$$

where $\sin^2 2_{ee} = 4U_{e3}^2$ denotes the mixing angle restricted in disappearance experiments. The situation is illustrated in g.4. Here lines of constant hm i



Fig.3. Double beta decay oberservable hm i and oscillation parameters: The case for the MSW large mixing solution of the solar neutrino de cit, where the dom inant contribution to hm i comes from the second state, shown are lines of constant hm i. The inner and outer closed line show the regions allowed by present solar neutrino experim ents with 90 % C L. and 99 % C L., respectively. C om plem entary inform ations can be obtained from double beta decay, the search for a day-night e ect and spectral distortions in future solar neutrino experiments as well as a disappearance signal in KAM LAND.

are shown as functions of the oscillation parameters m $^{2}_{13}$ and sin² 2 _{ee}. The shaded areas show the mass m₃ ' m $^{2}_{13}$ favored by atm ospheric neutrinos with the horizontal line indicating the best t value. The region to the upper right is excluded by the nuclear reactor experim ent CHOOZ [15], in plying lm i < 2 10³ eV in the range favored by atm ospheric neutrinos. Obviously in this case only the 10 ton GEN IUS experiment could observe a positive 0 decay signal. A coincidence of such a measurement with a oscillation signal at MINOS and a con mation of the solar QVO-LOW MSW oscillations by solar neutrino experiments would be a strong hint for this scheme.

3 Degenerate Scenarios

In degenerate schemes (g.5)

$$m_1 ' m_2 ' m_3 > 0:1eV$$
 (12)



Fig.4. Double beta decay observable hm i and oscillation parameters: The case of hierarchical schemes with the QVO-LOW solution. Shown is the dom inant contribution of the third state to hm i which is constrained by the CHOOZ experiment, excluding the region to the upper right. Further informations can be obtained from the long baseline project MINOS and future double beta decay experiments [1].

neutrinos stillm ay be of cosm ological relevance. N eutrinos with an overallm ass scale of a few eV could play an important role as \hot dark m atter" component of the universe. W hen structures were form ed in the early universe, overdense regions of (cold) dark m atter provide the seeds of the large scale structure, which later form ed galaxies and clusters. A sm all \hot" (relativistic) component could prevent an overproduction of structure at sm all scales. Since structures redshift photons, this should im ply also imprints on the cosm ic m icrow ave background (CMB), which could be m easured by the future satellite experiments M AP and P lanck [17]. W hile this option of cold-hot-dark-m atter cosm ology has been disfavored by m odels including a cosm ological constant, as supported by the supernova cosm ology project, a new m otivation for degenerate m odels with a less large m ass scale m ay com e from the Z-burst interpretation of ultra high energy cosm ic rays (UHECRs). In this m odel UHECRs are understood as the decay products of a resonant annihilation process of high energetic neutrinos with the relic neutrino background [19]. Since the neutrino m ass scale is related



Fig.5. Neutrino masses and mixings in the degenerate scheme.

to the UHECR energy and relic neutrino clustering on galactic scales m ay turn out to be a necessary ingredient of the model, an absolute neutrinos m ass scale of 0:1 1 eV is predicted in this context [19,20].

In degenerate schemes the mass dierences are not signi cant. Since the contribution of m_3 is strongly bounded by CHOOZ again, the main contributions to hm i come from m₁ and m₂, which may cancel as an e ect of the unknown M a jorana CP-phases. The relative contributions of these states depend on their admixture of the electron avor, which is determined by the solution of the solar neutrino de cit. Then the electron mass becomes

$$m_{m in} < hm i < m_1$$
(13)

with

$$lm i_{m in} = (cos^{2} sir^{2}) m_{1}$$
$$= \frac{1 tar^{2}}{1 + tan^{2}} m_{1}:$$
(14)

This im plies

$$mi = (0.25 \ 1) \ m$$
 (15)

for the large m ixing angle solution and

$$mi = (0:1 1) m$$
 (16)

for the QVO-LOW solution, where the range allowed corresponds to possible values of the unknown M a prana CP -phases. It should be stressed that this way an upper bound on the m ass scale of the heaviest neutrino can be deduced from the recent limit on hm i.For the LMA solution we obtain m_{1;2;3} < 1 eV, im plying P im i < 3 eV. For the QVO-LOW solution we obtain m_{1;2;3} < 3 eV, im plying im i < 9 eV.

7



F ig.6. Double beta decay oberservable hm i and oscillation parameters: The case for degenerate neutrinos. P lotted on the axes are the overall scale of neutrino m asses m₀ and the m ixing tan² . The dashed boxes indicate the 90 % C L allowed regions for the large m ixing angle (thick dashes, best t indicated also) and LOW -QVO solution (thin dashes). A llowed values for hm i for a given m₀ correspond to the regions between m₀ and the corresponding curved line. A lso shown is a cosm ological bound obtained from a t to the CMB and large scale structure and the expected sensitivity of the satellite experiments MAP and Planck [17].

In g.6 lines of constant double beta decay observables (solid curved lines) as functions of the solarm ixing are shown together with inform ation from cosmological observations about the overallm ass scale (horizontal lines). Shown is the bound m_j < 0.6 eV for each of three degenerate neutrinos and for m = 0.3 at 95 % C L., obtained from a combined to the CMB and large scale structure (LSS) data (The constraint becomes $jm_j < 5.5$ eV for arbitrary values of m). Also shown are the expected sensitivities of MAP and P lanck to a single neutrino state, 0.5 eV and 0.25 eV, respectively, including polarization data [17].

A coincidence of the absolute m ass scale reconstructed from double beta decay and neutrino oscillations with a direct m easurement of the neutrino m ass in tritium beta decay spectra [21] or its derivation from cosm ological parameters determined from the CMB in the satellite experiments MAP and Planck

9



F ig.7. Neutrino m asses and m ixings in the scheme with inverse hierarchy.

and future LSS surveys would prove this scheme to be realized in nature. To establish this triple evidence how ever is di cult due to the restricted sensitivity jown to 0 (0.1 eV) and MAP and P lanck have been estimated to be sensitive to m = 0.5 0.25 eV. Thus for neutrino mass scales below $m_0 < 0.1$ eV only a range for the absolute mass scale can be xed by solar neutrino experiments and double beta decay.

The same conclusions are true for partially degenerate schemes,

$$m_1' m_2 m_3;$$
 (17)

keeping in m ind that in these cases only the heaviest neutrino a ects cosm ology. The m ass range for partial degeneracy is $m_1 = 0.01 = 0.1$ eV

4 Inverse H ierarchy

A further possibility is an inverse hierarchical spectrum (g.7)

$$m_3 ' m_2 m_1$$
 (18)

where the heaviest state with m ass m $_3$ is mainly the electron neutrino, now .

Its mass is determined by the atmospheric neutrinos, m₃ ' m_{atm}^2 , im - plying

$$q - \frac{1}{m_{atm}^2} \frac{1}{1 + \tan^2} < lm i < \frac{q}{m_{atm}^2} :$$
(19)

For both the large mixing M SW or QVO-LOW solution cancellations of the two heavy states become possible and lm i = $(1 \quad 7) \quad 1^{\circ}$ eV, hm i = $(0.4 \quad 7) \quad 10^2$ eV, respectively. A test of the inverse hierarchy is possible in matter e ects of neutrino oscillations. For this case the M SW level crossing happens for antiparticles rather than for particles. E ects could be observable in long

10 Heinrich Paset al.

baseline experiments and in the neutrino spectra of supernovae [22]. In fact a recent analysis [23] of SN 1987A obtains a strong indication that the inverted mass hierarchy is disfavored unless U_{e1} is large.

5 Transition Regions

In g.8 we show the dependence of the individual contributions $m_{ee}^{(i)}$ to hm i on m₁, for di erent values of m ixing within the LM A solution.Panela)-c) correspond to the small m ixing bound, best t and large m ixing bound of the 90 % C L.allowed region, respectively.Form $_{ee}^{(3)}$ only the upper bound is used; the two other lines represent possible values of $m_{ee}^{(1)}$ and $m_{ee}^{(2)}$ for the speci c neutrino m ixing parameters.W e show also the m axim aland the m inim alpossible values of hm i.

The upper bounds on hm i as functions of m₁ have a similar dependence for all the cases. The lower bound in the hierarchical region (m₁ < 10⁻³ 10⁻² eV) crucially depends the solarm ixing angle. If the solarm ixing is su ciently large the contribution from m₂ dom inates and no cancellation is possible even for maxim alpossible m_e⁽³⁾ (gs. 8 b,c)). In contrast, for a lower sin² 2 the cancellation can be complete so that no lower bound appears (see g. 8 a)).

In the region of m_1 ' 10⁻³ eV all states contribute with comparable portions to lm i, thus cancellation is possible and no lower bound exists.

For larger values of m_1 the rst and the second state give the dom inating contributions to hm i and the increase of m_3 does not in uence signi cantly the total hm i. In this case the mass hm i is determined by m_1 and and a larger $\sin^2 2$ implies a larger possible range of hm i for a given m_1 , re ecting the uncertainty of unknown M a jorana CP-phases.

6 Conclusions

Neutrinoless double beta decay and neutrino oscillations provide com plem entary pieces to the solution of the neutrino mass puzzle. Correlations of the oscillation parameters and the e ective neutrino M a prana m ass hm i have been discussed in various scenarios favored by recent neutrino oscillation data. The new Super-K am jokande data presented at the Neutrino2000 conference in prove the prospects of a positive signal in double beta decay. A lready now an upper bound for the absolute neutrino mass scale of $m_{1:2:3} < 3 \text{ eV}$ (LOW -QVO) or $m_{1:2:3} < 1 \text{ eV}$ (LMA) has been obtained, being competitive with the recent tritium decay bound [21]. A sum mary of future perspectives is given in g.9, where the size of the bars corresponds to the uncertainty in m ixing angles and the unknown Maprana CP-phases. As is obvious from the qure, future double beta decay projects m ay be able to test all scenarios but the hierarchical spectrum with solar neutrino QVO-LOW solution. One should keep in m ind here, that the QVO-LOW solution is disfavored in an analysis of the supernova 1987A [7]. Depending on the value of hm i obtained in the future, the follwing conclusions can be drawn.



For hm i > 0.1 eV the neutrino mass spectrum is degenerate. An allowed region for the absolute mass scale in the neutrino sector can be obtained. Its size depends on the magnitude of mixing of the solar neutrinos. If the mixing is large, the uncertainty can be up to a factor of 10, if the mixing is small, it will be less than a factor of two. For the M SW best t it will be about a factor of three. A crucial contribution may come from KAM LAND, which has been estimated to $x \sin^2 2$ within 0:1 with three years of accumulated data [16].

12 Heinrich Paset al.



Fig.8. hm i (eV) as a function of m₁ (eV) for three-neutrino mixing. Shown are the contributions m_{ee}⁽¹⁾ (dashed), m_{ee}⁽²⁾ (dotted) and m_{ee}⁽³⁾ (interrupted dashes). The solid lines correspond to hm i_{m ax} and hm i_{m in} and show the allowed region for hm i. Panels a)-c) correspond to the cases for $U_{e2}^2 = 0.17$, $U_{e2}^2 = 0.33$, and $U_{e2}^2 = 0.38$, i.e. the sm all mixing bound, best t and large mixing bound of the 90 % C L. level LM A solution. The mixing of the third state is varied from zero to its upper bound, $U_{e3}^2 = 2.5$ 10².

For hmi ' 0:01 0:1 eV the neutrino mass spectrum can be degenerate, partial degenerate or inverse hierarchical. A gain an allowed region for the absolute mass scale can be xed, provided the character of hierarchy (direct/inverse) can be established from neutrino oscillations in matter. A recent analysis comes to the conclusion, that the inverse hierarchy is disfavored already for not too large values of U_{e3} .

For m i' 0.001 = 0.01 eV the neutrino m ass spectrum can be partial degenerate or inverse hierarchical. The conclusions above remain valid. For m i < 0.001 eV the spectrum is hierarchical.

In view of this potential the realization of future double beta decay projects is highly desirable. We are entering an exciting decade.

7 A cknow ledgem ent

We thank T J.W eiler for fruitful collaborations this review in part is based on. H P.was supported in part by the DOE grant no.DE-FG 05-85ER 40226.

References



Fig.9. Summary of expected values for hm i in the di erent schemes discussed in this paper. The size of the bars corresponds to the uncertainty in mixing angles and the unknown M ajorana CP-phases. The expectations are compared with the recent neutrino mass limits obtained from the Heidelberg (M oscow [10] experiment as well as the expected sensitivities for the CUORE [11], MOON [12], EXO [13] proposals and the 1 ton and 10 ton proposal of GEN IUS [14].

- 1. H.V. K lapdor-K leingrothaus, H. Pas and A.Yu. Sm imov, hep-ph/0003219, Phys. Rev D, in the press, (2001)
- 2. S.T. Petcov, A.Y. Sm imov, Phys. Lett. B. 322 (1994) 109; S.Bilenky, A.Bottino, C.Giunti, C.Kim, Phys. Rev. D 54 (1996) 1881-1890; SM. Bilenki, C.Giunti, C.W. .Kim, M. Monteno, Phys. Rev. D 57 (1998) 6981-6988; C.Giunti, Phys. Rev. D 61 (2000) 036002 (hep-ph/9906275); S. Bilenky, C. Giunti, W. Grinus, hepph/9809368 Neutrino '98, Nucl. Phys. B 77 (Proc. Suppl.) (1999) ed. Y. Suzuki and Y. Totsuka; S. Bilenky, C. Giunti, hep-ph/9904328 Proc. W IN 99', Cape Town, south A frica, 24-30 January (1999); S.M. Bilenky, C.Giunti, W. Grimus, B.Kayser, S.T. Petcov, hep-ph/9907234, Phys. Lett. B 465 (1999) 193-202; J. Hellm ig, H.V. K lapdor{K leingrothaus, Z. Phys. A 359 (1997) 351; H.V. K lapdor{K leingrothaus, M. Hirsch, Z. Phys. A 359 (1997) 361; H.V. Klapdor [Kleingrothaus, J. Hellmig, M. Hirsch, J. Phys. G 24 (1998) 483; S. Bilenky, C. Giunti, C. Kim, S. Petcov, Phys. Rev. D 54 (1996) 4432-4444; R. Adhikari, G. Rajasekaran, hep-ph/9812361, Phys.Rev.D 61 (2000) 031301; H.M inakata, O.Yasuda, Phys.Rev.D 56 (1997) 1692-1697; H. M inakata, O. Yasuda, Nucl. Phys. B 523 (1998) 597-610; F. V issani, hep-ph/9708483; F.V issani, hep-ph/9904349, Proc. of the \6th Tropical Sem inar on Neutrino and Astroparticle Physics", M ay 17-21 1999, San M iniato, Italy; V. Barger, K. Whisnant, Phys. Lett. B 456 (1999) 194-200; G.C. Branco, M.N. Rebeb, J.I. Silva-M arcos, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999) 683-686; T. Fukuyam a, K. M atsuda, H.N ishiura hep-ph/9708397; T.Fukuyama, K.M atsuda, H.N ishiura, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 13 (1998) 2279; T. Fukuyam a, K. M atsuda, H. Nishiura, Phys. Rev. D 57 (1998) 5844; F. Vissani, JHEP 9906 (1999) 022; M. Czakon, J. Gluza, M. Zralek,

14 Heinrich Paset al.

Phys.Lett.B 465 (1999) 211-218;

- 3. M.Czakon, J.Gluza, J.Studnik, M.Zralek, hep-ph/0010077, and contribution of H.M inakata and F.V issani to this conference
- 4. Y. Suzuki (Super-K am iokande Collab.), in Neutrino2000, Proc. of the 19th Int. Conf. on Neutrino Physics and A strophysics, Sudbury/K anada, 2000, Transparancies under http://www.laurentian.ca/www/physics/nu2000
- 5. M £.Gonzalez-Garcia, M.Maltoni, C.Pena-Garay, JW F.Valle, hep-ph/0009350, Phys.Rev.D, in the press
- 6. M.C.Gonzalez-Garcia, hep-ph/0010136
- 7. M.Kachelmess, R.Tomas, and J.Valle, hep-ph/0012134
- 8. H.V.K lapdor{K leingrothaus, H.Pas, Proc.Cosm 099, Trieste, hep-ph/0002109
- 9. H. Pas, M. Hirsch, S.G. Kovalenko, H. V. Klapdor (Kleingrothaus, Phys. Lett. B 453 (1999) 194; H. Pas, M. Hirsch, H. V. Klapdor {Kleingrothaus, S.G. Kovalenko, Phys. Lett. B, in the press; S. Bergmann, H.V. Klapdor (Kleingrothaus, H. Pas, Phys. Rev.D 62 (2000) 113002; R N .M ohapatra, Phys.Rev D 34 (1986) 3457; M .H irsch, H.V.Klapdor{Kleingrothaus, O.Panella, Phys.Lett. B 374 (1996) 7; M.Hirsch, H.V.K lapdor (K leingrothaus, S.G. Kovalenko, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995) 17; K.S. Babu, R.N.M ohapatra, PhysRev Lett. 75 (1995) 2276; M.Hirsch, H.V.K lapdor{ K leingrothaus, S.K ovalenko, Phys.Lett.B 352 (1995) 1; M.H irsch, H.V.K lapdor{ Kleingrothaus, S. Kovalenko, Phys. Rev. D 53 (1996) 1329; H. Pas, M. Hirsch, H.V. K lapdor [K leingrothaus, Phys. Lett. B 459 (1999) 450; G. Bhattacharyya, H.V.Klapdor-Kleingrothaus, H. Pas, Phys. Lett. B 463 (1999) 77-82; M. Hirsch, H.V.Klapdor{Kleingrothaus, S.G.Kovalenko, Phys.Lett. B 398 (1997) 311 and 403 (1997) 291; M. Hirsch, H.V. Klapdor{Kleingrothaus, S.G. Kovalenko, Phys. Rev.D 57 (1998) 1947; M. Hirsch, H.V. Klapdor {Kleingrothaus, S.G. Kovalenko Phys. Rev. D 54 (1996) R 4207; H.V.K lapdor{K leingrothaus, H. Pas, U. Sarkar, Eur.Phys.JA5 (1999) 3
- 10. H.V. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus et al., to be publ. 2000 and http://www.m.pihd.m.pq.de/non_acc/m.ain.html
- 11. E.Fiorini et al, Phys.Rep 307 (1998) 309
- 12. H.Eijiri et al., nucl-ex/9911008
- 13. M .Danibv et al, Phys. Lett. B 480 (2000) 12-18
- 14. J.Hellm ig, H.V.K lapdor{K leingrothaus, Z.Phys.A 359 (1997) 351; H.V.K lapdor{ K leingrothaus, M.Hirsch, Z.Phys.A 359 (1997) 361; H.V.K lapdor{K leingrothaus, J.Hellm ig, M.Hirsch, J.Phys.G 24 (1998) 483; H.V.K lapdor{K leingrothaus, in Beyond the Desert '97 { Accelerator and Non-Accelerator Approaches (Eds.H.V. K lapdor{K leingrothaus, H.Pas}, Proc. Int.W orkshop on Particle Physics beyond the Standard M odel, Castle R ingberg, June 8-14, 1997, IO P Publ., Bristol, Philadelphia, p.485 and Int.J.M od.Phys.A 13 (1998) 3953; H.V.K lapdor-K leingrothaus, L.Baudis, G.Heusser, B.M a provits, H.Pas, hep-ph/9910205.
- 15. M.Apollonio et al. (CHOOZ collab.), hep-ex/9907037, Phys.Lett.B 466 (1999) 415-430
- 16. The KAM LAND proposal, Stanford-HEP-98-03; A.Piepke, talk at Neutrino 2000 conference, Sudbury, Canada; V.Barger, D.Marfatia, B.P.W ood, hep-ph/0011251.
- 17. R E.Lopez, astro-ph/9909414; JR.Primack, M A K.G ross, astro-ph/0007165; JR.Primack, astro-ph/0007187; J.E inasto, these proceedings.
- S.Perlm utter et al., A strophys. J. 517 (1998) 565;
 A.G. Riess, A strophys. J. 116 (1998) 1009.

- 19. T J. W eiler, A stropart. Phys. 11 (1999) 303-316; D. Fargion, B. M ele, A. Salis, astro-ph/970029
- 20. H.Pas, T J.W eiler, hep-ph/0101091
- 21. C.W einheim er, talk at the EPS HEP 99 conference at Tam pere/Finland
- 22. A S.D ighe, A Yu. Sm imov, hep-ph/9907423
- 23. H.M inakata, H.N unokawa, hep-ph/0010240