# Chirale ective theory of strong interactions.

B.L.Jo e

Institute of T heoretical and E xperim ental P hysics B C herem ushkinskaya 25, 117218 M oscow , R ussia

#### Abstract

The review of chiral e ective theory (CET) is given. CET is based on quantum chrom odynam ics and describes the processes of strong interaction at low energies. It is proved, that CET comes as a consequence of the spontaneous violation of chiral symmetry in QCD { the appearance of chiral symmetry violating vacuum condensates. The G oldstone theorem for the case of QCD is proved and the existence of the octet of m assless G oldstone bosons ( ;K ; ) is dem onstrated in the lim it of massless u;d;s quarks (or the triplet of massless pions in the limit  $m_{u}$ ;  $m_{d}$  ! 0). It is shown, that the same phenom enon { the appearance of quark condensate in QCD { which causes the Goldstone bosons, results in appearance of violating chiral symmetry massive baryons. The general form of CET Lagrangian is derived. Few examples of higher order corrections to tree diagram s in CET are given. The W ess-Zum ino term (of order  $p^4$  term in CET Lagrangian) is presented. Low energy sum rules are presented. QCD and CET at nite temperature are discussed. In the framework of CET the  $T^2$  correction to quark condensate in QCD at nite tem perature T is calculated and the results of higher order tem perature corrections are dem onstrated. These results indicate on phase transition in QCD at T ' 150 200 M eV. The mixing of current correlators in order T<sup>2</sup> is proved.

PACS numbers: 12.38, 12.39 F, 11.30 R

Review submitted for publication to "UspekhiFiz.Nayk"

#### C ontents

- 1. Introduction.
- 2. The masses of light quarks.
- 3. Spontaneous violation of chiral symmetry. Quark condensate.
- $4.\ensuremath{\operatorname{G}}\xspace$  oldstone theorem .
- 5. Nucleon mass and quark condensate.
- 6. Chirale ective theory at low energies.
- 7. Low energy sum rules in CET.
- 8.QCD and CET at nite tem perature.
- 9.Conclusion.
- 10.References.

e-mail: io e@ vitep5.itep.ru

# 1 Introduction

It is generally accepted { and this fact is undisputable now { that the true theory of strong interactions is quantum chromodynamics (QCD), nonabelian gauge theory of interacting quarks and gluons. QCD possesses a striking property of asymptotic freedom : the coupling constant  $_{s}(Q^{2})$  decreases logarithm ically as a function of m on entum trans- $1=\ln Q^2$  at  $Q^2$  ! 1 (or, what is equivalent, fer square  $Q^2$  at large  $Q^2$ :  $_{s}(Q^2)$  $_{\rm s}$  decreases at small distances,  $_{\rm s}$  (r) 1=lnr). This property of QCD allows one to perform reliable theoretical calculation of the processes, proceeding at high momentum transfers (at sm all distances) by using perturbation theory. However, the same property of the theory involves the increase (in the fram ework of perturbative theory an unlim ited ones) of the running coupling constant in QCD at smallm om entum transfer, i.e. at large distances. Physically, such grow th is natural and, even m ore, it is needed, otherw ise the theory would not be a theory of strong interactions. QCD possesses also the other rem arkable property, the property of con nem ent: quarks and gluons cannot have the region of their strong interaction and cannot be observed as realphysical objects. Physical objects, observed experim entally at large distances, are hadrons {m esons and baryons. These two circum stances { the growth of coupling constant and the phenomenon of con nement, do not make it possible as a rule, to predict theoretically in QCD the processes at low energies and the properties of physical hadrons. (Som e exceptions from this rule are low energy theorem s, proved in QCD, and, especially the powerful QCD sum rule method, which, although, is starting from sm all distances, but allows one in many cases to go to rather large ones and to describe the properties of hadrons. The other exceptions are the num erical calculations on lattices.)

However, it became possible to construct the QCD based e ective theory, which describes the processes of strong interaction at low energies. The small parameters in the theory are the momenta of interacting particles, more exactly, their ratios to the characteristic hadronic mass scale M 0:5 1. The theory is constructed 1 GeV, p=M as a series in the powers of  $p_i=M$  and is an elective theory, i.e., when going to the next order terms in  $p_i=M$ , in the Lagrangian one must take into account, additional terms, characterized by new parameters. The appearance of e ective theory is connected with one more specic property of QCD { the spontaneous breaking of chiral symmetry. The m asses of light u;d;s quarks which enter the QCD Lagrangian, especially the m asses of u and d quarks, from which the usual (nonstrange) hadrons are built, are very small as composed with characteristic mass scale  $m_u; m_d < 10 \text{ MeV}$ . Since in QCD the quark interaction proceeds through the exchange of vector gluonic eld, then, if light quark masses are neglected, QCD Lagrangian (its light quark part) is chirally symmetric, ie. not only vector, but also axial currents are conserved and the left and right chirality quark

elds are not interacting with one another. This chiral symmetry is not realized in the spectrum of hadrons and their low energy interactions. Indeed, in chirally symmetrical theory the ferm ion states must be either them assless or degenerate in parity. It is evident, that the baryons (particularly, the nucleon) do not possess such properties. This means, that the chiral symmetry of QCD Lagrangian is not realized on the spectrum of physical states and is spontaneously broken. A coording to G oldstone theorem spontaneous break-ing of symmetry leads to appearance of massless particles in the spectrum of physical

states { the G oldstone bosons. In QCD G oldstone bosons m ay be indentied with the triplet of -m esons in the limit  $m_u; m_d ! 0; m_s \in 0$  (SU (2) {symmetry) and the octet of pseudoscalar m esons (;K;) in the limit  $m_u; m_d; m_s ! 0$  (SU (3)-symmetry). The local SU (2)<sub>V</sub> SU (2)<sub>A</sub> symmetry (here V and A m ean vector and axial currents, u and d quarks are considered as m assless) or SU (3)<sub>V</sub> SU (3)<sub>A</sub> symmetry (ifm s is also neglected) of hadronic strong interaction and the existence of m assless G oldstone bosons allow s one to construct the elective chiral theory of G oldstone bosons and their interactions with baryons, which is valid at small particle m omenta.

In the initial version, before QCD, this approach was called the theory of partial conservation of axial current (PCAC). The Lagrangian of the theory represented the nonlinear interaction of pions with them selves and with nucleons and corresponded to the rst term in the expansion in powers of momenta in modern chiral elective theory. (The review of the PCAC theory at this stage was done in [1]). When QCD had been created, it was proved, that the appearance of Goldstone bosons is a consequence of spontaneous breaking of chiral symmetry in QCD vacuum and it is tightly connected with the existence of vacuum condensates, violating the chiral symmetry. It had been also established, that baryon m asses are expressed through the same vacuum condensates. Therefore, basing on QCD, the mutual interconnection of all set of phenom ena under consideration was found. It was possible to form ulate the chiral elective theory (CET) of hadrons as a successive expansion in powers of particle momenta and quark (or Goldstone bosons) masses not only in tree approximation, as in PCAC, but also with account of loops. (CET is often called chiral perturbation theory { ChPT.)

In this review the foundations, basic ideas and concepts of CET are considered as well as their connection with QCD. The main attention is paid to the general properties of pion interactions. For pion-nucleon interaction only the general form of Lagrangian is presented. The physical e ects are considered as illustrative examples in nonsystem atical way. In fact in CET a lot of such e ects was calculated (particularly, for m eson {baryon interactions, m eson and baryon form factors etc.) They are very interesting for specialists, but their inclusion into review would increase its space drastically. The com parison of the theory with experiment alm ost will not be discussed. Such discussion could be a subject of a separate review.

### 2 The masses of the light quarks

In what follows u;d;s quarks will be called as "light quarks" and all other quarks as "heavy quarks". The reason is that the masses of the light quarks are small compared with the characteristic mass of strong interaction M 1:0 GeV or m. This 0:5 statement is a consequence of the whole set of facts con ming that the symmetry of U (1). Here the group generators are the charges strong interaction is SU  $(3)_{\rm L}$ SU (3) corresponding to the left (V A) and right (V + A) light quark chiral currents and U (1) corresponds to the baryonic charge current. The experiment shows that the accuracy of SU  $(3)_{k}$  symmetry is of the same order as the accuracy of the SU (3) symmetry: SU (3)<sub>T.</sub> the sm all param eter characterizing the chiral sym metry violation in strong interactions is generally of order 1=5 1=10.

The approximate validity of the chiral symmetry means that not only divergences of the vector currents  $(j^q)$  are zero or small, but also of the axial ones  $(j^q)_5$ . (Here q = u;d;s. This statem ent refers to nonsinglet in avor axial currents. The divergence of singlet axial current is determ ined by the anom aly and is nonzero even form assless quarks { the discussion of this problem is outside the scope of this review). The divergences of nonsinglet axial currents in QCD are proportional to quark masses. Therefore the existence of the chiral sym m etry can be understood if the quark m asses are sm all [2, 3]. However, the baryon masses are by no means small: the chiral symmetry is not realized on the hadronic mass spectrum in a trivial way by vanishing of all the ferm ion masses. This m eans that the chiral symmetry is broken spontaneously by the physical states spectrum. A coording to the Goldstone theorem such a symmetry breaking results in appearance of massless particles { Goldstone bosons. In the case considered these Goldstone bosons must belong to a pseudoscalar octet. They are massless if quark masses are put to zero. The nonvanishing quark masses realize the explicit violation of the chiral symmetry and provide the masses of the pseudoscalar meson octet. For this reason the pseudoscalar m eson octet (often called the octet of the Goldstone bosons) plays a special role in QCD.

Heavy quarks are decoupled in the low energy domain (this statement is called the Appelquist-Carazzone theorem ) [4]. We ignore them in this Chapter where QCD at low energies is considered. The QCD Ham iltonian can be split into two pieces

$$H = H_0 + H_1$$
 (1)

where

$$H_{1} = d^{3}x (m_{u}uu + m_{d}dd + m_{s}ss)$$
(2)

Evidently, because of vector gluon-quark interaction the rst term in Hamiltonian {  $H_0$  is SU (3)<sub>L</sub> SU (3)<sub>k</sub> invariant and the only source of SU (3)<sub>L</sub> SU (3)<sub>k</sub> violation is  $H_1$ . The quark masses  $m_q; q = u; d; s$  in (2) are not renormalization invariant: they are scale dependent. It is possible to write

$$m_{q}(M) = Z_{q}(M) = m_{q}()$$
(3)

where M characterizes the scale, is some xed normalization point and  $Z_q M = )$  are renormalization factors. If the light quark masses are small and can be neglected, the renormalization factors are avor-independent and the ratios

$$\frac{m_{q1}(M)}{m_{q2}(M)} = \frac{m_{q1}()}{m_{q2}()}$$
(4)

are scale-independent and have de nite physical meaning. (This relation takes place if M is higher than the Goldstone mass  $m_k$ : its validity in the domain M  $m_k$  may lead to some doubts).

In order to  $m_{d} = m_{d}$  and  $m_{s} = m_{d}$  consider the axial currents

$$j_{5} = d_{5}u$$
  
 $j_{5}^{3} = [u_{5}u d_{5}d] = 2$ 
(5)

$$j_{5}^{s} = s {}_{5}u; j_{5}^{s0} = s {}_{5}d$$
 (6)

and their matrix elements between vacuum and or K meson states.

$$h0 jj_{5} j^{+} i = if_{+} p$$

$$h0 jj_{5}^{3} j^{0} i = if_{0} p$$

$$h0 jj_{5}^{5} jK^{+} i = if_{K^{+}} p$$

$$hjj_{5}^{s0} jK^{0} i = if_{K^{0}} p$$
(7)

where p are or K momenta. In the limit of strict SU (3) symmetry all constants in the rhs of (7) are equal:  $f_{+} = f_{0} = f_{K^{+}} = f_{K^{0}}$ , SU (2) { isotopical symmetry results to equalities  $f_{+} = f_{0}$ ;  $f_{K^{+}} = f_{K^{0}}$ . The constants  $f_{K^{+}} = f_{K^{0}}$  have the meaning of coupling constants in the decays  $^{+}$ !  $^{+}$  and  $K^{+}$ !  $^{+}$ . Experimentally they are equal to  $f_{K^{-}} = 131 \text{ MeV}$ ,  $f_{K} = 160 \text{ MeV}$ . The ratio  $f_{K^{-}} = f_{K^{-}} = 1.22$  characterizes the accuracy of SU (3) symmetry. Multiply (7) by p. Using the equality for the divergence of axial current following from QCD Lagrangian

$$[ q_{1}(x) \quad {}_{5}q_{2}(x) ] = i(m_{q_{1}} + m_{q_{2}})q_{1}(x) \quad {}_{5}q_{2}(x);$$
(8)

we get

$$i(m_{u} + m_{d})h0 jd_{5}u j^{+}i = f + m^{2},$$

$$(i = 2) [(m_{u} + m_{d})h0 ju_{5}u d_{5}d j^{0}i + (m_{u} m_{d})h0 ju_{5}u + d_{5}d j^{0}i] = f_{0}m^{2}_{0}$$

$$i(m_{s} + m_{u})h0 js_{5}u jK^{+}i = f_{K} + m_{K}^{2},$$

$$i(m_{s} + m_{d})h0 js_{5}d jK^{0}i = f_{K} \circ m_{K}^{2} \circ$$
(9)

N eglect electrom agnetic (and weak) interaction and assume that isotopic invariance m ay be used for the m atrix elements in the lhs of (9). Then

h0 ju <sub>5</sub>u + d <sub>5</sub>d j <sup>0</sup>i = 0;  
h0 jd <sub>5</sub>u j <sup>+</sup>i = 
$$\frac{1}{p-2}$$
h0 ju <sub>5</sub>u d <sub>5</sub>d j <sup>0</sup>i (10)

and, as follows from (9), and <sup>0</sup> m assess are equal in this approximation even when  $m_u \in m_d$ . Hence the experimentally observed mass difference  $m_{-} = m_{+} m_{0} = 4.6 \text{ M eV}$  is caused by the electrom agnetic interaction only. The sign of the K-m eson mass difference  $m_{-K} = m_{-K} m_{-K} = 4.0 \text{ M eV}$  is opposite to that of the pion ones. The electrom agnetic kaon and pion mass differences in QCD or in the quark model are determined by the same diagram s, and must, at least, to be of the same sign. This means, in accord with (9), that  $m_d > m_u$ .

A ssum ing the SU (3) invariance of matrix elements in (9) and using simple algebra, it is easy to get from (9) and (10)

$$\frac{m_{u}}{m_{d}} = \frac{m^{2}}{m^{2} + (m_{K^{0}}^{2} - m_{K^{+}}^{2})}$$

$$\frac{m_{s}}{m_{d}} = \frac{m_{K^{0}}^{2} + m_{K^{+}}^{2} - m^{2}}{m_{K^{0}}^{2} - m_{K^{+}}^{2} + m^{2}}$$
(11)

The bars in (11) m ean, that the pion and kaon m asses here are not the physical ones, but the m asses in the lim it, when the electrom agnetic interaction is switched o . In order to relate m<sup>2</sup>; m<sup>2</sup><sub>K</sub> to physical m asses, let us use again the SU (3) symmetry. In the SU (3) symmetry the photon is U-scalar and <sup>+</sup> and K <sup>+</sup> belong to the U doublet. Therefore, the electrom agnetic corrections to m<sup>2</sup><sub>+</sub> and m<sup>2</sup><sub>K</sub> are equal

$$(m_{+}^{2})_{el} = (m_{K}^{2})_{el}$$
 (12)

It can be shown also, that in the lim it m<sup>2</sup>;  $m_{K}^{2}$ ! 0, the electrom agnetic corrections to the <sup>0</sup> and K<sup>0</sup> m assess tend to zero,

$$(m_0^2)_{el} = (m_K^2)_{el} = 0$$
 (13)

Eq.'s (12), (13) may be rewritten in the form of the Dashen relation [5]

$$(m_{\mu}^{2} + m_{0}^{2})_{el} = (m_{K}^{2} + m_{K}^{2})_{el}$$
(14)

From (13), (14) we have

$$m^{2} = m^{2}_{0}$$

$$m^{2}_{K^{+}} \qquad m^{2}_{K^{0}} = m^{2}_{K^{+}} \qquad m^{2}_{K^{0}} \qquad (m^{2}_{+} \qquad m^{2}_{0}) \qquad (15)$$

The substitution of (15) into (11) leads to:

$$\frac{m_{u}}{m_{d}} = \frac{2m_{0}^{2} m_{+}^{2} (m_{K^{0}}^{2} m_{K^{+}}^{2})}{m_{K^{0}}^{2} m_{K^{+}}^{2} + m_{+}^{2}}$$
$$\frac{m_{s}}{m_{d}} = \frac{m_{K^{0}}^{2} m_{K^{+}}^{2} + m_{+}^{2} m_{+}^{2}}{m_{K^{0}}^{2} m_{K^{+}}^{2} + m_{+}^{2}}$$
(16)

Num erically, this gives [6,7]

$$\frac{m_u}{m_d} = 0.56; \qquad \frac{m_s}{m_d} = 20.1$$
 (17)

A strong violation of isotopic invariance, as well as large di erence between u;d and squark m asses, i.e. the violation of SU (3) avor symmetry, is evident from (17). (A more detailed analysis shows, that the results (17) only slightly depend on the assumption of the SU (3) symmetry of the corresponding matrix elements used in their derivation.) This seem s to be in contradiction with the well established isospin symmetry of strong interaction, as well as with the approximate SU (3) symmetry. The resolution of this puzzle is that the quark masses are small: the parameter characterizing isospin violation is  $(m_d m_u)=M$ and the parameter characterizing the SU (3) symmetry violation is  $m_s=M$ .

Estimation of the absolute value of the quark masses can be obtained in the following way. Suppose that the hadrons which contain strange quarks and which belong to a given unitary multiplet are heavier only because of the strange quark mass. Then from

consideration of m ass splittings in the baryon octet one can found that  $m_s$  150M eV at a scale of about 1 G eV . From (17) it then follows

$$m_u = 42M \text{ eV}; \quad m_d = 7.5M \text{ eV}; \quad m_s = 150M \text{ eV}$$
 (18)

at 1 GeV. Taking these values, one may expect that isospin violation could be of order  $(m_d m_u)=M = 10^2$ , i.e. of the same order as arising from electrom agnetic interaction. The order of the SU (3) symmetry violation is  $m_s=M = 1=5$ . The large  $m_s=m_d$  ratio explains the large mass splitting in the pseudoscalar meson octet. A ssuming SU (3) symmetry of the matrix elements in (9), we have

$$\frac{m_{K^+}^2}{m_{+}^2} = \frac{m_s + m_u}{m_d + m_u} = 13$$
(19)

in agreem ent with the experim ent.

An important consequence of eq.'s (9) is that in QCD the mass squares of the pseudoscalar meson octet m<sup>2</sup>; m<sup>2</sup><sub>K</sub>; m<sup>2</sup> are proportional to the quark masses and vanish when m<sub>q</sub> tend to zero: in this lim it the octet of pseudoscalar mesons becomes massless.

# 3 Spontaneous violation of chiral sym m etry

#### Quark condensate

A shasbeen already mentioned, the large baryon masses indicate that chiral symmetry in QCD is broken spontaneously. Indeed, let us consider any process with participation of a polarized baryon, e.g., any hadron-proton scattering on a longitudinally polarized proton at energies of order 1 G eV. We can treat the initial state of a polarized proton as a state with some xed quark helicities. D ue to the chiral symmetry the helicities are conserved in the course of collision. Therefore, we could expect that proton longitudinal polarization will not change in the collision. However, it is well known { and this is a direct consequence of the D irac equation for proton { that the proton mass results in proton helicity ip with not a small probability in such a process. This simple fact { the existence of the proton mass { clearly demonstrates the violation of the chiral symmetry in strong interactions at low energies. <sup>1</sup>

In all known examples of the eld theories the spontaneous violation of global symmetry manifests itself in the modi cation of the properties of the ground state { the vacuum . Let us show that such phenomenon takes place also in QCD.

Consider the matrix element

iq 
$$(m_u + m_d)$$
  $d^4x e^{iqx} h0 jT fj_5 (x); u(0) 5d(0)g j0i$  (20)

in the lim it of m assless u and d quarks (except for the overall factor  $m_u + m_d$ ). Put q inside the integral, integrate in parts and use the conservation of the axial current. Then only the term with the equal time commutator will remain

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In principle, the chiral symmetry in baryonic states could be realized in a way that all baryonic states would be degenerated in parity with a splitting of order of  $m_u + m_d$ . This is evidently not the case.

$$(m_u + m_d) = d^4 x e^{iqx} h^0 j (x_0) [j_{05} (x); u(0)_5 d(0)] j^0 i =$$
  
=  $(m_u + m_d) h^0 j uu + dd j^0 i$  (21)

Let us go now to the limit q ! 0 in (20) and perform the sum mation over all intermediate states. The nonvanishing contribution comes only from one pion intermediate state, since in this approximation the pion should be considered as massless. This contribution is equal to

$$q h0 jj_5 j^+ i \frac{1}{q^2} h^+ j(m_u + m_d) u_5 d j0 i = f^2 m^2;$$
 (22)

where (7) and (9) where substituted when going to the right hand side. Putting (22) in the left hand side of (21) we get

h0 jqq j0i = 
$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{m^2 f^2}{m_u + m_d}$$
; (23)

where q = u or d and SU (2) invariance of QCD vacuum were used. Eq.(23) is called G ell-M ann-O akes-R enner relation [8]. It can be also derived in an other way. A ssume the quark m asses to be nonzero, but sm all. Then the pion is massive and (20) tends to zero in the limit q ! 0. However, when we put q inside the integral, in addition to the equal time commutator term (21), the term with the divergence of the axial current will appear. The account of this term, saturated by one pion interm ediate state, results in the same eq.(23). Num erically, with the quark m ass values (18) we have

h0 jqq j0i = 
$$(240M \text{ eV})^3$$
 (24)

As follows from (23), the product  $(m_u + m_d)h0$  jqq j0i is scale independent, while h0 jqq j0i depends on the scale and the numerical value (24) refers to 1 GeV. The quantity h0 jqq j0i, called vacuum quark condensate can be also represented as

$$h0 jqq j0i = h0 jq_Lq_R + q_Rq_L j0i$$
 (25)

where  $q_{L}$  and  $q_{R}$  are left and right quark elds  $q_{L} = (1=2)(1 + 5)q$ ;  $q_{R} = (1=2)(1 5)q$ . It is evident from (24) that quark condensate violates chiral invariance and its num erical value (24) has a characteristic hadronic scale. The chiral invariance is violated globally, because h0 j qq j 0 i is noninvariant under global transform ations  $q ! e^{i 5}q$  with a constant .

Surely, in perturbative QCD with massless quarks the quark condensate is zero in any order of perturbation theory. Therefore, the nonzero and non-small value of the quark condensate may arise only due to nonperturbative elects. The conclusion is, that the nonperturbative eld uctuations which violate chiral invariance of the Lagrangian, are present and essential in QCD.Quark condensate plays a special role because its lowest dimension, d = 3.

#### 4 Goldstone theorem

In Sec22 we have presented two arguments in favor that chiral symmetry, approximately valid in QCD because of small u;d;s quark masses, is spontaneously broken. These arguments were: the existence of large baryon masses and the appearance of violating chiral symmetry quark condensate. Let us go to the limit of massless u;d;s quarks and show now that the direct consequence from each of these arguments is the appearance of massless pseudoscalar bosons in the hadronic spectrum.

Consider the matrix element of the axial current  $j_5^+ = u_5 d$  between the neutron and proton states. The general from of this matrix element is:

$$hp jj_{5}^{+} jni = v_{p} (p^{0}) \qquad {}_{5}F_{1} (q^{2}) + q \qquad {}_{5}F_{2} (q^{2}) v_{n} (p); \qquad (26)$$

where p and  $p^0$  are neutron and proton m om enta,  $q = p^0$  p;  $y_1(p^0)$ ;  $v_n(p)$  are proton and neutron spinors and  $F_1(q^2)$ ;  $F_2(q^2)$  are form factors. Multiply (26) by q and go to the lim it  $q^2$  ! 0, but  $q \in 0$ . A fler multiplication the lhs of (26) vanishes owing to axial current conservation. In the rhs using the D irac equations for proton and neutron spinors, we have:

$$v_{p} (p^{0}) 2m g_{A} + q^{2} F_{2} (q^{2}) {}_{5} v_{n} (p); \qquad (27)$$

where  $g_A = F_1(0)$  is the neutron -decay coupling constant,  $g_A = 1.26$  and m is the nucleon m ass (assumed to be equal for proton and neutron). The only way to avoid the discrepancy with the vanishing lhs of (26) is to assume that  $F_2(q^2)$  has a pole at  $q^2 = 0$ :

$$F_2 (q^2)_{q^2! 0} = 2m q_1 \frac{1}{q^2}$$
 (28)

The pole in  $F_2(q^2)$  corresponds to appearance of a massless particle with pion quantum numbers. The matrix element in (26) has then the form (at small  $q^2$ ):

hp jj<sup>+</sup><sub>5</sub> jn i = 
$$q_A v_p (p^0)$$
  $\frac{q q}{q^2}$  <sub>5</sub> $v_n (p);$  (29)

where conservation of the axial current is evident. The second term in the rhs of (29) can be described by the interaction of the axial current with the nucleon proceeding through interm ediate pion, when the axial current creates virtual  $^+$  and then  $^+$  is absorbed by neutron (Fig.1). The low energy pion-nucleon interaction can be phenom enologically param etrized by the Lagrangian

$$L_{NN} = ig_{NN} v_{N5} v_{N'} a$$
(30)

where <sup>a</sup> are the isospin Pauli matrices and  $g_{NN}$  is the NN coupling constant,  $g_{NN}^2 = 4$  14.U sing (7) and (30) the second term in (26) can be represented as

$$p = \frac{1}{2} g_{NN} f v_{p} 5 v_{n} \frac{q}{q^{2}}$$
 (31)



F igure 1. The diagram, describing the interaction of nucleon with axial current through interm ediate pion: the solid lines correspond to nucleon, the dashed line { to pion, the cross m eans the interaction with external axial current.

The comparison with (28) gives the Goldberger-Treim an relation [9]

$$g_{NN} f = \frac{p}{2m} g_{A}$$
(32)

Experimentally, the Goldberger-Treim an relation is satisfied with a 5% accuracy, what strongly supports the hypothesis of spontaneous chiral symmetry violation in QCD. The main modication of (29) which arises from the nonvanishing pion mass is the replacement of the pion propagator:  $q^2 ! q^2 m^2$ . Then the contribution of the second term vanishes at q ! 0 and becomes very small in the case of neutron -decay.

Since the only assumption in the consideration above was the conservation of the axial current, this consideration can be generalized to any other component of the isospin 1 axial current, if SU (2) avour symmetry is supposed, and to any octet axial current in the case of the SU (3) avor symmetry. In the last case we come to the conclusion that the octet of pseudoscalar mesons is massless in the limit of massless u;d;s quarks.

The massless bosons which arise through spontaneous symmetry breaking are called G oldstone bosons and the theorem which states their appearance is called G oldstone theorem [10] (see also [11]). The proof of the G oldstone theorem presented above was based on existence of massive baryons and on nonvanishing nucleon -decay constant  $g_A$ . Before proceeding to another proof based on the existence of quark condensate in QCD, let us form ulate som e general features of spontaneously broken theories.

Let the H am iltonian of the theory under consideration be invariant under some Lie group G , i.e., the group generators  $Q_i$  to commute with the H am iltonian

$$[Q_i;H] = 0; \quad i = 1;::n$$
 (33)

The symmetry is spontaneously broken if the ground state is not invariant under G and a subset of  $Q_1$ ; 1 m; 1 m n exists such that

Denote:  $jB_1 i = Q_1 j0i$ . As follows from (33)

$$H jB_{l}i = 0$$
(35)

{ the states  $jB_1i$  have the same energy as vacuum. These states may be considered as

m assless bosons at rest { G oldstone bosons.<sup>2</sup> The generators  $Q_j$ ; j = m + 1; ::: m generate a subgroup K G, since from

$$Q_{i}j0i=0 \tag{36}$$

it follows

$$[Q_{j};Q_{j^{0}}] j0i = 0 \quad j; j^{0} = m + 1; ::n$$
(37)

In the case of QCD the group G is SU  $(3)_L$  SU  $(3)_k$ , which is spontaneously broken to SU  $(3)_V$  { the group, where generators are the octet of vector charges. Q<sub>1</sub> are the octet of axial charges and jB<sub>1</sub>i are the octet of pseudoscalar m esons. (If only u;d quarks are considered as m assless, all said above m ay be repeated, but relative to SU  $(2)_L$  SU  $(2)_k$  group).

Strictly speaking, the states  $jB_1i$  are not well de ned, they have in nite norm . Indeed,

$$hB_{1}jB_{1}i = h0 jQ_{1}Q_{1}j0i = d^{3}xh0 jj_{1}(x;t)Q_{1}(t) j0i;$$
(38)

where  $j_1(x)$  is the charge density operator corresponding to the generator  $Q_1$  Extracting the x-dependence of  $j_1(x;t)$  and using the fact that vacuum and interm ediate states in (38) have zero m om enta, we have

$$hB_{1}jB_{1}i = d^{3}xh0 jj_{1}(0;t)Q_{1}(t) j0i = V hjj_{1}(0;t); Q_{1}(t) j0i;$$
(39)

where V is the total volume, V ! 1. Physically, the in nite norm is well understood, since the massless G oldstone boson with zero momentum is distributed over the whole space. The prescription how to treat the problem is evident { to give a small mass to the boson. In what follows, when the commutators will be considered, the problem can be circum vented by performing rst the commutation resulting in -functions, and after integration over  $d^3x$ .

Let us demonstrate now, how this general theorem works in QCD in a explicit way. Go back to Eq.(21), which at q = 0 may be rewritten as

7

$$h0 j [Q_5; u_5d] j0i = h0 juu + dd j0i;$$
(40)

where

$$Q_5 = d^3 x j_{05} (x)$$
 (41)

is the axial charge generator. It is evident from (40), that  $Q_5$  does not annihilate vacuum, i.e. it belongs to the set of (34) generators. It is clear that the same property are inherent to all mem bers of the octet of axial charges in SU (3) sym metry (or to mem bers of isovector axial charges in SU (2) sym metry). Applying the general considerations of G oldstone, Salam and W einberg [12] to our case, consider the vacuum commutator

$$h0 j[j_{5}(x);u(0)_{5}d(0)]j0i$$
(42)

in coordinate space. Eq.(42) can be written via Lehm ann-Kallen representation

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ The statem ent that Q<sub>1</sub> are generators of a continuous Lie group is essential { the theorem is not correct for discrete sym m etry generators.

h0 j[j<sub>5</sub> (x);u(0) <sub>5</sub>d(0)]j0i = 
$$\frac{0}{0} \frac{2}{0} d^{2} (x; ^{2}) (^{2});$$
 (43)

where  $(x; ^2)$  is the Pauli-Jordan (causal) function for a scalar particle with mass

$$(0^2 + 2) (x; 2) = 0$$
 (44)

and  $(^{2})$  is the spectral function, de ned by

$$(2)^{3}p(p_{0})(p^{2}) = {\begin{array}{*{20}c} X & 4 \\ & 4 \\ & & n \end{array}} (p p_{0})h0 jj_{5}(0) jnihn ju(0) _{5}d(0) j0i \qquad (45)$$

The axial current conservation and (44) in ply that

$$^{2}$$
 ( $^{2}$ ) = 0; (46)

henœ

$$\binom{2}{} = N \binom{2}{}$$
 (47)

The substitution of (47) into (43) gives

h0 j[j<sub>5</sub> (x); u(0) <sub>5</sub>d(0)]j0i = 
$$\frac{0}{0}$$
 D (x)N; (48)

where D (x) = (x;0). Put = 0, t = 0, integrate (48) over  $d^3x$  and use the equality (D (x)=0t  $j_{=0}$ = <sup>3</sup>(x). The comparison of the result with (21) shows, that N is proportional to quark condensate and nonzero. This means that the spectrum of physical states contains a massless G oldstone boson which gives a nonzero contribution to . Its quantum numbers are those of <sup>+</sup>. It is easy to perform a similar consideration for other members of the pion multiplet in the case of SU (2) symmetry or for the pseudoscalar meson octet in the case of SU (3) symmetry. O by bould, the proof can be repeated for any other operator whose commutator with axial charges has nonvanishing vacuum average.

The presented above two proofs cannot be considered as a rigorous ones, like a m athem atical theorem, where the presence of G oldstone bosons in QCD is proved starting from QCD Lagrangian and by use of the rst principles of the theory. Indeed, in the rst proof the existence of m assive nucleon was taken as an experimental fact. In the second proof the appearance of nonvanishing quark condensate in QCD was exploited. The latter was proved (see eq.'s (20){ (22) } { basing on W ard identities, which, as was dem onstrated, became e selfconsistent only in the case of existence of m assless pion. Therefore, these proofs m ay be treated as a convincing physical argum entation, but not a m athem atical theorem (cf.[13]).

### 5 Nucleon m ass and quark condensate

Let us show now, that the m entioned above two arguments in the favor of spontaneously broken chiral symmetry in QCD, namely, the existence of large baryon m asses and the appearance of violating chiral symmetry quark condensate are in fact deeply interconnected.





tributing to chirality conserving function  $f_1(p^2)$ : solid lines correspond to quark propagators, crosses m ean the interaction with external currents.

Figure 2. The bare loop diagram, con-Figure 3. The diagram, corresponding to chirality violating dimension 3 operator (quark condensate). The dots, surrounded by circle m ean quarks in the condensate phase. All other notation is the same as on Fig 2.

D em onstrate, that baryon m asses arise just due to quark condensate. I will use the QCD sum rule method invented by Shifman, Vainstein and Zakharov [14], in its applications to baryons [15]. (For a review and collection of relevant original papers see [16]). The idea of the m ethod is that at virtualities of order  $Q^2$  $1 \text{ GeV}^2$  the operator product expansion (OPE) may be used in consideration of hadronic vacuum correlators. In OPE the nonoperturbative e ects reduce to appearance of vacuum condensates and condensates of the low est dimension play the most important role. The perturbative terms are moderate and do not change the results in essential way, especially in the cases of chiral sym m etry violation, where they can appear as corrections only.

For de niteness consider the proton mass calculation [15,17]. Introduce the polarization operator

$$(p) = i \quad d^4 x e^{ipx} h 0 f (x); \quad (0) f (x); \quad (49)$$

(x) is the quark current with proton quantum numbers and  $p^2$  is chosen to be where space-like,  $p^2 < 0$ ;  $\dot{p}^2 \dot{j} = 1 \text{ G eV}^2$ . The current is the colourless product of three quark =  $u^{abc} q^{a} q^{b} q^{c}$ ; q = u; d, the form of the current will be specialized below. The elds, general structure of (p) is

$$(p) = pf_1(p) + f_2(p)$$
(50)

The st structure, proportional to p is conserving chirality, while the second is chirality violating.

For each of the functions  $f_i(p^2)$ ; i = 1;2 the OPE can be written as:

$$f_{i}(p^{2}) = \int_{n}^{X} C_{n}(p^{2})h_{0} \mathcal{D}_{n}(p^{2})h_{0} \mathcal{D}_{$$

where  $h0 j D_n^{(i)} J$  are vacuum expectation values (v.e.v.) of various operators (vacuum condensates), C<sub>n</sub><sup>(i)</sup> are coe cient functions calculated in QCD. For the rst, conserving chirality structure function  $f_i(p^2) OPE$  starts from dimension zero (d = 0) unit operator. Its contribution is described by the diagram of F ig 2 and

$$\hat{p}f_1(p^2) = C_0\hat{p}p^4 \ln \left[ \frac{2}{u} = (p^2) \right] + \text{ polynom ial;}$$
 (52)

where  $C_0$  is a constant,  $_u$  is the ultraviolet cuto. The OPE for chirality violating structure  $f_2(p^2)$  starts from d = 3 operator, and its contribution is represented by the diagram of Fig.3:

$$f_2(p^2) = C_1 p^2 h_0 p_{qq} p_{ln} \frac{\frac{2}{u}}{(p^2)} + p_{lynom ial}$$
(53)

Let us for a m om ent restrict ourselves to this st order term s of OPE and neglect higher order term s (as well the perturbative corrections).

On the other hand, the polarization operator (49) may be expressed via the characteristics of physical states using the dispersion relations

$$f_{i}(s) = \frac{1}{s} \left[ \frac{1}{s^{0} + s} \frac{1}{s^{0} + s} ds^{0} + polynom ial; s = p^{2} \right]$$
 (54)

The proton contribution to Im (p) is equal to

Im (p) = h0j jpihpj j0i (
$$\beta$$
 m<sup>2</sup>) =  ${}^{2}_{N}$  ( $\beta$  + m) ( $\beta$  m<sup>2</sup>); (55)

where

$$h0j \dot{p}i = v(p); \tag{56}$$

 $_{\rm N}$  is a constant, v (p) is the proton spinor and m is the proton m ass. Still restricting ourselves to this rough approximation, we may take equal the calculated in QCD expression for (p) (Eq.'s(52),(53)) to its phenom enological representation Eq.(55). The best way to get rid of unknown polynom ial, is to apply to both sides of the equality the Borel(Laplace) transformation, de ned as

$$B_{M^{2}}f(s) = \lim_{\substack{n! \ 1 \ s! \ 1 \ s=n=M^{2}=C \text{ onst}} \frac{s^{n+1}}{n!} \qquad \frac{d}{ds} \int_{0}^{1} f(s) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2^{2}} ds \operatorname{Im} f(s) e^{-s=M^{2}}$$
(57)

if f (s) is given by dispersion relation (54). Notice, that

$$B_{M^{2}}\frac{1}{s^{n}} = \frac{1}{(n-1)!(M^{2})^{n-1}}$$
(58)

Ow ing to the factor 1=(n 1)! in (58) the Borel transform ation suppresses the contributions of high order terms in OPE.

Specify now the quark current (x). It is clear from (55) that proton contribution will dom inate in some region of the Borel parameter M<sup>2</sup> m<sup>2</sup> only in the case when both calculated in QCD functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are of the same order. This requirement, together with the requirements of absence of derivatives and of renorm covariance was the form of current in unique way (for more details see [15,18]):

$$(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{u}^{abc} (\mathbf{u}^{a} \mathbf{C} \quad \mathbf{u}^{b}) \quad {}_{5}\mathbf{d}^{c} \tag{59}$$

where C is the charge conjugation matrix. W ith the current (x) (59) the calculations of the diagram sFig 2 can be easily performed, the constants C<sub>0</sub> and C<sub>1</sub> are determined and

after B orel transform ation two equations (sum rules) arise (on the phenom enoloical sides of the sum rules only proton state is accounted)

$$M^{6} = {}^{2}_{N} e^{m^{2} = M^{2}}$$
(60)

2 (2 )<sup>2</sup>h0 jpqj0 iM <sup>4</sup> = m 
$$^{2}_{N}$$
 e <sup>m<sup>2</sup>=M<sup>2</sup></sup> (61)

$$f_{\rm N}^2 = 32 \, {}^4 \, {}^2_{\rm N}$$

It can be shown that this rough approximation is valid at M m. Using this value of M and dividing (60) on (61) we get a simple formula for proton mass [15]:

$$m = [2(2)^{2}h0jqjDi]^{1-3}$$
(62)

This form ula dem onstrates the fundam ental fact, that the appearance of the proton m ass is caused by spontaneous violation of chiral invariance: the presence of quark condensate. (Num erically, (62) gives the experim ental value of proton m ass with an accuracy better than 10%).

A more re-ned treatment of the problem of the proton mass calculation was performed: high order terms of OPE were accounted, as well as excited states in the phenom enological sides of the sum rules and the stability of the Borel mass dependence was checked. In the same way, the hyperons, isobar and some resonances masses were calculated, all in a good agreement with experiment [19,20,21]. I will not dwell on these results. The main conclusion is: the origin of baryon masses is in spontaneous violation of chiral invariance { the existence of quark condensate in QCD. Therefore, three phenomena: baryon masses, quark condensate and the appearance of G oldstone bosons are tightly connected.

## 6 Chirale ective theory at low energies

An elective chiral theory based on QCD and exploiting the existence and properties of the Goldstone bosons may be formulated. This theory is an elective low energy theory, what means that the theory is selfconsistent, but only in terms of expansion in powers of particle m om enta (or in the derivatives of elds in the coordinate space). The Lagrangian is represented as a series of term swith increasing powers of momenta. The theory breaks down at su ciently high momenta, the characteristic parameters are jp  $_{i}$  j=M, where  $p_{i}$ are the spatialm om enta of the Goldstone bosons entering the process under consideration and M is the characteristic scale of strong interaction. (Since  $p_i$  depend on the reference frame, some care must be taken when choosing the most suitable frame in each particular case). The physical ground of the theory is the fact that in the limit of vanishing (or small enough) quark masses the spectrum of Goldstone bosons is separated by the gap from the spectrum of other hadrons. The chiral e ective theory working in the dom ain M≓r iqr 1, is a selfconsistent theory and not a model. Such theory can be form ulated basing on the SU  $(2)_{\rm L}$ SU (2), symmetry with pions as (quasi) Goldstone bosons. Then one may expect the accuracy of the theory to be of the order of the one of the isospin theory, i.e. of a few percent. Or the theory may be based on the SU  $(3)_{\rm L}$  $SU(3)_{R}$ 

symmetry with an octet of pseudoscalar bosons ;K; as (quasi) G oldstone bosons. In this case the accuracy of the theory is of order of violation of the SU (3) symmetry, i.e., of order  $m_s=M$  10 20%. For deniteness, the main part of this section deals with the case of SU (2)<sub>L</sub> SU (2)<sub>k</sub>.

The heuristic arguments for the form ulation of the chiral theory are the following. In the lim it of quark and pion m ass going to zero (7) can be replaced by the eld equation

$$j_{5}^{i} = (f = 2) Q'^{i}$$
 (63)

$$j_{5}^{i} = q_{5} (i=2)q; q = u;d$$
 (64)

where  $'^{i}$  is the pion eld,  $^{i}$  are the Pauli matrices and i = 1;2;3 is isospin index. (Normalization of the current  $j_{5}^{i}$  is changed comparing with (7) in order to have the standard commutation relations of current algebra). Taking the divergence from (63) we have

$$(j_{5}^{i} = (f = 2)m^{2})m^{2}$$
 (65)

Eqs.(63),(65) are correct near the pion m ass shell.

Since the pion state is separated by the gap from the other massive states in the channel with pion quantum numbers these equations can be treated as a eld equations valid in the low energy region (usually they are called the equations of partial conservation of axial current PCAC).

The direct consequence of (65) is the Adler selfconsistency condition [22]. Consider the amplitude of the process A ! B + , where A and B are arbitrary hadronic states in the limit of vanishing pion momentum p. The matrix element of this process can be written as

$$M_{i}(2)^{4} (p_{A} p_{B}) = d^{4}x e^{ipx} (\theta^{2} + m^{2})hB j'^{i} jAi$$
(66)

The substitution of (65) gives

$$M_{i} = \frac{i(p^{2} - m^{2})}{(f - 2)m^{2}} p \ hB \ jj_{5}^{i} (0) \ jAi$$
(67)

Going to the lim it p ! 0 we get

$$M (A ! B)_{p! 0} ! 0$$
(68)

what is the Adler condition. W hen deriving (68) it was in plicitly assumed that the matrix element hB  $jj_{5}^{i}$  jA i does not contain pole terms, where the axial current interacts with an external line. Generally, the Adler theorem does not work in such cases.

The chiral theory is based on the following principles:

1. The pion  $\,$  eld transforms under some representation of the group G = SU (2)  $_{\rm L}$  SU (2)  $_{\rm R}$  .

2. The action is invariant under these transform ations.

3. A fler breaking the transform ations reduce to SU (2) { the transform ations, which are generated by the isovector vector current.

4. In the lowest order the eld equations (63), (65) are ful led.

The pion eld may be represented by the 2 2 unitary matrix U (x); U<sup>1</sup> = U<sup>+</sup> (x), depending on  $'^{i}$  (x). The condition detU = 1 is imposed on U (x). Therefore the number of degrees of freedom of matrix U is equal to that of three pionic elds  $'^{i}$  (x). The transformation law under the group G transformations is given by

$$U^{0}(x) = V_{L}U(x)V_{R}^{+}$$
(69)

where  $V_L$  and  $V_R$  are unitary matrices of SU (3)<sub>L</sub> and SU (3)<sub>R</sub> transformations. (69) satisfies the necessary condition, that after breaking, when G reduces to SU (2) and  $V_L = V_R = V$ , the transformation law reduces to

$$U^{0} = V U (x) V^{-1}$$
(70)

{ the transform ation, induced by the vector current.

It can be shown that the general form of the lowest order e ective Lagrangian, where only the term s up to  $p^2$  are kept and the breaking arising from the pion m ass is neglected, is: [23]-[26]

$$L_{eff} = k \operatorname{Tr}(0 \ U \ 0 U^{+}); \tag{71}$$

where k is som e constant.

The conserving vector and the axial currents (Noether currents), corresponding to Lagrangian (71) can be found by applying to (71) the transform ations (69) with

$$V_{\rm L} = V_{\rm R} = 1 + i \Psi = 2$$
 (72)

in case of vector current and

$$V_{\rm L} = V_{\rm R}^+ = 1 + \pm 2;$$
 (73)

in case of axial current. (Here " is an in nitesim al isovector). The results are:

$$j^{i} = ik Tr(i[0 U;U^{+}])$$
  
 $j^{i}_{5} = ik Tr(if[0 U;U^{+}q])$  (74)

One may use various realizations of the matrix eld U(x) in terms of pionic elds  $'^{i}(x)$ . All of them are equivalent and lead to the same physical consequences [27, 28]. Mathematically, this is provided by the statement that one realization diers from the other by a unitary (nonlinear) transformation (69). One of the useful realizations is

$$U(x) = \exp(i \sim k(x));$$
 (75)

...

where is a constant. Substitution of (75) into (71) and expansion in power of pionic eld up to the 4-th power gives

...

$$L_{eff} = 2k^{2} (0 \ \ )^{2} + \frac{2}{3}k^{4} (\ \ 0 \ \ )^{2} \ \ k^{2} \qquad (0 \ \ )^{2} + :::$$
(76)

From the requirement that the rst, kinetic energy, term in (76) has the standard from , we have

$$k^{2} = \frac{1}{4}$$
 (77)

Substitution of (75) into (74) in the rst nonvanishing order in pionic eld and account of (77) results in:

$$j^{i} = \mathbf{"}_{ikl} \mathbf{'}^{k} \frac{\mathbf{\varrho}^{\prime l}}{\mathbf{\varrho} \mathbf{x}}$$

$$j^{i}_{5} = 2 \mathbf{k} \frac{\mathbf{\varrho}^{\prime l}}{\mathbf{\varrho} \mathbf{x}}$$
(78)

The form ula for the vector current { the rst in eqs.(78) is the standard form ula for the pion isovector current. The comparison of the second equation (78) with (63) nally xes the constant k and, because of (77),

$$k = \frac{1}{8}f^{2}; = \frac{p}{\frac{2}{f}}$$
 (79)

Therefore, the e ective Lagrangian (71) as well as U (x) are expressed through one parameter – the pion decay constant f, which plays the role of the coupling constant in the theory. From dimensional grounds it is then clear that the expansions in powers of the momenta or in powers of pionic eld are in fact the expansions in  $p^2=f^2$  and  $\prime^2=f^2$ . Particularly, the expansion of the e ective Lagrangian (76) takes the form

Turn now to symmetry breaking term in the chiral elective theory Lagrangian. This term is proportional to the quark mass matrix

$$M = \begin{array}{c} m_{u} & 0 \\ 0 & m_{d} \end{array}$$
(81)

In the QCD Lagrangian the corresponding term transform s under SU (2)<sub>L</sub> SU (2)<sub>k</sub> transform ations according to representation  $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  $\frac{1}{2}$ . This statem ent m ay be transferred to chiral theory by the requirem ent that in chiral theory the mass matrix (81) transform s according to

$$M^{0} = V_{R}M V_{L}^{+}$$
 (82)

The term in the Lagrangian linear in M and of the lowest (zero) order in pion m om enta, invariant under SU (2)<sub>L</sub> SU (2)<sub>k</sub> transform ation has the form

$$L^{0} = \frac{f^{2}}{4} fB Tr(M U^{+}) + B Tr(M U)g;$$
(83)

where B is a constant and the factor  $f^2$  is introduced for convenience. In pose the requirement of T-invariance to the Lagrangian (83). The pion eld is odd under T (' <sup>i</sup>) = '<sup>i</sup>, so TU = U<sup>+</sup> and, as a consequence, B = B and

$$L^{0} = \frac{f^{2}}{4} B T r [M (U + U)]$$
(84)

In the lowest orders of the expansion in pionic elds (84) reduces to

$$L^{0} = \frac{1}{2} B (m_{u} + m_{d}) f^{2} \ell^{2} + \frac{1}{6f^{2}} (\ell^{2})^{2}$$
(85)

The rst term in the square bracket gives a shift in vacuum energy resulting from symmetry breaking, the second corresponds to the pion mass term in the Lagrangian  $(m^2=2)^{L^2}$ . With this identication we can determ ine the constant B:

$$B = \frac{m^{2}}{m_{u} + m_{d}} = \frac{2}{f^{2}}h0 jqq j0i;$$
(86)

where the G ell-M ann-O akes-R enner relation (23) was used. The relation (86) can be also obtained in an another way. We have from the QCD Lagrangian

$$\frac{0}{0} h0 jL j0i = h0 juu j0i$$
(87)

Dierentiating (85) we get:

$$\frac{1}{2}Bf^2 = h0 juu j0i;$$
 (88)

what coincides with (86).

As a simplest application of the e ective Lagrangians (80), (85), calculate the pionpion scattering amplitude in the rst order in  $1=f^2$ . The results are [29]:

$$T = {}^{ik} {}^{im} A (s;t;u) + {}^{il} {}^{km} A (t;s;u) + {}^{im} {}^{kl} A (u;t;s)$$
(89)

where

A (s;t;u) = 
$$\frac{2}{f^2}$$
 (s m<sup>2</sup>) (90)

$$s = (p_1 + p_2)^2; t = (p_1 \quad p_1)^2; u = (p_1 \quad p_1)^2$$
 (91)

 $p_1; p_2$  -are initial and  $p_3; p_4$  are nalpion m on enta. The isospin indices i; k refer to initial pions, l;m { to nalones. For example, for the + 0 ! + 0 scattering am plitude we get [29]

$$T = \frac{2}{f^2} (t m^2);$$
 (92)

where T is related to the cm . scattering am plitude  $f_{\rm cm}$  , by

$$f_{cm} := \frac{1}{16} \frac{1}{E} T;$$
(93)

and E is the energy of  $\,^+\,$  in c.m. system .

The other, instead of (75), often used realization is

$$U(x) = \frac{p_{\overline{2}}}{f} [(x) + i \sim (x)]$$
(94)

supplem ented by the constrain

$${}^{2} + {}^{k}{}^{2} = \frac{1}{2}f^{2}$$
(95)

It can be shown by direct calculations, that the expressions for e ective Lagrangians up to '<sup>4</sup> obtained in this realization coincide with (80), (85) on pion m ass shell. In higher orders ('<sup>6</sup>;'<sup>8</sup> etc.) the expressions for e ective Lagrangians in these two realizations are di erent even on m ass shell. But, according to general arguments by Coleman, W ess and Zum ino [27], the physical amplitudes became to be equal after adding one-particle reducible tree diagrams. Since the SU (2) group is isomorphic to O (3) the realization (94) is equivalent to the one, where the O (4) real four-vector  $U_i(x)$ , which satis es the constrain  $U_iU_i^T = 1$ ; i = 1; 2; 3; 4, is used instead of the 2 2 m atrix U (x) [25].

The chiral e ective Lagrangian (71) is the leading term in the expansion in pion momenta. The next term of order of  $p^4$  consistent with Lorentz and chiral invariance, parity and G-parity symmetry has the general form [25]

$$L_{2 \text{eff}} = \frac{1}{4} \left[ \text{Tr}(0 \ U \ 0 \ U^{+}) \right]^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \text{Tr}(0 \ U \ 0 \ U^{+}) \text{Tr}(0 \ U \ 0 \ U^{+})$$
(96)

where  $l_1$  and  $l_2$  are constants. The term of the second order in quark masses is added to (96). If spacial momenta of pions in the process under consideration are close to zero jp j m, the contribution of this term is of the same order as (96), since  $p^2 = m^2 (m_u + m_d)$ . Its general form is [25]

$$L_{2;eff}^{0} = l_{4}Tr (0 U (0 U^{+})Tr [ (U + U^{+})] + l_{6}fTr [ (U + U^{+})]g^{2} + l_{5}fTr[i (U U^{+})]g^{2};$$
(97)

where

In order to perform the next to leading order calculations in chiral elective theory it is necessary, besides the (96), (97) contribution, to go beyond the tree approximation in the leading order Lagrangians and to calculate one-loop contributions arising from (71), (84). A s can be seen, the parameter of the expansion is  $(1 = f)^2$  (1=500M eV)<sup>2</sup> and, as a rule, small numerical coecients also arise. Therefore, the n-loops contribution is suppressed compared by the leading order tree approximation. Renormalization can be performed in an any scheme which preserves the symmetry of the theory. These can be dimensional

regularization or a method where nite in aginary parts of the scattering amplitudes are calculated and the whole amplitudes are reconstructed by using dispersion relations (an example of such calculation is given below) or any others. The counter terms arising in loop calculations (pole contributions at d! 4 in dimensional regularization or subtraction constants in the dispersion relation approach) are absorbed by the coupling constants of the next order elective Lagrangian, like  $l_1$  and  $l_2$  in (96). Theoretically unknown constants  $l_1$  are determined by comparing with the experimental data.

As a result of loop calculations and of the account of higher order terms in the elective Lagrangian the coupling constant f entering (71), (84) acquire some contributions and is no more equal to the physical pion decay constant de ned by (84). For this reason the coupling constant f in (71), (84) should be considered as a bare one,  $f^0$  which will coincide with the physical f after accounting of all higher order corrections. A similar statem ent refers to the connection between m<sup>2</sup> and m<sub>u</sub> + m<sub>d</sub> (86). If B is considered as a constant parameter of the theory, then the relation (86) is modified by high order terms. Particularly, in the next to leading order [30]

$$m^{2} = m^{2} 1 + c() \frac{m^{2}}{f^{2}} + \frac{m^{2}}{16^{2} f^{2}} \ln \frac{m^{2}}{2}^{\#};$$
 (99)

where

$$m^2 = B (m_u + m_d);$$
 (100)

is the norm alization point and c() is the -depending renorm alized coupling constant expressed through  $l_i$ . (The total correction is -independent). The appearance of the nonanalytic in m<sup>2</sup> (or m<sub>q</sub>) term m<sup>2</sup> lnm<sup>2</sup> { the so called "chiral logarithm" { is a speci c feature of the chiral perturbation theory. The origin of their appearance are infrared singularities of the corresponding loop integrals. f also contains the chiral logarithm [30]:

$$f = f^{0} 1 + c_{1} () \frac{m^{2}}{f^{2}} - \frac{m^{2}}{8^{2}f^{2}} \ln \frac{m^{2}}{2}^{\#}$$
(101)

Let us present two examples of loop calculations.

1. Find the nonanalytical, proportional to  $lnm^2$  correction to pion electric radius [31,32].

The one-bop contribution to pion form factor comes from interaction term in the Lagrangian given by (80) and is equal to

$$i\frac{1}{f^{2}} = \frac{d^{4}k_{1}d^{4}k_{2}}{(2)^{4}} (q + k_{1} - k_{2}) (k_{1} + k_{2}) \frac{1}{k_{1}^{2} - m^{2}} \frac{1}{k_{2}^{2} - m^{2}} (p_{1} + p_{2}) (k_{1} + k_{2})$$
(102)

Here  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are the initial and nalpion momenta q is the momentum transfer,  $q^2 < 0$ ;  $p_1 + q = p_2$ . The integral in (102) can be calculated in the following way. Consider the integral

$$i \frac{d^4k_1d^4k_2}{(2)^4}(k_1 + k_2)(k_1 + k_2) \frac{1}{k_1^2 m^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2 m^2} (q + k_1 k_2) =$$

$$= A (q^2) (q^2 - q_{1u}q)$$
 (103)

The form of the rhs of (103) follows from gauge invariance. Calculate the imaginary part of A ( $q^2$ ) at  $q^2 > 0$ . We have

Im A (q<sup>2</sup>) ( q<sup>2</sup> qq) = 
$$\frac{1}{8^2} d^4 k (2k q) (2k q) [(q k2)] =$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{48} (q^2 qq)$  (104)

(The pion m ass can be neglected in our approximation). A  $(q^2)$  is determined by dispersion relation:

$$A(q^{2}) = \frac{1}{4m^{2}} \frac{M^{2}}{s q^{2}} \ln A(q^{2}) = \frac{1}{48^{2}} \ln \frac{M^{2}}{4m^{2} q^{2}}$$
(105)

(The subtraction term is omitted, M  $^2$  is a cuto ). Substitution of (103), (104) into (102) gives for the correction to the vertex

$$(p_1 + p_2) \mathbb{F} (q^2) = (p_1 + p_2) \frac{q^2}{48 \ ^2 f^2} \ln \frac{M^2}{4m^2 \ q^2};$$
 (106)

where  $F(q^2)$  is the pion form factor. The pion electric radius is dened by

$$r^{2} = 6 \frac{dF(q^{2})}{dq^{2}}$$
(107)

and its nonanalytical in m<sup>2</sup> part is equal to

$$r^{2} = \frac{1}{8^{2} f^{2}} \ln m^{2}$$
(108)

2. Quark condensate also become the nonanalytical, proportional to m  $^2$  lnm  $^2$  correction [33]. Using (87) and (85) we get

h0 juu j0i = 
$$\frac{1}{2}f^2$$
 B h0 j1  $\frac{I_1^2}{f^2}$  j0i (109)

The mean vacuum value of  $\prime_{i}^{2}$  is given by

$$\lim_{x \ge 0} h0 \text{ jT } '_{i}(x); '_{i}(0) \text{ j0i} = \frac{3i}{(2)^{4}} \lim_{x \ge 0} d^{4}k \frac{e^{ikx}}{k^{2} m^{2}} = Am^{2} + Cm^{2} \ln m^{2} + \dots$$
(110)

In order to nd C dierentiate (110) over m  $^2$  . We have

$$\frac{3i}{(2)^4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^4 k \frac{1}{(k^2 - m^2)^2} = -\frac{3^2}{(2)^4} \ln \frac{M^2}{m^2}$$
(111)

Substitution of (111) into (109) with the account of (86) gives

h0 juu j0i = h0 juu j0i<sub>0</sub> 1 + 
$$\frac{3m^2}{16^2 f^2} \ln \frac{M^2}{m^2} + Am^2$$
 (112)

Generalization for three m assless quark case, when s-quark is also considered as m assless and the symmetry of the Lagrangian is SU (3)<sub>L</sub> SU (3)<sub>k</sub> is straightforward. The matrix U (x) is 3 3 unitary matrix, the leading order Lagrangian has the same form s (71), (84) with an evident di erence that the quark mass matrix M is now 3 3 m a-trix. In the formulae for axial and vector currents (64), (74) i should be substituted by the Gell-M ann matrices n;n = 1; :::8 and the same substitution must be done in the exponential realization of U (x):

$$U(x) = \exp i \frac{p_{\overline{2}} x}{f_{n}} (x)$$
(113)

where  $'_n(x)$  is the octet of pseudoscalarm esonic elds. Because the algebra of  $_n$  m atrices di ers from that of  $_i$  and, particularly, the anticommutator  $_n$ ;  $_m$  does not reduce to  $_{nm}$ , the linear realization as simple as (94) is impossible in this case.

The symmetry breaking Lagrangian (84) in the order of  $r_n^2$  { the mass term in the pseudoscalarm eson Lagrangian -is nondiagonal in mesonic elds: the elds: the elds calarangian contains the term proportional to  $(m_u m_d)A'_3'_8$ . The presence of this term means that the eigenstates of the Ham iltonian, <sup>0</sup> and mesons, are not eigenstates of Q<sup>3</sup> and Q<sup>8</sup> generators of SU (3)<sub>V</sub>: in there is an admixture of the isospin 1 state (the pion) and vice versa [34,35].

In general we can write:

$$H = \frac{1}{2}m^{2} {}'_{3}^{2} + \frac{1}{3}m^{2} {}'_{8}^{2} + A (m_{u} m_{d})'_{3} {}'_{8} + kinetic term s; \qquad (114)$$

The physical and states arises after orthogonalization of the Hamiltonian (114)

j i = 
$$\cos j'_{3}$$
i sin j'\_8i  
j i = sin j'\_3i +  $\cos j'_{8}$ i (115)

It can be shown [33,34,26], that the constant A in (114) is equal

$$A = \frac{p_{\frac{1}{3}}}{m_{u} + m_{d}}$$
(116)

and the mixing angle is given by (at small )

$$= \frac{1}{p_{\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{m^2}{m^2 m^2} \frac{m_u m_d}{m_u + m_d}$$
(117)

This result is used in consideration of many problem s, where isospin is violated, e.q. the decay rate  $^{0}$ ! J=  $^{0}$  [36], the amplitude of !  $^{+}$   $^{0}$  decay. The violating isospin amplitude !  $^{+}$   $^{0}$  is found to be [37,38] (in its derivation (Eq.(117)) was exploited):

$$T_{!} + o = \frac{p_{\overline{3}}}{2f^{2}} \frac{m_{u} m_{d}}{m_{s} (m_{u} + m_{d})=2} s \frac{4}{3}m^{2}$$
(118)

where  $s = (p p_0)^2$ .

In the three avor case the next to leading Lagrangian contains few additional terms in comparison with (96), (97) [24, 26]

$$L_{2eff}^{0} = l_{3} Tr (@ U @ U^{+} @ U @ U^{+}) + l_{5} Tr [@ U @ U^{+} (U + U^{+})] + l_{8} Tr (U U^{+} + U^{+}U)$$
(119)

In the case of three avours in the order of p<sup>4</sup>, the term of di erent origin proportional to the totally antisym metric tensor " arises. A swas pointed out by W ess and Zum ino, [39] its occurrence is due to anom alous W ard identities for vector and axial nonsinglet currents. W itten [40] had presented the following heuristic argument in the favor of this term. The leading and next to leading Lagrangians (71), (84), (96), (97), (119) are invariant under discrete symmetries U(x) !  $U^+(x)$ ; U(x;t)! U(x;t). According to (75) this is equivalent to  $'_{i}(x)$  !  $'_{i}(x)$ . In the case of pions this operation coincides with G-parity, but for the octet of pseudoscalar mesons this is not the case. Particularly, such symmetry forbids the process  $K^+K$  ! + <sup>0</sup> and <sup>0</sup> = + <sup>0</sup>, which are allowed in QCD. In QCD the symmetry under the sign change of pseudoscalar meson elds is valid only if supplemented by space relation, i.e.  $'_i(x;t)! = '_i(x;t)$ . Therefore, one m ay add to chiral lagrangian a term, which is invariant under the latter operation, but violates separately x ! x and  $'_{i}(x)$  !  $'_{i}(x)$ . Evidently, such term is proportional to . The general form of the term added to the equation of motion is unique:

$$\frac{1}{8}f^{2}(\theta^{2}U^{+} + U^{+}\theta^{2}U \psi^{+}) + fU^{+}\theta^{2}U \psi^{+} = 0; \quad (120)$$

where is a constant. (O ther nonleading terms are om itted). Eq.(120) is noninvariant under  $U^+$  ! U and x ! x separately, but conserves parity. However, (120) cannot derived from local Lagrangian in four dimensional space-time, because the trace of the second term in the lhs of (120) vanishes. W itten [40] had shown that the Lagrangian can be represented form ally as an integral over some ve-dimensional manifold, where Lagrangian density is local. The integral over this manifold reduces to its boundary, which is precisely 4-dimensional space-time. In the rst nonvanishing order in mesonic elds the contribution to the Lagrangian (the so called W ess-Zum ino term [39]) is equal to: [39-41]

$$_{WZ}(U) = n \frac{1}{15^{2} f^{2}}^{Z} d^{4}x \text{ Tr( 0 0 0 );}$$
(121)

where =  ${}^{P}$   ${}_{m}$  '  ${}_{m}$ . The coe cient n in (121) is an integer number [40]. This statement follows from the properties of mapping of 4-dimensional space-time into SU (3) manifold produced by the eld U. It is clear from (121) that  $L_{WZ} = 0$  in the case of two avors: the only antisymmetrical tensor in avor indices is "<sup>ik1</sup> and it is impossible to construct antisymmetrical in coordinates expression from the derivatives of pionic elds. In order to nd the value of n it is instructive to consider the interaction with electrom agnetic eld. In this case the W ess-Zum ino Lagrangian is supplemented by term swhich form together with (121) a gauge invariant Lagrangian [40]

$$L_{WZ} (U; A) = L_{WZ} (U) \quad en \quad d^{4}xA \quad J + \frac{ie^{2}n}{24^{2}}^{Z} \quad d^{4}x'' \quad (@ A)A$$
$$Tr k_{q}^{2} (@ U)U_{+} e_{q}^{2}U^{+} (@ U) + e_{q}U e_{q}U^{+} (@ U)U^{+}]; \quad (122)$$

where

$$J = \frac{1}{48^{-2}} \text{"} \text{Tr}[e_q (0 \cup \text{`U}) (0 \cup \text{`U}) (0 \cup \text{`U}) + e_q (\text{U}^+ 0 \cup) (\text{U}^+ 0 \cup) (\text{U}^+ 0 \cup)];$$
(123)

 $e_q$  is the matrix of quark charges,  $e_q = diag (2=3; 1=3; 1=3)$  and e is the proton charge. The amplitude of  ${}^0$ ! decay can be found from the last term in (122). It is given by

T ( <sup>0</sup> ! ) = 
$$\frac{ne^2}{48 \ \overline{2}^{\ 2} f}$$
 " F F (124)

On the other side, the same amplitude is determined in QCD by anomaly. Use the anomaly condition [42]-[44]

$$(9 j_{5}^{3} = \frac{1}{2} N_{c} (e_{u}^{2} - e_{d}^{2}) F F = \frac{1}{12} N_{c} F F ; \qquad (125)$$

where N<sub>c</sub> is the number of colors and  $e_u$ ;  $e_d$  are u and d quark charges. For the amplitude T ( $^0$ !) we have, exploiting the PCAC condition (65):

$$\Gamma(^{0}!) = \frac{e^{2}}{48 2^{2} f} N_{c} F F$$
(126)

(124) coincides with (125), if  $n = N_c$  [40]. The other physically interesting object, the <sup>+</sup> <sup>0</sup> vertex is determined by the second term in the rhs of (122) and is equal to

$$(^{+})^{0} = \frac{1}{3} i e \frac{n}{2^{2} 2 f^{3}}$$
 A  $e^{+} e^{-} e^{-}$  (127)

Again, if  $n = N_c$ , this result agrees with QCD calculations based on VAAA anomaly or with the phenom enological approach, where the anomaly was taken as granted [45]-[47].

The chiral e ective theory is valid also for the pion-baryon low energy interaction, where a lot of results was obtained. We restrict ourselves here to presenting of e ective pion-nucleon interaction Lagrangian in the leading order (see e.g.[1], a good review, where high order terms are considered is in [48]):

$$L_{N} = \frac{q_{A}}{f^{\frac{D}{2}}} N_{5} \sim 0 \sim N_{N} \frac{1}{2f^{2}} \sim [\sim 0 \sim N_{N}]$$
(128)

where  $_{\rm N}$  are nucleon spinors and  $g_{\rm A}$  is the axial neutron -decay constant,  $g_{\rm A} = 1.26$ . The rst term in (128) is a standard pion-nucleon interaction with pseudovector coupling, the second one represents the contact  ${\rm NN}$  interaction.

#### 7 Low energy sum rules in CET

Using CET technique important low energy sum rules can be derived, which of course, are valid also in QCD. The most interesting, which are tested by experiment, refer to the di erence of the polarization operators of vector and axial currents. Let us de ne

<sup>Z</sup>  
<sup>U</sup> (q) = i 
$$d^4x e^{iqx} h0 jT fU (x); U (0)^+ g0i =$$
  
= (q q  $q^2$ )  $U^{(1)}_U (q^2) + q q U^{(0)}_U (q^2)$  (129)

where

$$U = V; A \quad V = \overline{u} \quad d; \quad A = \overline{u} \quad {}_{5}d; \quad (130)$$

V 4 and A are vector and axial quark currents. The imaginary parts of the correlators are the so-called spectral functions (s =  $q^2$ ):

$$v_1(s) = a_1(s) = 2$$
 Im  ${}^{(1)}_{V=A}(s); a_0(s) = 2m$  Im  ${}^{(0)}_A(s);$  (131)

which are measured in -decay. (Isotopically related to  $v_1$  spectral function is measured in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation). The spin 0 axial spectral function  $a_0$  (s) which is mainly saturated by one pion state will not be interesting for us now.

 $_{\rm V}^{(1)}$  (s) and  $_{\rm A}^{(1)}$  (s) are analytical functions of s in the complex s-plane with a cut along the right sem iaxes, starting from the threshold of the lowest hadronic state: 4m<sup>2</sup> for  $_{\rm V}^{(1)}$  and 9m<sup>2</sup> for  $_{\rm A}^{(1)}$ . Besides the cut,  $_{\rm a}^{(1)}$  (q<sup>2</sup>) has a kinem atical pole at q<sup>2</sup> = 0. This is a speci c feature of QCD and CET, which follows from the chiral symmetry in the lim it of m assless u; d-quarks and its spontaneous violation. In this lim it axial current is conserved and a m assless pion exists. Its contribution to the axial polarization operator is given by

<sup>A</sup> (q) = f<sup>2</sup> 
$$\frac{q q}{q^2}$$
 (132)

W hen the quark masses are taken into account, then in the storder of quark masses, or, what it is equivalent, in m<sup>2</sup> Eq.132 is modiled to:

<sup>A</sup> (q) = f<sup>2</sup> 
$$\frac{q q}{q^2 m^2}$$
 (133)

Decompose (133) in the tensor structures of (129)

<sup>A</sup> (q) = 
$$\frac{f^2}{q^2}$$
 (q q q<sup>2</sup>)  $\frac{m^2}{q^2}$  q q  $\frac{f^2}{q^2 m^2}$  (134)

The pole in  $\frac{A}{1}$  (q<sup>2</sup>) at q<sup>2</sup> = 0 is evident.

Let us write dispersion relation for  ${}_{1}^{V}$  (s)  ${}_{1}^{A}$  (s). This may be nonsubtracted dispersion relation, since perturbative terms (besides the small contribution from u;d quarks mass square) cancels in the di erence, and the OPE terms decrease with  $q^2 = s$  at least as s<sup>2</sup> (the term  $m_qh0jqj0i$  in OPE). We have



Figure 4a,b,c. The sum rules (136), (137), (138) correspondingly as functions of upper limits of integration  $s_0$ . (D at a of ALEPH [52])

$$V_{1}(s) = \frac{1}{2^{2}} ds^{0} \frac{v_{1}(s^{0})}{s^{0}} \frac{a_{1}(s^{0})}{s} + \frac{f^{2}}{s}$$
(135)

The last term in the rhs of (135) represents the kinem atical pole contribution. Let us go to s! 1 in (135). Since  $V_1^V$  (s)  $A_1^A$  (s) ! s<sup>2</sup> in this limit we get the sum rule (the rst W einberg sum rule [49]):

$$\frac{1}{2^{2}} \int_{0}^{2^{2}} ds [v_{1} (s) = f^{2}$$
(136)

The accuracy of this sum rule is of order of chiral symmetry violation in QCD, or next order terms in CET, i.e.  $m^2 = M^2$  (e.g. a subtraction term).

If the term  $m_qh0jqqj0i$  f<sup>2</sup>m<sup>2</sup> in OPE may be neglected, then, performing in (135) the expansion up to  $1=s^2$  we get the second W einberg sum rule:

<sup>24</sup> sds 
$$[v_1 (s) = 0 \text{ (m}^2)$$
 (137)  
0

(For other derivations of these sum rules { see [50]).

I present here one more sum rule derived in CET (in its earlier version { PCAC): Das-Mathur-Okubo sum rule [51]:

$$\frac{1}{4^{2}} \int_{0}^{2^{2}} ds \frac{1}{s} [v_{1}(s) \quad a_{1}(s)] = \frac{1}{6} f^{2} hr^{2} i \quad F_{A}; \qquad (138)$$

where  $hr^2 i$  is the m ean pion electrom agnetic radius and  $F_A$  is the pion axial vector form – factor in the decay ! m (in fact,  $F_A$  is a constant with high accuracy).

The comparison of the sum rules (135, 136, 137) with the results of the recent m easurements of  $v_1$  (s)  $q_1$  (s) in -decay by ALEPH collaboration [52] are presented in Fig.4 versus the upper limit of integration.

### 8 QCD and CET at nite tem perature

CET is a useful tool for study QCD at nite temperature. It is a common believe, that with temperature increase any hadronic system undergoes a phase transition with restoration of chiral symmetry and liberation of colors { decon nement (for review size [53-55]. These two phenomena can proceed in a single phase transition or may be separated. The estimation of the critical temperature (s)  $T_c$  were found from lattice calculations, from studies of suitable correlation functions, in the framework of models and from the study of temperature dependence of condensate in the framework of CET.All of this indicates that  $T_c$  150 250M eV.

I present here the simple argument [56], based on the consideration on any hadronic correlator P(x) at large space-like distances  $x \cdot 0$  nem ay expect, that

$$P(x) = {}^{(T)jkj}$$
 (139)

where (T) is temperature depending screening parameter. Eq.139 is valid if: 1) jxj1; 2) $jxj^{<}$  ( $_{s}$ (T)T)<sup>1</sup>, because at such jxj the infrared divergence arises in the theory [57]. At high temperature (T) is given by M atsubara frequency

$$= 2$$
 T for bosons (two quarks);  $= 3$  T for baryons (three quarks) (140)

At low T (T) is equal by the mass of the corresponding hadron (except for pion, where the conditions 1 and 2 cannot be satisfied simultaneously). Taking, as examples, and  $a_1$ -mesons, we not that the matching of two regimes occurs at 150-200 MeV.

Q uark condensate m ay be considered as an order parameter in QCD. Its vanishing at some critical tem perature  $T = T_c$  would indicate on the phase transition { the restoration of chiral symmetry at  $T = T_c$ . Taking this in m ind, calculate  $T^2$  correction to h0 juu j 0i = h0 jdd j0i quark condensate in the lim it of massless u;d quarks [58,59].

The mean value of any operator 0 at nite tem perature is given by

h0 
$$i_{T} = \int_{n}^{X} hn j0 \frac{1}{e^{H=T} - 1} jni_{n};$$
 (141)

where signs refer to Ferm i and Bose systems, is the density of the state jni. At low T and massless u;d quarks the main contribution comes from states of massless pions. Contributions of all other particles are exponentially suppressed by factors  $e^{m=T}$  where m is the particle mass. (Summation over n should be performed over H ilbert space of physical particles, since at small T the system is in con nement phase and the problem is characterized by large distances). In the order of  $T^2$  it is enough to account in (140) only one pion state. This gives

$${}_{\rm T} \, {\rm huui} = 3 \, \frac{d^3 p}{(2)^3 \, 2E} \, {}^{+} \, {\rm juu} \, {\rm j} \, {}^{+} \, {\rm i} \frac{1}{e^{E \, = {\rm T}} \, 1}$$
(142)

where  $_{\rm T}$  means the temperature correction and factor 3 comes from 3 pion states {  $^+$ ; ;  $^0$ . It is clear that the one-pion phase space factor results in required power T<sup>2</sup>, two-pion states give T<sup>4</sup> etc. From QCD Lagrangian we have

$$h^{+} juu j^{+} i = \frac{@}{@m_{u}}h^{+} jL j^{+}i$$
 (143)

Substitution of the chiral e ective Lagrangian (85) into (143) instead of the QCD Lagrangian leads to

h <sup>+</sup> juu j <sup>+</sup> i = 
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
B h <sup>+</sup> j2''' j <sup>+</sup> i = B =  $\frac{2}{f^2}$ h0 juu j0i (144)

Therefore,

<sub>T</sub> huui = 
$$\frac{6}{f^2}$$
h0 juu j0i  $\frac{Z}{(2)^3} \frac{d^3p}{2Ee^{E-T}} = \frac{T^2}{4f^2}$ h0 juu j0i (145)

Q uark condensate decreases with increasing of tem perature. If such linear with T  $^2$  behaviour would continue up to T = 2f =  $\prime$  250M eV , quark condensate would vanish at



F igure 5. Tem perature dependence of quark condensate up to 3 loops (at  $m_u = m_d = 0$ ) { Eq.146 { dot{dashed line. The shaded area is the same with model account of massive states (from Ref.61).

this tem perature and chiral sym m etry would be restored. In fact, the calculation of higher order term s in  $T^2$  (up to  $T^6$ ) gives [60,61]

$$hqqi_{T} = h0 jqq j0i 1 \quad \frac{N_{f}^{2}}{N_{f}} \frac{1}{6f^{2}} \frac{T^{2}}{2N_{f}^{2}} \frac{N_{f}^{2}}{2N_{f}^{2}} \frac{1}{6f^{2}} \frac{T^{2}}{K_{f}^{2}} N_{f} (N_{f}^{2} - 1) \frac{T^{2}}{6f^{2}} \frac{1}{K_{f}^{2}} \frac{M_{f}^{2}}{T}; (146)$$

where N<sub>f</sub> is the number of avors (N<sub>f</sub> = 2 for u; dm assless quarks) and M is a cuto . All three terms in the expansion have the same sign what indicates low ering phase transition tem perature, up to T<sub>c</sub> 150M eV . Q uark condensate tem perature dependence at low T is shown on Fig.5.

For gluonic condensate the situation is more subtle. The operator G G is proportional to the trace of the energy-momentum tensor and the latter is generator of conform transform ation. However, the massless non-interacting pion gas is conform ally invariant. (Pions are non-interacting at low T because of the Adler theorem). For this reason the low temperature expansion for gluonic condensate starts from  $T^8$  term [60].

Consider nally T<sup>2</sup> corrections to the correlators of vector and axial currents in the lim it of massless quarks [62]. At nite T the correlators are de ned as  $(q^2 = Q^2 < 0)$ .

$$\nabla (q;T) = i \quad d^4x e^{iqx} \quad \ln T \nabla^a(x); \nabla^a(0) \exp[(H) = T] \text{ in } (147)$$

where

$$V = V; A \quad V^{a} = q \quad \frac{a}{2} q; \quad A^{a} = q \quad \frac{a}{2} q$$
 (148)

and  $e^{=T} = \prod_{n=1}^{P} hn je^{H=T} jn i$ . To evaluate  $\sqrt[V]{(q;T)}$  at low tem perature,  $T^2 = Q^2$  in the sum over jn i in (147) only the vacuum and pion states must be taken into account. The matrix elements

are easily evaluated applying reduction form ulas to pions and using Eq.(65). Equal time commutators, which arise, are calculated by current algebra relations (or can be derived from Eq.78). The integration over pionic phase space (relativistic Bose gas) can be done with the help of the form ula (for massless pions):

$$\frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}} \frac{1}{2k} \frac{1}{e^{k=T}} = \frac{1}{24}T^{2}$$
(150)

The result is:

$$^{\vee}$$
 (q;T) = (1 ")  $^{\vee}$  (q;0) + "  $^{A}$  (q;0)  
 $^{A}$  (q;T) = (1 ")  $^{A}$  (q;0) + "  $^{\vee}$  (q;0) (151)

where " =  $T^2=3f^2$ . If V=A (q;0) are represented through dispersion relations by contributions of physical states in V and A channels, say ;a<sub>1</sub>; etc poles, then according to (151) in the correlators VA (q;T) the poles do not shift in order  $T^2$  and appear at the same position as at T = 0. The consequence of (151) is that, at  $T \in 0$  in transverse vector channel apart from the poles corresponding to vector particle, there arise poles, corresponding to axial particles and vice verca. In the same way a pion pole appears in the longitudinal part of vector channel. The same phenom enon of parity m ixing (and, in some cases also isospin m ixing) appears at nite T also in other channels, including baryonic channels [63].

### 9 Conclusion

The goal of this review is to convince the reader, that chiral e ective theory (CET) of strong interactions is: on one hand a direct consequence of QCD, of the chiral sym m etry of QCD and its spontaneous violation; and on the other hand, a very e ective toolw ith high predictive power for solving the problem s of strong interactions at low energies. It was demonstrated, that in QCD the masses of light quarks (u;d and, in some extent, also s) are small and in a good approximation, when these masses are neglected, QCD is chirally symmetric. However, the physical spectrum of realworld (including the vacuum state) does not possesses this symmetry: there is nonvanishing (in the limit  $m_u; m_d \neq 0$ ) symmetry violating quark condensate, and the baryon masses are by nomeans small, in contradiction with chiral sym m etry. It was shown, that these two facts { the large baryon m asses and the appearance of quark condensate are tightly interconnected: the rst can be expressed through the second. The violation of chiral sym m etry on the physical spectrum m eans that chiral symmetry is broken spontaneously. The direct consequence of this fact is the appearance of massless Goldstone bosons in the spectrum (pion in case of SU (2) symmetry, where u and d-quarks are considered as massless and s-quark as massive). The known symmetry of the theory and the existence of massless Goldstone bosons allows one to construct CET, valid at low energies. CET is an elective theory, what means,

that, when going to the next approximation { higher powers of particle momenta { new additional terms in the theory Lagrangian appear.

In the review CET Lagrangian in the rst and second orders in m om enta was explicitly constructed and its m ain features were discussed. On few examples it was demonstrated that CET is very powerful in consideration of low energy interactions of pions. Low energy sum rules, which are the subject of direct experim ental test, were presented. It was demonstrated, that CET is very suitable tool for the study of QCD at nite temperature. The indications for phase transitions in QCD were obtained from this study.

I am very thankful to H Leutwyler for enlightening discussion of various aspects of CET, I learned a lot from his papers and reviews on this subject. I am also very indebted to him for his hospitality at Bern.

This work wasm ade possible in part by A ward No. RP2-2247 of U.S.C ivilian R escarch and D evelopm ent Foundation for Independent States of Form er Soviet U nion (CRDF), by R ussian Found of B asic R escarch grant 00-02-17808, and IN TAS C all 2000 G rant (P roject 587).

#### References

- [1] A J.Vainstein and V J.Zakharov, U sp Fiz Nauk, 100 (1970) 225.
- [2] J.G. asser and H. Leutwyler, Nucl. Phys. B 94 (1975) 269.
- [3] SW einberg in: A Festschrift for I.I.R.abi, ed. L M otz, Trans. New York A cad. Sci., Ser.II, 38 (1977) 185.
- [4] T Appelquist and J.Carrazone, Phys. Rev. D 11 (1975) 2856.
- [5] R D ashen. Phys Rev. 183 (1969) 1245.
- [6] Gasser and H Leutwyler, Phys. Rep. 87 (1982) 77.
- [7] H Leutwyler, Journ. M oscow Phys. Soc. 6 (1996).
- [8] M Gell-M ann, R JO akes and B Renner, Phys. Rev. 175 (1968) 2195.
- [9] M L Goldberger and S.B. Treim an, Phys. Rev. 110 (1958) 1178.
- [10] J.Goldstone, Nuovo Cim 19 (1961) 154.
- [11] Y Nambu and G Jona-Lasinio, PhysRev. 122 (1961) 345.
- [12] J.Goldstone, A. Salam and S.W. einberg, Phys. Rev. 127 (1962) 965
- [13] S.Coleman, in: "Laws of hadronic matter", Proc.of 11 Course of the "Ettore Maiorana" Intem School of Subnuclear Physics ed. by A Zichichi A cadem ic Press, London and New York, 1975.
- [14] M A Shifman, A J.Vainshten, V J.Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B 147 (1979) 385, 448.
- [15] B.L. Lo e, Nucl. Phys. B 188 (1981) 317, E: B 192 (1982) 591.
- [16] Vacuum Structure and QCD Sum Rules, EdM .Shifm an, North Holland, Am sterdam, 1992.
- [17] Y Chung, H G Dosch, M Cremer, D Schall, Nucl. Phys. B 197 (1982) 57.
- [18] B L Jo e, Zs. Phys. C 18 (1983) 67.
- [19] V M Belyaev, B L To e, ZhETF, 83 (1982) 876.
- [20] V M Belyaev, B L. Jo e, ZhETF, 84 (1983) 1236.
- [21] B.L.Jo e, Acta Physica Polonica B16 (1985) 543.
- [22] SL.Adler, Phys. Rev. 137 (1965) B1022, 139 (1965) B1638.
- [23] S.W. einberg, Physica, A 96 (1979) 327.

- [24] Leutwyler, Lectures at the XXX Internationale Universitatswochen fur Kemphysik, Schladming, Austria, 1991.
- [25] J.G asser and H Leutwyler, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 158 (1984) 142.
- [26] J.G asser and H Leutwyler, Nucl. Phys. B 250 (1985) 465.
- [27] S.Coleman, J.W ess and B.Zumino, Phys. Rev. 177 (1969) 2239.
- [28] C.G. Callan, Jr., S.Coleman, J.W ess and B.Zumino, Phys. Rev. 177 (1969) 2247.
- [29] SW einberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 17 (1966) 616.
- [30] P Langacker and H Pagels, Phys. Rev. D 8 (1973) 4595.
- [31] M A B Beg and A Zepeda, Phys. Rev. D 6 (1972) 2912.
- [32] M K Volkov and V N Pervushin, Yad. Fiz. 20 (1974) 762, (Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 20 (1975) 408.
- [33] V A Novikov, M A Shifman, A I.Vainstein and V IZakharov, Nucl. Phys. B191 (1981) 301.
- [34] B L Jo e, Yad. Fiz. 29 (1979) 1611 (Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 20 (1979) 827.
- [35] D JG ross, SB Treim an and W ilczek, Phys. Rev. D 19 (1979) 2188.
- [36] B.L.Io e, M.A.Shifman, Phys. Lett. 95B (1980) 99.
- [37] H O shorn and D R W allace, Nucl. Phys. B 20 (1970) 23.
- [38] J.G asser and H Leutwyler, Nucl. Phys. B 250 (1985) 539.
- [39] JW ess and B Zum ino, Phys. Lett B 37 (1971) 95.
- [40] E W itten, Nucl. Phys. B 223 (1983) 422.
- [41] IZahed and G E Brown, Phys. Rep. 142 (1986) 1.
- [42] S.L.Adler, Phys. Rev. 177 1969 147.
- [43] J.S.Belland R. Jackiw, Nuovo Cim 60 (1969) 1517.
- [44] W A Bardeen Phys. Rev. 184 (1969) 1848.
- [45] M .V .Terentjev, Pisma v ZhETF 14 (1971) 140.
- [46] SAdler, B Lee, S.Treim an and A Zee, Phys. Rev. D 4 (1971) 3497.
- [47] M.V.Terentjev, Usp.Fiz.Nauk, 112 (1974) 37.
- [48] U.-G M eissner, Chiralnuckon dynam ics, Lectures at the H am pton Studies at Je erson Lab., preprint K FA (TH)-1997-20, hep-ph/9711365.

- [49] SW einberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 18 (1967) 188, 507.
- [50] B L Jo e, V A Khoze, L N Lipatov, Hard Processes, North Holland, Am sterdam, 1984.
- [51] T D as, V S M athur, S O kubo, P hys. Rev. Lett. 19 859 (1967).
- [52] ALEPH Collaboration: R Barate et al, Eur. J. Phys. C 4 409 (1998).
- [53] E.V. Shuryak, Phys. Rep. 61 (1980) 71.
- [54] D.G. ross, R.P. isarski, L.Y.a. e, Rev. Mod. Phys. 53 (1981) 43.
- [55] M cLerran, Rev. M od. Phys. 58 (1986) 1024.
- [56] V L E letsky, B L Lo e, Yad. Fiz. 48 (1988) 602.
- [57] A D Linde, Rep. Prog. Phys. 42 (1979) 389.
- [58] P Benetrujand M K Gaillard, Phys. Rev. D 32 (1985) 931.
- [59] J.G asser and H Leutwyler, Phys. Lett. B 184 (1987) 83; B 188 (1987) 477.
- [60] H Leutwyler, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 4 (1988) 248.
- [61] P.G. erber and H. Leutwyler, Nucl. Phys. B 321 (1989) 387.
- [62] M Dey, V L E letsky and B L Jo e, Phys. Lett. 252 (1990) 620.
- [63] V L E letsky and B L Jo e, Phys. Rev. D 47 (1993) 3083.