AMEGIC++ 1.0 A Matrix Element Generator In C++

F.K rauss^a, R.K uhn^{b;c} and G.So^c

^aC avendish Laboratory, University of C am bridge, C am bridge C B 3 OH E, U.K. ^bM ax P lanck Institut fur P hysik K om plexer System e, 01187 D resden, G erm any ^cInstitut fur T heoretische P hysik, T U D resden, 01062 D resden, G erm any E -m ail: krauss@hep.phy.cam.ac.uk, kuhn@theory.phy.tu-dresden.de

A bstract: The new matrix element generator AMEGIC++ is introduced, dedicated to describe multi{particle production in high energy particle collisions. It automatically generates helicity amplitudes for the processes under consideration and constructs suitable, e cient integration channels for the multi{channel phase space integration. The corresponding expressions for the amplitudes and the integrators are stored in library les to be linked to the main program.

K eyw ords: Standard M odel; Q C D; E lectrow eak Theory; LEP Physics; H igh Energy E lectron {Positron C ollisions; M ulti{Jet P roduction; M atrix E lem ent G eneration; H elicity Am plitudes; Phase Space Integration; M ulti{dhannel M ethod; M onte C arlo.

C ontents

1.	Intr	oduct	lion	4
2.	T heoretical background			6
	2.1	Helici	ity am plitudes	6
		2.1.1	Spinors	6
		2.1.2	Polarization vectors	8
		2.1.3	Building blocks	10
	22	Phase	e space integration	12
		221	Multi{channelmethod	14
		222	Default channels : RAMBO and SARGE	16
		223	Building blocks for additional channels	17
	2.3	H ighe	er{order e ects	17
		2.3.1	Coulomb corrections	17
		232	Running m asses and widths	19
3.	A lgorithm s			21
	3.1	G ener	ration of diagram s	21
		3.1.1	Creation of empty topologies	21
		3.1.2	Setting the endpoints	23
		3.1.3	Finding intermediate lines	25
		3.1.4	E lim inating identical diagram s	27
	32	Trans	slation into helicity amplitudes	28
		321	Spinor direction and m om entum ow	28
		322	F inding the appropriate Z { functions	29
		323	Evaluation of the amplitudes	32
	3.3	G ener	ration of integration channels	32
		3.3.1	Properties of the internal lines	33
		3.3.2	Construction of channels	34
		333	Selection of channels	35
4.	Im plem entation			37
	4.1	O rganization		38
		4.1.1	0 rganizing the 0 rganization -Amegic	38
		4.1.2	Decays-Decay_Handler	39
		4.1.3	Helicities and Polarisations	43
		4.1.4	Handling of processes	44
		4.1.5	Construction of topologies	48

	42	TheM	I odel	48
		421	The Flavour	49
		422	The Vertices	51
		423	The M odel	52
		42.4	The Spectrum	53
		425	The Couplings	54
	43	The A	am plitude	55
		431	Handling of Am plitudes	55
		432	Generating the Feynm an diagram s-Amplitude_Generator	59
		433	A single am plitude	62
		4.3.4	Calculating the Amplitude	72
		435	Calculating the Colorm atrix and the Coulomb factor	79
	4.4	The S	trings	84
		4.4.1	The Handling of strings	85
		4.4.2	Generating the strings	88
		4.4.3	Translating an equation into a binary string tree	91
		4.4.4	Casting strings into C++ les and the string libraries	96
		4.4.5	Strings and K abbala	99
	4.5	TheP	haæ Spaœ	100
		4.5.1	Organization: The Phase_Space_Handler	100
		4.5.2	Channels	103
		4.5.3	Generating channels	105
		4.5.4	Integration	109
		455	Building blocks for the channels, selectors	110
	4.6	Param	eters and Switches	112
	4.7	Helpe	rs	114
5.	Inst	allatio	on guide	118
	5.1	Instal	lation	118
	52	Runn	ing	118
6.	Sum	m ary		122
Α.	Sam	ple cł	nannel for the phase space integration	126
в.	A s	am ple	for a M athem atica interfaced function	128
с.	The	e loop	over loops technique	129
D.	Test	t R un	0 utput	129

Program Summary

Title of the program : AMEGIC++

Program obtainable from : authors upon request

Licensing provisions : none

Operating systems under which the program has been tested : UNIX, LINUX, VMS

Programming language : C++

Separate docum entation available : no

Nature of the physical problem :

The theoretical description of multiparticle production, even at the tree{level, su ers from two problems:

- 1. The rapidly increasing number of am plitudes forbids the traditional method of summing and squaring individual Feynman amplitudes by means of the completeness relations for spinors and polarization vectors. Instead, the helicity method is employed, translating the amplitudes into complex numbers. Still, the helicity amplitudes for a large number of diagrams have to be constructed which itself is a form idable task.
- 2. The complex structure of the high{dim ensional phase space imperatively requires using M onte C arb m ethods for its evaluation. Here, e ciency is of param ount importance, and one has to employ non{ at phase space m easures which must be optim ized for the process under consideration and its speci c singularity structure in phase space.

M ethod of solution:

A utom atic generation of helicity am plitudes related to Feynm an diagram s describing the process at the tree{level. Translating of the am plitudes into character strings and storing in libraries for increased e ciency during evaluation, i.e. phase space integration. Integration by m eans of multichannel m ethods with speci c channels which are constructed from the Feynm an diagram s.

1. Introduction

In the last decades, the observation of the production and subsequent decay of particles with rising masses [1] has lead to more and more far reaching qualitative and increasingly accurate quantitative know ledge about physics on subnuclear scales. As a consequence of this experimental success, the Standard Model [2] now adays is widely accepted as the best model for the scales under current consideration. However, many particle physicists believe that the Standard Model is only the elective realization of a theory valid up to much higher scales with even higher energetic particles participating. Consequently, for the experimental veri cation or falsi cation of such ideas, colliders with even higher energy release are currently being planned or constructed [3]. From a theoretical point of view the increasing energy release does not only allow the creation of these presumably unstable new particles, in fact, with rising energies the number of particles or jets produced increases drastically as well. Therefore, to analyse both the signal and the background related to processes, where new heavy particles are produced and decay into high energetic secondaries, the description of multi(particle states is mandatory.

However, such a description, even in the lowest accessible perturbative approximation is far from being trivial. Basically, two major problems emerge with an increasing number of nalstate particles:

- 1. The number of am plitudes related to a process with n outgoing particles rises approximately like a factorial in n. Thus, the traditional method of sum ming and squaring the am plitudes by use of the com pleteness relations leads to an exploding number of terms to be evaluated.
- 2. The phase space populated by the nal state particles is multidimensional, enforcing the use of M onte C arb m ethods for the integration. However, non { trivial experimental cuts on the phase space in connection with possibly wildly uctuating integrands due to nearly on {shell intermediate particles make sophisticated m apping and sampling m ethods indispensable.

These two problems necessitate the usage of computer programs. Examples are CompHep [4], FeynArts/FeynCalc [5], Grace [6], MADGRAPH [7], and O'Mega/WHIZARD [8].

The new program AMEGIC++ which will be presented in this publication, proposes a solution to both problem s discussed above. In its present form, AMEGIC++ autom atically creates all Feynm an diagram s related to user de ned processes in the fram ework of the Standard M odel. It should be emphasized here that a generalization to a large variety of otherm odels is straightforward and currently in preparation. How ever, the diagram s are then transformed into helicity am plitudes, yielding com plex num bers for every com bination of external momenta. These am plitudes, corresponding to a speci c process, are translated into character strings and stored in a library. For the phase space integration, the structure of the individual Feynm an diagram s is analysed and suitable integration channels are generated. A gain, they are translated into a library le. In this sense, AMEGIC++ is a program to generate highly e cient m atrix element generators for a large variety of processes. Of course, this allows for an easy in plementation into M onte C arlo event generators like APACIC++ (for a detailed description see [9]) which has been performed successfully.

The outline of this paper is as follows: First, the theoretical background for both the evaluation of am plitudes and the e cient M onte C arb generation of phase space points is reviewed, see Sec.2. Special emphasis is given to the helicity m ethod, Sec.2.1, and on some of the features of thism ethod as im plem ented w ithin AMEGIC++. A dditionally, the multi(channel algorithm for an e cient integration is discussed in some detail and both default channels available in AMEGIC++ and building blocks for process dependent channels are listed. At the end, som e higher order e ects w ill be discussed in Sec.2.3.

Then, large parts of the algorithm s involved are discussed, see Sec. 3. They can roughly be divided into three parts, namely into methods for the generation of diagram spresented in Sec. 3.1, for their translation into helicity amplitudes, cf. Sec. 3.2, and nally, into algorithm s for the construction of suitable integration channels, see Sec. 3.3.

In Sec. 4 the corresponding classes are listed and their interplay is described. This description is roughly split into the following parts:

First, Sec. 4.1 deals with the overhead, i.e. classes to drive AMEGIC++.

Then, in Sec. 4.2, the general set {up of m odels is discussed.

Sec. 4.3 describes the classes responsible for the construction of Feynm an diagram s, their translation into helicity am plitudes and their calculation.

In Sec. 4.4 the essential m ethods for the translation of the helicity am plitudes into character strings and their storage in corresponding library les will be highlighted.

Sec. 4.5 explains the construction of the phase space integrators, the channels, and how they are used during the integration.

In Sec. 4.6, the parameters and switches to be set by the user are explained and how they are read in.

Finally, in Sec. 4.7 som e m ore general helper classes are listed.

At the end an installation guide is given in Sec. 5 and a summary, Sec. 6 concludes this paper. Note that a Test Run Output can be found in Appendix D as well.

2. Theoretical background

Before we present the algorithms and their in plementation in AMEGIC++ in some detail, we will give a brief review of the underlying calculational methods. More speci cally, in Sec. 2.1 we will dwell on the method of helicity amplitudes as introduced in [10,11] and clarify our notation. Then, in Sec. 2.2, we will review the multi{channel methods [12] employed for the subsequent phase space integration of the matrix element.

2.1 H elicity am plitudes

The basic idea behind the method of helicity amplitudes is that for every combination of helicities and m om enta for the incom ing and outgoing particles any Feynm an am plitude (represented by propagators and vertices for the internal lines and spinors and polarization vectors for the external particles) is nothing else but a complex number. Once every such number is computed, all am plitudes for a process under consideration can be easily summed and the result can then be squared. Inclusion of colour factors etc. is straightforward and reduces in this fram ework to the multiplication of two vectors of complex numbers (for the amplitudes and their complex conjugated) with a colourm atrix in the middle. The question to be answered in this section is how we can extract the num erical value of the am plitudes as a function of the external momenta and helicities. In AMEGIC++, we follow quite an old approach to answer this question which has been proven to be adequate and successful in a large number of specic processes [11]¹. This approach relies on decomposition of the amplitude into scalar products of four{m on enta and into spinor products of the form $u(p_i; i)u(p_j; j)$, where the $p_{i;j}$ and i;j are momenta and helicities, respectively. K now ing the four{m om enta, the scalar products can be calculated easily, and for xed helicities, the spinor products can be computed as well. As we will see, the result for such a spinor product is a function of the components of the momenta involved. This allows for the translation of any Feynman amplitude into generic building blocks which can be evaluated num erically. In the following we will give a short introduction into the spinor techniques we use for this decom position and we will list the building blocks em ployed in AMEGIC++.

2.1.1 Spinors

A sit is well known, appropriate spinors u (p;) for ferm ions with m assm, m om entum

¹However, we want to point out that other approaches exist [13] which are based on the LSZ theorem and allow the direct recursive construction of matrix elements without taking the detour of Feynm an amplitudes. These approaches are currently under further investigation and discussion. Nevertheless, we are convinced that in the light of the need for e cient mappings of the phase space to speci c channels reproducing the singularity structure of the process under consideration, the construction of Feynm an amplitudes is still a sine qua non.

p and with de nite helicity obey D irac's equation of m otion (E .o.M .)

$$(p m)u(p;) = 0; (p + m)v(p;) = 0:$$
(2.1)

Note that since **p** is not necessarily herm itian, m does not need to be real, but in any case $p^2 = m^2$ is fulled. W ith $p^2 < 0$, m turns in aginary and one can identify the particle spinor with the positive in aginary eigenvalue m (Im (m) > 0). Additionally, the spinors should full

$$(1 \quad {}^{5}s)u(p;) = 0; (1 \quad {}^{5}s)v(p;) = 0$$
 (2.2)

with the polarization vector s obeying s p = 0 and s = 1.

To construct spinors satisfying both Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2), even for imaginary m asses, we start with m assless chiral spinors w, i.e. spinors satisfying

$$w(k_0;)w(k_0;) = \frac{1+5}{2}k_0;$$
 (2.3)

for arbitrary light{like k_0 . Starting from such a chiral spinor w (k_0 ;) with de nite chirality, spinors of opposite chirality w (k_0 ;) can be constructed via

$$w(k_0;) = k_1 w(k_0;)$$
 (2.4)

with the vectors $k_{0,1}$ satisfying ²

$$k_0^2 = 0$$
; k_0 $k = 0$; $k_1^2 = 1$: (2.5)

M assive spinors can then be expressed as

$$u(p;) = \frac{p+m}{2p-k}w(k_0;); v(p;) = \frac{p-m}{2p-k}w(k_0;)$$
 (2.6)

in terms of these chiral spinors. These relations hold also true for $p^2 < 0$ and imaginary m. For the construction of conjugate spinors we will abandon the proper de nition

$$u = u^{y 0}; v = v^{y 0};$$
 (2.7)

since this de nition does not lead to the E ${\rm o\,M}$.

u(p;)(p m) = 0; v(p;)(p + m) = 0 (2.8)

for spinors with imaginary masses. Instead we use Eqs. (2.8) and

 $u(p;)(1 {}^{5}s) = 0; v(p;)(1 {}^{5}s) = 0$ (2.9)

 $^{^{2}}$ N ote that we have some freedom in choosing the k{vectors which provides a valuable tool for checking the calculation.

to de ne conjugate spinors. W ith this de nition, and choosing the norm alization

$$u(p;)u(p;) = 2m; v(p;)v(p;) = 2m;$$
 (2.10)

the conjugate spinors are constructed as

$$u(p;) = w(k_0;) \frac{p+m}{2p-k}; v(p;) = w(k_0;) \frac{p-m}{2p-k}:$$
 (2.11)

They ful 11 the com pleteness relation

$$1 = \frac{x + u(p;)u(p;) + v(p;)v(p;)}{2m}; \qquad (2.12)$$

The last relation can now be used to decom pose the num erators of ferm ion propagators according to

$$\begin{array}{c} u(p_{1}; _{2}^{1}) & _{1}(p_{2} + _{2}) & _{3}u(p_{3}; _{3}) \\ ! & \frac{1}{2} \overset{4}{}^{X} & u(p_{1}; _{1}) & _{1}u(p_{2}; _{2})u(p_{2}; _{2}) & _{3}u(p_{3}; _{3}) & 1 + \frac{2}{m_{2}} \\ & + u(p_{1}; _{1}) & _{1}v(p_{2}; _{2})v(p_{2}; _{2}) & _{3}u(p_{3}; _{3}) & 1 & \frac{2}{m_{2}} \end{array} ;$$
 (2.13)

where $m_2^2 = p_2^2$ and the denote the (scalar or vector) couplings involved.

2.1.2 Polarization vectors

1. Massless bosons :

The next task on our list is to translate the polarization vectors (p;) of massless external spin{1 bosons with momentum p and helicity into suitable spinor products. M assive bosons will experience a di erent treatment, we will deal with them later. However, for massless bosons, the polarization vectors satisfy

In the axial gauge the polarization sum reads

^X (p;) (p;) =
$$g + \frac{qp + qp}{pq}$$
; (2.15)

where q is some arbitrary, light{like four vector not parallel to p. It can be shown that the spinor objects

$$p = \frac{1}{4p - q} u(q;) u(p;)$$
 (2.16)

satisfy the properties of Eqs. (2.14) and (2.15) and hence form an acceptable choice for the polarization vectors of massless external spin{1 bosons. From this identication, we obtain an additional constraint for q, namely that it should not be collinear to k_0 . Note, however, that the freedom in choosing q relects the freedom in choosing a gauge vector in the axial gauge. Since nal results should not depend on such a choice, we have another tool at hand to check our calculations.

2. Massive bosons:

For m assive bosons, the situation changes. First of all, there is another, longitudinal polarization state which satis as the same properties as the transversal states, Eq. (2.14). In contrast to the massless case, however, the spin sum changes and becomes

^X (p;) (p;) =
$$g + \frac{p p}{m^2}$$
; (2.17)

where m is the mass of the boson. W e introduce

$$a = u(r_2;) u(r_1;)$$
 (2.18)

with light like four vectors r_1 and r_2 satisfying

$$r_1^2 = r_2^2 = 0$$
 and $r_1 + r_2 = p$: (2.19)

Replacing the spin sum by an integration over the solid angle of r_1 in the rest fram e of p, we obtain

$$d a a = \frac{8 m^2}{3} g + \frac{p p}{m^2}$$
 (2.20)

which is of the desired form . Therefore, identifying

=) a and
$$\begin{array}{c} X \\ = , 0 \end{array}$$
 =) $\frac{3}{8 m^2} \begin{array}{c} Z \\ = & A \end{array}$ (2.21)

we will arrive at the correct form for the unpolarized cross section.

In other words, the polarization vector of a massive vector boson can be constructed in terms of spinors via a pseudo{decay of the boson into two massless ferm ions with coupling constant 1.

2.1.3 Building blocks

F inally, we will present the basic spinor products which serve as elementary building blocks for our am plitudes. We introduce the following short {hand notations :

pro jectors

$$P_{L,R} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{5}{5}; \qquad (2.22)$$

S {functions

$$S (+;p_1;p_2) = 2c_L \frac{k_0 \quad pk_1 \quad p \quad k_0 \quad pk_1 \quad p+i \quad k_0 k_1 p_1 p_2}{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(2.23)

with the symmetry properties

$$S(;p_1;p_2) = S(+;p_2;p_1)$$
 and $S(;p_1;p_2) = S(;p_2;p_1);$ (2.24)

norm alizations and m assterm s

$$_{i} = \frac{q}{2p_{i}} k \text{ and } _{i} = \frac{m_{i}}{m_{i}};$$
 (2.25)

where the signs denote particles and anti-particles.

The following spinor expressions are then the basic building blocks for helicity am plitudes within AMEGIC++ :

1. Y
$$(p_1; _1; p_2; _2; c_L; c_R) = u (p_1; _1) [c_L P_L + c_R P_R] u (p_2; _2);$$

2. X
$$(p_1; _1; p_2; p_3; _3; G_L; G_R) = u(p_1; _1) p_2 (G_L P_L + G_R P_R) u(p_3; _3);$$

3. Z (p_1 ; $_1$; p_2 ; $_2$; p_3 ; $_3$; p_4 ; $_4$; c_L^{12} ; c_R^{12} ; c_L^{234} ; c_R^{34}) = u (p_1 ; $_1$) [$c_L^{12}P_L + c_R^{12}P_R$]u (p_2 ; $_2$)u (p_3 ; $_3$) [$c_L^{34}P_L + c_R^{34}P_R$]u (p_4 ; $_4$).

Their explicit form can be found in Tabs. 1, 2, and 3. However, let us note that, in

1 2	$Y (p_1; _1; p_2; _2; C_L; C_R)$	1 2	$Y (p_1; _1; p_2; _2; c_L; c_R)$
+ +	$C_{R 1 2} + C_{L 2 1}$	+	c _L S (+ ;p ₁ ;p ₂)

Table 1: Y (functions for di erent helicity combinations ($_1$; $_2$). The remaining Y (functions can be obtained by exchanging L R and + .

1 3	$X (p_1; _1; p_2; p_3; _3; c_L; c_R)$
++	$(1_{2} + 2_{1})(2_{3}G_{R} + 3_{2}G_{L}) + G_{R}S(+;p_{1};p_{2})S(;p_{2};p_{3})$
+	$c_{L} (1_{2} + 2_{1}) S (+; p_{2}; p_{3}) + (c_{L} 2_{3} + c_{R} 3_{2}) S (+; p_{1}; p_{2})$

Table 2: X -functions for di erent helicity combinations ($_1$; $_3$). The remaining X { functions can be obtained by exchanging L R and + .

1 2 3 4	Z (p_1 ; 1; p_2 ; 2; p_3 ; 3; p_4 ; 4; c_L^{12} ; c_R^{12} ; c_L^{34} ; c_R^{34})
+ + + +	$2 [S (+; p_3; p_1)S (; p_2; p_4)c_R^{12}c_R^{34} + 1_{1234}c_L^{12}c_R^{34} + 3_{412}c_R^{12}c_L^{34}]$
+ + +	$2 \ _{2}c_{R}^{12} \ [S (+;p_{1};p_{4}) \ _{3}c_{L}^{34} + S (+;p_{1};p_{3}) \ _{4}c_{R}^{34}]$
+ + +	$2 \ _{1}c_{R}^{12}$ [S (;p_{3};p_{2}) $_{4}c_{L}^{34}q$ + S (;p_{4};p_{2}) $_{3}c_{R}^{34}$]
+ +	$2 [S (+; p_4; p_1)S (; p_2; p_3)c_R^{12}c_L^{34} + 1_{1234}c_L^{12}c_L^{34} + 3_{412}c_R^{12}c_R^{34}]$
+ ++	$2_{4}c_{R}^{34}$ [S (+ ;p ₁ ;p ₃) $_{2}c_{R}^{12}$ + S (+ ;p ₂ ;p ₃) $_{1}c_{L}^{12}$]
+ +	0
+ +	$2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 4 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1^{2} c_{L}^{34} + 2 & 3 & 1 & 4 \\ c_{R}^{12} c_{R}^{34} & 1 & 3 & 2 & 4 \\ c_{L}^{12} c_{R}^{34} & 2 & 4 & 1 & 3 \\ c_{R}^{12} c_{L}^{34} \end{bmatrix}$
+	$2_{3}c_{L}^{34}$ [S (+ ;p ₄ ;p ₂) $_{1}c_{L}^{12}$ + S (+ ;p ₁ ;p ₄) $_{2}c_{R}^{12}$]

Table 3: Z {functions for di erent helicity combinations ($_1$; $_2$; $_3$; $_4$). The remaining Z {functions can be obtained by exchanging L \$ R and + \$.

general, products like

$$u(p_1) u(p_2)u(p_3) u(p_4) g \frac{PP}{M^2}$$
 (2.26)

(stem m ing from the exchange of a massive boson with mass M and m om entum P between the two ferm ion lines) cannot be represented in terms of one elementary Z (function per helicity combination. Instead, some composite functions, consisting of Z (and X (functions, become necessary.

Sim ilarly, the propagation of longitudinal modes in o {shell vector bosons prevents us from employing polarization vectors to replace the numerators of the propagators. Therefore, structures with three or more bosons can not be \cut open" and suitable building blocks need to be constructed for any such structure. Within AMEGIC++, building blocks for the structures depicted in Figs. 1, 2, and 3 are available at the moment, somewhat limiting the maxim alnum ber of vector boson lines. Note that since vertices involving only scalar bosons are proportional to scalars, there is no need to de ne speci c three or four scalar vertices. Similarly, scalar propagators can easily be cut open and even more complicated structures can be decomposed into easier ones as depicted in Fig. 4. Here, we feel, a further clari cation is in order. As the observant readerm ight have noticed, we om itted vertices with four vector bosons in our pictorial presentation of the building blocks. Instead, we chose to disentan-



F igure 1: Three boson vertices available within AMEGIC++. Note that all boson lines might be on { or o {shell. Scalar and vector bosons are depicted as dotted and wavy lines, respectively, whereas a gluon is marked by a curly line.

gle the gauge structure of such vertices and m ap them piecew ise on corresponding sequences of two three {boson vertices as depicted in the upper left diagram of Fig. 2.

2.2 Phase space integration

Now we are in the position to discuss the issue of integrating the amplitudes. Due to the high dimensionality of the phase space (3n 4 for n outgoing particles) and because of possible non {trivial cuts on the phase space relecting the experimental situation, we have to abandon the idea of exact analytical integration. Instead we will employ M onte C arb m ethods, i.e. we will sam ple the matrix element, here denoted with f(x), over the allowed phase space. Its points x are then distributed random ly according to the probability distribution g(x). Denoing the weight

$$w(x) = \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$$
(2.27)

we can transform the true integral into a M onte C arlo estim ate E (f) via

$$Z \qquad Z \qquad dx f(x) = dx g(x)w(x) = hw(x)i_g = E(f): \qquad (2.28)$$



F igure 2: Four boson vertices available within AMEGIC++. Note that all boson lines might be on{ or o {shell. Scalar and vector bosons are depicted as dotted and wavy lines, respectively, whereas a gluon is marked by a curly line.



Figure 3: Five gluon vertex available within AMEGIC++. Note that all boson lines might be on { or o {shell.

To estim ate the error of this estim ate E (f), we need the square root of the variance V (f) given by

$$V(g) = \frac{1}{N} \int_{W}^{D} u^{2}(x) \int_{g}^{E} hw(x) i_{g}^{2} = \frac{1}{N} \int_{W}^{h} u(g) E^{2}(f) i; \qquad (2.29)$$



F igure 4: Scalar propagators can be cut easily. Scalar and vector bosons are depicted as dotted and wavy lines, respectively.

where we have identied

$$W(g) = w^{2}(x)^{E}_{g}$$
: (2.30)

The name of the game is now to nd a g that e ciently minimizes V (f). This might become quite a tricky business, since usually, the amplitude squared f (x) is wildly uctuating due to resonant structures in propagators and { in the M onte C arlo sense { singular behavior at some edges of the allowed phase space. As illustrative examples, consider the phase space for the processes

$$e^+e ! W^+W ! 4f and e^+e ! qqg (2.31)$$

which su er from the resonant W {propagators or from the soft and collinear divergencies related to the gluon emission, respectively. To solve this problem of mapping the phase space e ciently to suitable probability distributions, AMEGIC++ employs the multi{channel method described for instance in [12]. The cornerstone of this method is the know ledge about the singularity structure of individual processes and the possibility of constructing an e cient mapping as a sum of speci c channels. Each of these channels is constructed to cover the full phase space under consideration with special emphasis, i.e. higher probability density, on one of the singular regions. In the following we will brie y review this method and list the ready{to{use standard channels in AMEGIC++ plus the building blocks for the constructed channels 3 .

2.2.1 M ulti{channelm ethod

As indicated above, the basic idea of the multi{channelmethod is to introduce different mappings of the phase space, i.e. dierent distributions of the x. Each of these channels $g_i(x)$ with i = 1; :::; is nonnegative over the full phase space and normalized to unity,

Ζ

$$dx g_i(x) = 1$$
: (2.32)

 $^{^{3}}$ The building blocks used in AMEGIC++ were used already in [14]. Note that sim ilar approaches were discussed in the literature, for example see [15] and [16].

The various g_i contribute according to so{called a prioriweights i = 0 which again are normalized to unity, i.e.

$$X^{n}$$

 $i = 1$: (2.33)
 $i = 1$

Thus, the multi{channel mapping g is

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{i=1}^{X^{n}} ig_{i}(\mathbf{x}) :$$
 (2.34)

Since in Eq. (2.29) E (f) = hw (x)i is independent of g(x), we see in mediately that we need to minim ize hw $(x)^2 i_g$ with respect to the i in order to minim ize our error estimate. It is well known that the overall variance is minimized by distributing it evenly over the channels used. In practice, the essential part of the variance per channel can be easily estimated during the sam pling procedure via

$$W_{i}(_{i}) = \frac{Z}{dx} g_{i}(x) w^{2}(x) = \frac{g_{i}(x)}{g(x)} w^{2}(x) : \qquad (2.35)$$

O byiously, $_{i}$ should increase, i.e. the channel i should contribute m ore, if its variance is large, i.e. if W $_{i}$ is large. In other words, the m ore wildly uctuating a channel is, the m ore M onte{C arb points using this channel should be produced to sm ooth out the uctuations of this particular channel and hence of the overall result. This leads to an iterative improvement of the $_{i}$ with help of the W $_{i}$.

In AMEGIC++, we therefore iterate an optim ization procedure of the $_i$ with a limited, user{de ned number of steps. In each optim ization step, i.e. after a predeterm ined (and again user{de ned) number of M onte{C arb points, the a priori weights are reshu ed according to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{new} \sum_{i=1}^{old} W_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{old} (2.36)$$

A gain, they are normalized in order to cope with the constraint that they add up to unity. Note that should obey 0 < < 1. In AMEGIC++ its default value is 1=2. The optim alset of weights yielding the smallest overall variance during this procedure is stored and used afterwards, i.e. in the full evaluation of the cross section. Note, how ever, that the results accumulated during the optimization procedure are added to the nalestimate.

In the following sections we are going to introduce the channels available within AMEGIC++. They can be roughly divided into two sets, one set of default channels, where the only process dependence manifests itself in the number of momenta produced, the other set consisting of the channels which are constructed by exploring the phase space structure of speci c diagram s.

2.2.2 D efault channels : RAMBO and SARGE

W e w ill start w ith a brief description of the default channels w ithin AMEGIC++, nam ely RAMBO and SARGE.For a m ore detailed discussion of these two integrators we refer to the concise and clear original publications [17,18].

1. RAMBO:

E sentially, RAMBO produces any number n of uniform ly distributed massless or massive momenta in their center{of{mass frame, i.e.a} at n{particle phase space. Massless momenta are generated in two major steps :

- (a) Energies and solid angles forn \unrestricted" m om enta are produced independently, with a at distribution of the angles in \cos , the polar angle, and , the azim uthal angle. The energies E_i are chosen according to $E_i \exp(E_i)$ with no constraints whatsoever.
- (b) The n \unrestricted" m om enta are rescaled in order to obey the conservation of total four{m om entum. This changes both the energies and angles of the unrestricted m om enta when they are m apped to the \restricted" m om enta.

For massive momenta another step is now added

- (a) The massless momenta are rescaled once more, in order to bring them onto their mass{shell. In addition, this induces an extra non{trivial weight (i.e. depending on the actual set of vectors), com pensating for the rescaling of the momenta.
- 3. SARGE:

In contrast, SARGE generates n m assless m om enta distributed according to the antenna pole structure

$$\frac{1}{(p_1p_2)(p_2p_3):::(p_{n-1}p_n)(p_np_1)}:$$
 (2.37)

In principle, the momenta are produced again in two major steps :

(a) Two massless momenta q₁ and q_n are produced in the cm. frame of the process. Starting from these two momenta the others are produced recursively via \basic antennae" dA^k_{ij} according to dA²_{1m}dA³_{2m} :::dA^{n 1}_{n 2m}. In the expression for the individual dA^k_{ij}, the lower indices i and j denote the two initial momenta and k denotes the generated momentum, respectively. The antennae density is given as

$$dA_{i;j}^{k} = d^{4}k \quad (k^{2}) \quad (k_{0}) \frac{1}{(p_{i}k)(p_{j}k)}g \quad \frac{p_{i}k}{p_{i}p_{j}}g \quad \frac{p_{j}k}{p_{i}p_{j}} \qquad (2.38)$$

with

$$g() = \frac{1}{2 \log_{min}} (\prod_{min}^{1}) (\prod_{min}^{n}) : \qquad (2.39)$$

It should be noted that m_{in} can be related to some physical cuts in relative angles of the momenta and transverse momenta.

The algorithm then is to generate k_0 and \cos (the angle between k and one of the two initialm omenta) in the cm. frame of the initialm omenta according to dA, distribute the polar angle uniform ly and boost the three{ m om entum system back to the frame where the initial m omenta were given. O by jourly, this procedure does not satisfy overall four{m om entum conservation, thus the next step is m andatory:

(b) Then \unrestricted" m om enta q_i are boosted and rescaled in order to obey the conservation of total four{m om entum. A gain, this changes both the energies and angles of the unrestricted m om enta when they are m apped on the \restricted" m om enta, but, of course, it leaves the scalar products related to the single antennae invariant.

Let us note in passing that the algorithm outlined above constitutes only the basic version of SARGE, in provem ents outlined by the authors of this algorithm have been in plem ented into AMEGIC++.

2.2.3 Building blocks for additional channels

In addition to the two default channels presented in Sec. 2.2.2, AMEGIC++ analyses the phase space structure related to the process and constructs autom atically one channel corresponding to every individual Feynm an diagram. In Tab. 4 we give som e insight into the building blocks, propagators and decays, of these channels.

2.3 Higher{order e ects

Som e higher{order e ects have been included in AMEGIC++ in order to increase the precision of som e of the processes to be calculated. The rst example is the consideration of C oulom b e ects for two charged bosons which have been produced near their m ass-shell, Sec. 2.3.1. On the other hand higher{order e ects can be included via the running widths of particles, see Sec. 2.3.2.

2.3.1 Coulom b corrections

In principle, C oulom b corrections contribute to all processes with electrically charged particles. They are particularly important, if two particles are created close to each other and move with a low relative speed. Then the long { range interactions alter the corresponding cross section by a possibly sizeable factor 1=v with v the relative velocity.

1. Propagators :

P ropagator	D istribution	W eight
m assless	simple pole 1=s with exponent < 1	Smax Smin S
m assive	Breit {Wigner with mass M, width	$\frac{1}{(s M^2)^2 + M^2^2}$

2. Decays :

D ecay	Distribution P ! pi	W eight
isotropic 2 {body	at, p _i have m asses	$\frac{2P^2}{(P^2;p_1^2;p_2^2)}$
t-channel	$q_1 + q_2 ! p_1 + p_2, p_{1;2} mas-sive,cos between q and p_1is distributed like 1=(a cos) in the rest frame(a depends on mass of thepropagator).$	
anisotropic 2 {body	\cos between P and p in the rest frame of P is dis- tributed like 1= \cos , $p_{1,2}$ are massive	$ \frac{4P^{2}}{(P^{2};p_{1}^{2};p_{2}^{2})} \frac{1}{\cos} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{(\cos^{1} \max \cos^{1} \min)} $
isotropic 3{body	at, only p_2 is massive	$\frac{4}{\frac{2}{2P^{\frac{p}{2}} + p_{2}^{2}} + p_{2}^{2} \log \frac{p_{2}^{2}}{P^{\frac{2}{2}}}}$

Table 4: Various decays and propagators used within AMEGIC++ for the creation of additional channels. Here, $(s;s_1;s_2) = (s \ s1 \ s2)^2 \ 4s_1s_2$:

W ithin AMEGIC++, such corrections are included for the production of W (bosons as in [19] with a factor

$$C_{\text{coul}}^{WW} = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{2}{2} \arctan \frac{j(_{M} +)j^{2} - 2}{2 \operatorname{Im}(_{M})} \right]^{\#}$$
 (2.40)

with

$$M = p_{W}^{2} \qquad M_{W}^{2};$$

$$M = \frac{s \quad 4M_{W}^{2} + 4i_{W} M_{W}}{s};$$

$$= \frac{M_{+}^{2} - M_{-}^{2}}{\frac{1}{s} - \frac{q}{s}};$$

= $\frac{1}{s} = \frac{q}{s} \frac{(s - (M_{+} - M_{-})^{2})[s - (M_{+} + M_{-})^{2}]}{(s - (M_{+} - M_{-})^{2})[s - (M_{+} + M_{-})^{2}]};$ (2.41)

This factor just multiplies the corresponding amplitudes with a W $^+$ W {pair for the higher{order correction, i.e. e ectively a one plus this factor is multiplied. The amplitudes including the photon are depicted in Fig. 5, where we have neglected the decay of the W {pair.



F igure 5: D iagram s related to the C oulom b correction as in plem ented within AMEGIC++.

2.3.2 Running m asses and w idths

Possible schemes for the running of quark masses and boson masses and widths, respectively, have been in plemented in AMEGIC++. First of all, the LO running quark masses are related to the pole mass $m_{pol} = m \ (m)$ via the well-known formula:

m () = m (m)
$$\frac{(S ())^{\# (0)} = 2^{(0)}}{(S (m))}$$
;
 $\frac{(0)}{m} = 6C_F$; (2.42)

Here, the running of the strong coupling $_{\rm S}$ is used. Note that a leading order running for both, the strong and the electroweak coupling $_{\rm QED}$ is in plan ented as well. The second part belongs to the running of the boson widths. A coordingly, a rst ansatz uses xed m asses and widths, whereas the other two try some sophisticated schemes for the running and appropriate change of these parameters, see Tab. 5. For further details and a comparison between the advantages and disadvantage of these schemes we refer to the literature [20].

Schem e	m (s)	(s)
0	m _{pole}	pole
1	$\frac{m_{pole}^2}{m_{pole}^2}$	$\frac{m_{pole pole}}{m_{pole pole}^2}$
2	m _{pole}	$\frac{p_{-}}{m_{pole}}$

Table 5: Running widths and accordingly modulated masses for the electroweak gauge bosons within the schemes provided by AMEGIC++.

3. A lgorithm s

In this section we describe in some detail the main algorithms implemented in AMEGIC++. We focus on the algorithms employed to generate Feynman diagrams, Sec. 3.1, to translate them into helicity amplitudes, Sec. 3.2, and, nally, on algorithms employed for the construction of suitable channels for the phase space integration, Sec. 3.3.

3.1 G eneration of diagram s

Let us start with the generation of Feynm an diagram s for a given process. Basically, within AMEGIC++, this proceeds in four steps, namely

- 1. the creation of empty topologies,
- 2. setting the endpoints (incom ing and outgoing particles),
- 3. the determ ination of suitable interm ediate propagators, and, nally
- 4. the elim ination of possibly occurring identical diagram s.

In the following, we will discuss these steps in more detail.

3.1.1 C reation of empty topologies

The topologies which are created and then led by AMEGIC++ during the generation of Feynm an am plitudes, consist of binary trees of linked knots, called Points. Each of these knots splits into two branches and { correspondingly { two new knots. Therefore, within each point there exist links to a left and a right point. Consequently, knots which are not splitting any more, point to empty right and left knots. Additional information within a point consists of the avour of the incoming line and som e direction indicated by b = 1, depending on whether the line is taken as incom ing or outgoing.



Let us note that within the Standard M odel and its most popular extensions, interactions between particles are described by means of vertices with three and four external legs. In all interesting cases we can understand any four{vertex as two iterated three {vertices with a shrunk internal line. Therefore it is su cient to use only the binary points for the construction of our topologies.

Consider now processes with N_{in} incoming and N_{out} outgoing particles, respectively. Clearly, starting from a single initial line l_1 , we need to construct topologies with N_{leg} = N_{in} + N_{out} 1 additional legs. This can be done recursively, employing all topologies with n < N_{leg}. The idea here is to split the initial line l_1 into a left and a right branch. The left line l_1 then is replaced with all topologies with l_1 ! n legs, and the right line l_r with all topologies with l_r ! N_{leg} n legs. G raphically, this can be understood as depicted in Fig. 6. W ithin AMEGIC++ there is a clear distinction



F igure 6: Recursive structure for the construction of topologies.

of left and right legs due to the internal structure of physical vertices which will be mapped onto the blank topologies in later steps. This is why the sum in Fig. 6 extends to N_{leg} 1 instead of only half of it.

3.1.2 Setting the endpoints

The next step is to set the endpoints, i.e. to distribute the $n = N_{in} + N_{out}$ incoming and outgoing particles over the external legs of the corresponding topologies. A crucial role plays the way how the vertices are set up internally, and how the diagram s will be m apped on the helicity am plitudes. In fact, this allow s us to de ne a num ber of criteria the endpoints have to m set. F inst of all, the rst incom ing particle is set on position 1, i.e. it constitutes the \trunk" of the topology. A ll other particles are then permuted over positions 2 to n.



However, the set of permutations leading to accessible Feynman diagrams is limited by the intrinsic ordering of incoming and outgoing lines in AMEGIC++, see Tab. 6. These limitations ⁴ are rejected by the following constraints to be applied to the particles on positions 2 and n :

- 1. If the particle on position 1 is an incoming quark q_{in} (lepton of the kth generation l_{in}^k) the particle on position n has to be either an incoming anti-quark q_{in} $\binom{l^k}{l_{in}}$ or an outgoing quark q_{out} $\binom{l^k}{l_{out}}$.
- 2. If the particle on position 1 is an incoming anti-quark q_{in} (anti-lepton of the kth generation l_{in}^k) the particle on position 2 has to be either an incoming quark q_{but} (l_{but}^k) or an outgoing anti-quark q_{in} (l_{in}^k).

These two conditions relect the fact that any incoming fermion on position 1 leads to another fermion (incoming or outgoing) and one boson. Looking up the ordering of particle types in the vertices (as specified in Tab. 6), it is obvious that spinor lines stretch from the incoming particle in [0] to the outgoing particle positioned on the right leg in [2], whereas anti-spinor lines stretch from in [0] to in [1]. Thus, in addition with the following algorithms to nd intermediate lines (as applied to the boson line attached to the fermion on position 1), the two conditions above guarantee that the existence of a rest fermion {fermion {boson vertex is not ruled out a priori.

For further re nement, there is some bookkeeping of incoming and outgoing ferm ions, represented by a variable q_{sum} for the quarks and similarly for each lepton

⁴N ote that at the m om ent, Standard M odelprocesses only are available within AMEGIC++. H ow ever, the inclusion of som e popular extensions of the Standard M odel into AMEGIC++ is under way. For these, conserved baryon and lepton generation {num ber will also be assumed at the beginning.

generation. A fler each position of the external legs is equipped with a particle, q_{sum} is calculated for each permutation according to

 q_{sum} ! $(q_{sum} + 1; if particle = q_{in} \text{ or } q_{but})$ q_{sum} 1; if particle = q_{but} or q_{in} :

Permutations under consideration are thrown away, if

- 1. q_{sum} ever exceeds 0 when a quark sits on position 1, and position n is occupied by either an incom ing anti-quark or an outgoing quark, or if
- 2. q_{sum} ever drops below 0, if an anti-quark sits on position 1 and position 2 is occupied by either an incom ing quark or an outgoing anti-quark.

The same conditions apply generationwise for the leptons. W henever a permutation of the external particles meets the requirements outlined above, the endpoints are set accordingly, i.e. they are supplied with the position numbers of the species permutation under consideration.

Interm ediate points receive increasing num bers larger than 99 to distinguish them from endpoints later on. This procedure of giving num bers to the individual points is realized recursively, using the following steps:

- 1. Start from point 1. Set as its number the number of the rst particle.
- 2. Go to the left point. If it is an endpoint (i.e. with empty left and right links), set the next position of the permutation sequence as its number and proceed to 3. If it is not an endpoint, supply it with an internal number and increment the corresponding counter. Repeat this step.
- 3. Go back one step and then to the right point. Again, if it is an endpoint, set the corresponding number of the permutation, and repeat step 3. In the complem entary case, supply it with an internal number and go to step 2. If there is no right point left, the topology is equipped with all endpoints set.

Note that in this procedure, the position numbers of the permutation are synonymous for the corresponding particle which consists at this stage of a avour and the ag b = 1, where the two signs depend on whether the particle is outgoing or incoming.

To keep track of additional m inus signs due to the exchange of two identical ferm ions, the original numbers of the particles are compared with their permuted numbers. W henever the ordering of the original and the permuted numbers of two identical ferm ions does not coincide, the overall sign of the speci c combination of endpoints is multiplied by 1.

3.1.3 Finding interm ediate lines

Having at hand such a topology with endpoints set and internal numbers for the propagators, the aim is now to nd suitable interm ediate lines connecting the external points of this speci c topology. If any set of interm ediate lines is found, a Feynm an diagram has been successfully constructed, and if not, the topology can be neglected. A gain, the determ ination of the internal lines proceeds recursively along the follow ing steps:

- 1. Start from point P with a given avour. Check whether either the left or the right or both links are not yet equipped with a avour. If this is the case, test all available vertices for a t to the known avours of P and its links. For every t copy the topology with this new vertex set. If both avours were already set, go to the next point and start again.
- 2. If the left link was not yet equipped with a avour, repeat step $1 \text{ with P } ! P_{\text{left}}$.
- 3. If the right link was not yet equipped with a avour, repeat step 1 with P $\,!\,$ $P_{\rm right}.$

We will now discuss in some detail, how vertices are tested for a t into the topology. For this it is indispensable to explain brie y, how vertices are de ned within AMEGIC++. For simplicity we will display only vertices for two ferm ions and one boson, $f_1 ! f_2 b$ plus their \barred" combinations. Note, however that the same transform ations apply also for bosons.

Basically, within AMEGIC++ there are six di erent ways to group these three avours as incoming or outgoing lines, possible double countings due to identical avours will be eliminated during the initialization of the vertices. In general, during the matching of the vertices onto the topologies, positions in [0], in [1], and in [2] will be mapped onto a point, its left, and its right link, respectively. As can be seen in Tab. 6, the fermions are ordered in a speci c way, namely the outgoing fermions on position in [2] and outgoing anti-fermions on position in [1].

The test of the vertices for a tinto a given topology now proceeds on three levels:

1. The avours and b- ags of the point under consideration are determ ined. For sim plicity, let us introduce fl[0], fl[1], and fl[2] and sim ilarly b[0], b[1], and b[2] for the avours and b{ ags (b = 1 for incoming and outgoing particles) related to the points P, P_{left}, and P_{right}, respectively. Then the follow ing adjustments are made (to allow a matching on the vertices):

A priori, if points P_{left} or P_{right} are not yet equipped with a avour, i.e. if they are propagators, their respective b's will be set to 0.



Table 6: Vertices within AMEGIC++. Note (1) the similarity with the point structure encountered before and (2) the speci c ordering of ferm ions and anti-ferm ions.

fl[0] is a boson : If b[1] = 1 (b[2] = 1), then fl[1]! fl[1] (fl[2]!
fl[2]). If fl[1] or fl[2] are not known yet, b[1] = -1 or b[2] = -1.
fl[0] is an anti-ferm ion : If b[0] b[1] = 1, then fl[1]! fl[1]. If fl[1]
is not known yet, then b[1] = b[0].
fl[0] is a ferm ion : If b[0] b[2] = 1, then fl[2]! fl[2]. If fl[2] is not

known yet, then b[2] = b[0].
2. Now every vertex available is tested against the { modi ed { avours of the

- specic point, i.e. P, P_{left} , and P_{right} . Any vertex v passing this test eventually denes the avours of internal lines which then will have to t them selves. The corresponding test is described in the next step.
- 3. Let us describe this test for avour fl[1] related to the point $P^{0} = P_{\text{left}}$, c.f. Fig. 7. If both its left and its right link (denoted by P_{left}^{0} and P_{right}^{0}) are endpoints, all available vertices are tested against them after the following transform ations:

If P^0 denotes a boson, the avours of P_{left}^0 and P_{right}^0 will be treated as barred and if the corresponding particles are incoming (b = 1).

If P^0 is an anti-ferm ion and if $b^0[0]$ b[1] = 1, then the avour of P_{left}^0 will be regarded as barred.

If P^0 is a ferm ion and if $b^0[0]_{v^0}$ b[2] = 1, then the avour of P^0_{right} is barred.

If now the modi ed avours of any vertex v^0 t to the avours of the points P⁰, P⁰_{left}, and P⁰_{right} then this vertex makes an acceptable choice. If any such vertex is found, the avour of P⁰ has passed the test.

O fcourse, if either of the two points P_{left}^{0} and P_{right}^{0} is not an endpoint, the test described above is unnecessary.



Figure 7: Example topology for the mapping procedure.

3.1.4 Elim inating identical diagram s

Unfortunately, the algorithms described in the preceding sections might produce identical diagrams, mainly because there is no really sophisticated treatment of identical particles and topologies. Within AMEGIC++ therefore, the cure to potential double counting by identical diagrams is explicit comparison of each pair of diagrams. This again proceeds recursively by following the point lists of both diagrams under test with the following algorithm

- 1. If both points p_1 and p_2 to be compared do not have the same number of o springs or have di erent avour, the recursion term inates.
- 2. The test of step 1 is repeated with p_1 ! left and p_2 ! left, and possibly after passing with p_1 ! right and p_2 ! right.

- 3. If the test of step 2 yields a negative result, then there is still the possibility of double counting due to identical particles. To catch this the avours of p_{1,2} ! fleft, rightg are checked crosswise. If this test is passed, i.e. if the avours of p₁ ! right and p₂ ! left and of p₁ ! left and p₂ ! right mutually agree, step 1 is repeated with both combinations.
- 4. Finally, if the recursion does not term inate before all points were tested, the diagram s are identical and the second one will be discarded.

3.2 Translation into helicity am plitudes

In this section, we will turn our focus on the translation of the generated Feynm an diagram s into corresponding helicity am plitudes and on some item s concerning their evaluation once a set of external m om enta is given. The following issues will be discussed in some detail:

- 1. The treatment of the momentum ow along the spinor lines,
- 2. the piecew ise conversion of the diagram s into corresponding Z {functions,
- 3. and the evaluation of the helicity am plitudes.

3.2.1 Spinor direction and m om entum ow

Having generated Feynm an diagram sincluding relative factors of 1 for the exchange of two identical ferm ions, we are now in the position to construct the corresponding helicity amplitudes. However, there's a caveat here, since another source of relative phases of 1 has not been discussed yet. These additional factors stem from a m ism atch of m om entum and spinor ow along a line. To illustrate this point, consider the diagram s exhibited in Fig. 8. O byiously, the sign of the momentum of each ferm ion line, either external or intermediate, is de ned with respect to the spin direction. This results for instance in spinors v(p_5) for the incoming positron in the graphs depicted in Fig. 8.

Coming back to the construction of Feynman amplitudes described above, the avours of the point lists are given as in Fig. 9. For all but the leftmost ferm ion lines, a general rule can be formulated as follows:

If a point p has an incoming boson as avour, and ifp! left is an interm ediate ferm ion line (with number 100 or larger), then the overall sign of the diagram is multiplied by 1.

This rule relies only on the fact that for incoming boson lines, there is a strict ordering of outgoing ferm ion lines with respect to particles/anti-particles. This ordering im - mediately allows to extract information about the alignment of spin and momentum ow along the lines.



Figure 8: Example graphs for the process e $(p_0)e^+$ (p_5) ! e $(p_2)e^+$ (p_4) (p_1) (p_3) and the spinorial part of the amplitudes. C learly, there is a difference in the sign of both diagrams due to the misalignment of momentum and spin { ow in the left diagram. Note that t{channel like topologies emerging from exchanging incoming e⁺ and outgoing e do not alter the overall signs of the propagators. Instead, they merely lead to a replacement p_2 \$ p_5 .

However, the rule above is of som ewhat limited use only, since apparently the leftm ost ferm ion line, i.e. the one starting at the point p[0] is not dealt with. A c-cording to the algorithm s employed for the construction of the am plitudes, the rst point of this line, p[0], is occupied by an incom ing particle.

The leftm ost ferm ion line, i.e. the line starting at the starting interpretent model of sign, if it starts with an incoming particle represented by an u{spinor or with an outgoing anti-particle represented by a v{spinor. Then the corresponding endpoint of the spinor line has to be found. This endpoint is then represented by either an u or a v{spinor, i.e. it is either an outgoing particle or an incoming anti-particle.

3.2.2 Finding the appropriate Z { functions

Now we are in the position to present the algorithms leading nally to the helicity amplitudes, or in other words, to the appropriate products of Z {functions. This proceeds in two steps:

1. Projecting the point list onto the larger building blocks, i.e. pieces with a



F igure 9: A ssignments for the point lists corresponding to the graphs in Fig.8. Note that on the level of point lists, the t{channel topologies dier only in their endpoints.

num ber of interm ediate vector and scalar bosons, and

2. ipping the arguments of the emerging Z {functions, such that the particles associated with a \barred" spinor are always followed by particles with \unbarred" spinors. This point applies for the spinors constituting the polarization vectors of spin{1 bosons as well.

W e will now elucidate the two steps above. For a better understanding of the projection algorithm m apping the point lists onto helicity am plitudes we recallbrie y the structure of the basic building blocks available within AMEGIC++. Schem atically, they can be represented like in the gure on the right. They all consist of a num ber of boson propagators { the wiggly lines { between ferm ion propagators or external spinors, related to ferm ions or their polarization vectors, represented by the arrowed straight lines. The blob in the middle then represents all possible Lorentz { structures connecting the boson propagators.



These building blocks naturally en erge, because interm ediate ferm ion lines can be cut by use of the completeness relation, cf. Eq. (2.12), while vector boson lines cannot be cut in such a way. These considerations lead straightforward to the algorithm employed in the mapping procedure sketched below :

- 1. Start with a point p. Check, if the particle related to this point is either an external or an interm ediate ferm ion (with p! left a boson and p! right a ferm ion), an external or interm ediate anti-ferm ion (with p! left an antiferm ion and p! right a boson) or an external boson. This condition ensures that
 - (a) a reasonable translation is possible at all, where the ordering of the connected points for incom ing ferm ions or anti-ferm ions is just another check, and that
 - (b) interm ediate boson lines are not double counted.

If the conditions above are met, the boson is selected and the steps 2 and 3 are executed.

- 2. Starting from the boson, the links of the subsequent points are followed recursively along possibly occurring further bosons. In every boson line branching into two ferm ions this recursion term inates. By this procedure, the number of bosons, their type and their topological connections are explored. These characteristics determ ine which of the building blocks exhibited in Figs. 1, 2, and 3 are to be used.
- 3. Having determ ined the structure of the building block, the task left for the translation of this particular piece is to ll in the corresponding argum ents and couplings.
- 4. The procedure is repeated with the points p! left and p! right.

Unfortunately, this procedure does not care about any ordering of spinors coming into play either via external particles or their polarizations or by using the completeness relation on the intermediate ferm ion lines. Let us illustrate this by considering the amplitude depicted in Fig. 10

W e see in m ediately that the particle indices occur in ordered pairs of the form fu; ug. However, within AMEGIC++, this ordering of the spinor pieces against the spin ow is m andatory but is not necessarily realized in m ediately after the construction of the helicity am plitudes. Hence, after being constructed, the Z {functions are searched for external ferm ions or anti-ferm ions not obeying the ordering u; u. If found, the indices within the corresponding pair are switched. If the partner{index denotes a propagator, the index occurs twice due to the com pleteness relation. Consequently it has to appear exactly once on the rst and on the second position of such a pair. This is taken care of by switching the sequence in the other Z {function in which this particular index appears.



Figure 10: Example diagram for ipping the ordering of spinors within Z {functions.

3.2.3 Evaluation of the am plitudes

As the last item related to the helicity amplitudes, we discuss brie y, how for a given set of external momenta and helicities a particular amplitude is evaluated. The key point to notice here is that we cut open the ferm ion lines by use of the completeness relation Eq. (2.12). Thus for each intermediate ferm ion line a sum over both helicities and both particle and anti-particle type spinors (uu and vv, respectively) has to be performed. For massive particles, the masses of the u{ and the v-spinors are connected with diement signs, entering nally the Z {functions. Therefore, the internal ferm ion lines are counted nst, then for each internal ferm ion line two summation indices are introduced, labeling the two helicities and the particle and anti-particle components, respectively. For each combination of these indices, the corresponding spinor labels are led into the Z {functions, and a minus sign internally labels anti-particles and will be recognized at the level of the building blocks to alter the sign of the corresponding mass. Finally, for each combination of internal helicities and particle anti-particle labels all building blocks are multiplied separately to be summed.

3.3 G eneration of integration channels

Let us turn now to the generation of additional channels for the multi{channel phase space integration performed by AMEGIC++. As already explained in Sec. 2, for the de nition of e cient integration channels it is essential to know as much as possible about the structure of the integrand, i.e. possible (in the M onte C arlo sense) singularities in phase space. For AMEGIC++, this proves to be the case, because the Feynm an diagram s are already at hand. So, basically, the steps perform ed within AMEGIC++ for the construction of channels are:

- 1. For each Feynm an diagram the internal lines are identied and it is decided, whether they are in the s{ or in the t{channel,
- 2. then the propagators and decays are translated according to their properties into the building blocks listed in Tab. 4,
- 3. nally a subset of the individual channels (each corresponding to one speci c diagram) is selected.

3.3.1 Properties of the internal lines

The rst step in the construction of an integration channel for a particular Feynm an diagram is to decide, in which kinem atical region the individual propagators are, i.e. whether they are s{ ort{channel propagators. This again is done recursively starting from position 1 in the list of linked points constituting the diagram. By iterative steps to the left and right links the other endpoint with b = 1, i.e. the other endpoint related to the second incom ing particle is found. In these iterative steps, connections to the corresponding previous points are set. It is then straightforward to follow their track back to the starting point, equipping each propagator on the way with a ag indicating that this is a t{channel propagator. M ore graphically, this identi cation amounts to a \redrawing the diagram with t{channels running vertically and s{channels running horizontally", see Fig. 11.



Figure 11: Identifying the propagator types for a process A + B ! C + D + E + F + G.

3.3.2 Construction of channels

Now we are in the position to construct appropriate channels. The steps here are the following:

- 1. Depending on the number of t{channel propagators, the construction of channels in principle starts either with an isotropic two{body decay, with a t{ channel propagator or with an isotropic three{body decay (for $n_t = 0; 1; 2, respectively$).
- 2. The rst task when implementing any decay then is to determ ine the (virtual) masses of the decay products. This is achieved in the following way:

First, decay products which are outgoing particles in the process under consideration are put on their mass(shell.

Then, decay products which are propagators are equipped with a virtual mass squared s. It satisfies $s_{m\,in} = s = s_{m\,ax}$ and is distributed either according to a single pole (massless propagators, i.e. propagators with mass $m_p^2 < s_{m\,in}$) or according to a Breit{W igner distribution (massive propagators, i.e. $m_p^2 > s_{m\,in}$).

The limits of the virtual mass squared s are given by the following considerations: $p \bar{s}$ obviously should be larger than the sum of the masses of all outgoing particles produced in the subsequent decays of the propagators. In turn, $p \bar{s}$ running in a propagator should be smaller than the energy squared entering the production vertex of the propagator m inus the masses of the other propagators which are already chosen, and m inus the m inim um masses of the propagators with yet unde ned masses.

3. A fter having chosen in the rst step the basic form of the channel, i.e. the form of the rst decay, the subsequent decays are lled in recursively. By default, for the secondary decays, only isotropic and anisotropic two{body decays are available. A gain, the momentum transfer squared along the propagators is chosen according to the step above. This procedure of decays and propagators is iterated until only outgoing particles rem ain.

As an example, let us consider the diagram depicted in Fig. 12, contributing to the process e^+e_- ! sc . Let us assume for better illustration that both propagators CD and EFG are resonating with M_{CD} _{CD} > M_{EFG} _{EFG} (in fact, in our example process the two products are identical).

The corresponding channel is constructed in the following way:

1. Counting the number of t{channel propagators, we nd $n_t = 1$. Therefore the basic form of the channel is determ ined to be of the simple t{channel form .



Figure 12:0 ne of the diagram s related to the process e^+e^- ! sc

2. With $s = (p_A + p_B)^2$ we not the following minimal and maximal momentum transfers:

$$S_{m in}^{C D} = (m_{C} + m_{D})^{2}; \qquad S_{m ax}^{C D} = p_{\overline{s}}^{C \overline{s}} \frac{q_{\overline{s}_{m in}}^{E F G}}{s_{m in}^{E F G}}^{2}$$
$$S_{m in}^{E F G} = (m_{E} + m_{F} + m_{G})^{2}; \qquad S_{m ax}^{E F G} = p_{\overline{s}}^{C \overline{s}} \frac{q_{\overline{s}_{m in}}^{2}}{s_{m in}^{C D}}^{2}: \qquad (3.1)$$

Then s^{EFG} is chosen rst within its limits as given in the equations above, and only then s^{CD} is determined, with s^{EFG}_{min} replaced by s^{EFG} . These virtual masses for the propagators enter the t{channel decay of step 1.

- 3. We continue along the CD {propagator. Since both particles C and D are ferm ions, the decay of CD ! C + D is translated as an isotropic two{body decay.
- 4. Let us follow now the EFG { line, and consider the radiation of particle E, a photon, o this line. As it is well known, massless vector bosons tend to have an enhancement in the collinear and soft region of emission. Therefore, this decay will be described via an anisotropic two { body decay within the channel.
- 5. Finally, since particles F and G are ferm ions, as depicted in our example, their decay is described by an isotropic two {body decay.

The corresponding code generated by AMEGIC++ for this speci c channel can be found in Appendix A .

3.3.3 Selection of channels

Having generated one channel for each diagram , two things become pretty obvious during integration:
- 1. Not all diagram s are equally singular, i.e. som e of the channels are m ore e ective, whereas others alm ost do not contribute.
- 2. Having too many channels, the multi{channel method is not aw fully e cient. The various { and often innelevant { channels are \stealing" a priori weights from each other and from the good, relevant channels.

To alleviate this situation, in AMEGIC++, som e selection of good channels is perform ed before the integration starts. D epending on the cm. energy available for this process, the propagators which yield the singular behavior, are exam ined for each channel. The maxim al number of potentially resonant propagators is determined for each channel, and the most \successful" channels in this category are used in the phase space integration.

4. Im plem entation

Basically, the determ ination of cross sections which is the main task of AMEGIC++, can be divided into three major stages:

- 1. The input has to be classi ed, i.e. the process(es) to be calculated as well as the fram ework of the model must be determ ined. At this stage incoming and outgoing particles are specified, particle spectra and couplings are determ ined, the Feynm an rules are established and eventually, using these Feynm an rules, particle widths are calculated. A ctually, this last step already invokes the other two stages, i.e. generation of Feynm an am plitudes and their integration.
- 2. Now, having established the model fram ework, the Feynman diagrams related to each single process have to be constructed and translated into helicity am plitudes. Hence, the scattering am plitude is nearly ready for integration. But since some of the helicity combinations yield exactly zero, the calculation can be much alleviated, when these parts are eliminated beforehand. Additionally, the am plitude can be compactified analytically by common factors. These manipulations are performed by translating the expression for the amplitude into a character string and simplify this character string accordingly. Now, evaluating an amplitude for given external momentameans to interpret a character string. Saving this very string into a C++ library le and linking this le together with the main program speeds up the calculation considerably. This is the last renning of the amplitudes, and they are ready for integration.
- 3. Finally, the scattering am plitude has to be integrated by M onte C arlo m ethods. Hence, the nal step consists of the random generation of phase space points, i.e. of sets of four-m om enta for the outgoing particles and their sum m ation in order to obtain the cross section. This task could be easily achieved, if the am plitude was uniform in the whole phase space and would not possess any divergencies in the M onte C arlo sense, i.e. sharp peaks. However, as usual life is much m ore di cult than one m ight w ish and scattering am plitudes tend to have an abundance of peaks in regions, where particles become either soft, collinear or resonant. The m apping of the uniform and at distribution of phase space points onto a structure which suits the one of the am plitude, is crucial for the phase space integration.

Following these three major steps, this chapter is structured as follows: In analogy to the rst stage, we rst describe in Sec. 4.1, how the overall organization of the whole program works out, and how the processes are set up. Then, in Sec. 4.2 we discuss the way, the model under consideration enters. In Sec. 4.3, we then turn our attention to the generation of Feynman diagrams and their translation into helicity am plitudes. The next section, Sec. 4.4, is dedicated to the subsequent treatment of

these am plitudes in term s of character strings. Here some of the secrets of the ancient kabbalistic system will be disclosed. The nal integration over the whole phase space will be discussed in the next section, Sec. 4.5. Last but not least a bt of sm all helper routines must be provided for accomplishing all tasks. Their explanation as well as the description of the system of parameters and switches will complete this chapter with its last two sections.

4.1 0 rganization

The central class steering all processes, i.e. the class which is used within the main routine, is called unsurprisingly Amegic. During the initialization part it species the model, i.e. the Feynman rules, starts the calculation of the decay widths and branching ratios of unstable particles, determ ines all possible topologies up to a certain maximum number of outgoing legs and initializes the individual processes by reading in the incom ing and outgoing particles. Therefore it employs all the other major classes of the program, see Fig. 13.

Speci c details of the initialization of the chosen m odel can be found in Sec. 42, whereas the other classes will be described in this section. A brief outline of the classes discussed in this section can be found in Tab. 7.

4.1.1 O rganizing the O rganization - Amegic

The class Amegic contains the following methods :

1. The Constructor of this class runs the whole initialization procedure of the program along the following steps:

The model lewhich has been chosen from the input parameters, is specied and initialized. During this stage the spectrum of the model is determ ined.

The vertices which are in fact the Feynman rules deduced by the model are initialized.

The processes to be calculated are read in from the Process.dat data le with the help of Read_Processes (). The incom ing and outgoing particles for the di erent processes are xed.

Having at hand the maximum number of external legs the raw topologies can be determined by constructing a Topology object.

For every particle which is marked as unstable in the Particle.dat data le, the total width and the branching ratios have to be calculated using the Decay_Handler. W ith Decay_Handler::Find_Unstable() all unstable particles are detected and listed in a DecayTable. Now, Decay_Handler::Calculate() evaluates the width and the branching fractions of the particles.

C lass/Struct	Pupoæ
Amegic	M ain class, reads in the process param eters.
Decay_Handler	H and les all decays of the unstable particles,
	calculates widths and branching ratios.
DecayTable	The table of decay chains.
P rocess	M other class of all processes.
A 11_P rocesses	Maintains a number of processes.
Single_Process	M aintains the initialization and calculation of
	one single process.
Amplitude_Base	Container for all integrable am plitudes.
Helicity	D eterm ines the helicity combinations yielding
	a non{zero result. Explores and uses symmetries,
	ie.identical results for di erent helicity
	combinations.
struct signlist	List of helicity signs, contains on {switches.
Polarisation	Provides the polarisation vectors of external bosons.
Point	A point structure for building binary trees.
Topology	Calculates all possible topologies.
Singl <u>e</u> Topology	Contains a single topology.

Table 7: A short description of the major classes handling the organization of AMEGIC++.

Last but not least the individual processes will be initialized via Process::Init(). Consequently the raw topologies are combined with the vertices yielding the Feynm an diagram swhich are then translated into helicity am plitudes.

- 2. Read_Processes() reads in the list of processes from Process.dat, extracts the avours with the help of Extract_Flavours(), and groups the processes into All_Processes. If only one process is to be considered, a Single_Process will be created instead.
- 3. In Extract_Flavours() the raw text given in the Process.dat data le is translated into the num bers and avours of the incom ing and outgoing particles.
- 4. Run() calculates the total cross section of all involved processes via Process::Sigma_Total().

4.1.2 D ecays - Decay_Handler

The primary aim of the Decay_Handler is to generate a DecayTable containing all



Figure 13: Main structure of the program .

inform ation concerning the decays of unstable particles, i.e. total widths, branching ratios, the avour of the decay products etc.. The DecayTable is constructed as a two{fold list in the following way.

Every entry into the DecayTable itself is a DecayTable, having pointers into two directions (see Figure to the right):

- 1. Next, pointing to the next decaying particle or the next decay channel, and
- 2. More, pointing to a list of decay channels for the sam e decaying particle.

Furtherm ore, each DecayTable has a varying (limited by the user) number of avours, the avour of the decaying particle plus the avours of its decay products. The user de nest he maxim alnum ber of particles created in a decay. If, in the process of setting up the DecayTable, a particle decays into a nal state consisting of one or more unstable particles, these can be then replaced by their own decay products.



Now we are in the position to understand, how the Decay_Handler lls this table via the follow ing methods :

- 1. Find_Unstable() produces the list of all unstable particles, where the decay width has to be calculated. A simple loop over all particles probes their onswitch which is read in from the Particle.dat data le, i.e. whether the user wants to include them or not. D iscarded particles are neglected completely, so they do not show up, neither as internal nor as external lines. Having at hand all decaying particles the products will be determ ined with the routines Find_Decay_Products_2() for the 1 ! 2 decays and Find_Decay_Products_3() for any further decays.
- 2. Find_Decay_Products_2() nds every 1 ! 2 decay for the unstable particles. N aively, one could take every possible pair of particles as decay products. But applying a number of constraints, only decays with non{zero width are taken into account. Thus every pair of decay products has to obey the following restrictions:

The incoming avour has to interact at all, so it has to show up in the list of vertices as an incoming or outgoing particle, respectively. This test is performed with Check_In_Vertex().

D ue to energy $\{m \text{ om entum conservation none of the decay products can be the sam e as the incom ing particle.}$

The masses of the decay products must be separately smaller than the mass of the incoming particle. The sum of both masses can be larger, if one or both of the decay products is unstable and can be understood as an internal line. Later on, the decays into such virtual particles is taken into account via three or more body decays.

All quantum numbers, i.e. charge and spin, have to be conserved. Hence, a decay of a ferm ion into bosons only is disallowed.

If it fulls all these constraints the decay channel is added to the DecayTable with the help of Add, i.e. another item is added to the More list of the corresponding decaying particle.

- 3. In Add() the last check for a particle and its decay products is perform ed. W ith the help of Check_Vertex() the three avours are compared with the avours of all existing vertices in the actual model. Only if this check is passed, a new DecayTable is added to the list.
- 4. Find_Decay_Products_3() nds any further decay beyond two-body decays. Therefore a loop over all already determ ined decays is performed. In the case a virtual particle is found, i.e. the sum of the masses of all decay products is larger than the mass of the incom ing particle, a further decay of unstable decay products is realized with Next_Decay().
- 5. Next_Decay() is used for the determ ination of further decays. Now, every decay product is analysed once more. If it is an unstable particle itself, one can of course nd it in the list of decaying particles. A further bop over all possible decays of this particle and its replacement by these decay products completes the creation of a new decay mode which then will be attached to the DecayTable.
- 6. Check_In_Vertex() checks, if a certain avour is included in the list of vertices.
- 7. Check_Vertex() checks, if a vertex with the given avour combination exists.
- 8. Calculate() calculates the width of the di erent decay modes and sets the branching ratios. It uses the list of decays which has already been constructed in Find_Unstable(), to determ ine the width of the di erent decay modes using Rec_Calc(). Having at hand all decay widths it is straightforward to calculate the di erent branching ratios with Branching_Ratios().
- 9. Rec_Calc() evaluates the widths of the di erent decay modes recursively in order to take into account the further decay of virtual particles. For the calculational part, the routines of Process are utilized.

- 10. Branching_Ratios() perform es a loop over all decaying particles and determ ines the branching ratios with the help of Rec_BR().
- 11. Rec_BR() calculates the branching ratios recursively, since all further decays have to be taken into account.
- 12. Print () prints the table of decays including the decay widths and branching ratios.
- 4.1.3 H elicities and Polarisations

The structure signlist contains one helicity combination, an On{switch and a multiplicity. The latter one can be used to reduce the number of helicity combinations by dropping equivalent ones, i.e. those yielding the same numerical result. The organization is done by the class Helicity via the following methods:

- The Constructor determ ines all helicity combinations using a loop over loops technique as described in Appendix C. Having at hand all possible helicity combinations with two helicities per outgoing particle, the second helicity for scalar particles (which have no helicity at all) and massive vector bosons (where the sum over the polarizations is carried out di erently to the massless vector bosons) is switched o .
- 2. Max_Hel() returns the maximum number of helicities.
- 3. operator [] () returns a certain helicity combination.
- 4. switch_off() switches a certain helicity combination o .
- 5. On () returns the status of a certain helicity combination.
- 6. Inc_Mult () increases the multiplicity of a combination by one.
- 7. Multiplicity () returns the multiplicity of a certain helicity combination.

The class Polarisation maintains all methods needed in connection with polarisations for massless and massive vector bosons:

- Spin_Average() The normalization constant for averaging the spin, the color and the polarisations of all incoming particles is determined at this stage. A ccordingly, every fermion yields a factor of 1=2, every quark an extra factor of 1=3, every gluon a factor of 1=8 and the massless and massive vector bosons gain a factor of 1=2 and 1=3, respectively.
- 2. Massless_Vectors () determ ines whether there is any massless vector boson in the in-or outstate. If this is the case, an extra four-momentum vector for the construction of the polarisation vector is attached to the list of vectors.

- 3. Massive_Vectors () counts the num ber of massive vector bosons in the in-and outstate. For every hit two new four{momenta are attached, see Eq. (2.18). The change of the norm alization is performed according to Eq. (2.20).
- 4. In Attach() a connection between the massive vector boson and its two polarisation vectors is drawn, ensuring that the latter ones add up to the vector boson momentum.
- 5. Reset_Gauge_Vectors () changes the extra gauge vector, when m assless vector bosons are present. U sually, this routine is used during the gauge test.
- 6. Set_Gauge_Vectors () sets the gauge vector of the massless vector boson and calculates the two polarization vectors for each massive vector boson. The latter ones are determined according to Eq. (2.18) along the following algorithm : The four-momentum of the massive vector boson is boosted into its rest frame and two massless four{momenta are generated as uniform ly distributed massless pseudo{decay products of the vector boson. A flerwards they are boosted back into the lab frame.
- 7. Massless_Norm() calculates the norm alization due to massless vector bosons, see Eq. (2.16).
- 8. Massive_Norm() yields the norm alization due to massive vector bosons, see Eq. (2.20).
- 9. Replace_Numbers(): During the translation of the Feynm an diagram s into helicity am plitudes all polarisation vectors obtain dum m y num bers. The correct connection to the appropriate polarisation vector is performed in this routine utilizing Single_Amplitude::MPolconvert(). A s described above, m assive vector bosons are treated as propagators decaying into their { m assless { polarisation vectors, the respective changes are carried out with the help of Single_Amplitude::Prop_Replace().

4.1.4 H and ling of processes

The two main classes concerning the overall handling of processes are All_Processes and Single_Process. Both classes provide the amplitudes squared, either for a list of processes or for a single process, respectively. In order to integrate both with the Phase_Space_Handler they have to be derived from the class Amplitude_Base which is the base of an (phase space) integrable class. Therefore, its purely virtual operator operator () () must be overwritten by the appropriate inherited class. On the other hand, the two process classes have to provide a similar interface which sim pli es the usage from outside. A coordingly, both classes are derived from a mother class called Process, a virtual class which contains a minim al set of routines, i.e. Init(), for



Figure 14:0 ne single Process containing an amplitude and a phase space evaluation.

the initialization, Sigma_Total() for the calculation of the total cross section and Add() for adding a new process to All_Processes. Since all these m ethods have to be overw ritten by the derived class, a detailed description can be found there.

The container for a list of processes is All_Processes:

- 1. W ithin the Constructor() the maximum number of processes is set and a blank list of Single_Processes is generated.
- 2. Init() perform s a loop over all processes and initializes them via Single_Process::Init().
- 3. Sigma_Total() evaluates the individual cross sections for all processes and adds them up. At this stage a parallelization of the calculation for the di erent processes would enhance the perform ance. This is part of a future project.
- 4. The operator()() is inherited from Amplitude_Base and is used for integrating the sum over all cross sections. A coordingly a loop and a sum over all Single_Process::operator()() s is done.

5. Add () appends another process to the list.

The organization, i.e. the initialization as well as the calculation of the amplitude squared for single process lies in the hands of the class Single_Process:

1. The Constructor () of the class covers a large part of the initialization procedure which is accomplished along :

The sequence of the avours for the incoming and outgoing particles is reshued using the routine reshuffle().

A string which identi es the given process unambiguously, is generated via set_ID().

A directory is created named with this \mathbb{D} . It will contain all the output from this process.

The overall norm alization is calculated using Symmetry factors () and Polarization::Spin_Average() taking into account the symmetry factor due to permutations of outgoing particles and the average over the incoming quantum numbers, respectively.

The number of polarization vectors is determined, exploring the massless and massive vector bosons with Polarization::Massless_Vectors() and Polarization::Massive_Vectors(), respectively. Now, the number of four-momenta is simply the sum of the number of incoming and outgoing particles plus the number of polarization vectors needed.

W ith Polarization::Attach() the polarization vectors of the massive vector bosons are connected with the appropriate vector boson.

The norm alization due to the special treatment of massive vector bosons is calculated via Polarization::Massive_Norm().

Now, all helicity combinations are determined by constructing the Helicity object.

The basic functions for the determ ination of the building blocks, i.e. the S-functions (see Eq. (2.23)), will be initialized by constructing a Basic_Sfuncs object.

Last but not least the String Handler for the generation and translation of the helicity am plitudes into character strings is constructed.

2. Now, the method Init() has only a small task left, since the bulk of initializations has been already carried out. This task is the construction of the Amplitude_Handler object and the initialization of the S-functions and the String_Handler via the appropriate routines Basic_Sfuncs::Initialize() and String_Handler::Init(), respectively.

- 3. In reshuffle() the user{given sequence of the input avours is changed to an intrinsic standard. The internal order in AMEGIC++ is ferm ions before bosons. Then, particles are nst ordered according to the absolute value of their kf{code and particles are always listed before anti-particles. M assless vector bosons are listed at the end of the line which is closely related to the treatment of polarization vectors within the program.
- 4. set_ID() constructs the uniquely de ned process name. The simple rule is that at rst the number of incoming and outgoing particles is translated to the character string. At next the avours of these particles follow. An example can be found in the Test Run Output, see Appendix D.
- 5. The Symmetry_factors () for outgoing particles are constructed from the multiplicity n of a avour, where every multiple occurrence gains a factor of 1=n!.
- 6. Tests () contains two di erent tests of the derived am plitude. First of all, a gauge test can be performed, if massless vector bosons are present. In this case, the am plitude is calculated with two di erent choices of the corresponding gauge vectors and the results will be compared. Of course, if the program is doing everything correct, this test should be passed, i.e. both results should coincide for every single combination of external, physicalm om enta. Taken by itself, this test provides a powerful tool for checking am plitudes, vertices etc... Therefore it m ight be useful som etim es to add a photon or a gluon to a process to have this kind of test at hand. The next test concerns the translation of the helicity am plitudes into character strings. D uring this translation num erically sm all parts will be neglected and the em erging string will be reorganized and sim pli ed. Therefore, a test for the correctness of the string is urgently necessary. Again, the am plitudes calculated with and without the string m ethod are to be com pared.
- 7. Sigma_Total() calculates the total cross section for the given process utilizing the class Phase_Space_Handler. A fler constructing this object the m ethod Phase_Space_Handler::Create_Integrator() builds all the possible integration channels. W ith Phase_Space_Handler::Integrate() the calculation of the total cross section is achieved.
- 8. The operator()() yields the amplitude squared for a given con guration of fourm on enta for the incom ing and outgoing particles. The rst step in this calculation consists of setting the gauge vector. Then, a loop over all possible helicity combinations is performed and the di erent values for the individual amplitudes are summed up. Multiplying the result with the normalization for the gauge vector derived from Polarization::Massless_Norm() and the overall normalization gives the amplitude squared.

4.1.5 Construction of topologies

The class Point contains all information about a specic vertex, i.e. pointers to the left and to the right next Point, the avour, the particle or propagator number etc.. In this way a tree structure can be generated by means of linked Points. A coordingly, all topologies with the same number of legs are contained in a Single_Topology, other variables are the number of legs, the depth as well as the list of Point lists. The class Topology creates and handles a list of Single_Topologys (which di er by the number of legs) via:

- 1. The Constructor () constructs all topologies with the method Build_All().
- 2. Build_All() generates all topologies up to a maximum number of legs. It starts by initializing the rst simple topology with one external leg only. Since every topology contains all other topologies with a smaller number of external legs, it is created recursively out of those by calling Build_Single().
- 3. Build_Single() builds all topologies for a certain number of external legs, for details concerning the algorithm see Sec. 3.1.
- 4. Get () returns a list of topologies with a certain number of legs.
- 5. Copy () copies a given point list into another.
- 6. Print () prints a topology.

4.2 The M odel

Cross sections are calculated within the framework of a speci c model, i.e. they depend on a set of assumptions and parameters like the particle spectrum and the properties (quantum numbers) of the particles as well as their interactions de ned via vertices and the corresponding coupling constants. Strictly speaking, this framework is de ned by the underlying Lagrangian, from which the parameters can be either read o or calculated. However, for the sake of implementing such a model in term s of computer algorithms, it is sensible to divide the information de ning the model into several pieces, rejected by the class structure. In general, in AMEGIC++ particle properties are handled by the class Flavour, interactions are governed by the classes Model and Vertex, and the appropriate (possibly running) coupling constants and the particle masses are generated by Coupling and Spectrum classes, respectively.

A brief outline of all the classes mentioned above, can be found in Tab.8. The di erent methods of the classes are described in detail in the subsequent sections, covering the complexes Flavour, Vertices, Model, Spectrum and Couplings.

C lass/Struct	Pupoæ
kf	Connects the kf -code of every particle with a name.
k <u>f_to_i</u> nt	Translates the kf{code into an integer value.
part_info	Contains all the inform ation about a particle
	which is read in from the Particle.dat data le.
F lavour	All particle properties are handled from here.
_iter	An iterator over all possible avours is often useful.
Single_Vertex	Contains all inform ation about a Feynm an rule.
Vertex	M aintains the initialization of the vertices,
	ie.the Feynm an rules of the chosen m odel.
M odel	Is the virtualm other class of allm odels.
M odelQCD	Contains the QCD Feynm an rules.
ModelEE_QCD	Contains the QCD Feynm an rules plus a coupling of the
	QCD particles to electron and positron.
M odelEW	Contains all electroweak Feynm an rules.
M odelSM	The complete Standard M odel can be found here.
Spectrum_EW	The electroweak spectrum, i.e. allm asses of the leptons
	and gauge bosons are maintained here.
Spectrum _Q C D	The QCD spectrum , i.e. the m asses of the quarks is
	read in within this class.
Couplings_EW	The electroweak coupling constants as well as their
	running is perform ed within this class.
Couplings_QCD	The running of the strong coupling constant is calculated.

Table 8: Principal classes to set up and organize the physical fram ework.

4.2.1 The Flavour

kf contains the kf{code of every particle according to the PDG [21], whereas the sm all class kf_to_int translates this kf{code into an integer value and back. All necessary particle information is stored in part_info, namely the kf{code, the mass and width, the charge and the isoweak charge, a ag for strong interacting particles, the spin, a ag for the majorana character of a particle, an on {switch, a ag for stable particles and the name of the particle. The class Flavour handles all properties a particle could have. Therefore, it mainly provides routines for obtaining information about a particle type:

1. texname() gives the name of a particle in a LaTeX form at. This is used for instance by Vertex::Tex_Output().

- 2. kfcode () gives the kf{code of a particle.
- 3. The operator int() returns the integer value of a kf{code. A minus sign covers the possible anti-particle nature.
- 4. bar () returns the anti-particle of a particle.
- 5. charge () returns the electrom agnetic charge in units of the proton charge.
- 6. icharge () returns as an integer three times the electrom agnetic charge.
- 7. isoweak() returns the value of T_3 , the third component of the weak isospin.
- 8. strong() yields 1, if the particle interacts via the strong force.
- 9. spin() returns the spin of a particle.
- 10. ispin() returns as integer twice the spin of a particle.
- 11. ison() returns 1, if a particle should take part in the generation of the Feynm an rules.
- 12. isstable() is the switch which returns 1 in case the particle width should be calculated by the program, otherwise the width stored within the Particle.dat data le is used.
- 13. set_on() switches a particle on.
- 14. mass () returns the mass of a particle.
- 15. set_mass() sets the mass of a particle.
- 16. width () returns the width of a particle.
- 17. set_width() sets the width of a particle.
- 18. name() returns the character name of a particle.
- 19. isfermion() returns 1, if the particle is a ferm ion.
- 20. isboson() returns 1, if the particle is a boson.
- 21. isscalar() returns 1, if the particle is a scalar, i.e. if it has spin zero.
- 22. isvector() returns 1, if the particle is a vector, i.e. if it has spin one.
- 23. isquark() returns 1, if the particle is a (anti-) quark.
- 24. isgluon() returns 1, if the particle is a gluon.

- 25. islepton() returns 1, if the particle is a (anti-) lepton.
- 26. isuptype() returns 1, if the particle is up{type. Note that this extends to leptons and neutrinos as well.
- 27. isdowntype() returns 1, if the particle is down {type. Note that this extends to leptons and neutrinos as well.
- 28. isanti() returns 1, if it is an anti-particle.
- 29. hepevt () returns the HEPEVT kf{code.
- 30. from_hepevt() sets the avour according to the HEPEVT kf{code.

The class fl_iter provides an iterator over all particles in the particle data table. Twomethods, i.e. First and Next return the rst and the appropriate next particle, respectively.

4.2.2 The Vertices

A Single_Vertex contains all inform ation about an 1 ! 2 Feynm an rule, i.e. the three avours, the left{ and right{handed coupling constants and a possible representation by a character string. The class Vertex handles the generation of Feynm an rules utilizing som e of the m ethods from the class Model.

- 1. The Constructor () builds the list of vertices (Single_Vertex) and produces a LaTeX output le. First of all, the list is lled via the M odel routines c_FFV, c_FFS, c_VVV,c_SSV, c_VVS and c_SSS, see Tab. 9. Having at hand all the di erent vertices, the LaTeX output is generated with Tex_Output (). Since during the m atching of the vertices onto the raw topologies not only the standard form of a vertex is used, but also its rotations (obtained as a combination of permutating the avours and taking their anti- avours), every vertex is transform ed into the six possible combinations with an appropriate change of the couplings, see Tab. 6. A test with Check_Equal () prevents any double counting of already generated vertices.
- 2. Check_Equal() compares any combination of three avours generated in the process of initialization with all already generated vertices and prevents any double counting.
- 3. Print () prints the list of vertices.
- 4. operator[]() returns a Single_Vertex from its number.
- 5. Max_Number() returns the maxim alnum ber of vertices.



Figure 15: A sample vertex in LaTeX output form . Note that $P_R\,$ and $P_L\,$ are the right and left handed projectors including a ~ .

- 6. Tex_Output () generates a LaTeX output of all Feynm an nules. The character strings generated for each vertex in the model classes will be combined with a FeynM F [22] picture of a vertex, for example see Fig. 15.
- 7. AddVertex() adds a Single_Vertex to the list of vertices.
- 8. FindVertex() searches for a given Single_Vertex in the list of vertices and returns its number.

4.2.3 The M odel

The Model class represents the basis for all other model classes which are simply derivatives. Consequently, most methods are purely virtual. However, they play a similar role in every model and therefore, they will be described only once. The rst part is dedicated to the initialization of the model and the vertices:

- 1. Init_Vertex() generates the list of vertices by constructing the Vertex object.
- 2. Get_Vertex() returns the list of vertices.
- 3. U sually Init() generates the masses and couplings of the given model with the help of an appropriate Spectrum and Coupling class, respectively.

All Feynman rules can be classi ed according to their Lorentz structure which depends on the incoming and outgoing avours. This classi cation scheme of the vertices in AMEGIC++ is depicted in Tab. 9, the methods listed there are then led in a model dependent way. Since the M odel class contains not only all the Feynman rules, but also the diment coupling constants some helper methods permit to gather this information:

M ethod	Incom ing	Left 0 utgoing	R ight O utgoing
c_FFV ()	Ferm ion	Vector boson	Fermion
C_FFS()	Ferm ion	Scalar boson	Fermion
c_VVV ()	Vector boson	Vector boson	Vector boson
C_SSV ()	Scalar boson	Vector boson	Scalar boson
c_VVS()	Vector boson	Scalar boson	Vector boson
C_SSS ()	Scalar boson	Scalar boson	Scalar boson

T ab le 9: C onnection of m ethods for the initialization of vertices with the external particles.

- 1. SinTW() returns the sin $_{\rm W}$.
- 2. CosTW() returns the \cos_{W} .
- 3. Aqed() returns QED at the CM energy scale.
- 4. Aqed (double) returns QED at the given scale.
- 5. Aqcd() returns QCD at the CM energy scale.
- 6. Aqcd (double) returns $_{OCD}$ at the given scale.

The di erent model classes which are derived from the main class Model, can be characterized via the Feynman rules (i.e. vertices) they initialize:

- 1. Model_QCD contains all pure QCD Feynm an rules, i.e. the interaction of quarks via gluons and the gluon self(interaction in the axial gauge.
- 2. Model_EE_QCD includes all vertices from Model_QCD plus the interaction of quarks with electrons and positrons via photons and Z bosons.
- 3. Model_EW includes all electroweak vertices, i.e. the interaction of all Standard M odel particles via photons, Z, W and Higgs bosons.
- 4. Model_SM combines Model_QCD and Model_EW to yield all interactions of the Standard M odel.

4.2.4 The Spectrum

The two di erent spectra of the Standard M odel, i.e. the strong and the electroweak sector, are represented by the classes Spectrum_EW and Spectrum_QCD.B oth have only the m ethod Fill_Masses() in order to set or calculate the m asses of the di erent particles, when called. However, within the Standard M odel, all ferm ion m asses are

fundam ental param eters, therefore, they are only read in from the Const.dat data

le and set with the method Flavour::set_mass(), shadowing the values given in Particle.dat.

In contrast, the masses of the Z and W bosons are generated via spontaneous symmetry breaking and can be calculated to leading order, i.e. without quantum corrections, with the help of the coupling constants $_{QED}$ and sin $_{W}$ and the Higgs vacuum expectation value (vev). In AMEGIC++, an option is provided to calculate these masses and set them, thus overwriting their values given in Particle.dat.

Note that in the fram ework of the Standard M odel, these classes seem som ew hat over{engineered, but for later extensions to m odels beyond the Standard M odel spectra should be calculable in dependence to some set of parameters which m ight or m ight not be di erent from the sheer listing of particle m asses. As an example take the M inim al Supersym m etric Standard M odel, where { neglecting quantum corrections { the m asses of the partner particles in general depend on the m ass parameters of the Standard M odel particles plus som e sym m etry breaking term s.

4.2.5 The Couplings

Couplings_EW reads in the electroweak coupling constants and m aintains the calculation for the running of $_{QED}$:

- 1. Init () reads in the coupling constants of the electrow eak model, i.e. $_{QED}$ and \sin_{W} at the Z pole, the Higgs vacuum expectation value and the four param eters of W olfenstein's param eterization of the CKM matrix. A coordingly, these values are set and the CKM matrix is generated. In order to calculate the running of $_{QED}$ all thresholds are determined with Thresholds (). Then, the coupling constant as well as the {function of the leading order running which depends on the number of active charged fermions is calculated via Init_AQED().
- 2. Thresholds () determ ines all particle thresholds which contribute to the running of $_{QED}$, i.e. they have to have an electric charge.
- 3. Init_AQED() determ ines QED at the scales introduced by the di erent particle thresholds. In order to obtain an e cient evaluation of the running coupling constant, the {function is precalculated as well.
- 4. aqed() returns QED at a given scale.
- 5. VEV () returns the Higgs vacuum expectation value.
- 6. SinThetaW() returns the sin $_{\rm W}$.
- 7. CosThetaW() returns the \cos_W .

8. CKM() returns the specied entry of the CKM matrix.

Note that the running of electroweak parameters other than Q_{ED} has not been im plemented so far. Couplings_QCD reads in the strong coupling Q_{CD} and calculates its running:

- Init() reads in the only coupling constant of the strong interaction, QCD at the Z pole. Sim ilarly to the procedure in Couplings_EW the thresholds as well as the coupling constants at these thresholds are determ ined using the m ethods Thresholds() and Init_As(), respectively.
- 2. Thresholds () species the thresholds for all strong interacting particles.
- 3. Init_As() calculates the value of the strong coupling at the di erent threshold scales as well as the {function in order to perform a one loop running of the coupling.
- 4. as2() returns QCD at a given scale.

4.3 The Amplitude

In this section we describe the generation of Feynm an diagram s, their translation into the helicity am plitudes and their calculation. A coordingly, we start with the basic handling of am plitudes in Sec. 4.3.1, then dwell on the generation of the Feynm an diagram s in Sec. 4.3.2 and on their representation within a Single_Amplitude in Sec. 4.3.3. In this section the translation into helicity am plitudes is performed as well. We end with the description of the tools for the calculation of the am plitude in Sec. 4.3.4 as well as the Color structure and Coulom b factors in Sec. 4.3.5. A short outline of the involved classes and structures can be found in Tab.10, whereas a pictorial overview is given in Fig.16.

4.3.1 Handling of Amplitudes

The organization of the generation as well as the calculation of a Feynm an amplitude is governed by the class Amplitude_Handler:

1. The Constructor governs the whole initialization procedure, i.e. the generation of Feynm an diagram s and their translation into helicity am plitudes in the following way:

AllFeynm an diagram s are generated with the construction of an Amplitude_Generator object and the call to its method Matching(). This method constructs a list of Single_Amplitudes, each of them representing an individual Feynm an diagram through a list of linked { and lled { Points.

C lass/Struct	Purpoæ
Amplitude_Handler	Handles all am plitudes, i.e. the sum of all Feynm an
	diagram s.
Amplitude_Generator	Generates all am plitudes, i.e. m atches the vertices
	onto the topologies.
struct P re_A m plitude	Is a Point list.
Single Amplitude	Handles one Amplitude, i.e. Feynm an diagram .
Z func_G enerator	Translates the Feynm an diagram s into
	helicity am plitudes.
Zfunc	Is a structure for storing one Z {function.
Prop_Generator	Finds and labels all propagators.
P func	Is a structure for storing one propagator.
Color_Generator	Determines the color structure.
C func	Is a structure for storing one colormatrix.
C func Building_B locks	Is a structure for storing one color matrix. The dierent building blocks of a helicity amplitude.
C func Building_B locks M athem atica_Interface	Is a structure for storing one colorm atrix. The dierent building blocks of a helicity amplitude. Interfaces to M athem atica, calculating som e
C func Building_B locks M athem atica_Interface	Is a structure for storing one color matrix. The dierent building blocks of a helicity amplitude. Interfaces to Mathematica, calculating som e building blocks.
C func Building_B locks M athem atica_Interface C om posite_Z funcs	Is a structure for storing one color m atrix. The di erent building blocks of a helicity am plitude. Interfaces to M athem atica, calculating som e building blocks. C om posed helicity am plitudes.
C func Building_B locks M athem atica_Interface C om posite_Z funcs E lem entary_Z funcs	Is a structure for storing one color matrix. The dierent building blocks of a helicity amplitude. Interfaces to Mathematica, calculating som e building blocks. Composed helicity amplitudes. All elementary helicity amplitudes,
C func Building_B locks M athem atica_Interface C om posite_Z funcs E lem entary_Z funcs	Is a structure for storing one color m atrix. The di erent building blocks of a helicity am plitude. Interfaces to M athem atica, calculating som e building blocks. C om posed helicity am plitudes. A ll elem entary helicity am plitudes, i.e. Z, X - and Y functions.
C func Building_B locks M athem atica_Interface C om posite_Z funcs E lem entary_Z funcs Basic_S funcs	Is a structure for storing one color matrix. The dierent building blocks of a helicity amplitude. Interfaces to Mathematica, calculating some building blocks. Composed helicity amplitudes. Allelementary helicity amplitudes, i.e. Z, X - and Y functions. The S functions.
C func Building_B locks M athem atica_Interface C om posite_Z funcs E lem entary_Z funcs Basic_S funcs M om func	Is a structure for storing one color matrix. The di erent building blocks of a helicity amplitude. Interfaces to M athematica, calculating som e building blocks. C om posed helicity amplitudes. A ll elementary helicity amplitudes, i.e. Z, X - and Y functions. The S functions. Is a structure which stores a four-momentum for
C func B uilding_B locks M athem atica_Interface C om posite_Z funcs E lem entary_Z funcs B asic_S funcs M om func	Is a structure for storing one color matrix. The dierent building blocks of a helicity amplitude. Interfaces to Mathematica, calculating some building blocks. Composed helicity amplitudes. Allelementary helicity amplitudes, i.e. Z, X - and Y functions. The S functions. Is a structure which stores a four-momentum for incoming and outgoing particles as well as
C func Building_B locks M athem atica_Interface C om posite_Z funcs E lem entary_Z funcs B asic_S funcs M om func	Is a structure for storing one color matrix. The dierent building blocks of a helicity amplitude. Interfaces to Mathematica, calculating some building blocks. Composed helicity amplitudes. All elementary helicity amplitudes, i.e. Z, X - and Y functions. The S functions. Is a structure which stores a four-momentum for incoming and outgoing particles as well as for propagators.
C func Building_B locks M athem atica_Interface C om posite_Z funcs E lem entary_Z funcs B asic_S funcs M om func C olor	Is a structure for storing one color matrix. The dierent building blocks of a helicity amplitude. Interfaces to Mathematica, calculating some building blocks. Composed helicity amplitudes. Allelementary helicity amplitudes, i.e. Z, X - and Y functions. The S functions. Is a structure which stores a fourmomentum for incoming and outgoing particles as well as for propagators. Calulates the sum of color matrices.
C func Building_B locks M athem atica_Interface C om posite_Z funcs E lem entary_Z funcs Basic_S funcs M om func C olor C olor	Is a structure for storing one color matrix. The dierent building blocks of a helicity amplitude. Interfaces to Mathematica, calculating some building blocks. Composed helicity amplitudes. Allelementary helicity amplitudes, i.e. Z, X - and Y functions. The S functions. Is a structure which stores a four-momentum for incoming and outgoing particles as well as for propagators. Calculates the sum of color matrices. Calculates Coulomb corrections for W-pair creation.

Table 10: All classes and structures which are used for the determ ination and calculation of a Feynm an am plitude.

A loop over all am plitudes transforms them into the helicity am plitudes with Single_Amplitude::Zprojecting(). The couplings are led into the String_Handler by Single_Amplitude::Fill_Coupling().

 $N \mbox{ ow , the dum } m \mbox{ y num bers for the polarization vectors can be replaced by the proper num ber within the Z {functions by calling Polarization::Replace_Number().$

All the S {, { and { functions depending on the four-m om enta, including the propagators, are calculated within Basic_Sfuncs. Having at hand all

Z {functions and the list of propagators Pfunc, they can be initialized with Basic_Sfuncs::Build_Momlist().

Last but not least the matrix composed of colour factors for each pair of amplitudes is calculated by constructing the Color object.

- 2. Get_Graph() returns the Point list of a Feynm an diagram for a given number.
- 3. Fill_Coupling() performs a bop over all Single_Amplitudes and lls the couplings into the String_Handler via Single_Amplitude::Fill_Coupling().
- 4. Get_Graph_Number() returns the num ber of graphs.
- 5. Get_Pointlist() returns the Point list of a Feynm an diagram for a given num ber. Note that this method considers the full list of graphs, not the shortened version of the Single_Amplitude list, where som e graphs are united which di er only by a photon, Z or Higgs boson propagator.
- 6. Get_PointlistNumber() returns the num ber of the full Point list.
- 7. differential (int*, int) calculates the di erential cross section by using the standard calculation m ethods, i.e. no character strings. The arguments of the m ethod are a list of signs for the di erent helicities of incoming and outgoing particles, whereas the second argument corresponds to the counting number of the current helicity combination. A loop over all amplitudes and a call to Single_Amplitude::Zvalue() Ils the complex value of one diagram for a speci c helicity combination into an array. At the end, taking into account the elements of the color matrix (Color::Mij()), all diagrams are summed up. Som etimes, single diagram s yield zero depending on the structure of the couplings. This is taken care of by storing the value of each diagram and dropping those which yield no result at all after a user de ned number of calls, typically one thousand.
- 8. The second differential (int) method di ers from the other one by two points. First, the amplitude is calculated using the already generated character strings for the evaluation of each diagram via String_Handler::Zvalue(). A coordingly, only one argument is needed for this method, i.e. the current counting number of the helicity combination. Second, since these character strings are already shortened, i.e. zero parts are dropped, no special care has to be taken for zero diagram s.





4.3.2 G enerating the Feynm an diagram s - Amplitude_Generator

The structure Pre_Amplitude stores a list of points. Therefore it can be regarded as a predecessor of a proper Single_Amplitude. In fact, until this Pre_Amplitude has not passed certain tests it cannot become a full amplitude. The generation of these Pre_Amplitudes as well as the handling of the full amplitudes is governed by the class Amplitude_Generator by means of the following methods:

- 1. The Constructor() obtains the topology which the actual number of legs via Topology::Get() and generates an empty list of Pre_Amplitudes.
- 2. Matching() is the main method within this class. It constructs the list of Single_Amplitudes and simplies them. For the rst step all permutations of the incoming and outgoing particles are constructed. This is achieved by a loop over loops technique (cf. Appendix C), where the permutations are generated and then have to obey certain constraints, listed in Sec. 3.1. Having passed these constraints a permutation of incoming and outgoing particles can be matched on all possible topologies. First of all, these particles are set to the endpoints of a certain topology with Set_End(). A fler this, all possible propagators in between are tested with Set_Props() and eventually diagrams are generated. At the very end of the loop over loops all diagram s are stored into a list of Single_Amplitudes. However, sim ilar diagram s could still occur and have to be dropped. This is achieved with the m ethod Compare (). Having at hand the full list of distinguishable diagram s, they are stored (Save_Pointlist()) for the later use in the construction of Channels employed during phase space integration. Now the list of diagram s can be shortened by unifying those which dier only in a photon, Z or Higgs boson propagator with the method Simplify().
- 3. Set_End() recursively sets all endpoints of a topology onto a particle permutation, i.e. the particle number, its avour and the b ag which marks incoming and outgoing particles. Propagators are labeled with numbers beginning with 100.
- 4. Set_Props () perform s the m atching procedure for one topology and one com bination of endpoints. Basically, it tries to nd every possible avour com bination for the inner propagators in the follow ing way:

At rst, the raw topology with the endpoints already set is copied into a Pre_Amplitude. Then a loop over all Pre_Amplitudes is performed. Within the loop, every time, an internal line is equipped with a avour, i.e. each time a new propagator is tested, a new Pre_Amplitude is created and appended. Consequently, the loop over Pre_Amplitudes ends, if no more propagators can be tested. The test is performed along the following steps:

The next free propagator in the actual Pre Amplitude, i.e. a Point where the avour is not set already, is selected via Next_P(). If no free Point can be found, the loop advances to the next Pre_Amplitude.

A loop over all possible vertices is performed. Each vertex is compared with a avour combination which is manipulated in the way described in Sec. 3.1. This is done with Match_Vertex().

If the com parison was successful, the avours of the outgoing particles are set onto this vertex. If they are connected with endpoints, the left and the right Points are checked by (Check_End()).

Having passed all these tests, the actual Pre Amplitude is copied. It is attached at the end of the list of Pre Amplitudes and the appropriate vertex is changed in the copy. This method ensures that all other vertices can be tested as well for this particular Point.

If the bop over the vertices has nished, the bop over the Pre Amplitudes proceeds to the next am plitude and the actual one is switched o . Therefore, every new Point which has matched onto a vertex, yields a new am plitude. In this way, only am plitudes which are fully led, reach the end of the bop. If no new am plitude exists, the bop term inates.

In this fashion, a number of pre{am plitudes has been generated which will be subjected to some simple tests in order to avoid double counting of Feynm an diagram s:

If the chosen model is QCD (all QCD Feynman rules plus a coupling to electrons and positrons), only diagrams can survive which have just one electroweak (i.e. photon, Z boson) propagator. This is used for the generation of QCD nal states in electron (positron annihilations.

In multiple boson vertices a certain ordering of the particles has to be demanded in order to avoid a double counting of, for instance, three gluon vertices.

Passing these tests the located Pre_Amplitude can be translated into a proper Feynm an diagram, i.e. a Single_Amplitude. An additional m inus sign for every exchange of two ferm ions is included and stored as well.

- 5. Next_P() searches recursively through the list of Points for the next undeterm ined propagator, i.e. for a Point with the Flavour not yet set.
- 6. Print_P() prints a Point list recursively.
- 7. In Match_Vertex() a given combination of Flavours is compared with a given Single_Vertex, i.e. all Flavours which are set will be compared and if they match, the unset Flavours as well as the coupling constants can be led.

- 8. Check_End() checks for a given Point with already set avour whether the linked left and right Points are already endpoints and, if this is the case, whether they m atch. For the m atching part, a loop over all possible vertices is performed and every vertex is compared with a slightly modiled avour combination of the incoming and the two outgoing particles. The avours are changed according to the rules presented in Sec. 3.1. Having at hand the proper avour combination it is checked against the actual vertex via Match_Vertex(). If the checks are passed, the couplings of the Point are set.
- 9. Compare() perform s a com parison of all am plitudes. Since the derived list of Feynm an diagram s could still have som e double countings, this step is necessary. W ithin a double loop over all Single_Amplitudes the appropriate Point lists are com pared with Single_Compare(), where one of them is marked for deletion in case they are equal. This is achieved by using the On switch of a Single_Amplitude. Finally, all marked am plitudes are deleted with the m ethod Kill_Off().
- 10. Single_Compare() compares two Point lists recursively which means that in one recursion step always two Points are inspected. They are checked for equal Flavour and number. A fter this, the left and right Points are compared with a recursive call to Single_Compare(). However, if the regarded Point belongs to a triple gluon vertex, the left and right branch could be exchanged and compared again. Thus, all possible combinations of exchanging the di erent branches are considered.
- 11. Kill_Off() deletes all marked Single_Amplitudes which are switched o . A loop over all possible am plitudes and an appropriate reordering of the list of Single_Amplitudes is su cient for this purpose.
- 12. Save_Pointlist() saves all Single_Amplitudes into a list of Point lists. This is necessary for the later use within the Channel_Generator for the phase space integration.
- 13. Simplify() compares all di erent am plitudes. If two of them di er only by a photon, Z or Higgs boson propagator they can be united and regarded as the same am plitude. Later on, during the calculation of the di erent pieces of helicity am plitudes, the contributions of the di erent propagators will be sum m ed up. Therefore, always two Point lists are compared. In case they di er only be the regarded boson propagators, they are uni ed with the m ethod Unite().
- 14. Unite() unites two amplitudes which di eronly by a photon, Z or Higgs boson propagator. Therefore, the arrays of couplings for the start and end Points of



Figure 17:0 ne Feynm an diagram.

the propagator have to be enhanced to include the appropriate coupling of the other propagator types. The Flavour of the propagator is exchanged with a list of Flavours for all occurring avour types.

4.3.3 A single am plitude

The Single_Amplitude is an implementation of a particular Feynman diagram. Therefore, it includes the Point list for the graph, a list of Zfuncs for the translation of the graph into helicity amplitudes, a list of propagators and a list of color matrices. The class governs the generation of all these lists and calculates the am – plitude with the help of Building_Blocks, for a pictorial overview of the connection to other classes see Fig. 17. Furtherm ore, Single_Amplitude provides a number of m ethods form anipulating the generated lists.

1. The Constructor() initializes the list of Points. However, during the generation of this list in the Amplitude_Generator not much care has been taken to the correct direction of the spin ow for the rst ferm ion line. Therefore, a number of propagators might still have the wrong spinor direction, ie. they are considered to be particles instead of anti-particles or vice versa. In order to cure this problem, two methods (Initial_Fermionline() and Reverse_Fermionline()) are used in the following way:

If the rst particle is an incoming ferm ion and not an anti-particle, the initial spinor line is marked with Initial_Fermionline(). Furtherm ore, the endpoint of this line is determined as well.

If the endpoint of this ferm ion line is an outgoing particle, the spin ow of this rst spinor line is reversed beginning with the last particle in Reverse_Fermionline().

D uring these two steps a special ag in the Point list (t) is set. Lateron, during the generation of the list of propagators, this ag determ ines the particle or anti-particle character of the appropriate propagator.

- 2. Initial Fermionline () determ ines recursively the initial ferm ion (i.e. spinor) line and its endpoint. The pointer to the previous Point is set as well.
- 3. Reverse_Fermionline() starts from the endpoint of the rst ferm ion line. The t ag is set equal to one in case the propagator is an anti-particle.
- 4. Zprojecting() translates the Feynm an diagram into the helicity amplitude language in the following steps:

The colour structure of the Feynm an diagram is determ ined using the Color_Generator. W ith Color_Generator::Convert() every vertex gains a colourm atrix and Color_Generator::C2string() translates the whole term into a character string which will be used for later evaluation.

The various pieces of a helicity am plitude are generated within the Zfunc_Generator. Therefore, Zfunc_Generator::Convert() interprets the Feynm an diagram, i.e. the list of Points in term sofhelicity am plitudes and Zfunc_Generator::Flip() changes the sequence of pairs of barred and unbarred spinors, so that in each pair, barred spinors com e before unbarred ones in accordance with the de nition of the X {, Y { and Z { functions.

The Prop Generator constructs a list of propagators, i.e. determ ines the momenta of the dierent propagators in terms of incoming and outgoing particles. With Prop_Generator::Convert() the list is generated, Prop_Generator::Fill() Is in the ingredients (dependencies on external particles) of every propagator and kills the ones which are not used (Prop_Generator::Kill()). In thism ethod, the list of Z functs is explored and every propagator which could not be found, is deleted.

Now, lists of Zfuncs, Cfuncs and Pfuncs for the helicity am plitudes, the color matrices and the list of propagators, respectively, are at hand.

- 5. Fill_Coupling() lls all couplings into the String_Handler. For this purpose, a bop over all Zfuncs is performed and every particular coupling is set via String_Handler::Get_Cnumber().
- 6. MPolconvert () converts polarizations, i.e. replaces every number in the list of Zfuncs with a given new number for the polarization.
- 7. Prop_Replace() adds a new propagator to the list of Pfuncs. This method is commonly used for massive polarization vectors, where an external massive vector boson is treated like a propagator decaying into its polarizations.
- 8. Zvalue () calculates the helicity amplitude for one Feynm an diagram 5 :

The maximum number of fermionic propagators (i.e. those which are switched on) is determined and their numbers are stored into an array.

If this number of propagators equals zero, only one Zfunc has to be calculated. The arguments of the Z function as well as their helicities are lled into a list of arguments via Fill_Args(). Then, the appropriate couplings are set via Building_Blocks::Set_Arg_Couplings(). Now the Z function can be calculated with Single_Zvalue().

If any fem ionic propagator exists, a bop over all possible states for every fem ion propagator has to be perform ed. Since the num ber of propagators is not known in advance, a bop over bops technique has to be applied (cf. Appendix C). In the external bop the sign of the propagators which correspond to a particle or anti-particle state, and the two helicity states have to be altered. Having at hand one such sam ple, the product of all Z functions for this amplitude has to be calculated using a bop over all Zfuncs. The evaluation is performed in the same way as described above for a single Zfunc. Now, the product of all Z's is multiplied with an extra mass term (Mass_Terms()) which corresponds to the real and virtual propagator mass, see Eq. (2.13). The contributions for every set of propagator states is sum med up and multiplied at the very end with allem erging fem ion propagators (Building_Blocks::Set_P()) and with a factor of one half taking into account the sum over particles and anti-particles.

F inally, the calculated value multiplied by the overall sign of the amplitude is returned.

 $^{^5\}mathrm{N}$ ote, that by construction, the boson propagators are already part of the Z (function.

9. Fill_Args() constructs the list of arguments for one Z function. For this purpose it uses the list of helicities for the incoming and outgoing particles as well as the list of propagators with their appropriate helicity states. A loop over the arguments of the Z function is performed and translated in the following way:

If the argument is a propagator, i.e. the number is larger than 99, a signed propagator number and the appropriate helicity is added to the list of arguments. The sign corresponds to a particle or an anti-particle state propagating.

If the argum ent is an incoming or outgoing particle, its number and helicity are taken over unchanged.

If the argum ent corresponds to a polarisation of a massless vector boson, its number is larger than the maximum number of particles and smaller than 20. In this case, the helicity of the polarisation is the same as the helicity of the appropriate massless vector boson and the number of the momentum is translated via subtracting 10.

The number of the polarisation for a massive vector boson is always between 20 and 99. These polarisations get a helicity of m inus one by construction and the momentum number can be gained by subtracting 20.

- 10. Single_Zvalue() calculates one Zfunc using the various possibilities of the Building_Blocks.
- 11. Mass_Terms() calculates the mass term of Eq. (2.13) for the decom position of ferm ionic propagators using Building_Blocks::Set_Mass().
- 12. printgraph() prints all lists for one Feynm an diagram, i.e. the list of Zfuncs, Pfuncs and Cfuncs.
- 13. Get_Pointlist() returns the list of Points.
- 14. Get_Clist() returns the list of colour matrices, i.e. Cfuncs.
- 15. Get_Plist () returns the list of propagators, i.e. Pfuncs.

The structure Zfunc includes all informations which are necessary to calculate an arbitrary Z function, namely the type (1::9), the list of arguments and the list of couplings, see Tab.11. Last but not least every bosonic propagator which is involved in this Z function is stored as well in a special list. This will become necessary later on for the calculation of massive vector boson propagators.

The class Zfunc_Generator constructs the list of Zfuncs, i.e. it translates a Feynm an diagram into the helicity language by decomposing it into a number of

Туре	# arg	# coupl	# prop	D escription		
-1	2	2	0	A scalar boson which is connected		
				to two ferm ions.		
0	4	12	0	An outgoing or incom ing vector boson		
				attached at a ferm ion line		
			1	or two ferm ion lines connected via		
				a vector boson.		
1	6	10	3	A three vector boson vertex.		
2	6	7	3	A two vector and one scalar boson vertex.		
3	6	10	3	A one vector and two scalar boson vertex.		
4	0	1	0	A three scalar boson vertex.		
5	8	10	5	The four vector boson vertex.		
9	10	13	7	The vevector boson vertex.		

Table 11: All possible types of a Zfunc and their description as well as the number of arguments, couplings and propagators.

Particle type	Number	
Incoming or outgoing	0–9	
Ferm ion propagator	100-120	
Boson propagator	200-	
Polarization of a m assless vector boson	Number of the boson plus 10.	
Polarization of a massive vector boson	Number of the boson plus 20.	
D um m y num bers for the calculation	120-140	
of the Colormatrices		

Table 12: The particle num bering scheme in the list of arguments for every Z function.

Z functions. This is one of the central parts of the program. Note that during the determ ination of the list of arguments for every Z function, a certain internal numbering scheme is applied, for details see Tab. 12.

 Convert () translates a given graph, i.e. a list of Points into the list of Zfuncs recursively. A new Zfunc will be produced, if the current particle is a ferm ion, a scalar boson or an incom ing vector boson. Depending on the Flavour type of this particle, two pointers will be set. In case it is a ferm ion, one pointer is set to the outgoing boson and one to the outgoing ferm ion. If the particle is a boson, only the boson pointer is set, whereas the ferm ion pointer is set to zero. W ith the current Point and these two pointers as arguments the type of the Zfunc can be determined (Determine_Zfunc()) and the arguments can be led in (Fill_Zfunc()). At the end two recursive calls to Convert(), with the left and the right hand Point as arguments, ensure the recursive examination of the whole graph.

- Determine_Zfunc() determines the type of the Zfunc according to Tab. 11, where the method IsGaugeV() is used to count the number of vector and scalar bosons at one vertex.
- 3. IsGaugeV() determines the number of vector and scalar bosons within one vertex.
- 4. Fill_Zfunc() speci es all argum ents, couplings and propagators of one Zfunc. First of all, the sizes of all three lists are determ ined (see Tab. 11). Now, the di erent lists can be initialized and led by calling the appropriate m ethod. Note that each type of Zfunc has its own m ethod (Zm1(), Z0(), etc.).
- 5. Set_In() sets the arguments and couplings for the incoming part of a Zfunc. If the fermionic pointer is set (see Convert()), the arguments are set on the current and the fermionic pointer, where the sequence is always anti-particles before particles. The two couplings will be led with the couplings from the current pointer. Note that the sign of the graph gains an extra minus for a fermionic anti-particle propagator. The second case is addressed to an incoming boson. If it is scalar, both arguments are set on the number of this boson and the couplings are set to zero (this is only the dummy part of a Zfunc). For a vector boson the rst argument is set on the number of the boson and the second is set to the number plus 20 or 11 form assive orm assless vector bosons, respectively. Here, the couplings are set to unity.
- 6. Set_Out () sets the argum ents, couplings and propagators for one outgoing end of a Zfunc. At the beginning, the propagator will be set onto the current boson. If the boson is not an outgoing one the argum ents of the Zfunc are set onto the num bers of the left and right hand particle. The sign of the graph will be changed for a ferm ionic propagator on the left hand side (i.e. an anti-particle propagator). O utgoing bosons will be managed in the sam e way like incom ing bosons (see Set_In()), but with an reversed sequence of argum ents.
- 7. Set_Scalar() sets the left{ and right{handed couplings to a scalar boson within a Zfunc. This means that both arguments are set to the number of the scalar boson and the couplings are set to zero. The list of propagators is enhanced by the scalar boson propagator.

- 8. Get_Flav_Pos3() determ ines the position of the three electroweak vector bosons in the method Z1().
- 9. Zm1() sets the arguments with Set_In() or Set_Out() for an incoming or outgoing scalar boson, respectively.
- 10. Z0() speci es the argum ents and couplings for a vector boson exchange between two ferm ion lines or an incom ing or outgoing boson:

For an incoming or outgoing scalar boson the incoming part will be set on position 0 (Set_In()) and the outgoing part at position 1 (Set_Out()).

The same holds true for an incoming vector boson.

In the case of outgoing vector bosons or the exchange of a vector boson between two ferm ion lines the outgoing part is set on position 0 and the incom ings are set on position 1 with Set_Out() and Set_In(), respectively.

Note that the sequence of the arguments plays an important role during the calculation of the Z function. However, multiple propagators (, Z, Higgs boson) will be considered by enhancing the list of couplings of the Z func.

- 11. The methods Zf1,2,3,4g() correspond to a three boson vertex, where the positions within the list of arguments depend on the appropriate vertex, for details see Tab. 13. Note that all incoming bosons are placed with Set_In(), outgoing bosons are set with Set_Out() or Set_Scalar() for vector or scalar bosons, respectively. However, multiple propagators can occur in the case of vector bosons, too. Then, the list of couplings will be enhanced by the extra couplings.
- 12. Z5() sets the arguments for the four vector boson vertex, see Tab. 14. A part from that, all other options are the same like in the three boson vertex case.
- 13. Z9() considers the calculation of the ve vector boson vertex. Note that in the current version only the ve gluon vertex is used, i.e. no ve electroweak gauge boson vertices are taken in plemented yet. This is part of future work.
- 14. Flip() takes care for the correct order of particle and anti-particles in the list of arguments in the Zfuncs, i.e. the sequence of spinors. Here, anti-spinors always have to stand before spinors in the appropriate two-particle blocks of the Zfuncs. Therefore a bop over all Zfuncs is performed and the arguments of every function are examined. Now, a change will occur in case an incoming ferm ion or an outgoing anti-ferm ion is placed before its partner. The same change will be enforced, if the second particle is an incoming anti-ferm ion or

M ethod	Incom ing	Left	R ight	Condition
Z1()	2	0	1	For a three gluon vertex.
	2	0	1	For =Z;W;W vertex.
	0	2	1	ForW; =Z;W vertex.
	0	1	2	ForW;W; =Z vertex.
Z2()	2	0	1	ForH;Z=W;Z=W vertex.
	0	2	1	ForZ=W;H;Z=W vertex.
	0	1	2	ForZ=W;Z=W;H vertex.
Z3()	2	0	1	ForV;S;S vertex.
	0	2	1	ForS;V;S vertex.
	1	0	2	ForS;S;V vertex.
Z4()				For S;S;S vertex (is only a coupling).

Table 13: The positions in the list of argum ents for the di erent three boson vertex types and constellations.

M ethod	I	L	LL	LR	R	RL	RR	Condition
Z5()	0		3	2	1			For =Z;W; =Z;W vertex.
	1		3	0	2			For W ; W ; =Z; =Z vertex.
	0	1				2	3	For =Z;W; =Z;W vertex.
	1	0				2	3	ForW; =Z; =Z;W vertex.
	0	1				2	3	ForG;G;G;G vertex.

Table 14: The positions in the list of arguments for the four vector boson vertex with dierent constellations. Note that I stands for incoming, L and R for left and right, respectively.

an outgoing fem ion. Both checks are necessary, since one of the arguments could be a propagator, where the spinor or anti{spinor property is not xed before. If a change should be performed and the partner of the exam ined particle is a propagator, not only the current Zfunc but also a second one will be altered. This is due to the fact that a ferm ionic propagator always emerges in two Zfuncs. Now, if the propagator stands on the rst position after the change, the second occurrence of the propagator in another Zfunc has to be as a second argument. Therefore, the other Zfunc will be searched and changed accordingly. However, this second occurrence of the propagator can have a propagator as a partner as well. Consequently, the change of the position for this partner results in another change for its second appearance and so on. In this way, the whole ferm ion line will be ipped.

15. Get () returns the list of Z funcs.

The structure Pfunc contains all informations to characterize a propagator. The necessary arguments are the number of the propagator and all those incoming and outgoing momenta which sum up to its momentum. A Flavour gives the type of the propagator and a complex number stores the calculated value. Last but not least an on switch allows the dropping of individual propagators.

The class Prop_Generator generates the list of propagators, i.e. the Pfuncs, and calculates their complex value for one individual graph:

- 1. Convert () translates a topology, i.e. a list of Points into a list of propagators (Pfuncs). A propagator is characterized through a num ber which is larger than 99 (this corresponds directly to our num bering scheme, see Tab.12). Now, a recursive exam ination of the whole tree yields allpossible propagators. Note that some of the propagators are combined for dierent boson types (i.e. photon, Z, and Higgs boson). Since dierent boson types yield dierent propagator values, a new propagator will be generated for every boson. For every propagator a Pfunc is created and attached to the list. The rst argument of the Pfunc is the num ber of the appropriate propagator and the two next arguments correspond to the num bers of the left and right handed particles in the graph. At the end of the method two recursive calls to Convert () will be performed.
- 2. Fill () com pletes the list of arguments for the propagators. In Convert () every propagator is led into a Pfunc. The arguments of this Pfunc are the avour of the propagator and the left and right handed particles. However, in order to calculate the fourmomenta of the propagators later on, the dependence on the incoming and outgoing particles is needed (since only the four{momenta of these particles are available). The task of this method is to replace the dependence of the propagator on the left and right handed particle by the dependence on all (incoming and outgoing) particles which are attached at the same branch. Therefore, a loop over all Pfuncs is enclosed by a loop which ends, when all dependencies are led in. Now, every Pfunc is examined. If a propagator is found in the arguments, the num ber of this propagator is replaced by the list of dependencies for this propagator itself. These replacements are perform ed until every propagator has a list of dependencies which includes only the num bers of incoming and outgoing particles.
- 3. Kill() deletes all propagators which do not appear as an argument in the list of Z functs. A loop over all propagators and Z functions searches for the number

of the current propagator in the list of argum ents of the current Z function. If a propagator could not be found, it will be switched o .

- 4. Calculate() evaluates the complex value of all propagators. Consequently, a loop over the list of Pfuncs is performed. W ithin the loop rst of all, the four{m on entum of the current propagator has to be determ ined. This can be achieved by adding up all the depending fourm on enta of incom ing and outgoing particles, i.e. all four{m on enta which are attached at the same branch of the træe as the propagator. Note that the four{m om enta of the incom ing particles are multiplied with a 1. Now, the com plex value of the propagator can be calculated using the m ass and the width of the current particle. A c-cording to our Feynm an rule conventions every ferm ionic and scalar particle is multiplied with an extra com plex unit and the vector bosons are provided with m inus a com plex unit.
- 5. Get () returns the list of Pfuncs.

The structure Cfunc represents an element of the color matrices, i.e. a generator of the SU (3) color group $(T_{\rm bc}^{\rm a})$ or a structure constant of the group $(f_{\rm abc})$. They are indicated by dimensional types within Cfunc, where a 10 represents a delta function, a 0 corresponds to a generator of the fundamental representation and the number 1 is connected with a structure constant. The appropriate labels (abc) are saved in a list of arguments.

The Color_Generator generates a list of these Cfuncs which belong to every graph. A string representation will be created as well, in order to simplify the calculation of the color factors later on:

- Convert () generates the list of Cfuncs. Therefore, the whole topology of a graph is searched recursively and every vertex yields a new colorm atrix Cfunc depending on the incom ing and the two outgoing particles of this vertex (see Tab.15). At the end two recursive calls to Convert () (with the left and right hand side Point) ensure that every vertex in the topology is translated into a Cfunc.
- 2. Kill() perform es a rst sim pli cation of the color structure, i.e. all delta functions which involve propagators, are deleted and the appropriate replacements are done within the list of Cfuncs. A coordingly, a loop over all Cfuncs is performed and every delta function (i.e. type equals 10) is exam ined. If one of the two arguments is a propagator, all emerging numbers of this propagator will be replaced by the second argument in all Cfuncs. At the end these Cfuncs which correspond to a delta function with propagator indices only, are deleted. Note that delta functions remain which have incoming or outgoing particles in the argument.
| Incom ing | Left | R ight | Туре | arg0 | argl | arg2 | C ondition |
|-----------|----------|----------|------|------|------|------|----------------------|
| | outgoing | outgoing | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Quark | Boson | Q uark | 0/10 | L | R | I | Incoming anti-quark. |
| | | | | L | I | R | Incoming quark. |
| Quark | Quark | Boson | 0/10 | R | L | I | Incoming anti-quark. |
| | | | | R | I | L | Incoming quark. |
| Boson | Quark | Q uark | 0/10 | I | L | R | |
| Boson | Boson | Boson | 1 | I | L | R | |
| | | | | | | | |

Table 15: In this table the di erent allocations for the arguments of a Cfunc are shown. The left, right and the incoming particle are denoted as L, R, and I, respectively. Note that the type depends on the nature of the boson. In case it is a gluon, the type is 0 and for all other bosons the type is 10.

- 3. C2string() translates the list of Cfuncs into a character string. During the generation of the string, every type of Cfunc will be translated accordingly, i.e. type 0 into a T A;b;c], type 1 into a F A;B;C] and type 10 into a D [a;b] function. Note that every upper case letter lies in the range of 1:::8 and the lower case letters in the range of 1:::3. Furtherm ore, some care has to be taken that propagators which appear in di erent Cfuncs, gain the same letter. However, at this stage not only the color string will be created, but also its com plex conjugate. Here, every propagator has to get a totally new letter with respect to the propagators in the original string, since these letters are only inner sum mation indices. Consequently, the color factor can now be calculated by just merging those two strings and no special care has to be taken for inner sum mation indices any more. This sim pli es the calculation signi cantly later on (see the class Color).
- 4. Get () returns the list of Cfuncs.

4.3.4 Calculating the Amplitude

The calculation of the am plitudes is organized on di erent abstraction levels. On the highest level stands the class Building_Blocks which evaluates the di erent com - posed Z functions and will be called from within Single_Amplitude. The building blocks them selves rely on the Composite_Zfuncs and the Mathematica_Interfaces, where the latter one uses the m ethods of the Composite_Zfuncs as well. Strictly speaking, these com posed Z functions translate a simple notation of the di erent Z functions into a call with all arguments, where the m ethods of the class Elementary_Zfuncs will be used. These m ethods calculate all the Z ,X ,Y , etc. func-

tions with the help of a set of basic spinor products and norm alizations which are established in the class Basic_Sfuncs. This is the lowest level class which directly uses the four{m on enta of the incom ing and outgoing particles.

The Building_Blocks are the basic elements of a calculation. They calculate one single Z function and are called from within Single_Amplitude::Zvalue():

- 1. W ith the Constructor() of this class a new object of Composite_Zfuncs is initialized. Together with the Mathematica_Interfaces they form the basic calculational tools of this class.
- 2. Reset () resets the Composite_Zfuncs object (via the appropriate Reset () method).
- 3. Set_Arg_Coupl() is used to set the list of arguments and couplings within this class and the Composite_Zfuncs object.
- 4. Set_P() calls Composite_Zfuncs::Set_P() and calculates the Kabbala value of the given propagator (Pfunc).
- 5. Set_Mass() calculates the mass term which occurs during the decomposition of the ferm ionic propagator into products of spinors (via Composite_Zfuncs::Set_Mass()).
- 6. Z2 () calculates the Kabbala value of the original Z function. Here, the di erent contributions of multiple propagators (photon, Z and Higgsboson) are sum m ed up as well. The Z function is calculated via Composite_Zfuncs::Z_Z() and the propagator via Composite_Zfuncs::Z_P(). Note that the contribution of a scalar boson exchange is composed of the product of two Y functions (Composite_Zfuncs::Z_Y()). The last m issing piece is the mass term which appears in the numerator of the propagator for massive vector boson exchanges (p p =M²). This term can be composed out of two X functions (Composite_Zfuncs::Z_X()) and a constant which is directly handled using the m ethod String_Generator()::Get_Enumber(). Note that this m ethod translates a com plex num ber into a Kabbala object and saves the value in the list of Zfuncs (see Sec. 4.4).
- 7. All other m ethods calculate an appropriate n boson vertex, usually with the help of a Mathematica_Interface(). A new object will be created the rst time this m ethod is called. The result is a product of the list of the inner propagators (gained via Composite_Zfuncs::Z_P()), the value of the vertex (Mathematica_Interface()::Calculate()) and the coupling constant (String_Handler::Get_Enumber()). A further complication can arise when multiple propagators occur (i.e. a photon and a Z boson). Then the contributions will be simply sum m ed up. If m ore than three vector bosons appear

M ethod	Pupoæ
Z3V ()	Three vector boson vertex,
	sum s up multiple propagators with Z31.
Z31()	Three vector boson vertex.
ZVVS()	Two vector, one scalar boson vertex.
ZSSV ()	Two scalar, one vector boson vertex,
	sum s up multiple propagators with ZSSV1().
ZSSV1()	Two scalar, one vector boson vertex.
ZSSS()	Three scalar boson vertex, simply a coupling.
Z4V ()	Four vector boson vertex.
Z5V ()	Five vector boson vertex.

Table 16: A selected list of methods for the calculation of an n boson vertex.

in one vertex, a sum of the multiple iterated three vector boson vertices and a quadruple vector boson vertex has to be perform ed. This is due to our handling of quadruple vector boson vertices. However, a detailed list of every method can be found in Tab. 16.

The Mathematica_Interface can be used to implement algebraic expressions which are produced by Mathematica. Here, the output of the appropriate Mathematica session will be translated into a proper C++ le and linked to the object. This process can be done in a semi-automatic way. However, usually all necessary calculations have been already performed and the linked C++ les are ready to use:

- 1. The Constructor () can be used with two di erent options. The rst one which is the usual option, is used for the calculation of already translated m ethods. An identity string indicates the m ethod to be used. In the second option a Mathematica lewillbe read in and translated into a C++ le. First of all, the lewillbe read in and casted into a string. The m ethod Shorten () deletes all occurrences of p and q characters which are necessary in the Mathematica le, but are now obsolete. W ith C_Output () the string will now be translated into a C++ le. All other parts, i.e. the linkage of this new m ethod and the calling sequence have to be included by hand, a sam ple could be found in Appendix B.
- 2. C_Output () transform s an algebraic expression in the form of a character string into a C++ m ethod of this class. Therefore a new le will be created and the output of the string expression is performed in the same way as the output of the libraries, see Sec. 4.4, i.e. a m axim um number of characters per line will be enforced.

M ethod	Purpose
vGGG()	Three vector boson vertex without any mass term s.
vZWW ()	Three vector boson vertex including all mass term s.
vVVS()	Two vector one scalar boson vertex.
vSSV ()	Two scalar one vector boson vertex.
vGGGG_ _ ()	Two iterated three boson vertices as a part of the
	four vector boson vertex, m asses are neglected.
vG G G G _ q ()	Quadruple vector boson vertex, masses are neglected.
vZZW W _±()	Like vGGGG_it(), but including allm ass term s.
vZZW W _q()	Like vGGGG_q(), but including allm ass term s.
v5G_進()	Three iterated three boson vertices as part of the
	ve vector boson vertex, m asses are neglected.
v5G _itq ()	0 ne triple plus one quadruple vector boson vertex,
	neglecting m asses.

Table 17: A selected list of methods for the direct calculation of a part of a multiple boson vertex.

3. Shorten() uses Kill_String() to delete all p and q characters

- 4. Kill_String() kills the occurrence of a given character in a string.
- 5. Calculate() is the steering routine of the calculational part of this class. A ccording to the given string identity, this routine choses the appropriate m ethod for the evaluation.
- 6. The methods Z(), X(), S(), Y() and M() calculate an elementary Z,X,S, or Y function or a mass term, respectively. The appropriate methods Z_Z(), Z_X(), Z_S(), Z_Y() and Z_M() of the class Composite_Zfuncs are used accordingly.
- 7. All other m ethods calculate a multiple boson vertex directly, see Tab. 17.

The class Composite_Zfuncs translates a simplied form of a call to the elementary Z functions (Elementary_Zfuncs) into a full calling sequence. Therefore this class mainly exists for a better readability of the Building_Blocks and the Mathematica_Interface:

- 1. The Constructor () creates a new Elementary_Zfuncs object.
- 2. Reset () sets the pointers of the arguments and the couplings of a Z function to zero.

- 3. Set_Arg_Coupl() sets the arguments and couplings of a Z function.
- 4. Set_P() calls the m ethod Elementary_Zfuncs::P() in order to calculate the value of a propagator.
- 5. Set_Mass() calls the m ethod Elementary_Zfuncs::Mass_Term() in order to evaluate the extra m assterm of a decom posed ferm ionic propagator, see Eq. (2.13).
- 6. Z_P() calculates the product of propagators for a Z function. Therefore, a list of propagator numbers is used to extract all propagators taking part in the current Z function. Their Kabbala values will be multiplied up and returned.
- 7. Z_X() calculates an X function and translates a simple combination of argum ents into the full list and calls Elementary_Zfuncs::X(). Note that this m ethod takes into account a sign convention which perm its the proper handling of the four-m om entum current.
- 8. Z_S() works in the sam e way like Z_X(), but calls
 Elementary_Zfuncs::S().
- 9. Z_Z() translates the simple notation of an elementary Z function into the full callofElementary_Zfuncs::Z().
- 10. Z_Y() works like Z_Z() with a slight di erence. Here, it can happen that both couplings are zero. In this case the value 1 will be returned.
- 11. Z_M() calls directly Elementary_Zfuncs::M() without any translations.

The Elementary_Zfuncs form the last step on the ladder to the calculation of the di erent Z functions. In this class they will be nally calculated and the String_Generator is led with the di erent Z functions. At least two methods exist for each function, one for building the string part and the second to calculate the appropriate Z function. Sometimes di erent methods exist for di erent calling sequences within the string libraries.

1. The methods Z(), Y(), X() and S() calculate an elementary Z, Y, X function or a scalar product of two fourmomenta and provide its value for the String_Generator(). Therefore all arguments and couplings will be led into one list. Now, all propagators which have numbers larger than 99 will be mapped onto real fourmomenta (i.e. with numbers smaller than 100) via Map(). Then, the value of the function can be calculated via the appropriate fZ,Y,X,Sgcalc() and translated into a Kabbala type value with String_Generator::Get_fZ,Y,X,Sgnumber(). Note that in case a string is to be built, the function with allarguments is stored within the String_Generator.

- 2. Them ethods Zcalc(), Ycalc(), Xcalc() and Scalc() calculate an elementary Y, X, Z function or a scalar product according to Tabs. 1, 2 and 3, respectively.
- 3. P() calculates the value of a propagator and lls it into the String_Generator. First of all, the number of the given propagator will be determined via Basic_Sfuncs::Get_Mom_Number(). Then, the value is calculated with Pcalc(Flavour fl,...) and translated into a Kabbala value (String_Generator::Get_Pnumber()).
- 4. Pcalc(int fl,...) translates the integer valued kf{code into a proper Flavour() and calls Pcalc(Flavour fl,...).
- 5. Pcalc (Flavour fl,...) calculates the value of a propagator using Propagator ().
- 6. Propagator () calculates the value of a propagator for a given four-m om entum squared and the Flavour. Note that di erent types of the Flavour gain different extra factors, i.e. ferm ionic and scalar propagators yield an extra factor of i and vector boson propagators get a i.
- 7. Mass_Term() generates the extra mass term which occurs during the decom position of the ferm ionic propagator into spinor products. The rst step is to determ ine the Flavour of the propagator from the given number. Then, the mass term is calculated with Mass_Term_Calc(..., Flavour fl) and it is led into the String_Generator (via Get_Massnumber()).
- 8. Mass_Term_Calc(..., int fl) translates the given kf{code into a proper Flavour and calls Mass_Term_Calc(..., Flavour fl).
- 9. Mass_Term_Calc(..., Flavour fl) calculates the m ass term .
- 10. M() determ ines the mass for a given particle number in case it is a propagator. This method is used for the calculation of the mass terms in massive vector boson propagators. The term $1=M^2$ is then led into the String_Generator() as a complex number (Get_Enumber()).
- 11. Map() translates a given propagator num ber (> 99) into a proper four{m om entum num ber. Therefore the list of Pfuncs is searched for the given num ber. Now, the new num ber is determ ined from the appropriate Pfunc using Basic_Sfuncs::Get_Mom_Number().

We now turn our attention to the calculation of the basic functions which are necessary for the determ ination of every Z function.

The structure Momfunc represents a four{m om entum of a particle. Since in this structure not only incom ing and outgoing m om enta, but also the four-m om enta of the propagators are stored, a list of argum ents is available as well (com pare Pfunc).

The class Basic_Sfuncs generates and translates a list of four-m omenta, i.e. Momfuncs, into the basic functions of the helicity formalism, see Eq. (2.23) for the S functions and Eq. (2.25) for the denition of the and functions. W ith these tools, all other Z functions can be calculated:

- 1. The Constructor() initializes the list of Momfuncs with the call to Initialize_Momlist().
- 2. Initialize() constructs all necessary lists, i.e. for the {, {, S₀ { and S₁ { functions which correspond to the S (+;) and S (;) functions. Furtherm ore, a list of m asses for the di erent particles is created which is used later on for the determ ination of the functions.
- 3. Initialize_Momlist() constructs the list of Momfuncs for the incom ing and outgoing particles. Since at this stage no propagators will be initialized, the list of arguments is led only with the number of the particle. At the end the current number of Momfuncs is returned.
- 4. Build_Momlist () adds to the list of Momfuncs all possible propagators. A loop over the given list of Pfuncs ensures that all propagators will be covered. First of all, a check will be enforced, if the current propagator is already in the list with Get_Mom_Number(). This method returns the number of a given Pfunc in the list of Momfuncs or returns a 1 in case this propagator does not exist within the list. Now, a new Momfunc can be established, where the list of argum ents will be taken over from the Pfunc. At the end, the new number of Momfuncs will be returned.
- 5. Print_Momlist () prints the current list of Momfuncs including the dependencies for every propagator type m om entum .
- 6. Get_Mom_Number() returns for a given Pfunc the number of its propagator in the list of Momfuncs. In case this propagator does not exist, a 1 is returned. A coordingly, a loop over all existing Momfuncs is performed. Each list of arguments for the current Momfunc and the Pfunc are now compared. In case they are equal, the current number of the Momfunc is returned. Note that the comparison is sensitive to di erent sequences within the list of arguments.
- 7. Calc_Momlist() initializes the list of Momfuncs. This means that the Momfuncs for incom ing and outgoing particles will be simply set and all propagators are calculated depending on the given four{mom enta using the list of argum ents and the sign of each particle (see Sign()).

8. setS() is the main method which is called from outside for every new combination of incoming and outgoing four-momenta. Here, all the dimension functions as well as all four-momenta for the propagators will be calculated in the following steps:

First of all, the four-m om enta of all propagators will be calculated with Calc_Momlist () .

Now, within a bop over all Momfuncs all { and { functions will be set. However, whereas the { functions can be calculated without any am biguities, the sign of the { functions depends on the particle or anti-particle character. In our notation a m inus sign com es with:

- { All anti-particles which do not correspond to the rst incoming particle and
- { the rst incom ing particle which is not an anti-particle.

All other particles yield no sign. Note that the sign should have no in uence for the propagator part of the Momfuncs.

In the last step the S_0 { and S_1 {functions will be calculated using an outer and an inner loop over the Momfuncs, since these functions depend on two fourm om enta. The di erent relations between the functions will be used in order to minimize their number to be evaluated.

- 9. N() returns the norm alization factor for two given four-m om enta. This method is used during the calculation of the norm alization for the massless vector boson polarizations.
- 10. Momentum() returns the four-m om entum for a given particle num ber by searching the list of Momfuncs.
- 11. Them ethods S0(), S1(), mu() and eta() return S (+ ;p₁;p₂), S(;p₁;p₂), (p)
 and (p).
- 12. Sign() returns the sign of a momentum, i.e. a 1 for incoming and a + 1 for outgoing particles.
- 13. Flav () returns the Flavour of the given particle.

4.3.5 Calculating the Color matrix and the Coulom b factor

The class Color calculates all color factors from the list of Cfuncs and the appropriate strings of colorm atrices which have been established in the class Color_Generator (). Therefore, the list of Cfuncs will be rst shortened and then the calculation is performed by manipulating the colorm atrices in form of a character string, the di erent replacement rules are listed in Tab. 18.

M ethod	Replacem ent
Single_ReplaceFT()	$f^{abc}T^{c} = i(T^{a}T^{b} T^{b}T^{a})$
Single_ReplaceF()	$f^{abc} = 2iT^{a}_{ij} (T^{c}_{jk} T^{b}_{ki} - T^{b}_{jk} T^{c}_{ki})$
ReplaceT()	$T_{ij}^{a}T_{kl}^{a} = \frac{1}{2}$ il jk $\frac{1}{3}$ ij kl
	Special case: $T_{ij}^{a}T_{jl}^{a} = C_{F}_{il}$
ReplaceD()	_{ii} = 3

Table 18: The replacem ent rules for the di erent m ethods in the class Color.

1. The Constructor () calculates all elements of the color matrix or reads them in from a saved le in the following steps:

At rst, all diagram s (the list of Single Amplitudes) will be switched on. Later on, this switch will be used to discard a repeated calculation of the color factor for identical color structures.

The data le Color.dat will be probed. In case the color factors have been already determ ined and saved into this le, they will be read in and the Constructor() can be term inated.

If the color factors have not been calculated before, their determ ination starts with noting out all equal color structures. This is mandatory in order to reduce the number of the color factors to a minimum. It is performed in two steps:

(a) Allcolorm atrices (i.e. Cfuncs) will be brought into the same form, i.e. the propagators will be uni ed. Therefore the num bers of all incoming and outgoing particles will be searched in the list of Cfuncs for one diagram. If the appropriate number has been found (every number has to emerge once), a propagator which stands in the same Cfunc will be changed to a number beginning from 120 (these numbers do not occur in the usual numbering scheme for propagators, see Tab. 12). All other occurrences of this propagator in the current list of Cfuncs will be altered accordingly. This procedure ensures that identical Cfuncs in di erent diagram s have the same numbering order for the propagators.

(b) Now, the list of Cfuncs for all diagram s can be compared. If two list of Cfuncs exactly coincide, one of them will be dropped by switching of this diagram. In a list of identities the occurrence of the duplicate color structure will be saved in order to build the full matrix of color factors at the very end.

Now, all products of the remaining color structures can be evaluated. Loops over the graphs ensure that every diagram will be connected with all other diagrams in order to ll the full matrix of color factors. This is done by multiplying the string form of the color structure for the current diagram with the string of the conjugated color structure of the second diagram. A coordingly, the value of this string has to be determined following these steps:

- (a) Both strings will be translated into a binary string tree with the method String_Tree::String2Tree().
- (b) All structure constants F_{ABC} will be replaced with a combination of generators T_{bc}^{A} with the method ReplaceF(). This is done for both strings separately.
- (c) Now, the strings will be multiplied by creating a new sknot of the binary string tree, where the operation is a multiplication and the string trees hang on the left and right hand side of the new root sknot.
- (d) A ll brackets will be expanded (String_Tree::Expand()), and the tree is brought into a linear form (String_Tree::Linear()). Now, it will be sorted with String_Tree::Sort().
- (e) At this stage all generators $T^{\rm A}_{bc}$ will be replaced or combined to delta functions D $_{ab}$ with ReplaceT().
- (f) Now, within the string only constants and delta functions are left. Again, the string tree is expanded, linearized and the delta functions will be replaced with the method ReplaceD().
- (g) A string tree, where only constants exist, can be easily evaluated with the m ethod String_Tree::Color_Evaluate() and the color factor is now available.

Having led the matrix of color factors for the irreducible cases, the full matrix can be determined.

At the end the matrix of color factors will be saved into a le for later usage. Note, that the user might switch of individual diagram s by means of this matrix, i.e. by setting the corresponding colour factors to zero.

2. ReplaceF() replaces all occurrences of the structure constants F_{ABC} with generators T_{bc}^{A} , see Tab. 18. A loop over the di erent steps of replacem ents ensures

that at the very end no structure constant is left over. At rst the m ethod tries to nd combinations of structure constants and generators, i.e. with the same color octet indices and replaces them . This step is perform ed with the m ethod Single_ReplaceFT() which is repeated until no such combination exists any m ore. Prior to each call of this routine, the string tree is expanded and linearized with them ethods String_Tree::Expand() and String_Tree::Linear(), respectively. Then, if any structure constants are still left, a single structure constant will be replaced with the m ethod Single_ReplaceF(). D uring the replacem ent new generators appear which can be combined with any remaining structure constants, i.e. the loop starts again.

- 3. Single_ReplaceFT() changes a combination of a structure constant F_{ABC} and a generator T_{bc}^{A} with one common color octet index into a combination of generators, see Tab. 18. Therefore, an expanded and linearized list is searched for such combinations. This is done recursively in the following way: First of all a multiplication operator is looked for, where a generator or structure constant is attached at one side of the sknot. Then, all the other factors of this multiplication series are searched for the appropriate color index. If a match occurs, the two factors will be replaced by the combination of generators. Special care has to be taken for the sign of the product, which depends on the place of the matched color index in the list of arguments for the structure constant. Since the binary tree is searched recursively for such a combination, two calls to Single_ReplaceFT() at the end ensure this procedure.
- 4. Single_ReplaceF() replaces a structure constant F_{ABC} by a combination of generators, see Tab. 18. A simple nd and replace strategy in the binary tree is the underlying idea of this method. Note that only the rst structure constant will be substituted, since in a global strategy it is faster to search for combinations of structure constants and generators (see the proceeding in ReplaceF()).
- 5. ReplaceT() substitutes all the combination of two generators with a number of delta functions, see Tab. 18. Since the product of all generators has to yield a complex number, no generator will be left after this method. The procedure is quite simple, since we have an expanded, linearized and sorted binary string tree. Primarily this means that generators with the same color index are immediate neighbours. Therefore, only these two neighbours have to be combined. A further simplication can be achieved, if one of the matrix indices of the two generators are equal. However, in this way all generators will be replaced recursively by calling ReplaceT() twice at the end of the method.
- 6. ReplaceD() applies in an expanded and linearized binary string tree all delta

functions. This means especially that at the end of this method no delta function should remain (usually this method is called, when no color matrices exist any more). First of all, a delta function will be searched, where two di erent cases can occur:

- (a) Both arguments of the delta function are equal. Then this delta function will be replaced by a factor of 3 (according to the underlying group structure).
- (b) The arguments could dier. Then, all other delta functions which are multiplied with the current one, are searched for the rst argument of the delta function which is then replaced by the second argument. At the end, the current delta function was applied and will be substituted by a factor of 1.

 ${\tt Two}$ recursive calls to ReplaceD() ensure that the whole binary tree is examined.

7. Mij() returns the appropriate entry of the color matrix.

coulomb calculates the Coulomb factor of an amplitude with two W bosons. This is a rst higher{order correction which takes into account a Coulomb force between the two, slow moving, W bosons:

- 1. The Constructor() builds the matrix of Coulomb factors. Note that only products of a graph and a complex conjugate graph will be considered, where both graphs have two W bosons. A fler the matrix was constructed it will be led with the method Build_Matrix().
- 2. Build_Matrix() searches for every graph which has two W bosons and m arks them with the method Single_Amplitude::Set_coulomb(). Then, at each place in the Coulomb m atrix, where two m arked diagram s m eet, the value 1 will be entered.
- 3. Calculate() evaluates the Coulomb factor for a given point in the phase space, i.e. a list of four-m om enta for the incom ing and outgoing particles with Eq. (2.40). For the calculation the momenta of the two W bosons are m andatory and will be determ ined with the help of the given four{momenta. Now, all ingredients are at hand for the evaluation of the Coulomb factor.
- 4. Factor () returns one plus the C oulom b factor multiplied with the appropriate matrix element of C oulom b factors.

In the long run, this class will be expanded to top { pairs etc..

4.4 The Strings

W ithin this section all basic m ethods to construct strings and save them into libraries will be explained. The basic m otivation is the following: For every graph and helicity combination one expression consisting of algebraic operations and Z functions exists. U sually the value of this part of the amplitude can be calculated directly, but this has several large disadvantages:

- 1. Every Z function is calculated in the very moment it is used. Hence some of the Z functions will be calculated more than once.
- 2. The sequence of operations between the di erent Z functions has to be determ ined for every sample of external four-m om enta again, since it could not be stored.
- 3. A lot of helicity combinations can yield zero which is usually relected in a Z function. Nevertheless, all Z functions belonging to this combination will be calculated because a direct calculation is not very exible.

These problem s can be simply cured by the following steps, respectively:

- 1. Every Z function will be stored with its value and its list of arguments. Now, every Z function has to be calculated only once at the beginning. This task is performed by the String_Generator(). A coordingly, every Z function which was calculated in the class Elementary_Zfuncs will now be stored into a list.
- 2. The whole expression for the calculation can be casted into a character string. A coordingly, for every sample of four-m om enta only this string has to be calculated. However, several problem s occur during the generation and calculation of this string:

The string has to be built during the evaluation of the amplitude which results in a quite complicated program code, since every algebraic operation has to be stored. A simple way out is the usage of a class called Kabbala which allows a simultaneous generation of a string during the calculation of complex numbers.

The calculation of the string is very time consuming, since this string has to be interpreted, i.e. the algebraic expression has to be examined and evaluated accordingly. The way out is the translation of this string into a binary string tree (String_Tree). This tree has an operation saved at each knot and the Z functions at the leafs, i.e. end points of the tree. Now, during the calculation this tree has to be followed only and every operation has to be perform ed using the values of the left and the right hand branch of the appropriate knot. This usually saves a lot of time during the evaluation. However, the by far fastest interpreter of an algebraic expression is the C++ com piler itself. A coordingly, all strings will be written out into C++ les, translated and linked for the evaluation. This will be perform ed within the class String_Output and is the optim alway of calculating an expression within AMEGIC++ .

3. The last problem belongs to the unnecessary calculation of zero parts of the am plitude. Again, the translation into a character string can help to om it these parts. Since all zero Z functions are well known during the rst evaluation of the am plitude, all connected parts can be deleted within the character string. Of course, having the string in form of a binary tree relieves this task a lot.

In our description of the single classes we now start with the String_Handler which is responsible for the whole organization. Then, we proceed with the String_Generator family which takes care for the proper storage of the Z functions. In order to manipulate a string (for instance to delete zero parts), a representation of a string, namely a String_Tree, was chosen. This form allows an easy modi cation and evaluation of the strings. If the strings are at hand, they have to be saved into a library form, i.e. a C++ le which later can be translated and linked. The classes Value and String_Output are responsible for this task. Last but not least two helper classes are introduced, one for the handling of strings (MyString) and one for tracking algebraic expressions (Kabbala). A short description of all these classes can be found in Tab. 19 and their relations are exhibited in Fig. 18.

4.4.1 The H and ling of strings

The String_Handler organizes the whole string generation, the output of the strings into libraries and their calculation. Therefore it not only handles the String_Generator for the storage of the Z function but also saves the character strings which have been generated during the evaluation of the am plitudes. These strings can now be translated into a binary tree form. In order to calculate them, a connection between the leafs or end points of the string and the real Z functions has to be drawn which is done as well in this class. At the end an output into C++ les is perform ed.

- 1. The Constructor of this class initializes the String_Generator, where three di erent cases could occur:
 - (a) No string should be generated at alland therefore a No_String_Generator which is a dum my class, will be constructed.
 - (b) A string should be generated, i.e. a regular String_Generator is constructed, but no library should be used.

C lass/Struct	Purpoæ
String_H and ler	H and les all string m an ipulations.
ZX list	This list will be built from the String_Generator
	and all values necessary for the calculation of a string
	are stored here.
Virtual_String_Generator	Themotherclass of all String_Generators.
No_String_Generator	Calculating an amplitude without generating
	any string requires this class.
String_G enerator	Translates all Z functions into strings,
	stores and calculates them later on.
String_Tree	Translates an algebraic equation into a binary tree.
sknot	Is a string knot of this binary tree.
sknotlink	Is a list of sknots.
Values	Is the mother class of all connected libraries.
String_O utput	M aintains the output into C++ les.
M yString	A class for handling strings, i.e. adding or searching
	for strings etc
K abbala	Strings (shem) and com plex num bers
	(rishpon) in one term .

Table 19: A short description of the string classes.

(c) An already produced string library shall be used for the evaluation. In this case no string will be generated either. However, if no string library exists, it will be created.

In the latter two cases an identity, i.e. a name, has to be produced in order to label and identify the appropriate library.

- 2. Get_Generator() returns a pointer to the current String_Generator.
- 3. Init () initializes a matrix of sknots, where every knot represents the root of a String_Tree, i.e. a tree representation of a string. The di erent elements are labeled by the graph num ber and the appropriate helicity combination. In this way, all character strings for the di erent parts of the am plitude can be stored.
- 4. Set_String() performs the translation of a given string into a binary string tree, deletes all zero parts and stores the result into the matrix of sknots. For this purpose a String_Tree object is constructed and lled via the translation method String_Tree::String2Tree(). Now, a full binary tree of strings is available, where every knot belongs to an algebraic operation (+, , ,=) and every end point can be identied with a Z function. However, since all Z



F igure 18: The String classes are responsible for writing the helicity amplitudes into C++ les.

functions with the string identity Z [0] yield zero, these parts can now be deleted with String_Tree::Delete(). Note that the current String_Tree() is a local object only and a translation into a global String_Tree object is mandatory which is achieved via String_Tree::Copy(). The root of this tree can now be stored into the matrix of sknots, where the place is determined through the current graph and helicity number.

5. Complete() is performed when all character strings are already stored in the matrix of sknots. Here, all Z functions which are attached at the end points of the di erent binary trees will be connected with the Z functions stored in the String_Generator. This step is mandatory, since until now, the list of Z functions was generated independently of the character string representing the algebraic expression for the calculation of one part of the am plitude. In order to calculate the full algebraic equation with the help of the binary tree,

the values of these Z functions have to be known. On the other hand, all Z functions are stored in the String_Generator. Therefore links between these di erent representations have to be drawn.

W ithin bops over the number of graphs and helicities the binary trees are handled one by one. At rst, all leafs are collected with the method String_Tree::Get_End(), where a list of sknots will be produced. Now, every leaf is connected with the appropriate Z function via String_Generator::Get_Kabbala(). At the very end, all Z functions which do not appear in the strings any more (i.e. they belonged to zero parts of the term) are deleted via Z_Kill().

- 6. Z_Kill() simply deletes all Z functions which do not appear in a string any more. Therefore, a loop over all Z functions is performed. Every string from all graphs and helicity combinations is searched for the appropriate function. O focurse, since composed Z functions consist of strings them selves, they have to be searched as well. At the very end, if the Z function could not be found in any of the strings, it will be deleted via String_Generator::Kill_Z().
- 7. Calculate() determ ines the value of all stored Z functions using the m ethod String_Generator::Calculate(). This is the rst step of the evaluation of the whole am plitude.
- 8. Zvalue() calculates the value of the string in the form of a binary tree for a given combination of graph and helicity employing the method String_Tree::Evaluate().
- 9. Output () generates the libraries from the strings. Therefore, a String_Output object is generated and the output is performed using String_Output::Output().
- 10. Set_Values () chooses the library to be used from a given identity.
- 11. Is_String() returns 1, if all strings are established.

4.4.2 G enerating the strings

The structure ZXlist contains all inform ations about a Z function, i.e. all arguments, the value, the type of Z function, a pointer to a String-Tree for the composed Z functions and an on{switch. Note that we use the label Z {function for a variety of functions which dier by their type, i.e. a real Z function, an X function, a Y function, a complex number, a scalar product of two four-momenta, a S function, a coupling, a propagator, a composed Z function and a mass term. Any algebraic equation for the determ ination of an amplitude can contain only these possible pieces.

The basic structure for all String_Generators is Virtual_String_Generator which is a purely virtual class. Therefore it contains only virtual m ethods which are overwritten in the derived classes. A coordingly, these m ethods will be described in these classes.

The No_String_Generator is a dum m y class. Its m ethods only return the given com plex value and are used to keep the same program structure for a pure calculation w ithout the generation of any strings.

The String_Generator is used to generate and store all dierent types of Z functions. The idea is that every Z function depending on its list of arguments is stored only once. For a representation within the algebraic expression in form of a character string these Z functions have to gain a number as well for later recognition during the evaluation. Therefore, the main tasks are comparing a new Z function with allexisting ones as well as creating a new one and storing it in the list of ZXL is to function including the number of the Z function. A coordingly, most methods are concerned with comparing arguments of Z functions, storing and calculating them :

- 1. The Constructor () generates a new list of couplings, avours and ZXLists.
- 2. Reset () deletes the contents of all lists.
- 3. fZ, X, Yg_Number () has the aim to give a wellde ned number for any fZ;X;Yg function. For this purpose, it compares the list of arguments and couplings for the appropriate function with all already stored fZ;X;Yg functions. In case a complete match can be achieved the number of the function is returned, otherwise a 1 will be passed back. In this way a double counting of any function can be om itted.
- 4. ZX_Count() counts the number of all ZXlists.
- 5. fZ, X, Eg_Count () counts the number of fZ; X; E g functions, where the latter one represents a complex number.
- 6. Get_Cnumber () com pares a given coupling with all other couplings in the list. If the coupling could be found, the num ber within the list is returned, otherwise the new coupling will be attached to the list of couplings.
- 7. Get_Fnumber() works in the sam e way as Get_Cnumber(), but for the list of Flavours.
- 8. Number() constructs a Kabbala (i.e. a string and a complex number in one class) out of a given complex value and a number for the Z function. The string part of the Kabbala is generated in the form of \Z[Z_number]".

- 9. Get_Znumber() is the method called from the class Elementary_Zfuncs. The input is the list of arguments, the list of couplings and the value of the Z function. First of all, the list of couplings is translated into a list of numbers which hint on a global list via Get_Cnumber(). Then, the number of the Z function in the list of ZXLists is determined with the method Z_Number(). If this Z function already exists, the Kabbala value is returned. O therwise a new ZXList will be created. Therefore the lists of arguments and couplings as well as the Kabbala value (via Number) are lied into a ZXList.
- 10. Get_Xnumber() works in the sam e way like Get_Znumber() for X functions.
- 11. Get_Ynumber() works in the sam e way like Get_Znumber() for Y functions.
- 12. Get_Enumber() adds a complex number to the list of ZXList (this could be the mass of a vector boson for instance). Therefore the existing list is searched for this complex number and a new ZXList is attached in case it could not be found.
- 13. Get_Pnumber() adds a propagator term to the list of ZXLists. Here, the com plex values and the avour of the propagator are com pared with the existing list.
- 14. Get_Massnumber() adds a mass term to the list of ZXLists. These terms occur during the transformation of ferm ion propagators into spinor products. The complex value of the term as well as the avour are compared with the existing list.
- 15. Get_Snumber() adds a scalar product of two four-m om enta to the list of ZXLists. The two arguments, i.e. the numbers of the four-m om enta, are compared with the existing list.
- 16. Get_CZnumber() adds a composed Z function to the list of ZXLists. These functions occur, when the building blocks for the calculation of a multiple boson vertex will be stored as an extra Z function. Therefore only the complex values are compared with the already existing list. However, since a composed Z function consists mainly of an algebraic equation in form of a string, this string has to be stored. In order to speed up the evaluation, the string is rst of all translated into a binary tree. Then the zero parts are deleted (i.e., where a Z [0] function occurs) and the end points of the tree are connected with the real Z functions. W ithin the ZXList only the pointer to the root of this tree is stored (a sim ilar treatment can be found within the method String_Handler::Complete()).

- 17. Calculate() evaluates all complex values for the di erent Z functions of the list of ZXLists. A coordingly, the di erent m ethods of the class Elementary_Zfuncs are em ployed, see Tab.20. In case a string library is linked this job is perform ed by Values::Calculate().
- 18. Get_Kabbala() returns a pointer to the Kabbala value of a ZXlist for a given string representation of a Z function. If the string consists of a com plex value only and could not be found in the current list, a new ZXlist is created and attached to the list.
- 19. Set_EZ() sets the pointer to the actual Elementary_Zfunc object.
- 20. ZX_Max_Number() returns the maximum number of ZXlists.
- 21. Get_ZX1 () returns a ZXlist for a given list number.
- 22. Coupl_Max_Number() returns the maximum number of couplings stored in the list of couplings.
- 23. Get_Coupl () returns the coupling for a given list num ber.
- 24. Kill_Z() switches a ZXlist o .

4.4.3 Translating an equation into a binary string tree

The structure sknot represents one algebraic operation and is a knot of the tree for a whole algebraic expression. Therefore it contains a left and a right pointer to the next sknots, a string and a pointer to a Kabbala value for the end points of the tree and an operation for the knots of the tree.

The structure sknotlink is built from a list of sknots with a xed number and contains a link to the next sknotlink. The actual number is stored as well.

The String_Tree is responsible for the translation of an algebraic expression in form of a character string into a binary tree form. Every knot of the tree contains one operation and the leafs, i.e. end points correspond to the appropriate variables, i.e. for instance Z functions. Consequently, this class not only enables the generation of this tree but also allow s its proper manipulation:

- 1. Reset () deletes all linked sknotlinks and therefore all sknots in the list.
- 2. newsk() provides a new sknot from the actual sknotlink which saves a xed num ber of sknots, usually around 200. If all sknots are already used up, a new sknotlink will be created. In this way a hybrid mem ory management is achieved, i.e. som ething between a static and a dynamic mem ory allocation model.

- 3. String2Tree() translates a given algebraic expression represented by a string into a binary string tree. The string itself will be exam ined and recursively cut into pieces in the following steps:
 - (a) If the string is empty a zero pointer will be returned.
 - (b) A coording to the priorities of the di erent operators (+ , , etc.) the sum m ations and subtractions are exam ined rst. Therefore the string will be searched for global plus and m inus signs, where global m eans that at the very point, where the sign occurs, the number of opening and closing brackets m ust be equal. If a plus sign is found this way, a new sknot will be created with the plus operation. The whole string will be cut into a left and a right hand part with respect to the place of the plus sign. C onsequently, the left and the right pointer of the sknot are set by recursively calling the m ethod String2Tree() with the left and right hand part of the string. N ow, the new sknot will be returned. The procedure for the m inus sign is the sam e, only the right hand side of the string obtains an overall m inus sign, and both parts are added.
 - (c) Since at this stage all global plus and m inus signs are already treated, only global multiplications and divisions can occur. If a global multiplication was found, a new sknot is created in the same manner as for the plus sign. The same holds true for global divisions.
 - (d) At this stage no global sign should occur any more (expect leading plus and m inus signs). Now, an expression can be enclosed by brackets and therefore global brackets which open at the rst character of the string and close at the last one are removed and the exam ination of the strings continues with point (b).
 - (e) If no global brackets can be removed, a leading sign could appear, i.e. a plus or m inus sign at the rst character of the string. In case it is a plus sign it could be simply removed, for a m inus sign a new sknot will be built with the left side pointing to a sknot with a '0' string and the right hand side to the sknot which will be created through a recursive call to String2Tree() with the rest of the string as an argument.
 - (f) At the very end only a term with no internal structure can remain. This rest string is stored into a new sknot which has a zero as operation. Thus it is marked as a leaf of the tree and will be returned.

In this way the whole string can be translated into a tree and the method returns its root. Note that the di erent knots of the tree are stored internally in this class.

- 4. Color_Evaluate() calculates the value of a string originating from the determ ination of the color coe cients of the am plitude. A coordingly, only a distinct number of di erent strings could emerge at the end points (i.e. these are integer numbers, color factors like C_F and in aginary units). Therefore they are handled within this method. The evaluation is performed recursively, i.e. the operation of the sknot is exam ined and accomplished accordingly by recursive calls of Color_Evaluate() with the knot of the left and right hand side, respectively. If the knot is an end point, the string of this sknot is compared with the di erent possibilities and the value is returned.
- 5. Evaluate () calculates the complex value of a string in the same way as Color_Evaluate () with a slightly di erent treatment of the end points. Since this method is used for the evaluation of strings consisting of Z functions, the end points are connected to the real values of the Z functions which are calculated elsewhere (i.e. in String_Generator). Therefore the value of every end point is obtained by using the pointer value of the sknot.
- 6. Get_End() is used for linking the end points of the tree with the Z functions. Consequently, a list of all end points is mandatory in order to draw the connection. This list is constructed in this method recursively.
- 7. Tree2String() translates a binary tree back into a string. Of course, a tree does not contain any bracket structure and to reestablish these is the main task of this routine. A \granny" knot is used in order to avoid an overburden bracket structure. Now, simple sum mations do not need an extra bracket, when the granny option was a plus as well. The output is therefore easy to read and offen much better than the string which originally was led into the tree. Note that in its most simplest form the String_Tree can be used to simplify the bracket structure of a string term.
- 8. Tree2Tex() works in the same way as Tree2String(), with the di erence that the output string is LaTeX conformable. This means in particular that the multiplications are replaced by a space and the division is substituted by LaTeX commands.
- 9. Delete() deletes all parts which are connected with a given zero string for a binary string tree. This method is used to drop all zero parts of a helicity combination. Since this can not be cast into a completely recursive procedure, a loop over calls of Single_Delete() is performed until all zero parts have been elim inated.
- 10. Single_Delete() deletes recursively all parts of a tree which belong to a zero string:

- (a) If the given sknot is zero or an end point the method is term inated with a return.
- (b) If the left hand knot is an end point and its string equals the given zero string it will be dropped according to the operation of the actual knot:

In case it is a multiplication, the actual sknot is set equal to the left sknot, i.e. the right hand part is deleted.

If the operation is a plus, the actual sknot is set to the right hand side, i.e. the left part is dropped.

The most di cult case occurs, when the operation is a minus. Now, the granny knot plays an important role. If no granny exists, i.e. the actual knot is the root, the string of the left knot is set to '0'. In case the granny operation is a multiplication, the string of the left knot is set to '0' as well. If it is a plus and the actual knot is on the left hand side of the granny the string of the left knot is again set to zero, otherwise the granny operation is set to a minus sign and the actual sknot is set onto the right hand side. Last but not least if the granny operation is a minus the same steps as in the plus case will be performed with a slight di erence in the changing of the sign of the granny sknot to a plus instead of a minus.

(c) If the left hand side has not been changed, the right hand side can be exam ined. If it is an end point and its string equals the zero string the following manipulations are done:

If the operation of the actual sknot is a multiplication, the sknot is set equal to its right hand side, i.e. the left part is dropped.

In all other cases the actual sknot will be set onto the sknot on the right hand side, if the left string is equal '0', otherw ise it is set to the sknot of the left hand side.

At the very end two recursive calls to Single_Delete() are performed using the sknots of the left and right hand side as arguments, respectively.

- 11. Expand() expands an algebraic equation represented by a string tree, i.e. all brackets will be resolved. Since this is not a totally recursive method (as Delete()), a loop over calls to Single_Expand() is perform ed until every term is expanded.
- 12. Single_Expand() perform s one single expansion, where two di erent cases can be distinguished:

The operator of the mother is a multiplication and the left or right hand sknot has a plus or m inus sign as operation. This is the typical bracket

structure in the from of a (b c). Since a multiplication is a commutative operation the left and right hand side will be changed, if the plus or m inus sign occurs on the left hand side. Now, only the right hand side has to be regarded. At this stage, a special case has to be considered, where inside the brackets only a m inus sign emerges (a (b)). Then, the sknots will be rearranged in order to get the form a b. In the usual case the equation will be simply transformed to a b a c.

The second case is connected with the break-up of extra m inus signs, i.e. a piece of equation boks like a (b). Of course, then the expression will be simplied to gain the form a b.

However, if one of the two cases occur, a switch will be set which ensures that no more manipulations in this step of Single_Expand() can be performed. This is necessary, because one manipulation changes the structure of the tree in such a drastic way that the tree has to be reexam ined from the very beginning (see Expand()). Two recursive calls to Single_Expand() with the left and right hand side sknot as arguments, respectively nalize this method.

13. Linear() linearizes the sequence of multiplications, i.e. at the very end all multiplications are lined up. If one draws brackets around every sknot with a times operation the following transformation will be done (a b) (c d)! a (b (c d)). This means that in the rst case a sknot for multiplication with a multiplication on both sides will be transformed to a line on the left hand side with three subsequent multiplications. This transformation becomes mandatory, if one easily wants to change the sequence of multiplications or wants to exam ine the di erent factors in a prescribed way. However, the rst cases can appear:

The left and the right hand side have a multiplication operation, then the transform ation is done (by again drawing brackets around every multiplication) in the form of $(a \ b)$ $(c \ d) \ c$ $(d \ (a \ b))$. Note that the di erence to the example above lies in the arbitrariness of the sequence of the tim es operator.

Only the right hand side has a times operator. Since all multiplications should by construction lined up on the left hand side, the two sides will be exchanged. In our example this will look like (b) c) a ! a (b) c).

Since this routine is built fully recursive, two calls to Linear () with the typical left and right hand side sknot, respectively, as arguments conclude this method.

- 14. The Sort () m ethod assumes that an already linearized and expanded tree (see Linear() and Expand(), respectively) exists and sorts the line of multiplications after their strings with help of a standard bubble sort algorithm. This is an interesting option, if one wants to have similar factors side by side. In one step (the m ethod is of course recursive) one line of multiplications will be ordered. An outer loop takes care that the whole line will be perused from the very beginning again and again as long as one exchange within the line was perform ed. If no m ore changes occur, the line is considered as sorted. The inner loop com pares step by step two subsequent factors, and exchanges them if the rst factor is smaller (in a string notation) than the second one. At the very end of the m ethod the classical two recursive calls to Sort () will be realized.
- 15. Copy() simply lls a given tree represented by its root sknot into the actual tree recursively.
- 16. Set_Root () sets the root of the actual tree.
- 17. Get_Root () returns the root of the actual tree.
- A spart of further work we envision further simplications of the strings, like identication of common factors in di erent amplitudes and their replacement.

4.4.4 Casting strings into C++ les and the string libraries

For every process which is to be linked to AMEGIC++ a separate class (and C++ les) is generated. The class name is deduced from the speci c and unique process{ id and the class itself is derived from a purely virtual mother class Values. This class has two essential methods, namely Calculate() and Evaluate(). The form er one is responsible for the precalculation of the Z functions and the latter one is used to calculate the value for a speci ed graph and helicity combination. All C++

Les as well as the appropriate header les are saved in a directory which is named after the process as well. However, all the dierent les for the processes will be packed into one library called Process. Therefore, the Makefile for the production of this library has to be enhanced by the dierent objects from every process in order to make the linkage as easy as possible. The last step is the inclusion of the calling sequence for this special process. These statements are added to the method String_Handler::Set_Values() which is saved into an external le called Set_Values.C.Now, the user only has to recompile the new objects via typing make install.

The String_Output produces the C++ and header les for the output into libraries and manipulates the Makefile for their translation and the le Set_Values.C for calling the libraries from within the program.

- The Constructor () generates the di erent identities for the process path and the di erent les. E specially, all plus and m inus signs from the given id will be erased, since these are protected operations within C++.
- 2. The m ethod Output() organizes the whole output to all C++ and header les
 as well as all m anipulations. It is the m ethod which is called from outside (see
 String_Handler):

First of all, a header and a C++ lenam e will be generated with the help of the path and the id strings.

W ith them ethod Is File() a check is perform ed, if the header le already exists. In this case the output will be canceled, since it is assumed that the whole library already exists.

Now, the header le, strictly speaking the head of the header le, will be generated via Make_Header().

W ith the m ethod Zform() the list of Z functions which have to be precalculated at every step, is created, i.e. the m ethod Values::Calculate() w ill be built.

The calculation of the di erent helicity combinations for the di erent am plitudes ism aintained from Values::Evaluate(). Furtherm ore, for every amplitude a new method, named M#, where # stands for the graph num ber, is generated. These C++ les will be written out with the help of the method Cform().

Now, all C++ les are established and the header le can be nished. Note that during the output of the C++ les every new method has to be added to the header le for the prescription of the class.

At the very end the manipulations to the Set_Values.C le will be perform ed via calling Add_To_Set_Values().

- 3. Is_File() returns 1, if the given lenam e already exists.
- 4. Cform() produces the C++ les for the evaluation of all helicity combinations for all Feynm an graphs:

First of all, a new C++ le will be generated and its name is added to the list of objects in the Makefile with Add_To_Makefile().

Them ain routine which will be called from outside, is Values:: Evaluate() and will be produced at this stage. From here, the appropriate m ethod for the given graph number will be called. Note that for every graph one m ethod will be generated. Now, a loop over all graphs will be performed in order to produce a new method for each graph. The only argument for these methods is the number of the helicity combination.

An equation for each of these will be written out using a bop over all helicity combinations. First of all each equation will be translated from its binary tree form into a single character string. Then, this string is written out, where special care is taken that each line has a maximum number of characters by calling Line_Form() (this is mandatory, since these string expressions could be quite long) 6 .

At the end a number of C++ les is generated and recorded in the list of objects in the Makefile.

- 5. Zform() produces the m ethod Values::Calculate() which is responsible for the precalculation of the Z functions. Therefore, the main task is the translation of the list of ZXLists from the String_Generator into C++ statements. First of all, the name of the C++ le is added to the Makefile via Add_To_Makefile(). Then, a loop over all ZXLists is performed, where the calling sequence for the calculation of the actual Z function depends on its type, see Tab. 20. Note that in the same way as during Cform() additional care has to be taken for the maximum number of lines. Therefore, new les will be opened if necessary.
- 6. Make_Header() produces the head of the header which means that the class de nition and the standard methods will be enlisted.
- 7. Line_Form() writes a given string into a le. Special care is taken to a maximum num ber of line characters (usually around 70). If the maximum is reached, a line breaking is performed after the next operation (+, ,).
- 8. Add_To_Set_Values() adds to the Set_Values.C le the call to the new ly generated libraries. Therefore, an include for the appropriate header le and a calling sequence within the method String_Handler::Set_Values() is subjoined.
- 9. Add_To_Makefile() enters the name of a le into the list of objects for a given Make le. First of all, the given le will be searched (Search()) and

⁶Since the resulting C++ le can be rather big, an additional complication arises due to a maximum line number for di erent compiler and machine types, e.g. we had some problem s compiling the extra libraries of AMEGIC++ with a Digital Unix compiler. Therefore, the lines have to be counted and the di erent methods have to be casted into di erent C++ les. This is quite simple, but sometimes, already one single method is longer than the allowed maximum line number. Then, this method has to be partitioned as well.

Туре	Purpoæ	Calling Sequence
0	X function	<pre>Elementary_Zfuncs::Xcalc()</pre>
1	Z function	<pre>Elementary_Zfuncs::Zcalc()</pre>
2	C on <i>s</i> tant	The method tries to reinterpret the constant
		as a coupling or a 1=M 2 of a vector boson.
		These are the only constants which appear
		during the calculation.
3	scalar product	<pre>Elementary_Zfuncs::Scalc()</pre>
4	Y function	<pre>Elementary_Zfuncs::Ycalc()</pre>
5	P ropagator	<pre>Elementary_Zfuncs::Pcalc()</pre>
6	Composed function	A composed function will be written out in its
		string form using the method Line_Form().
7	M ass term	Elementary_Zfuncs::Mass_Term_Calc()

Table 20: Calling sequence for the dierent Z function types.

in case it already exists, the m ethod term inates. O therw ise, the name of the le will be added at two di erent places, namely for libProcess_la_SOURCES and libProcess_la_OBJECTS, since these object les should belong to the library Process. If the key word is found, the le name will be included in the next line. Note that for this purpose a tem porary le will be used which is copied onto the appropriate Makefile at the very end (Copy()).

- 10. Copy() makes a copy of one le.
- 11. Search () searches for a given string in a le.
- 4.4.5 Strings and K abbala

MyString is the implementation of a typical string class extended by some methods compared to the standard template libraries (STL):

- 1. The usual standard Constructor () in itializes a zero string. A copy constructor version is available as well.
- 2. remove () rem oves a num ber of characters out of the string for a given position and the length of the piece to be deleted.
- 3. c_str() returns a standard C character string.
- 4. length () returns the length of the string.

- 5. substr(long int) returns a substring beginning at the given position until the end of the string.
- 6. substr(long int, long int) returns a substring beginning at the given position and ending at the position plus the given length of the substring.
- 7. find() returns the position of a given substring within the string. If no representation could be found, a 1 is returned.
- 8. insert () inserts a substring at the given position.
- 9. Convert (double) converts a double value into a string using Convert (int).
- 10. Convert (int) converts an integer value into a string.
- 11. The operators = ;+ =, [], + ;= =; !=, << do exactly what one m ight expect. Therefore a special description will be discarded at this point.

The class Kabbala is a representation for a string and a complex number in one class. The name of the class originates from the kabbalistic system which attributes to every character in the hebrew alphabet a certain number and tries to interpret the resulting number of words. In our case this class has the big advantage that one can calculate with Kabbalas instead of complex numbers and not only gains the num erical result, but also the string representation of the whole calculation. This is extensively used during the generation of the string libraries. Technically, this class provides the user with all possible operations of a complex num ber in the same e syntax, but is taking care for the correct representation in a string form with all possible preferences of the operators and the appropriate use of brackets. Therefore it can be easily used instead of com plex num bers. Two extra methods Value () and String () return the complex num ber or the string representation, respectively.

4.5 The Phase Space

In this section we will describe the classes and m ethods used to generate the various channels within AMEGIC++ and to produce suitable sets of phase space points for the integration. The various classes are summarized in Tab. 21, their connections and interrelations are depicted in Fig. 19.

4.5.1 Organization : The Phase_Space_Handler

The class Phase_Space_Handler organizes both the generation of integration channels and the integration by steering the corresponding classes Phase_Space_Generator and Phase_Space_Integrator, respectively. The methods available within the Phase_Space_Handler are:

C lass/Struct	Purpoæ
Phase_Space_H and ler	H and les the generation of integrators and
	the integration over phase space.
Phase_Space_Integrator	Integrates the phase space.
Phase_Space_G enerator	G enerates the di erent channels for
	multi{channel integration.
C hannel G enerator	G enerates one channel.
Channel_Basics	Basic functions for channels.
Channel Elements	C ontains all structural elem ents of channels,
	e.g. propagators.
Selector	Is the mother class of all selectors
	which perform cuts in the phase space.
Single_Selector	0 ne single selector.
AllSelector	A list of selectors
JetF inder	A selector which cuts via jet m easures.
Channel	Is the mother of all channels.
Single_Channel	Is one single channel.
MultiChannel	Is a list of channels and
	m anages the optim ization.
R am bo	Is one single channel (at distribution).
Sarge	Is one single channel (antenna distribution).

Table 21: Classes used for the generation of integration channels and the phase space integration.

- The Constructor reads in the parameters relevant for the generation of phase space points via Read_Parameter(). Then it initializes all possible selectors for phase space points. The only selector im plem ented in the moment is a jet measure, i.e. the requirement that the nalstate particles form distinguishable jets.
- 2. Read_Parameter() reads in parameters relevant for the phase space integration. Currently they consist of the jet scheme plus its y_{cut}, the relative error, at which the evaluation of cross section term inates and the strategy used for this calculation, i.e. Rambo only, or Rambo + Sarge, or multi{channel method with generic, process dependent channels in addition to Rambo + Sarge.
- 3. Create_Integrator() organizes the num ber of channels according to the choices given in the parameters. In case, the user choses to use multi{channelm ethods with process dependent channels, they are constructed am plitude{wise via the





method Construct () of the class Phase_Space_Generator. the external the list of Points of the corresponding Amplitude and the process{identifying string are handed over to Phase_Space_Generator::Construct() as well as avours and the am plitude{num ber. For this purpose,

4. ped, if Drop_Channel () in general is used to elim inate channels generated in Create_Integrator () before the actual calculation starts. Channels are drop-

they yield the sam e result under permutation of { at least { two particle

m om enta. The idea is the following: Any channel is correlated to one am plitude and produces a set of m om enta accordingly. Thus, any two am plitudes di ering by just permuting two identical particles give rise to a new channel which basically yields the same result as the original one. To avoid double counting and to keep the num ber of channels sm all, one of the two channels should be rem oved.

The dierence of permutations of momenta and particles is tested via Compare().

they do not have the maximum number of resonating propagators within the phase space allowed. So, for every channel, the number of potentially resonating propagators is counted, non {maximal number of such propagators lead to the channel being switched o .

- 5. Compare() checks, if two sets of outgoing m om enta and particles are just permutations of each other.
- 6. Integrate() calls a suitable m ethod of the Phase_Space_Integrator to perform the actual integration. D epending on the number of incoming particles, this is either Calculate() or Calculate_Decay().
- 7. Differential() creates one phase space point, i.e. one set of outgoing mom enta ful lling the criteria given by the selectors and returns the corresponding weight.
- 8. Get_Point () returns one phase space point, i.e. one set of four{m om enta for the incom ing and outgoing particles.

4.5.2 Channels

Since all of the integration within AMEGIC++ is performed by invoking channels, we start the presentation of the various classes utilized in the construction of the phase space integrator and in the calculation by presenting the virtual class Channel. It consists of quite a number of virtual methods, used either to generate a phase space point, i.e. a set of fourmomenta for the outgoing particles, and the weight associated with it (Generate_Point() and Generate_Weight()), or for the actual phase space integration (Add_Point(),Variance()), or used for the running of the multi{channel method.

- 1. Generate_Weight () is a method to generate the phase space weight of a channel for a given set of momenta.
- 2. Generate_Point() is a variety of m ethods generating phase space points, i.e. sets of m om enta.

- 3. Add() adds a channel to the multi{channel integrator.
- 4. Drop_Channel () drops a channel of the ensemble employed during the multi{ channel evaluation of the phase space integral.
- 5. Optimize() optimizes the a priori weights $_i$ of the multi(channel integrator.
- 6. End_Optimize() performs the last optimization step, selecting the set of a prioriweights yielding the smallest overall variance in all optimization steps so far.
- 7. Name () returns the nam e of the channel.
- 8. Set_Name() sets the name of the channel.
- 9. Add_Point () adds the result for a phase space point to the overall result and increments the total number of points by one.
- 10. Variance () returns the variance accumulated in the channel.
- 11. Reset () resets the result etc. accumulated so far.
- 12. Reset_Opt () resets all internal results, variances etc. used for the optim ization procedure of the multi{channel strategy.
- 13. Number () returns the num ber of channels.
- 14. Count_Resonances () counts and returns the maxim al number of potentially resonant propagators within one channel.

D irectly derived from it is the class RAMBO, generating phase space points according to a at distribution with help of the following methods in addition to the ones outlined above:

- 1. The Constructor initializes an array of helper functions used for the determ ination of the phase space weight and calculates them . Furtherm ore, arrays for outgoing m om enta squared, their m asses and energies are initialized.
- 2. Massive_Point () rescales m assless vectors in order to bring them on their m ass shells.
- 3. Massive_Weight () calculates the weight related to the rescaling above.

Sim ilarly, the other default channel of AMEGIC++, SARGE is derived from Channel with the additional methods:

- 1. Qcd_Antenna() actually generates a phase space point according to the QCD antenna pattern, once after som e rst and last { massless { trial vector has been generated. For this purpose Basic_Antenna() is em ployed.
- 2. Basic_Antenna() generates one antenna, i.e. one four vector em itted by a dipole.
- 3. Perm_P() generates a random permutation of a number of integers with help of the P (algorithm in [23].
- 4. Polytope () produces a uniform random distribution $_{i}$ inside a polytope with $j_{k}j < 1, j_{k}$ $_{1}j < 1$ along the lines of [24].

Last but not least, the integration by the multi{channelm ethod is realized with help of the { again derived { class Multi_Channel. The methods employed here have already been described above. However, some of the algorithms change a little bit when having a multi{channel instead of just a single channel. These are:

- 1. In Generate_Point () one channel i is selected random by according to the a prioriweights $_{i}$ to generate the point with its corresponding method.
- 2. The evaluation of the multi(channelweight w for a phase space point is then performed in Generate_Weight(). It is given in term softhe individualweights w_i as $1=w = {P \atop i=w_i}$.
- 3. In Add_Point () the accumulative result, the result squared and the weight per channel are increased and the number of generated points is incremented.

4.5.3 Generating channels

The generation of the individual channels is steered by the class

Phase_Space_Generator and actually perform ed by appropriate m ethods of the class Channel_Generator. The basic idea is to provide for every occurring am plitude one channel which has the advantage that all di erent peaking structures of a m atrix elem ent will be covered. The generated channels are then saved into C++ les and m anaged from a new ly created class. This object has a similar name like the one of the process and is derived from the class Single_Channel. Consequently, the three di erent m ethods Generate_Point (), Generate_Weight () and Count_Resonance () have to decide about the current channel and call an appropriate m ethod with the nam e C#_Momenta (), C#_Weight () or C#_Resonances () (# is the number of the channel) accordingly. Note that these m ethods will be created within the class Channel_Generator. However, we are going to start with the presentation of the Phase_Space_Generator, which is responsible for generating one new channel. It m ainly consists of m ethods to initialize and m anipulate les: 1. Construct () decides, if a channel belonging to the given am plitude has already been realized or should be created. How ever, the strategy is the following:

The full name of the channel is constructed, including its path. Similarly, names for the header and the C++ le are generated.

The methods Add To Header() and Add To Cfile() test rst of all, if an appropriate header or steering C++ le already exists. If this is the case, these les will be searched for the current channel name, if it does not exist, the appropriate calling sequence and representation in the header le will be added.

Now, two di erent cases can emerge. If the channelwas already generated, a new Channel type object will be created and initialized with the method Set_Channel (). Then, the channel is ready to use and will be returned.

In the second case, a new channel has to be generated. A coordingly, a new object Channel_Generator is produced and the channel is created via Channel_Generator::Make_Channel().

Now, the new C++ les can be attached to the list of objects in the appropriate Makefile via Add_To_Makefile().

If no header le or steering C++ le exists, the m ethods Make_Header() and Make_Cfile() generate them .

At the end, the calling sequence for the new ly generated channelwillbe attached to the leSet_Channel.Cwith them ethod Add_To_Set_Channel().

- 2. Add_To_Header() adds the three m ethods C#_Momenta(), C#_Weight(), and C#_Resonances(), where # stands for the num ber of the current channel, to a header le. This manipulation is only performed (by copying the old le to a tem porary one, adding lines there and copying back) after it has been checked that the header le exist at all, and that these m ethods have not been im plem ented yet.
- 3. Add_To_Cfile() similarly adds the calling sequence for these m ethods to a C++ le.
- 4. Make_Header() constructs a new header le for this process, where all channels will be stored. A coordingly, all standard m ethods will be attached.
- 5. Make_Cfile() generates all steering routines for this process which call the di erent channels appropriately.
- 6. Add_To_Makefile() adds a new ly generated C++ le to the list of objects in the corresponding Makefile.

- 7. Add_To_Set_Channel() creates the calling sequence for the newly generated library in the le Set_Channel.C.
- 8. Is_File() checks, whether a specic le already exists.
- 9. Search() checks, whether a specic string is within a le.
- 10. Copy () copies one le to another.

Having set up the lesystem the actual generation of one channel is perform ed using methods of the class Channel_Generator which is derived from Single_Channel. All three methods for handling a channel, i.e. one for generating the four-momenta of the outgoing particles, one for calculating the appropriate weight for a given sample of four-momenta and one for specifying the resonant propagators will be created in this class. A coordingly, in every method C++ commands and calling sequences are written out into a C++ le resulting in the appropriate methods. Finally these methods look like the example in Appendix A.

- The Constructor initializes the individual channel by setting the number of external particles, incoming and outgoing. It copies the point list and then calls Identify_Props() in order to mark allt{channelparticles.
- 2. Identify_Props(): Starting from the rst point (which carries the rst incom ing particle) the points of the list are connected recursively to their previous ones via Back_Links(), until, nally the other point related to an incom ing particle with the ag b = 1 is found. Starting from this endpoint, the back-ward links are followed and interm ediate lines which are considered ast{channel propagators, are counted and marked on the way.
- 3. Back_Links() recursively establishes backward links prev between the left and right o springs of a point and the point itself. If a nalpoint, i.e. a point having no left and right links has b = 1, i.e. if it is related to an incoming particle, this point is stored as the end point of the incoming line.
- 4. Make_Channel() m aintains the generation of all three m ethods. Therefore it creates the C++ le and lls in the m ethod nam ed C#_Momenta(), C#_Weight() and C#_Resonances(). A fler the rst two a call to Step_0() w ith accordingly two di erent options generates the body of the functions. The last m ethod is sim ply lled w ith a list of all resonating propagators.
- 5. Step_0() calls either Step_s(), Step_1t(), or Step_2t(), depending on whether zero, one, or two t{channel propagators have been found. So, to som e extent Step_0() decides about the basic topology of the channel. Note that two di erent m odes are available for the creation of a m ethod, i.e. generating fourm om enta and calculating the appropriate weight. In case, there is no t{channel
propagator, s is already set as the square of the sum of incom ing m om enta.

The scheme to distinguish between various vectors and their squares within the channels, is to label them as p12 and s12, respectively, where 1 and 2 are the numbers of the external particles connected to the vectors. These numbers are determined using Linked_Masses().

6. Step_s() creates the complete decay sequence of an s{channel propagator. Consequently, this method is built up recursively and one recursion step consists of the decay of one propagator:

If the point under consideration is an outgoing particle, nothing will be done.

By calling Linked Masses() for both the left and the right leg, the indices for their vectors and m asses are determ ined. The names for the momenta are constructed accordingly.

W ith the help of Generate Masses() the invariant masses squared for both the left and the right leg are determ ined. Note that for propagators these masses have to be generated.

Then the current propagator decays isotropically into the corresponding left and right vectors, an appropriate calling sequence will be attached to the C++ le.

Finally, Step_s() is called for both the left and the right leg.

- 7. Step_1t() is the root for a topology with one t{channel propagator. Sim ilarly to Step_s() the masses squared for the two outgoing legs are determ ined calling Generate_Masses(). Then their momenta are xed by invoking the corresponding T_Channel_X building blocks, where X is replaced by Weight or Momenta accordingly. Finally, the two outgoing legs can be treated as s{channel propagators by calling Step_s(). Strictly speaking this method is quite sim ilar to Step_s() but the isotropic decay replaced by a t{channel decay.
- 8. Step_2t () is the key stone for a topology with two t{channelpropagators. The only di erences to Step_1t () are related to the fact that there are three instead of two outgoing particles, and that there are di erent kinem atical regions for the two t{channel propagators, depending on whether their particles are m assless or not. This last fact is relected in invoking one out of a variety of strategies. At this point it should be noted, however, that at the present stage the only strategy in plemented is for two m assive t{channel propagators fusing into a massive or m assless state and the two other outgoing particles being m assless. O bviously, further relevant the present stage are new effective to further work.

- 9. Linked_Masses() generates a string of num bers for a given Point which consists of all particles attached to the same branch. Starting from this Point, all left and right links are followed recursively and end points are added to the corresponding string.
- 10. Generate_Masses() basically produces m asses squared for a list of propagators along the following algorithm for each:

By using Linked Masses () names for the squared masses of each point are generated. If the point corresponds to an outgoing particle, the mass squared is set directly, otherwise, its minimal value is given by the square root of the sum of squares of the outgoing particles connected to it,

$$s_{m in}^{ij:::} = {}^{q} \overline{m_{i}^{2} + m_{j}^{2} + \dots}$$
 (4.1)

Now a loop over all points not treated so far starts.

- { The most resonating propagator among the points left is selected. The contribution of each single propagator is estimated via $1 = (M_{f} f)^2$, where M_{f} and f are the mass and width of the propagating avour.
- { The maximal $s_{max}^{ij:::}$ for this propagator is evaluated which is the available s m inus all other s of propagators already dealt with and m inus all m inimal s_{min} of the so far untreated propagators.
- { Now the actuals of this propagator is chosen according to either a Breit{W igner or a simple pole distribution for a massive or massless particle, respectively.
- 11. Generate_Weight() and Generate_Point() are dum m y m ethods, i.e. they are only used, when new Channels have been created and not linked properly. Then these m ethods give an error m essage.
- 12. Get_Pointlist () returns the list of Points.
- 13. Init_T() resets all t{ ags in a Point list.

4.5.4 Integration

The phase space integration, i.e. the sam pling over M onte C arb generated sets of four-m om enta for the outgoing particles is organized by the Phase_Space_Integrator with help of the following m ethods

1. W ithin the Constructor(), the number of optim ization steps, the number of phase space points per step as well as a maximum number of phase space points to be generated are set.

- 2. Calculate() actually performs the calculation of a 2 ! n cross section depending on a jet measure. Then the channel responsible for the integration is reset and during a loop points, or better the values related to them are added via Channel::Add_Point(). The necessary set of four-momenta as well as the corresponding value of the Feynm an amplitude are obtained via the method Differential() of the Phase_Space_Handler. Note that due to the structure outlined above, the channel used can be a multi(channel or just one channel.
- 3. Up to some dierent value for the incoming ux, them ethod Calculate Decay() is fairly similar and calculates 1! n decay widths.

4.5.5 Building blocks for the channels, selectors

The basic building blocks for the construction of speci c channels have been listed already in Tab.4. The corresponding m ethods are organized in the class Channel_Elements (where X stands for Momenta or Weight):

- 1. Isotropic2_X() generates m om enta or the weight for an isotropic two{body decay.
- 2. Isotropic3_X() generates m om enta or the weight for an isotropic three{body decay.
- 3. Anisotropic2_X() generates m om enta or the weight for an anisotropic two{ body decay.
- 4. Massless_Prop_X() generates a mass squared or the weight for a massless propagator, i.e. according to a simple pole distribution.
- 5. Massive_Prop_X() generates a mass squared or the weight for a massive propagator, i.e. according to a Breit{W igner distribution.
- 6. T_Channel_X() generates m om enta or the weight for a t{channel propagator.

W ithin these building blocks, som e elementary functions are widely used, they are organized in the class Channel_Basics:

- 1. rotat () is used to set up 3 3 m atrices for spatial rotations or to rotate a vector with such a matrix already set up.
- 2. boost () is used to de ne a boost along an arbitrary axis or to transform a vector with such a boost.
- 3. sqlam(a,b,c) returns $((a \ b \ c)^2 \ 4bc) = a$.

- 4.tj() generates a number s according to a simple pole 1=(a s) with the corresponding arguments passed in the call.
- 5. hj() yields the norm alization fortj().
- 6. tj1() specializes tj() for the case of a pole in the form of $1=(a \ s)$.
- 7. hj1() yields the weight fortj1().
- 8. Pseudo_Angle_Cut () calculates and returns an angular cut for the t{channel m ethods T_Channel_X() above. So it basically constraints the t in the propagator and avoids potential singularities which m ight occur for m assless particles.

To impose additional cuts on the phase space, the virtual class Selector is used. It consists of one m ethod only, Trigger() yielding a 0 or a 1 depending, on whether the cut was passed or not. This is then used in the sam pling to decide, of whether a speci c point should be added to the result, or whether a 0 result should be added, in case the point generated failed to pass the cuts.

Derived from this class is a class All_Selector which m ight be used for a non { trivial combination of constraints.

The only selector actually implemented so far is a cut on jets which is passed if alloutgoing particles form di erent jets according to some jet{measure. This selector is organized in the class Jet_Finder and consists { in addition to the trigger { of the methods:

- 1. Constructor () initializes the jet nder, i.e. the clustering scheme, the number of vectors etc..
- 2. y_jettest() determ ines the m inim al jet{m easure $y_{m \ in}$ for a number of fourm om enta w ith ymin().
- 3. ymin() gives the minimal jet{measure for the two four-momenta out of a set, having the smallest y_{ij} (determined by calling jet()) according to the scheme selected.
- 4. durham() returns the argument in the Durham (algorithm to be compared with $y_{cut} E_{cm}^2$:
- 5. jade() returns the argument in the Jade{algorithm to be compared with $y_{cut} E_{cm}^2$.
- 6. geneva() returns the argument in the Geneva{algorithm to be compared with $y_{cut} E_{cm}^2$.
- 7. jet () is the w rapper of the three m ethods above. D epending on the jet{schem e selected, for two vectors the argum ent of the m ethods above is returned.

- 8. recomb() recombines two specic vectors out of a set according to the E { scheme.
- 4.6 Param eters and Switches

C lass/Struct	Pupoæ
Sw itch	CanbeON orOFF.
M ode <u>l</u> Type	G ives the type of the m odel.
0 utput	G ives the output level.
Data_Pointer	Is a purely virtual class for all Data.
D ata	Is a tem plate for reading in data.
Run_Param eter	Steers the reading in of parameters and switches.

Table 22: A short description of the classes connected with the handling of parameters and switches.

W ithin the di erent les for the steering of the program via param eters and sw itches, a num ber of di erent data types can occur. Not only the usualC++ types int, double and string can be used during the input, but special types were created. Am ong them, the classes Switch and Model_Type are the most im portant. The rst one has the two settings On and Off and is the standard type for all sim ple sw itches. W ith the second one, the model can be specified, where pure QCD, QCD, EW and SM are the possible options for a pure QCD model, a QCD model with the particles e⁺, e and all their interactions with the QCD particles added, a pure electroweak sector and the whole Standard Model. All these di erent types have to be handled in one list of param eters and sw itches. Therefore, an abstract and purely virtual class has to be constructed, from which all other Data types can be derived, i.e. a Data_Pointer. Now, an abstract tem plate class, Data, is used for all di erent data types which play the role of a tem plate param eter:

- 1. Set_Name() is used to set the name of the variable.
- 2. Get_Name() returns the name of the variable.
- 3. Get_Value () returns the value of the variable.
- 4. Set_Value_Direct () sets the value of the variable directly.
- 5. Set_Value() converts a given string into the current variable type. This tem plate m ethod is speci ed for the types Switch, Model_Type and string.

The class Run_Parameter () includes the main routines for reading in parameter les. Supplementary to this, the class reads in the parameter le Run.dat and provides the program with all parameters and switches necessary for one run. Note that the level of output will be steered as well, one can choose between silent, norm al and noisy output.

- Init() de nes all param eters and switches (i.e. type and nam e) which can be read in from the le Run.dat. A coordingly, a list of Data_Pointers is built. Then, all the data will be led with the method Read().
- 2. Read() reads in a list of parameters and switches from a given data le. This list includes all possible data, where every variable has its own string name. Now, the data lewill be searched line by line for every given string name. If a name could be found, the pertaining value is lled into the list accordingly.
- 3. Shorten () deletes all initial and nal spaces in a string.

The rest of the methods are used to set or return the dierent parameters and switches already read in from the le Run.dat:

- 1. CMS_E() returns the center of mass system energy.
- 2. Set_CMS_E() is used, to set the CM -energy.
- 3. Model_File() returns the name of the model le (in most cases Const.dat).
- 4. Model () returns the Model_Type.
- 5. Model_Mass() returns a Switch::On, if the m asses should be generated by the m odel.
- 6. Masses () returns a Switch:: On, if all masses should be taken into account.
- 7. Run_Mass () returns a Switch:: On, if the masses should be regarded as running.
- 8. Run_Width() returns the number of the running width scheme to be used, 0 means no running.
- 9. Run_Aqed() returns a Switch:: On, if QED should be regarded as running.
- 10. Coulomb() returns a Switch::On, if Coulom b e ects should be taken into account.
- 11. Mass (Flavour, double) returns the mass for a given Flavour of a particle at a given scale. A coordingly, a possible running of the masses will be taken into account in this method as well.

- 12. Mass (Flavour) uses Mass (Flavour, double) to yield the mass of a particle at the CM -energy.
- 13. Width (Flavour, double) works like Mass (Flavour, double), but for the width.
- 14. Width (Flavour) works like Mass (Flavour), but for the width.
- 15. Picobarn() returns the conversion factor between $1=G eV^2$ and pb.
- 16. Get_Path() returns the current path for all input data les.
- 17. Set_Path() sets the current path for all input data les.
- 18. Output () returns the output level.
- 19. Set_Output () sets the output level.

4.7 Helpers

A number of helper classes are always necessary for certain purposes. Primarily, a random number generator is mandatory in every M onte C arb simulation. A tool to measure the elapsed time for the dierent methods can be used for an optimization and of course a three{ and a four{vector should be available as well. Last but not least a matrix class can be used. A short description of the dierent classes can be found in Tab.23.

C lass/Struct	Purpoæ
Random	Som e random num ber generators.
M yT im ing	A timer for measuring run times.
vec3d	A three-vector.
vec4d	A four-vector in M inkowski space.
M atrix	A matrix with arbitrary rank.

Table 23: An overview of the helper classes.

The class Random provides a number of di erent random number generators. An additional option is the possibility, to store the actual state of the appropriate generator. This ensures that after every event a status can be saved and restored later on (for the exam ination of a special event for instance). Note that all algorithms for the di erent random number generators are taken from the Numerical Recipes [25].

1. The Constructor () initializes the random number generator with a given seed via Set_Seed().

- 2. ran1() returns a random number after an algorithm of Park and Miller with Bays-Durham shu e and added safeguards, see [25].
- 3. ran2() returns a random number according to a long period random number generator of L'E cuyer with Bays-D urham shu e and added safeguards, see [25].
- 4. Ran3() is a standard random number generator, see [25].
- 5. Init_Ran3() initializes the random num ber generator.
- 6. get () returns a random num ber calling Ran3().
- 7. getNZ() returns a random number (with get()) excluding zero.
- 8. Get_Seed() returns the actual seed.
- 9. Set_Seed() sets a given seed with the method Init_Ran3().
- 10. theta() returns an angle theta which is uniform ly distributed in the cosine of this angle.
- 11. WriteOutStatus() writes out every status register of the random num bergenerator.
- 12. ReadInStatus () reads in every status register of the random num ber generator.

The class MyTiming can be used for internal time measurements. The methods of this class are self-explanatory, i.e. Start (), Stop() and PrintTime().

The class vec3d represents an Euclidean three-vector. Typical operators, like +; ; and the cross product between three-vectors and ;= of three-vectors with scalars are de ned accordingly. An operator < < ensures a proper output of a three-vector, other m ethods are:

- The Constructor() is available in the form of a standard and a copy constructor as well as one constructor which can be assigned with a four{vector (vec4d).
- 2. abs () returns the absolute value (length) of the vector.
- 3. sqr() returns the absolute value squared.
- 4. operator[]() returns the element of the vector for a given place number (1:::3).

The class vec4d is the implementation of a four{vector in M inkowski space. All the usual operators, i.e. +; ; between four-vectors and ;= of four-vectors with scalars are implemented. Additional operators are << for the output, == and != for comparing two four-vectors.

- Three di erent Constructor () are available for the four{vector, i.e. a standard, a copy and a constructor which can be assigned with the energy and a threevector.
- 2. operator [] () returns the entry of the four-vector for a given index (0 ::: 3).
- 3. abs2() returns the absolute value squared of the four-vector.
- 4. operator+=() adds another vec4d.
- 5. operator-=() subtracts another vec4d.
- 6. operator*=() multiplies the current vec4d with a scalar.

The tem plate class Matrix represents a square matrix with arbitrary rank, where the rank plays the role of the tem plate parameter. The usual multiplications with a matrix, a scalar or a four{vector (if the rank is equal four) are mandatory. Note that not all possible operations of a matrix have been im plemented, since not all operations are necessary for our purposes.

- 1. Two di erent types of Constructor () exist in this class, i.e. a standard and a copy constructor.
- 2. operator=() is the copy operation.
- 3. operator[]() returns a row of the matrix for a given index.
- 4. matrix_out () makes a structured output of the matrix.
- 5. rank() returns the rank of the matrix.
- 6. Num_Recipes_Notation() translates the internal structure of the matrix into a num erical recipes structure. The main di erence lies in the starting num ber for counting arrays, i.e. zero for AMEGIC++ and one for the num erical recipes.
- 7. Amegic_Notation() translates the num erical recipes structure back into the internal structure.
- 8. Diagonalize () diagonalizes a matrix, i.e. calculates the eigenvalue and the eigenvectors with jacobi(). For this purpose a translation into the notation of the num erical recipes beforehand and a retranslation back into the original notation afterwards are performed.
- 9. Diagonalize_Sort () uses Diagonalize () in order to determ ine the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a m atrix. A flerwards they will be sorted according to their eigenvalues.

- 10. jacobi () diagonalizes a matrix, i.e. calculates the eigenvalues and eigenvectors. This method has been borrowed from the numerical recipes [25].
- 11. Dagger() returns the transposed m atrix.

5. Installation guide

5.1 Installation

The installation of AMEGIC++ is quite simple, since a combination of automake and autoconf was used to generate the Makefile's of the program. The rst script translates a number of Makefile.am's, where a rough description of the object les is included, into a Makefile.in. The second step is the generation of a script called configure from a basic le configure.in which is achieved using autoconf. This script is able to translate an abstract Makefile.in into a proper Makefile by including the actual path con guration of the system. Note that these steps have been already performed by the authors of this program. A coordingly, the last step which has to be done by the user itself, is the translation of the Makefile.in's with the script configure.

The steps to install AMEGIC++ are the following:

- 1. The program can be downloaded in form of the le AMEGIC++-1.0.tar.gz. It has to be unpacked with gzip and tar.
- 2. By calling the script configure all Makefile's will be generated. Note that they are now adjusted to the appropriate directory structure.
- 3. W ith the comm and make install all necessary libraries will be built and the executable will be placed into the directory Amegic, where it is ready to use.
- 4. During the run of the program new C++ les might be generated by AMEGIC++. This is the case for the creation of new integration channels or for saving the di erent helicity combinations in form of a string. However, if this happens, a new translation with make install is all the user has to do. A coordingly, all these les will be packed into a library called Process and linked as well.

5.2 Running

The program is executed by the script Amegic with the directory of the parameter les as an argument. However, the standard directory is Testrun, where the les Run.dat, Const.dat, Integration.dat, Particle.dat as well as Processes.dat should be available. The dierent possible options are explained in the appropriate Tabs. 24, 25 and 26, whereas the properties of the Standard model particles can be set according to Tab. 27. Note that the particles which are marked as unstable will be provided with calculated decay width in AMEGIC++, the specied width in Particle.dat will be ignored.

The last step is to generate a list of processes within the data-le Processes.dat. Every process is built in the following way: First the list of incoming particles is specified using their kf{codes and an extra minus for anti-particles. The string $\=\$ " now parts the list of incoming and outgoing particles, where the latter is created in the same way like the incoming list. A simple example for the process e^+ ! uu could be 11 -11 -> 2 -2". Note that it is also possible to indicate a list of processes which will be handled one by one. Since now all parameters and switches are determined, the program le could be executed.

However, a typical sample main le in C++ which is included in the distribution as Amegic/main.C, should bok like:

```
#include "Amegic.H"
#include "MyTiming.H"
#include "Run_Parameter.H"
using namespace AMEGIC;
Run_Parameter rpa;
int main(int argc, char* argv[])
{
  MyTiming testtimer;
  testtimer.Start();
  string name("Testrun");
  if (argc==2) name = string(argv[1]);
  rpa.Init(name);
  particle_init(name);
  testtimer.PrintTime();
  Amegic Test (name);
  Test.Run();
  testtimer.Stop();
  testtimer.PrintTime();
}
```

Note that an extra time measurem ent is performed as well.

Default	Purpose				
91.	CM - energy in GeV.				
C onst.dat	Modeldata-le.				
QCD	Modelused : pure QCD, QCD, EW				
	and SM.				
0	Take the masses of the SM -particles from				
	the model data-le.				
0 n	Quark masses.				
0	Running quark m asses (LO).				
0	Running width scheme.				
0	Running electroweak coupling QED .				
0	Coulomb corrections.				
NORMAL	Output level: SILENT, NORMAL or NOISY.				
	Default 91. Const.dat QCD 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 NORMAL				

Table 24: The parameters in Run.dat.

Variable	Default	Purpoæ			
m_up	.005	Massofup-quark.			
m_down	.01	M ass of dow n -quark.			
m_e-	.000511	Mass of electron.			
m_charm	13	Mass of charm-quark.			
m_strange	.170	Mass of strange-quark.			
m _m u	.105658	Massofmuon.			
m_top	174.	M ass of top-quark.			
m_bottom	4.4	Mass of bottom -quark.			
m_tau	1.77705	Mass of tauon.			
alphaS (M _Z)	.118	s (M z)			
V	246.0	VEV of the SM Higgs-eld.			
m_H_SM	100.0	SM Higgsmass.			
alpha_QED (MZ)	128.	1= _{QED} (M _z)			
SinTW 2	23124	\sin^2 (_{W einberg})			
lam bda	.0	of W olfenstein's CKM .			
A	.0	A of W olfenstein's CKM .			
rho	.0	of W olfenstein's CKM .			
eta	.0	of W olfenstein's CKM .			

Table 25: The Standard M odel parameters in Const.dat.

Variable	Default	Purpose
YCUT	0.01	y _{cut} for jet-nders.
ERROR	0.01	A llowed error when calculating matrix-elements.
INTEGRATOR	0	Phase space: Rambo=0, Rambo+Sarge=1,
		Multichannel+Rambo=2,pureMultichannel=3.
JETFINDER	1	Jet-nder: $DURHAM = 1$, JADE = 2, GENEVA = 3.

Table 26: The parameters in Integration.dat.

kf-code	M ass	W idth	3*e	Y	SU (3)	2*Spin	Οn	Stab.	Name
1	.01	.0	-1	-1	1	1	1	1	d_quark
2	.005	.0	2	1	1	1	1	1	u_quark
3	.170	.0	-1	-1	1	1	1	1	s_quark
4	13	.0	2	1	1	1	1	1	c_quark
5	4.4	.0	-1	-1	1	1	1	1	b_quark
6	174.0	.0	2	1	1	1	1	1	t_quark
11	.000511	.0	-3	-1	0	1	1	1	e-
12	.0	.0	0	1	0	1	1	1	nu_e
13	.10565	.0	-3	-1	0	1	1	1	mu-
14	.0	.0	0	1	0	1	1	1	nu_m u
15	1.777	.0	-3	-1	0	1	1	1	tau-
16	.0	.0	0	1	0	1	1	1	nu_tau
21	.0	.0	0	0	1	2	1	1	gluon
22	.0	.0	0	0	0	2	1	1	photon
23	80.356	2.07	-3	0	0	2	1	1	W —
24	91.188	2.49	0	0	0	2	1	1	Z
25	150.0	.0	0	0	0	0	1	1	h

Table 27: All particles included in Particle.dat.

6. Sum m ary

In this paper we presented the new ly developed m atrix element generator AMEGIC++ which is capable of calculating Feynm an amplitudes as well as cross sections at tree level for the processes:

- 1. Electron positron annihilations into jets (up to a num ber of six jets) and
- 2. the scattering of QCD particles up to three jets.

Two di erent kinds of problem s occur during the calculation of the Feynm an am plitude and their integration to yield a cross section. First of all, since the number of outgoing particles is quite large, the resulting num ber of Feynm an diagram s is enorm ous (for example e^+e^- ! qqqqqq yields 384 diagram s in our notation). Therefore, the usual method of summing and squaring the amplitudes \by hand" is not su cient enough. A way out provides the helicity amplitude method which allows the decom position of spinor products into their helicity states. Now, only all other parts of the Feynm an diagram have to be translated into spinor products accordingly, i.e. for instance propagators and polarization vectors. Having at hand all helicity am plitudes for a given process, the evaluation of the cross section could start. Here, the next problem com es into play. Since the highly dimensional phase space can contain a bt of di erently strong peaks (i.e. resonant propagators or soft and collinear gluons with respect to the quarks), the usual method of a Monte Carlo integration with a uniform ly distributed phase space has to be abandoned. A coordingly, re-adjusted phase space integrators have to be produced which take care for the whole peak structure of a speci ed process. One possibility is the multi(channel integration, where every channel can be assigned to a Feynm an diagram of the process under consideration. Now, every channel is built to cover the peak structure of a speci c Feynm an diagram and therefore the whole peak structure is taken into account.

The underlying ideas of the helicity form alism and the multi(channel integration have been presented throughout this paper in dierent forms, i.e. from a theoretical, algorithm ical and technical point of view. Primarily the detailed description of all classes and methods within AMEGIC++ should enable a possible user to enhance or simply to use the program.

Of course, AMEGIC++ is far from being perfect, therfore a number of extensions are planned for the near future:

The list of Feynm an rules and therefore Models will be extended to the Two Higgs D oublet and to the M inim al Supersymmetric Standard M odel.

The program will be enabled to calculate polarized cross sections in order to make predictions for a possible next linear collider with polarized electrons and positrons.

Initial state radiation will be possible for electron positron and QCD parton scatterings. The form er one will include the Yennie{Frautschi{Suura approach and the latter one a structure function schemewhich makes it necessary to link parton distribution functions (pdf).

Further technical re nem ents are in order, for instance parallelization, further sim pli cations of the strings, m ore building blocks for the integration channels, m ore phase space selectors.

A cknow ledgm ents

F K. and R K. would like to thank M ike B isset, Andreas Schalicke, Ste en Schum ann and Jan W inter for extensively testing parts of the program and useful comments on both the program and the manual. We appreciate gratefully the careful reading of the manuscript by James Hetherington, Chris Harris and Peter R ichardson and their suggestions for its improvement. F K. would like to thank the Physics D epartment of the Technion, Israel, where parts of the work were done, for friendly hospitality. R K. is grateful for the kind hospitality of the C avendish Laboratory, where large parts of this work have been nalized. We acknow ledge nancial support by DAAD, BM BF and G SI.

References

[1] Recent results for the W boson m ass and width:

R. Barate et al. [ALEPH Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B 484 (2000) 205 [hep-ex/0005043];

P. Abreu et al. [DELPHI Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B 511 (2001) 159 [hep-ex/0104047];

M.Acciarrietal. [L3 Collaboration], Phys.Lett.B 496 (2000) 19 [hep-ex/0008026]; G.Abbiendi et al. [DPAL Collaboration], Phys.Lett.B 493 (2000) 249 [hep-ex/0009019].

For the Z boson m ass and width see:

R.Barate et al. [ALEPH Collaboration], Eur. Phys. J.C 14 (2000) 1;

G.Abbiendietal. [OPAL Collaboration], Eur. Phys. J.C 19 (2001) 587;

LEP Collaborations, C om bination procedure for the precise determ ination of Z boson parameters from results of the LEP experiments," hep-ex/0101027.

Results for the top {quark can be found in:

T. A older et al. [CDF Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 63 (2001) 032003 [hep-ex/0006028];

B.Abbott et al. [0 0 Collaboration], Phys.Rev.D 58 (1998) 052001 [hep-ex/9801025].

[2] One of the many textbooks on the Standard M odel is:

J.F.D onoghue, E.G olow ich and B.R.Holstein, \D ynam ics of the standard m odel,"

C am bridge, UK : Univ. Pr. (1992) (C am bridge m onographs on particle physics, nuclear physics and cosm ology, 2).

[β] For LHC see:

LHC Study G roup Collaboration, The Large H adron Collider: Conceptual design," CERN-AC-95-05-LHC.

For TESLA see:

F.Richard, J.R.Schneider, D.Trines and A.Wagner, \TESLA Technical Design Report Part I: Executive Summary," hep-ph/0106314;

J.A.Aguilar-Saavedra et al. ECFA/DESY LC Physics W orking G roup Collaboration], \TESLA TechnicalDesign Report Part III: Physics at an et e-Linear Collider," hep-ph/0106315.

- [4] A. Pukhov et al., \CompHEP: A package for evaluation of Feynm an diagram s and integration over multi-particle phase space. User's manual for version 33," hepph/9908288.
- [5] T. Hahn, \G enerating Feynm an diagram s and am plitudes with FeynArts 3," hep-ph/0012260; J. Kublbeck, H. Eck and R. Mertig, \C om puteralgebraic generation and calculation of Feynm an graphs using FeynArts and FeynCalc," Prepared for 2nd International W orkshop on Software Engineering, Arti cial Intelligence and Expert Systems for H igh-energy and Nuclear Physics, La Londe Les M aures, France, 13-18 Jan 1992.
- [6] F.Yuasa et al., Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 138 (2000) 18 [hep-ph/0007053].
- [7] T.Stelzer and W.F.Long, Comput. Phys. Commun. 81 (1994) 357 [hep-ph/9401258].
- [8] T.Ohl, \O M ega and W H IZARD: M onte C arb event generator generation for future colliders," hep-ph/0011287.
- [9] R.Kuhn, F.Krauss, B. Ivanyi and G.So, Comput. Phys. Commun. 134 (2001) 223 [hep-ph/0004270].
- [10] R.K leiss and W.J.Stirling, Nucl. Phys. B 262 (1985) 235.
- [11] A. Ballestrero, E. Maina and S. Moretti, Nucl. Phys. B 415 (1994) 265 [hep-ph/9212246];
 A.Ballestrero and E. Maina, Phys. Lett. B 350 (1995) 225 [hep-ph/9403244].
- [12] R.Kleiss and R.Pittau, Comput.Phys.Commun.83 (1994) 141 [hep-ph/9405257].
- [13] F.Caravaglios and M.Moretti, Phys.Lett.B 358 (1995) 332 [hep-ph/9507237].
 An implementation can be found in :
 M.Moretti, T.Ohland J.Reuter, \O'Mega: An optimizing matrix element generator," hep-ph/0102195.

- [14] F. A. Berends, R. Pittau and R. Kleiss, Nucl. Phys. B 424 (1994) 308 [hepph/9404313].
- [15] T.Ohl, Comput. Phys. Commun. 120 (1999) 13 [hep-ph/9806432].
- [16] C.G.Papadopoulos, Comput.Phys.Commun.137 (2001) 247 [hep-ph/0007335].
- [17] R.Kleiss, W.J.Stirling and S.D.Ellis, Comput. Phys. Commun. 40 (1986) 359;
 R.Kleiss and W.J.Stirling, Nucl. Phys. B 385 (1992) 413.
- [18] P.D.D raggiotis, A. van Hameren and R.K leiss, Phys. Lett. B 483 (2000) 124 [hep-ph/0004047].
- [19] D.Bardin, W.Beenakker and A.Denner, Phys.Lett. B 317 (1993) 213.
- [20] The two choices provided can be found for instance in:
 D.Bardin, A.Leike, T.Riemann, M.Sachwitz, Phys.Lett.B 206 (1988) 539;
 D.Bardin, T.Riemann, Nucl. Phys. B 462 (1996) 3;
 E.N.Argyres, W.Beenakker, G.J.van Oldenborgh, A.Denner, S.Dittmaier, J. Hoogland, R.Kleiss, C.G.Papadopoulos, G.Passarino, Phys.Lett. B 358 (1995) 339.
- [21] L.Garren, I.G.Knowles, T.Sjostrand and T.Trippe, Eur. Phys. J.C 15 (2000) 205.
- [22] T.Ohl, Comput. Phys. Commun. 90 (1995) 340 [hep-ph/9505351].
- [23] D.E.Knuth; The Art of Computer Program m ing Vol. 2, 2. Edition, Addison-W esley, 1998.
- [24] A. van Hameren and R. Kleiss, Comput. Phys. Commun. 133 (2000) 1 [physics/0003078].
- [25] W.H.Press, S.A.Teukolsky, W.T.Vetterling, B.P.Flannery; Numerical Recipes in C, Cambridge University Press, 2. Edition (1994).

A . Sam ple channel for the phase space integration

This is the C++ le which was produced in order to generate the channel given in Fig. 12. Three di erent m ethods will be created, the rst one for the generation of the four-m om enta, the second one for the calculation of the appropriate weight and the last one for nding resonant propagators within the channel. The last option is used to drop irrelevant channels.

```
#include "P2<u>5 e e s cb tau nu tau P.H</u>"
using namespace AMEGIC;
void P2_5_e_e__s_cb_tau_nu_tau_P::C1_Momenta(vec4d* pin,vec4d* pout,
                                       double* ms_out, double* ran)
{
  vec4d p32456 = pin[0] + pin[1];
  double s32456 = p32456.abs2();
  double s32_min = Max(pa.ycut_ini()*sqr(rpa.CMS_E()),
                        sqr(sqrt(ms_out[3]) + sqrt(ms_out[2])));
  double s456_min = Max(pa.ycut_ini()*sqr(rpa.CMS_E()),
                        sqr(sqrt(ms_out[4]) + sqrt(ms_out[5])
                                             + sqrt(ms_out[6])));
  double s32_max = sqr(sqrt(s32456) - sqrt(s456_min));
  vec4d p32;
  double s32;
  s32 = CE.Massless_Prop_Momenta(1.,s32_min,s32_max,ran[1]);
  double s456_max = sqr(sqrt(s32456)-sqrt(s32));
  vec4d p456;
  double s456;
  s456 = CE.Massless_Prop_Momenta(1., s456_min, s456_max, ran[2]);
  double amct = 1.;
  double alpha = 0.5;
  double ctmax = 0.;
  double ctmin = 2.;
  double tmass = Flavour(kf::code(12)).mass();
  CE.T_Channel_Momenta(pin[0],pin[1],p32,p456,s32,s456,tmass,alpha,
                       ctmax, ctmin, amct, 0, ran[3], ran[4]);
  double s3 = ms_out[3];
  double s2 = ms_out[2];
  CE.Isotropic2_Momenta(p32,s3,s2,pout[3],pout[2],ran[5],ran[6]);
  double s45_min = Max(pa.ycut_ini()*sqr(rpa.CMS_E()),
               sqr(sqrt(ms_out[4]) + sqrt(ms_out[5])));
  double s6 = ms_out[6];
```

```
double s45_max = sqr(sqrt(s456) - sqrt(s6));
  vec4d p45;
  double s45;
  s45 = CE.Massless_Prop_Momenta(1., s45_min, s45_max, ran[7]);
  CE.Isotropic2_Momenta(p456,s45,s6,p45,pout[6],ran[8],ran[9]);
 double s4 = ms out [4];
 double s5 = ms out[5];
  CE.Isotropic2_Momenta(p45,s4,s5,pout[4],pout[5],ran[10],ran[11]);
}
double P2_5_e_e__s_cb_tau_nu_tau_P::C1_Weight (vec4d* pin, vec4d* pout,
                                        double* ms out)
{
 double wt = 1.;
 vec4d p32456 = pin[0] + pin[1];
 double s32456 = p32456.abs2();
  double s32_min = Max(pa.ycut_ini()*sqr(rpa.CMS_E()),
                        sqr(sqrt(ms_out[3]) + sqrt(ms_out[2])));
  double s456_min = Max(pa.ycut_ini()*sqr(rpa.CMS_E()),
                        sqr(sqrt(ms_out[4]) + sqrt(ms_out[5])
                                             + sqrt(ms_out[6])));
  double s32_max = sqr(sqrt(s32456) - sqrt(s456_min));
  vec4d p32 = pout[3] + pout[2];
  double s32 = p32.abs2();
  wt *= CE.Massless_Prop_Weight(1.,s32_min,s32_max,s32);
  double s456 max = sqr(sqrt(s32456) - sqrt(s32));
  vec4d \quad p456 = pout[4] + pout[5] + pout[6];
  double s456 = p456.abs2();
  wt *= CE.Massless_Prop_Weight(1., s456_min, s456_max, s456);
  double amct = 1.;
  double alpha = 0.5;
  double ctmax = 0.;
  double ctmin = 2.;
  double tmass = Flavour(kf::code(12)).mass();
  wt *= CE.T_Channel_Weight(pin[0],pin[1],p32,p456,tmass,
                             alpha, ctmax, ctmin, amct, 0);
  double s3 = ms out [3];
  double s_2 = ms out [2];
  wt *= CE.Isotropic2_Weight(pout[3],pout[2]);
  double s45_min = Max(pa.ycut_ini()*sqr(rpa.CMS_E()),
                        sqr(sqrt(ms_out[4]) + sqrt(ms_out[5])));
```

```
double s6 = ms_out[6];
  double s45_max = sqr(sqrt(s456) - sqrt(s6));
  vec4d p45 = pout[4] + pout[5];
  double s45 = p45.abs2();
  wt *= CE.Massless_Prop_Weight(1., s45_min, s45_max, s45);
 wt *= CE.Isotropic2_Weight(p45,pout[6]);
 double s4 = ms out [4];
 double s5 = ms_out[5];
 wt *= CE.Isotropic2_Weight(pout[4], pout[5]);
  if (!IsZero(wt)) wt = 1./wt/pow(2.*M_PI,5*3.-4.);
 return wt;
}
int P2_5_e_e__s_cb_tau_nu_tau_P::C1_Resonances(Flavour*& res_fl)
{
 res fl = new Flavour[3];
  res_fl[0] = Flavour(kf::code(23));
  res_fl[1] = Flavour(kf::code(23));
  res_fl[2] = Flavour(kf::code(23));
 return 3;
}
```

Note that only the methods of the class Channel_Elements will be used, for details see Sec. 4.5.

B.A sample for a M athem atica interfaced function

This is a sample le generated by importing Mathematica output for the calculation of a three gluon vertex:

```
#include "Mathematica_Interface.H"
```

using namespace AMEGIC;

```
Kabbala Mathematica_Interface::vGGG()
```

```
return Z(1,0)*(X(2,0)-X(2,1))+Z(2,0)*(X(1,2)-X(1,0))
+Z(2,1)*(X(0,1)-X(0,2));
```

```
}
```

{

C. The loop over loops technique

Som etim es it is necessary to have bops within bops to a depth which is not known before run time. Then, a technique comes into play which allows an arbitrary number of inner bops with dierent starting and ending values. Therefore three dierent arrays are required, the rst one is lled with all beginning values, the second with all ending values and the last one is used to store the current combination of bop variables. Then a bop is performed until the rst variable reaches its endpoint. In every step the last variable will be increased (or decreased accordingly). If it gets larger than its endpoint, the variable is reset to its beginning value and the variable at the previous position is increased (or decreased). Consequently, every time a variable reaches its endpoint, the previous one will be increased (or decreased). This procedure can be repeated until the rst variable is counted behind its endpoint and the loop ends.

D. Test R un O utput

In this section we present a test run output for the given example options in Sec.5 and the process e^+ ! uug:

```
Starting Timer
Open File: Testrun/Run.dat
Open File: Testrun/Particle.dat
Time: 0 s
            (clocks=0)
 (User: 0.01 s ,System: 0 s ,Children User: 0 s ,Children System: 0)
Open File: Testrun/Const.dat
Open File: Testrun/Const.dat
No FFS Vertex included in this Model
No SSV Vertex included in this Model
No VVS Vertex included in this Model
No SSS Vertex included in this Model
Number of Vertices: 21
1 process (es) !
No Value-Library available !
Building Topology...
Matching of topologies...
****File Process/2_3_e-_e+___u_ub_G/Color.dat not found.
Finding diagrams with same color structure...
2 different color structures left
```

++

```
+
File Process/2_3_e-_e+___u_ub_G/Color.dat saved.
2 Graphs found
Open File: Testrun/Integration.dat
using RAMBO for phase space integration
Starting the calculation. Lean back and enjoy ... .
1:********
2:*****
Gauge(1): 0.0552225
Gauge (2): 0.0552225
Gauge test: 6.28295e-14%
String test: 0%
5000. LO-3-Jet: 1906.09 pb +- 2.32851%
10000. LO-3-Jet: 1946.15 pb +- 1.69762%
15000. LO-3-Jet: 1962.73 pb +- 1.39437%
20000. LO-3-Jet: 1986.16 pb +- 1.20933%
25000. LO-3-Jet: 1966.02 pb +- 1.08427%
30000. LO-3-Jet: 1965.21 pb +- 0.988323%
result: 1965.21
Stoping Timer
Time: 85.88 s
              (clocks=8588)
 (User: 71.65 s ,System: 0.07 s ,Children User: 0 s ,Children System: 0)
```

Note that this calculation was performed using internal strings for the helicity amplitudes, if the expressions have been saved into a library, the two last lines would look like follows:

```
Time: 16.22 s (clocks=1622)
(User: 16.22 s ,System: 0 s ,Children User: 0 s ,Children System: 0)
```

One can see that roughly a factor of 4 can be gained by using the saved libraries.