N eutrino opacity in m agnetized hot and dense nuclear m atter

D eepak Chandra $^{y 1}$, A shok Goyal² and K anupriya Goswam i^z D epartm ent of P hysics & A strophysics, U niversity of D elhi, D elhi 110 007,

India

& Inter University Centre for Astronom y & Astrophysics, Ganeshkhind, Pune-411007, India

^aD epartm ent of Physics, S.G.T.B. K halsa College, University of Delhi, Delhi 110 007, India

^bD epartm ent of P hysics, K eshav M ahavidya kya, K eshavpuram , D elhi 110035, India

A bstract

W e study the neutrino interaction rates in hot matter at high densities in the presence of uniform magnetic eld. The neutrino cross-sections involving both the charged current absorption and neutral current scattering reactions on baryons and leptons have been considered. We have in particular considered the interesting case when the magnetic eld is strong enough to completely polarise the protons and electrons in supernovae and neutron stars. The opacity in such a situation is considerably m odi ed and the cross-section develops anisotropy. This has in plications for phenom enon invoked in the literature to explain the observed pulsar kicks.

¹E -m ail address: dchandra@ him alaya.du.ac.in

²E-m ail address: agoyal@ ducos.ernet.in

1 Introduction

K now ledge of neutrino transport in supernovae cores, in neutron stars and in collapsing stars is an essential prerequisite for an understanding of a host of interesting phenom enon like the mechanism of supernovae explosion, structure of proto-neutron stars, observed pulsar kicks etc. The important theoretical input required for the study of neutrino transport is neutrino opacity calculations in dense, hot matter and the equation of state (EOS). There has been a lot of work on neutrino interactions in matter at high densities [1] involving both the charge current absorption and neutral current scattering reactions on baryons and leptons. The dom inant processes for energy and lepton num ber transport are the neutrino-nucleon scattering and the neutrino absorption. It has been shown that neutral current processes on charged leptons, though subdom inant with regard to total opacity are important for the equilibrium of the neutrino num ber density.

Recently there has been a lot of interest in the study of neutrino transport at high densities in the presence of strong magnetic elds reported to be present in young pulsars. Presence of strong magnetic elds of strengths 10¹⁴ Gauss have been suggested in neutron stars and recent observations of soft gamma ray repeaters and spinning X 'ray pulsars even suggest the existence of magnetic elds greater than 10¹⁴ G auss in supernovae rem nants [2]. A study of neutrino opacities in magnetised high densitiy, hot matter is required to investigate the asymm etric neutrino em ission from proto-neutron stars as a possible explanation of observed pulsar kicks [3]. Am ong various m echanism s suggested for pulsar kicks, the notable ones relevant to the present study are the models in which the magnetic eld induces an assymetry directly in the neutrino emission [4] giving rise to the observed kicks and those which rely on resonant M -S-W avor transform ation on account of changed resonance condition in the magnetic eld [5] or on neutrino m agnetic m om ent [6]. This requires a careful, system atic study of neutrino interactions in hot, dense, magnetised matter in various stages of degeneracy. For example, electron -neutrino absorption and scattering crosssections on nucleons and leptons would not only shift the location of the sphere but will also distort it due to asym metry in the cross-section. This e ect on the dom inant reaction e + n ! p + e at densities near the electron-neutrino sphere was calculated by multyplying the phase space distribution of the nal

state electrons only and leaving the matrix elements unchanged [7]. However it was noted [8] that in the presence of large magnetic eld 10^{16} G auss even the motion of degenerate electrons, at densities likely to be present near the neutrino sphere, is quantised and on account of charge neutrality viz. $n_e = n_p$, the protons too are forced to occupy the lowest Landau level. In this situation, the matrix elements for absorption and scattering get modi ed and have to be calculated by using exact wave functions for electrons and protons by solving the Landau level = 0 [8]. Further, in order to make num ericalestim ates of neutrino mean free paths in magnetized dense and hot nuclearm atter in the density range

 10^{10} 10^{15} g/cc and tem peratures upto 60 M eV, we require the composition of nuclearm atter. The e ect of magnetic eld on the composition of nuclearm atter at low densities has been extensively studied in the literature [9]. In recent years the e ect of strong magnetic eld on cold, charge neutral, superdense interacting nuclear matter in -equilibrium has been studied in a relativistic mean eld theoretical fram e work [10-12]. In som e of these studies [11,12] not only the e ect of Landau quantisation but also the contribution of anam alous m agnetic m om ents of nucleons was incorporated in a relativistic description and it was found that this e ect cannot be ignored at low densities in the presence 10¹⁸ Gauss. Here following reference [11] we of superstrong magnetic eld consider electrically neutral nuclearm atter com posed of nucleons, electrons and trapped neutrinos in - equilibrium. Hadronic interactions are incorporated) meson exchange in the framework of relativistic nuclear through (! mean eld theory in the presence of magnetic eld. W hen considering very intense magnetic elds we should be careful about the e ect of magnetic eld on strong interactions because in this situation, the nuleons and m esons interact both with them selves, and with the magnetic eld through their charges and m agnetic m om ents. H ow ever, for elds not greater than 10^{18} gauss we do not have to worry about this problem .

In this paper, we calculate neutrino opacity form agnetised, interacting dense nuclearm atter for the follow ing lim iting cases: a) nucleons and electrons, highly degenerate w ith or w ithout trapped neutrinos, b) non-degenerate nucleons, degenerate electrons and no trapped neutrinos and nally, c) when all particles are non-degenerate. The important neutrino interaction processes which contribute to opacity are the neutrino absorption process

$$_{e}$$
 + n ! p + e (1)

and the scattering processes

$$e + N ! e + N$$
 (2)

$$_{e} + e! _{e} + e$$
 (3)

In section II we calculate the cross-sections for these processes in the presence of magnetic eld. In section III the cross-sections are calculated in the polarised medium when the magnetic eld is very strong and density is such that the electrons and protons are con ned to the lowest Landau level. For the purpose of calculating reaction rates we treat the nucleons non-relativistically and the leptons in extrem e relativistic lim it. In section IV we discuss the results.

2 Neutrino Cross-section

The neutrino processes (1-3) get contribution from charged as well as neutral current weak interactions in the standard model. For the general process

$$(p_1) + A (p_2) ! B (p_3) + 1(p_4)$$
 (4)

The cross-section per unit volume of matter or the inverse mean free path is given by

$$\frac{(E_1)}{V} = {}^{1} (E_1) = \frac{1}{2E_1} \frac{Y}{\sum_{i=2,3,4}} d_i W_{fi} f_2 (E_2) (1 - f_3 (E_3)) (1 - f_4 (E_4))$$
(5)

where $d_i = \frac{d^3 p_i}{(2^{-})^3 2E_i}$ is the density of states of particles with four momenta $p_i = (E_i; p_i)$, $f_i (E_i)$ are the particle distribution functions which in thermal equilibrium are given by the usual Ferm i-D irac distributions $f_i (E_i) = [1 + e^{(E_i - i)}]^1$ and $1 = f_i (E_i)$ accounts for the Pauli-B locking factor for the nal state particles. i's are the chem ical potentials and = 1 = (K T). The transition rate W fi is

$$W_{fi} = (2)^{4} (P_f P_i) M_j^2$$
 (6)

where $M \int_{j}^{2}$ is the squared matrix element sum med over the initial and nal spins.

In the presence of magnetic eld, the density of states of charged particles is modi ed and is given by

$$d_{i} = \begin{pmatrix} X & & Z_{1} & Z_{eB L_{x}=2} \\ & & & \\ & &$$

where the sum over all occupied Landau levels is to be perform ed. For weak magnetic elds, several Landau levels are populated and the matrix element remain essentially unchanged [14] and one needs to only account for the correct phase space factor. In the presence of strong magnetic eld, the electrons occupy the low est Landau state and the charge neutrality requirement then forces the protons into the ground state. This statement hold for cold degenerate matter. For hot matter with temperatures 10 M eV and density $1\dot{\sigma}^1$ $1\dot{\sigma}^2$ g/cc, the protons are not so severily a ected by the magnetic eld. This happens because of the presence of a sizable number of positrons at these densities and temperatures, thereby modifying the charge neutrality condition. In the presence of such intense magnetic eld, the matrix elements have to be calculated by using the exact wave functions of relativistic electrons and protons obtained by solving the D irac equation.

In a gauge in which the vector potential is A = (0; xB; 0), corresponding to a constant, uniform magnetic eld in the z-direction, the quantum states are specified by the quantum numbers p_y , p_z , and S and the energy eigenvalues is given by [11,12]

$$E_{;s}^{e} = m^{2} + p_{z}^{2} + eB (2n + 1 + s)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (8)

$$E^{p}_{,s} = m_{p}^{2} + p_{z}^{2} + eB(2 + 1 + s) + {}_{p}^{2}B^{2} + 2 {}_{p}Bs m_{p}^{2} + eB(2 + 1 + s)$$
$$= E^{p}_{,s} U_{0}^{p}$$
(9)

and

$$E_{s}^{n} = \frac{r}{m_{n}^{2} + p^{2} + \frac{2}{n}B^{2} + 2} \frac{q}{n} \frac{q}{p_{x}^{2} + p_{y}^{2} + m_{n}^{2}}$$
$$= E_{s}^{n} U_{0}^{n}$$
(10)

where p_{p} and n_{n} are the anam alous magnetic moments of protons and neutrons being given by

$$_{\rm p} = \frac{\rm e}{2m_{\rm p}} \left[\frac{g_{\rm p}}{2} \quad 1\right]$$
 (11)

$$_{n} = \frac{e}{2m_{n}}\frac{g_{n}}{2}$$
(12)

where $g_p = 5.58$ and $g_n = 3.82$ are the Lande's g-factor for protons and neutrons respectively. We have here neglected the contribution of anamalous magnetic moment of electrons. U_0^p , U_0^n , m_N^2 are given in the appendix A.

= $n + \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{s}{2}$ characterises the Landau level. The electron wave function in the lowest Landau state = 0 has the energy $E_{0;1}^e = (m_e^2 + p_z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ with the wave function

$$(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\mathbf{e}\mathbf{B}}{2} \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{p}} \frac{1}{\mathbf{L}_{y}\mathbf{L}_{z}} e^{\mathbf{i}(\mathbf{E}_{e} t \mathbf{p}_{y} y \mathbf{p}_{z} z)} e^{\mathbf{2} = 2} \mathbf{U}_{e;1} (\mathbf{E}_{e})$$
(13)

and the positive energy spinors in state = 0 are given by

$$U_{e; 1} = \frac{1}{P \frac{1}{E_{e} + m_{e}}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ B & E_{e} + m_{e} \\ B & 0 & A \\ p_{2} \end{bmatrix}$$
(14)

Nucleons are treated non-relativistically. In these limits the proton energy in the lowest state is given by

$$E_{0;1}^{p} = \frac{m_{p}^{2} p^{B}}{m_{p}} + \frac{p_{z}^{2}}{2(m_{p}^{2} p^{B})} + U_{0}^{p}$$
(15)

where

$$m_{p} = m_{p}^{2} \qquad {}_{p}B \tag{16}$$

and the wave function is

$$P_{p}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{eB}{P} \frac{\frac{1}{4}}{E_{y}L_{z}} e^{i(E_{0;1}^{p} t p_{y} y p_{z} z)} e^{2-2} U_{p;1}(E_{0;1}^{p})$$
(17)

where $U_{\text{p};1} \times \mathbb{P}_{0;1}^{p}$) is the non-relativistic spin up spinor.

$$U_{p;1} (E_{0;1}^{p}) = \frac{1}{2m_{p}} + 0$$
(18)

$$= \stackrel{p}{=} \frac{p_{y}}{eB} (x - \frac{p_{y}}{eB})$$
(19)

For neutrons we have

$$u_{n;s}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{P} \mathbf{L}_{x} \mathbf{L}_{y} \mathbf{L}_{z}} e^{i \mathbf{p}_{n;s}(\mathbf{r})} \mathbf{U}_{n;s}(\mathbf{E}_{s}^{n})$$
(20)

$$U_{n;s} = \frac{1}{\frac{p}{2m_n}} \int_{0}^{s} (21)$$

and

$$E_{n,s}' \frac{m_n^2 nBs}{m_n} + p^2 + U_0^n$$
 (22)

with

$$m_n = m_n^2 \qquad _n B s \tag{23}$$

Neutrino wave function is the usual plane wave

$$(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{p}{L_{x}L_{y}L_{z}} e^{ip} (\mathbf{r}) U_{;s} (\mathbf{E})$$
(24)

Here U ;s is the usual free particle spinor. $_{s}$ is the spin spinor and the wave functions have been norm alized in a volum eV = $L_{x}L_{y}L_{z}$ and we have the norm alization

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
X \\
 & u & u &= 2m \\
\end{array} \tag{25}$$

We rst consider the neutrino-nucleon processes (1,2). In the presence of weak magnetic elds, the matrix element squared sum med over initial and nal spins in the approximation of treating nucleons non-relativistically and leptons relativistically is given by

X

$$\mathfrak{M}_{J}^{2} = 32G_{F}^{2} \cos^{2}_{\circ} \mathfrak{m}_{p}^{2} \mathfrak{m}_{n}^{2} (C_{V}^{2}) + 3C_{A}^{2}) + (C_{V}^{2} - C_{A}^{2}) \cos_{e}; \quad E_{e}E \quad (26)$$

where $C_V = g_V = 1$, $C_A = g_A = 1.23$ for the absorption process; $C_V = 1$, $C_A = 1.23$ for neutrino scattering on neutrons and $C_V = 1 + 4 \sin^2 w = 0.08$, $C_A = 1.23$ for netrino proton scattering.

The e ect of strong interactions on charged and neutral current neutrino interactions is incorporated in the fram ework of relativistic mean eld theory. The nuclear matter consisting of neutrons, protons, electrons with or without neutrinos is considered in - equilibrium in the presence of magnetic eld. Hadronic

and

interactions are taken into account by considering the nucleons to interact by exchanging scalar () and vector (!;) m esons [11]. The composition of matter is calculated for arbitrary magnetic elds and temperatures without making any approximation regarding relativity and degree of degeneracy of various particles. For the e ect on weak rates, we however treat the nucleons non-relativistically and obtain neutrino cross-sections in the limits of extreme degeneracy or for non-degenerate matter. This simplies the calculations considerably without compromising the results in any signicant way. This results in replacing the nucleon mass m by it's density dependent mass m and the chemical potential by the appropriate e ective chemical potential (see Appendix A).

We present here the results for interacting nuclear matter consisting of neutrons, protons, electrons and neutrinos (for the trapped case where lepton fraction $Y_L = Y_e + Y_e$ is held xed at 0.4) for nuclear density n_B varying over a wide range (10 5 10)n₀ and at tem peratures T = 5, 10 and 30 M eV. In gures 1 and 2 we show the e ect of magnetic eld (measured in the units of B $_{e}^{c}$, viz., $B^{?} = \frac{B}{B^{c}}$ and the critical magnetic eld for electrons is $B_{e}^{c} = 4.413 \quad 10^{13} \text{ G}$) on the composition of nuclear matter viz $Y_{\rm p}$, $Y_{\rm n}$ and Y $_{\rm e}$ as a function of nuclear density for T = 5, 30 and 60 M eV for the neutrino trapped and untrapped cases respectively. The e ect of magnetic eld is to raise the proton fraction and is very pronounced at low densities. At densities relevant to the core of neutron stars, one requires very high magnetic elds to change the composition. The e ectofincluding anam alousm agneticm om entalso becom es signi cantat eld 10^5 which can be seen in Fig 3 where we have plotted strengths typically the proton and neutron fractions Y_p and Y_n and the elective nucleon m ass as a function of density for large magnetic eld 5 10^5 with and without including the e ect of anom alous magnetic moment of nucleons.

2.1 Highly degenerate m atter

The absorption cross-section (1) for this case can be calculated by using (14) in (5) by the usual techniques and we get for sm all $B \sim$

$$\frac{A (E; B)}{V} = \frac{G_F^2 \cos^2_{c}}{8^3} (g_V^2 + 3g_A^2) m_p m_n T^2 \frac{2 + \frac{(E_r^2)^2}{T^2}}{1 + e^{\frac{(E_r^2)^2}{T}}} eB [(p_F (p) + p_F (e))]$$

$$\frac{P_F (n)}{P_F (r)} = \frac{P_F (r)}{r} + \frac{P_F (p) + P_F (e)}{2E} P_F (n) + P_F (r) (r) (p_F (r))$$

$$\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{F}}(\mathbf{p}) + \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{F}}(\mathbf{e}) = \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{F}}(\mathbf{n}) \mathbf{j} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}^{a \times} \\ \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{F}}(\mathbf{n}) \mathbf{j} \end{bmatrix}_{= 0}^{\mathbf{X}^{a \times}} (2 \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{0}}) \mathbf{p} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{e}^{2} \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{e}}^{2} \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{e}}^{2} \mathbf{e} \mathbf{B}}$$
 (27)

which reduces to the usual result [13] in the lim it B ! 0. The case of freely stream ing, untrapped neutrinos is obtained from (27) by putting = 0 and replacing $_{\rm e}$ by ($_{\rm e}$ + E).

W hen the magnetic eld is much weaker than the critical eld for protons, only electrons are a ected and the neutrino-nucleon scattering cross-section expression remain unchanged by the magnetic eld. The num erical values how ever, are modied due to changed chemical composition. The cross-sections are given by

$$\frac{-N}{V} \left(\frac{E}{2}\right) = \frac{G_{F}^{2} \cos^{2}_{c}}{16^{3}} \left(C_{V}^{2} + 3C_{A}^{2}\right) m_{N}^{2} T^{2} = \frac{2 + \frac{(E_{V}^{2})^{2}}{T^{2}}}{1 + \exp^{\frac{(E_{V}^{2})^{2}}{T}}}$$
(28)

If neutrinos are not trapped, we get in the elastic lim it

$$\frac{N(E)}{V} = \frac{G_F^2 \cos^2_c}{16^3} (C_V^2 + 3C_A^2) m_N^2 T^2 E$$
(29)

The neutrino electron scattering though in portant for energy momentum transfer, is subdom inant for neutrino opacity calculations which get major contribution from the absorption reaction in the neutrino trapped regime and by absorption and scattering processes on nucleons in the other regime. The electronneutrino scattering in the presence of arbitrary magnetic eld has been evaluated in the literature by [14]. Here we will consider the interesting case when the electrons get totally polarised by the magnetic eld. For completeness, we give below the neutrino-electron scattering cross-section in the absence of the eld for relativistic degenerate electrons and neutrinos in the elastic approximation.

$$\frac{1}{V} \cdot \frac{2G_{F}^{2} \cos^{2}_{c}}{3^{3}} (C_{V}^{2} + C_{A}^{2}) \frac{e^{2}TE^{2}}{1 + e^{-(E)}}$$
(30)

which in the untrapped regim e goes over to

$$\frac{e}{V} \cdot \frac{2G_{F}^{2} \cos^{2}_{c}}{3^{3}} (C_{V}^{2} + C_{A}^{2}) e^{2}TE^{2}$$
(31)

2.2 Non-degenerate m atter

We now treat the nucleons to be non-relativistic non-degenerate such that $_{i}=T$ 1 and thus the Pauli-blocking factor 1 ff (E_i) can be replaced by 1, the electrons are still considered degenerate and relativistic. In the approximation which is valid near the neutrino spheres for the proto-neutron star in the Helm holtz-K elvin cooling phase, the cross-section

$$\frac{A (E;B)}{V} \cdot \frac{G_{F}^{2} \cos^{2}}{2} (C_{V}^{2} + 3C_{A}^{2})n_{n} (E + Q) \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(E + Q - e)}}$$

$$eB \frac{X^{ax}}{(2; 0)p (E + Q)^{2} m_{e}^{2} (2; eB}$$
(32)

where n_N is the nuclear desity and $Q = m_n - m_p$.

$$\frac{V_{\rm N} (E;B)}{V} - \frac{G_{\rm F}^2 \cos^2_{\rm c}}{4} (C_{\rm V}^2 + 3C_{\rm A}^2) n_{\rm N} E^2$$
(33)

If the electrons too are considered non-degenerate we get

$$\frac{A}{V} \prime \frac{G_{F}^{2} \cos^{2}}{c} (g_{V}^{2} + 3g_{A}^{2}) n_{N} E^{2}$$
(34)

$$\frac{N}{V} \prime \frac{G_F^2 \cos^2_{c}}{4} (C_V^2 + 3C_A^2) n_N E^2$$
(35)

$$\frac{e}{N} \cdot \frac{4G_F^2 \cos^2_c}{3} T^4 E (C_V + C_A)^2$$
(36)

3 Neutrino cross-sections in polarised m edium

W hen the magnetic eld exceeds p_F^2 (e)=2, all the electons in matter occupy the lowest Landau state = 0 with their spins pointing in the direction opposite to the magnetic eld. In this situation charge neutrality for degenerate matter forces the non-relativistic protons to be also in the Landau ground state but with their spins alinged along the magnetic eld. In this situation matrix elements cannot be considered unchanged and should be evaluated using the exact solutions of D irac equation for charged particles in magnetic eld. U sing the wave functions given in section 2, the square of the matrix elements for weak processes can be evaluated in a straight forward way and we get

$$M_{A}^{2} = 8G_{F}^{2} \cos^{2} cm_{2}m_{3} (E_{4} + p_{4z}) (g_{V} + g_{A})^{2} (E_{1} + p_{1z}) + 4g_{A}^{2} (E_{1} - p_{1z})$$

$$\exp - \frac{1}{2eB} ((p_{1x} + p_{2x})^2 + (p_{3x} + p_{4y})^2)$$
(37)

$$\mathfrak{M} \quad \mathfrak{J}_{p}^{2} = 16G_{F}^{2} \cos^{2}_{\circ} C_{A}^{2} \mathfrak{m}_{2}^{2} (\mathfrak{p}_{1} \quad \mathfrak{A} + 2\mathfrak{p}_{1z}\mathfrak{p}_{4z}) \exp \left(\frac{1}{2eB} ((\mathfrak{p}_{4x} + \mathfrak{p}_{1x})^{2} + (\mathfrak{p}_{4y} - \mathfrak{p}_{y})^{2})\right)$$

$$\mathfrak{M} \quad \mathfrak{J}_{e}^{2} = 16G_{F}^{2} \cos^{2}_{\circ} [(C_{V}^{2} + C_{A}^{2}) ((\mathfrak{E}_{1}\mathfrak{E}_{4} + \mathfrak{p}_{1z}\mathfrak{p}_{4z}) (\mathfrak{E}_{2}\mathfrak{E}_{3} + \mathfrak{p}_{2z}\mathfrak{p}_{3z}) \\
(\mathfrak{E}_{1}\mathfrak{p}_{4z} + \mathfrak{E}_{4}\mathfrak{p}_{1z}) (\mathfrak{E}_{2}\mathfrak{p}_{3z} + \mathfrak{E}_{3}\mathfrak{p}_{2z})) \\$$

$$+ 2C_{V} C_{V} ((\mathfrak{E}_{V} \mathfrak{E}_{V} + \mathfrak{p}_{V} - \mathfrak{p}_{V}) (\mathfrak{E}_{V}\mathfrak{p}_{2} + \mathfrak{E}_{V}\mathfrak{p}_{2}) - \mathfrak{E}_{V}\mathfrak{p}_{V} + \mathfrak{E}_{V}\mathfrak{p}_{V})$$

$$(38)$$

$$(E_{2}E_{3} + p_{2z}p_{3z})) \exp \frac{1}{2eB} ((p_{4x} p_{1x})^{2} (p_{4y} p_{1y})^{2})$$
(39)

The absorption cross-section is now given by

_

$$\frac{A (E_{1}; B)}{V} = \frac{1}{2E_{1}L_{x}} \frac{Z}{(2)^{3}2E_{2}} \frac{d^{3}p_{z}}{e^{B_{L_{x}}=2}} \frac{Z}{1} \frac{dp_{3y}dp_{3z}}{(2)^{2}2E_{3}}$$

$$\frac{Z}{e^{B_{L_{x}}=2}} \frac{Z}{1} \frac{dp_{4y}dp_{4z}}{(2)^{2}2E_{4}} (2)^{3} (P_{y}) (P_{z}) (E) M^{\frac{2}{2}}$$

$$f_{2} (E_{2}) (1 f_{3} (E_{3})) (1 f_{4} (E_{4}))$$
(40)

The corresponding scattering cross-sections are obtained by interchanging the particle 2 with 4. Integrals over $dp_{3y}dp_{4y}$ can be performed by using the y-component momentum conserving (P_y) function and give eB L_x.d₂ integral can be performed by using (P_z) = $\frac{1}{P_2}$ cos₂ $\frac{p_{3z} + p_{4z} - p_{1z}}{P_2}$ and gives 2. Here for simplication we have replaced the angles appearing in the exponential factor by their average values. It is not a bad approximation since the exponential factor is indeed of order one in the high eld limit. We thus obtain

$$\frac{A}{V} \begin{pmatrix} E_{1}; B \end{pmatrix} , \quad \frac{eB}{2E_{1}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ (2 &)^{3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} L \\ 8 \end{pmatrix} dE_{2} \frac{dp_{3z}}{E_{3}} \frac{dp_{4z}}{E_{4}} \quad (E) \end{pmatrix} M^{2}$$

$$f_{2} \begin{pmatrix} E_{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & f_{3} \begin{pmatrix} E_{3} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & f_{4} \begin{pmatrix} E_{4} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$
(41)

To make further progress, we consider the cases of extrem e-degeneracy and non-degeneracy separately.

3.1 Highly Degenerate m atter

We isst convert the integrals over z-component of the electron and proton momentum into integrals over the electron and proton energy respectively by using the energy expression (8) for = 0. Further, since for strongly degenerate m atter, particles at the top of their respective ferm issues alone contribute, we replace the momenta by their ferm is one enta. In this approximation we get

$$\frac{A (E_{1};B)}{V} \cdot \frac{G_{F}^{2} \cos^{2} c}{(2)^{3}} e^{B} \frac{m_{3}m_{4}}{p_{F} (3)E_{1}} \frac{T^{2}}{2} \frac{2 + \frac{E_{1}}{T}^{2}}{(1 + e^{E_{-}}T)}^{Z} dE_{2} dE_{3} dE_{4}$$

$$(E_{1} + E_{2} E_{3} E_{4})f_{2} (1 f_{3}) (1 f_{4}) (g_{V} + g_{A})^{2} (E_{1} + p_{1z}) + 4g_{A}^{2} (E_{1} p_{z})$$

$$[exp \frac{(p_{F} (1) + p_{F} (2))^{2}}{2eB} \frac{(p_{F} (1) + p_{F} (2))^{2}}{(p_{F} (3) p_{F} (4))^{2}}$$

$$+ exp \frac{(p_{F} (1) + p_{F} (2))^{2}}{(p_{F} (1) + p_{F} (2))^{2}} (p_{F} (3) p_{F} (4))^{2}}{2eB}$$

$$(p_{F} (1) + p_{F} (2))^{2} (p_{F} (3) + p_{F} (4))^{2}$$

$$(p_{F} (1) + p_{F} (2))^{2} (p_{F} (3) + p_{F} (4))^{2}$$

$$(p_{F} (1) + p_{F} (2))^{2} (p_{F} (3) + p_{F} (4))^{2}$$

$$(p_{F} (1) + p_{F} (2))^{2} (p_{F} (3) + p_{F} (4))^{2}$$

$$(p_{F} (1) + p_{F} (2))^{2} (p_{F} (3) + p_{F} (4))^{2}$$

$$(p_{F} (1) + p_{F} (2))^{2} (p_{F} (3) + p_{F} (4))^{2}$$

$$(p_{F} (1) + p_{F} (2))^{2} (p_{F} (3) + p_{F} (4))^{2}$$

$$(p_{F} (1) + p_{F} (2))^{2} (p_{F} (3) + p_{F} (4))^{2}$$

$$(p_{F} (1) + p_{F} (2))^{2} (p_{F} (3) + p_{F} (4))^{2}$$

$$(p_{F} (1) + p_{F} (2))^{2} (p_{F} (3) + p_{F} (4))^{2}$$

Carrying out the energy integrals

$$\frac{A (E;B)}{V}, \frac{G_{F}^{2} \cos^{2} c}{(2)^{3}} e^{B} \frac{m_{n} m_{p}}{p_{F}()E} \frac{T^{2}}{2} \frac{(^{2} + (\frac{E}{T})^{2})}{(1 + e^{E} = T)}$$

$$[(g_{V} + g_{A})^{2} (E + p_{z}) + 4g_{A}^{2} (E - p_{z})]$$

$$[exp(\frac{(p_{F}(n) + p_{F}())^{2}}{2eB}) (q_{F}(n) + p_{F}(p))$$

$$+ exp(\frac{(p_{F}(n) + p_{F}())^{2}}{2eB}) ((q_{F}(n) + p_{F}())^{2} - 4p_{F}^{2}(e))]$$

$$(43)$$

where we have m ade use of the fact that for electrically neutral matter $p_F\ (e) = p_F\ (p)$ and that two functions correspond to the fact that z-component momentum conservation $p_{n\,z} + p_{z} = p_{ez} + p_{pz} = p_F\ (e)$ $p_F\ (p)$ depending on whether both electrons and protons in their Landau ground state in the same direction or opposite to each other. The case of freely stream ing neutrons is obtained from the above by putting $p_F\ () = 0$ everywhere.

For the case of neutrino-proton scattering, we can perform the integrals in the degenerate lim it by using $R dp_{2z}$ as $dp_{2z}d = \frac{p_{2z}^2}{2m_2} = \frac{p_f^2(2)}{2m_2} d_2$ and likewise for $R dp_{2z}$, where $_i = \frac{p_{1z}^2}{2m_1^2} \cdot d^3p_4$ integral can be performed by choosing

 $p_1 = E_1 (0; \sin_1; \cos_1)$ and $p_4 = E_4 (\sin_4 \cos_4; \sin_4; \sin_4; \cos_4)$. $d(\cos_4)$ integral is performed as before by using (P_z) and noticing that $\cos_4 = \frac{p_{1z}}{p_4}$ above is allowed when initial and nalprotonsmove along the same direction, in the oposite case $\cos_4 = \frac{2p_F (p) + p_{1z}}{p_{4z}} > 1$ and thus not allowed. Approximating the exponential factor by 1 we get

$$\frac{p(E_{1};B)}{V}, \frac{G_{F}^{2}\cos^{2}c}{(2)^{3}}\frac{m_{2}^{2}}{p_{F}^{2}(2)}\frac{eB}{2}^{Z} d_{2}d_{3}dE_{4} E_{4} + \frac{p_{1z}^{2}}{E_{1}}$$

$$f_{2}(1 f_{3})(1 f_{4})(E_{1} + 2 3 E_{4}) \qquad (44)$$

$$, \frac{G_{F}^{2}\cos^{2}c}{(2)^{3}}\frac{m_{p}^{2}}{p_{F}^{2}(p)}g_{A}^{2}\frac{eB}{2}\frac{T^{2}}{2}$$

$$\frac{(^{2} + (\frac{E_{T}}{T})^{2})}{(1 + e^{-(E_{T})^{2}})} + \frac{p_{2z}^{2}}{E} \qquad (45)$$

$$\prime \quad \frac{G_{F}^{2} \cos^{2} c}{64} \frac{m_{p}^{2}}{p_{F}^{2} (p)} g_{A}^{2} eB T^{2} \quad (1 + \cos^{2})$$
(46)

because E ' and is the angle which neutrino makes with the magnetic eld. For the case when neutrinos are not trapped we get

$$\frac{p(E;B)}{V}, \frac{G_{F}^{2}\cos^{2}c}{(2)^{3}}\frac{m_{p}^{2}}{p_{F}^{2}(p)}g_{A}^{2}\frac{eB}{2} = E_{4} + \frac{p_{1z}^{2}}{E_{1}}(E_{1}-E_{4})\frac{dE_{4}}{1-e(E_{1}-E_{4})=T}$$
(47)

$$' \frac{G_F^2 \cos^2 c}{(2)^3} \frac{m_p^2}{p_F^2 (p)} g_A^2 T \frac{E^2}{2} \frac{eB}{2} (1 + 2\cos^2)$$
(48)

Likew ise for the neutrino-electron scattering we get

$$\frac{-e(E;B)}{V}, \frac{G_F^2 \cos^2 c}{8} T^2 eB \qquad (C_V^2 + C_A^2)(1 + \cos^2) \qquad 4G_V C_A \cos (49)$$

for the trapped neutrino case and

$$\frac{-e(E;B)}{V}, \frac{2G_{F}^{2}\cos^{2}c}{(2)^{3}}TeBE^{2}(C_{V}^{2}+C_{A}^{2})(1+2\cos^{2}) 6G_{V}C_{A}\cos$$
(50)

for the untrapped case.

3.2 Non-degenerate m atter

Integrals can again be performed in the non-degenerate lim it and we get

$$\frac{A (E;B)}{V} ' \frac{G_F^2 \cos^2 c}{4} eB \cos n_N \frac{1}{e^{(E+Q m u_e)} + 1} [((g_V + g_A)^2 + 4g_A^2) + ((g_V + g_A)^2 - 4g_A^2)]$$
(51)

$$\frac{p(\mathbf{E};\mathbf{B})}{V}, \frac{2G_{F}^{2}\cos^{2}_{c}C_{A}^{2}}{(2)^{3}}e^{\mathbf{B}}\int_{0}^{Z_{1}}dp_{2z}f_{2}(\mathbf{E}_{2})(\mathbf{E}+\frac{p_{2z}^{2}}{2m_{p}}) \left[(\mathbf{E}+\frac{p_{2z}^{2}}{2m_{p}})(2-\frac{1}{3m_{p}}(\mathbf{E}+\frac{p_{2z}^{2}}{2m_{p}}))-\frac{\mathbf{E}^{2}\cos^{2}+p_{2z}^{2}}{m_{p}}\right], \frac{2G_{F}^{2}\cos^{2}_{c}C_{A}^{2}}{2}e^{2}n_{p}}$$
(52)

where

$$n_p = \frac{eB}{2^2} \int_{-2}^{2} f_2(E_2)dp_{2z}$$
 (53)

and we have ignored term s of 0 $\frac{p^2}{2m_{\,p}}$.

For com pleteness, we give below the neutrino-electron cross-section for the case of non-degenerate electrons too though, here we do not consider the regime of non-degenerate electrons.

$$\frac{e(\mathbf{E} ; \mathbf{B})}{V} , \frac{4G_{F}^{2} \cos^{2} c}{(2)^{3}} eB \left[(C_{V}^{2} + C_{A}^{2})(4 + \cos^{2}) + 4C_{V} C_{A} \cos \mathbf{E} \right]_{0}^{Z} \frac{Z_{1}}{1 + e^{(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{e} - e)}}$$
(54)

$$\frac{G_F^2}{m_e} n_e T E \quad (C_V^2 + C_A^2) (1 + \cos^2) \quad 4G_V C_A \cos$$
(55)

where

$$n_{e} = \frac{2eB}{(2)^{2}} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} e^{-p_{ez}} dp_{ez} = \frac{2eB T}{(2)^{2}}$$
(56)

for non-degenerate electrons.

4 Results and Discussions

W e now present neutrino opacity results for interacting m agnetised neutron star m atter consisting of nucleons, electrons and trapped as well as freely stream ing neutrinos for densities ranging over a wide range (10 5 $10)n_0$, tem perature (5-60)M eV and magnetic eld varying from $(0 \ 5 \ 10)$ B^c. The composition of matter at these conditions has already been shown in gures (1-3) in Section 2 and the e ect of magnetic eld including anom alous magnetic moment of nucleons discussed. We rst consider matter in the core of neutron stars where m atter is degenerate. At these densities relativistic e ects can be in portant and should not be neglected, however, for sim plicity we have evaluated the matrix elements in the limit of non-relativistic nucleons. Relativistic kinematic e ects are expected to be typically of the order $\frac{p_{\rm F}}{M^{-2}}$ in the degenerate limit and one can modify the limiting expressions (27-29,43,46) for absorption and scattering cross-sections by replacing the e ective m ass of the nucleons $(M_n^2; M_p^2)$ by the corresponding e ective chem ical potentials ($\binom{2}{n}$; $\binom{2}{p}$) respectively (see ref. 1 for a discussion). In our num erical calculation of the neutrino mean free path we have m ade this change. In the neutrino free case (Y $_{\circ}$ = 0), the neutrino absorption cross-section due to reaction (1), the direct URCA process, is highly supressed due to simultaneous non-conservation of energy and momentum for degenerate matter. This happens because for degenerate nuclear matter, the direct URCA process can take place only near the Ferm ienergies of participating particles and simultaneous energy momentum conservation sets the constraint p (n), the proton fraction required for this costraint to be $p_{\rm F}$ (e) + $p_{\rm F}$ (p) satis ed has to be greater than 11%. The proton fraction calculation in nuclear matter is however very sensitive to the assumption that go into the m icroscopic theory of nuclear interactions which are not wellknown [15]. In the present work, this constraint is satis ed at moderately high densities namely $n_B > 1.5n_0$ (see also [11,16]), whereas in some recent potential models with three-body interactions and boost corrections, this occurs at densities in excess $4:5n_0$ [17] Since the e ect of magnetic eld is to increase the proton fraction, of at su ciently high magnetic elds depending on the density, the constraint is satis ed and direct URCA process proceeds. In the case of extremely high magnetic elds capable of con ning electrons and protons into the low est Landau level, this constraint is not even required and the absorption process proceeds as can be seen from equation (42). Furtherm one, in this situation the cross-section develops anisotropy. Sim ilarly at high temperatures (see gs. 1-2), the proton fraction rises and the contribution of the direct process exceeds the neutrino-nucleon scattering process.

In the neutrino trapped regim e, all the particles are degenerate and the charged current absorption process dom inates over the scattering processes; the neutrinonucleon scattering being the most in portant of the scattering processes. In g. 4 we show the neutrino absorption mean free path as a function of density for di erent tem peratures and magnetic elds for degenerate matter. The upper and lower gures correspond to neutrino free (Y $_{\circ}$ = 0) and neutrino trapped $(Y_L = 0.4)$ m atter. In the neutrino free regime, the neutrinos are therm al and we take the neutrino energy to be equal to 3T for calculating the mean free paths whereas, in the trapped regime, the neutrinos being degenerate, E = is the appropriate neutrino energy. In this high doensity regime, the e ect of magnetic eld on absorption mean free path is not signi cant unless one goes to extrem ely high m agnetic elds w here the m atter becom es com pletely polarised and the low est Landau energy level is occupied. This happens for B 5 10B^c in this density regime. The rapid uctuations in absorption mean free path around the zero eld value re ects the appearance of a new Landau level contributing to the reaction rate. For the case of polarising magnetic eld, we have evaluated the absorption mean free path for neutrinos propogating along the magnetic eld. The asymmetry in the absorption cross-section for neutrinos propogating along and opposite to the direction of magnetic eld is roughly of order of 10% In g. 5 we exhibit the neutrino scattering mean free paths _n; p; _e on neutrons, protons and electrons respectively for B = 0 and $5 = 10^4$ and at T = 5, 30, 60 M eV. The left panel correspond to the neutrino free and the right panel to the neutrino trapped degenerate matter. The e ect of magnetic eld on these scattering mean free paths is not signi cant at these densities. At low densities $n_B = (10^6 \quad 10^2) n_0$, the nucleons are non-degenerate, the electrons continue to remain degenerate except at high tem peratures and the m atter becom es neutrino free. M agnetic eld in this regin e has in portant effect. In g. 6 we have plotted the absorption mean free path as a function of density at T = 5 MeV for magnetic eld varying between 0 to 5 10^4 . We nd

that the absorption cross-section increases i.e m ean free path decrease with the increase in magnetic eld. In g. 7 we have plotted the neutrino scattering

m ean free paths $_{n}$; p; $_{e}$ as a function of density at T = 5, 30, 60 MeV for B = 0 and 5 $10^{4} B_{e}^{c}$. We nd that whereas, $_{n}$ and $_{e}$ increase with the magnetic eld, $_{p}$ decreases.

In sum m ary we have studied the neutrino interactions rates through charged as well as neutral current weak interactions in hot, dense m agnetised, interacting nuclear m atter over a wide range of densities and m agnetic elds. The e ect of including anom abus m agnetic m om em t of nucleons on the structure of nuclear m atter shows up only when the m agnetic eld exceeds $10^5 B_e^{\,c}$ and results in further enhancem ent of proton fraction at low densities and low ering of e ective nucleon m ass at high densities. The e ect of m agnetic eld on neutrino m ean free paths is m ost pronounced at low densities and results in substantial decrease in the neutrino absorption m ean free path in addition to developing anisotropy.

A cknow ledgem ents: W e are thankfulto P rof. JV N arlikar for providing facilities at IUCAA, P une where a part of this work was done. O ne of us A G. is thakful to U niversity G rants C om m ission, India for partial nancial support.

Appendix A

For determ ining the composition of dense, hot, m agnetised m atter, we em ploy a relativistic m ean eld theoretical approach in which the baryons (protons and neutrons) interact via the exchange of ! m esons in a constant uniform m agnetic eld. Following reference [11] the therm odynamic potential of the system can be written as

$$= \frac{1}{2}m_{!}^{2}!_{0}^{2} \frac{1}{2}m_{0}^{2} \frac{1}{2}m_{0}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}m_{0}^{2} + U() + \frac{B^{2}}{8} + \frac{X}{i}$$
 (A1)

where i= n;p;e; .

and

U () =
$$\frac{1}{3}$$
 km N (g N)³ + $\frac{1}{4}$ c (g N)⁴ (A 2)

and

$$e = \frac{1}{(2 \)^{2}} X X Z dp_{z} \ln [1 + e^{(E^{e}_{,s} e^{)}]}$$
(A3)

$$p = \frac{1}{(2 \)^{2}} \sum_{s}^{X \ x} \frac{Z}{dp_{z} \ln [1 + e^{-(E^{-p}; s - \frac{2}{p})}]}$$
(A 4)

$$m_{n} = \frac{1}{(2)^{2}} \int_{s}^{X} d^{3}p \ln [1 + e^{-(E_{s}^{n} - e^{2})}]$$
(A5)

$$= \frac{1}{(2)^{2}} d^{3}p \ln [1 + e^{-(E)}]$$
 (A 6)

The energy eigenvalues are given in equations (8–10). The chem ical potentials $? \atop_N$ and the elective masses m $? \atop_n$ of nucleons are given by

$$P_{\rm N}^{\rm ?} = P_{\rm N} = U_0^{\rm N}$$
 (A 7)

and

$$m_{N}^{?} = m_{N} g_{N} 0$$
 (A8)

$$U_{0}^{N} = g_{!N} !_{0} + g_{N} _{3N} _{0}$$
 (A 9)

In the mean eld approximation, the thermodynamic quantities are expressed in terms of thermodynamic averages of meson elds which are assumed to be constant and are related to the baryonic and scalar number densities through the xed equations viz.

$$m^{2} < > + \frac{QU()}{Q} = g_{N} (n_{n}^{s} + n_{p}^{s})$$
 (A 10)

$$m_{!}^{2} < !_{0} > = g_{!N} (n_{n} + n_{p})$$
 (A11)

$$m^{2} < _{0} > = g_{N} (n_{p} n_{h})$$
 (A 12)

The number densities being given by

_

$$n_{p} = \frac{eB}{2^{2}} X X^{2} \frac{1}{1 + e^{(E^{p}; s - \frac{2}{p})}}$$
(A13)

$$n_{n} = \frac{1}{(2)^{3}} \sum_{s}^{X} \frac{2}{1 + e^{(E_{s}^{n} - \frac{2}{n})}}$$
(A14)

$$n_{p}^{s} = \frac{eB}{2^{2}} m_{p}^{2} \sum_{s}^{X} \frac{X}{E^{p}} \frac{dp_{z}}{E^{p}_{;s} (1 + e^{-(E^{p}_{;s})} \frac{p}{p})}$$
(A15)

$$n_{n}^{s} = \frac{m_{n}^{2}}{(2)^{3}} \frac{Z}{E_{s}^{n} (1 + e^{-(E_{s}^{n} - \frac{Z}{n})})}$$
(A16)

The net electron and neutrino num ber densities are given by

$$n_{e} = \frac{eB}{2^{2}} X (2^{-}_{,0}) dp_{z} \left[\frac{1}{(1 + e^{(-}(E^{e} - e^{-})))} + e^{(-}(e^{-})) \right]$$
(A17)

$$n_{e} = \frac{1}{(2)^{3}} d^{3}p[\frac{1}{(1 + e^{(-)}(E)))} \qquad (A \, 18)$$

A llthe therm odynam ic quantities are now obtained by solving the eld equations ((A 1)-(A 18)) along with the condition of charge neutrality

$$n_{p} = n_{e} \tag{A19}$$

and trapped lepton fraction

$$Y_{\rm L} = Y_{\rm e} + Y_{\rm e} \tag{A 20}$$

where $Y_e = \frac{n_e}{n_B}$, $Y_e = \frac{n_e}{n_B}$ and the condition of equilibrium

$$n = p + e \tag{A 21}$$

self consistently for a given baryon density

$$n_{\rm B} = n_{\rm p} + m_{\rm n} \tag{A 22}$$

The coupling constants g_N , $g_{!N}$, g_N , b and c are taken from G lendenning and M oszkowski [18] by reproducing the nuclear matter properties and the num erical values are given by

$$\frac{g_{N}}{m} = 3.434 \text{fm}^{-1}$$

$$\frac{g_{N}}{m} = 2.694 \text{fm}^{-1}$$

$$\frac{g_{N}}{m} = 2.1 \text{fm}^{-1}$$

$$b = 0.00295$$

$$c = 0.00107 \qquad (A 23)$$

and

References

- [1] For a recent work see for example: S Reddy, M Prakash and J M Lattimer, Phys. Rev. D 58, 013009 (1998) and references cited therein.
- [2] C Kouvekizton et.al., Nature 393, 235 (1998); astro-ph/9809140; C
 Thom pson and R C Duncan, MNRAS 275, 255 (1995); Astrophys. J., 473, 322 (1996).
- [3] A G Lyne and D R Lorim er, Nature 369, 124 (1994); D R Lorim er, H Bades and P A Harrison, MNRAS 289, 592 (1997); B M S Hansen and E S Phinney, MNRAS 291, 569 (1997).
- [4] A Vilenkin, Astrophys. J., 451, 700 (1995); V G Bezchastnov and P haensel, Phys. Rev. D 54, 3706 (1996); C J H orow itz and J P iekarew icz, Nucl. Phys. B 640, 281 (1998).
- [5] A Kusenko and G Segre, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 4872 (1996).
- [6] E.K. Akhvedov, A. Lanz and D.W. Sciama, Phys. Rev. D 56, 6117 (1997); V.B. Sem ikoz, A.Yu. Sm imov and T.W. F.Valle, Nucl. Phys. B 501, 17 (1997); N.N. Chuqai, Sov. A stron. Lett. 10, 87 (1984).
- [7] Esteban Roulet, J. High Energy Phys. 01, 013 (1998).
- [8] A shok G oyal, Phys. Rev. D 59 101301 (1999).
- [9] I Fushiki, E H Gudmundsson and C J Pethick, A strophys. J., 342, 958 (1989); D Laiand S Shapiro, A strophys. J., 383, 745 (1991); O E Rogn-valdsson, I Fushiki, E H Gudmundsson, C J Pethick and J Ynguason, A strophys. J., 416, 276 (1995); A Thorbofsson, O E Rognvaldsson, J Yngvsan and E H Gudmundsson, A strophys. J., 502, 847 (1998)
- [10] S Chakravarty, Phys.Rev.D, 54, 1306 (1996); S Chakravarty, D Bandyopadhyay aand S Pal, Phys.Rev.Lett., 78, 2898 (997); Y F Yuan and J L Zhang, A srtophys.J., 525, 920 (1999)
- [11] A Goyal, V K gupta, K Goswam iand V Tuli, IJM P A, 16, 3 (2001)
- [12] A Broderick, M Prakash and J M Lattimer, Astrophys. J., 537, 351 (2000)

- [13] J J M ateæ and R F Connell, Phys. Rev. 180, 1289 (1969); L Fassio-Canuto, ibid 187, 2141 (1969); D Laiand S L Shapiro, Astrophys. J., 383, 745 (1991).
- [14] V G Bezchastnov and P Haensel, Phys. Rev. D, 54, 3706 (1996)
- [15] See for example C J Pethick, Rev. M od. Phys., 64, 1133 (1992)
- [16] A W adhwa, V K Gupta and J D Anand, Jour. Phys. G, 21, 1137 (1995)
- [17] C J Pethick, A Akmal, V R Pandharipande and D G Ravenhall, astroph/9905177
- [18] N K G lendenning and S M oszkowski, P hys. Rev. Lett., 67, 2414 (1991)





Figure 1: Variation of Y_p and Y_n with n_B for B = 0, 10^3 , 10^4 and 5 10^4 for T = 5, 30, 60 M eV ($Y_e = 0$).



Figure 2: Variation of Y_p , Y_n and Y with n_B for B = 0, 10^3 , 10^4 and 5 10^4 for T = 5, 30, 60 M eV ($Y_L = 0.4$).



T = 5 M eV

Figure 3: Variation of Y_p , Y_n and m_p^2 with n_B for B = 0;5 10 and B = 5 10 where in B , the e ect of anam abus magnetic has been included.



Figure 4: The rst gure shows the Variation of $_{\rm A}$ with $n_{\rm B}$ for untrapped degenrate matter for ${\rm B}$ = 0, 5 10⁴ and 5 10⁵ at T = 5, 30, 60 M eV. The second gure shows the variation of $_{\rm A}$ with $n_{\rm B}$ for trapped degenrate matter for B = 0 and 5 10⁴ at T = 5, 30, 60 M eV. The e ect of anam abus magnetic m om ent has been included for all elds.



Figure 5: Variation of neutrino mean free path $_{\rm S}$ (s= n, p, e) with n_B for degenrate matter for T = 5, 30 and 60 M eV and for B = 0 and 5 10^4 . The left papel is for untrapped matter (Y = 0) and the right papel is for trapped matter



Figure 6: Variation of $_A$ with n_B for untrapped non-degenrate matter for T=5 M eV and $B = 0;100;10^4$ and $5 10^4$.



Figure 7: Variation of neutrino scattering mean free path $_{\rm S}$ with $n_{\rm B}$ for untrapped non-degenrate matter for T = 5, 30 and 60 M eV. The curves labelled n, p, e and n[?], p[?], e[?] correspond to $_{\rm n}$, $_{\rm p}$ e for B = 0 and 5 10⁴ respectively.