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#### Abstract

A variation of the valence electron w ave function inside a nucleus induced by a perturbative potential is expressed in term $s$ of the potential mom enta. A s an application we consider QED vacuum polarization corrections due to the U ehling and W ichm ann-K rollpotentials to the weak interaction $m$ atrix elem ents.
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## 1. Introduction

Precise low-energy experim ents on parity nonconservation (PNC) in atom sprovide a test of the standard $m$ odel of elem entary particle interactions. In a recent PNC experim ent with cesium by W ood et al 畒] the PNC E1 transition am plitude betw een the 6s and 7 s states has been determ ined with an unprecedented accuracy of $0.3 \%$. At this level of accuracy a sm all perturbative potential (such as the Breit interaction, Q ED vacuum polarization) $m$ ay in uence the result. The PNC weak interaction $m$ atrix elem ents are determ ined by the electron wave function inside a nucleus. Having this, as well as som e other possible applications, in $m$ ind we present in this paper a sim ple analytical approach which allow s one to calculate corrections to the electron w ave function near the origin due to a perturbative potential. As an application we consider corrections to weak $m$ atrix elem ents produced by the Q ED vacuum polarization (U ehling potential
 Relativistic units $c=h=1 ; e^{2}=1=137: 04 ; a_{0}=m^{1}$ ( $a_{0}$ is the Bohr radius) are used below everyw here, if not speci ed otherw ise..

## 2. G eneral form alism

O ur goal is to describe a variation of an electron wave function inside the nucleus due to some local potential V (r) considered as a perturbation. Let us presum e that the electron $m$ otion in an atom is described w th the help of a single-electron spherically sym $m$ etrical $H$ am iltonian $H$, which is, generally speaking, relativistic, ie. of the D irac type. The wave function for the $n$-th energy level ${ }_{n j 1}(r)$ which is the D irac 4-spinor characterized by the totalm om entum j, orbitalm om entum land projection of the total m om entum , the latter index is suppressed, satis es the Schroedinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n j 1} n_{j 1}(r)=H \quad n_{j 1}(r) ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th appropriate boundary conditions at $r=0$ and 1 . The spherically sym m etrical potential $V(r)$ considered as a perturbation results in a variation of the wave function ${ }_{n j 1}(r)$ that we are looking for. This variation can obviously be presented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{j 1}(r)=G_{j 1}\left(r ; r^{0} ; E_{n}\right) V(r) n_{j 1}\left(r^{0}\right) d^{3} r^{0} ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{j 1}\left(r ; r^{0} ; E_{n}\right)$ is the corresponding $G$ reen function for the operator $H$, that can be expanded as a series over a full set of solutions of the Schroedinger equation (1, $\overline{1}$ ) for the j;lwave

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{j 1}\left(r ; r^{0} ; E_{n}\right)=\underbrace{x}_{n^{0} \% n} \frac{n^{0} j_{j 1}(r){ }_{n^{0} j 1}^{+}\left(r^{0}\right)}{E_{n}} E_{n^{0}} \text { : } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This $G$ reen function is necessarily a 44 m atrix in the D irac indices that are suppressed． Rem ember that the $n$－th electron level is excluded from sum $m$ ation in（⿳亠二口欠）．To keep trace of this fact a tilde hat is used for $G_{j 1}\left(r ; r^{0} ; \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ thus distinguishing it from the G reen function $\mathrm{G}_{j 1}\left(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{r}^{0} ; \mathrm{E}\right)$ de ned for arbitrary，non－speci ed energy E．U sing orthogonality and a com pleteness of fullset of the wave functions $n_{j 1}(r)$ that satisfy the Schroedinger equation $(\underline{1} 1 \mathbf{1})$ ，ie．the fact that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \\
& { }_{n j 1}^{+}(r) n^{0}{ }_{j 1}(r) d^{3} r=n n^{0} \text {; }  \tag{4}\\
& { }_{n_{j 1}}^{+}(r)_{n_{j 1}}\left(r^{0}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(r & \text { ri) ; }
\end{array}\right. \\
& \mathrm{x}
\end{align*}
$$


as well as an integral condition
Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{j 1}\left(r ; r^{0} ; E_{n}\right){ }_{n j 1}\left(r^{0}\right) d^{3} r=0: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last term in the right－hand side of（ $\overline{-1})$ as well as condition（ $\overline{-}_{1}$ ）arise due to the sam e， $m$ entioned above reason，nam ely that the $n$－th energy level is excluded from sum $m$ ation in $\left(\begin{array}{l}\text {（3，}\end{array}\right)$ ．

O ur goal is to describe the wave function variation inside the nucleus．To this end it is su cient to nd its variation only at one point inside，for exam ple at the nuclear œenter which we consider as an origin．H aving done that one recovers the wave function everyw here inside（and in close vicinity of）the nucleus sim ply by scaling its non－ perturbed value to com ply w th the variation found at the chosen point．This statem ent follow s from the fact that the potentials considered in this paper are supposed to produce negligible e ects inside the nucleus．They contribute only due to their existence in a nuclear exterior region．This $m$ eans that equations goveming the electron $w$ ave function inside the nucleus rem ain intact by the perturbation．A s a result the perturbation can only scale the wave function inside．We will use this fact looking for the wave function speci cally at the origin，ie．hunting for $n_{j 1}(0)$ ．

In order to nd the wave function at the origin one needs to nd the $G$ reen function $G\left(0 ; r^{0} ; \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ ．This task can be conveniently full led using the follow ing m ethod． Consider an energy E as an arbitrary param eter assum ing only that E is located in som e vicinity of a chosen atom ic energy levelE $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ．Let us call ${ }_{j 1}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{E})$ a solution of the Schroedinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (E } \quad \mathrm{H}){ }_{{ }_{j 1}( }(r ; E)=0: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously for an arbitrary energy E this solution cannot satisfy proper boundary conditions both at in nity and at the origin．However，we can always consider the
proper condition at one of the two points. Wemay assum e therefore that ${ }_{j 1}(r ; E)$ decreases at in nity

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{j 1}(r ; E)!0 ; r!1 \text { : } \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solutions of this type are necessarily singular at the origin, if the energy param eter E does not coincide with som e physical energy level. It is convenient, nevertheless, to introduce the follow ing nom alization integral

Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{nj} 1}^{+}(\mathrm{r}) \quad{ }_{j 1}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{E}) d^{3} \mathrm{r}=1: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which converges wellbecause the $m$ entioned singularity at the origin is com pensated for by a zero-type behaviour of the regular solution.

The function ( $r$; E ) possesses several important for us properties. Firstly, at the point $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}$ the boundary condition at the origin can obviously be satis ed.
 the function ${ }_{j 1}(r ; E)$ coincides $w$ ith the wave function for the $n$-th energy level

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{j 1}\left(r ; E_{n}\right)=n j 1(r): \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

To reveal another useful property of ${ }_{j 1}(r ; E)$, let us di erentiate the Schroedinger equation ( $(\underset{1}{1})$ over the energy at the point $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(E_{n} \quad H \stackrel{@}{@_{j 1}\left(r ; E_{n}\right)} @_{j 1}\left(r ; E_{n}\right) ; r>0:\right. \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$K$ eeping in $m$ ind that ${ }_{j 1}(r ; E)$ is irregular at the origin we have to suspect that the right-hand side of ( $1 \overline{2} \overline{2}) \mathrm{m}$ ay include the delta-term $/(\mathrm{r})$, or its derivatives. This suspicion, justi ed below, prom pts to rem em ber that $r>0$ when (12il) is taken literally.

To proceed let us di erentiate the norm alization condition (10)") over E at the point $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{n j 1}^{+}(r) \frac{@{ }_{j 1}\left(r ; E_{n}\right)}{@ E} d^{3} r=0: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

 $T$ heir obvious identity indicates that the $G$ reen function at the origin $G_{j 1}\left(0 ; r ; E_{n}\right)$ can be presented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{j 1}\left(0 ; r ; E_{n}\right)=n_{j 1}(0) \frac{@_{j 1}^{+}\left(r ; E_{n}\right)}{@ E}: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e can now clarify behaviour of the right-hand side of equation (1̄2̄) at $r=0$. From
 of (1̄2̄) should indeed be m odi ed to include an additional delta-term (r) (though for our purposes it su ces to consider this equation only at $r>0$ ).

W e nd that ${ }_{j 1}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{E})$ is a very convenient object. It allows one to describe sim ultaneously a set of wave functions $n_{j 1}(r)(\underline{1} \overline{1})$ (1) as well as the G reen function
 perturbation $V(r)$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{j 1}(0)={ }_{n j 1}(0)^{Z} \frac{@_{j 1}^{+}\left(r ; E_{n}\right)}{@ E} V(r){ }_{n j 1}(r) d^{3} r: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his convenient presentation is the $m$ ain result of this Section. Its applications are discussed below.

## 3. Perturbation at sm all distances

Let us consider perturbative potentials $V(r)$ located at sm all separations from the nucleus. We will assum e, however, that a potential considered gives a signi cant contribution $m$ ainly outside the atom ic nucleus, i.e. assum e that a region of distances where the potential is im portant satis es

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{N} \quad r \quad a_{0}=Z ; \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $r_{N}$ is the nuclear radius. A wave function variation in the nuclear interior can be described by a scaling factor, which we nd below .

C onventional presentation for the D irac four-spinor for spherically sym $m$ etrical potentials reads

$$
{ }_{n j 1}(r)=\frac{1}{r} \quad \begin{array}{llll}
f_{n}(r) & j 1 & (n)  \tag{17}\\
i_{n}(r) & j 1
\end{array} \quad(n):
$$

Here $f_{n}(r)$ and $g_{n}(r)$ are the large and $s m$ all radialcom ponents of the spinor, the indioes $j l$ for them are suppressed. They are nom alized as

$$
{ }_{0}^{Z_{1}}\left(f_{n}^{2}(r)+g_{n}^{2}(r)\right) d r=1 ;
$$

${ }_{j 1}(n)$ and ${ }_{j I}(n)=(n)_{j 1 m}(n)$ are spherical spinors, and $l+I=2 j$. The D irac equation $(\underset{1}{\overline{1}})$ for a $m$ otion in the potential $U(r)$ in this notation takes the fam iliar form

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{n}^{0}(r)+\frac{-f_{m}}{r}(r)=\left(m+E_{n} \quad U(r)\right) g_{( }(r)  \tag{19}\\
& \left.g_{n}^{0}(r)+\frac{-g_{n}(r)=\left(m \quad E_{n}\right.}{r} \quad U(r)\right) f_{n}(r) ;
\end{align*}
$$

where $=1(1+1) \quad j(j+1) \quad 1=4=\quad(j+1=2)$. Forsm allseparations ofan electron from the atom ic nucleus (İ్̄-) the potential $U(r)$ can be approxim ated by the pure C oulom b potential created by the nuclear charge $Z$

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(r), \quad \frac{Z e^{2}}{r}: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

A dditionalsim pli cation for this region com es from the fact that the energy of a valence electron is low and therefore for sm all separations (ī $\overline{1}$ ) $)$ one can safely assume that $\mathrm{E}^{\prime} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{W}$ ith these simpli cations the D irac equation (19) reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{n}^{0}(r)+\frac{-f_{n}}{r}(r)=2 m+\frac{Z e^{2}}{r} g_{n}(r)  \tag{21}\\
& g_{i}^{0}(r)+\frac{g_{n}}{r}(r)=\frac{Z e^{2}}{r} f_{n}(r):
\end{align*}
$$

There are two sets of solutions for these equations. O ne of them is regular at the origin. From (21) one nds that it behaves as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f_{+}(r)=a_{+} r ; &  \tag{22}\\
g_{+}(g)=b_{+} r ; & b_{+}=\frac{+}{Z}:
\end{array}
$$

Here $=\binom{2}{(Z)^{2}}^{1=2}$. C learly, this regular solution can be used to describe the electron wave function for sm all distances $(\overline{1} \overline{-1}): f_{n}(r)=f_{+}(r) ; \quad g_{n}(r)=g_{+}(r)$. The subscript + is used to distinguish this solution from the singular one. The latter, that w illbe called $f(r)$; $g(r)$, behaves as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{r})=\mathrm{ar} ;  \tag{23}\\
\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{~g})=\mathrm{br} ; & \mathrm{b}=\frac{+}{\mathrm{Z}}:
\end{array}
$$

This solution is used below for the description of the $G$ reen function. The explicit form for both sets of solutions found from (21) reads,

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(r)=a \frac{(2+1)}{(8 Z \mathrm{~m})} J_{2}(x) \frac{1}{2( } x^{\prime \prime} J_{2+1}(x)  \tag{24}\\
& g(r)=a \frac{+}{Z} \frac{(2+1)}{(8 Z \mathrm{~m})} J_{2}(x): \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

H ere $J(x)$ is the $B$ essel fiunction, $x=P \overline{8 Z \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{r}}$. Num ericalcoe cients in (24),(25) are chosen to satisfy (22),(23). The coe cient a + for the regular solution that represents the electron wave function should be found from the norm alization condition for this function. A proper norm alization of the coe cient a is discussed in detail below, see (

Following the approach of Section ${ }_{2}$ we need to replace $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}$ in (19) by an arbitrary value $E$, assum ing that the corresponding solution ${ }_{j 1}(r ; E)$ w ith com ponents $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{E}) ; \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{E})$ behaves regularly at in nity as speci ed in ( 9 (9) . Further, we need to consider derivatives over the energy $@ f(r ; E)=@ E \quad \frac{f}{f}(r ; E)$ and $@ g(r ; E)=@ E$ $g_{E}(r ; E)$. O ur task is to nd these functions at small $r$. Since $f(r ; E) ; g(r ; E)$ are singular at the origin, we have to expect that $f_{E}\left(r ; E_{n}\right) ; g_{E}\left(r ; E_{n}\right)$ are singular as well. Bearing this in $m$ ind we can neglect the regular, and therefore $s m$ all, righthand side of ( $(1 \overline{2}=1)$ when $r$ ! $0 . W$ e deduce from this that for $s m a l l r$ the functions
$f_{E}\left(r ; E_{n}\right) ; g_{E}\left(r ; E_{n}\right)$ satisfy the hom ogeneous $D$ irac equation (19) behaving singularly in the vicinity of $r=0$. The notation $f(r) ; g(r)$ introduced above speci es exactly this solution of the D irac equation. It follow s from (23) that for sm all separations the follow ing asym ptotic conditions hold

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{\mathrm{E}}\left(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{r})=\mathrm{ar} \quad \mathrm{r} \quad ;  \tag{26}\\
& \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{E}}\left(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{r})=\mathrm{b} \quad \mathrm{r} \quad:
\end{align*}
$$

W e need to continue this line of argum entation and nd corrections of the order of mr to the right-hand sides of (26). Observe rstly that according to (22) the right-hand side of non-hom ogeneous equation ( $\overline{1} \overline{2} \overline{2})$ is $s m$ all enough for short distances to produce no corrections of the order of mr . Therefore the m ain correction to (26) arises from the hom ogeneous D irac equation (21) when the $m$ ass term in the right-hand side of this equation is taken into account. Technically the easiest way to recover the correction due to the $m$ ass term is through an expansion of the explicit solutions (24), (25) in powers of mr . W e w ill present the result below, in ( $\left(\frac{3}{2} 2 \overline{2}\right)$, where a sim ilar correction for the regular solution (22) is also included.

To proced we need to rew rite (19) in term $s$ of large and sm all com ponents of the D irac spinor. Relations (22) ensure that variations of both com ponents due to perturbation are proportional at the origin. Thism eans that ifwe de ne the com ponent ratios at the origin as a $\lim$ it $f_{+}(0)=f_{+}(0) \quad\left[f(r)=f_{+}(r)\right]_{r}$ ! o and $\quad g_{+}(0)=g_{+}(0)$ $\left[g_{+}(r)=g_{+}(r)\right]_{r}!0$ (the lim it is necessary since one of the com ponents $m$ ay tum zero at the origin) then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{+}(0)=f_{+}(0)=g_{+}(0)=g_{+}(0): \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing this fact we derive from (190)

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{f_{+}(0)}{f_{+}(0)} & =\frac{g_{+}(0)}{g_{+}(0)}=\int_{0}^{Z_{1}}\left[f_{n}(r) f_{E}\left(r ; E_{n}\right)+g_{n}(r) g_{E}\left(r ; E_{n}\right)\right] V(r) d r  \tag{28}\\
& =\int_{0}\left[f_{+}(r) f(r)+g_{+}(r) g \quad(r)\right] V(r) d r: \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

The last identity here arises because, as explained above, for sm all separations satisfying ( $\overline{1} \overline{-}$ ) the wave function $f_{n}(r) ; g_{n}(r)$ and derivatives over energy $f_{E}\left(r ; E_{n}\right) ; g_{E}\left(r ; E_{n}\right)$ can be replaced by the plus and $m$ inus solutions $f(r)$; $g(r)$ respectively. The $m$ agnitude of these latter solutions is govemed by coe cients a in asym ptotic form ulae (26). We need therefore to nd the product $a_{+} a$. This can be achieved using the follow ing transform ation. M ultiply the non-hom ogeneous equation (ī2̄) by ${ }_{\mathrm{n} j 1}^{+}(\mathrm{r})$ and integrate over the full 3D space from which the interior of a sphere $S$ " of radius " around the origin is excluded. C onsider " > 0 as a sm allparam eter which is to be put to zero at the end of the calculations, "! 0.The nom alization condition for $n(r)$ ensures that this procedure gives 1 in the right-hand side of (1-1 1
in the left-hand side of this equation by parts one observes that only the surface term sitting on the sphere $S$ " survives

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\quad f_{n}(") \frac{@ g\left(" ; E_{n}\right)}{@ E} \quad G(") \frac{@ f\left(" ; E_{n}\right)}{@ E}=(f(") g(") \quad g(") f(")) \\
& =(\mathrm{ab} \quad \mathrm{~b} \mathrm{a}): \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we use representation of the spinors $n_{j 1}(r)$ and @ ( $\left.r ; E\right)=@ E$ in term $s$ of their large and $s m$ all com ponents, com pare ( $\left.\overline{1} \bar{T}_{1}\right)$, as well as the fact that in nity $r=1$ gives no contribution to the surface term since $n_{j 1}(r)$ and @ ${ }_{j 1}(r ; E)=@ E$ are regular there. For sm all radius $r="$ one can express $n j l(r)$ and @ ${ }_{j 1}(r ; E)=@ E$ in term $s$ of f (r); g (r), as was explained above, and use asym ptotic form ulae (22), (23), expressing thus the surface term via the coe cients a ;b in the last identity in (30). We nd from these transform ations that $a_{+} b \quad$ b $a=1$, or, rem em bering expressions ofb in term s of a in (22),(23), nd the product in question

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{+} a=\frac{1}{2} \underline{Z}: \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e possess now all ingredients necessary to derive the nal result. Take equation (29). Substitute in its right-hand side expressions (24) and (25) for $f(r) ; g(r)$ that are supplem ented by condition (3̄11) on the coe cients a . A fter that expand the resulting integrand that arises from the right-hand side of (29) in pow ers ofm $r$. This expansion is both justi ed and necessary in view of the follow ing reasons. The expansion is allowed because the perturbation $V(r)$ is located in the region of $s m$ all separations (1] $\overline{-})$. A typical radius w here the potential is located is a param eter for this expansion. N ecessity for this expansion is tw ofold. F irstly, the approach developed neglects the screening of the C oulom b eld by an electron cloud, which is a good approxim ation only in the close vicinity of the nucleus. Secondly, the procedure described neglects the regular solution in the right-hand side of the non-hom ogeneous D irac equation, which is justi ed only for mm all distances where this solution is sm all.

A nalytical calculations described above are straightforw ard. The nal result reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f_{+}(0)}{f_{+}(0)}=\frac{g_{+}(0)}{g_{+}(0)}={\frac{m}{h^{2}}}_{0}^{z_{1}} V(r)(a+k r) d r: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $a$ is a param eter w ith length dim ension while $k$ is a dim ensionless ooe cient

$$
\begin{align*}
& a=\frac{Z}{m_{c}} ;  \tag{33}\\
& k=\frac{2(2 \quad 1)}{\left(4^{2} 1\right)}: \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

Relations ( $\overline{3} \overline{2} \overline{2})$, (33) are presented in absolute units, to $m$ ake them $m$ ore accessible for di erent applications.

Simple form ula ( $\overline{3} \overline{2} \overline{2})$ is one of the $m$ ost im portant results of this paper. It solves the $m$ ain problem form ulated in this section presenting a variation of the $w$ ave function at the origin in very transparent term $s$, as a linear com bination of the zeroth and rst $m$ om enta of the perturbative potential.

N ote that for the short-range potentials relative corrections to the energy and wave function are quite di erent. Indeed, we can approxim ate the energy variation in this case using asym ptotic relations (22) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}=\ln j l j v j \operatorname{jil}{ }^{\prime} \quad\left(a_{+}^{2}+b_{+}^{2}\right)^{Z_{1}} V(r) r^{2} d r: \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

For an arbitrary perturbation $V(r)$ the integral in the right-hand side ofth is identity $m$ ay deviate signi cantly from the integral in ( the energy variation, generally speaking, cannot serve as estim ate what happens w ith the wave function.

A $n$ interesting com parison can be $m$ ade with the nonrelativistic lim it of ( $\overline{3} \overline{2} \overline{2})$ that reads

D eriving this identity we use the fact that according to (33) the param eter a tums zero in the lim it Z! 0 , while from (34) one derives k! $2=(2 l+1)$. There is a sim ple short cut derivation that leads to ( $\overline{3} \overline{6})$ and can be used for veri cation of this result. A ssum e that in the nonrelativistic lim it the electron $m$ otion is dom inated by the kinetic term, which is true for short separations. Derive from this an approxim ation

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{1}\left(r ; r^{0} ; E_{n}\right)^{\prime} G_{1}^{(0)}\left(r \quad 1 ; E_{n}\right)^{\prime} \quad \frac{2 m}{h^{2}} \frac{1}{2 l+1} \frac{r_{<}^{1}}{r_{>}^{1+1}} ; \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{G}_{1}^{(0)}\left(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{r}^{0} ; \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ is the G reen function for the free m otion in the 1 -th partial wave and the last identity takes into account the fact that the binding energy is negligible for short distances. Rem em bering also that for nonrelativistic $m$ otion the $w$ ave function behaves as ${ }_{1}(r) / r^{1}$; r ! 0 one immediately derives ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{\bar{G}}\right)$ directly from (2̄) thus verifying relativistic equation (3̄2̄) that we used above. To com ply w ith absolute units


The nonrelativistic result ( $\overline{3} \overline{\bar{\sigma}})$ ) show $s$ that the param eter that govems variation of the wave function is $m{ }^{R} V(r) r d r$. This is alm ost an obvious result valid for a variety of quantum $m$ echanical $\underset{R}{p r o b l e m ~} s\left[\begin{array}{l}4 \\ 4\end{array}\right]$. The relativistic result (3̄2̄) show $s$ that there exists another param eter $m a^{R} V(r) d r$. It is suppressed com pared $w$ ith the nonrelativistic param eter only by a factor Z which is not sm all for heavy atom $s$. This suppression can be well com pensated for if the potential considered increases at sm all separations which
$m$ akes a ${ }^{R} V(r) d r$ larger than ${ }^{R} V(r) r d r$. In this case the found relativistic param eter becom es $m$ ore important than the nonrelativistic one. A perturbation due to the QED vacuum polarization discussed in Section $\frac{1}{4}$ presents an exam ple im portant for applications.

W e can apply the results obtained above for a speci c interesting case. C onsider the parity-violating weak interaction of an atom ic electron w ith the nucleus that $m$ ixes $\mathrm{s}_{1}=2$ and $\mathrm{p}_{1=2}$ states of an outer electron. Thematrix elem ent for this $m$ ixing $\mathrm{h}_{1=2}$ 预 $\dot{\mathrm{s}}_{1=2} i$ is saturated inside the nucleus. Therefore the variation of the $m$ atrix elem ent for the weak interaction can be found sim ply by adding variations of $s_{1=2}$ and $p_{1=2}$ states given in (43)

Deriving this result we take into account that essential param eters for $S_{1=2}$ and $p_{1=2}$ states are $s=1 ; p=1 ; s=p=\left(1 \quad(Z)^{2}\right)^{1=2} \quad$ and assum ed that $\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}=6=\left(\begin{array}{ll}4^{2} & 1\end{array}\right)$.

## 4. Vacuum polarization

Let us apply ( QED vacuum caused by the Coulomb eld of the nucleus. In the low est, second order of the QED perturbation theory this polarization is described by the $U$ ehling potential [行] $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{VP}}$ (r)

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{V P}(r)=\frac{2}{3} \frac{Z^{2}!Z_{1}}{\frac{r}{!}} \exp (2 m r) Y() d ;  \tag{39}\\
& Y()=1+\frac{1}{2^{2}} \frac{{ }^{2} 1}{2}: \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

The U ehling potential (39) is singular at the origin

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{V P}(r)=\frac{2}{3} \frac{\mathrm{Ze}^{2}!}{r} \ln \frac{1}{\mathrm{mr}} \quad C \quad \frac{5}{6} ; \operatorname{mr} \quad 1: \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $C=0: 577::$ : is the Euler constant. A $\ln m r$ function in ( $4 \overline{4} \overline{1})$ ) describes conventional scaling of the QED coupling constant $e^{2}$ that $m$ anifests itself for short distances. This scaling factor has an interesting consequence for the problem ${ }_{R}$ at hand. Being introduced in ( $\overline{3} \overline{2} \overline{2}$ ) it results in the $\mathrm{ln}^{2} \mathrm{~m} r$ divergence of the integralm $a^{R} V_{V P}(r) d r$ at sm all $r$. This divergence is elim inated by the nite nuclear size. A s a result we nd an estim ate for the variation of the weak $m$ atrix elem ent ( $\overline{3} \overline{-} \overline{1})$

This show s that there exists the $\ln ^{2} m r_{N}$ enhancem ent in the problem, as was rstly discovered by M ilstein and Sushkov [⿹\zh26灬, using otherm ethods. In our approach this result is linked w th the relativistic param eter m a ${ }^{R} V(r) d r$ (33) introduced in Section ${ }_{1}^{2-1} .1$. For heavy atom $s$ the $\ln ^{2} m r_{N}$ enhancem ent com pensates for the additional suppressing factor $Z$ in the relativistic param eter a (33) thus $m$ aking this param eter dom inant.

In order to present $m$ ore accurate results describing the in uence of the vacuum polarization on the wave function let us substitute (39) in ( nd

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.=\frac{Z^{2}}{} \frac{3}{16} \frac{(2}{4^{2}} 1 \mathbf{1}\right)+Z \frac{2}{3}_{1}^{Z_{1}} E_{1}\left(m r_{N}\right) Y() d \text {; } \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Y$ ( ) is de ned in (40) and we used (33),(34) to present a;k explicitly. A s is evident from ( $\overline{4} \overline{2} \overline{2})$ it is essential to take the nite size $r_{N}$ of the nucleus into account. W e follow in (43) the sim plest way cutting the divergent integral in (43) at $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{N}}$. The sym bol $\mathrm{E}_{1}(\mathrm{x})$ in (43) represents the know integralexponent function

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}(x)=Z_{1}^{z_{1}} \exp (x t) \frac{d t}{t}: \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Formula (43) solves the problem formulated above, gising a simple transparent presentation for variation of the atom ic electron wave function due to vacuum polarization. Sim ilarly we can nd contribution of the QED vacuum polarization to the parity-violating weak interaction. Substituting (39) into ( $\overline{3} \overline{\mathrm{Z}}$ ) and m aking transform ations sim ilar to the ones used in (43) we nd

Equation ( a transparent analytical form w ithout tting param eters. N um erical results are easily obtained by a straightforw ard one-dim ensional integration in ( $\overline{4} \overline{5})$ ). O ne only needs to specify the nuclear size that can be taken as $r_{\mathrm{N}}=1.2 \quad 10^{13} \mathrm{~A}^{-1=3} \mathrm{om}$ where A is the
 correction produced by the U ehling potential $0: 47 \%$.
y A ltematively the right-hand side of ( $4 \overline{5}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) can be calculated using an expansion in powers ofm $r_{\mathrm{N}} \quad 1$
 expansion brings (4눈) to a form that is close, but not identical to the one derived in [5] $\left.{ }^{[ }\right]$. W e w ill not pursue an origin for this discrepancy since calculations in the cited paper w ere ful lled up to a constant that was eventually used as a tting param eter.

C om pare this result w th other results obtained recently. Johnson, Bednyakov and
 nonconservation in the $6 \mathrm{~s}-7 \mathrm{~s}$ am plitude in ${ }^{133} \mathrm{C}$ s. It proves to be large $0: 4 \%$, which
 of [ $m$ atrix elem ent and the corresponding energy denom inator that, combined together, describe a s smixing m easured experim entally. Ref. 'in of D zuba, F lam baum and $G$ inges con $m$ es this result and supplies $m$ ore details providing separate variations for all three quantities $m$ entioned above. It was found that variations of the dipole $m$ atrix elem ent and the energy denom inator, being not $s m a l l$, com pensate each other alm ost com pletely. T hus the variation of the weak $m$ atrix elem ent proves to be $0: 4 \%$. N um erical calculations in [5-1] w ere restricted by the logarithm ic accuracy that w as im proved by using a constant as a tting param eter to obtain $0: 4 \%$ in line w ith $\left.\underline{\theta}_{-1 / 1}^{1}\right]$.

W e are interested in heavy atom $s$ where the param eter $Z$ is not $s m$ all, therefore the low est order polarization potential (U ehling potential) m ay be not su cient. T he higher order polarization potential (W ichm ann-K rollpotential) was obtained in (了్ట్ర 1 . To calculate the correction to the weak $m$ atrix elem ent $w$ ith the logarithm ic accuracy it is enough to know this potential at sm all distances [10010]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{W K}(r)=0: 092 \frac{2}{3}(Z)^{2} \frac{\mathrm{Ze}^{2}!}{r} \quad \mathrm{mr} \quad 1: \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

The calculation w ith the logarithm ic accuracy gives the follow ing ratio oftheW ichm ann$K$ roll correction to the $U$ ehling correction for the weak $m$ atrix elem ent (see ( $\overline{3} \overline{-1})$ ) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{W} K}}{\mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{U}}}=0: 184 \frac{(\mathrm{Z})^{2}}{\ln 1=\left(\mathrm{m} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

 order corrections to the polarization potential are not im portant (this may probably be explained by high $m$ om enta of the electron-positron pair in the polarization loop).
5. Large separations, non-relativistic case

Let us apply form ula ( $1 \overline{1} 5 \mathbf{1})$ for the case when the perturbative potentialV ( $r$ ) is located in a region of distances $r$ that satisfy the follow ing conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}=Z \quad r \quad a: \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

An example of an application here $m$ ay be the calculation of $Q E D$ corrections to the weak $m$ atrix elem ent which originate from the atom ic electron potential (see below).

T wo sim pli cations are possible here. Firstly, the m otion can be described by nonrelativistic equations, and, secondly, the sem iclassical approach is valid here [īi]. We
can therefore assum e that the D irac spinors ${ }_{j 1}(r ; E) ;{ }_{n j 1}(r)$ can be expressed in term $s$ of the single-com ponent nonrelativistic w ave functions. The angular com ponents of the nonrelativistic wave functions will be called ${ }_{1}(r ; E)$ and ${ }_{n 1}(r)$ respectively. Applying
 all distances speci ed in ( $\overline{4} \bar{B}_{1}$ ), we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{1}(r ; E)=\frac{1}{r}_{r} \quad \frac{2}{!^{(E)}}{ }^{!(r)} \sin ^{Z_{r}} \quad p\left(r^{0}\right) d r^{0} ; \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p(r)$ and $v(r)$ are a classicalm om entum and velocity, $p(r)=m v(r)$, and! (E) is a classical frequency

$$
\begin{equation*}
!(E)=\frac{2}{T(E)} ; \quad T(E)=\frac{d r}{V(r)}: \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Generally speaking, the velocity $v(r)$ depends on the energy $E, m$ aking the period of the classical m otion $\mathrm{T}(\mathbb{E})$ and the frequency ! ( $\mathbb{E}$ ) energy dependent as well. Recall, how ever, that we are interested in the behaviour of an outer electron whose binding energy is $m$ uch lower than an atom ic potentialwhen $r$ satis es ( the velocity and $m$ om entum in the integrand in ( $(\overline{4} 9.9)$ alm ost independent on energy $E$ in the vicinity of the $n$-th energy level. In contrast, ! ( $\mathbb{E}$ ) exhibits rapid variation $w$ ith energy because the integral for the period $T$ (E) in ( $\left.5 \overline{0} 0{ }^{-1}\right)$ is saturated at large distances, where velocity sharply depends on energy. Taking this into account we deduce from $(\overline{4} \overline{9})$ ) that in the region of interest ( $\overline{4} \overline{-} \overline{-1})$ the follow ing equality holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@_{1}(r ; E)}{@ E}, \frac{1}{2!(E)} \frac{d!(E)}{d E}{ }_{1}(r ; E): \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his show sthat in the region (4iqu) the derivative of the wave function @ ${ }_{1}(r ; E)=@ E$ can be described by a sim ple scaling factor $(1=2!(E))(d!(E)=d E)$. This statem ent rem ains true for the D irac spinor @ ${ }_{j 1}(r ; E)=@ E$ as well because in the considered region ( $\left.\overline{4} \bar{q} \bar{q}\right)$ the spinor is proportional to the nonrelativistic wave function ( $\overline{5} \overline{-1})$. y U sing now the fact that for shorter separations $r<a_{0}=Z$ the perturbation is assum ed insigni cant, we conclude that description of the perturbation by the scaling factor rem ains valid all the way dow $n$ to the nucleus. This $m$ eans that for all distances inside an atom ic core $0 \quad r \quad$ a the derivative of the $D$ irac spinor over energy @ ${ }_{j 1}(r ; E)=@ E$ rem ains proportional to the spinor itself ${ }_{j 1}(r ; E)$ with a scaling coe cient identical to the one


$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{j 1}(r)=\frac{!^{0}\left(E_{n}\right)}{2!\left(E_{n}\right)} \quad n_{j 1}(r) E_{n} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

y In what follows we will not need an explicit form for relations expressing the spinor via the nonrelativistic wave fiunction. A sim ple fact of their linear dependence $w$ ill be su cient.
$H$ ere $!{ }^{0}(E)$ is a shortcut notation for a derivative of ! (E) over $E$, while $E_{n}$ is an energy variation due to the potentialV (r)

Z

W e conclude from ( $\overline{5} \overline{2}_{2}$ ) that the relative variation of the wave function at the origin is proportional to the variation of the energy level, being independent on any speci c features of the potential. The coe cient! ${ }^{0}\left(\mathbb{E}_{n}\right)=\left(2!\left(\mathbb{E}_{n}\right)\right)$ in this form ula is expressed in term softhe classical frequency for the electron $m$ otion. It is very sm ple for calculations, but can be simpli ed even further, if one needs only an estim ation. Rem ember again that large separations from the atom $r>a_{0}$ give large contribution to the classical period $T(E)$. For these distances an atom ic eld can be approxim ated by the C oulom. $b$ potential $\hat{e}^{2}=r$ created by a singly charged atom ic residue. This fact allow s one to approxim ate the frequency by conventional form ula of $N$ ew tonian celestial $m$ echanics for the $K$ epler problem which for the potential $e^{2}=r$ read ! $(E)=\left(=e^{2}\right)\left(2 B_{n}^{3}=m\right)^{1=2}$, where $B_{n}=m \quad E_{n}$ is the electron binding energy. Substituting this in ( $\overline{5} \overline{2}$ ) one nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{n j 1}(0), \frac{3}{4} \frac{B_{n}}{B_{n}}{ }_{n j 1}(0) ; \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{n}=m \quad E_{n}$ is the variation of the binding energy. Thus the behaviour of the wave function can be described in term s of the binding energy only. $y$ There is, of course, a short-cut way to derive this result. The wave fiunction of an outer electron at $s m$ all $r$ is know $n$ to depend on the binding energy according to ${ }_{n 1}^{2}(r)=$ const $=r^{3}$, where $R$ is an e ective radialquantum num ber de ned by the binding energy $B n=m e^{4}=\left(2 n^{2}\right)$. Taking variation of this relation and assum ing a weak in uence of the perturbation on const one im m ediately reproduces ( $\left.\mathbf{5}_{\mathbf{5}}^{\mathbf{5}} \mathbf{1}\right)^{1}$ ).

The num erical sim ulation perform ed $z$ shows that for the $6 \mathrm{~s}_{1=2}$ state in cesium atom the $K$ epler approxim ation ( $5 \overline{-} \overline{4})$ ) ensures an accuracy of $20 \%$ for allperturbative potentials $V(r)=$ const $\exp (\mathrm{pr})$ with $1_{\bar{\sigma} a} \mathrm{p} \quad 50=$ a, while an accuracy of slightly m ore sophisticated form ula ( ${ }_{(5)}^{2}$ ) $)$ is even higher, of the order of $2 \%$.

W e veri ed in this Section that the approach based on (1-5디), gives sensible results $(\overline{5} \overline{2}),(\overline{5} \overline{4})$ for the region $(\underline{4} \overline{4} \overline{-})$. N ow we can use this approach to estim ate the in uence of Q ED radiative corrections to electron-electron interaction on the weak $m$ atrix elem ent. In the non-relativistic lim it the Uehling potential can be replaced by a zero-range potential (proportional to the -function). A larger correction com es from the selfenergy operator $\left(r ; r^{0} ; E\right)$ which also reduces to -function in the non-relativistic lim it,
$y$ It is am using to observe that the coe cient in the right-hand side of ( $544^{\prime}$ ) originates directly from the $K$ epler law that relates cubes of periods $w$ ith squares of separations.
z An atom ic potential was approxim ated by som e local potential which reasonably reproduces the valence electron wave function both inside and outside the atom ic core.
i.e. ( $\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{r}^{0}$; E) ( r 9 $\mathrm{r}^{2} \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{r})$. A sem iclassical formula for $U(\mathrm{r})$ was obtained by Flam baum and Zelevinsky 颃六1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(r)=\frac{Z^{2}}{3 m^{2}} \ln \frac{m}{f J_{p}(r)} E \stackrel{r}{j}^{2} U(r): \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere $U(r)$ is the atom ic potential, while $U_{p}(r)$ is the atom ic potentialw ith a correction that takes into account the centrifugal potential which in uence the p-w ave electron in the interm ediate state (se details in [1]ī1]). As usual, this sem iclassical expression is not valid near the tuming points where $U_{p}(r)=E$. H ow ever, a very weak logarithm ic singularity does not produce any practical lim itations on the applicability of ( $5 \overline{5} 5)$. For the electrostatic potential $r^{2} U(r)=4 \quad(r)$ where $(r)$ is the electric charge density. $T$ he $m$ ain contribution to the Lam b shift of the energy levelproduced by $U(r)$ is given by the nuclear charge (this contribution was calculated in [ī 1$]$ ). The contribution of the electron charge density can be calculated using the Thom asFerm i approxim ation. $T$ he $m$ ain contribution here com es from the interval $a_{0}=Z<r<a_{0}=Z^{1=3}$. A simple estim ate show $s$ that the squared sem iclassical electron wave function at $r \quad a_{0}=Z^{1=3}$ is $Z$ tim es sm aller than near the origin. T herefore, the electron-electron contribution to the Lam b shift of s-w ave electron is $Z$ tim es sm aller than the electron-nucleus contribution.
 ( $\overline{5} \overline{-} \overline{-})$ we obtain that the correction to the weak $m$ atrix elem ent produced by the electron density contribution to the $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{r})$ is $0: 001 \%$.

## 6. Sum $m$ ary and conclusions

Equation (1. $\overline{1}$ ) provides a convenient fram ew ork to calculate a variation of the wave function of an atom ic electron inside the atom ic nucleus that arises due to a perturbative potential in an atom . A pplied to the region of large distances $\left(a_{0}=Z \quad r \quad\right.$ a) it results in equation $(\underline{5} \overline{2})$ and its simpli ed version ( 5 interesting for applications for the atom ic parity nonconservation. T he m ost di cult and cum bersom e part of theoretical investigation in the latter problem present $m$ anyelectron correlations region of large distances discussed in Section ${ }_{1}^{15}$. accuracy of calculations of the atom ic spectrum provides a direct test for an accuracy of the weak $m$ atrix elem ent calculation. T here are, of course, other problem $s$ which require know ledge of an electron wave function in the vicinity of a nucleus such as hyper ne interaction, eld isotopic shift, and tim e invariance violation.

In the region of sm all separations $r \quad a_{0}=Z$ our $m$ ain result ( $\overline{3} 2 \overline{2}$ ) gives simple transparent presentation for the in uence of perturbation on the wave function. We deduce from it that for potentials singular at the origin a dim ensionless param eter $m a^{R} V(r) d r$ (33) $m$ easures the strength of the potential.

Form ula ( $(3 \overline{3} 2 \overline{1})$ was applied to nd a variation of the weak electron-nucleus $m$ atrix elem ent due to the vacuum polarization (U ehling potential). The result is $0: 47 \%$
 contributions of the $W$ ichm ann $-K$ roll potential and QED corrections to the electronelectron interaction were found to be very sm all.
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