The Form ation of C lassical D efects A fter a Slow Quantum Phase Transition

R.J.R ivers ¹, F.C.Lom bardo² ^y, and F.D.M azzitellf²

¹ Centre of Theoretical Physics, University of Sussex,

Brighton, BN 1 9Q J

² Departamento de F sica, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales
Universidad de Buenos Aires - Ciudad Universitaria, Pabellon I

1428 Buenos Aires, Argentina

A bstract

Classical defects (monopoles, vortices, etc.) are a characteristic consequence of many phase transitions of quantum elds. We show a model in which the onset of classical probability distributions, for the long-wavelength modes at early times, allows the identication of line-zeroes of the eld with vortex separation. We obtain a rened version of Kibble's causal results for defect separation, but from a completely dierent approach. It is apparent that vortices are not created from thermal uctuations in the Ginzburg regime.

Typeset using REVTEX

r.rivers@ic.ac.uk, Perm anent address: Im perial College, London SW 7 2B Z

^ylom bardo@ df.uba.ar

^zfm azzi@ df.uba.ar

Because phase transitions take place in a nite time, causality requires correlation lengths to remain nite. As a result, scalar order parameter elds (x) become frustrated, and topological defects arise so as to reconcile eld phases between dierent correlation volumes [1,2]. For example, the breaking of the GUT symmetry in the early universe gives rise to monopoles, the most familiar defect. However, they are but one of many possibilities, that include cosmic strings (vortices), which may be the source of high energy cosmic rays, as well as contributing to structure formation in the early universe.

For the weak-coupling theories of the early universe, defects have non-perturbatively large m asses, comparable to the temperature scale k_B T_c at which the transition takes place. Thus m onepoles produced in the early universe at a GUT transition m ight be expected to have a mass m $10^6 \, \mathrm{GeV}$. For this reason alone, they and other defects are manifestly classical, even though the phase transition that produced them is intrinsically quantum mechanical. In this letter we show how the creation of classical defects comes about for simple temperature quenches with a nite quench rate through the critical temperature T_c . [The case of an instantaneous quench has been considered elsewhere [3]]. Finite quench rates have been studied by K ibble [4], using causality bounds, from which he has derived simple scaling laws that incorporate mean-eld dimensional analysis.

Although the equilibrium correlation length $_{\rm eq}$ (t) diverges at the transition, the true correlation length (t) does not. Thus, causality imposes a maximum rate at which the correlation length can grow and hence a maximum correlation length, , at the onset of the transition. At the same time, causality imposes a horizon outside which the elds are uncorrelated. If we simply relate the correlation length and the separation length between vortices $_{\rm def}$ by = 0 ($_{\rm def}$), then the density of defects is bounded, and calculable, at their moment of form ation.

If, in the vicinity of T_c , $dT=dt=T_c=_Q$, then dimensional analysis suggests that the earliest possible time at which defects could be formed after the onset of the transition is t=0 (t_K), where $t_K=\frac{1}{Q}=\frac{1}{Q}=\frac{1}{Q}=\frac{1}{Q}$. This is deduced from $t_K=\frac{1}{Q}$ ($t_K=t_K$) = 1, where $t_K=\frac{1}{Q}=\frac{1$

$$_{K} = (t = t_{K}) = _{eq} ^{1} (_{Q})^{1-3};$$
 (1)

leading to the estim ate $n_{def} = 0$ ($_{K}^{2}$) for the defect density at the time of their production. But there is an alternative scenario for counting defects after the transition is completed. Simple defects have false ground-state or vacuum at their cores where the eld vanishes. Under suitable conditions, the separation length for defects is more sensibly derived by counting zeroes of the $\,$ eld as $\,$ def = 0 ($\,$ zero), where $\,$ zero $\,$ m easures the separation of $\,$ eld zeroes. However, in principle, zero and def are dierent correlation lengths, since not all zeroes are candidate defects (because zeroes occur on all scales). One needs to count zeroes of an appropriately coarse-grained eld, in which structure on a scale smaller than an speci c classical vortex size 0 1 = , is not present. At a given time t the separation of zeroes is zem (t). Then, in order that line-zeroes can be identied with classical defects vortex cores, and zero (t) with def we need satisfy, essentially, two important conditions [5]: I) the separation between zeroes must be insensitive to the cuto scale 1 ; and \coprod) the energy in eld gradients should be commensurate with the energy in classical defects with the sam e density as that of line-zeroes.

We will show how decoherence can help to satisfy these two conditions. For weak couplings (massive defects) our main prediction agrees qualitatively with the causal (dimensional) prediction for counting defects, but here from a completely dierent point of view, in which classicalisation of long-wavelength (unstable) modes of the eld warrants the identication of line-zeroes with defects at the time in which the transition has been completed.

There are further complications according as the symmetries are global or gauged [6], but the simplest of all are global, and it is these that we shall consider here. We have global vortices in mind, the simplest sensible defects, in which case the order parameter eld (x) is a complex scalar = $(1 + i_2) = 2$, with action

$$S[] = {\overset{Z}{d^4}} x {\overset{1}{\underset{2}{1}}} @ {_a} @ {_a} + {\overset{1}{\underset{2}{1}}} {\overset{2}{\underset{a}{2}}} {\overset{2}{\underset{a}{1}}} - {\overset{2}{\underset{a}{1}}} {\overset{2}{\underset{a}{2}}} :$$
 (2)

W ith $^2 > 0$, the O (2) sym m etry is broken at the scale j j= $, ^2 = ^2 = 2$. [Generalisation to global m onopoles, or even domain walls, is straightforward.]

G lobal vortices are line defects in the eld, solutions to

$$\frac{S[]}{}=0;$$

around which the eld phase (= he i) changes by 2. Considered as tubes of Yalse' vacuum, with cold thickness 0 (1), they have a line of eld zeroes at their cores and, once the transition is complete, energy per unit length = 0 (2 =) (up to multiplicative logarithm ic terms ln (def)).

There is no unambiguous de nition of the onset of classical behaviour, least of all for the production of classical defects. Our approach stresses the role of classical stochastic eld equations. They arise in two forms, in Lagrange's form as stationary phase approximations to the evolution kernel of the density matrix, or in Hamilton's form as the dominant path in phase space of the evolution kernel of the Wigner functional. Initially we shall concentrate on the former. In general, if the system is closed, quantum interference between dierent eld congurations forbids us from identifying the dynamical solutions to (3) for the evolving system as describing real defects. However, if the system is open, the environment with which it interacts can eliminate the interference, in which case the system can be said to have decohered.

In practice, the systems of interest to us are open. This is true, rstly, in the trivial sense that, in the absence of superselection rules, our order parameter elds (x) interact with everything else in the universe (termed (x)), but this environment is traced over when looking at the transition. Further, for the continuous transitions of (2) that will interest us here, eld ordering takes place through the exponential growth of the unstable (k <) long-wavelength modes $_<$ (x) of (x). The stable (k >) short-wavelength modes $_>$ (x) do not become classical and behave as a further part of the environment in which the long-wavelength modes, which constitute our system', decohere. As a consequence, the interiors of vortices are not classical. Since it is the eld prole in the interior of the classical vortex that carries the non-perturbatively large energy or tension, this might be thought to be damaging, but we will see that it is not the case. In particular, topological charge can be classical. As a result, non-classical cores are irrelevant as far as counting defects is concerned.

Our classical equations are, most simply, stationary phase approximations to the evolution of the reduced density matrix $_{r}[\begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] = h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] = h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] = h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] = h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{subarray}{c} + \\ < ; < ; t] < h \begin{$

$$A\left[\begin{smallmatrix} + \\ < i \end{smallmatrix}\right] = \frac{1}{i} \ln^{Z} D p[] exp iS_{e} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} + \\ < i \end{smallmatrix}\right]; \tag{4}$$

w here

$$S_{e} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} + \\ < ; \end{smallmatrix} \right] = ReA \left[\begin{smallmatrix} + \\ < ; \end{smallmatrix} \right] \qquad d^{4}x \qquad (x) \qquad (x) \qquad ; \tag{5}$$

and (x) depends on the way [8,11,12] in which the environment couples to the < eld. For the simplest case of biquadratic couplings (e.g. < or the inevitable < <), we nd = (j + j - j - j - j) = 2.

The stationary phase equation, obtained by taking the functional variation

$$\frac{S_{e} \begin{bmatrix} + \\ < i < j \end{bmatrix}}{A_{e}} = \frac{ReA \begin{bmatrix} + \\ < i < j \end{bmatrix}}{A_{e}} = \frac{ReA \begin{bmatrix} + \\ < i < j \end{bmatrix}}{A_{e}} = 0;$$
 (6)

A digression is necessary. There is not a universal decoherence time $t_0 \cdot t_0$ (k) depends on wavelength. Long-wavelength eld modes decohere rst, shorter ones later, and those with k > never. To make the problem of diagonalisation tractable we have restricted ourselves to eld con gurations $_<$ (k_0) peaked around a particular wavenum ber k_0 [3,7{9]. The order parameter itself corresponds to $k_0 = 0$ but, more generally, we are motivated by the way in which the power in the eld uctuations is increasingly peaked around long-wavelengths in the early stage of the transition [5,13,14]. That is, if the power spectrum P (k;t) of the eld uctuations is defined by

$$G_{<}(r;t) = h_{<}(x)_{<}(0)i_{t} = \sum_{k<}^{Z} \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}} P_{k}(k;t) e^{ikx}$$
 (7)

then, provided the quench is fast enough, k^2P (k;t) rapidly develops a Bragg' peak at $k^2=k_p^2$ (t) < 2 . A su cient condition for this to happen is that $_{\mathbb{Q}}$ < = [14]. Tighter, but less transparent bounds can be given [14]. We restrict ourselves to quenches permitting such a domainant momentum peak and identify k_0 with it. The relevance of this is that it is the peak in k^2P (k;t) that sets the scale for the separation of line-zeroes in the eld . Once k_p^2 (t) $_{\mathbb{Q}}$ it does not matter where the boundary = 0 () between system and environment is set. Since defects can be characterised by the line-zeroes at their cores [15], it is the domainant wavenum ber k_0 that, after the onset of classical behaviour, sets the density of the vortices that we wish to determine. This peak at k_0 also warrants the full ment of condition (I).

This peaking stops once the eld has sampled the ground state values at j = . It does this by the spinodal time t_{sp} , de ned as that value of t (after the critical tem perature T_c has been crossed) for which $hj < \hat{j}i_t = ^2$. For the critical tem perature T_c traversed at a nite rate $_0$ 1 ($_Q$ $_Q$ 1) we nd [5,14,16]

$$\exp \left(\frac{4}{3} + \frac{t_{sp}}{t_{K}}\right)^{3=2} = \frac{2t_{K}^{2}}{T_{c}}$$
 (8)

In Eq.(8) the time t_K is the same K ibble causal time introduced earlier (before Eq.(1)). Because of the exponential nature of the relationships, in practice $t_{\rm sp}=0$ ($t_{\rm K}$), but larger than it.

For t $^{<}$ t $_{sp}$, perturbation theory can be used to calculate t $_{p}$. Decoherence at scale k_{0} occurs when the non-diagonal elements of the reduced density matrix are much smaller than the diagonal ones. In [9] we have evaluated t $_{p}$ for the longest-wavelength modes, and found that t $_{p}$ (k_{0} = 0) $^{<}$ t $_{sp}$ for all su ciently fast $_{Q}$ for a realistic class of environments (for the instantaneous quench see Refs. [7,8]). We have considered an explicit environment consisting of a large number N of weakly coupled elds, with coupling constants g_{i} satisfying $_{i}$ g_{i}^{2} = 0 (2), which permit a consistent loop expansion, with $_{T_{c}}$, and we continue with this hierarchy here. The expressions are complicated, even in one-loop, and the reader is referred there for details. The main result for the decoherence time in a slow quench (and k_{0} 0) is

$$\exp \left(\frac{4}{3} + \frac{t_{D}}{t_{K}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} = \frac{2}{T_{C}^{2}} t_{K} + \frac{q}{T_{C}}.$$
 (9)

Nevertheless, what interests us here is the shortest-wavelengths that will have decohered by time $t_{\rm sp}$. Provided k_0 is not too close to it is suicient to replace $t_{\rm D}$ by $t_{\rm D}$ (k_0) 2 k_0^2 in the calculations above to determ ine the bound on the decoherence time for the mode k_0 . An immediate question is to ask what is the maximum value $k_{\rm max}$ for which $t_{\rm D}$ ($k_{\rm max}$) = $t_{\rm sp}$. A first this replacement in Eqs.(9) and (8) we obtain

$$\frac{k_{\text{m ax}}^2}{2} \qquad \ln^{2} \frac{T_{\text{c Q}}^{2=3}}{1=3} A :$$

A Itematively, there is a time $t(k_p)$ when the mode k_p would become the dominant wavenum ber. This quantum time' is determined by the instabilities of the long-wavelength

modes, which increasingly bunch about the wavenumber $k_p^2(t) = t(k_p)$ [14]. At time $t_p(k_m) = t_{sp}$

$$\frac{k_{p}^{2}(t_{sp})}{2} = \frac{1}{t_{b}} = \frac{1}{t_{K}} \ln \left(\frac{T_{c}}{2t_{K}^{2}}\right)!$$
(10)

Thus, the dom inant modes at time $t_{\rm sp}$ have already decohered by this time.

Therefore, from the two previous equations we can see that

$$\frac{k_{\max}^2}{k_{p}^2} \qquad 2 \not = \ln \frac{0}{2} \frac{T_{c} g^{2=3}}{1=3} A > 1: \tag{11}$$

That is, the modes that determ ine defect separation are well decohered by time $t_{\rm sp}$. However, $t_{\rm D}$ (k)! 1 as k! and the modes that characterise the classical proles of individual defects (k >) are not decohered.

This makes constructing exem plary solutions to Eq.(6), and averaging them, a suspect activity. Rather, we exam ine the second strand of classicality, that of classical probabilities, as expressed through classical correlations. This is understood as the quantum system, in full (eld) phase-space, m im icking the classical dynam ics. The comparison is made through the reduced W igner functional, de ned as

As is well known, $W = \{x \in X \mid x \in X \}$ itself is not guaranteed to be positive until decoherence has occurred [17], although

$$P \left[\begin{array}{ccc} z \\ \end{array} \right] = D \left[\begin{array}{ccc} W \left[\begin{array}{ccc} z \\ \end{array} \right] = h \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \dot{z} \\ \end{array} \right] = h \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \dot{z} \\ \end{array} \right] = 0; \tag{13}$$

is always a true probability distribution for the eld con guration < at time t.

A fler decoherence, when W [<; <;t] is positive, at least for long-wavelength modes, it can be identified with the Fokker-Planck probability distribution function P_t^{FP} [<; <], and P [<] can be equally identified as the Fokker-Planck probability P^{FP} [<]. Since the Fokker-Planck equation is the obverse of the Langevin equation, at this time we can equally average over Langevin noise or over P^{FP} [<].

However, as we observed earlier, the validity of the classical stochastic equations is, of itself, not su cient to guarantee classical defects with energy pro less derived from (3). We do not have defects until the uctuations around them are negligible, and this is associated with the peaking of the power spectrum (and the validity of our approximation of adopting a dominant wavenumber) rather than decoherence or classical correlations directly [5,18]. To see this, suppose we can calculate $P[\ \ \]$ for all t. This perm its us to calculate the equal time n-point correlation functions, in which we restore the subscripts a;b;:::=1;2,

$$G_{\langle ab:c}^{(n)}(x_1; ::; x_n; t) = D_{\langle P_t[\langle]_{\langle a}(x_1) ::: \langle c(x_n) \rangle}$$
(14)

for all times $t < t_{sp}$. As we know, equal-time correlators are all we need to calculate densities of eld zeroes (line-zeroes, etc.). However, if all we are going to use is P [$_{<}$], the diagonal

m atrix element of $_{\rm r}$ (t), there is no real need to construct the W igner functional. We can just do a calculation of P [$_{\rm c}$] from the start, along the lines of Ref. [13]. The weak interaction with the environment that is so successful in diagonalising the density matrix has only a smalle ect on the diagonal matrix elements P [$_{\rm c}$] for most of the time until $t_{\rm sp}$.

The major characteristic of defects is their topological charge. We now see how localised topological charge precedes the appearance of vortices, and gives an estimate of their density when they do appear. Let us now consider the line-zero ensemble density $n_{\rm zero}$ (t) and the line-zero separation $n_{\rm zero}$ (t) for the long-wavelength mode elds. When the Gaussian approximation [19,20] is satisfied (as happens for t < $n_{\rm zero}$) they are determined completely by the short-distance behaviour of $n_{\rm zero}$ (r;t) as

$$n_{zero}(t) = \frac{1}{2 \frac{2}{zero}(t)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{G_{<}^{(0;t)}} = \frac{1}{G_{<}^{(0;t)}}$$
(15)

Since $G_<$ (r;t) has short-wavelength modes on the scale of a classical vortex removed, $G_<$ (0;t) is nite. Initially, with P (k;t) large for $k^>$, $G_<$ (r;t) is very dependent on the value of the cut-o. As a result, line-zeroes are extremely fractal, with a separation $_{\rm zero}$ (t) proportional to the scale at which they are viewed, and are certainly not candidates for defects. Only once the peak at $k=k_0$ is rm ly in the interval k< does $_{\rm zero}$ (t) becomes insensitive to a cut-o O ($^{-1}$). This means that line-zeroes are straight at this scale, although they can be approximately random walks at much larger scales (condition (I)).

Further, for this early period, when the self-consistent mean-eld approximation is valid, the eld energy hE i_t of the system eld < in a box of volume V becomes [5,18]

$$\text{hE } \mathbf{i}_{t} = V \text{ [hjc } < \mathbf{j}^{2} \mathbf{i}_{t} + (^{2} \text{ hj}_{<} \mathbf{j}^{2} \mathbf{i}_{t})^{2}] \\
= V [2 \ n_{\text{zero}}(t) G_{<}(0;t) + (^{2} \ G_{<}(0;t))^{2}] \\
= 2 \ L_{\text{zero}}(t) G_{<}(0;t) + V [(^{2} \ G_{<}(0;t))^{2}]; \tag{17}$$

A sbefore, - eld uctuations are absorbed in the de nition of $^2 = ^2$. Eq.(16) is obtained by using (15) and L_{zero} (t) = Vn_{zero} is the total length of line-zeroes, on a scale 1 , in the

We understand Eq.(17), valid from timet = 0, when G (0;0) = O (2), until timet t_p , when G (0; t_{sp}) 2 , as follows. At early times most of the system eldenergy (proportional to V) is in uctuations not associated with line zeroes, arising from the eld potential. As time passes their energy density decreases as the system eld approaches its post-transition value, becoming approximately zero. In addition there is a term, arising from the eld gradients, proportional to the length L_{zero} of line-zeroes, whose energy per unit length increases from O (2) to 2 . At time t_{sp} , when the uctuation energy can be ignored, we have

$$E_{t} = I_{t}$$
 (18)

essentially the energy required to produce a classical vortex tangle of length $L_{\rm zero}$ (up to 0 (1) factors from the logarithm ic tails). Eq.(18) completes condition (II) in order to relate line-zeroes with separation length between defects. Although these line zeroes have the topological charge and the energy of classical vortices, they are not yet fully-edged defects. However, by the end of the linear regime, when decoherence on the scale $_{\rm zero}$ (t) has been e ected, the nal coupling of radial to angular modes that turns these proto-vortices into

vortices incurs no signi cant energy change, and n_{zero} of Eq.(15) is a reliable guide for the initial vortex density. We stress that, as far as counting vortices is concerned, all that matters is how the power in the eld uctuations is distributed. The distance between defects is the relevant wavelength, and not the defect size, which shows no decoherence.

This distance can be computed from the two point function of the eld (see Eq.(15)). Up to logarithm s in $_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and the other parameters of the theory it is given by [5,14,18],

$$_{\text{zero}} (t \quad t_{\text{sp}}) = _{\text{zero}} ^{1} (_{Q})^{1=3};$$
 (19)

in accordance with the predictions of K ibble [4], but for very di erent reasons. K ibble correctly argues that the long-distance adiabatic correlation length $_{\rm eq}$ (that would diverge at $T=T_{\rm c}$) freezes in at time $t_{\rm K}$ before the transition with value , and this sets the scale for defect separation. However, in our picture defects do not form until time $t_{\rm sp}$ after the transition with a separation given by that of line-zeroes, that is arbitrarily small at time $t_{\rm K}$, and at the transition itself. That this is directly related to is a consequence of dimensional analysis. This constraint was used to bound the production of monopoles [21] and cosm ic strings [22] (vortices) in the early universe. [If we had broken an O (3) symmetry (a = 1;2;3) the corresponding defects would be global monopoles, giving qualitatively similar conclusions.] This is all for at space-time.

In sum mary, the mechanism for the production of classical vortices that we have proposed here has several parts. Firstly, the environment renders the long-wavelength modes, wavenum ber k_0 , of the order-param eter eld classical at early times t_D (k_0), by or before the transition is complete at time t_{so} . In particular, those on the scale of the separation of the line-zeroes that will characterise the classical defects will have decohered, even though the eld modes on the scale of classical vortex thickness do not decohere. For all that, the eld possesses classical correlations at early times by virtue of the quasi-G aussian nature of the regime. For the longer-wavelength modes the classical behaviour of the eld is expressed through the classical Langevin stochastic equations that it satis es. However, for line-zeroes to mature into vortex cores classical stochastic equations (6) or, equivalently, classical Fokker-P lanck equations are not enough. The eld needs to have an energy pro le com m ensurate with the vortex solutions to the ordinary classical Euler-Lagrange equations (3). This requires that the eld uctuations are peaked around long-wavelengths, to avoid uctuations causing wiggles in the cores and creating small cortex loops, a related condition satis ed in our models. The resultant density of line-zeroes can already be inferred in the linear regin e, whose topological charges are well-de ned even though close inspection of their interior structure is not perm itted classically.

The nal result for their density, at the time $t_{\rm sp}$ of their form ation is, up to logarithm s, that proposed by K ibble [4] from dimensional analysis of mean-eld theory, although the reasoning is very dierent. Although logarithms introduce dierent scales in principle, in practice they have no qualitative e ect. It is apparent that vortices are not created from thermal uctuations in the G inzburg regime, as suggested in the rst instance by K ibble in an earlier paper [1].

ACKNOW LEDGM ENTS

F.C. L. and F.D. M. were supported by Universidad de Buenos Aires, CONICET (Argentina), Fundacion Antorchas and ANPCyT.R.J.R. would like to thank the European Science Foundation for support through its COSLAB program me, and the Rockefeller Foundation at Bellagio for hospitality, where this work was completed.

REFERENCES

- [1] T.W. B.Kibble, J.Phys. A 9, 1387 (1976)
- [2] W. H. Zurek, Physics Reports 276, 177, (1996)
- B] F.C. Lom bardo, F.D. M. azzitelli and R.J.R. ivers, eprint hep-ph/0202041, to be published in the Proceedings of the 6th Conference on Quantum and Stochastic Gravity, String Cosmology and In ation, Peyresq (2001), Ed.E. Verdaguer, Int. J. Theor. Phys. (2002)
- [4] T.W. B. Kibble, Physics Reports 67, 183 (1980)
- [5] R. J. Rivers, Journal of Low Temperature Physics 124, 41-84, (2001)
- [6] A. Rajantie, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 17, 1 (2002)
- [7] F.C. Lombardo, F.D. Mazzitelli and R.J. Rivers, Phys. Lett. B 523, 317 (2001)
- [8] F.C. Lombardo, F.D. Mazzitelli and R.J.Rivers, eprint hep-ph/0202042, to be published in the Proceedings of the 6th Conference on Quantum and Stochastic Gravity, String Cosmology and In ation, Peyresq (2001), Ed.E. Verdaguer, Int. J. Theor. Phys. (2002)
- [9] F.C. Lombardo, F.D. Mazzitelli and R.J. Rivers, e-print hep-ph/0204190; submitted to Phys. Rev. D, (2002)
- [10] F.C. Lombardo and F.D. Mazzitelli, Phys. Rev. D 53, 2001 (1996)
- [11] M .G leiser and R D .R am os, Phys. Rev. D 50, 2441 (1994)
- [12] C.G reiner and B.Muller, Phys. Rev. D 55, 1026 (1997)
- [13] D. Boyanovsky, H. J. de Vega, and R. Holman, Phys. Rev. D 49, 2769 (1994); D. Boyanovsky, H. J. de Vega, R. Holman, D.-S. Lee, and A. Singh, Phys. Rev. D 51, 4419 (1995); D. Boyanovsky, D. Commier, H. J. de Vega, R. Holman, and S. Prem. Kumar, Phys. Rev. D 57, 2166 (1998)
- [14] G. Karra and R. J. Rivers, Phys. Lett. B 414, 28 (1997)
- [15] A J. Gilland R J. Rivers, Phys. Rev. D 51, 6949 (1995)
- [16] M.J. Bowick and A.Momen, Phys.Rev. D 58, 085014 (1998)
- [17] F.C. Lombardo, F.D. Mazzitelli, and D. Monteoliva, Phys. Rev. D 62, 045016 (2000)
- [18] E. K. avoussanaki, R. J. R. ivers and G. K. arra, Condensed M. atter Physics 3, 133 (2000)
- [19] B.J. Halperin, published in Physics of Defects, proceedings of Les Houches, Session XXXV 1980 NATO ASI, editors Balian, K lem an and Poinier (North-Holland Press, 1981) p.816
- [20] F. Liu and G. F. Mazenko, Phys. Rev. B 46, 5963 (1992)
- [21] T W B.K ibble, talk presented at the M eeting on M onopoles in Quantum Field Theory at ICTP, Trieste, December 1991 (Imperial College preprint); T W B.K ibble and E.J. W einberg, Phys. Rev. D 81, 3188 (1991)
- [22] R. H. Brandenberger and J. M. aguiejo, e-print astro-ph/0002030. Lecture notes of the International School on Cosmology, K. ish Island, Iran, Jan. 22 Feb. 4 1999, to be publ. in \Large Scale Structure Form ation" (K. Luwer, D. ordrecht, 2000)