FC/02-43, FTUV/02-0930

NNLO + production cross section at threshold

P.Ruiz-Femen a^a and A.Pich^a

^a Departament de F sica Teorica, IFIC, Universitat de Valencia - CSIC, A partat Correus 22085, E-46071 Valencia, Spain

The threshold behaviour of the cross section (e^+e^- ! ⁺) is analysed, taking into account the known higher{order corrections. At present, this observable can be determ ined to next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) in a combined expansion in powers of $_{\rm s}$ and ferm ion velocities.

1. Introduction

The Tau{Charm Factory, a high{lum inosity $(10^{33} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^1) \text{ e}^+\text{ e}$ collider with a centre{ of{m assenergy near the + production threshold, has been proposed as a powerful tool to perform high{precision studies of the lepton, charm hadrons and the charm onium system [1]. In recent years, this energy region has been only partially explored by the Chinese BEBC machine $(10^{31} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^1)$. The possibility to operate the Cornell CESR collider around the + threshold has revived again the interest on Tau{Charm Factory physics.

A precise understanding of the e^+e^- ! + production cross section near threshold is clearly required. The accurate experimental analysis of this observable could allow to improve the present measurement [2] of the lepton mass. The cross section (e^+e^- ! +) has already been analysed to 0 (³) in refs. [3], including a resummation of the leading C oulom b corrections.

The recent developm ent of non-relativistic effective eld theories of QED (NRQED) and QCD (NRQCD) [4] has allowed an extensive investigation of the threshold production of heavy avours at e^+e colliders. The threshold bb [5] and tt [6] production cross sections have been computed to the next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) in a combined expansion in powers of $_s$ and the ferm ion velocities. Making appropriate changes, those calculations can be easily applied to the

study of ⁺ production [7]. One can then achieve a theoretical precision better than 0.1%.

2. Perturbative calculation to O (4)

At low est order in QED, the leptons are produced by one-photon exchange in the s-channel, and the total cross section form ula reads

$$_{B} (e^{+} e^{-}! +) = \frac{2^{2}}{3s} v (3 + v^{2}); \qquad (1)$$

where $v = \frac{p}{1} \frac{4m^2 = s}{4m^2 = s}$ is the velocity of the nalleptons in the center-of-m ass frame of the $e^+ e$ pair which makes _B vanish when v ! 0.

E lectrom agnetic corrections of 0 () arise from the interference between the tree level result and 1-loop am plitudes. A factor =v em erges in the 1-loop nal state interaction between the tau leptons, making the cross section at threshold nite. Furry's theorem guarantees that contributions to (e⁺ e ! ⁺) com ing from initial, interm ediate and nal state corrections com pletely factorize at 0 (3), including real photon em ission.

Some undesirable features appear at O (4): The two-loop $^+$ vertex develops an $^2=v^2$ term which makes the cross section ill-de ned when v ! 0, and multiple photon production of tau leptons by box-type diagram s and the nonzero interference of initial and nal state radition spoilexact factorization. How ever, as it has been recently shown [8], the squared am plitude of the e⁺ e ! $^+$ box diagram is proportional to $^4v^2$, and so represents a N⁴LO correction in the com bined expansion in powers of and v, far beyond the scope of this analysis. In addition,

Talk given at the H igh-Energy Physics InternationalConference in Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD 02), M ontpellier, France, 2{9 July 2002.

contributions to the total cross section from diagram s with real photons em itted from the produced taus can be shown to begin at N 3 LO, and factorization remains at NNLO. The total cross section can thus be written as an integration over the product of separate pieces including initial, intermediate and nal state corrections:

(s) =
$$\begin{bmatrix} Z \\ s \end{bmatrix}$$
 F (s;w) $\frac{1}{1 + e^2 e^{m}} (w)$ $^2 \sim (w) dw : (2)$

The radiation function F (s;w) [9] describes initial state radiation, including virtual corrections. The integration accounts for the e ective energy loss due to photon emission from the $e^+ e$ pair, and it includes the largest corrections coming from the emission of an arbitrary number of initial photons, which can sizeably suppress the total cross section. ~ collects only nal-state interactions between the tau leptons, and it is usually written in terms of the tau spectral density R ,

$$\sim (e^+ e^-! ! ! +) = R (s)_{pt};$$
 (3)

with $pt = \frac{4}{3s}^2$. The threshold behaviour of the total cross section will be ruled by the expansion of R at low velocities.

3. N on-R elativistic C orrections: N R Q E D

A NNLO calculation of the cross section in the kinematic region where v has to account for all terms proportional to v (=v)ⁿ [1; ;v; ²; v;v²] with n = 1;2;::: The leading divergences (i.e. $\frac{1}{v}$ ⁿ, n > 1) are resummed in the well-known Sommerfeld factor [10]

$$j_{c_{F}}(0) j^{2} = \frac{=v}{1 \exp(-=v)};$$
 (4)

multiplying the Born cross section (1). A system atic way to calculate higher-order corrections in this regime requires the use of a simplied theory which keeps the relevant physics at the scale M v M, characteristic of the Coulom b interaction. NRQED [4] was designed precisely for this purpose. It is an elective eld theory of QED at low energies, applicable to fermions in non-relativistic regimes, i.e. with typical momenta p=M v 1. Interactions contained in

the NRQED Lagrangian have a de nite velocity counting but propagators and loop integrations can also generate powers of v. W ith appropriate counting rules at hand, one can prove that all interactions between the non-relativistic pair ⁺ can be described up to NNLO in terms of time-

independent potentials [11], derived from the low – energy Lagrangian. Therefore, the low –energy expression of the spectral density is related with the non-relativistic G reen's functions [12]:

$$R^{NNLO}$$
 (s) = $\frac{6}{M^2}$ Im $C_1 G (E) = \frac{4E}{3M} G_{C}(E)$ (5)

with C_1 a short distance coe cient to be determined by matching full and e ective theory results and $E = m v^2$ the non-relativistic energy. The details of this derivation can be found in the Appendix B of [7]. The G reen's function G obeys the Schrödinger equation corresponding to a twobody system interacting through potentials derived from L_{NBOED} at NNLO:

$$\frac{r^{2}}{M} = \frac{r^{4}}{4M^{3}} + V_{c}(r) + V_{BF}(r) + V_{An}(r) = G(r;r^{0};E) = {}^{(3)}(r + r^{0}) \qquad (6)$$

The term $\frac{r}{4M^3}$ is the rst relativistic correction to the kinetic energy. V_c stands for the C oulom b potential with O (2) corrections. At NNLO, the heavy leptons are only produced in triplet S-wave states, so we just need to consider the corresponding projection of the B reit-Ferm i potential V_{BF} . Finally, V_{An} is a NNLO piece derived from a contact term in L_{NRQED} , which reproduces the QED tree levels-channel diagram for the process $^+$! $^+$.

A solution of eq. (6) must rely on numerical or perturbative techniques. In the QED case, a signi cant di erence between both approaches is not expected, being such a small parameter. Consequently we follow the perturbative approach, using recent results [12], where NLO and NNLO corrections to the G reen's function are calculated analytically, via Rayleigh-Schrödinger tim e-independent perturbation theory around the known LO C oulom b G reen's function G_c. We refer the reader to Appendix C of [7] for com plete expressions of the G reen's function corrections.

4. Vacuum Polarization

For a complete NNLO description of (e⁺ e ! ⁺), two-loop corrections to the photon propagator should be included. The light lepton contributions to the vacuum polarization are the standard 1- and 2-loop perturbative expressions. For the contribution in the threshold vicinity $q^2 > 4M^2$, resummation of singular terms in the lim it v ! 0 is again mandatory. Under the assumption v, we need to know NLO contributions to (q²), performing the direct matching for both real and im aginary parts.

In the hadronic sector, we can relate the hadronic vacuum polarization with the total cross section (e⁺ e ! ! had). Below 1 GeV, the electrom agnetic production of hadrons is dom inated by the resonance and its decay to two charged pions. The photon mediated + production cross section is driven by the pion electrom agnetic form factor F (s). An analytic expression for F (s) was obtained in Ref. [13], using Resonance Chiral Theory and the restrictions im posed by analyticity and unitarity. The obtained F (s) provides an excellent description of experin ental data up to energies of the order s $1 \,\mathrm{GeV}^2$.

5. Bound states e ect

G reen's functions develop energy poles below threshold corresponding to spin triplet (n^3S_1) electrom agnetic + bound states. The small width of these bound levels, dom inated by their e^+e^- decay rate

$$_{ee} = \frac{m^{5}}{6n^{3}} - \frac{6:1 - 10^{3} \text{ eV}}{n^{3}};$$
 (7)

m ake these states very di cult to be resolved experimentally. For the same reason, the bound states cannot a ect the shape of the cross section



Figure 1. The spectral density R at low velocities in both QED and NRQED.

at threshold, contrary to the case of heavy quark threshold production, where bound states play a crucial role.

6. Num erical analysis for (e⁺e ! ⁺)

The næd for performing resum m ations of the leading non-relativistic terms $(=v)^n$ [v;v;v²;:::] is evidenced in Fig. 1. The QED spectral density vanishes as v ! 0, due to the phase space velocity in formula (1), which is cancelled by the $\mbox{ rst } v \ ^1$ term appearing in the O () correction, making the cross section at threshold nite. More singular terms near threshold, v²; ::: arising in higher-order corrections completely spoil the expected good convergence of the QED perturbative series at low v. This is no longer the case for the elective theory perturbative series, whose convergence im proves as we approach the threshold point, as shown in Fig. 2, and higher-order corrections reduce the perturbative uncertainty. In the whole energy range displayed in Fig. 2, the di erences between the NNLO, NLO and LO results are below 0.8%, which indicates that the LO result, i.e. the Som merfeld factor, contains the relevant physics to describe the threshold region. Adding the intermediate and initial state corrections we have a com plete description of the total cross section of

⁺ production, as shown in Fig. 3. Coulomb interaction between the produced 's, becomes essential within few MeV's above the threshold,



Figure 2. Relative sizes of corrections to R $\,$ (s) as calculated in NRQED .

and its e ects have to be taken into account to all orders. Initial state radiation e ectively reduces the available center-ofm ass energy for production, lowering in this way the total cross section.

We should emphasize that NNLO corrections do not modify the predicted behaviour of the LO and NLO cross section, but are essential to guarantee that the truncated perturbative series at NLO gets small corrections from higher-order term s. Hence, we have shown that the theoretical uncertainty of our analysis of (e⁺ e ! ⁺) is lower than 0.1%. Nevertheless, the statistical uncertainty of the most recent experiments is still much larger than the theoretical one due to low statistics, and we should wait for future machines to improve it.

A cknow ledgem ents I wish to thank S.N arison for the organization of the QCD 02 conference. This work has been supported in part by TMR, EC Contract No. ERB FMRX-CT98-0169, by MCYT (Spain) under grant FPA 2001-3031, and by ERDF funds from the European Commission.

REFERENCES

- Proc. 3rd W orkshop on the Tau-Charm Factory (M arbella, Spain, 1993), eds. J. K irkby and R. K irkby (E ditions Frontieres, G if-sur-Y vette, 1994).
- J.Z.Baietal (BES), Phys. Rev. D 53 (1996)
 20.



Figure 3. Total cross section (e^+e^- ! +) at threshold.

- M B. Voloshin, hep-ph/9312358; M. Perrottet, in [1] p. 89; B.H. Smith and M.B. Voloshin, Phys. Lett. B 324 (1994) 117.
- W .E.Caswelland G.P.Lepage, Phys.Lett. B 167 (1986) 437.
- M. Jam in and A. Pich, Nucl. Phys. B 507 (1997) 334; J. H. Kuhn, A. A. Penin and A. A. Pivovarov, Nucl. Phys. B 534 (1998) 356; K. Melnikov and A. Yelkhovsky, Phys. Rev. D 59 (1999) 114009; A A. Penin and A A. Pivovarov, Nucl. Phys. B 549 (1999) 217; M. Beneke and A. Signer, Phys. Lett. B 471 (1999) 233; A H. Hoang, Phys. Rev. D 59 (1999) 014039.
- A.H.Hoang et al, Eur. Phys. J. direct C 3, (2000) 1.
- P.Ruiz-Fem enia and A.Pich, Phys. Rev. D 64 (2001) 053001.
- J. Portoles and P.D. Ruiz-Femenia, hepph/0206100.
- E A. Kuraev and V S. Fadin, Yad. Fiz. 41 (1985) 733 [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 41 (1985) 466].
- 10. A. Som m erfeld, A tom bau und Spektrallinien, Vol.II, V iew eg, B raunschw eig, 1939.
- 11.P.Labelle, Phys. Rev. D 58 (1998) 093913.
- 12. A. H. Hoang and T. Teubner, Phys. Rev. D 58 (1998) 114023; A. A. Penin and A. A. Pivovarov, Phys. Lett. B 435 (1998) 413.
- 13. F. Guerrero and A. Pich, Phys. Lett. B 412 (1997) 382.