

Sensitivity to θ_{13} of the CERN to Gran Sasso neutrino beam

M. Komatsu¹, P. Migliozzi² and F. Terranova³

¹ Nagoya University, Japan

² INFN, Napoli, Italy

³ INFN, Laboratori Nazionali Frascati, Italy

Abstract

The sensitivity of the present CNGS beam to the sub-dominant θ_{13} oscillations in the region indicated by the atmospheric neutrino experiments is investigated. In particular, we present a revised analysis of the OPERA detector and discuss the sensitivity to θ_{13} of ICARUS and OPERA combined. We show that the CNGS beam optimised for θ_{13} appearance, will improve significantly (about a factor 5) the current limit of CHOOZ and explore most of the region $\sin^2 2\theta_{13} \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$.

2.1 The CNGS neutrino beam

In November 2000 a new version of the CNGS beam design was released [14]. In Tables 1 and 2, the performance of the beam are given.

Table 1: Nominal performance of the CNGS reference beam [10].

(m^2 / pot)	$7.78 \cdot 10^9$
CC events/pot/kton	$5.85 \cdot 10^{17}$
$e^- /$	0.8%
$\mu^- /$	2.1%
$\tau^- /$	0.07%

Table 2: Number of charged-current interactions at Gran Sasso per kton and per year (shared mode) for different values of m^2 and $\sin^2(2\theta) = 1$ [10].

m^2	CC interactions/kton/year
$1 \cdot 10^3 \text{ eV}^2$	2.53
$3 \cdot 10^3 \text{ eV}^2$	22.8
$5 \cdot 10^3 \text{ eV}^2$	63.3

The possibility of an increase of the neutrino beam intensity at moderate cost is being studied by the CNGS design group [14] and other groups working on the PS and SPS machines [15]. The SPS and its injectors have a crucial role for the LHC accelerator and considerable efforts and investment are going into the upgrade of these accelerators to meet the requirements of LHC. Most of the efforts are also directly beneficial to CNGS: for example, it is expected that the maximum intensity accelerated in the SPS will be $7 \cdot 10^{13}$ rather than $4.5 \cdot 10^{13}$ pot/cycle [16]. Moreover, it has been recently pointed out [15] that, taking into account that all the accelerators (LINAC, PSB, PS and SPS) are close to their limits, an increase in the proton beam intensity can be reached – pending further accelerator machine development studies – by reducing the beam losses between two sequential accelerators. These improvements could, at a moderate cost, increase the proton flux by as much as a factor of 1.5.

Other scenarios for a further increase of the SPS extracted beam intensity has been outlined in [15], but those are much more expensive and might turn out to be technically unrealistic. It is therefore prudent to state that an intensity increase for CNGS of a factor 1.5 is within reach.

The CNGS energy spectrum has been optimized for ν_μ appearance and the prompt contamination (mainly from D_s decays) is negligible. On the other hand, in the CNGS beam, the expected ν_e contamination is relatively small compared to the dominant component, see Table 1 and allow to search for the subdominant oscillation $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e$ seeking after an excess of ν_e charged-current (CC) events.

The systematic error associated with the ν_e contamination plays an important role for the $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e$ oscillation search, the statistical fluctuation of this ν_e component being the irreducible limiting factor. In turns, this uncertainty depends on the knowledge of the K yield. For sake of consistency with Refs. [11,19], we assume for this study a 5% systematic error on the overall ν_e flux. However, as we will show in Section 3.1 due to the small number of selected events, especially in OPERA, a more conservative estimate of the systematics (up to 10%) would not change appreciably the experimental sensitivity.

2.2 The ICARUS experiment

The aim of the ICARUS Collaboration [17] is the construction of a liquid argon time projection chamber (TPC) detector with a space resolution comparable to that of bubble chambers.

In an ICARUS module a large liquid argon volume is contained in a cryostat equipped with wire readout planes and a high voltage plane at the center giving a long drift length. Ionisation electrons produced by charged particles crossing the liquid argon are collected at the readout planes. The arrival time of the different electrons allows the reconstruction of the particle track trajectory by the time projection technique. Each readout plane has three coordinates at 60° from each other and wire pitch of 3 mm. This corresponds to a bubble diameter of about 3 mm.

The detector is a homogeneous tracking device capable of dE/dx measurement. Its high dE/dx resolution allows good momentum measurement and particle identification of soft particles. Electromagnetic and hadronic showers are, in fact, identified and fully sampled. This gives the energy resolution for electromagnetic showers of $(\Delta E)/E = 3\% = \frac{1}{\sqrt{E}} \text{ (GeV)}^{-1}$ and for hadronic contained showers of $(\Delta E)/E = 16\% = \frac{1}{\sqrt{E}} \text{ (GeV)}^{-1}$. ICARUS features excellent electron identification and e^-/π^0 separation.

The physics programme of the ICARUS experiment as described in the Proposal [17] has been derived assuming a "baseline configuration" consisting of one T600 plus two T1200 modules for a total fiducial mass of 2.35 kton [7].

2.3 The OPERA experiment

The OPERA experiment [8,18] is meant for a long baseline search for $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\tau$ oscillations in the CNGS beam [14]. The experiment uses nuclear emulsions as high resolution tracking devices for the direct detection of the ν_τ produced in the CC interactions with the target. Due to its excellent electron identification capability exploited for the reconstruction of the $\tau \rightarrow e$ decay, OPERA is able to perform also a $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e$ oscillation search.

OPERA is designed starting from the Emulsion Cloud Chamber (ECC) concept (see references quoted in [8]) which combines the high precision tracking capabilities of nuclear emulsions and the large mass achievable by employing metal plates as a target. The basic element of the ECC is the cell which is made of a 1 mm thick lead plate followed by a thin emulsion film. The film is made up of a pair of emulsion layers 50 μ m thick on either side of a 200 μ m plastic base. Charged particles give two track segments in each emulsion film. The number of grain hits in 50 μ m (15-20) ensures redundancy in the measurement of

particle trajectories.

For details on the event reconstruction both with the nuclear emulsions and the electronic detector, and the OPERA sensitivity to ξ we refer to [8,18]. The mass of the OPERA "baseline configuration" is 1.77 kton [12]. In the following an effective mass of 1.65 kton has been assumed to account for the brick removal during data taking.

Thanks to the dense ECC structure and the high granularity provided by the nuclear emulsions, the OPERA detector is also suited for electron and τ detection [8]. The resolution in measuring the energy of an electromagnetic shower in the energy range relevant to CNGS is approximately constant and is about 20%. Furthermore, the nuclear emulsions are able to measure the number of grains associated to each track. This allows an excellent two tracks separation (better than 1 μ m). Therefore, it is possible to disentangle single-electron tracks from tracks produced by electron pairs coming from τ conversion in the lead. These features are particularly important for the ξ_e analysis.

The outstanding position resolution of nuclear emulsions can also be used to measure the angle of each charged track with an accuracy of about 1 mrad. This allows momentum measurement by using the Multiple Coulomb Scattering with a resolution of about 20% and the reconstruction of kinematical variables characterising the event (i.e. the missing transverse momentum at the interaction vertex p_T^{miss} and the transverse momentum of a track with respect to hadronic shower direction Q_T).

3 Sensitivity to τ_{13}

3.1 Event selection

In this Section we discuss the event selection in OPERA and the expected number of signal and background events in both ICARUS and OPERA.

The overall rate is defined as

$$R(\sin^2 2_{23}; \sin^2 2_{13}) = S + B(\tau \rightarrow e) + B(\tau \rightarrow CC \rightarrow NC) + B(\text{beam}) + B(NC); \quad (2)$$

where the signal, S , is the convolution of the flux $\Phi(E)$ with the τ_e charged-current cross section $\sigma_e^{CC}(E)$, the ξ_e oscillation probability $P_{\xi_e}(E)$ and the signal efficiency ϵ_{signal}

$$S = A \int \Phi(E) P_{\xi_e}(E) \sigma_e^{CC}(E) \epsilon_{\text{signal}} dE; \quad (3)$$

The normalisation A takes into account the effective target mass. The background coming from the dominant $\tau \rightarrow e$ oscillation channel where the produced τ decays in one electron is

$$B(\tau \rightarrow e) = A \int \Phi(E) P_{\xi_e}(E) \sigma_e^{CC}(E) \epsilon(\tau \rightarrow e) dE; \quad (4)$$

where $\epsilon(\tau \rightarrow e)$ is the efficiency and σ_e^{CC} is the charged-current cross-section. This background includes mainly taus decayed in the same lead plate as the primary vertex ("short decays") plus a small (4%) contribution from "long decays" where the kink has not been detected.

The background from π^0 events with the primary muon not identified is given by

$$B^{(\pi^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)} = A \int_{E_{\text{min}}}^Z (E) P_{\mu}^{\pi^0}(E) \epsilon^{(\pi^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)} dE ; \quad (5)$$

where $\epsilon^{(\pi^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)}$ is the probability for a π^0 to be identified as a $\mu^+ \mu^-$ and with another track mimicking an electron.

The background coming from the e^- beam contamination is

$$B^{(\text{beam})} = A \int_{E_{\text{min}}}^Z \epsilon_e(E) P_{e\pi}^{\pi^0}(E) \epsilon_e^{(\text{beam})} dE ; \quad (6)$$

where $\epsilon_e^{(\text{beam})}$ is the efficiency for π^0 events from the e^- beam contamination.

The last background included, $B^{(\pi^0)}$, from the decay of neutral pions created by neutral-current (NC) interactions, is

$$B^{(\pi^0)} = A \int_{E_{\text{min}}}^Z (E) \epsilon^{(\pi^0)} dE ; \quad (7)$$

where $\epsilon^{(\pi^0)}$ is the reduction efficiency. Further background from charge exchange process is negligible [8].

The OPERA analysis for π^0 oscillation quoted in the 1999 Letter of Intent [13] has been revised. The main improvements are the following:

the muon identification is improved from 80% to 95% [8]. This means that the contribution to the background from π^0 events can drastically be reduced;

π^0 's produced at the primary vertex (π^0 decays) and converting into the lead plate where the interaction occurred are identified if at least one of the below conditions is fulfilled

1. the e^+e^- pair has an opening angle larger than 3 mrad. This cut is fixed by the angular resolution achievable by the emulsion film;
2. if the e^+e^- pair has an opening angle smaller than 3 mrad, we exploit the nuclear emulsion capability in measuring the number of grains associated with a reconstructed track. The rejection power of this cut has been computed by assuming for a minimum ionising particle 30 grains per emulsion sheet, while 60 grains are assumed for the e^+e^- pair with opening angle smaller than 3 mrad. A reconstructed track is classified as an e^+e^- pair if the associated number of grains is larger than $(30 + 3 \sqrt{30})$. Conservatively we consider only the emulsion film downstream from the vertex lead plate. Note, however, that a significant improvement could be obtained including the grains in the subsequent emulsion sheets, crossed by the electrons before showering;

since neutrino oscillations create an excess of π^0 induced events at low neutrino energies, a cut on the visible energy is applied.

Hence, the OPERA π^0 dedicated analysis seeks for neutrino interactions with a candidate electron from the primary vertex with an energy greater than 1 GeV (this cut reduces the soft component) and

a visible energy of the event smaller than 20 GeV (this cut reduces the prompt μ_e component of the background). Moreover, we also apply a cut on the number of grains associated with the track of the candidate electron. The latter has a strong impact on the reduction of the background from CC and NC events and allowed for a softer cut on the electron energy. Finally, a cut on missing transverse momentum at the primary vertex p_T^{miss} of the event is applied ($p_T^{\text{miss}} < 1.5 \text{ GeV}$) to further reduce NC contaminations and suppress μ_e background.

For the brickinding, the vertexinding, the trigger efficiencies and the crucial volume cut, we used the numbers quoted in the Status Report [18]. The product of these efficiencies is shown in Table 3 and is indicated with ϵ .

The nule efficiencies (ϵ) for both the signal and the background channels are shown in the second row of Table 3 and the expected number of events is shown in Table 4.

The search for μ_e oscillations does not increase the emulsion scanning load by a large amount. Indeed, once the events have been located, the time needed to search for interesting topologies around the reconstructed vertex is small compared with the time needed to locate the events. On the other hand, for events identified as NC produced in the downstream half of the brick one should always remove the following brick to perform the electron identification. It implies that 13% more bricks have to be removed and scanned. Notice that in the preliminary estimate quoted in the 1999 Letter of Intent only half of the ECC mass has been used.

Table 3: Signal and background efficiencies for the μ_e oscillation search with OPERA. Notice that the μ_e efficiency includes the μ_e branching ratio.

	$\epsilon_{\text{CC signal}}$	μ_e	CC μ_e NC	NC	$\epsilon_{\text{CC beam}}$
	0.53	0.53	0.52	0.48	0.53
	0.31	0.032	$0.34 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$7.0 \cdot 10^{-4}$	0.082

If we assume that both μ_e and μ_e oscillations occur simultaneously with oscillation parameters $m_{23}^2 = 2.5 \cdot 10^3 \text{ eV}^2$, $\theta_{23} = 45$ and $\theta_{13} \approx 9^\circ$ [39], the expected number of signal and background events both in ICARUS and OPERA are given in Table 4. The rates are normalised to 5 years data taking and assuming the nominal intensity of the CNGS beam.

The ICARUS rates at CNGS from μ_e oscillations and from background sources have been taken from Table 5.9 of Ref. [7] and scaled at the different oscillation parameters ($m_{32}^2; \sin^2 2\theta_{23}; \sin^2 2\theta_{13}$): Here a cut on the electron energy ($E_e > 1 \text{ GeV}$) and the visible energy ($E_{\text{vis}} < 20 \text{ GeV}$) have been applied. In Table 4 the background from μ_e production in neutral-current events is negligible for ICARUS as assumed in Ref. [7]. This is not true for OPERA being the lead radiation length much shorter than the one of liquid argon. Nevertheless, this background can be further suppressed by a kinematical analysis, see Section 3.2. On the other hand, the μ_e background in OPERA is suppressed by the capability of this detector to reconstruct exclusively the μ_e decay topology. Finally, notice that in both experiments the dominant background remains the μ_e contamination of the beam.

Table 4: Expected number of signal and background events for both ICARUS and OPERA assuming 5 years data taking with the nominal CNGS beam and oscillation parameters $m_{23}^2 = 2.5 \cdot 10^3 \text{ eV}^2$, $\theta_{23} = 45^\circ$ and $\theta_{13} \in [9^\circ, 9^\circ]$.

θ_{13}	$\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$	ν_e CC signal	ν_e	CC ν_μ NC	NC	ν_e CC beam
ICARUS						
9	0.095	27	24	-	-	50
8	0.076	21	24	-	-	50
7	0.058	16	24	-	-	50
5	0.030	8.4	25	-	-	50
3	0.011	3.1	25	-	-	50
OPERA						
9	0.095	9.3	4.5	1.0	5.2	18
8	0.076	7.4	4.5	1.0	5.2	18
7	0.058	5.8	4.6	1.0	5.2	18
5	0.030	3.0	4.6	1.0	5.2	18
3	0.011	1.2	4.7	1.0	5.2	18

3.2 Kinematical analysis

An increase of sensitivity to ν_e oscillations can be obtained by fitting the kinematical distributions of the selected events. As an example the OPERA p_T^{miss} distribution for signal and background channels is given in Fig. 1.

In order to disentangle ν_e and ν_μ oscillations, the ICARUS Collaboration considered the transverse missing momentum. The ν_e events are balanced, while ν_μ show a sizable transverse momentum due to the presence of two neutrinos in the final state. The visible energy and the missing transverse momentum are combined into a binned $E_{\text{vis}}^2 - p_T^2$, in which the three oscillation parameters are allowed to vary.

By using the information contained in the Figs. 5.9 and 5.10 of Ref. [7], we have performed a binned fit of these distributions and reproduced the results reported by the ICARUS Collaboration in Ref. [7]. The sensitivity at 90% C.L. to θ_{13} , corresponding to $\sin^2 2\theta_{13} = \sin^2 2\theta_{13}^{\text{min}} + 4\%$, has been evaluated under the assumption $\theta_{23} = 45^\circ$. The exclusion plot is reported in Fig. 2.

In the case of OPERA, the kinematical variables have been obtained starting from true quantities and applying a smearing according to the OPERA experimental resolutions, see Section 2.3. By fitting simultaneously the $E_{\text{vis}}; E_e; p_T^{\text{miss}}$ distributions, we obtained the exclusion plot at 90% C.L. shown in Fig. 3 under the assumption $\theta_{23} = 45^\circ$.

The use of differential distributions improves the sensitivity to $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$ of both ICARUS and OPERA by about 20%. The combined sensitivity at 90% C.L. of the ICARUS and OPERA experiments is obtained by minimizing the χ^2 defined as $\chi^2 = \chi_{e(\text{icarus})}^2 + \chi_{e(\text{opera})}^2$ and is also shown in Fig. 2.




Figure 1: p_T^{miss} distribution for signal and background channels assuming $\theta_{13} = 8^\circ$, $m_{23}^2 = 2.5 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ and $\theta_{23} = 45^\circ$.

CNGS 5 years nominal beam




Figure 2: Sensitivity to the parameter θ_{13} at 90% CL in a three family mixing scenario, in presence of $\theta_{23} = 45^\circ$.




Figure 3: OPERA sensitivity to the parameter θ_{13} at 90% C.L. in a three family mixing scenario, in presence of $\theta_{23} = 45^\circ$. The sensitivity with the higher intensity CNGS beam is also given.

3.3 Results

In the previous Sections we discussed the sensitivity achievable in searching for θ_{13} with the present CNGS beam by combining the ICARUS and OPERA experiments. The improvements on $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$ over the CHOOZ limit in the region indicated by atmospheric neutrinos is about a factor 5 assuming 5 years data taking with the nominal CNGS beam. The limits at 90% C.L. on $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$ and θ_{13} for various experiments are given in Table 5. The limits achievable with an improved CNGS beam (1.5 times more proton) are also shown.

It is worth noticing that the sensitivity of the combined experiments running with the present high energy CNGS beam is comparable to the one achievable with a dedicated low energy configuration running below the kinematical threshold for μ production [21] and is obtained "for free", as a by-product of the search for τ appearance.

Clearly, we expect JHF phase 1 to improve significantly the sensitivity to $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$ with respect to the CNGS programme in case of a negative result.

Table 5: Limits at 90% C.L. on $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$ and θ_{13} for various experiments.

Experiment	$\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$	θ_{13}
CHOOZ	< 0.14	< 11
MINOS	< 0.06	< 7.1
ICARUS	< 0.04	< 5.8
OPERA	< 0.06	< 7.1
ICARUS and OPERA combined (CNGS)	< 0.03	< 5.0
ICARUS and OPERA combined (CNGSx1.5)	< 0.025	< 4.5
JHF [20]	< 0.006	< 2.5

4 Conclusion

The OPERA experiment was designed and optimised for the direct observation of the μ decay topology and to search for τ appearance at the m^2 atmospheric scale. Nevertheless, given the good electron identification, it turned out to be sensitive to the sub-dominant $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_e$ oscillations in the region indicated by atmospheric results. Exploring the region of $\sin^2 2\theta_{13} \sim O(10^{-2})$ is particularly interesting in connection with the discovery of CP violation in the leptonic sector [20]. Hence, an observation of $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$ in the region of $O(10^{-2})$ would place future CP violation searches like JHF phase 2 and the Neutrino Factories on a firmer ground. We showed that by using the present CNGS beam and combining the ICARUS and OPERA experiments a sensitivity in $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$ down to 0.03 ($m_{23}^2 = 2.5 \cdot 10^3 \text{ eV}^2$ and $\theta_{23} = 45$) can be achieved. It can be further improved if a higher intensity ($6.8 \cdot 10^{19}$ pot/year) will be reached.

References

- [1] Y. Fukuda et al. [Kamioke Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B 335 (1994) 237.

- [2] Y. Fukuda et al. [Super-Kamiokande Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998) 1562 [arXiv:hep-ex/9807003].
- [3] Q. R. Ahmad et al. [SNO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 011302 [arXiv:nucl-ex/0204009].
- [4] Q. R. Ahmad et al. [SNO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 011301 [arXiv:nucl-ex/0204008].
- [5] M. Apollonio et al. [CHOOZ Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B 466 (1999) 415 [arXiv:hep-ex/9907037].
- [6] E. Ales et al. [MINOS Collaboration], "P-875: A Long baseline neutrino oscillation experiment at Fermilab," FERMILAB-PROPOSAL-P-875.
The MINOS detectors Technical Design Report, NUM-IL-337, October 1998.
- [7] F. Amadio et al. [ICARUS Collaboration], "Cloning of T600 modules to reach the design sensitive mass", ICARUS-TM/2001-08 LNGS-EXP 13/89 add.2/01.
- [8] M. Güler et al. [OPERA Collaboration], "OPERA: An appearance experiment to search for θ_{13} oscillations in the CNGS beam. Experimental proposal", CERN-SPSC-2000-028
- [9] G. Acquistapace et al., "The CERN neutrino beam to Gran Sasso (NGS)", Conceptual Technical Design, CERN 98-02 and INFN/AE-98/05 (1998).
- [10] R. Balki, et al. "The CERN neutrino beam to Gran Sasso (NGS)", Addendum to report CERN 98-02, INFN/AE-98/05, CERN SL-99-034 DI and INFN/AE-99/05 (1999).
- [11] V. D. Barger, A. M. Gago, D. Marfatia, W. J. Teves, B. P. Wood and R. Zukanovich Funchal, Phys. Rev. D 65 (2002) 053016 [arXiv:hep-ph/0110393].
- [12] S. Katsanevas [OPERA Collaboration], To appear in the Proceedings of XXth International Conference on Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics", May 25 - 30, 2002, Munich, Germany.
- [13] K. Kodama et al. [OPERA Collaboration], "Progress Report: A long-baseline experiment in the CNGS beam from CERN to Gran Sasso", LNGS-LOI 19/99, CERN-SPSC 99-20, SPSC/M 635.
- [14] A. E. Ballet al., "CNGS: Update on secondary beam layout", SL-Note-2000-063 EA
- [15] R. Cappi (ed.) et al., "Increasing the Proton Intensity of PS and SPS", CERN-SL-2001-032
- [16] M. Clement et al., "Update of changes to CNGS layout and parameters", CERN-SL-2002-012
- [17] ICARUS Collab., "ICARUS-II. A Second-Generation Proton Decay Experiment and Neutrino Observatory at the Gran Sasso Laboratory", Proposal Vol. I & II, LNGS-94/99, 1994. Available at <http://www.cern.ch/icarus>.
- [18] M. Güler et al. [OPERA Collaboration], "Status report on the OPERA experiment", CERN-SPSC-2001-025
- [19] F. Amadio et al. [ICARUS and NOE Collaborations], "Answers to Questions and Remarks Concerning the ICANOE project", LNGS-P 21-99-ADD-2.
- [20] Y. Itow et al., arXiv:hep-ex/0106019.
- [21] A. Rubbia and P. Sala, arXiv:hep-ph/0207084.

