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#### Abstract

W e critically reexam ine the form alism for treating the leading-tw ist contributions from the tw ogluon Fock com ponents occurring in hard processes that involve and ${ }^{0} \mathrm{~m}$ esons and establish a consistent set of conventions for the de nition of the ghon distribution am plitude, the anom alous dim ensions as well as the projector of a two-ghon state onto an or ${ }^{0}$ state. W e calculate the ${ }^{0}$ \{photon transition form factor to order $s$ and show the cancellation of the collinear and $U V$ singularities explicitly. A $n$ estim ate of the low est $G$ egenbauer coe cients of the gluon and quark distribution amplitudes is obtained from $a t$ to the , ${ }^{0}$ \{photon transition form factor data. In order to elucidate the role of the tw o-ghon Fock com ponent further, we analyze electroproduction of ; ${ }^{0} \mathrm{~m}$ esons and the $g \mathrm{~g} \quad\left({ }^{0}\right)$ vertex.


PACS num bers: $12.38 \mathrm{Bx}, 14.40 \mathrm{Aq}$

## I. INTRODUCTION

The description of hard exclusive processes involving light $m$ esons is based on therrization of the shortand long-distance dynam ics The form er is represented by process-dependent, perturbatively calculable parton-level subprocess am plitudes, in which the m esons are replaced by their valence Fock com ponents, while the latter is described by process-independent $m$ eson distribution amplitudes. This work is focused on hard reactions involving and ${ }^{0} \mathrm{~m}$ esons. These particles as other avor neutral m esons possess $S U(3)_{F}$ singlet and octet valence Fock com ponents and, additionally, tw oghon ones; to all three of them correspond distribution am plitudes. T his feature leads, on the one hand, to the well-known avormixingwhich, for the ${ }^{0}$ sy ${ }^{10}$, has been extensively studied (for a recent review, see and, on the other hand, as a further com plication, to $m$ 1xing of the singlet and gluon distribution am plitudes under evolution. O $n$ the strength ofm ore and better experim ental data, the interest in hard reactions involving and 0 $m$ esons and, consequently, in the role of the two-ghon Fock com ponent, has been renewed. Exam ples of such reactions are the $m$ eson-photon transition form factors, photo- and electroproduction of $m$ esons or charm onium and $B-m$ eson decays.
$M$ ixing of the singlet and gluon distribution an ${ }^{-1}$ as has been investigated in a num ber of papers

A part from di erences in the notation and occasional m isprints, di erent prefactors appear in the evolution kemels and in the expressions for the anom alous dim ensions. O ften the full set of conventions for kemels, anom alous dim ensions, the ghon distribution am plitude and the ghon-m eson projector is not provided and/or it is not easy to extract. This $m$ akes the com parison of

the various theoretical results and their applications di cult. W e therefore reexam ine the treatm ent of the gluon distribution am plitude and its $m$ ixing w th the singlet one. This analysis is perform ed in the context of the and 0 tra form factors. Applying the $m$ ethods proposed in we calculate them to leading-tw ist accuracy and include next-to-leading order (NLO) perturbative QCD corrections. O ur investigation enables us to introduce and to test the conventions for the ingredients of a leading-tw ist calculation for any hard process that involves or ${ }^{0} \mathrm{~m}$ esons. Them ost crucialtest of the consistency of our set of conventions is the cancellation of the collinear singularities present in the parton-subprocess am plitude $w$ ith the ultraviolet ( $\mathrm{U} V$ ) singularities appearing in the unrenorm alized distribution am plitudes. O ur analy its a critical appraisal of the relevant literature

In $9 y$ w the analysis of the transition form factor we use our leading-tw ist NLO results for the transition form factors to extract inform ation on the and ${ }^{0}$ distrion plitudes from ts to the experi$m$ ental data $\quad$ In order to $m$ ake contact $w$ ith experim ent we nave to adopt an appropriate $\quad{ }^{0} \mathrm{~m}$ ixing scheme. W e assum e particle independence of the distribution am plitudes reducing so their num ber to three. C onsequently, avorm ixing is solely encoded in the de-.-. constants for which we use the values determ ined in

O ur set of conventions, as abstracted from the calculation of the transition form factor, is then appropriate for general use in leading-tw ist calculations of hard exclusive reactions involving and ${ }^{0} \mathrm{~m}$ esons. W e brie y discuss a few of them, nam ely, electroproduction of the and ${ }^{0} \mathrm{~m}$ esons and the vertex $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{g} \quad\left({ }^{0}\right)$, in order to leam $m$ ore about the im portance of the ghon distribution am plitudes. In contrast to the transition form factors, the tw o-gluon Fock com ponents contribute in these reactions to the sam e order of the strong coupling constant, s, as the quark-antiquark ones. The tw o-ghon com ponents also contribute to the decays cu ! ; ${ }^{0}$. The analysis of these decays is how ever intricate since the next
higher Fock state of the cJ, cocg contributes to the sam e inverse pow er of the relevant hard scale, the charm quark m ass, as the $\overline{\mathrm{c}}$ state $a^{-1}$ as to be taken into account in a consistent analysis W e therefore refrain from analysing these decays here.

T he plan of the paper is the follow ing: T he calculation of themeson $\rightarrow$ photon transition form factors is presented in In I Eediscuss 0 avorm ixing while Sec sdevoted to a com parison w ith experim ent and the extraction of the size of the low est $G$ egenbauer coefcients ff the quark and gluon distribution am plitudes. In Sec ve investigate the role of the gluon distribution ampluude in othor hard reactions. T he sum $m$ ary is presented in Sec $T$ he paper ends w th three appendices in which we com pile the de nitions of quark and ghon distribution am plitudes (A pp.A), calculationaldetails for the transition form factors (App. B) and som e properties of the evolution kemels (App.C).

## II. THEP TRANSITION FORMFACTOR

A. The avor-singlet case

As the valenœ Fock com ponents of the pseudoscalar $m$ esons $P=;{ }^{0}$, we choose $S U(3)_{F}$ singlet and octet com binations of quark-antiquark states ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\mathrm{q}} \overline{\mathrm{q}}_{1} i=j(u \bar{u}+d \bar{d}+s \bar{s})={ }^{p} \overline{3} i ; \\
& \dot{\operatorname{q}} \overline{\mathrm{q}}_{8} i=j(u \bar{u}+d \bar{d} \quad 2 \overline{s s})=\frac{p}{=} \overline{6} i ; \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

and the two-ghon state jggi which also possess avorsinglet quantum numbers and contributes to leading tw ist. T he corresponding distribution am plitudes are denoted by P $1 ; 8 ; \mathrm{g}$; their form al de nitions are given in A pp W e em phasize that here, in this section, we do not $m$ ake use of a avorm ixing schem e since the theoretical treatm ent of the transition form factors is independent of it. A s usual the decay constants, de ned by the vacuum $-m$ eson $m$ atrix elem ents of avor-singlet or octet weak axial vector currents ( $i=1$; 8 )

$$
\begin{equation*}
h 0 \mathrm{j}^{\mathrm{i}}{ }_{5}(0) \mathcal{p}(\mathrm{p}) \mathrm{i}=i f_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{p} \text {; } \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or rather the factors $f_{P}^{i}=\left(2^{p} \overline{2 N_{c}}\right)$, are pulled out of the distribution am plitudes ( $N_{c}$ being the num ber of colons). H ence, the quark distribution am plitudes are norm alized to unity at any scale ${ }^{2}$

$$
Z_{1}^{Z_{1}} d u \quad p_{i}\left(u ;{ }^{2}\right)=1 ;
$$

[^0]

There is no natural way to norm alize the ghon distribution am plitude. Since the avor-singlet quark and ghon distribution am plitudes $m$ ix under evolution while the avor-octet one evolves independently w ith the hard scale, it is convenient to pullout of the ghon distribution am plitude the samefactor as for the avor-singlet quark one.

A susualwe param eterize the ( $q_{i}$; ) ( $q$; ) ! $P(p)$ vertex as

$$
\begin{equation*}
=i e^{2} F_{P}\left(Q^{2}\right) " \quad\left(q_{2}\right) q_{1} q_{2} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q^{2}=q^{2} \quad 0$ is the $m$ om entum transfer, and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}\left(\mathrm{Q}^{2}\right)$ denotes the P transition form factor. It can be represented as a sum of the avor-octet and the avorsinglet contributions

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{P} \quad\left(Q^{2}\right)=F_{P}^{8}\left(Q^{2}\right)+F_{P}^{1}\left(Q^{2}\right) ; \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the latter one includes the quark and the gluon part. T he leading-tw ist singlet contribution to order s is unknown, while the octet contribution is well-known to this ord ne only has to adapt the result for the transitions suitably. $W$ e therefore perform a detailed analysis of the singlet contributir .-7.png the lines of the avor-octet analysis presented in
For large $m$ om entum transfer $Q$, the avor-singlet contribution to the transition form factor can be represented as a convolution (see Fig for a low est order Feynm an diagram )

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{P}^{1} \quad\left(Q^{2}\right)=\frac{P_{P}^{1}}{2^{1}} \frac{T^{y}}{2 N_{c}}\left(u ; Q^{2}\right) \quad{ }_{P}^{u r}(u) ; \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the symbol ${ }_{R_{1}}$ represents the usual convolution $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{z}) \quad \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{r}_{0} \mathrm{dzA}(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{z}):$ W e employ a twocom ponent vector notation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{ur}  \tag{2.8}\\
& \mathrm{P}
\end{aligned}(\mathrm{u}) \quad \begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{ur}_{\mathrm{Pq}}(\mathrm{u}) \\
& \mathrm{ur}_{\mathrm{Pg}}(\mathrm{u})
\end{aligned} \quad ; \quad \mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{Q}^{2}\right) \quad \begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{qq}}\left(\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{Q}^{2}\right) \\
& \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{gg}}\left(\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{Q}^{2}\right)
\end{align*} ;
$$

and sw itch to the m ore generic notation $\quad \mathrm{Pq} \quad \mathrm{P} 1$. The unrenorm alized quark and ghon dictribution amplitudes
 parton-level subprocesses am plitudes ior ! qq, and
$!\quad g g$ are denoted by $T_{q \bar{q}}$ and $T_{g g}$, manoctively; the Lorentz structure is factorized out as in
 quire renom alization which introduces mixing of the composite operators $(z)^{+}{ }_{5}(z)$ and $\mathrm{G}^{+}(\mathrm{z}) \mathcal{E}^{+}(\mathrm{z})$. The unrenorm alized distribution am plitude ${ }_{P}^{u r}$ is related to the renom alized one, $p$, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\underset{P}{u r}}_{u r}^{(u)}=Z(\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{x} ; \underset{\mathrm{F}}{2}) \quad \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X} ; \underset{\mathrm{F}}{2}) \text {; } \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG.1: Lowest order Feynm an diagram for the ! P transition. A second diagram is obtained by interchanging the photon vertices.
$w$ here the $U V$-divergent renorm alization $m$ atrix takes the form

$$
\begin{array}{lll} 
& Z_{\text {qq }} & Z_{\text {qg }}  \tag{2.10}\\
& Z_{\text {gq }} & Z_{\text {gg }}
\end{array}
$$

Here, ${ }_{F}^{2}$ represents the scale at which the singularities and, hence, soft and hard physics, are factorized. O w ing to the fact that quarks and gluons are taken to be $m$ assless and onshell, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{q} \bar{q}}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{gg}}$, calculated beyond leading order, contain collinear singularities. The validity of fantorization into hard and soft physics, as expressed in requires the cancellation of these singularities $w$ ith the $U V$ ones from the renorm alization of the distribution am plitudes. H ence, the hard scattering am plitude de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{H}^{Y}\left(x ; Q^{2} ;{\underset{F}{2}}_{2}\right)=T^{Y}\left(u ; Q^{2}\right) \quad Z\left(u ; x ;{ }_{F}^{2}\right) ; \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

must be nite. Below we explicitly show this cancellation to NLO. P rovided the cancellation of the singularities holds, the transition form factor can be expressed in term s of nite hard scattering and distribution am plitudes
B. The N LO hard-scattering am plitude

W e now proceed to the NLO calculation. The renor$m$ alization $m$ atrix $Z$, can be show $n$ to have the follow ing form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}=1+\frac{\mathrm{s}\binom{2}{\mathrm{~F}}}{4} \frac{1}{V^{(1)}}+\mathrm{O}\binom{2}{\mathrm{~s}} ; \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

if dim ensional regularization ( $D=4 \quad 2$ ) is em ployed. H ere 1 denotes the unit 2 m atrix ( w ith diagonalele$m$ ents $(x \quad u))$, and the coe cient $V^{(1)}=V^{(1)}(x ; u)$ is
a matrix ${ }^{2}$

$$
\mathrm{V}^{(1)} \quad \begin{array}{ll} 
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{qq}} \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{qg}}  \tag{2.14}\\
\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{gq}} & \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{gg}}
\end{array}:
$$

$T$ he am plitudes $T_{q q}$ and $T_{g g}$ have well-de ned expansions in $s$, and after coupling-constant renom alization, which introduces the renorm alization scale ${ }_{\mathrm{R}}^{2}$, they read

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{qq}}(\mathrm{u})= & \frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{qq}}^{\mathrm{h}}}{\mathrm{Q}^{2}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{qq}}^{(0)}(\mathrm{u}) \\
& +\frac{\mathrm{s}\binom{2}{\mathrm{R}}}{4} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}} \quad \frac{{ }_{\mathrm{R}}^{2}}{\mathrm{Q}^{2}} \quad \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{qq}}^{(1)}(\mathrm{u})+\mathrm{O}\binom{2}{\mathrm{~s}} ; \\
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{gg}}(\mathrm{u})= & \frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{gg}}}{\mathrm{Q}^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~s}^{( }\binom{2}{\mathrm{R}}}{4} \frac{{ }_{\mathrm{R}}^{2}}{\mathrm{Q}^{2}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{gq}}^{(1)}(\mathrm{u})+\mathrm{O}\binom{2}{\mathrm{~s}}: \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he norm alization factors $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{q}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{gg}}$ in are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{qq}}=2^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{2 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}}} \mathrm{C}_{1} ; \quad \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{gg}}=\mathrm{p} \overline{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}}} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{qq}} ; \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the avor factor $C_{1}$ takes into account the -quark content of the $\mathrm{q}_{1}$ com bination. It reads (see

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}=\frac{e_{\mathrm{u}}^{2}+\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{d}}^{2}+\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{s}}^{2}}{\mathrm{~N}} \overline{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{f}}} \quad: \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The number of avors in the $q \bar{q}_{1}$ is denoted by $n_{f}$ and $C_{F}=\left(\mathbb{N}_{C}^{2} \quad 1\right)=\left(2 N_{C}\right)$ is the usual color factor. $e_{a}$ is the charge of quark a-in unita of the pocitm charge e
Inserting and and using
we obtain we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{qq}}=\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{qq}}}{\mathrm{Q}^{2}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{qq}}^{(0)}+\frac{\mathrm{s}\left({ }_{\mathrm{R}}^{2}\right)}{4} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{qq}}^{(1)} \frac{{ }_{\mathrm{R}}^{2}}{\mathrm{Q}^{2}} \\
& +\frac{1}{-} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{qq}}^{(0)} \quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{qq}} \frac{{\underset{\mathrm{R}}{ }}_{2}^{2}}{\mathrm{~F}} \quad+\mathrm{O}\binom{2}{\mathrm{~s}} \text {; } \\
& \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{gg}}=\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{gg}}}{\mathrm{Q}^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~s}\binom{2}{\mathrm{R}}}{4} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{gg}}^{(1)} \frac{{ }_{\mathrm{R}}}{\mathrm{Q}^{2}} \\
& +\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{qq}}}{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{gg}}} \stackrel{1}{-} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{qq}}{ }^{(0)} \quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{qg}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{R}}^{2}}{\underset{\mathrm{~F}}{2}}+\mathrm{O}\binom{2}{\mathrm{~s}} \quad: \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Results for $T_{q}{ }_{q}^{(0)}, T_{q \bar{q}}^{(1)}, T_{g g}^{(1)}$, and $V$.. and som e details of their calculation are given in A pp U sing the results for $T_{q \bar{q}}^{(0)}$ and $V_{q q}$, it is easy to verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{qq}}^{(0)}(\mathrm{u}) \quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{qq}}(\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{x})=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{col} ; \mathrm{qq}}^{(1)}(\mathrm{x}) ; \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]$w$ ith $A_{\text {col;qq }}^{(1)}$ being given in . On the other hand, $A_{c o i ; q q}^{(1)}$ is the residue of the $1=$ pole in $T_{q \bar{q}}^{(1)}$, see H ence, the collinear singularity present in $T_{q \bar{q}}^{(1)}$ is canceled by the UV singularity in $Z_{q q}$ and we arrive at a nite hard-scattering am plitude for the ! qq subprocess
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{H} ;} ; \mathrm{qq}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{Q}^{2} ;{ }_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{q} \bar{q}}}{\mathrm{Q}^{2}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{q} \bar{q}}^{(0)}(\mathrm{x})+\frac{\mathrm{s}\left({ }_{\mathrm{R}}^{2}\right)}{4} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{H} ; q \bar{q}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{Q}^{2} ;{ }_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}\right)} \\
& \quad+\mathrm{O}\binom{2}{\mathrm{~s}} ; \tag{2,20}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{qq}}^{(0)}(\mathrm{x}) & =\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{q} \overline{\mathrm{q}}}^{(0)}(\mathrm{x}) ; \\
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{qq}}^{(1)}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{Q}^{2} ;{ }_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}\right) & =\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{coi} ; \mathrm{qq}}^{(1)}(\mathrm{x}) \ln \frac{\mathrm{F}^{2}}{\mathrm{Q}^{2}}+\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{qq}}^{(1)}(\mathrm{x}): \tag{2,21}
\end{align*}
$$

The quantities $T_{q \bar{q}}^{(0)}, A_{c o l ; q q}^{(1)}$, and $A_{q q}^{(1)}$ are given in Next, from and we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{q} \overline{\mathrm{q}}}^{(0)}(\mathrm{u}) \quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{qg}}(\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{x})=\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{gg}}}{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{q} \overline{\mathrm{q}}}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{col} ; \mathrm{gg}}^{(1)}(\mathrm{x}) \text {; } \tag{222}
\end{equation*}
$$


where $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{gg}}^{(1)}$ reads

The functions $A_{c o l i ; g}^{(1)}$ and $A_{g g}^{(1)}$ are supplied in
C. Evolution of the avor-singlet quark and gluon distribution am plitudes

W e now tum to the discussion of the distribution am plitude $P$ and its evolution. The $m$ atrix $Z$ is related tq the arolution of the distribution am plitude, and $V^{(1)}$ in represents the kemelw hich govems the leadingoraer (LO ) evolution of the aror-singlet distribution am plitude. By di erentiating obtains the evolution equation
where the evolution kemelV reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=Z^{1} \quad{ }_{F}^{2} \frac{@}{@{ }_{F}^{2}} Z \quad: \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e note in passing that the evolution equation would have a $m$ ore com plicated form if the factor $f_{P 1}=\left(2^{P} 2 N_{c}\right)$ was not purlod put ofthorkion distribution am plitude. $\begin{array}{lll}\text { Inserting into and using } & \text { one easily } \\ \text { sees that } & \end{array}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\frac{s\binom{2}{F}}{4} V^{(1)}+O\binom{2}{s}: \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{qq}}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}} \quad 3+\frac{2}{(\mathrm{n}+1)(\mathrm{n}+2)} \quad 4_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{+1}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}} \text {; } \\
& { }_{n}^{\text {qg }}=\mathrm{p} \overline{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}}} \frac{\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}+3)}{3(\mathrm{n}+1)(\mathrm{n}+2)} \quad \mathrm{n} \quad 2 \text {; } \\
& { }_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{gq}}=\mathrm{p} \overline{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}}} \frac{12}{(\mathrm{n}+1)(\mathrm{n}+2)} \quad \mathrm{n} \quad 2 \text {; } \\
& { }_{n}^{g g}=0+N_{c} \frac{8}{(n+1)(n+2)} \quad 4_{i=1}^{x^{+1}} \frac{1}{i} \quad n \quad 2: \tag{228}
\end{align*}
$$

To leading order in $s$ the evolution equation can be solved by diagonalizing the kemelV or rather the $m$ atrix of the anom alous dim ensions. The eigenfunctions can be expanded upon the $G$ egenbauerpolynom ials $C_{n}^{m}=2$ $w$ th coe cients $B_{P}^{(1)}$ which evolve with the eigenvalues
${ }_{n}{ }^{\text {( ) }}$ ) of the $m$ atrix of the anom alous dim ensions

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{n}^{(1)}=\frac{1}{2}{\underset{n}{q q}}_{{ }_{n}}^{(\underset{n}{g g}} \frac{q}{(\underset{n}{q q} \quad \underset{n}{g g})^{2}+4 \underset{n}{q g} \underset{n}{g q}}: \tag{229}
\end{equation*}
$$

The two components of the distribution am plitude $P$ possess the expansion

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Pq}\left(\mathrm{x} ;{ }_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}\right)=6 \mathrm{x}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \mathrm{x}
\end{array}\right) 1 \\
& +{ }_{n=2 ; 4 ;::}^{X} B_{P n}^{q}\binom{2}{F} C_{n}^{3=2}(2 x  \tag{i}\\
& \operatorname{Pg}\left(x ;{ }_{F}^{2}\right)=x^{2}\left({ }_{X} \quad x\right)^{2} \\
& B_{P n}^{g}\binom{2}{F} C_{n-1}^{5=2}(2 x \\
& \text { 1); } \\
& \mathrm{n}=2 ; 4 ;:: \text { : } \tag{2.30}
\end{align*}
$$


to those of the eigenfunctions by

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{P n}^{q}\binom{2}{F}=B_{P n}^{(+)}\binom{2}{0} \frac{s^{( }\binom{2}{0}}{s\binom{2}{F}} n^{(+)}=0 \\
& +n_{n}^{()} B_{P n}^{()}\binom{2}{0} \frac{s^{( }\binom{2}{0}}{s\binom{2}{F}} n^{(1)}=0 \\
& B_{P n}^{g}\binom{2}{F}=n^{(+)} B_{P n}^{(+)}\binom{2}{0} \frac{s^{( }\binom{2}{0}}{s^{2}\binom{2}{F}}{ }^{(+)}=0 \\
& +B_{P n}^{(1)}\binom{2}{0} \frac{s^{\binom{2}{0}}}{s^{2}\binom{2}{F}} \quad n^{()}=0 \tag{2.31}
\end{align*}
$$

The coe cients $\left.B{ }_{P n}^{( }\right)\binom{2}{0}$ respective $B_{P n}^{q ; g}\binom{2}{0}$, where $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 0\end{aligned}$ is the initial scale of the evolution, represent the nonperturbative input to a calculation of the transition form factors and are, at present, not calculable w ith a su cient degree of accuracy. T he param eters $n$ ( ) read

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{(+)}=6 \frac{n^{g q}}{n^{(+)} n_{n}^{g g}} ; \quad n^{()}=\frac{1}{6} \frac{n^{\text {qg }}}{n^{()} n_{n}^{q q}}: \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e note that the anom alous dim ensions satisfy the relation


It is im portant to realize that any chanoonfthe de nition of the gluon distribution am plitude is accom panied by a corresponding change in the nara scattering am plitude. Suppose we change p g by a factor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Pg }=\operatorname{Pg}: \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since any physical quantity, as for instance the transition form factor, $m$ ust be indenondent of the choice of the convention, the projection
of gg state onto a pseudoscalar $m$ eson state is to be $m$ odi ed by a factor $1=$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{g}}=\frac{1}{-\mathrm{P}^{g}} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the hard-scattering am plitude hocom-sc-altered accordingly. As an inspection of Eqs reveals, the chanon ofthe de nition of the gHon alstrmution am plitude has to be converted into a change of the o -diagonar anom alous dim ensions and the G egenbauer coe cients $\left.B{ }_{P n}^{( }\right)$in order to leave the quark distribution am plitude as it is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{qg} ;}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{qg}} ; \quad{ }_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{qg}} ; \quad{ }_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{gq} ;}={\underset{\mathrm{n}}{ } \mathrm{gq} ;} \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{Pn}}^{(1)} \quad\binom{2}{0}=\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{Pn}}^{(1)}\binom{2}{0} ; \quad \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{Pn}}^{(+)} \quad\binom{2}{0}=\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{Pn}}^{(+)}\binom{2}{0} ; \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

im plying

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{P n}^{g}\binom{2}{F}=B_{P n}^{g}\binom{2}{F} ; \quad B_{P n}^{q}\binom{2}{F}=B_{P n}^{q}\binom{2}{F}: \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

 $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{qg}}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{gq}}$ becom emodi ed.

T he results for the anom alous dim ensions can also be understood in the operator language, i.e., by considering the im pact of a change of the de nition of the ghuonic com posite operator on the anom alous dim ensions (for com $m$ ents on the use of $\ldots$.......erator product expansion, see, for instance, Refs. . O ne nds that only the anom alous dim ensions ${ }_{n}$ and ${ }_{n}^{\text {gq }}$ becom em odi ed, while the diagonal ones and the product ${ }_{n}^{q g}{ }_{n}^{\text {gq }}$, and consequently the eigenvalues $n_{n}^{()}$, rem ain unchanged. Rede nition of the gluonic com posite operator im plies a corresponding change of the gluon distribution am plitude.

W e are now in the position to com pare the results presented in this work w ith other calculations to be found in the literature. $T$ he entire set of conventions is not always easy to extract from the literature since often only certain aspects of the or-singlet system are discussed. For instance, in $R$ ef. ly the evolution kemels are investigated, or in ReI. only the anom alous dim ensions. U sing results from such w ork in a calculation of a hard process necessitates the use of corresponding conventions for the other quantities. C are is also required if elsew here determ ined num erical results for the $G$ egenbauer coe cintci B $\stackrel{( }{P}$ ) or $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{P}}^{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{n}$ are em ployed since, according to and they are convention donendent. For ruture reference, we system atize in Tab the im portant ingredients for the three conventions encountered in the literature. O ur expressions for the kemels and the an lous dim ensions correspond to obtained in (up to a typo in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{gg}}$ ). In Refs. the anom alousdim ensions controlling the evolution ortne forw ard and non-forw ard parton distribution w ere studied to NLO. Since the non-diagonal anom alous dision for the odd parity case coincidespw ith our ones
$\cdots{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{f}}}$ is used in
The onlult we do not understand is the one presented in $R$ ef There is an extra factor of $1=2$ in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{gq}}$ which changes the product of prefactors. M oreover, there are factors $1=3$ and 3 apparently $m$ issing in $q g$ and gq. W e note that occasion 27 l , the factor $\left[\mathrm{x}\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & \mathrm{x}\end{array}\right)\right]^{1}$ appearing in our projector is absorbed into the gluon distribution am plitude This arrangem ent is accom panifd hy corresponding changes of the evolution kemels, see

A though, from the point of view of donivation, the conventions which lead to and seem to be the $m$ ost natural ones, it is pemaps more expedient to use the sam e conventions for the anom alous dim ensions as for polarized deep inelastic lepton-proton scattering

TABLE I: List of com $m$ on conventions for the anom alous di$m$ ensions and the $g g$ projector. $Q$ uoted $m$ the prefactors of the non-dionnol anom alous dim ensions and for the gg projector fror various choices of $\quad \Perp 1$ W e also list relerences where these conventions for une anom alous dim ensions are used.

|  | $\mathrm{n}^{\text {q9; }}$ | ${ }_{\mathrm{n}}^{\text {gq; }}$ | $\mathrm{P}^{9}$ | references |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}} \overline{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}}}$ | $\mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}}}$ | 1 |  |
| $\overline{\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{f}}}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}}}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\text {F }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{f}}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}}}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{f}}}$ |  |
| $\frac{C_{F}}{n_{f}}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{f}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\text {F }}$ | $\frac{\overline{n_{f}}}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}}}$ |  |

which correspond to

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{r}{\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{f}}}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}}}}: \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he corresponding set of conventions will be used in the rest of the paper. The non-diagonal anom alous dim ensions then read

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { qg }^{\text {qg }} & ! & C_{F} \frac{n(n+3)}{3(n+1)(n+2)} & n & 2 ; \\
{ }_{n}^{\text {gq }} & ! & n_{f} & \frac{12}{(n+1)(n+2)} & \text { n } \tag{2.40}
\end{array}
$$

and the gluonic pro jector

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{g} \quad!\frac{i}{2} \frac{s}{\frac{C_{F}}{n_{f}}} p \frac{a b}{N_{C}^{2} \quad 1} \frac{"_{?}}{u(1 \quad u)}: \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

A long w ith these de nitions, Eqs. have to be used.

To the order we are w orking, the N LO evolution of the quark distribution amplitudes should in principle be included (the convolution of the NLO term for Pg w ith $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{H} ;} ; \mathrm{gg}$ contributes to order ${ }_{\mathrm{s}}^{2}$ ). To NLO accuracy the $G$ egenbauer polynom ials $C_{n}^{3=2}$ are no longer eigenfunctions of the evolution kemel, so ther coe cients $B_{P n}^{i}$ do not evoln lependently In analogy w ith the pion case the im pact or tne NLO evolution on the transition Iorm factors is expected to be sm all com pared w th the NLO corrections to the subprocess am plitudes. Therefore we refrain from considering N LO evolution.
D. The NLO result for the transition form factor

To end this section we quote our nal result for the avor-singlet contribution to the $P$ transition form factor to leading-tw ist accuracy and NLO in s. The result, obtained by inserting
 (m ultiplied

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { by } \quad 1=\mathrm{p} \overline{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{f}}} \text { accordincto the new norm alization } \\
& \text { of the ghonic pro jector) into }
\end{aligned} \text { is }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{P}{ }^{1}\left(Q^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{P}}^{1} \mathrm{C}_{1}}{\mathrm{Q}^{2}}{ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{H} ; q \mathrm{q}}^{(0)}(\mathrm{x}) \quad \mathrm{Pq}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

A subtlety has to be $m$ entioned. The singlet decay constant, $f_{P}^{1}$, depends on the scale but anom alous di$m$ ension controlling it is of order ${ }_{2}$. In our NLO calculation this e ect is tiny and is to be neglected as the NLO evolution of the distribution am plitude.

For com pleteness and for later use we also quote the result for the avor-octet contribution to the P transition form factor at the sam e level of theoretical accuracy. In our notation it reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{P}{ }^{8}\left(Q^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{P}}^{8} \mathrm{C}_{8}}{\mathrm{Q}^{2}}{ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{q} \overline{(0)}}^{(\mathrm{x})} \quad \mathrm{P} 8\left(\mathrm{x} ;{ }_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{\mathrm{s}\binom{2}{\mathrm{R}}}{4} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{q} \overline{\mathrm{q}}}^{(1)}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{Q}^{2} ;{\underset{\mathrm{F}}{2}}_{2}\right) \quad \mathrm{P} 8(\mathrm{x} ; \underset{\mathrm{F}}{2}) \quad \text {; } \tag{2.43}
\end{align*}
$$

where the morm alized hard scattering am plitude is given in the help oi

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{8}=\frac{e_{u}^{2}+e_{d}^{2}}{\rho_{\bar{d}}} 2 e_{\bar{e}}^{2}, \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

The octet distribution am plitude, P 8 , being fully analogous to the pion case, has the expansion

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{P} 8(\mathrm{X} ; \underset{\mathrm{F}}{2})=6 \mathrm{x}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \mathrm{x})
\end{array}\right] \\
& +\underset{n=2 ; 4 ;::}{X} B_{P n}^{8}(\underset{F}{2}) C_{n}^{3=2}(2 x \quad 1) ; \tag{2.45}
\end{align*}
$$

where the G egenbauer coe cients evolve according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{P n}^{8}\binom{2}{F}=B_{P n}^{8}\binom{2}{0} \frac{s\binom{2}{0}}{s\binom{2}{F}}{ }^{n^{q q}=0}: \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sum ming the avor-singlet and octet contributions according to we arrive at the fulltransition form factors for the pnysicalm esons.

As has been pointed in Refs.
in the lim it $Q^{2}$ ! 1 where the quark distribution am pitudes evolve into the asym ptotic form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { AS }(x)=6 x(1 \quad x) \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the ghuon one to zero, the transition form factor becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{P} \quad Q^{2}!!^{1} \frac{P^{2} f_{P}^{e}}{Q^{2}} 1 \quad \frac{5}{3}-\frac{s}{}: \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

$f_{P}^{e}$ combines the decay constants $w$ ith the charge factors $\mathrm{C}_{\text {i }}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{P}^{e}=p_{\overline{3}}^{1} f_{P}^{h}+2^{p} \overline{2}_{P}^{1}: \tag{2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result hold a for the case of the pion $w$ th $f^{e}$ replaced by I. In an interesting observation has been reported: if the transition form factors for the, and ${ }^{0}$ are scaled by their re asym ptotic results, the data for these processes fall on top of each other w ithin experim ental errors. I his can be regarded as a hint at rather sim ilar form sof the quark distribution am plitudes in the three cases and a not excessively large ghon one.
III. $\left\{{ }^{0}\right.$ M IX IN G
U sing the results
form factors, one
analyze
tained by CLEO
and L3 $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { xperim entaldata ob- } \\ & \text { with the aim of ex- }\end{aligned}$ tracting inform ation on the six alstribution am plitudes $P_{i}\left(x ;{ }_{0}^{2}\right)$, $i=1 ; 8 ; g$ or rather on their low est $G$ egenbauer coe cients B ${\underset{P}{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\binom{2}{0} \text {. In principle, this is an ex- }}$ trem ely interesting program since it would allow for an investigation of $\quad 0$ avor $m$ ixing at the level of the distribution am plitudes. In practioe, how ever, this program is to ambitious since the present quality of the data is insu cient to x a m inim um number of six coef-
cients which occur if the G egenbauer series is truncated at $\mathrm{n}=2 . \mathrm{T}$ hus, we are forced to change the strategy and to em ploy a avor $m$ ixing schem e right from the beginning in order to reduce the num ber of free param eters.

Since in hard processes only sm all spatial quarkantiquark separations are of relevance, it is su ciently suggestive to em bed the particle dependence and them ixing behaviour of the valence Fock com ponents solely into the decay constants, which play the mave functions at the origin. H ence, follow ing

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{i}=i ; \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i=8 ; 1 ; g$. . ${ }^{T}$ am ption is further supported by the quank aist that, as for the case of the pion and $m$ esons seem to be close to AS ( x ) for which the particle independence holds trivially . N ote that we sw itch now back to the original notation forthe singlet distribution am plitude introduced in Sec

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P} 1 \quad \mathrm{Pq} ; \quad \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{Pn}}^{1} \quad \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{Pn},}^{\mathrm{q}}: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The decay constants can be param eterized as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{f}^{8}=\mathrm{f}_{8} \cos 8 ; & \mathrm{f}^{1}=\mathrm{f}_{1} \sin 1 ; \\
\mathrm{f}^{8}=\mathrm{f}_{8} \sin 8 ; & \mathrm{f}^{1}{ }_{0}=\mathrm{f}_{1} \cos { }_{1}: \tag{3.3}
\end{array}
$$

$N$ um erical values for the $m$ ixing param eters have been determ on the basis of the quark-avor mixing schem $\epsilon$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f_{8}=1: 26 f ; & 8=21: 2 ; \\
f_{1}=1: 17 f ; & 1_{1}=9: 2: \tag{3.4}
\end{array}
$$

The value oft ion decay stant is $f=0: 131 \mathrm{GeV}$. A s observed in (see alsc 0 avorm ixing can be param eterized in the sim plest way in the quark- avor basis. The $m$ ixing behaviour of the decay constants in that basis follow s the pattem of state $m$ ixing, i.e. there is only one $m$ ixing angle. The basis states of the quarkavorm ixing schem e are de ned by

$$
\begin{align*}
& j_{q} i=\cos ^{\prime} j i+\sin ^{\prime} j^{0} i_{i} \\
& j_{s} i=\sin \prime j i+\cos ^{\prime} j^{0}{ }_{i} \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

and the strange and non-strange decay constants are assum ed to $m$ ix as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f^{q}=f_{q} \cos ^{\prime} ; & f^{s}=f_{s} \sin { }^{\prime} ; \\
f_{0}^{q}=f_{q} \sin \prime ; & f^{s}=f_{s} \cos ^{\prime}: \tag{3.6}
\end{array}
$$

A s dem onstrated in this ansatz is well in agreem ent w ith experim ent. T ne occurrence of only one $m$ ixing angle in this schem e is a consequence of the sm allness ofO Z I rule violations which am ount to only a few percent and can safely be neglected in $m$ ost cases. $S U(3)_{F}$ sym $m$ etry, on the other hand, is broken at the level of 10 20\% as can be seen, for instance, from the values of the decay constanta fand $f_{1}$, and cannot be ignored.

U sing and particle independence, we obtain for the valence rock com ponents of the basis states

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\mathrm{P} \overline{2=3}{ }_{\mathrm{g}}(\mathrm{x} ; \underset{\mathrm{F}}{2}) \text { jggi ; }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +g\left(x ;{ }_{F}^{2}\right) \text { ggi }=\mathcal{P}^{i} \text {; } \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $q \bar{q}$ is short for the com bination $(u \bar{u}+d \bar{d})={ }^{p} \overline{2}$ and

$$
\begin{gather*}
q=\frac{1}{3}\left(8+2_{1}\right){\underset{p}{p}}^{\mathrm{p}_{8}} \quad \mathrm{~s}=\frac{1}{3}\left(2_{8}+1\right) ; \\
3\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1
\end{array}\right): \tag{3.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

In deriving wem ade use of the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \cos { }^{\prime \prime} \\
& \text { 8) }=p_{r}^{1} \frac{f_{q}}{f_{8}} ; \quad \cos \left({ }^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.{ }_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{3} \frac{f_{s}}{f_{1}} ; \\
& \sin \left({ }^{\prime} \quad 8\right)=\frac{2}{3} \frac{f_{s}}{f_{8}} ; \quad \sin \left({ }^{\prime} \quad 1\right)=\frac{\overline{2}}{3} \frac{f_{q}}{f_{1}} ; \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

which can readily be obtained from $r{ }^{14} s$ on decay constants.and $m$ ixing angles reported in
the $s \bar{s}$ ( $q \bar{q}$ ) Fock com ponent appears in the $q$ $(\mathrm{s}) .1$ nese respective opposite Fock com ponents lead to violations of the O Z I rule if they were not cimpmeand. In order to achieve the mixing behaviour and, hence, strict validity of the O ZI rule, opp must be zero which im plies

$$
\begin{equation*}
8(x ; \underset{F}{2})={ }_{1}(x ; \underset{F}{2})=q\left(x ;{\underset{F}{2}}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{x} ; \underset{\mathrm{F}}{2}): \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ow ever, except the distribution am plitudes assum e the asym ptotic form, this can only hold approxim ately for a lim ited range of the factorization scale since the evolution of the distribution am plitudes will generate di erences betw een 1 and 8 and, hence, the respective opposite Fock com ponents. In order to guarantee at least the approxim ate validity of the O ZI rule and the quark- avor m ixing schem e as is required by phenom enology, we de$m$ and in our analysis of the transition form factor data that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{opp}\left(x ;{ }_{F}^{2}\right)}{A S(x)} \quad 1 \text {; } \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any value of $x$.

> IV. DETERM INATION OF THE D ISTRIBUTION AMPLITUDES

Before we tun ... analysis of the P transition form factor data and the determ ination of the and ${ }^{0}$ distribution am plitudes a few comments on the choice of the factorization and renorm alization scales are in order. A convenient choioe of the factorization con ${ }^{3}$ is $2=Q^{2}$, it avoids the $\ln { }_{F}^{2}=Q^{2}$ term $s$ in and A nother popular choige is ${\underset{F}{2}}_{2}=Q^{2}=2$ W nich re ects the $m$ ean virtuality of the exchanged quark. This choige facilitates com parisc th the pion distribution am plitude as determ ined in in exactly the sam eway we are going to $x$ the ana distribution amplitudes. For the renorm alization scale we choose ${ }_{R}^{2}=Q^{2}=2$ for which choice argum ents have been given on the basis of a nex t-to-leading order calculation of the pion form factor

The transition form factor is evaluated using the tw oloop expmacion for $s$ w th four avors and $\frac{(4)}{\mathrm{MS}}=$ 305 M eV . The num erical valuec for the decay constants ana $m$ lxing angles are given in ing scale of the evolution we take ${ }_{0}^{2}=1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$.

A com parison of the leading-tw ist NLO results evaluated from the asym ptotic quark distribution am plitudes

[^2]

FIG. 2: The scaled $P$ transition form factor vs. $Q^{2}$. D otted (long-short dashed) lines represent the LO (N LO) predictions for the asym ptotic distribution am plitudes. Solid (dashed) lines are results obtained w ith $B{ }_{2}^{g}\binom{2}{0}=21(-3), B \frac{1}{2}\binom{2}{0}=$ $0: 04(-0.12)$ and $B_{2}^{8}\binom{2}{0}=0: 04\left(\underset{F}{2}=Q^{2},{ }_{R}^{2}=Q^{2}=2\right.$, ${ }_{0}^{2}=1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ ). The shaded areas indicate the range of the N LO predictions for $B^{1}$ inside the allow ed region (see text). D ata taken from (mom bs represent the $Q^{2} F \quad 0$ data, squares the $Q^{2} \mathrm{~F}$ ones).
case) w (the ghon dist - p reveals that the distribution amplitudes cannot assum e their asym ptotic form $s$ for scales of the order of a few $\mathrm{GeV}^{2}$; the prediction for the case of ${ }^{0}$ lies about $10 \%$ above the data. This par ${ }^{\text {n }}$ case of the transition

N ext let us inspect the G egennauer expansion of the transition form factor. For x-independent factorization and morm-alization scales the integrations involved in
and $\quad$ can be perform ed analytically leading
to the expansion

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{P}^{1} \quad\left(Q^{2}\right)=\frac{6 f_{P}^{1} C_{1}}{Q^{2}} 1+B \frac{1}{2}\binom{2}{F}+B_{4}^{1}\binom{2}{F} \\
& \frac{5}{3} \frac{s\binom{2}{R}}{} 1 \quad B_{2}^{1}\binom{2}{F} \quad \frac{59}{72} \quad \frac{5}{6} \ln \frac{Q^{2}}{2} \\
& B_{4}^{1}\binom{2}{F} \quad \frac{10487}{4500} \quad \frac{91}{75} \ln \frac{Q^{2}}{2} \\
& +B_{2}^{g}\binom{2}{\mathrm{~F}} \quad \frac{55}{1296} \quad \frac{1}{108} \ln \frac{\mathrm{Q}^{2}}{{\underset{F}{F}}_{2}^{2}} \\
& +B_{4}^{g}(\underset{F}{2}) \frac{581}{10125} \quad \frac{7}{675} \ln \frac{Q^{2}}{{ }_{F}^{2}} \quad+\quad: \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Particle independence of the distribution am plitudes is used in this expansion. A sim ilar expansion holds for the
octet contribution $w$ ith the obvious replacem ents $f_{P}^{1}$ ! $f_{P}^{8}, B_{n}^{1}!B_{n}^{8}$, and $B_{n}^{g}!0$. The expansion of the octet contributio " ${ }^{\prime}$ pgous to that one of the transition form factor

In the expansion one notes a strong linear correlation betw een $B{ }_{2}^{i}$ and $B_{4}^{i}$, only the $m$ ild logarithm ic $Q^{2}$ dependence due to evolution and the running of $s$ restricts their values to a nite region in param eter space. $T$ he ghon contributions to the form factors are strongly suppressed, they appear only to NLO and the num erical factors $m$ ultiplying their $G$ egenbauer coe cients are sm all. T he coe cients B ${ }_{2}^{9}$ and $B{ }_{4}^{9}$ are also correlated.
$W$ ith regard to these correlatin in view of the errors of the experim ental data as well as the rather restricted range of $m$ om encum transfer in which they are available, we are forced to truncate the $G$ egenbauer series at $n=2$. Truncating at $n=4$ does not lead to reliable results in contr ${ }^{-1}$ the sim pler case of the pion where this is possible A $t$ to the CLEO and L3 data for $Q^{2}$ larger then $\angle G \mathrm{eV}^{2}$ provides

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{B}_{2}^{8}\binom{2}{0}=0: 04 \quad 0: 04 ; \\
& \mathrm{B}_{2}^{1}\binom{2}{0}=0: 08 \quad 0: 04 ; \\
& \mathrm{B}_{2}^{9}\binom{2}{0}=912 ; \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

where the values of the $G$ egenbauer coe cients are obtained for the factorization scale ${ }_{F}^{2}=Q^{2}$. W e repeat that ${ }_{0}^{2}=1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ and the ghonic $G$ egenbauer coe cient is quoted for the norm alization $=\overline{n_{f}=C_{F}}$. For com parison we also determ ine the $G$ egenbauer coe cients for $2=Q^{2}=2$; the values found agree $w$ ith those quoted in

2 m ost perfectly. T he quality of the $t$ is show $n$ in FIg The coe cients B $\frac{1}{2}$ and $B_{2}^{9}$ are stmnoky corre$P^{2}$ led as can be seen from $F$ ic The results satisfy $m$ eets the requirem ent and, therefore no substantialviolations of the O ZI rule follow from ourdistribution am plitudes. It $m$ oreover im plies the approxim ative validit the quard-avorm ixing schem e advocated for in Ref In Fig je present the singlet and gluon distribution amplitinec at the scale ${ }_{0}^{2}$ obtained using the face values from . Both am plitudes are end-point suppressed as compared to the asym ptotic one. This property holds for all values of $B \frac{1}{2}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{2}^{9}$ inside the allow ed region

The values oiB ${ }_{2}^{4}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{2}^{8}$ agree w th each other w thin errors as well as w th the G egenbauer coe cient $B_{2}\binom{2}{0}$

$0: 06$ 0:03 hasbeen found: from an analysis along the sam e lines as our one. Thus, the three quark distribution am plitudes are vonim ilar. This result explains the observation $m$ ade in and $m$ entioned by us at the end of Sec. II D that the data on three transition form factors fall on top of each other within errors if the form factons are scaled by their respective asym ptotic results The c train form factor, on the otherhand, benaves di erently $\quad$ The c m ass provides a second


FIG. 3: $1 \quad{ }^{2}$-contour plot for the coe cients B $\frac{1}{2}\binom{2}{0}$ and $B{ }_{2}^{g}\binom{2}{0}$ obtained from a three-param eter $t$ to the CLEO and L3 data on the ; ${ }^{0}$ \{ transition form factors. Values of the G egenbauer coe cients refer to ${ }_{0}^{2}=1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$; the factorization scale is ${ }_{F}^{2}=Q^{2}$.


F IG . 4: F lavour-singlet and ghon distribution am plitudes at the scale ${ }_{0}^{2}-1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ obtained using the face values $\mathrm{B}_{2}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{2}^{\mathrm{g}}$ from The asym ptotic distribution am plitude is included for coml parison.
large scale which cannot be ignored in the analysis
W e em phasize that our results on the and ols tribution am plitudes are to be considered as estim ates perform ed w th the purpose of getting an idea about the $m$ agnitude of the ghon distribution am plitude. A s has been discussec' "etail for the case of the transition form factor in allow ance of higher $G$ egenbauer $\infty$ e cients in the analysis will change the result on $\mathrm{B}_{2}$, essentially the sum of the $B_{n}$ is xed by the data on the transition form factor. This am biguity also holds for the case of the and ${ }^{0}$. Taking a low er renorm alization scale than we do which $m$ ay go along $w$ th a prescription for the saturation of $s$ and thus including e ects beyond a leading-tw ist analysis, will also change the results for


FIG.5: The handbag-type diagram form eson electroproduction o protons. T he large blob represents a generalized parton distribution, the small one the subprocess $\mathrm{L} q!(\mathrm{q}$. $T$ he $m$ om entum transfer is $t=2$.
the G egenbauer coe cients. A nother source of theoretical uncertainties in our analysis is the neglect of pow er and/ r-tw ist corrections. Thus, for instanc Refs the LO modi ed perturbative approach has been applied where quark transverse degrees of reedom and Sudakov suppressions are taken into account. In this case the asym ptotic distribution am plitudes lead to good agreem ent w ith the data on the transition form factors.

## V. COMMENTSON OTHER HARD REACTIONS

In this section we $m$ ake use of the results obtained in the preceding sections and calculate other hard processes involving and ${ }^{0} \mathrm{~m}$ esons in order to exam ine the role of the gg Fock com ponent further.

## A. E lectrop roduction of ; ${ }^{0} \mathrm{~m}$ esons

As a rst application of the ghon distribution am plitude extracted from the and 0 transition form factors we calculate deeply virtual electroproduction -f and ${ }^{0} \mathrm{~m}$ esons o protons. It has been show n
that for large virtualities of the exchanged photon, 2 , and $s m$ all $m$ om entum transfer from the initial to the nal proton, $t$, electroproduction of pseudoscalar $m$ esons is dom inated by longitudinally polarized virtualphotons and the process am plitude factorizes into a parton-level subprocess L q ! P q and soft proton matrix which represent generalized parton distributions see $F$ io Them eson is generated by a leading-tw ist $m$ echanism, i.e., by the transition $q \bar{q}!~ P ~ m e d i a t e d ~ t h r o u g h ~$ the exchange of a hard ghon. For the production of and ${ }^{0} \mathrm{~m}$ esons, how ever, one has to consider the gluon Fock com ponent as wellw hich, in contrast to the case of the transition form factors, contributes to the sam e order of $s$ as the $q \bar{q}_{i}$ com ponents. The ghonic contribution has not been considered in pre loulations of the electroproduction cross sections
$T$ he helicity am plitude for the process ${ }_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{P}!\mathrm{Pp}$ is


FIG. 6: Sam ple leading order Feynm an diagram s that contribute to the subprocess am plitude ${ }_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{q}!\mathrm{q} \bar{q}_{i} q$.
again decom posed into avor octet and singlet com ponents, $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ! P

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { a } \\
& \mathscr{P}^{a}(x ; ~ ; t) \frac{2}{1} e^{a}(x ; ~ ; ~ t) ; \tag{5.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I^{a}$ and $E^{a}$ are the axial vector and pseudoscalar generalized parton distributions for the em ission and reabsorption of quarks of avor $a$. The $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}^{i}$ are avor factors for the $q \bar{q}_{i}$ com nonents of the $m$ eson $P$; they can be read o from
$T$ he quark subprocess am plitudes iq! $q \bar{q}_{i} q$ are calculated from the LO Farin diagram sfor which exam ples are shown in $F$ ig

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{0}^{1} d \frac{i\left(;_{F}^{2}\right)}{(1)^{2}} t \\
& 1 \frac{\hat{u}}{\hat{s}}+\frac{1}{1} \frac{t}{\hat{u}}: \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

They are expressed in term sof the subprocess $M$ andelstam variables $\hat{s} ; \hat{u} ; \hat{t}=t$ where $\hat{s}+t+\hat{u}=Q^{2}$, and hold for any value of $Q^{2}$ and $t$. For the deeply virtual kinem atical region of large $Q^{2}$ and $t \quad Q^{2}$, it is $m$ ore appropriate to use the scaling variables and $x$. The skew ness is de ned by the ratio of light-cone plus com ponents of the incom ing ( p ) and outgoing ( $\mathrm{p}^{0}$ ) proton m om enta

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\left(p p^{0}\right)^{+}}{\left(p+p^{0}\right)^{+}}: \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For large $Q^{2}$ the skew ness is related to $x-B$ jorken by , $x_{B}=2$. The average $m$ om entum fraction the em ilted and reabsorbed partons carry, is de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{\left(k+k^{0}\right)^{+}}{\left(p+p^{0}\right)^{+}}: \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $k$ and $k^{0}$ are the $m$ om enta of the em itted and reabsorbed partons, respectively. For $t \quad Q^{2}$ the $M$ andelstam variables are related to the skew ness and the average m om entum fraction


FIG.7: R epresentative LO Feynm an diagram s that contribute to the subprocess am plitude $\mathrm{L} q$ ! $g$ g $q$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{s}=\frac{Q^{2}}{2}(x \quad) ; \quad \hat{u}=\frac{Q^{2}}{2}(x+\quad): \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rew riting the subprocess am plitude in term s of and v and inserting the result into the factorization form ula one arrives at the w ell-know $n$ result for the leadingLW IST contribution to ply virtual electroproduction of pseudoscalarm esons

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M \underset{0}{P i}{ }_{i}\left(Q^{2} ; \quad ; t^{\prime} 0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{a} e_{a} C_{a}^{i} Z_{1}^{Z_{1}} d x \frac{1}{x+i^{\prime \prime}}+\frac{1}{x+i "} \\
& H^{a}(x ; ~ ; t) \quad \frac{2}{1}{ }^{2} E^{a}(x ; ~ ; t): \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Next we calculate the subprocess am plitude for the ghonic component of the $m$ eson, $\mathrm{L} q!$ ggq. There are six graphs that contribute to the cipbrocess. Three representative ones are depicted in $F$ if the other three ones are obtained from these by interchanging the gluons. We nd for that subprocess am plitude the result

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.Z_{0} \mathrm{Z}_{1} \frac{\mathrm{~g}\left(\boldsymbol{i}_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}\right)}{{ }^{2}(1}\right) \tag{5.7}
\end{align*}
$$

In deriving this expression we m ade use fth antisym $m$ etry of the ghon distribution am plitude The ghuonic contribution to the ${ }_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{P}$ ! P p heliclly am plitudes reads

The full ${ }_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{p}$ ! P p am plitudes an the sum of the avor ontat and singlet contributions and the ghonic one In the deeply virtual region, how ever, the gluon concribution is cuppressed by $t=Q^{2}$ as one readily $\mathrm{ob}-$ serves from It is, therefore, to be considered pow er correction to the leading quark contribution
and is to be neglected in a leading-tw ist analysis ofdeeply virtualelectroproduction of and ${ }^{0} \mathrm{~m}$ esons.

O ne m ay also consider w ide-angle photo- and electroproductio f and ${ }^{0} \mathrm{~m}$ esons. U sing the m ethods proposed in for wide-angle $C$ om pton scattering, one can show that Ior wide-angle photo- and electroproduction of ponndoscalar $m$ esons the factorization form ulas and hold as well provided $t$ and $u$ are large as parea to the square of the proton $m$ ass and $Q^{2} \quad t$ To show that one has to work in a sym $m$ etric fram $e$ In which the skew ness is zero. O ne can also show that, in this situation, $s$ and if are approxim ate equal to the $M$ andelstam variables for the full process, $s$ and $u$, respectively. Thus, in the w ideanale region and for $Q^{2} \quad t ; s$ but non-zero, and simplify to

$$
\begin{align*}
& M \underset{0}{\mathrm{P}} \underset{\mathrm{i}}{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{Q}^{2}\right. \\
& M \underset{0}{\mathrm{P}} \underset{; 0}{ }\left(\mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{Q}^{2}\right. \\
& \mathrm{t})=\mathrm{eH} \mathrm{H}_{0}^{\mathrm{P}} ; 0 \\
& e_{a} C_{a}^{i} R_{A}^{a}(t) ; \\
& x^{a} \\
& \mathrm{t})=\mathrm{eH}_{0}^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\text {; }} \mathrm{o} \quad \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{t}) \text {; } \\
& \text { a } \tag{5.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where the form factors $R_{A}^{a}$ represent $1=x \mathrm{~m}$ om ents of the generalized parton distributions If $^{a}$ at zero skew ness. These form firns also contribute to wide-angle C om pton scattering . The am plitudes for transversally polarized photons can be obtained analogously. In -rast to the case of deeply virtual electroproduction
factorization for these am plitudes holds in the w ideangle region, too.

In order to estim ate the size of the ghon contribution to widongle electroproduction of ; ${ }^{0} \mathrm{~m}$ esons, we plot in F io he ratio

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{M}{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{P} \mathrm{~g}_{; 0}}}{\mathrm{M}{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{P} 1} ; 0} \tag{5.10}
\end{align*}=\frac{\mathrm{t}}{2 s^{2}+\mathrm{t}^{2}+\mathrm{ts}} .
$$

evaluated from the distribution am plitudes for which the ratio of the integrals is ' $5 \mathrm{~B}_{2}^{9}(\underset{F}{2})=18$. T he ratio m ay be large in particular in the backw ard hem isphere. Thus, at least for electroproduction of ${ }^{0} \mathrm{~m}$ esons the gg Fock com ponent should be taken into account for su ciently large $m$ om entum transfer. For the production of the $m$ eson it plays a $m$ inor role since


F IG. 8: R atio of ghon and avor-singlet quark am plitudes for wide-angle electroproduction of or ${ }^{0} \mathrm{~m}$ esons $\left({ }_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}=\right.$ $10 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ ). The shaded area indicates the range of predictions evaluated from $P^{1}\binom{2}{0}$ and $B_{2}^{9}\binom{2}{0}$ inside the allowed region according to F is
production is dom inated by the avor-octet contribution $\left(f^{1}=f^{8}=0: 16\right)$. N ote, how ever, that the norm alization of the $m$ eson electroproduction in both the regions, the deeply virtual and the w ide-angle one, is not well understood in the kinem atical region accessible to present day experim ents.

## B. Theg g P vertex

A reliable determ ination of the $g \mathrm{~g}{ }^{0}$ vertex is of m portance for the calculation of a num ber of decay proœesses such as B! ${ }^{0} \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{~B}$ ! ${ }^{0} \mathrm{X}$ s, or of the hadronic production process pp! ${ }^{0} \mathrm{X}$. The $\mathrm{q} \quad 0$ ctex has been calculated by two groups recently We reanalyze this vertex to leading-tw ist order using our set of conventions. This w ill allow us to exam ine the previous calculations, and provide predictions for $P \mathrm{~g}$ tmnsition form factor using the $G$ egenbauer coe cients in the distribution am plitudes.

W e de ne the ghonic vertex in analogy to the electro$m$ agnetic one, see as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a b=i F_{P g} \overline{\left.\bar{Q}^{2} ;!\right)} \mathrm{ab} \quad \text { qi } q \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ denote the $m$ om enta of the ghons now and $a$ and $b$ label the color of the ghon. It is evident that the transition to a colorlessm eson requires the sam e color of both the gluons. W e consider space-like ghon virtualities for sim plicity; the generalization to the case of tim e-like ghons is straightforw ard. W e introduce an average virtuality and an asym $m$ etry param eter by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{Q}^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(q_{1}^{2}+q_{2}^{2}\right) ; \quad!=\frac{q_{1}^{2}}{q_{1}^{2}+q_{2}^{2}}: \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values of! range from 1 to 1 , but due to Bose sym $m$ etry the transition form factor is sym $m$ etric in this variable: $\left.F_{P g} \bar{Q}^{2} ;!\right)=F_{P g} \bar{Q}^{2} ; \quad$ ).


FIG. 9: R elevant low est order Feynm an diagram s for the g g ! $\mathrm{q} \overline{\mathrm{q}}$ (a) and g g ! $g \mathrm{~g}$ subprocess (b).

T he calculation of the transition form factor to leading tw ist accuracy and low est order in s parallels that of the $m$ eson-photon transition form factor which we presented in som e detail in Sec In contrast to the electrom agnetic case, how ever, already to the lowest order in $s$ the two partonic subprocesses $g \mathrm{~g}!\mathrm{qq}$ and g g ! $\mathrm{g} g$ contribute. T he relevant Feynm an diagram s are show $n$ in $F$ ig There are a few $m$ ore diagram swhich involve the triple and quadruple gluon vertioes. The contributions from these diagram s are separately zero whon ontmoted with either the $q \bar{q}$ or the gg projectors $T$ he follow ing result for the $\mathrm{P} g$ transition 10 m lactor can readily be obtained

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Pg}}\left.\overline{\mathrm{Q}}^{2} ;!\right)=4 \\
&{ }_{s}\binom{2}{\mathrm{R}} \frac{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{P}}^{1}}{\overline{\mathrm{Q}}^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{f}}}}{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}}}  \tag{5.13}\\
& \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{qq}}(!)+\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}}{2 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{f}}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{gg}}(!)+\mathrm{O}\binom{2}{\mathrm{~s}} ;
\end{align*}
$$

where
$T$ here is no contribution from the $\mathrm{q} \overline{\mathrm{q}}_{8}$ com ponent to this vertex.

Inserting the $G$ egenbauer expansions the integrals can hoperform ed analytically term by term analogously to resulting in the expansions

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{q \bar{q}}(!)=C_{0}(!)+C_{2}(!) B_{2}^{1}\binom{2}{F}+; \\
& A_{g g}(!)=g_{2}(!) B_{2}^{g}\binom{2}{F}+ \tag{5.15}
\end{align*}
$$



F IG. 10: Functions $\mathrm{C}_{0}, \mathrm{C}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{g}_{2}$, de ned in Eq. ! .
where
$c_{0}(!)=\frac{3}{2!^{2}} 1 \quad \frac{1}{2!} 1 \quad!^{2} \ln \frac{1+!}{1!} ;$
$C_{2}(!)=\frac{3}{4!^{4}} 15 \quad 13!^{2} \quad \frac{3}{2!} 5 \quad 6!^{2}+!^{4} \ln \frac{1+!}{1} ;$
$g_{2}(!)=\frac{5}{12!^{4}} 3 \quad 2!^{2} \quad \frac{3}{2!} 1 \quad!^{2} \ln \frac{1+!}{1!}:$

The behaviour of finctions $c_{0}(!), c_{2}(!)$, and $g_{2}(!)$ is ilhucmtod in $F$ ig Exam ining the function $C_{2}(!)$ and Eq. one notree that the form factors becom e increasingly less sensitive to the coe cients B ${ }_{2}^{1}(\underset{F}{2}) w$ ith decreasing j! j. This be $\quad$....iour is characteristic of all functions $c_{n}(!)(n>0) \quad O n$ the other hand, the functions $c_{0}(!)$ and $g_{2}(!)$ ao not depend so drastically on ! and they are non-zero at ! $=0$. O ne can easily show that all $g_{n}(!)$, for $n>0$ and even, possess this property.

Let us discuss tw o interesting lim iting cases. For ! 1, i.e., for $q_{1}^{2} q^{2}$, the form factors behave as

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Pg}} \overline{\mathrm{Q}}^{2} ;!\right)= & \frac{4}{\mathrm{P} \frac{\mathrm{~s}\binom{2}{\mathrm{R}}}{3 \bar{Q}^{2}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{P}}^{1} \quad 1 \quad \frac{1}{12} \mathrm{~B}_{2}^{\mathrm{g}}\binom{2}{\mathrm{~F}}} \\
& +\frac{1}{5}!^{2} 1+\frac{12}{7} B \frac{1}{2}_{\binom{2}{\mathrm{~F}}} \frac{5}{28} \mathrm{~B}_{2}^{\mathrm{g}}\binom{2}{\mathrm{~F}} \\
& +\mathrm{O}\left(!^{4} ; \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{s}}^{2}\right): \tag{5.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the lim iting value for ! ! 0 is sensitive to the form of the ghon distribution am plitude while it does not depend on the G egenbauer coe cients of the quark one. This is to be contrasted $\mathrm{V}^{\text {in the } \mathrm{P} \text { transition }}$ form factor which, according to is independent of both the quark and the ghonic Gegenbauer coe cients in the lim it! ! 0 .

For ! ! 1, i.e., in the lim it where one of the gluons
goes on-shell, the P g transition form factor becom es

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{P g}\left(Q^{2} ;!=1\right)= \frac{\left.4^{P} \overline{3}{ }_{s}\binom{2}{R}\right)}{Q^{2}} f_{P}^{1} \\
& 1+B_{2}^{1}\binom{2}{F} \frac{5}{36} B_{2}^{g}\binom{2}{F} \\
&+O\binom{2}{\mathrm{~s}} ;
\end{align*}
$$

whom $0^{2}=q^{2}\left(G^{2}\right)$ as in the electrom agnetic case. In Fif edisplay ourpredictions for the scaled ${ }^{0} g$ transition lorm factor evaluated from the distribution am plitudes determ ined in Sec choosing ${ }_{F}^{2}={ }_{R}^{2}=\bar{Q}^{2}$. $G$ İven the large di omnce $\mathbb{I n}$ the $m$ agnitude of $B \frac{1}{2}\binom{2}{0}$ and $B_{2}^{g}\binom{2}{0}$, see we observe a strong sensitivity of the P g transition 10m factors on the ghon distribution am plitude in contrast to the electrom agnetic case. $D$ ue to the badly determ ined coe cient $B{ }_{2}^{g}$ the uncertainties in the predictions for $\mathrm{F}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{g}$ are large Rocause of the sm allness of the $m$ ixing angle 1 , see and the $g$ transition form factor is mucn smaller then the ${ }^{0} g$ one. The ratio of the two form factors, $\left.\left.\mathrm{F} g \overline{\mathrm{Q}}^{2} ;!\right)=\mathrm{F} \mathrm{og}_{\mathrm{g}} \overline{\mathrm{Q}}^{2} ;!\right)$ is given by $\tan 1$. This result o ers a h o m easure the angle 1 as has been pointed out in

Let us com pare our results for the 0 nsition form factors w ith those presented in R efs $\quad$ F inst we re$m$ ark that there is perfect agreem ent Ior the contribution from them eson's $\bar{q}_{1}$ com ponent. A $s$ for the contribution from the $q^{1} \quad$ mponent we di ef factor $1=\left(2 n_{f}\right)$ from Refs $\quad$ Furthem ore, in there is an additional factor OI! m ultiplying the gluonic tem rendering it antisym $m$ etric in ! in con ict $w$ ith B ose sym $m$ etry. W e suspe ${ }^{\cdots}$ at a ghonic projector $\quad$ " $q_{1} q_{2}=\bar{Q}^{2}$ is used in which tums into ! "? in a fram e where the m-onn marroc-long the 3-direction. This is in con ict w ith except at $!=1$.
T he orngn of the $m$. ctor $1=\left(2 n_{f}\right)$ is not easy to discoversince in Refs the form of the gluonic projector is $r$ ed. G IVen the anom alous dim onsions quoted in which are the sam e as in this incrim inated ractor cannot be assigned to a particular nom alization ofthe ghonic pro jector, must be applied. O $n$ the other hand, using $=1=\left(\begin{array}{ll}Z & \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}}\end{array}\right)$ as the norm alization of the gluonic pr ....the results for the transition form factors given in $W^{-n^{-1}}$ be correct (ignoring the problem w ith the ractor! in , provided tho corresponding anom alous dim ensions are applied, see and they di er from the ones quoted in these papers. H ence, the quoted anom alous dim ensions and the

[^3]

F IG. 11: Predictions for the ${ }^{0} g$ transition form factor as a function of! for two values of $\overline{\mathrm{Q}}^{2}$. The shaded areas indicate the range of predictions evaluated from $\mathrm{P}^{1}\binom{2}{0}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{2}^{9}\binom{2}{0}$ in side the allow ed region according to $F$ ig
result for the ghon part of the hard-scattering am plitude seem not to in agreem ent.

In Ref. the leading term of the expansi it therefore disagrees $w$ ith our result.

## VI. SUM M ARY

In this work we have investigated the two-ghon Fock com ponents of the and ${ }^{0} \mathrm{~m}$ esons to leading-tw ist accuracy. Since the interml over the gluon distribution am plitude is zero, see there is no natural norm alization of it in contrast to the case of the $q \bar{q}$ distribution am plitudes. A ny choice of th is norm alization goes along $w$ ith corresponding norm alizations of the anom alous di$m$ ensions and the projector of a tw o-ghon state onto a pseudoscalar $m$ eson. W e have set up a consistent set of conventions for the three quantities which is im perative for leading-tw ist calculations of hard exclusive reactions involving and/or ${ }^{0} \mathrm{~m}$ esons. W e have also com pared this set $w$ ith other conventions to be found in the literature.

A san application of the tw o-ghon com ponentsw e have calculated the avor-singlet part of the and 0 transition form factors to NLO in $s$ and explicitly shown the cancellation of the collinear singularities present in the hard scattering am plitude w the the U one occurring in the unrenorm alized distribution am plitudes. A ssum ing particle independence of the distribution am plitudes, we have em ployed the results for the tran factors in an analysis of the available data and deter$m$ ined the Gegenbauer coe cients to oraer $n=2$ for the three rem aining distribution am plitudes, the avor octet, singlet and gluon one. The num erical results for the distribution am plitudes quoted for $=\overline{n_{f}=C_{F}}$ are in agree $w$ ith the quark avor $m$ ixing schem e proposed in

The value for the low est order ghonic G egenbauer coe cient is sub ject to a rather large error since the contributions from the two-gluon Fock com ponents to the transition form factors are suppressed by $s$ as com pared to the $q \bar{q}$ contributions. $T$ his suppression does not necessarily occur in other hard exclusive reactions; exam ples of such reactions, discussed by us brie y, are deeply virtual and wide-angle electroproduction of or ${ }^{0} \mathrm{~m}$ esons as wellas the $g \mathrm{~g} \quad\left({ }^{0}\right)$ vertex. T he latter tw o reactions, as it has tumed out, are actually quite sensitive to the two-gluon com ponents and future data for them should allow to pin dow $n$ the ghon distribution am plitude $m$ ore precisely than it is possible from the transition form factor data. O ther hard exclusive reactions $w$ hich $m$ ay be of relevance to our o for in the decays oJ ! $;^{0}$ or $\mathrm{B}!{ }^{(0)} \mathrm{K}{ }^{(1)} \quad$ Last not least we would like to mention that the two-gluon com ponent - ther avor-neutralm esons or even those of gheballs can be studied in fullanalogy to the - 0 case.
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APPENDIX A:DEFINITIONSOFMESON STATESAND D ISTRIBUTION AMPLITUDES

The avor content of the neutral pseudoscalar $m$ eson states we are interested in, is taken into account by

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0: P^{1} \text { (uu dd) } \quad!\quad C_{3}=\frac{P_{\overline{2}}^{1}}{\overline{2}} \text {; } \\
& q \bar{q}_{8}: P_{\overline{6}}^{1}(u u+d d \quad 2 s s) \quad!\quad C_{8}=\frac{1}{\overline{2}} 8 ;  \tag{A1}\\
& q \bar{q}_{1}: p_{\overline{3}}^{1}(u u+d d+s s) \quad!\quad C_{1}=\frac{1}{p \overline{n_{f}}} 1_{f} ;
\end{align*}
$$

where $i$ are the usual $S U$ (3) GellM ann $m$ atrices and 1 is the 3 unit matrix مr the avor-singlet state, we use the general notation in which the avor content is expressed in term $s$ of $n_{f}$ which denotes the num ber of avors contained in $q \bar{q}_{1}$ ( $n_{f}=3$ in our case). This
sim pli es the com parison $w$ ith the results for kemels to be found in tha litamture.

As usua: we de ne the distribution am plitudes in a rram e w nere the $m$ eson $m$ oves along the 3direction. Neglecting the $m$ eson's $m$ ass its $m$ om entum reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}=\left[\mathrm{p}^{+} ; 0 ; 0_{?}\right] ; \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we use light-cone coordinates $v=\left[\mathrm{v}^{+}\right.$; v ; v ? ] w th $v=\left(v^{0} \quad v^{3}\right)=\overline{2}$ for any four-vector $v^{5}$. We also introduce a light-like vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}=\left[0 ; 1 ; 0_{?}\right]: \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which de nes the plus com ponent of a vector, $\mathrm{v}^{+}=\mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{v}$. The constituents of the $m$ eson, quarks or gluons, carry the fractionsu and 1 u of the light-cone plus com ponents of the $m$ eson's $m$ om entum .

The distribution am plitudes are de ned by Fourier transform $s$ of hadronic $m$ atrix elem ents

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.P_{i}(u)=\frac{f_{P}^{P_{P}^{i}}}{2-\frac{N_{i}}{2 N_{c}}} P_{i}\right) \\
& =\quad i \frac{d z}{2} e^{i(u \quad(1) u)) p z \quad 0-(z) C_{i} P^{\text {fi }} \overline{2 N_{c}}} \quad \text { (z) } \quad P \text { (p) ; } \tag{A4}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& P \mathrm{Pg}(\mathrm{u})=\frac{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{P}}^{1}}{22^{2 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{C}}}} \mathrm{Pg}(\mathrm{u}) \tag{A5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $z=[0 ; z ; 0 ?]$.

Here, denotes a quark eld operator, G the ghron eld strength tensor, and $\mathcal{G}$ its dual

The quark and ghon operators in Eqs. are understood as color sum $m$ ed. T he path-oraered factor

$$
=\exp \quad \mathrm{ig}_{1}^{Z_{1}} \operatorname{dsA}(\mathrm{zs}) \quad \mathrm{z} \text {; }
$$

where $A$ is the gluon eld, renders $P$ i and sauge invariant. The distribution am plitudes in resent either the unrenorm alized ones ( $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i} \text { igg }}(\mathrm{U})$ ) If dened in term s of unrenorm alized quark or gluonic com posite operators or the renom alized one. In the latter case the distribution amplitudes are scale dependent ( $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{g}}\left(\mathrm{u} ;{ }^{2}\right)$ ). The distribution am plitudes de ned 5

[^4]above satisfy the sym $m$ etry relations

and
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { D E } \\
& n \mathrm{n} \quad 0 \mathrm{G} \quad(\mathrm{z}) \quad \mathcal{G} \quad(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{P} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n & \left.\left.p^{2}\right)^{p} \frac{C_{F}}{C_{P}} f_{P}^{1} d u e^{i(2 u} 1\right) p z \\
0 g(u):
\end{array}\right. \tag{A10}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

The projection of a collinear qq state onto a pseudoscalarm eson state is achieved by replacing the quark and antiquark minors (norm alized as $u^{y}(p ;) u\left(p ;{ }^{0}\right)=$ ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad \mathrm{p} \quad$ o) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{i ; \mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{kl}}^{\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{rs}} P \frac{\mathrm{kl}}{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}}} \frac{56}{P^{2}} \tag{A11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( $r, k$ ) and ( $s, l$ ) represent $D$ irac (avor, color) labels of the quark and antiquark, manontively. W hen calculating am plitudes, the pro jector leads to traces. The projector holds for both incom ing and outgoing states and corresponds to tho de nition of the the quark distribution am plitudes . It is to be used in calculations of hard-scattering am plitudes which are to be convoluted w ith $f_{P}^{i}=\left(2 \overline{2 N_{c}}\right)$ i i subsequently.

The form of the projection of a gg state on a pseudoscalar state $w$ th $m$ om entum $p$ can be deduced by noting that the helicity zero com bination oft mersalgluon polarization vectors can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (up; ) ((1 u)p; ) (up; ) ( (1 u)p; ) } \\
& =\text { isign ( ) "? } \tag{A12}
\end{align*}
$$

where ${ }^{12}=\quad{ }_{?}^{\mathrm{R1}}=1$ while all other com ponents of the transverse polarization tensor are zero. It can be expressed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
"_{?}=" \quad \frac{n p}{n}: \tag{A13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Instead of $n$ any other four vector can be used in that has a non-zero $m$ inus and a vanishing transverse com ponent. The projector of an state of two incom ing collinear ghoons of color a and b and Lorentz indices and , associated w th the $m$ om entum fractions $u$ and (1 u), respectively, onto a pseudoscalar m eson state reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{g} ; a b=\frac{i}{2} p \frac{a b}{N_{C}^{2}} 1 \frac{"_{?}}{u(1 u)}: \tag{A14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The com plex conjugated expression is to be taken for an outcom ing gg state. The pro jector is fo be used along w ith the distribution amplitude $\left.\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{P}}^{\mathrm{i}}=(2 \overline{2 N})_{\mathrm{C}}\right) \mathrm{Pg}$. T he additional factor $\left.\left[\begin{array}{ll}(1 & u\end{array}\right)\right]^{1}$ appearing as part of the projector, is a consequence of the fact that in perturbative calculations of reactions involving tw o-ghon Fock components, the potential A of the gluon eld occurs, while the gluon distribution am plitude is-do nod in term $s$ of the ghon eld strength operator, see . The convancion from a matrix elem ent of $e^{7-1}$ th tensors to one of potentials is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 A \quad(z) A(z) P \\
& =\frac{1}{4} "_{?} \quad \mathrm{P} \bar{C}_{F} f_{P}^{1} Z_{0}^{1} d u e^{i(2 u 1) p z} \frac{P g(u)}{u(1 \quad u)}: \tag{A15}
\end{align*}
$$

The gluonic projector is obtained (up to the factor $[u(1 \quad u)]^{1}$ explained above) by the coupling of two
collinear ghons into a colorless pseudoscalar state. In the context ofm ixing under evolution another nom alization of it appears to be $m$ ore appropriate, see . This norm alization is accom panied by corresponolng changes in the gluon distribution am plitude Pg and the anom alous dim ensions, as is discussed in detail in Sec. II.

For Levi-C ivita tensor we use the convention

$$
\begin{equation*}
"^{0123}=1 ; \tag{A16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Tr } 5 \quad=4 i " \tag{A17}
\end{equation*}
$$

(with $\left.5=i^{0} 1 \begin{array}{llll} & 1 & 2\end{array}\right)$.

## APPEND IX B:THEP TRANSITION FORM FACTOR - <br> DETAILSOFTHECALCULATION

In this appendix, we provide som e details of the calculation of the evolution kemels and the hard scattering am plitude for the avor-singlet contribution to the P transition form factor. These quantities can $\quad$ inci-- be taken from the literature (see, e.g. and
) but the conventions and notations di er. How ever, since it is im perative to use a consistent set of conventions for the hard scattering am plitude and the distribution am plitudes, ...ecalculate them. In doing so we follow closely $R$ ef. D im ensional regularization in $D=4 \quad 2$ dim ensions is used to regularize $U V$ and collinear singularities which appea en calculating the one-loop diagram s. A ccording to the 5 problem, i.e, the am bigulty which enters the calculation due to the presence of one 5 m atrix and the use ofdim ensional regularization $m$ ethod, is resolved by $m$ atching the results for the hard-scattering part $w$ th the results for the perturbatively calculable part of the distribution am plitude, since the physical form factor is free of am biguity. We em ploy the MS coup. constant renorm alization along the same lines as in We note in passing, that as long as the singulariines are not fully rem oved from the am plitudes, the follow ing relations are to be used for the change of the scale of the coupling constant

$$
\begin{equation*}
s\left({ }^{2}\right)=\frac{{ }_{R}^{2}}{2} \quad s\binom{2}{R} 1+O(s) \tag{B1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{s}\left({ }^{2}\right) ;\right)=\frac{2}{@^{2}} \mathrm{~s}\left({ }^{2}\right)=\mathrm{s}^{\left({ }^{2}\right)} \frac{\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{s}}\left({ }^{2}\right)}{4} 0: \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^5]

FIG.12: Sam ple N LO Feynm an diagram s contributing to the ! $\bar{q} \bar{q}$ am plitude.


FIG.13: D istinct one-loop Feynm an diagrams contributing to the
! gg am plitude. O ther contributing diagram s are obtained from these by reversing the direction of the ferm ion ow in the loops.

The usual renorm alization group coe cient is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\frac{11}{3} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}} \quad \frac{2}{3} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{f}}: \tag{B3}
\end{equation*}
$$

> 1. A m plitudes

The am plitude ! $\bar{q} q$ denoted by $T_{q \bar{q}}$ (exam plecof contributing Feynm an diagram s are denicted in $F$ iq has the structure already quoted in
where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{qq}}^{(0)}(\mathrm{u})=\frac{1}{1 \mathrm{u}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{u}} ; \\
& \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{qq}}^{(1)}(\mathrm{u})=-_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{col;qq}(\mathrm{u})+\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{qq}}^{(1)}(\mathrm{u}): \tag{B4}
\end{align*}
$$

The functions A read
$A_{c o l ; q \bar{q}}^{(1)}(u)=\frac{1}{1 \quad u} 3+2 \ln (1 \quad u)^{i}+\left(\begin{array}{lll}u! & u\end{array}\right)$;

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{q \bar{q}}^{(1)}(u)= & \frac{1}{1 u u} \quad 9 \quad \frac{1 \quad u}{u} \ln \left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & u
\end{array}\right)+\ln ^{2}(1 \\
& +\left(\begin{array}{lll}
u! & 1 & u
\end{array}\right):
\end{align*}
$$

(B5)
In obtaining the above results the pro jector is em -
ployed. The results for the avor-o
di er only in the avor factors (see and sinceres
Next, we calculate the am plitude $I_{\text {gg }}$ and the subprocess ! gg. The annmnriate gluonic projector is the com plex conjugate of . For the case of the transition form factor we can wour in a B reit fram ew here the m om entum of the mal nhoton, $q_{2}$, is proportional to the vector $n$ from $E q$. and can therefore be em ployed

There are 6 one-loop diagram s that contribute to this subprocess am plitude. T hree renmeantative diagram $s(G 1, G 2, G 3$ ) are show $n$ in $F$ ig $\quad T$ he other three reduce to the rst three ones by reversing the direction of the ferm ion ow in the loop. M oreover, it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{G} 2}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{G} 1}(\mathrm{u}!1 \quad \mathrm{u}): \tag{B6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, one has only to calculate the contributions from the diagram s G 1 and G 3 .

The com plete unrenorm alized N LO contribution is the sum of individualcontributions in which, expectedly, the UV singularities cancel. The hard-scattering am plitude $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{gg}}$ has the structure quoted in $\quad$ where $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{gg}}{ }^{(1)}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{gg}}^{(1)}(\mathrm{u})=\underline{-}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{Col} ; \mathrm{gg}}(\mathrm{u})+\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{gg}}^{(1)}(\mathrm{u}): \tag{B7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functions A read ${ }^{7}$
A ${ }_{\text {coligg }}^{(1)}$
$(u)=2 \frac{1}{u^{2}} \ln (1$
u)
(u! 1
u);

$$
\begin{align*}
A \underset{g g}{(1)}(u)= & \frac{2}{u(1 \quad u)} 3 \frac{2}{u} \ln (1 \quad u) \\
& +\frac{1}{2 u} \ln ^{2}(1 \quad u) \quad(u!  \tag{B8}\\
1 & u):
\end{align*}
$$

[^6]

D1


D2

FIG. 14: LO Feynm an diagrams that contribute to _ ${ }_{\text {qg }}$. The crossed circle denotes the vertex of $h 0$ ј ( $z$ ) $\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{5}={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{C}}}$ (z).

## 2. K ernels

 the renom alization $m$ atrix $Z$, we utilize the $m$ ethod proposed the $m$ esonic state by its valence Fock com ponents which leads to

T b el le
W
lem ents of the $m$ atrix $\sim$ are de ned as in and w ith the replacem ent of $p$ i by $\dot{\varphi} \bar{q}_{1} i$ and $g g 1$. I hey are thus perturbatively calculable and determ ine the matrix Z.
$T$ he calculation of the $m$ atrix elem ent $Z_{\text {qq }}$ proceeds along t.om e lines as indicated for the avor-octet case in $R$ ef and the contributing diagram s are displayed there. I ne respective kemel $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{qq}}$ reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{q q}(u ; v)=2 C_{F} \quad \frac{u}{v} 1+\frac{1}{v, u} \quad(v \quad u) \\
& +\begin{array}{llll}
u! & 1 & u \\
v! & 1 & v
\end{array} \quad ; \tag{B10}
\end{align*}
$$

where the usual plus distribution is de ned as


This result also holds for the avor-octet case.
W e proceed to the evaluation of $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{qg}}$, or rather $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{qg}}$. A ccording to the de nition of the $q \bar{q}_{1}$ distribution am plitude, the $m$ atrix elem ent that is of interest here, is given by ( $\mathrm{z}=\left[0 ; \mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{O}_{\text {? }}\right]$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sim_{q g}(u)=\frac{Z}{2} e^{i(2 u \quad 1) p z} \\
& 0^{-}(z) C_{1} \xlongequal{\mathrm{~F}^{\mathrm{F}}} \underset{2 \mathrm{~N}_{c}}{ }  \tag{B12}\\
& \text { (z) } 9 g \text {; }
\end{align*}
$$

The relevant Feynm_an diagram sfor the calculation of ${ }_{q}{ }_{q}$ are depicted in $F$ ig T he $q \bar{q}$ vertex,, is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{P} \frac{1_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}}} \frac{\mathrm{~F}_{5}^{5}}{2} \frac{(\mathrm{an}}{2} \quad \mathrm{p} \quad \mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{k}\right) ; \tag{B13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $k$ represents the mom ontum of the quark entering the circle. T he vertex occurs also in the calculation of the ${ }_{\text {qq }}$ where the LO contribution is obtainod by contracting the vertex just w ith the $q \bar{q} p$ projector
and, henco one obtains $\sim_{q q}(u ; v)=(u \quad v)$ as it should be (sec

D ue to the presence of only one 5 m atrix, we are confronted $w$ ith the 5 problem, as in the calculation of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{qq}}$. W hen using the naive 5 scheme, in which the 5 m atrix retains its antioom muting properties in $D$ dim ensions, we obtain three di erent results depending on the position of 5 inside the trace:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sim_{q g ; D 1}(u ; v) \\
& =P \frac{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{f}} C_{F}} \frac{s}{4} \frac{(4)^{2}}{i} \quad 2^{Z} \frac{d^{D} 1}{(2)^{D}} \frac{1}{\left(1^{2}+i\right)^{2}} \\
& \left.\left.\frac{u}{v^{2}}(v \quad u) \quad \frac{(1}{(1} \quad u\right) \quad(u)^{2} \quad v\right) \\
& +\frac{2}{1}=2 \quad \frac{u}{v^{2}(1 \quad v)}(v \\
& \left.+\frac{(1}{} \quad \mathrm{u}\right) \quad(\mathrm{l} \quad \mathrm{v}) \quad \text {; } \tag{B14}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathrm{f} \quad(2 \mathrm{v} \quad 1) ; \quad 1 ; 1 \mathrm{~g}: \tag{B15}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he locn - ${ }^{+}$egralcan be w orked out analytically ${ }^{8}$ and we refer to for the result.

O ne can easily see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim_{q g ; D} 2(u ; v)=\quad \sim_{q g ; D 1}(u ; 1 \quad v) ; \tag{B16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and nally

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim_{q g}(u ; v)=\sim_{q g ; D 1}(u ; v) \quad \sim_{q g ; D 1}(u ; 1 \quad \text { v) : } \tag{B17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The kemel $V_{q g}$ is a residue of the $U V$ cinmilarity em bodied in the loop integral appearing in is related to the term multiplying the integral in Since the term proportional to is nite (
 does not contribute to $V_{q g}$. M oreover, since $\sim_{q g}$ being antisym $m$ etric under the replacem ent of $v$ by $1 \quad v$, is to he convoluted $w$ ith the $m$ atrix elem ent hgg $f$ i (see , which has the sam e sym m etry properties as the

[^7]full gluon distribution am plitude (see, one can replace $\sim_{q g}$ by $\sim_{q g}(u ; v)=2 \sim_{q g ; D 1}(u ; v)$ in order to obtain a $m$ ore com pact representation of the kemel
!)

$V_{\mathrm{qg}}(\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{v})=\quad 2_{2}^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}}} \frac{\mathrm{u}}{\mathrm{v}^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{v} & \mathrm{u}) & \mathrm{u}! & 1 & \mathrm{u} \\ \mathrm{v}! & 1 & \mathrm{v}\end{array}:\right.$
The set of LO evolution kemels is com pleted by

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{g q}(u ; v)=2^{p} \bar{n}_{f} C_{F}\left(\frac{u^{2}}{v}(v \quad u) \quad \begin{array}{lll}
u! & 1 & u \\
v! & 1 & v^{\prime}
\end{array} ;\right.  \tag{B18}\\
& \text { (B19) } \\
& \text { \# } \\
& V_{g g}(u ; v)=2 N_{c} \frac{u}{v} \frac{(v \quad u)}{v v_{j}^{u}}+\frac{2 u \quad 1}{v}(v \\
& +\begin{array}{lll}
u! & u^{2} \\
v! & 1 & v
\end{array} \quad\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { v }
\end{array}\right): \tag{B20}
\end{align*}
$$

Since, except of the norm alization, there is gen ree$m$ ent in the literature on these kemels, see e.g
we quote them w ithout giving any detailof their calculation. $F$ inally, we com m ent on an altemative de nition of the ghon distribution am plitude which one occasionally encounters in the literature. In that de nition the factor
un (1 $\quad]^{1} \begin{aligned} & \text { is included in } \\ & \text { tor } \\ & m \text { ultipled by } u\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & u\end{array}\right) \text {, while the kemels take the form }\end{aligned}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{qg}}!\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{qg}} \mathrm{v}(1 \quad \mathrm{v}) ; \quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{gq}}!\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{gq}}}{\mathrm{u}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \mathrm{u}
\end{array}\right)} \text {; } \\
\left.\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{gg}}!\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{gg}} \frac{\mathrm{v}(1}{\mathrm{u}(1} \mathrm{v}\right)  \tag{B21}\\
\mathrm{u}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \mathrm{u}
\end{array}\right.
\end{gather*}
$$

The result for the transition form factor, as for any other physical quantity, is, obviously, invariant under the rede nition of the gluon distribution am plitude.

APPENDIX C:SOM E PROPERTIES OF THE EVOLUTION KERNEL

Tt iconcor to verify that the evolution kemels
satisfy the sym $m$ etry relations
and satisfy the sym $m$ etry relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { v (1 v) } V_{q q}(u ; v)=u(1 \quad u) V_{q q}(v ; u) ; \\
& v^{2}(1 \quad v)^{2} V_{g g}(u ; v)=u^{2}(1 \quad u)^{2} V_{g g}(v ; u) ; \\
& v^{2}(1 \quad v)^{2} V_{q g}(u ; v)=u(1 \quad u) V_{g q}(v ; u):(C 1)
\end{aligned}
$$

$T$ he kemels $V_{i j}$, convoluted w th the weighted $G$ egenbauer polynom ials $C_{n}^{m}$ of order $m=3=2 ; 5=2$, result in

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{qq}}(\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{v}) & \mathrm{v}(1 & \mathrm{v}) \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{h}}^{3=2}(2 \mathrm{v} \\
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{qg}}(\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{v}) & \mathrm{v}^{2}(1 & \mathrm{v})^{2} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n} 1}^{5=2}(2 \mathrm{v} \\
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{gq}}(\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{v}) & \mathrm{v}(1 & \mathrm{v}) \\
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}^{3=2}(2 \mathrm{v}  \tag{C2}\\
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{gg}}(\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{v}) & \mathrm{v}^{2}(1 & \mathrm{v})^{2} C_{\mathrm{n}}^{5=2}(2 \mathrm{v}
\end{array}
$$

1) $={ }_{n}^{q q} u(1$
u) $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}^{3=2}(2 \mathrm{v} \quad 1)$;
2) $={ }_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{qg}} u(1$
u) $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}^{3=2}(2 \mathrm{v} \quad 1)$;
3) $={ }_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{gq}} u^{2}(1$
$u)^{2} C_{n-2}^{5=2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 \mathrm{v} & 1) \text {; }\end{array}\right.$
4) $={ }_{n}^{g g} u^{2}(1 \quad u)^{2} C_{n 1}^{5=2}(2 v \quad 1):$
$T$ he factors on the right hand side o G egenbauer polynom ials are the_annmalous dim ensions. The malts quoted for them in be read o from (for a detailed discussion sec . Finally, we montron unat the o-diagonal anom alous dim ensions in satisfy the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{{ }_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{qg}}}{\underset{\mathrm{nq}}{\text { gq }}}=\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{n}}^{5=2}}{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{n}}^{3=2}} ; \tag{C3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{n}}^{3=2}=\frac{(\mathrm{n}+1)(\mathrm{n}+2)}{4(2 \mathrm{n}+3)} ;  \tag{C4}\\
& \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{n} 1}^{5=2}=\frac{\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}+3)}{36} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{n}}^{3=2} ; \tag{C5}
\end{align*}
$$

represent the norm alization constants of the corresponding $G$ egenbauer polynom ials

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Throughout the paper we investigate only the LO behavior of the evolution kemels and corresponding anom alous dim ensions. Rarond loading order, the relations corresponding to and get modi ed due to $m$ ixing of - 5 rm al operators starting at N LO (see, for exam ple,
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~T}$ his should not be m ixed up w ith the usual singlet and octet basis frequently used for the description of $\quad{ }^{0} \mathrm{~m}$ ixing. O ur ansatz is com pletely general.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Since we are only interested in the $s$ term, we suppress the label 1 in the $m$ atrix elem ents of $V^{(1)}$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ A detailed discussion of the the role of the factorization scale resum $m$ ation of corresp onding logs is presented in $R$ efs.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ W e corrected a typo ir $\quad$ where only the case of ! = 1 has been dealt $w$ ith -the relative slyn betw een the ontributions from the two Feynm an diagram show in $F$ io should be $m$ inus. M oreover, in this work 0 hmdorf 's resure for the anom alous dim ensions are used...the are aw whe ney have the sam e norm alization as in

[^4]:    D i ere ventions for the light-cone com ponents are discussed in Ref

[^5]:    ${ }^{6}$ In R ef the N LO corrections to the deeply virtual C om pton am plituue $p!p$ have been calculated. In the lim iting case of zero skew ness the C om pton am plitude is related to our process by crossing.

[^6]:    

[^7]:    $8 \mathrm{~T} \quad$ atm ent of the integral in E q
    ir The crucial point is to retarr a anstinction betw een $\mathrm{U} V$
    arru collinear singularities.

