Role of quark-instanton liquid interactions in colour superconductivity phase

S.V.Molodtsov, G.M.Zinovjev^y

State Research Center, Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, 117259, Moscow, RUSSIA ^y Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics, National A cadem y of Sciences of Ukraine, UA-03143, Kiev, UKRAINE

Abstract

The interaction of light quarks and instanton liquid is analyzed at nite density of quark/baryon m atter and in the phase of nonzero values of diquark (colour) condensate. It is shown that instanton liquid perturbation produced by such an interaction results in an essential increase of the critical value of quark chem ical potential $_{\rm c}$ which provokes the perceptible increase of quark m atter density around the expected onset of the colour superconductivity phase.

PACS: 11.15 Kc, 12.38-Aw

The intriguing history of studying the structure of strongly interacting matter abounded in many interesting but somewhat contradictory phenom enological results up to the advent of quantum chromodynamics (QCD). Since the time of its discovery the possible existence of quark-gluon plasma (QGP) phase is one of the most fundamental predictions of QCD. This phase is phenomenologically understood as a matter state where quarks and gluons being under extreme conditions of high temperature (T) and (or) quark/baryon density (driven by the corresponding chemical potential) are able to propagate relatively freely over the considerable (of course, on the hadron scale) distances. Even the simplest quantitative estimates of QGP physical characteristics were so verisin ilar that they allowed to kunch the process of QGP experimental study in ultrarelativistic heavy ion collisions. And although the rst series of experiments at SPS CERN and AGS BNL have provided us with encouraging results a new generation of experiments is necessary to make convincing conclusions. The physical program me for new experimental research certainly needs serious quantitative analysis of the QCD phase diagram.

It seem ed lattice QCD could be able to resolve the problem starting even on the rst theoretical principles. However, up to now this approach was elective and apparently reliable in producing the resultsmainly around the temperature axis of the (|T)-plane and, unfortunately, relies on the eld theory formulation in in aginary time. Nevertheless, lattice QCD has corroborated the phenom enological expectations that its phase structure is quite rich [1]. In particular, now adays we know that the chiral symmetry of initial QCD Lagrangian becomes broken and the quarks are getting to be conned when the temperature decreases below a critical value. Moreover, the lattice calculations show us that both physical phenomena result from the corresponding phase transitions and their critical temperatures practically coincide. The latter observation indicates that both fundamental phenomena could be tightly knitted and it should heuristically play an important role to illuminate the connement mechanism.

The features of the QCD matter at high baryon densities remains poorly understood in spite of the recent splash of renewed activity [2]. One of the major theoretical reasons comes from serious technical di culties of lattice simulations with Monte-Carlo techniques due to the complex fem ion determ inant in QCD at nite quark densities. From the phenom enological side the recent experimental data are not very informative for developing the theory along this direction. It is clear that present and future experiments with heavy ions at higher and higher energies should produce the strong interacting matter rather at higher temperature and closer to the temperature axis of the (| T)-plane. In such a situation the phenom enological treatment of studying along the -axis should basically rely on the astrophysical observations of the compact stars. In the meantime, it was demonstrated that the diquark pairing in colour anti-triplet attractive channel induced by the instanton interaction vertex should be much more elective than an one-gluon exchange and at su ciently large quark/baryon densities and small T could lead to colour superconductivity and a sophisticated picture of the QCD phase diagram [2].

The present paper continues our preceding one [3] where the light quark interactions with the instanton liquid (IL) at nonzero values of quark/baryon chem ical potentials were investigated in the phase of broken chiral symmetry within the improved method of calculating the generating function of the IL theory [4]. The new treatment of the functional integral is mainly related to an idea t take into account the IL back-reaction upon the quark presence which was always considered negligible. In fact, this e ect is pretty weak and should manifest itself in the leading order of expansion in the e ective coupling. Nevertheless, it was qualitatively argued that the interaction of IL and quarks could increase the quark matter density around the onset of expected colour superconductivity. A s known [5], [6] this density occurred to be surprisingly sm all (n_q = 0.062 fm = ³) and, hence, the diquark phase would come to play already at the density of nuclearm atter (n_n ' 0.45 fm = ³ and quark m atter density is taken to be three times larger than the conventional nuclear one).

Here we obtain the quantitative estim ate of the corresponding critical chem ical potential _c analyzing the system of the G orkov equations for the colour superconductor which we further calculate. We do not introduce any simplication of the initial Lagrangian trying to deal with the 'exact' fourquark interaction which is generated by (anti-)instantons. Such an intention is simply compulsory because it is dictated by the precision of problem where the elects of the IL perturbation are expected to be of the same order of magnitude compared to the term swhich could usually be ignored.

Let us point out that in the IL approach [7] the generating functional has the following factorized form

$$Z = Z_q Z$$
:

Here the rst factor provides us with inform ation on the gluon condensate whereas the ferm ion factor Z serves to describe the quark practice in the instanton environment [5], [7]. In what follows we use the notations of Ref. [3] where the dimensionless variables (motivated by the form of interquark interaction kernel) were introduced, for example, for the dhem ical potential it boks like ! =2 and for the momenta $k_i = 2$! k_i ; i = 1; :::;4 where is the average size of pseudoparticle (PP). The quark determinant Z may be transformed with the auxiliary integration over the parameter to the following form (for the colour SU (3) group with the quarks of two avours N $_f = 2$):

$$Z = Z = (- \frac{1}{2} + \frac{$$

where $f_{f}^{T} = (f_{f}^{R}; f_{f}^{L}); f = 1;2$ are the quark elds with the spinors of denite chirality, $f_{f}^{L,R} = P_{f}; P = \frac{1}{2} f_{f}^{5}$, n is the L density, V_{4} is 4-volume which the system occupies, = (0;) and N is the normalizing factor. For clarity we take it to be equal a unity but, in principle, it could play a role of free model parameter. This factor is inessential for the models with the xed value of the packing fraction parameter n⁴ but in the model where it is admissible for variation the

weak logarithm ic dependence on N appears. The factors 2 in Eq. (1) come with making use of the dimensionless variables. The term of four-ferm ion quark interaction V may be directly expressed with the chiral components as

$$\begin{pmatrix} yL \\ f \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Z \\ f \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} dp_f dq_f \\ g \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} yL \\ f \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ f \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ f \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Z \\ f \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} dp_f dq_f \\ f \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} yL \\ f \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ f \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ f \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ f \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ f \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}$$

it being known that for the right hand elds the substitution L ! R should be performed. The kernels L $_{fi_{fi_{f}}}^{ij_{f}}$ are de ned by the functions h_{i} ; i = 1;:::;4 and the zero m odes (the solutions of the D irac equation with the chem ical potential in the PP eld)

$$h_4 (k_4;k;) = \frac{1}{4k} f(k = ik_4) [(2k_4 + i)f_1 + i(k = ik_4)f_2] + (k + ik_4) [(2k_4 + i)f_1^+ - i(k + ik_4)f_2^+]g;$$

$$h_{i}(k_{4};k;) = \frac{k_{i}}{4k^{2}} (2k) (k \quad ik_{4})f_{1} + (2k +)(k + + ik_{4})f_{1}^{+} + + 2(k) (k \quad ik_{4}) \quad \frac{1}{k}(+ ik_{4})[k_{4}^{2} + (p \quad)^{2}]f_{2} + + 2(k +)(k + + ik_{4}) + \frac{1}{k}(+ ik_{4})[k_{4}^{2} + (p + \)^{2}]f_{2}^{+};$$

where k = jk j if the spatial components of 4-vector k are considered and

$$f_{1} = \frac{I_{1}(z)K_{0}(z)}{z} + \frac{I_{0}(z)K_{1}(z)}{z}; f_{2} = \frac{I_{1}(z)K_{1}(z)}{z^{2}}; z = \frac{q}{2} \frac{q}{k_{4}^{2} + (k)^{2}};$$

with the modi ed Bessel functions I_i ; K_i (i = 0;1). Let us introduce the scalar function h (k_4 ; k;) related to three-dimensional component h_i (k_4 ; k;) = h (k_4 ; k;) $\frac{k_i}{k}$; i = 1;2;3 (we om it the arguments of functions h_i when it does not m islead).

$$L_{i}^{j}(p;q;) = S^{ik}(p;)^{kl}U_{l}U^{y}^{n}S_{nj}^{+}(q;);$$

S (p;) = (p + i) h⁺ (p;); S⁺ (p;) = h (p;) (p + i)⁺ where it is valid for the conjugated function h (p;) = h (p;) and is an antisymmetric matrix with $_{12} = _{21} = 1$. Here p and other similar designations are used for the four-vectors spanned by -matrices, = (i ;1); (is the three-vector of the Paulimatrices), for example, p = p and U is a matrix of rotations in the colour space. The similar relations are valid for the right hand components

$$\begin{pmatrix} yR \\ f \\ R_{f} \\ R_{f} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z \\ \frac{dp_{f} dq_{f}}{8} & yR \\ f_{f_{f_{f_{f_{f}}}}} \\ p_{f} \end{pmatrix} R_{f_{f_{f_{f}}}} \\ \begin{pmatrix} f \\ p_{f} \end{pmatrix} p_{f_{f}} \\ p_{f} \\ p_{f$$

with the kernel

$$R_{i}^{j}(p;q;) = T^{ik}(p;)^{kl}U_{l}U^{y}^{n}T_{nj}^{+}(q;);$$

where T (p;) = $(p + i)^+ h$ (p;); T⁺ (p;) = h^+ (p;) (p + i). The components of matrices (p + i) and h (p;) commute because the vector-function h (p) is spanned by the vector p only. Then the following identities are easily understood

$$T(p;) = S^{+}(p;); T^{+}(p;) = S(p;):$$

In what follows we om it the -dependence of matrices T; T^+ as the chemical potential enters the matrix T being always positive and the matrix T^+ being negative only. Besides, two other identities would also be helpful

$$_{2}T^{T}$$
 (p) $_{2} = T^{+}$ (p) ; $_{2}T^{+T}$ (p) $_{2} = T$ (p) ;

where T^T m eans a transposed m atrix.

Dealing with the following averages interesting to study the diquark condensates (1)

$$h_{1i}^{L,R}(p) = \frac{L,R}{2j}(q)i = \frac{4}{12} \qquad (p+q) F_{ij}^{L,R}(p);$$

$$h_{fi}^{L}(p) = \frac{V^{R}}{gj}(q)i = \frac{4}{12} \qquad (p-q) G_{ij}^{LR}(p);$$

and making use of the e ective action of Eq. (1) one may obtain the Gorkov equations similar to ones treated in Ref. [6]

where $G_0(p)^{i_1} = 2(p+i)$ means the free Green function, $R(p) = R^{T}(p)^{T}(p)$,

 $L(p) = LT^{+}(p)$ $T^{+T}(p)$ and L^{R} denotes a gap. The form of -m atrices results from the kernel structure of equations if averaging over colour orientations done (rem em ber that we consider colour stochastic ensemble). In order to complete Eqs. (2) we need the following gap equations

$${}^{R} = \frac{2}{N_{c}(N_{c} - 1)} {}^{Z} \frac{dq^{h}}{4} {}^{T}{}^{+}(q) {}^{R}{}^{R}(q) {}^{T}{}^{+}{}^{T}(q) {}^{T}{}^{+}(q) {}^{T}{}^{T}(q) {}^{T}{}^{+}(q) {}$$

Apparently, the right hand sides of these equations are proportional because they are the di erences a matrix and its transposed form. Sim ilar equations are valid for the conjugated matrices

$$F^{+L T}(p) \stackrel{h}{G}_{0}(p) \stackrel{i}{1} G^{RL T}(p) \stackrel{+R}{+}(p) = 0;$$

$$G^{RL}(p) \stackrel{h}{G}_{0}(p) \stackrel{i}{1} F^{R}(p) \stackrel{+R}{+}(p) = 1;$$

$$F^{+R T}(p) \stackrel{h}{G}_{0}^{+}(p) \stackrel{i}{0} G^{LR T}(p) \stackrel{+L}{+}(p) = 0;$$

$$G^{LR}(p) \stackrel{h}{G}_{0}^{+}(p) \stackrel{i}{0} F^{L}(p) \stackrel{+L}{+}(p) = 1;$$
(3)

with the corresponding gap equations

$${}^{+R} = \frac{2}{N_{c}(N_{c} - 1)} \sum_{a}^{Z} \frac{dq^{h}}{4} T^{T} (q) F^{+R} (q) T (q) T^{T} (q) F^{+R} (q) T (q)^{i};$$

$${}^{+L} = \frac{2}{N_{c}(N_{c} - 1)} \sum_{a}^{Z} \frac{dq^{h}}{4} T^{+T} (q) F^{+L} (q) T^{+} (q) T^{+T} (q) F^{+L} (q) T^{+} ($$

where ${}^{+R}(p) = {}^{+R}T{}^{+T}(p)$ $T{}^{+}(p)$ and ${}^{+L}(p) = {}^{+L}T{}^{T}(p)$ T(p). In this paper we lim it ourselves to treating the diquark condensate only. However, as known [6] the mixed phase of nonzero values both chiral and colour condensates could exist at _ 300 M eV realizing the transitional regime for the onset of colour superconducting phase. In order to bring this phase to the play the equation system should be extended including another averages as

$$h_{f_{i}}^{L,R}(p) \stackrel{YL,R}{g_{j}}(q) i = f_{g} \qquad {}^{4} (p q) G_{ij}^{LL,RR}(p):$$

From the Eqs. (2) and (3) we nd

$$G^{LR}(p) = G^{+}_{0}(p) + G^{+}_{0}(p) \quad {}^{R}(p) F^{+R}(p);$$

$$F^{+R}(p) = G^{LR}(p) \quad {}^{+L}(p) G^{+}_{0}(p):$$

W ith the auxiliary matrices $C^{+L}(p) = {}^{+L}T^{+}(p)T(p), C^{R}(p) = {}^{R}T(p)T^{+}(p)$ we may rewrite the matrices as

$$^{+L}(p) = C^{+L}(p); \quad ^{R}(p) = C^{R}(p) :$$

The identities for T-m atrix m entioned above help to show the validity of the relations

$$C^{+L T}$$
 (p) $^{T} = C^{+L}$ (p) ;
 $C^{R T}$ (p) $^{T} = C^{R}$ (p) :

And for the G reen function we have

$$G^{LR}(p) = G^{+}_{0}(p) + G^{+}_{0}(p) C^{R}(p) \quad G^{LR}(p) \quad C^{+L}(p) \quad G^{+L}_{0}(p) G^{+}_{0}(p) :$$

Combining the properties of C matrices together with the identities for free G reen functions

$$_{2} G_{0}^{T} (p) _{2} = G_{0} (p);$$

we are able to get the complete equation for calculating the function G^{LR} in the form

$$G^{LR}$$
 (p) $^{T} = G_{0}$ (p) + G_{0} (p) C^{+L} (p) G^{LR} (p) C^{R} (p) G_{0} (p)

The vector-function h (p) being spanned by the vector p helps to conclude that all the m atrices G₀, C^{+L}, C^R commute with each other. Then searching the solution for the Green function G^{LR} by iterating one nds immediately that the Green function commutes with those matrices and nally obtains the equation to calculate it in the form

$$G^{LR}(p) = [1 + H(p)]G_0^+(p) + H^2(p)G^{LR}(p);$$

or

$$[1 \quad H (p)]G^{LR}(p) = G^{+}_{0}(p);$$

where H (p) = G_0^+ (p) C^R (p) G_0 (p) C^{+L} (p).

The structure of matrices H (p) allows us to conclude that their sum

$$(q) = (q) H + (q) H$$

and product

are proportional to the unity matrices (it is clear by de nition that (p) = (p); (p) = (p)).

Introducing notation g = h (p) we are able to present the functions (p) and (p) in the compact form as

$$(p) = 4 \stackrel{R}{\longrightarrow} (p) = 4 \stackrel{R}{\longrightarrow} (p) (hg) + 2 (p^2 + 2) (h^2) (g^2)];$$

where

$$A(p) = (p^2 + 2)(hg) 2i p(g_4h h_4g)$$
:

and a scalar product is naturally de ned $(hg) = \prod_{i=1}^{P} h_i g_i$ together with the functions (h^2) and (g^2) squared. G etting the last term of A (p) we used the designation of scalar function h m entioned above and for the function we have

$$(p) = 16 \qquad {}^{R^{2}} \qquad {}^{+L^{2}} \qquad p^{2} + {}^{2^{2}} (h^{2})^{2} (g^{2})^{2} :$$

Asboth functions and are spanned by the unit matrices the solution for the G reen function m ay be given in

$$G^{LR}(p) = \frac{G_0^+(p) [1 H(p)]}{1 (p) + (p)}$$
:

The gap equation then looks like

$${}^{\rm L} = \frac{2}{{}^{\rm N}{}_{\rm c} ({}^{\rm N}{}_{\rm c} - 1)} {}^{\rm Z} \frac{dp}{4} \frac{(p)}{{}^{+}{}^{\rm L} (1 - (p) + (p))} :$$
(4)

We are interested in the solution of the form $R = L^{+L} = L^{-R} = L^{+R}$ at < 0, which is dictated by the symmetries of four-quark interaction kernels. Let us remember that any kernel for every quark sort traditionally carries the imaginary unit factor i [7], [8]. The sign choice of relies on this fact but, in principle, there is an alternative R = L = L $^{+R}$ for > 0, if the kernels are rede ned. An analysis shows that the denom inator of Eq. (4) is always positive and, therefore, the solution of this equation does exist at pretty large .

The quark matter state at nite chemical potential is de ned by the saddle point of functional Eq. (1) which we treat further maintaining the rst nonvanishing contribution which is the gure-eight type diagram (see, for example, [5]),

$$I = 2 (N_{c} 1)^{2} \frac{dp}{4} \frac{(p) 2 (p)}{1 (p) + (p)} :$$

 e^{W}) would occur to be In our consideration this contribution to the generating functional (Z

$$W = n^{4} \ln + hYi; hYi' I:$$
 (5)

For the simplest situation of constant IL density the saddle point equation reads

$$n^4$$
 hY i = 0:

Comparing it with the gap equation Eq. (4) one could notice the peculiar feature which is very practical to keep num erical calculations under control. The saddle point equation leads to the condition of gap independent of .

It was dem onstrated in Refs. [4], [9] that the quark back-reaction upon IL could be perturbatively estim ated by studying the sm all variations of the IL parameters n and around their equilibrium values n and . Such variations could be incorporated by the IL theory if the deform able (crum pled) (anti-) instantons of size , being the function of x and z, i.e. ! (x;z), are treated as the saturating con gurations of the functional integral. Theoretically it is justified by developing the variational principle which makes use the Ritz method based on the multipole expansion of the deformation

elds in the following form

$$(x;z) = (z;z) + \frac{\theta}{\theta z} y + \frac{\theta^2}{\theta z \theta z} y y + \dots ; y = x z :$$
 (6)

Then it is obvious the singular nature of the pseudoparticle solution generates the necessary cut-o at the scale of average instanton size.

In principle, the instanton orientation in the colour space should be treated in similar manner. However, it does not lead to noticeable consequences while in the random instanton medium . Although such a treatment turns out very informative if one considers the polarization characteristics of the IL when the probe colour charge is present.

In addition, the variations of zero modes in the interaction vertices of quark determ inant at the transformation ! + should be taken into account. Then as the output we have that for the long wave length excitations (for example, -m esons) the deform ation eld describes colour less

scalar excitations of IL with the mass gap M of the order of several hundreds M eV, M² = - where = $\frac{b}{2}\frac{4}{2}$, b = $\frac{11 N_c}{3}\frac{2 N_f}{3}$, is the kinetic coe cient being derived within the quasi-classical approach. N_c and N_f are the numbers of quark colours and avours, respectively. Our estimates give for this coe cient value of a few single instanton actions = 8²=g², i.e. c (with the factor c 1:5 | 6 according to the ansatz taken for the saturating con gurations) [9].

Then, besides the diagram s w ith four legs (see, the term V in (1)) the extra diagram s w ith the scalar eld attached (relatively speaking, the derivative in of the vertex function in which the variation of the functions describing the zero mode

$$h_i! h_i + \frac{\partial h_i}{\partial}$$
; $i = 1; ...; 4;$ (7)

should be performed) are generated. Due to the diquark condensate presence handling the Lagrangian is substantially simplied if one restricts oneself with the leading contributions coming from the tadpole diagrams. Indeed, the leading contributions come from the term V and the term shown in Fig. 1 where two vertices are linked with the propagator $\frac{1}{M^2}$ of scalar eld.



Figure 1: The diagram of tadpole approach (see, the text). The solid (dashed) lines correspond to the ferm ion (scalar) eld.

A nalyzing the modi ed Lagrangian it is easy to see that the form of the G orkov equations would be the same if everywhere is meant as an improved one + in which the correction is constructed with the modi ed functions Eq. (7). Moreover, the result for the G reen function is form ally the same if the modi ed functions are meant. In particular, the form of gap equation is also retained because its kernel includes again the di erences of the matrices which are constructed from the derivatives in of matrices T; T⁺ and their transposed form s. This di erence is spanned by the unit vector as well. However, the following substitutions

$$(p) ! (q) + (q);$$

$$(p) ! (q) + (q);$$

$$(p) = J \frac{0}{2};$$

$$(p) = J \frac{0}{2};$$

where

are supposed to be performed and here J is the contribution of gure-eight type diagram with the scalar eld propagator as an external leg

$$J = \frac{2}{N_{c}(N_{c} - 1)} \frac{1}{n^{-4}} \frac{1}{4M^{-2}} I :$$

Let us mention that e ectively a dependence on the kinetic term disappears (remember that $M^2 = -$) and its precise value is not operative in the approximation developed. The derivative of the gure-eight type diagram which will shortly be necessary to proceed looks like

$$\frac{\partial I}{\partial t} = 2 (N_{c} 1)^{Z} \frac{dp}{4} \left(\frac{1 (p)}{(1 (p) + (p))^{2}} (p) + \frac{(p) 2}{(1 (p) + (p))^{2}} (p) + \frac{(p) 2}{(1 (p) + (p))^{2}} (p) \right)$$

And nally the modi cation of generating functional should be accommodated bringing Eq. (5) to the form

$$W = n^{4} \ln \frac{n^{4}}{1} + hYi:$$

Then the new (if the variation of the IL density is absorbed) equation to calculate the saddle point reads

$$n^{4}$$
 $(n^{4})^{0} ln \frac{n^{4}}{jj}$ hY $i = 0$

and the IL density is [4]

n⁴ =
$$\frac{2}{2^2} + \frac{6}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1$$

where the prime available means the derivative in , the constant $^2 = \frac{27}{4} \frac{N_c}{N_c^2 - 1}^2$ is a measure of interaction in the stochastic ensemble of PPs with an average size as

= exp $\frac{2N_c}{2}$. The derivative in of the gure-eight type diagram looks like

$$\frac{1}{2} = 4 (N_{c} 1)^{-0} \frac{2}{4} \frac{dp}{4} \left(\frac{1+6++2}{(1+)^{3}} + \frac{4+7+4}{(1+)^{3}}\right)^{2}$$

and the derivative $\ ^{\circ}$ is dened by the following equation

$$\frac{N_{c}(N_{c} 1)}{2^{2}} = \frac{2^{0}}{3} \frac{2}{4} \frac{dp}{4} \frac{2}{(1 + 1)^{2}} \frac{2^{2}}{2} + \frac{2}{2} \frac{2}{4} \frac{2}{4} \frac{2}{(1 + 1)^{2}} \frac{2}{(1 + 1)^{2}}$$

Fig. 2 shows the results of calculating the parameter (which is proportional to the free energy within the precision of one loop approximation) as the function of them ical potential at N_c = 3;N_f = 2: The dashed lines expose a behaviour in the phase of nonzero values of diquark condensate (the left hand line (low er line) corresponds to the calculations if the quark interaction with IL is ignored) whereas the solid lines show the behaviours in the phase of broken chiral sym metry (upper line for the quark interaction with IL ignored) [3]. The saddle point parameter $_1$ of Ref. [3] and that exploited in the present paper are related as $_1^2 = \frac{n^4}{2(N_c - 1)N_c}$. The crossing points of the curves are xing the onset of colour superconducting phase. As mentioned above, actually, there is a transitional region of mixed phase where both chiral and diquark condensates develop nonzero magnitudes and the descent is not so steep. How ever, these details are inessential for further discussion. W hat ism ore interesting to be noticed com es from the crossing point of low er solid and dashed lines positioned on the plot.

The rst crossing point (along the -axis) which corresponds to the approach without the tadpole contributions included boks to be slightly larger in magnitude than that obtained earlier $_{\rm c}$ ' 300 M eV in Refs. [5], [6]. However, it does not signal about shifting the critical point to larger values of in the approach dealing with the initial Lagrangian. The L parameters of various approaches are



Figure 2: The saddle point as the function of chem ical potential at N_c = 3 and N_f = 2. The solid lines correspond to the phase of broken chiral sym m etry (the lower one absorbs the IL perturbation). The dashed lines correspond to the colour superconductivity phase (the left hand one does not include the tadpole contribution w hereas the right hand one does).



Figure 3: The quark density being de ned by the crossing point of the upper solid and left hand dashed lines in Fig. 2. The solid line corresponds to the stable phase and the dashed one corresponds to the m etastable one.

som etim es slightly di erent and, in principle, could be optim ized tting, for example, QCD. Thus, the shift of c value pointed out is well within a precision of the IL theory. Regarding the second crossing point (when IL is perturbed by quarks) the conclusion could be more indicative. Here c becomes signi cantly larger. The corresponding quark matter densities as the functions of them ical potential for both approaches are depicted in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4. Apparently, on both plots the density values corresponding to the onset of colour superconductivity phase are appreciably larger than the density of norm alnuclearm atter. Perhaps, our result could be taken as a general indication on the considerable role of supplementary (insigni cant on the instanton background) interactions of light quarks. They are able to change the estim ate of critical density for colour diquark condensation drastically.

Now we would like to comment on the crossing point of upper dashed and lower solid lines which is not shown in Fig. 2. It corresponds the unrealistic values of and in that region the IL is hardly applicable. One of the limits for IL approach coming from the very large values of chemical potential points out that with quark matter density increasing the average interquark distances may become



Figure 4: The quark density being de ned by the crossing point of the lower solid and left hand dashed lines in Fig. 2. The solid line corresponds to the stable phase and the dashed one corresponds to the metastable one.

very small and the 'C oulom b' (perturbative) eld strengths would occur to be comparable with the (anti-)instanton ones. Then the (anti-)instanton superposition is not a proper con guration to saturate the functional integral. Thus, our conclusion resulting from the approach developed gives a m essage that the quark perturbation of IL leads the corresponding curves to m ove apart inherent in the phases of nonzero values of diquark and chiral condensates in F ig. 2. The 'chiral' curve becom es steeper but 'diquark' curve is displaced to the larger values of increasing its critical value. It boks like giving m ore reliability to our understanding of the perturbative elds role in the IL theory could provide us with m ore accurate appraisals for the phenom ena considered.



Figure 5: The IL density for the approach at $N_c = 3$ and $N_f = 2$. The solid line corresponds to the calculation in the phase of broken chiral symmetry. Both dashed lines correspond to the calculation in the phase of nonzero diquark condensate values. The lower dashed line gives the estimate of IL density without the tadpole contributions included. Regarding the upper dashed line see the text.

Fig. 5 dem onstrates the IL density as the function of when the quark back-reaction is incorporated in the phase of broken chiral symmetry (solid curve) and in the phase of nonzero values of diquark condensate (dashed curve). It is interesting to notice that the curves manifest the di erent character of quark in uence on IL. In the phase of broken chiral symmetry the density is almost constant in the suitable interval of whereas in the colour superconducting phase quickly disappears. It is remarkable that for the form er the corresponding analogue of the tadpole contribution

Eq. (8) is strictly positive and, therefore, can lead to the increase of the L density (gluon condensate) which is truly insigni cant and demonstrates simply the approach sensitivity to the dynam ical quark mass variation [3]. In the latter case the sign of tadpole contribution is changing. As seen from Fig. 5 it leads to the drastic change of the L density behaviour and the gluon condensate is getting weaker. Strictly speaking this e ect has already been discussed in [10]. The authors are partly supported by ST CU # P015c CEPN-INTAS 2000-349 NATO 2000-PST CUC

in

The authors are partly supported by STCU # P015c, CERN-INTAS 2000-349, NATO 2000-PST CLG 977482 G rants.

References

- [1] F.Karsh, hep-lat/0103314.
- [2] K.Rajagopal, Nucl. Phys. A 651, 150 (1999);
 M.Alford, hep-ph/0110150.
- [3] S.V.Molodtsov, G.M.Zinovjev, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) B 106, 471 (2002).
- [4] S.V.Molodtsov, A.M. Snigirev, and G.M. Zinovjev, Phys. Atom. Nucl. 65, 229 (2002).
- [5] G W .Carter and D.I.D iakonov, Phys. Rew.D 60, 016004 (1999).
- [6] R.Rapp, T.Schafer, E.V.Shuryak, and M.Velkovsky, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 280, 35 (2000).
- [7] D. I.D iakonov and V.Yu.Petrov, Nucl. Phys. B 245, 259 (1984);
 D. I.D iakonov and V.Yu.Petrov, in Hadronic Matter under Extrem e Conditions, edited by V. Shelest and G.Zinovjev (Naukova Dum ka, Kiev, 1986) p. 192;
 D. I.D iakonov, V.Yu.Petrov, and P.V.Pobylitsa, Phys.Lett. B 226, 471 (1989);
 C.G.Callan, R.Dashen, and D.J.G ross, Phys. Rev. D 17, 2717 (1978);
 E.M. Ilgenfritz and M.Muller-Preussker, Nucl.Phys. B 184, 443 (1981);
 T.Schafer and E.V.Shuryak, Rev.M od.Phys. 70, 323 (1998).
- [8] D. I.D iakonov, M. V. Polyakov, and C. Weiss, Nucl. Phys. B 461, 539 (1996);
 D. I.D iakonov, hep-ph/9802298.
- [9] S.V.M olodtsov, A.M. Snigirev, and G.M. Zinovjev, Phys. Rev. D 60, 056006 (1999); S.V.M olodtsov, A.M. Snigirev, and G.M. Zinovjev, in Lattice Fermions and Structure of the Vacuum, edited by V.M itrjushkin and G.Schierholz, (K luwer A cadem ic Publisher, D ordrecht, The Netherlands 2000) p. 307; S.V.M olodtsov, A.M. Snigirev, and G.M. Zinovjev, Yad. Fiz. 63, 975 (2000).
- [10] N.O.Agasyan, D.Ebert, E.M. Ilgenfritz, Nucl. Phys. A 637 135, (1998);
 N.O.Agasyan, B.O.Kerbikov, V.I.Shevchenko, Phys. Rep. 320, 131 (1999);
 D.Ebert, V.V.Khudyakov, K.G.Klimenko, V.Ch.Zhukovsky, hep-ph/0106110;
 D.Ebert, V.V.Khudyakov, K.G.Klimenko, H.Toki, V.Ch.Zhukovsky, hep-ph/0108185.