A Supersymmetric Standard M odel of In ation with Extra D imensions

M .Bastero-G il^1 , V .D iC lem ente^{2y} and S.F.K ing ^{2z}

¹ Centre of Theoretical Physics, University of Sussex,

Falmer, Brighton, BN 1 9Q J, U K.

² Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, High eld, Southampton, SO 17 1BJ, UK.

Abstract

We embed the supersymmetric standard model of hybrid in ation based on the next-tominimal superpotential term $N H_u H_d$ supplemented by an in atom term N^2 , into an extra-dimensional framework, in which all the Higgs elds and singlets live in the bulk, while all the matter elds live on the brane. All the parameters of the elds ective 4d model can then be naturally understood in terms of a fundamental (\string") scale M 10^{13} G eV and a brane supersymmetry breaking scale 10^8 G eV, of the same order as the height of the in atom potential during in ation. In particular the very small Yukawa couplings

10¹⁰ necessary for the model to solve the strong CP problem and generate the correct e ective term after in ation, can be naturally understood in terms of volum e suppression factors. The brane scalar masses are naturally of order a TeV while the bulk in atom mass is naturally in the MeV range su cient to satisfy the slow roll constraints. Curvature perturbations are generated after in ation from the isocurvature perturbations of the supersymmetric Higgs as discussed in a companion paper.

January 27, 2022

E-m ail: mbg20@pact.cpes.susx.ac.uk

^yE-m ail: vicente@hep.phys.soton.ac.uk

^zE-m ail: sfk@hep.phys.soton.ac.uk

1 Introduction

A lthough in ation provides a solution to the atness and horizon problem s, and is also supported by mounting evidence from detailed studies of the CMB spectrum [1], its relation to particle physics remains obscure. Some time ago two of us (BGK) proposed a supersymmetric hybrid in ation model based on the next-to-minimal superpotential term NH_uH_d supplemented by N 2 [2]. The motivation for this model was to construct a realistic model of an in aton term in ation which was motivated by particle physics considerations. The idea was that, at the end of in ation, the singlet N would develop a vacuum expectation value (vev) of order 10^{13} GeV, breaking a Peccei-Quinn symmetry in the process and providing a solution to the strong CP problem, as well as providing an e extive origin for the Higgs mass term (term) at the TeV scale. Unfortunately the BGK model appears to su er from a number of naturalness problem s. The rst problem is that in order to generate a TeV scale e ective term, and satisfy other requirem ents of in ation, the dimensionless couplings must be very small 10^{10} . The second problem is that in order for the in atom to provide curvature perturbations of the correct order of magnitude the in aton mass also has to be extremely small being in the eV range. F inally the height of the in atom potential of order 10^8 GeV is much smaller than the generic 10^{11} GeV which is typical of supergravity explanations for the generation of TeV scale soft m asses 1 .

Recently in β] it was pointed out that the second problem of the BGK model, namely that of the eV in aton mass, could be alleviated by relaxing the requirement that the in aton be responsible for generating the observed curvature perturbations [1]. The basic idea is that the in aton is only required to satisfy the slow roll conditions for in ation, and the curvature perturbations may be generated after in ation from the isocurvature perturbations of some late decaying scalar eld called the \curvaton" [4{6]. In the BGK model it was pointed out β] that this means that the in aton need only have a mass of order M eV and not eV as in the original version of the model, thereby alleviating extreme ene-tuning in this model. However no candidate was proposed for the curvaton, and the remaining naturalness problems of the smallness of the couplings ; , the smallheight of the in aton potential and the less extreme but still unnatural requirement of an M eV in aton mass was not addressed in β]. In a companion paper [7] we show that the Higgs scalars H_u; H_d of the BGK model could be responsible for generating the curvature perturbations responsible for large scale structure. This represents

¹In Supergravity the soft m assess are given by m $F_s = m_p$, where m_p is the P lanck scale and $P_{F_s} = 10^{11} \text{ GeV}$ is the supersymmetric breaking scale. On the other hand, F_s^2 is the natural order of magnitude for the vacuum energy V (0) (the height of the in atom potential).

an alternative to the late decaying scalar mechanism in which the curvature perturbations are generated during the reheating stage. As in the curvaton approach $[\beta]$ this allows the in aton m ass to be in the M eV range, but does not solve any of the remaining naturalness problem s of the model.

The purpose of the present paper is to show how, by embedding the BGK model into an extra dimensional framework, all the remaining naturalness problems of the model may be resolved. The extra dimensional set-up has all the Higgs elds and singlets in the bulk, and all the matter elds live on the branes. All the parameters of the elds dimensional can then be naturally understood in terms of a fundamental (\string") scale M 10^3 GeV and a brane supersymmetry (SUSY) breaking F-term of order 10^8 GeV. In particular the very smallY ukawa couplings 10^{10} necessary for the model to solve the strong CP problem and generate the correct elds end term after in ation, can be naturally understood in terms of volume suppression factors [8,9]. A loo MeV in atom masses for scalars in the bulk, and TeV scale soft masses for scalars on the branes are naturally generated.

The layout of the remainder of the paper is the following. In section 2 we review the BGK m odel in m ore detail. In section 3 we embed the m odel in an extra dimensional framework, and show how this leads to volume suppressed 4d electrive Yukawa couplings ; of order 10¹⁰. In section 4 we describe the SUSY breaking mechanism due to a brane singlet F-term F_s , and show how this leads to both TeV scale soft m asses for brane scalars and trilinears and M eV scale soft m asses for bulk scalars such as the in aton. Summary and conclusions are presented in section 5.

2 BriefReview of the BGK Model

In this section we rst revisit the main features of the 4-dimensional supersymmetric hybrid m odel, based on the superpotential² [2]

$$W = N H_u H_d \qquad N^2; \tag{1}$$

 $^{^{2}}$ In this paper superpotential in Eq. (1) is regarded only as the elective 4-dimensional superpotential obtained after dimensional reduction. It has been pointed out in the Ref. [10] that in the brane world setup the Hubble parameter H is proportional to the energy density on the brane, , instead of the usual H ^P of the standard big bang cosm obgy. However putting elds in the bulk whose density dominates over the brane density and requiring stability of the extra dimensions during the in ationary period it is possible to show that the standard cosm obgy is recovered [11]. Therefore the 4d results in this section remain electively valid when the theory is embedded in extra dimensions as is done in the next section.

where N and are singlet elds, and H $_{u,d}$ the H iggs elds of the M SSM . The rst term is familiar from the NM SSM , and the second terms includes another singlet (the in aton). As in the NM SSM , the combination hN igives rise to an elective term in the H iggs superpotential. The usual cubic term of the NM SSM N³ has been replaced here by an interaction term between N and . In order to keep the superpotential linear in the in aton eld , other cubic terms in the superpotential are forbidden by in posing a global U (1)_{PQ} PecceiQuinn symmetry. The global symmetry is broken by the vevs of the singlets, leading to a very light axion and solving the strong CP problem [12]. The axion scale f_a is then set by the vevs of the singlets, and is constrained by astrophysical and cosm ological observations to be roughly in the window 10^{10} G eV f_a 10^{13} G eV [13,14].

In ation takes place below the SUSY breaking scale. Including the soft SUSY breaking terms, trilinears A and masses for the singlets m, m_N , the in ationary potential is given by [2]:

V (; N) = V (0) +
$$\frac{2}{4}$$
N⁴ + (²)² $\frac{1}{p_{\overline{2}}}$ A + $\frac{1}{2}$ m²_N)N² + $\frac{1}{2}$ m²²; (2)

where and N represent the real part of the complex elds, and we have set the axionic part and the Higgs elds to zero for simplicity. In addition, we have introduced a constant term V (0), whose origin will be discussed later. The in ationary trajectory is obtained when the in aton eld takes values larger than the critical one

$$_{c}' \frac{A}{p_{\overline{2}}}:$$
 (3)

As long as $>_{c}$, the N eld dependent squared m ass is positive and then N is trapped at the origin; the potential energy in Eq. (2) is then dom inated by the vacuum energy V (0). When reaches the critical value $_{c}$, the squared m ass of the N eld changes sign, and both elds roll down towards the globalm inimum at $_{0} = _{c}=2$, N $_{0} = _{c}=\frac{p}{2}$, ending in ation.

The required values of the couplings and m asses are derived by combining cosm obgical and particle physics constraints. In order to have slow-roll in ation in the rst place, the in atom m ass m needs to be small enough compared to the Hubble rate of expansion H, as given by the parameter

$$= \frac{m^2}{3H^2} = m_P^2 \frac{m^2}{V(0)} < 1;$$
 (4)

where $m_P = M_P = \frac{p}{8} = 2.4$ 10⁸ GeV is the reduced P lanck mass, and is evaluated some N e-folds before the end of in ation. A ssum ing that m fulls the above condition, the

other physical scales in the problem are the soft breaking term A ' 1 TeV, and the axion scale $f_a = 0$ N₀ 10^{13} GeV.From Eq. (3), this unavoidably leads to a tiny coupling constant of the order 10^{10} . The same applies to , with = N₀ 1 TeV. Thus, demanding a zero vacuum energy at the global minimum, V ($_0$; N₀) = 0, the height of the potential during in ation is given by,

$$V(0)^{1=4}$$
 ' $\frac{r}{2}$ N₀ ' (10⁸ G eV): (5)

The Hubble parameter during in ation is then of the order of 0 (10 MeV). And from Eq. (4), this means that in atom soft mass m can be at most of the order of some MeVs in order to satisfy the slow roll conditions.

In order to meet the COBE value $_{\rm H} = 1.95 \ 10^5$ [15], we would require having m 10^{18} GeV, i.e., a tiny in atom mass of the order of a few ³ eV. This is a much stronger constraint on the mass of the in atom than just requiring the in atom to be a \light" eld during in ation and satisfying the slow-roll condition Eq. (4).

A swe discuss in a companion paper [7] prim ordial curvature perturbations can be originated by the Higgs perturbations instead of the in aton perturbations. The in aton mass is then only restricted by the slow-roll condition, and therefore no extrem ely tiny values of the masses are required. However, the spectral index of the spectrum of curvature perturbations is now controlled by the Higgs parameters:

n 1'
$$2m_h^2 = (3H^2);$$
 (6)

which is constrained by observations [1] to be n < 1.06. And this is a slightly stronger constraint that just dem anding slow-roll, i.e., for the H iggs m ass we will have $m_h < 0.3H' 3 M \text{ eV}$.

3 Embedding the BGK model in extra dim ensions

We now embed the BGK model into an extra-dimensional framework, in which all the Higgs elds and singlets live in the bulk, while all the matter elds live on the brane. In this section we shall show how the very small Yukawa couplings 10^{10} necessary for the model to solve the strong CP problem and generate the correct elds ective term after in ation, will be naturally understood in terms of a volume suppression factor $(M = m_p)^2$ where M is a fundamental (\string") scale M 10^{13} GeV and m_p is the elds ective reduced Planck scale

 $^{^{3}}$ W e notice that this value can be entirely due to 1-loop radiative corrections m² 2 ($_{c}$)², once the tree-level value is set to zero.

whose value will also be explained. In the next section we shall consider SUSY breaking and show how the required M eV soft masses for the in atom in the bulk and the TeV soft masses on the brane may result from a brane supersymmetry breaking F -term of order 10^8 G eV, which also naturally sets the scale for the height of the in atom potential V (0).

Let us consider two 3-branes spatially separated along d extra dimensions with a common radius R. These extra dimensions are compactiled on some orbifold that leads at least to two xed points at $y_j = 0$; R (j = 1;:::;d), where the two D3-branes are located. All the quarks/squarks elds (Q_i;U_i;D_i, where i = 1;2;3) live on the \Yukawa" brane at⁴ $y_j = 0$, while SUSY isbroken by the F-term of a gauge singlet eld S on the SUSY B reaking B rane at $y_j = R$. The gauge elds $\hat{G}_A^{(1)}$, the in aton eld ^, the singlet eld N and both higgses \hat{H}_u and \hat{H}_d feel all the dimensions of the theory (4 + d-dimensions). Also we include an additional gauge group $\hat{G}_B^{(2)}$ in such a way that at some scale M the total gauge group⁵ $G_A^{(1)} = G_B^{(2)}$ breaks down to the Stantard M odel gauge group $G_{SM} = SU(3) = SU(2) = U(1)$. This is depicted in Fig. 1.



Figure 1: The model showing the parallel 3-branes spatially separated along dextra dimensions with coordinates $y = (y_1; :::; y_d)$ and a common radius R.

In each of the xed points of the full manifold we have N = 1 supersymmetry. Nevertheless, in order to get supermultiplets associated with the Kaluza-K lein (KK) tower after compactifying

 $^{^{4}}$ In this brane, for reasons we shall discuss later, we de ne the quark's Yukawa couplings. In the section 4.4 we shall address the issue regarding the localization of leptons (so far they can live either in the \Yukawa" brane or in the SUSY breaking brane).

⁵ In this paper we are not going to considered or specify a particular gauge group for $G_A^{(1)} = G_B^{(2)}$, it can be either some string motivated gauge group (i.e. Pati-Salam group, E₈, etc.) or some GUT group (SU (5); SO (10), etc.).

the 4 + d dimensions down to 4 dimensions, we need that the in nite degrees of freedom to fall down to some extend supersymmetry. Strictly speaking, the extra Kaluza-K lein tower of states will e ectively be N = 2 supersymmetric only for one or two extra dimensions. For higher values of d, the situation is a bit m ore complicated. For example, for d = 6 we naively expect the Kaluza-K lein tower of states to be N = 4 supersymmetric [16]. In general, the enhanced supersymmetry for the excited Kaluza-K lein arises because the minimum number of supersymmetries in higher dimensions. However, by making suitable choices of orbifolds, it is always possible to project the relevant Kaluza-K lein towers down to representations of N = 2 supersymmetry, even if d > 2 [17]. Hence, without loss of generality, we shall consider N = 2 supersymmetric Kaluza-K lein towers for arbitrary values of d.

In a N = 2 supersymmetric theory there is a global SU (2)_R automorphism group dened in the supersymmetric algebra. The o-shell hypermultiplet $_{a}$ is given by⁶ $_{a} = (\frac{1}{a}; _{a}; F_{a}^{1})$, where l = 1;2 is the SU (2)_R index and $_{a} = (_{a;L}; _{a;R})$ is a Dirac spinor. On the other hand, it is well known that in N = 2 there is no SU (2)_R invariant cubic interactions involving hypermultiplets $_{a}$ [19]. One possible way to dene the supersymmetric Yukawa couplings is sticking the superpotential in one of the xed points (D 3-branes) of the orbifold, where only one of the supersymmetry N = 1 survives after the orbifolding. This is what we have called the Yukawa brane.

The Lagrangian associated with the superpotential can be written as^7 ,

$$L_{4+d}^{W} = \frac{Z}{d^{2}} \frac{d^{2}}{d^{2}} \frac{d^{2}}{M} \frac{\chi^{2}}{M} \hat{H}_{u} \hat{H}_{d} \frac{\Lambda}{M} \frac{\chi^{2}}{M} \hat{M}_{u} \hat{H}_{d} + \frac{\chi^{2}}{M} \hat{M}_{u} \hat{U}_{3} + \frac{\chi^{2}}{M} \hat{M}_{u} \hat{U}_{3} + \frac{\chi^{2}}{M} \hat{M}_{u} \hat{U}_{3} \hat{H}_{d} \hat{U}_{3} \hat{H}_{d} \hat{U}_{3} \hat{D}_{3} \hat{D}_{3}$$

where the couplings and elds with a hat m ean couplings and elds in extra dimensions, being and the couplings de ned in Eq. (1), and y_t (y_b) is the top (bottom) Yukawa coupling. ^d(y) is the d-dimensional generalisation of the delta function. M is the string scale in 4+ d dimensions and it is related to the P lanck scale in four dimensions through the very well known form ula:

$$m_p^2 = M^{2+d} V_d$$
; (8)

with V_d R^d the volume factor of the compact manifold dened in the extra dimensional bulk. The bulk elds have a mass dimensions 1 + d=2, while the brane elds have the standard mass

⁶For m ore detail about the o -shell form ulation of the vector and hyperm ultiplets in N = 2 supersym m etry see for example R ef. [18].

 $^{^7}$ For sim plicity, we set all the Yukawa couplings except the third generation ones to zero.

dimension 1. The higher dimensional elds lead to non-renorm alisable interaction terms, where the suppression is now given by the fundamental scale in $4 + d \dim$ ensions (M) instead of the four dimensional P lanck mass (m_p).

As we have explained above, the full theory should be written in terms of hypermultiplets and vector multiplets in N = 2 supersymmetry. However, the Lagrangian (7) is a function of the N = 1 supermultiplets (1 ; $_{L}$; F¹) only, because we are assuming that the orbifolding casts the two N = 1 multiplets in the N = 2 hypermultiplet in such a way that just one of them is even under some orbifold discrete group (for example Z₂) and then at the xed point only this multiplet is di erent from zero⁸.

Upon dimensional reduction a plethora of particles (KK-modes) comes out in the elective four dimensional Lagrangian. In fact, there are mixing among dierent KK-numbers since the interaction (7) does not preserve the translational invariance along the extra dimensions due to the presence of the delta function (d(y)) which breaks explicitly the Poincare invariance of the theory. However making some assumption about the number of extra dimensions, d, we can neglect the contribution from the in nite tower of KK and write down the elective lagrangian as function only of the zero mode of each bulk elds. Indeed, using Eq. (8) we have that the compactication scale 1=R is given by

$$\frac{1}{R} = M = \frac{M}{m_p}^{\frac{1}{2}=d}$$
 (9)

On the other hand, we will see that consistency of the model dem and the string scale of the theory be present at some intermediate scale; in particular it has to be of the same order of the axion scale M $f_a = 10^{13} \text{ GeV}$. This imply that if the number of extra dimensions is larger than two, d > 2, the compactication scale (using Eq. (5)) is then 1=R > V (0) 10^{6} GeV .

This means that the energy scale for in ation (governed mainly by the vacuum energy) is below the rst excitation of the KK propagating in the bulk. Therefore, the KK-m odes are not produced during the early stage of in ation and then the decoupling of these particles is a good approximation for our purpose. From now on, we only consider a number of extra dimensions larger than two, even if the result we will show here will be independent of the number of extra dimensions.

We are going now to study in details the elective four dimensional yukawa couplings and the gauge coupling.

⁸N otice that terms like $(0_y \hat{N}^0)^2$, where N⁰ is the supermultiplet which belongs to the other N = 1 supersymmetry, can be allowed by the orbifold symmetry in the Lagrangian (7), but are heavily suppressed by higher powers of the string scale M , and can therefore be neglected.

3.1 Yukawa Couplings

A fler integrating out the d extra dimensions and considering only the zero mode of the bulk elds, from Eq. (7) we get

$$L_{4}^{W} = \overset{Z}{d^{2}} \overset{2}{4} ^{\wedge} \frac{M}{m_{p}}^{!3} N H_{u}H_{d} ^{\wedge} \frac{M}{m_{p}}^{!3} N^{2} + \hat{y}_{t} \frac{M}{m_{p}}^{!} Q_{3}H_{u}U_{3}$$

+ $\hat{y}_{b} \frac{M}{m_{p}}^{!} Q_{3}H_{d}D_{3}$; (10)

where now all the elds are four dimensional ones. From the last equation we found that the four dimensional couplings are naturally suppressed if $M < m_p$,

$$= \frac{M}{m_{p}}^{!3}, = \frac{M}{m_{p}}^{!3}; \qquad = \frac{M}{m_{p}}^{!3};$$

$$Y_{t} = (Y_{t}) = \frac{M}{m_{p}}^{!} \hat{Y}_{t}; \qquad Y_{b} = (Y_{b}) = \frac{M}{m_{p}}^{!} \hat{Y}_{b}: \qquad (11)$$

A natural assumption is consider all the multidim ensional couplings to be of the same order,

in which case we get the following relationship between the Yukawa couplings,

$$\frac{1}{Y_{t(b)}} \quad \frac{M}{Y_{t(b)}} \quad \frac{M}{m_p}^{!2} :$$
(13)

Therefore, if M 10^3 GeV we naturally get O (10^{10}) where the Yukawa couplings for the third generation are of the order one, Y_u Y_d O (1). Notice that the 4+ d-dimensional couplings in Eq. (11) are extremely large. This only indicates that our D-dimensional model is non-perturbative. The \duality" through dimensional reduction between a non-perturbative theory in extra dimensions and a perturbative theory in the elective four dimensions has been pointed out some time ago in Ref. [20].

3.2 Gauge Coupling

The Lagrangian in 4 + d dimensions associated with the gauge coupling for the Higgs (bulk elds) and the quarks (brane elds) has the following form:

$$L_{4+d}^{g} = \frac{g}{M^{d=2}} \bigwedge A^{M} Q_{i}Q_{i} + (Q_{i} \ U_{i}; D_{i})^{d} (Y) + \frac{g^{2}}{M^{d}} A^{M} A^{M} H_{u}^{Y} H_{u} + H_{u} \ H_{d}^{*} ; (14)$$

where \hat{A}_{M} are the gauge boson in higher dimensions, being M = ;5 = 0;1;2;3;5. The bulk gauge elds have a mass dimensions 1 + d=2 (like the Higges elds) ⁹. A fler integrating out the dextra dimensions from the above Lagrangian we get

$$L_{4}^{g} = \frac{\hat{g}}{M^{d=2}} \qquad \frac{A}{P \frac{1}{V_{d}}} Q_{i}Q_{i} + (Q_{i} \ U_{i}; D_{i}) + \frac{\hat{g}^{2}}{M^{d}} \frac{A}{V_{d}} - \frac{H_{u}^{y}H_{u}}{V_{d}} + \hat{H}_{u} \ H_{u} \ H_{d} \qquad (15)$$

Notice that the flh component of the gauge elds, A $_5$, has been removed from the Lagrangian since it does not have zero mode. From the previous Lagrangian and using the eq. (8) we can read the elective four dimensional gauge coupling as

$$g = -\frac{M}{m_p} \hat{g} :$$
 (16)

C om paring (11) and (16) we observe that both the gauge coupling and the yukawa couplings in four dimensions have the same suppression factor. Thus $g=Y_{t(p)}$ 1 assuming that their higher dimensional couplings are of the same order.

4 Supersymmetry Breaking

We shall suppose that SUSY is broken by the F-term of a 4D gauge-singlet eld S on the source brane localised at the xed point $y_p = fy_i g = R$, and mediated across the extra dimensional space by bulk elds propagating in a loop correction like gaugino mediations [21,22]. Moreover, S is also neutral under the U $(1)_{PQ}$ symmetry. Because of that, no -term is generated by the G indice-M asiero mechanism in this model [23]. The solution to the problem relies on the coupling of the singlet N to the Higgses. Like in the NM SSM the -term is given by N₀, once the singlet N gets a non-zero vev N₀ after in ation. All the bulk elds (gaugino, higgsino, higgs, in aton, singlet N) get a tree-level SUSY mass term through direct coupling with the SUSY breaking brane. The rest of the particles which live in the Yukawa brane only get 1-loop SUSY mass term s and then they will be neglected in this paper.

In the next subsections we are going to discuss the origin of all the SUSY breaking terms necessary to generate the in aton potential Eq. (2): the vacuum energy V (0), the trilinear A_k term and the quadratic mass term, m_N^2 and m^2 . For completeness we will discuss the other soft terms as well, i.e. the soft mass term for the Higgses, the B terms and the gaugino masses. In the SUSY breaking sector there are two free parameters, the F-term of the singlet S (F_s)

⁹The gauge coupling remains dimensionless.

and the cuto M. However demanding the solution of the CP-problem, and imposing that the F_s^2 term explain the origin of the vacuum energy, we will see that all the parameters (both dimensionful and dimensionless) of the potential Eq. (2) are fully determined.

4.1 Vacuum energy.

The SUSY breaking brane will typically introduce a vacuum energy of the order of F_s^2 providing a vacuum energy V (0) in the potential (2). We simply set this constant from the Lagrangian in 4 + d-dimensions:

$$L_{4+d}^{\text{soft}} = d^4 \quad d (y \quad y_0) S^{y} S :$$
(17)

In the e ective four dimensions and when SUSY is broken, we get a vacuum energy

$$V(0) = F_{S}^{2}$$
: (18)

From Eq. (5) we see that the F-term has to be ${}^{p}\overline{F_{s}}$ 10 G eV. This result is indeed interesting because it states that the vacuum energy and the SUSY breaking scale are of the sam e order of m agnitude avoiding any ne-tuning regarding the K ahler potential [24].

4.2 Trilinear soft term s for scalars.

The trilinear soft term s allowed for the PQ charges are

$$L_{4+d}^{\text{soft}} = d^{2} d^{2} (y - y)^{0} \frac{1}{M^{\frac{3d}{2}}} \hat{N} \hat{H}_{u} \hat{H}_{d} \frac{1}{M^{\frac{3d}{2}}} \hat{N}^{2} \hat{A} \frac{S}{M} :$$
(19)

From the second term in Eq. (19) we get the A_k term de ned in the potential (2), while the B -term arises from the rst term of Eq. (19) when the N eld develops a vev at the end of in ation.

Integrating out the extra dim ensions coordinates we get

$$L_{4}^{\text{soft}} = d^{2} \qquad N H_{u}H_{d} \qquad N^{2} \frac{S}{M}; \qquad (20)$$

where we have used Eq. (11) to rede ne the elective Yukawa couplings and . When the F-term of the singlet S gets a vevs (F_S), from Eq. (20) we obtain an A_k -term during in ation and a B-term at the end of in ation,

$$A_{k} \quad B \quad \frac{F_{S}}{M} :$$
 (21)

We have seen that ${}^{p}\overline{F_{s}}$ 10° GeV, but M seems to be a free parameter. From the minimisation of the potential (2) we get the vev of the eld N given by N₀ = A_k = $({}^{p}\overline{2k})$. Using Eqs. (13) and (21) we get

$$N_{0} = \frac{m_{p}^{2}}{P} \frac{F_{s}}{Z} \frac{F_{s}}{M^{3}} :$$
 (22)

On the other hand, from Eqs. (5), (13), (18) we have

$$F_{s}^{1=2} = \frac{M}{m_{p}} \frac{N_{0}}{P} \frac{1}{2}$$
: (23)

Casting the last two equations together we can relate M $\,$ with N $_0$ as 10

$$N_0 = \frac{p}{8M}$$
 : (24)

This means that if we want to solve the CP-problem in our model, we immediately need a string scale de ned at M 10^3 GeV.Using also that ${}^{p}\overline{F_{s}}$ 10° GeV, from Eq. (21) we get A_{k} B 1 TeV.

4.3 Quadratic soft terms for bulk scalars.

The quadratic soft m asses for the scalars are given by

$$L_{4+d}^{\text{soft}} = d^4 \quad d \quad (y \quad y_6) \frac{G_X}{M \quad d} \hat{X}^{\gamma} \hat{X} \frac{S^{\gamma} S}{M^2}; \qquad (25)$$

where X runs over all the bulk elds, ;N ;H $_{u}$;H $_{d}$ and c_{x} are constants of the order one. A fter dimensional reduction, we have the 4D Lagrangian,

$$L_{4}^{\text{soft}} = \frac{d^{4}}{M} \frac{C_{X}}{M^{d}V_{d}} X^{Y} X \frac{S^{Y}S}{M^{2}} :$$
 (26)

Using Eq. (8) we get the mass term for the scalars when the F-term of the eld S gets a vev:

$$m_X^2 = c_X - \frac{F_S}{m_p}^2$$
: (27)

From Eqs.(21) and (27) we see that the values for the trilinear and the mass term s are non equal (non-universality) as long as the P lanck scale in four dimensions, m_p , and the P lanck scale in $4 + d \dim$ ensions, M_p , are dimensions. In fact, their ratio is given by:

$$\frac{m_X}{A} = \frac{p_{\overline{C_X}}}{M_p} \frac{M_p}{m_p}$$
 (28)

 $^{^{10}}N$ ote that N₀ is the e ective eld in four dimensions and this eld can be larger than the string scale M. The point is that the higher dimensional eld d carries a volum e suppression factor, $_{\rm d}$ = $_{\rm 4}$ = $\overline{V_{\rm d}}$, where $_{\rm 4}$ is four dimensional eld and V_d is the extra dimension volum e. When we use the above relationship between d and $_{\rm 4}$ and integrate out the extra dimensions, and use the relation (8), the natural cuto for the e ective four dimensional eld is seen to be m_P and not M .

Therefore, we have that the quadratic soft term for bulk elds are small, in particular $x = \frac{p}{c_x}$ A. Below we will see that this is no any more true for particles de ned in one of the branes. For M 10^3 GeV, $\frac{p}{F_s}$ 10^6 GeV and in posing¹¹ c_x $1=(4^\circ)^2$ O (10²), from the last equation we get a very tiny soft masses for the bulk elds

$$m m_N m_{h_u} m_{h_d} O (1M eV)$$
: (29)

The quadratic soft m ass for the in aton, m², generated in the SUSY breaking brane, is the same that appears in the in aton potential (2). As we have already said following Eq. (4), this mass has to be m < 0 (1 M eV) in order to satisfy the slow-roll condition for the potential. However, this mass is quite large to satisfy the COBE constraint. Nevertheless in our model the in aton does not play an important role to generate the density perturbation, instead a new mechanism is proposed in a companion paper [7] in which the Higgs eld can generate the large-scale curvature perturbation from an e cient conversion of isocurvature perturbation to curvature one during the reheating era.

4.4 Quadratic soft terms for brane scalars.

So far we have discussed how to generate the soft terms for the elds living in the bulk. The situation is slightly di erent for the scalars living on one of the branes. The scalars living on the SUSY breaking brane get a soft mass term from the following operator:

$$L_{4+d}^{\text{soft}} = d^{4} d^{(y)} (y) c_{y} Y^{y} Y \frac{S^{y}S}{M^{2}}; \qquad (30)$$

where Y runs over all the brane's super elds. This leads a soft m ass term,

$$m_{Y} = \frac{p_{T}}{c_{Y}} \frac{F_{S}}{M} :$$
 (31)

Using again $\frac{P}{F_S}$ 10° GeV and M 10³ GeV, we got a soft term, m_Y 1 TeV.

On the other hand, the bulk scalar masses receive a suppression factor $M = m_p w$ ith respect the former case due to the nite extra dimension volume. In quantum eld theory one is free to choose in which branes the particles live. It can be either the SUSY breaking brane or what we have called the Yukawa brane. A possible motivation to put fermions in the Yukawa brane is to solve the FCNC problem since all the interactions which violate avour will be suppressed by a factor exp(MR) [21]. However, at tree level all their soft masses will be zero since there is

¹¹O nem ight think that the operators (25) arises integrating out som emassive string excitation propagating a 1-bop. It turns out that the coe cient c_x has to contain the 1-bop factor, $c_x = 1 = (4)^2$.

no contact term with the singlet S. Therefore the only way to produce soft masses in this case will be through radiative corrections via renorm alisation group running. In the case of squarks, the main contribution at 1-loop comes from the gauginos and the exact spectra will be quite sim ilar to what happen with non-scale supergravity or gaugino mediation [21]. It is well known that there may be phenom enological di culties with such models in the slepton sector [25]. One possibility is to leave the quarks/squarks on the Yukawa brane but localise the lepton sector in the SU SY breaking brane. In this way the sleptons will get TeV soft masses and we still have the FCNC problem for the quark sector resolved.

4.5 Gaugino mass

The gauge group in our model is given by the direct product of two groups, $G_A^{(1)} = G_B^{(2)}$. This group will diagonally break down to the Standard M odel gauge group. The gaugino mass for the gauge group which live in the bulk, $G_A^{(1)}$, is given by the operator

$$L_{4+d}^{\text{soft}} = d^{2} d^{2} \psi_{\chi} (y - \chi) \frac{c^{(1)}}{M^{d}} W^{(1)} W^{(1)} \frac{S}{M}; \qquad (32)$$

where $c^{(1)}$ is a constant of the order one and $W^{(1)}$ is the eld strength of the gauge group $G_A^{(1)}$. A fler dimensional reduction we get a soft gaugino mass for the group $G_A^{(1)}$:

$$m_{(1)} = \frac{F_{s}}{M} \frac{1}{(M R)^{d}} = \frac{F_{s}}{M} \frac{M}{m_{p}}^{!2};$$
(33)

where we have used the relation Eq. (8) in the last equality. Thism ass is very tiny; in fact, using 10^{3} GeV and $P_{F_{s}}$ 10° GeV, we found m (1) 0 (100 eV). In the case the only group in ourm odelwere the Standard M odelone embedded in extra dimensions, this result would rule out this setup by direct search of the gauginos. However, localising other gauge group, $G_{B}^{(2)}$, in the the SUSY breaking brane it is possible to overcom e this problem 1^{2} .

The gauginos of the group $G_{B}^{(2)}$ get a mass through the operator

$$L_{4+d}^{\text{soft}} = d^{2} d^{2} \psi (y - y_{0})c^{(2)} W^{(2)} W^{(2)} \frac{S}{M} :$$
(34)

U sing dim ensional reduction we obtain,

$$m_{(2)} = \frac{F_S}{M}$$
: (35)

 $^{^{12}}$ This group can either be a replica of the same bulk gauge group $G_{A}^{(1)}$ or a dierent one.

This mass is exactly the same than that for the A_k -term (21), an therefore using M $1\dot{d}^3$ GeV and $p_{\overline{F_s}}$ 10° GeV we get m (2) 1 TeV. Hence we have that m (2) >> m (1).

Once the total gauge group is diagonally breakdown to the Standard M odel gauge group, $G_{A}^{(1)} = G_{B}^{(2)} + G_{B}^{SM}$, the eigenvalue mass for the lowest states are given by [26]

$$m_{SM} = f(g_1;g_2)m_{(1)} + h(g_1;g_2)m_{(2)} m_{(2)} = 1 \text{TeV};$$
 (36)

where $f(g_1;g_2) = h(g;g_2) = 0$ (1) are some function of the large gauge group $G^{(1)}$ and $G^{(2)}$. It turns out that the Standard M odel gauginos in our m odel have m ass of the order of the SUSY breaking scale 0 (TeV).

5 Summary

W e have shown how, by embedding the BGK model into an extra dimensional framework, all the naturalness problem s of the m odelm ay be resolved. An underlying assumption of our approach is that the radii of the extra dimensions are stabilized for example by the mechanism proposed in [27]. The extra dimensional set-up has all the Higgs elds and singlets in the bulk, and all the matter elds live on the branes. All the parameters of the elective 4d model, including the Planck scale, can then be naturally understood in terms of a fundamental \string" scale 10^3 GeV and a brane SUSY breaking term ${}^{\rm p}\overline{{}_{\rm F_{\rm S}}}$ 10° GeV. Once the number of extra М dimensions d is specified the reduced P lanck scale m_p in Eq. (8) then xes the size of the extra dimensions. From these scales everything else follows: the height of the in aton potential during in ation is of order $\frac{p}{F_s}$; the singlet vevs after in ation associated with the axion solution to the 10^{10} necessary for the strong CP problem are of order M ; the sm all Y ukawa couplings m odel to solve the strong CP problem and generate the correct e ective term after in ation, are of order $(M = m_p)^2$; the TeV scale soft m asses and trilinears for scalars on the branes is naturally understood as F_s=M; the MeV in aton masses for scalars in the bulk are suppressed relative to the TeV scale soft masses by a factor M = m_p. A lthough we do not address the question of 10^{13} GeV is neutrino m asses in this paper, we note that the natural scale of our m odel M also typical of right-handed M a prana m asses in see-saw m odels.

A cknow ledgem ents

We wish to thank D avid Lyth for useful discussions. VD \mathcal{L} and SFK.would like to thank PPARC for a Research A spociateship and a Senior Research Fellow ship. SFK.also thanks the

CERN theory division for its hospitality.

References

- [1] X.m.Wang, M. Tegmark and M. Zaklarriaga, Phys. Rev. D 65 (2002) 123001 [arX iv astroph/0105091].
- [2] M.Bastero-Giland S.F.King, Phys.Lett.B 423 (1998) 27 [arXiv hep-ph/9709502].
- [3] K.D in opoulos and D.H.Lyth, arX iv hep-ph/0209180.
- [4] D.H.Lyth and D.W ands, Phys. Lett. B 524 (2002) 5 [arX iv hep-ph/0110002]; T.M oroi and T.Takahashi, Phys. lett. B 522 (2001) 215; Erratum ibid: B 539 (2002) 303 [arX iv hep-ph/0110096]; K. Enqvist and M. S. Sloth, Nucl. Phys. B 626 (2002) 395 [arX iv hep-ph/0109214].
- [5] T.Moroi and T.Takahashi, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 063501 [arX iv hep-ph/0206026]; D.H. Lyth, C.Ungarelli and D.W ands, astro-ph/0208055; M.S.Sloth, arX iv hep-ph/0208241.
- [6] N.Bartob and A.Liddle, Phys.Rev.D 65 (2002) 121301 [arX iv astro-ph/0203076]; R.Durrer and F.Vemizzi, Phys.Rev.D 66 (2002) 083503 [arX iv hep-ph/0203275]; A.Notari and A.Riotto, Nucl. Phys. B 644 (2002) 371 [arX iv hep-th/0205019]; V.Bozza, M.Gasperini. M.Giovannini and G.Veneziano, Phys.Lett.B 543 (2002) 14 [arX iv hep-ph/0206131].
- [7] M. Bastero-Gil, V. DiClemente and S.F. King, arX iv hep-ph/0211011.
- [8] P.Kantiand K.A.O live, Phys. Rev. D 60 (1999) 043502 [arX iv hep-ph/9903524]; P.Kanti and K.A.O live, Phys. Lett. B 464 (1999) 192 [arX iv hep-ph/9906331].
- [9] R. N. Mohapatra, A. Perez-Lorenzana and C. A. de Sousa Pires, Phys. Rev. D 62 (2000) 105030 [arX iv hep-ph/0003089]; A. M. Green and A. Mazum dar, Phys. Rev. D 65 (2002) 105022 [arX iv hep-ph/0201209].
- [10] P. Binetruy, C. De ayet and D. Langlois, Nucl. Phys. B 565 (2000) 269 [arXiv:hepth/9905012].
- [11] R.N.Mohapatra, A.Perez-Lorenzana and C.A. de Sousa Pires, Int. J.Mod.Phys. A 16 (2001) 1431 [arX iv:hep-ph/0003328].

- [12] For a review, see for example J.E.K im, Phys. Rep. 150 (1987) 1.
- [13] G.G.Ra elt, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 49 (1999) 163.
- [14] M.S.Turner, Phys. Rev. D 33 (1986) 889; K.Choi, E.J.Chun and J.E.Kim, Phys. Lett.
 B 403 (1997) 209.
- [15] G.F.Smoot et al, Ap. J. 396 (1992) L1; E.L.W right et al., Ap. J. 396 (1992) L13;
 M.J.W hite and E.F.Bunn, Astrophys. J. 443 (1995) L53 [arX iv astro-ph/9410088]; C.L.
 Bennett et al, Ap. J. 464 (1996) L1 [arX iv astro-ph/9601067]; E.F.Bunn and M.W hite,
 Ap. J. 480 (1997) 6 [arX iv astro-ph/9607060].
- [16] J.D. Lykken, Introduction to supersymmetry, [arX iv:hep-th/9612114].
- [17] K.R.Dienes, E.Dudas and T.Gherghetta, Nucl. Phys. B 537 (1999) 47 [arXiv:hepph/9806292].
- [18] E.A.M irabelli and M.E.Peskin, Phys. Rev. D 58 (1998) 065002 [arX iv hep-th/9712214].
- [19] M.F. Sohnius, Phys. Rept. 128 (1985) 39.
- [20] I. Antoniadis, Phys. Lett. B 246 (1990) 377.
- [21] D.E.Kaplan, G.D.Kribs and M.Schmaltz, Phys.Rev.D 62 (2000) 035010 [arX iv hep-ph/9911293]; Z.Chacko, M.A.Luty, A.E.Nelson and E.Ponton, JHEP 0001 (2000) 003 [arX iv hep-ph/9911323]; S.F.King and D.A.Rayner, Nucl. Phys. B 607 (2001) 77 [arX iv hep-ph/0012076].
- [22] V.DiClemente, S.F.King and D.A.Rayner, Nucl. Phys. B 617 (2001) 71 [arXiv:hep-ph/0107290]; V.DiClemente, S.F.King and D.A.Rayner, arXiv:hep-ph/0205010.
- [23] G.F.G iudice and A.M asiero, Phys. Lett. B 206 (1988) 480.
- [24] M.Bastero-Giland S.F.King, Nucl. Phys. B 549, 391 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9806477].
- [25] M. Schmaltz and W. Skiba, Phys. Rev. D 62 (2000) 095004 [arX iv hep-ph/0004210].
- [26] S.F.King and M.Oliveira, Phys. Rev. D 60 (1999) 035003 [arXiv:hep-ph/9804283].

[27] L.Amendola, E.W. Kolb, M. Litterio and F.Occhionero, Phys. Rev. D 42 (1990) 1944;
E. Ponton and E. Poppitz, JHEP 0106 (2001) 019 [arXiv:hep-ph/0105021]; P. Kanti,
K.A.O live and M. Pospelov, Phys. Lett. B 538 (2002) 146 [arXiv:hep-ph/0204202].