Unitarity and H igher-Order Corrections in Neutralino Dark Matter Annihilation into Two Photons

Jun ji H isano^a, Sh. M atsum oto^a, and M ihoko M . No jiri^b

^a ICRR, University of Tokyo, Kashiwa 277-8582, Japan ^b Y ITP, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

ABSTRACT

The neutralino pair annihilation into two photons in our galactic halo gives a robust dark matter signal, since it would give a quasi-monotonic gamma ray. This process is radiatively-induced, and the full-one loop calculation was done previously. However, for the heavy wino-like or Higgsino-like neutralino, the one-loop cross section violates unitarity, therefore the higher-order corrections may be in portant. We construct a non-relativistic theory for chargino and neutralino two-body states, and estimate all-order QED corrections and two-loop corrections by Z and/or W exchange. We nd that the critical mass, above that the two-loop contribution is larger than one-loop one, is about 8 TeV (O (10) TeV) in the lim it where neutralino is wino (Higgsino)-like, respectively. A round and above the critical mass, the all-order Z and/or W exchange must be included to estimate the cross section. On the other hand, the QED corrections depend on the mass di erence between the neutralino and chargino. In the wino-like lim it where neutralino is highly degenerate with chargino in mass, we nd that QED corrections enhance the pair annihilation cross section by 1.5-2.

I Introduction

P resent observation of cosm ological and astrophysical quantities allows a precise determ ination of the mean density of matter ($_{\rm M}$) and baryon density ($_{\rm B}$) in the U niverse, and the existence of non-baryonic dark matter (DM) is established now [1]. However, the constituent of the DM is still an unresolved problem. The supersymmetric (SUSY) models provides the candidates of the DM, since the lightest SUSY particle (LSP) is stable due to the conserved R parity. The LSP may be the lightest neutralino in m inim al supersymmetric standard model (M SSM). It is a linear combination of gauginos (bino and w ino) and H iggsinos, which are superpartners of gauge and H iggs bosons, respectively. Therm al [2] or non-therm al processes [3] in the early U niverse m ay produce the lightest neutralino enough to explain the DM in the U niverse.

The detection of exotic cosm ic rays is feasible technique to search for the dark m atter particles, since a pair of the lightest neutralino could annihilate into the SM particles with signi cant cross section [4]. Am ong those, excess of m onochrom atic gam m a ray due to the neutralino annihilation into two photons is a robust signal if observed, because the di used gam m a-ray background m ust have a continuous energy spectrum [5].

The neutralino annihilation to two photons is a radiative process. The dom inant contribution to the cross section comes from the process where a neutralino pair is converted into a virtual chargino pair by W -boson exchange and then the chargino pair annihilates into two photons. The full one-bop cross section is calculated in Ref. [6]. The surprising fact is that the cross section is suppressed only by the W -boson m ass (m_W), not by the neutralino m ass (m), as v $2 \frac{2}{2} = m_W^2$, if the lightest-neutralino m ass eigenstate is very close to w ino or H iggsino. Since other pair-annihilation cross sections are proportional to $1=m^2$, the potential of search for the m onochrom atic gam m a ray in cases of the w ino- or H iggsino-like neutralino DM then can be understood as follows; as the neutralino m ass increases, the signal rate of the m onochrom atic gam m a ray reduces less quickly com pared with the other DM signatures.

On the other hand, this cross section should be bounded from above by the unitarity limit $v < 4 = (vm^2)$ [8]. Thus, for the extreme heavy neutralino, this one-loop result must fail [7], and the higher-order corrections should be included. Indeed, the wino- or Higgsino-like neutralino is accompanied with chargino in the

2

same SU (2) multiplet, and their masses are almost degenerate. In these cases, the interm ediate chargino-pair state in the process is almost on-shell, since the neutralino DM is non-relativistic (NR).W hen m is large, the diagram s are enhanced by a factor of $_{2}m = m_{W}$ for each W boson exchange, and the higher-order loop diagram s become m ore and m ore important. Then, the one-loop result is not valid anym ore, and we need to sum the contributions from ladder diagram s of the weak-boson exchange to all orders.

This failure of the perturbative expansion is similar to the threshold singularity in pair creation or annihilation processes in QCD or QED [9]. In the quark-pair annihilation process at the threshold (NR) region, the higher-order corrections are non-negligible since nearly on-shell quark is dominated in the loop integration due to the small relative velocity. In other words, the deformation of the quark wave function by the QCD potential is not negligible at the threshold region. In order to get the reliable cross section, we need to sum ladder diagrams by gluon exchange to all orders, or to use the wave function for quarks under the QCD potential. In the neutralino annihilation to two photons, we need to include the Yukawa potential of the weak boson. When $1=(_{2}m)$ is larger than the elective range of the Yukawa potential $1=m_W$, the Yukawa potential is point-like in the coordinate space, and does not deform the wave functions of neutralino and chargino. However, if m is heavier, the wave functions of chargino and neutralino are deviated from plane waves inside

1=($_2m$). In this case, the perturbative expansion at the threshold region should be broken.

The NR eld theory is useful to investigate these higher-order corrections at the threshold region in QCD or QED [10]. In this technique, we can factorize the short-distance physics, such as annihilation or production, from the long-distance physics related to the wave function [11]. The evaluation of the contribution from the long-distance physics is possible either by system atical resum mation of the ladder diagram s in diagram matic methods or by evaluating the wave function under the potential. This form alism is also useful to evaluate the higher-order corrections in the neutralino annihilation to two photons. From a viewpoint of the NR eld theory, the short-distance physics com es from the chargino-pair annihilation to two photons, and the long-distance physics from the weak-boson exchanges, in addition to the photon exchange between charginos.

In this paper, the higher-order corrections to the annihilation cross section of the neutralino to two photons is studied in cases of the wino-or Higgsino-like neutralino

3

DM. First, we construct the two-body e ective action of non-relativistic neutralino and chargino pairs. Using this form ula, we estim ate two-loop corrections by weakboson exchange in a diagrammatic method. The two-bop contribution becomes in portant when the neutralino is as heavy as $m_{W} = 2$. From the explicit calculation, we found that the critical mass, above that the two-bop contribution is larger than one-bop one, is about 8 TeV for the wino-like neutralino, and is about 0 (10) TeV for the Higgsino-like one. The resumm ation of the weak-boson exchange contributions to all orders is possible by solving num erically the wave functions of chargino and neutralino under the Yukawa potentials of the weak bosons [12]. We also calculate all-order corrections by photon exchange, by using the wave function deformed by Coulomb potential. The QED corrections depend on the mass di erence between neutralino and chargino, m. The wino-like neutralino is highly degenerate with the chargino, and m is typically 0.1 GeV. For this case, we found that the QED corrections enhance the cross section by 1.5 2 when the neutralino mass is a few TeV.

This paper is organized as follows. In next section we brie y review masses and interactions of neutralino and chargino, especially in the wino- and Higgsino-like cases. In section III, we construct the two-body elective action of the NR neutralino and chargino pairs and show the strategy for our calculation. Here, in order to show the validity for our form alism, we reproduce the previous one-loop result, and show that the unitarity bound is satis ed in an extrem ely heavy neutralino mass limit. In section IV, we is include all-order QED corrections to the leading cross section, and we evaluate two-loop corrections by Z and W boson exchange. Section V is devoted to conclusion and discussion. We give a fulle ective Lagrangian relevant to our study in Appendix A.

II N on-R elativistic A ction of W ino- or H iggsino-like N eutralino and C hargino.

In this section, we review the mass spectrum and the low-energy interaction of the SU (2) multiplets containing the lightest neutralino. We are interested in the case where the lightest neutralino LSP (\sim_1^0) is almost degenerate with the lighter chargino $(\sim^+ \qquad \gamma_1^+)$ in mass. For simplicity, we assume that all the other SUSY particles, including the two heavier neutralino states $(\sim_3^0 \text{ and } \sim_4^0)$ and the heavier chargino (\sim_2^+) , are so heavy that we can ignore their contributions to the DM annihilation.

4

Neutralinos are linear combinations of supersymmetric partners of gauge bosons, the bino (B) and the neutral wino (M⁰), and those of Higgs bosons, the Higgsinos (H_1^0, H_2^0) . While those four elds have SU (2) U (1) invariant masses, they are mixed with each others by the SU (2) U (1) symmetry breaking. The lightest neutralino is wino-like when M₂; M_1 and Higgsino-like if $M_1; M_2$. Here M_1 and M_2 are the bino and the wino masses, respectively, and is the supersymmetric Higgsino mass parameter. When $M_1; M_2$; m_z , the lightest neutralino mass is

$$m_{\gamma_{1}^{0}} \prime M_{2} + \frac{m_{Z}^{2} c_{W}^{2}}{M_{2}^{2} 2} (M_{2} + \sin 2)$$
 (1)

for the wino-like case, and

$$m_{\sim_{1}^{0}}' + \frac{m_{Z}^{2}(1 + \sin 2)}{2(M_{1})(M_{2})}(M_{1}c_{W}^{2} - M_{2}s_{W}^{2})$$
 (2)

for the Higgsino-like case (> 0). Here, we show the term s up to 0 (m $_{\rm Z}^2$ =m $_{\rm SUSY}$).

Charginos are linear combinations of the charged wino, W, and the charged Higgsino (H_1^{-} , H_2^{-+}). When M₂; m_W , the lighter chargino mass is

$$m_{x^{+}} M_{2} + \frac{m_{W}^{2}}{M_{2}^{2}} M_{2} + \sin 2$$
) (3)

for the wino-like case, and

$$m_{x^{+}}' = \frac{m_{W}^{2}}{M_{2}^{2}} (+M_{2} \sin 2)$$
 (4)

for the Higgsino-like case. We show the term sup to 0 (m $_{\rm Z}^2$ =m $_{\rm SUSY}$) here, again.

The mass di erence between chargino and the LSP, m, is an important parameter for the neutralino annihilation cross section into two photons. For the wino-like case, their masses are highly degenerate. The tree-level mass di erence is $0 \mmodel{mass} (m_Z^4 = m_{SUSY}^3)$ in a case m_z; M₂ M₁;

$$m_{\text{tree}} \, \prime \, \frac{m_Z^4}{M_1^2} s_W^2 \, c_W^2 \, \sin^2 2 \, ;$$
 (5)

since the O (m $_{\rm Z}^2 =$ m $_{\rm SUSY}$) corrections to the m asses are SU (2) U (1) invariant. The m ass splitting receives the positive contribution from the gauge boson loops due to the custodial SU (2) breaking. The radiative m ass di erence in the w ino limit is

$$m_{rad} = \frac{2M_2}{4} f(\frac{m_W}{M_2}) - \xi_W^2 f(\frac{m_Z}{M_2}) - f(0) ;$$
 (6)

where $f(a) = {R_1 \atop 0} dx 2(1+x) \log (x^2 + (1 x)a^2)$ [13]. This correction is about 0:18G eV when M₂ m_W.

For the H iggsino-like LSP, the mass splitting is 0 (m $_{\rm Z}^2$ =m $_{\rm SUSY}$),

m '
$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{m_z^2}{M_2} c_w^2$$
 (1 sin 2) + $\frac{1}{2} \frac{m_z^2}{M_1} s_w^2$ (1 + sin 2): (7)

The second-lightest neutralino (\sim_2^0) also degenerates with the LSP and chargino, since they are in common SU (2) multiplets. The mass di erence between the LSP and the second lightest neutralino, m_N , is again 0 ($m_M^2 = m_{SUSY}$),

$$m_{\rm N} = \frac{m_{\rm Z}^2}{M_2} c_{\rm W}^2 + \frac{m_{\rm Z}^2}{M_1} s_{\rm W}^2 : \qquad (8)$$

This is roughly 2 m when tan 1.

Next, we consider interactions among neutralino (s) and chargino. A fier ignoring all SU SY particles except $\sim_{1(2)}^{0}$ and \sim^{+} , the interactions which we have to take into account are only the gauge interactions. The leading correction by non-vanishing m to the neutralino annihilation cross section to two photons is O(m). A s will be shown in next section, the O(m) correction originates from an infrared behavior of the loop integrand which is controlled by m. The next-to-leading order correction is O(m). To this order, the Y ukawa interactions of the H iggs bosons or unphysical (NG) bosons must be included to keep the gauge invariance. In this paper, we calculate the annihilation cross section up to the leading-order correction O(m), however, it is straight-forward to include the next-to-leading order calculations.

In the wino lim it, the gauge interactions of inos are

$$L_{int} = \frac{e}{s_W} \overline{\gamma_1^0} \sim W^{y} + hc: + e \frac{c_W}{s_W} \sim Z + e \sim A :$$
(9)

The NR Lagrangian can be derived by taking a NR limit of neutralino and chargino and integrating out of the gauge elds, and we nd

$$L_{NR}^{(W)} = \sum_{N}^{Y} i\theta_{t} + \frac{r^{2}}{2m} |_{N}^{I} + \sum_{C}^{Y} i\theta_{t} m + \frac{r^{2}}{2m} |_{C}^{I} + \sum_{C}^{Y} i\theta_{t} m \frac{r^{2}}{2m} |_{C}^{I} + \frac{r^{2}}{2m} |_{C}^{$$

Here, $_{\rm C}$, $_{\rm C}$ and $_{\rm N}$ are de ned as

$$_{\rm C} = \frac{1+{}^{0}}{2} \sim e^{imt}; \qquad _{\rm C} = \frac{1}{2} {}^{0} \sim e^{imt}; \qquad _{\rm N} = \frac{1+{}^{0}}{2} \sim {}^{0}_{1} e^{imt}:$$
 (11)

We keep isospin singlet term s only, because only these term s are necessary to calculate the neutralino annihilation cross section.

The gauge interactions in the Higgsino lim it are

$$L_{int} = \frac{e}{2s_W} \overline{\gamma_1^0} \sim W^{y} \overline{\gamma_2^0} {}_{5} \sim W^{y} + h \mathfrak{x}: \quad \frac{e}{s_W c_W} \frac{1}{2} c_W^2 \overline{\gamma_2^0} \sim Z$$
$$+ e^{-} \sim A + \frac{e}{2s_W c_W} \overline{\gamma_1^0} {}_{5} \gamma_2^0 Z : \qquad (12)$$

The NR Lagrangian is derived in the same way as the wino-like case,

$$L_{NR}^{(H)} = \bigvee_{N} i \vartheta_{t} + \frac{r^{2}}{2m} \Big|_{N} + \bigvee_{C}^{y} i \vartheta_{t} m + \frac{r^{2}}{2m} \Big|_{C} + \frac{r^{2}}{2m} \Big|_{C} + \frac{r^{2}}{2m} \Big|_{C} + \frac{r^{2}}{2m} \Big|_{N} + \frac{r^{2}}{2m} \Big|_{N} + \frac{r^{2}}{2} \Big|_{N} + \frac{r^{2}}{2} \Big|_{C} + \frac{r^{2}}{2m} \Big|_{N} + \frac{r^{2}}{2} \Big|_{N} + \frac{r^{2}}{2} \Big|_{N} + \frac{r^{2}}{2} \Big|_{C} + \frac{r^{2}}{2m} \Big|_{N} + \frac{r^{2}}{2m} \Big|_{N} + \frac{r^{2}}{2} \Big|_{N} + \frac{r^{2}}{2} \Big|_{C} \Big|_{C} \Big|_{C} \Big|_{C} \Big|_{C} \Big|_{C} \Big|_{C} \Big|_{C} \Big|_{N} \Big|_{N} + \frac{r^{2}}{2} \Big|_{N} \Big|_$$

Here, N is de ned as N = $(1 \ ^0) \sim_2^0 e^{i\pi t} = 2$, since the sign of the the second-lightest neutralino m ass is opposite to that of the LSP.

So far we have derived the NR Lagrangian relevant to the neutralino and chargino scattering. Now we would like to include the terms relevant to the neutralino pair annihilation into two photons in the fram ework. The process $\sim_1^0 \sim_1^0 !$ involves external photons whose m on enturns are of the order of the neutralino m ass. Those photons cannot be described in the NR Lagrangian. However, it is possible to calculate the pair-annihilation cross section in this form alism, by introducing the a non-unitary four-Ferm iterms in the NR Lagrangian. The optical theorem relates the imaginary part of neutralino forward-scattering amplitude T to the pair-annihilation cross section ;

$$2 \text{ Im } T = s v :$$
 (14)

Here s and v are square of the center-of-m assenergy and the relative velocity, respectively. The LSP -pair annihilation cross section therefore can be obtained by calculating the imaginary part of the forward-scattering amplitude T if proper non-unitary terms are incorporated. This also justiles the factorization of the short-distance physics from the the long-distance one, and it is discussed in detail in Ref. [11].

W hen we explicitly keep the chargino elds in the NR action, the annihilation into two photons is described by a chargino four-Ferm i operator. The annihilation term is given by

$$L_{ann} = d_{C} \begin{pmatrix} y \\ c \end{pmatrix} (x)_{C} (x) \begin{pmatrix} y \\ c \end{pmatrix} (x)_{C} (x) :$$
(15)

The coupling d is matched to the chargino pair-annihilation cross section to two photons using Eq. (14), or equivalently v $_{ann}^{(c)} = \text{Im } d=2$. We nd d = i $^2=m^2$. We do not include the annihilation terms for the LSP or the next-lightest neutralino, since they are responsible to the processes to W - or Z -boson pairs, not to photons.

III Two-body State E ective Action

In order to calculate the neutralino annihilation cross section, it is convenient to use the elective Lagrangian for the neutralino and the chargino two-body states than the NR Lagrangian directly. The two-body state elective action is derived by introducing auxiliary elds for $_{C}^{y} _{c}$, $_{N}^{y} _{N}^{c}$, $_{N}^{y} _{N}^{c}$ and their Herm itian conjugate elds. A fler som e calculations, the two-body state elds ective action is found to be

$$S_{2body} = \frac{Z}{(2)^{4}} \frac{d^{4}P}{d^{3}r} = \int_{C}^{P} \int_{C}^{Y} f(\mathbf{r}) = 2 m + \frac{r^{2}}{m} + \frac{r^{2}}{r} + \frac{2}{r} + \frac{2}{m^{2}} \int_{C}^{P} f(\mathbf{r}) = \int_{C}^{P} f(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{X^{2}}{m^{2}} \int_{N_{1}}^{P} f(\mathbf{r}) = 2 m_{1} + \frac{r^{2}}{m} \int_{N_{1}}^{P} f(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{r^{2}}{m^{2}} \int_{N_{1}}^{P} f(\mathbf{r}) \int_{N_{1}}^{P} f(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{r^{2}}{m^{2}} \int_{N_{1}}^{P} f(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{r^{2}}{m^{2}} \int_{N_{1}}^{P} f(\mathbf{r}) \int_{N_{$$

Here, ${}_{C}^{(P)}(r)$, ${}_{N_{1}}^{(P)}(r)$ and ${}_{N_{2}}^{(P)}(r)$ correspond to the chargino pair, the lightest neutralino pair and the second-lightest neutralino pair, respectively, and $m_{1} = 0$ and $m_{2} = m_{N}$. The capital P is the center of mass energy and momentum of the two-body state, and r is the relative coordinate. The internal energy of the neutralino pair, E, is de ned as $E = P^{0} P^{2} = (4m)$. The coupling constants $!_{1}, !_{2}, c$ and $_{N}$ in S_{2body} are

$$!_{1} = \frac{\frac{P}{2}}{s_{W}^{2}}; !_{2} = 0; c = \frac{c_{W}^{2}}{s_{W}^{2}}; n = 0$$
(17)

for the wino-like case, and

$$!_{1} = \frac{p_{\overline{2}}}{4s_{W}^{2}}; !_{2} = \frac{p_{\overline{2}}}{4s_{W}^{2}}; c = \frac{(1 - 2c_{W}^{2})^{2}}{4c_{W}^{2}s_{W}^{2}}; n = \frac{4c_{W}^{2}s_{W}^{2}}{4c_{W}^{2}s_{W}^{2}}$$
(18)

for the Higgsino-like case.

The S-wave states of the neutralino and chargino pairs must give the largest contribution to the annihilation cross section, because higher angular-momentum modes are suppressed by power(s) of the relative velocity v compared with the S-wave state. Thus, the partial wave expansion of S_{2body} is convenient for us. For this purpose, we expand $\binom{P}{N_1}$ as

$${}^{(P)}_{N_{1}}(\mathbf{z}) = {}^{X}_{lm} {}^{Z} \frac{dp}{2} N_{plm} (P) (2p) j_{l}(pr) Y_{lm} (;) :$$
(19)

Here, j_1 (pr) is the spherical Bessel function, and Y_{lm} (;) is the spherical harm onic function. The quantum number p is related to the internal energy E as $E = p^2 = m$ under the on-shell condition. In Eq. (19), N_{plm} is the annihilation operator for the positive-energy state with quantum numbers (plm).

As mentioned in Section II, the annihilation cross section of the neutralino pair can be calculated from the forward-scattering amplitude by using the optical theorem,

$$v \frac{p^2}{m} = \frac{1}{2m^2} \operatorname{Im} T_{pp} \frac{p^2}{m}$$
 (20)

where the forward-scattering amplitude $T_{\rm pp} \, (\! E \!$) is

(2)⁴ (4) (P P⁰)
$$iT_{pp}$$
 (E) = $\frac{8}{v^2}$ h0 N_{p00} (P) N_{p00}^{γ} (P⁰) j Di (21)

and the relative velocity v = 2p=m. The amplitude T_{pp} may be obtained by solving the equation of motion for the two-body state derived from Eq. (16), as in the scattering theory in the quantum mechanics. Or, we may also calculate it by using the perturbative theory in a diagrammatic method.

The dom inant contribution to T_{pp} in the each loop order comes from the ladders of photon and weak-boson exchange, as illustrated in Fig. 1, provided that the interm ediate neutralinos and charginos are almost on-shell and enhance the corresponding am plitudes. Sim ilarphenom ena are found in QED or QCD as mentioned in Section I. In the electron and positron pair annihilation/production at the threshold region, the ratio between the lowest-order am plitude and the am plitude with additional one-photon exchange between electron and positron is proportional to =v at

$$\tilde{\chi}^{0} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\chi}^{+}} + \underbrace{\overline{\leq \leq}} + \cdot \cdot \cdot$$

Figure 1: Ladder diagram s in the calculation of the forward-scattering amplitude (T_{pp}) . The crossing points correspond to the annihilation term for chargino.

v ! 0. This is well-known as the threshold singularity. In order to evaluate the cross section, we need the resummation of the ladder diagrams since a ladder diagram with n-photon exchange is proportional to $(=v)^n$. The other diagrams, such as the crossed ladder diagrams, are suppressed by additional factors of v.

The e cient evaluation for the e ect of the resum m ation of the ladder diagram s to the electron and positron pair annihilation/production is to use the wave functions for electron and positron pair under the QED (Coulomb) potential. W hen we expand the two-body state of the electron and positron pair by the wave functions under the Coulomb potential, the Coulomb potential disappears from the two-body state action, and the calculation of the annihilation cross section at the threshold region is only for a tree-level diagram by the annihilation term, and becomes extrem ely simple.

In the evaluation for the neutralino annihilation cross section, the resummation of weak-boson exchange diagrams, in addition to that of photon exchange, is required for the heavy neutralino annihilation cross section. The wave functions of chargino and neutralino(s) under both C oulomb and Yukawa potentials can be derived numerically, not analytically. Then, we also consider the behavior of the cross section in a limit of m! 1. In next section we use the wave functions under the C oulomb potential for the chargino pair and the free wave functions for the neutralino pairs so that we can incorporate the all-order ladder diagram s of photon exchange, and we derive some numerical results.

In order to demonstrate the validity of the elective action Eq. (16), rst, we reproduce the previous one-loop result for the neutralino annihilation cross section to two photons in our formulation. The leading contribution to Im T_{pp} in the per-

turbative calculation is

$$\operatorname{Im} T_{pp} (0) = 4 \quad {}^{2} (4 !_{1})^{2} \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}} \frac{i}{\tilde{\chi}_{f}^{2} + m_{W}^{2}} \frac{i}{\tilde{\chi}_{f}^{2} = m + 2 m}^{2} : \qquad (22)$$

The velocity of the incident neutralinos is alm ost 0 in the above calculation since v=c 10³ for the neutralino DM in our galactic halo. By integrating the rh.s. of Eq. (22) and using Eq. (20), we nd

$$v_{1 \text{ loop}}(0) = \frac{2 \frac{2!_{1}^{2}}{m_{W}^{2}}}{m_{W}^{2}} 1 + \frac{s}{\frac{2m}{m_{W}^{2}}} \frac{2m}{m_{W}^{2}} :$$
(23)

This agrees with the result [6] which is obtained of the full one-loop calculation in the heavy wino or H iggsino limit.

It is found from Eq. (22) that the amplitude is enhanced by a factor of $!_1m = m_W$, in which m comes from chargino propagators in Eq. (22) if m can be neglected. O ther factor $1=m_W$ comes from the weak-boson propagators and the loop integral. The same enhancement factors also appear in the higher-order calculations. W henever one weak-boson exchange is added to the ladder graph, it gives an additional factor $!_1m = m_W$.

Note that the leading correction due to the mass di erence $m \text{ is } O\left(\begin{array}{c} m \\ m\end{array}\right)$ in Eq. (23), not O(m) as mentioned in the previous section. If the correction was O(m), the integrand in Eq. (22) could be expanded by m. However, in this case, the integral is infrared-divergent.

The one-bop cross section is independent of the neutralino mass in small m limit. On the other hand, the cross section should be bounded by the unitarity condition $v < 4 = (vm^2)$. Therefore the higher-order corrections must dominate the cross section, so that the correct 1=m² behavior would be reproduced. This is explained as follow. If the neutralino mass is much larger than the weak-boson mass, we can neglect the e ect of the weak-boson mass in the equation of motion. This can be seen by introducing the dimensionless coordinate $x = _2m r$ in Eq. (16). The factor e^{mwr} can be approximated to 1 in such an extremely large m case. Then, it is found that the cross section of the extremely heavy neutralino has the mass dependence $1=m^2$ from the dimensional analysis. In fact, we can solve the large mass limit, because gauge interactions in this Lagrangian generate a common potential 1=r, say the Coulomb force.

Now we calculate the cross section in this large mass limit. We take the wino limit for the LSP as an example, however, the result is similar for the Higgsino-like

Figure 2: The ladder diagram s of photon exchange relevant to the calculation of the forward-scattering amplitude. A $_0$ is the one-loop amplitude; A $_0$ $_2m = m_W$.

neutralino. It is convenient to change the basis from $\binom{\mathbb{P}}{C}$; $\binom{\mathbb{P}}{N}$ to $\binom{\mathbb{P}}{+}$; $\binom{\mathbb{P}}{P}$ in the two-body state elective action so that the 1=r potential terms are diagonalized as

Here, = 0.5 + $_{\rm C}$ $(+ _{\rm C})^2 + 4!_1^2$. Since $_+ > 0$ and < 0, $_+^{(P)}$ feels an attractive force, and $_+^{(P)}$ feels a repulsive one. The neutralino annihilation cross section is derived from the $_+^{(P)}$ annihilation, while the contribution from $_+^{(P)}$ is exponentially suppressed due to the repulsive force. N eglecting the contribution, the forward-scattering amplitude is written as follow s;

Im
$$T_{pp} = \frac{p^2}{m} \cdot \frac{4^2!_1^2}{v(\frac{2}{4} + !_1^2)(1 - \exp(-2 + v))}$$
: (25)

Then, the neutralino annihilation cross section to two photons in the large m ass lim it is

$$v = \frac{2^{2}!_{1}^{2}}{m^{2}v(_{+}^{2} + !_{1}^{2})(1 \exp(2_{+} = v))} \qquad 2.8 \qquad 10^{5} \frac{1}{vm^{2}}: \qquad (26)$$

This cross section behaves as $v = 1 = vm^2$, and satis es the unitarity bound as expected.

IV Higher-order Corrections in Neutralino Annihilation to Two Photons

In the previous section, we showed that the higher-order corrections from the weak-boson ladder diagrams must be non-negligible if the neutralino mass m is larger than $m_w = _2$. In this section we estimate more carefully the scale where the

perturbative approximation is broken down. We also discuss another important higher-order corrections from the photon ladder diagram s.

We start our discussion from the e ect of photon exchange. The corresponding diagram s in the perturbative calculation of T_{pp} are shown in Fig.2. In this gure, the orders of the am plitudes are also shown. The lowest-order diagram at the left side of the gure gives the am plitude A_0 (A_0 $_2m = m_W$). The diagram shave an addition factor m = m for each photon exchange, and then, the higher-order diagram s of photon exchange become in portant if the mass di erence (m) is smaller than 2m .

The enhancement factor $m = m \mod s$ from the massless photon exchange at t-channel. For the neutralino DM pair annihilation, the initial neutralinos is almost at rest, and then the intermediate charginos just slightly deviate from on-shell states by the mass di erence between chargino and neutralino, m. The situation is similar to calculation of the pair production at threshold in QED or QCD. In this case, the intermediate particles deviate from on-shell states by 0 (v^2m) where v is the relative velocity of the incident particles, and the diagram s have an addition factor (s)=v for each photon (gluon) exchange.

If neutralino is highly degenerate with chargino in mass, we need resummation of the photon ladder diagrams. Similar to the positron and electron annihilation/production explained in the previous section, the resummation of the ladder diagrams is possible analytically, by expanding the chargino-pair eld ($_{\rm C}$ (r)) in the two-body state elective action Eq. (16), in terms of the eigenfunctions of the Schrödinger equation with the C oulom b potential,

Here, C_{klm} is the annihilation operator of the continuum state of a chargino pair with quantum numbers (klm), and \tilde{C}_{nlm} is one of a chargino-pair bound state with (nlm). $R_c^{(kl)}$ (r) and $\tilde{R}_c^{(nl)}$ (r) are eigenfunctions of the radial direction for the states. Both R_c and \tilde{R}_c are given by the con uent hypergeom etric function, and the S-wave parts of these functions are [14]

$$R_{C}^{(k0)}(\mathbf{r}) = 2 \frac{m k}{1 \exp(m - k)} e^{ikr} F_{1} \frac{m}{2k} + 1;2;2ikr ;$$

$$R_{C}^{(n0)}(\mathbf{r}) = 2 \frac{m}{2n} e^{mr-2n} F_{1} n + 1;2;\frac{m r}{n} ;$$
(28)

where ${}_{1}F_{1}$ is the (K umm er's) con uent hypergeometric function. W ith this expansion and Eq. (19), we derive the S-wave elective Lagrangian of the two-body states.

W e show details of the Lagrangian in appendix A.

In the following we calculate the higher-order corrections of gauge-boson exchange. The forward-scattering amplitude T_{pp} is calculated from the S-wave elective Lagrangian by treating weak-boson exchange interactions perturbatively. The elect of all-order photon-exchange elects are now automatically taken into account in dependent coel cients of the interactions.

We ist calculate the cross section in the leading level where the e ect of only one W -boson exchange is included. A fler some calculation, we nd

$$v \frac{p^{2}}{m} = \frac{2}{m^{2}} \frac{i!_{1}}{p} \frac{Z}{2} \frac{dk}{p^{2}} \frac{imA_{NC}(p;k)}{p^{2}k^{2}} \frac{mk}{2mm+i0} \frac{mk}{1 \exp(m=k)} + \frac{i!_{1}}{p} \frac{X}{p^{2}} \frac{imA_{NC}(p;n)}{p^{2}+2m^{2}=4n^{2}} \frac{m}{2mm+i0} \frac{m}{2} \frac{m^{3-2}}{2}^{2}$$

The coe cients A $_{NC}$ and A $_{NC}$ are dened in Appendix A. Here the rst term in rhs. comes from the continuum states of chargino pair, while the second term from the discrete states of chargino pair.

We rst investigate contributions of the chargino bound states to the cross section. We can see from Eq.(31) that their contributions are suppressed by a factor compared with the continuum part. This is because the overlap between the wave functions of the neutralino pair and the chargino bound states is suppressed by . If energy of the neutralino pair is on the pole of the chargino bound state $(p^2=m 2 m ^2m=4n^2)$, the cross section is enhanced beyond the suppression due to the sm all overlap of the wave functions. However, it is very unlikely for the pair annihilation of the neutralino DM. The average DM velocity in our galactic hab is roughly v=c 10^3 . Thus, the bound state contribution is negligible as far as the neutralino m ass is sm aller than 100 TeV, since $p^2=m 10^6m$ and the m ass di erence m m ay be larger than 100 MeV.

Thus, we only have to take into account the contribution from the continuum chargino-pair states. Since the continuum part of the cross section is a smooth function around the p 0, the cross section for the neutralino DM annihilation may be approximated by v(0). The result is

$$v(0) = \frac{2^2}{m^2} j c(0) j^2$$
 (29)

#

where

$$C(0) = i!_{1}^{Z} \frac{dk}{2} \frac{4im}{1 e^{m-k}} \frac{m}{e^{m-k}} \frac{e^{m}}{2k} \frac{m}{2k} \frac{m}{m} \frac{2m}{m} \frac{k}{2}}{k^{2} + m^{2}_{W}} \frac{1}{k^{2} 2m} \frac{1}{k^{2} 2m}$$

"



Figure 3: a) The leading $\sim_1^0 \sim_1^0 ! 2$ cross section taking into account all-order QED e ect, v, as a function of the neutralino m ass m (solid lines). For comparison, result of the one-loop calculation Eq. (23) is also shown (dashed lines). b) The contours of $=_{1 \text{ loop}}$ in a (m, m) plane.

In a limit of vanishing , the v reduces to v_1 loop in Eq. (23).

In Fig. 3 a), solid lines are v(0) for m = 0.1 GeV, 1 GeV and 10 GeV. The left axis corresponds to the wino-like neutralino annihilation cross section, and the right axis is for the Higgsino-like one. For comparison, $v_{1 \text{ loop}}$ in Eq. (23) are also shown by dashed lines. Note that in uences of the QED potential always work to enhance the cross section. This is because the Coulomb force acts as an attractive force between the chargino pair. In Fig. 3 b), contours of $v(0) = v_{1 \text{ loop}}$ is shown in a (m, m) plane. The enhancement is large if the mass difference m is small and m is large as expected. For the wino-like neutralino, which is highly degenerate with chargino as m = 0 (100)MeV, the enhancement by factor of 2 is possible when the neutralino mass is 0 (1) TeV.

Next, we discuss the next-to-leading order corrections arising from the diagram s of two weak-boson exchange. The detail of the calculation will be given elsewhere [12], and we only show the ratio between the next-to-leading order corrections and the leading amplitude, R, in Fig.4.

In the wino-like case, the Z exchange between the chargino pair, shown in Fig. 5, leads to the next-to-leading order corrections. In Fig. 4 a), the ratio R in the wino-like case is plotted as a function of m with m = 0.1 GeV and 1 GeV. We call the value of m where $\Re j = 1$ as the critical neutralino m ass m _{crit}. Above and around the m ass, the higher-order corrections is larger than the leading-order one, therefore the perturbation is not reliable any m ore. For the wino-like case, m _{crit} 10 TeV,



Figure 4: The ratio between the next-to-leading order amplitude and the leadingorder amplitude as a function of the neutralino m ass m . a) and b) are for the w inoand the Higgsino-like neutralino, respectively.



Figure 5: Diagram s which contribute to next-to-leading order correction. a) and b) are for the wino- and Higgsino-like neutralino DM, respectively.

and for the H iggsino-like case m $_{\rm crit}$ $\,$ 0 (10)TeV .

V Conclusion and Discussion

A lthough the existence of DM is established, we do not yet know the DM nature. The neutralino DM predicted in MSSM is actively searched for by various experiments, trying to solve this myth. The search for gamma-ray signal from the center of our galaxy is a feasible way to discover the neutralino DM. E specially the monochromatic gamma ray from the neutralino DM pair annihilation is a robust signal.

The reliable estimation of the signal rate is important to either set limit of the neutralino DM or interpret the observed signals. The major systematic error of the signal rate comes from the distribution of the dark matter in our galaxy. However, the pair annihilation cross section $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 2 has not been also understood

completely. The problem arises when \sim_1^0 is wino- or Higgsino-like. In that case, the one-loop cross section v_1_{loop} is approximately constant, and does not scale as $1=m^2$. The one-loop cross section obviously breaks the unitarity bound in m ! 1 limit. The enhancement comes from chargino in the loop, which is degenerate with the LSP neutralino.

In this paper we study the higher-order loop corrections to the pair annihilation process. We nd that the dominant contribution comes from the ladder diagram of weak bosons and photon exchange. We study the corrections using NRQED technique, which allows us to incorporate ladder-type QED corrections analytically to all orders, while including weak boson exchange corrections perturbatively. We nd the QED corrections enhance the cross section up to a factor of two. We also nd the critical scale m_{crit}, around and above which the next-to-leading order corrections by weak-boson exchange are larger than the leading contribution, is 0 (10) TeV for the Higgsino-like LSP and 10 TeV for the wino-like LSP. The corrections reduce the cross section for m < m_{crit}. We also nd the pair-annihilation cross section may be used for any other DM candidate if the DM comes from SU (2) multiplets and the DM is degenerate with other components in mass.

The relic density of the H iggsino- or w ino-like LSP with the m ass above 1 TeV m ay be consistent to the m atter density of the U niverse $_{\rm M}$. The m onochrom atic gam m a ray in TeV region m ay be searched for the future atm ospheric C herenkov telescopes (ACT) [5]. The signal ux m ay be above the sensitivity, if the DM density distribution is singular at the center of our galaxy. Therefore it is important to estim ate the neutralino pair-annihilation cross section reliably. For such a heavy H iggsino- or w ino-like neutralino, one has to sum the weak corrections to all orders as we discussed in this paper. The resummation is possible by the solving the NR equation of motion of neutralino [12].

A dknow ledgm ents

We thank Dr. Gondolo, Dr. Kinoshita, Dr. Onogi and Dr. Sum ino for useful discussion, and Dr. Fukae for giving his master thesis to us. The work of SM is supported by JSPS. This work is supported in part by the Grant-in-A id for Science Research, Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan (No. 14046225 and No. 1313527 for JH, and No. 14540260 and No. 14046210 for MMN).

Appendix A S-wave Lagrangian

In this appendix, we list the full S-wave Lagrangian, which is used to calculate the leading and the next-to-leading order corrections of the cross section. The Swave Lagrangian is obtained by substituting Eq. (19) and Eq. (27) to the two-body state e ective action Eq. (16), and extracting the S-wave parts. We nd

$$L_{S} = L_{0} + L_{W} + L_{Z} + L_{a};$$

where

$$\begin{split} L_{0} &= \sum_{k=1}^{Z} \frac{dp}{2} = \sum_{m=1}^{P^{2}} \left[N_{p}^{(1)} N_{p}^{(1)} + \sum_{k=1}^{Z} \frac{dp}{2} = 2 m_{k} + \sum_{m=1}^{P^{2}} N_{p}^{(2)} N_{p}^{(2)} + \sum_{k=1}^{Z} \frac{dk}{2} = 2 m_{k} + \sum_{m=1}^{R^{2}} C_{k}^{y} C_{k} + \sum_{n=1}^{X} E_{n} + \sum_{m=1}^{R^{2}} C_{n}^{y} C_{n} \right]; \\ L_{W} &= \sum_{i=1}^{X^{2}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{Z} \frac{dp}{2} \frac{dk}{2} A_{NC} (p;k) C_{k}^{y} N_{p}^{(i)} + N_{p}^{(i)} C_{k} + \sum_{i=1}^{X^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{Z} \frac{dp}{2} A_{NC} (p;n) C_{n}^{y} N_{p}^{(i)} + N_{p}^{(i)} C_{n} \right]; \\ L_{Z} &= \sum_{m=1}^{Z} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{Z} \frac{dk}{2} A_{CC} (k;k^{0}) C_{k}^{y} C_{k^{0}} + \sum_{n=1}^{Z} \sum_{n=1}^{X} A_{CC} (n;n^{0}) C_{n}^{y} C_{n^{0}} + \sum_{i=1}^{Z} \frac{dk}{2} A_{CC} (k;n^{0}) C_{n}^{y} C_{k} + C_{k}^{y} C_{n}^{i} + \sum_{n=1}^{Z} \frac{dp}{2} \frac{dp}{2} A_{NN} (p;p^{0}) N_{p}^{(1)} N_{p^{0}}^{(2)} + N_{p^{0}}^{(2)} N_{p}^{(1)} \right]; \\ L_{a} &= \sum_{n=1}^{Z} \left[\sum_{m=2}^{Z} \frac{dk}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{Z} \frac{dk}{1} \sum_{m=1}^{Z} \frac{mk}{1} \frac{m}{mk} \sum_{n=1}^{Z} C_{n}^{y} + \sum_{n=1}^{Z} \frac{m}{2n^{0}} \sum_{n=1}^{Z} C_{n^{0}}^{\#} \right]; \end{split}$$
(30)

Here, we om it the arguments P, l, and m for simplicity, which are the center of m assenergy and m om entum, and orbit-angularm om entum s, respectively. Coupling constants $!_1$, etc, are de ned by the Eqs. (17,18). N_p⁽¹⁾ and N_p⁽²⁾ are annihilation operators of the lightest and next-lightest neutralino pairs, respectively, and C_k and C_n are for the continuum and discrete chargino pair states. The coe cients A_{NC} and K_{NC} , etc, are given by a little com plicated functions,

$$A_{NC}(p;k) = \frac{4}{m} \frac{s}{1 \exp(m=k)} \left[\frac{2}{m} \frac{m_{W}}{4} \frac{m_{W}}{m_{W}} \frac{i(p+k)}{i(p-k)} \right]^{1 \min(2k)^{3}} ;$$

$$\begin{split} A_{NC}(p;n) &= -\frac{4}{m} - \frac{m}{2n} - \frac{3^{-2}}{m} \prod_{k=1}^{m} \frac{m_{k}}{m_{k}} + \frac{m-2n}{m-2n} - \frac{ip}{p} \int_{0}^{n^{\frac{2}{n}}} ; \\ A_{CC}(k;k^{0}) &= -\frac{4}{m_{z}^{2} + (k-k^{0})^{2}} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{m_{k}}{m(k-k^{0})^{2}} \frac{m_{k}}{1 - \exp((-m-k))} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{m^{0}}{\exp((-m-k))} ; \\ \frac{m_{z} + i(k+k^{0})}{m_{z} - i(k-k^{0})} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{m_{z} + i(k+k^{0})}{m_{z} - i(k^{0}-k)} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{m^{0}}{m^{2}} ; \\ A_{CC}(n;n^{0}) &= -\frac{2}{4m_{z}^{2}} - \frac{2m^{2}}{m^{2}} (1-n-1-m^{0})^{2}} \int_{0}^{1n} \frac{2m_{z}}{2m_{z} + m} \frac{m(1-n+1-n)}{(1-n+1-n^{0})^{2}} ; \\ A_{CC}(n;n^{0}) &= -\frac{2}{4m_{z}^{2}} - \frac{2m^{2}}{m^{2}} (1-n-1-m^{0})^{2}} \int_{0}^{1n} \frac{2m_{z}}{2m_{z} + m} \frac{m(1-n+1-n^{0})}{(1-n+1-n^{0})^{2}} ; \\ A_{CC}(k;n) &= -\frac{4}{m_{z}^{2} + (ik-m-2(n))^{2}} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{m^{2}}{2m^{2}} \frac{2m^{2}}{m^{2}} (1-n-1-m^{0})^{2}}{1-\exp((-m-k))} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{m^{-2}}{2n} ; \\ A_{CC}(k;n) &= -\frac{4}{m_{z}^{2} + (ik-m-2(n))^{2}} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{m^{-2k}}{1-\exp((-m-k))} \frac{m^{-3-2}}{2n} ; \\ \frac{m_{z} + m - (2n) + ik}{m_{z} + m - (2n)} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{m^{-2k}}{m^{2}} \frac{m_{z} + m - (2n) + ik}{m_{z} - m - (2n) + ik} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{m^{-2k}}{m^{2}} ; \\ A_{NN}(p;p^{0}) &= -\log \frac{m_{z}^{2} + (p+p^{0})^{2}}{m_{z}^{2} + (p-p^{0})^{2}} ; \end{split}$$
(31)

Here, $_2F_1$ is the (G auss's) hypergeom etric function.

References

- [1] M.S.Tumer, arX iv astro-ph/0106035.
- [2] J.R.Ellis, J.S.Hagelin, D.V.Nanopoulos, K.A.Olive and M.Srednicki, Nucl. Phys.B 238 (1984) 453;

P.Gondolo and G.Gelm ini, Nucl. Phys. B 360 (1991) 145;

- M.Drees and M.M.Nojiri, Phys. Rev. D 47 (1993) 376;
- G.Jungman, M.Kamionkowski and K.Griest, Phys. Rept. 267, 195 (1996).
- [3] K. Enqvist and J. M cD onald, Nucl. Phys. B 538 (1999) 321;
 T. M oroi and L. R andall, Nucl. Phys. B 570 (2000) 455.
- [4] J. Silk, K. A. O live and M. Srednicki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55, 257 (1985); T. K. Gaisser, G. Steigm an and S. Tilav, Phys. Rev. D 34, 2206 (1986); S. Ritz and D. Seckel, Nucl. Phys. B 304, 877 (1988); G. F. Giudice and E. Roulet, Nucl. Phys. B 316, 429 (1989); H. U. Bengtsson, P. Salati and J. Silk, Nucl. Phys. B 346, 129 (1990); V. Berezinsky, A. Bottino and G. Mignola, Phys. Lett. B 325, 136 (1994); G. Jungm an and M. Kamionkowski, Phys. Rev. D 51, 328 (1995); L. Bergstrom, J. Edsip and P. Gondolo, Phys. Rev. D 55, 1765 (1997); J. Edsip, arX iv hep-ph/9704384; L. Bergstrom, Rept. Prog. Phys. 63 (2000) 793; E. A. Baltz, J. Edsip, K. Fræsse and P. Gondolo, Phys. Rev. D 65 (2002) 063511; P. U llio, JHEP 0106 (2001) 053; L. Bergstrom and P. Gondolo, A stropart. Phys. 5 (1996) 263; J. R. Ellis, J. L. Feng, A. Ferstl, K. T. Matchev and K. A. O live, Eur. Phys. J.
 - C 24 (2002) 311.
- [5] L.Bergstrom, P.Ullio and J.H.Buckley, Astropart. Phys. 9 (1998) 137;
 - L.Bergstrom and H.Snellman, Phys. Rev. D 37, 3737 (1988);
 - S.Rudaz, Phys.Rev.D 39, 3549 (1989);
 - G.F.G iudice and K.G riest, Phys. Rev.D 40, 2549 (1989);
 - A.Bouquet, P.Salati and J.Sik, Phys. Rev.D 40, 3168 (1989);
 - V.S.Berezinsky, A.Bottino and V.de Alfaro, Phys. Lett. B 274, 122 (1992);
 - M. Urban, A. Bouquet, B. Degrange, P. Fleury, J. Kaplan, A. L. Melchior and
 - E.Pare, Phys.Lett.B 293, 149 (1992);
 - L.Bergstrom and J.Kaplan, Astropart. Phys. 2, 261 (1994);
 - G.Jungman and M.Kamionkowski, Phys.Rev.D 51, 3121 (1995).
- [6] L.Bergstrom and P.Ullio, Nucl. Phys. B 504, 27 (1997);Z.Bern, P.G ondolo and M. Perelstein, Phys. Lett. B 411, 86 (1997).

- [7] M.D rees, Pram ana 51 (1998) 87.
- [8] M. Jacob and G.C.W ick, Annals Phys. 7 (1959) 404.
- [9] T.Appekquist and H.D.Politzer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 34 (1975) 43; Phys. Rev. D
 12 (1975) 1404;
 M.Braun, Sov. Phys. JETP bf 27 (1968) 652.
- [10] W .E.Caswelland G.P.Lepage, Phys.Lett.B 167 (1986) 437.
- [11] G.T.Bodwin, E.Braaten and G.P.Lepage, Phys. Rev.D 51 (1995) 1125.
- [12] J.H isano, S.M atsum oto, and M.M. Nojiri, work in progress.
- [13] H.C.Cheng, B.A.Dobrescu and K.T.Matchev, Nucl. Phys. B 543 (1999) 47
 [arX iv:hep-ph/9811316].
- [14] L D . Landau and E M . Lifshitz, Quantum mechanics { non-relativistic theory. (O xford Pergamon, 1977).