Role of baryonic resonances in the dilepton em ission in nucleon-nucleon collisions

R.Shyam and U.Mosel

Institut fur Theoretische Physik, Universitat Giessen, D-35392 Giessen, Germany (February 16, 2022)

W ithin an elective Lagrangian model, we present calculations for cross sections of the dilepton production in proton-proton and proton-neutron collisions at laboratory kinetic energies in 1-5 G eV range. P roduction amplitudes include contributions from the nucleon-nucleon brem sstrahlung as well as from the mechanism of excitation, propagation, and radiative decay of (1232) and N (1520) intermediate baryonic resonances. It is found that the delta isobar terms dom inate the cross sections in the entire considered beam energy range. O ur calculations are able to explain the data of the D LS collaboration on the dilepton production in proton-proton collisions for beam energies below 1.3 G eV. However, for incident energies higher than this the inclusion of contributions from other dilepton sources like D alitz decay of 0 and mesons, and direct decay of 0 and ! mesons is necessary to describe the data.

25.75 Dw, 13.30 Ce, 12.40 Yx

On leave from Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Calcutta, India

I. IN TRODUCTION

D ileptons observed in the nucleus-nucleus collisions travel relatively unscathed from the production point to the detector. Therefore, they are expected to provide clear inform ation about the early dense and hot stage of heavy ion collisions [1{3], which is in contrast to the hadronic probes which offen su er from strong nal state interactions and the information about the collision history carried by them may be lost due to the rescattering in the expansion phase [4]. One of the phenom ena predicted $[5\{13\}]$ at higher nuclear matter densities is the restoration of chiral symmetry which is manifested in the modi cation of m asses of the vector m esons as a function of the nuclear m atter density. Consequences of this e ect can be observed in the dilepton (e⁺ e) spectra measured in nucleus-nucleus collisions [14]. Enhancements (above known sources) observed in the measured [15,16] soft lepton pair production cross sections in heavy ion collisions at the SPS energies, have been attributed [17{21] to in-m edium m odi cations of the vector m eson properties rather than to re ection of a new state of hadronic matter. A lthough, such a scenario has not been fully successful [22{24] in explaining the dilepton spectra measured in these reactions at much lower beam energies (a few GeV /nucleon) [25] where the tem perature and density regime is di erent and one does not expect a phase transition.

In this context, the investigation of the dilepton production in elementary nucleonnucleon (NN) collisions is of interest because the corresponding cross sections enter in the transport model calculations of the e^+e^- spectra in the heavy ion collisions. Therefore, a quantitative understanding of this process is a natural prerequisite to an unequivocal determ ination of in-medium e ects mentioned above. The study of this process is of interest in its own right as it is expected to provide deeper insight into the hadronic structure and the photon-hadron interactions. This investigation may give fundamental information on the electrom agnetic form factor of the nucleon in the time-like region around the vector-meson m asses which is otherwise hard to access (see, e.g., [4]).

It has been known for som e tim e that interm ediate baryonic resonances play an important role in the dilepton production in the NN collisions. D alitz decay of the isobar has been shown to be a strong dilepton production channel [26{28]. The importance of the baryonic resonance N (1520) has been emphasized for this process in Refs. [29,30] where it is pointed out that the subthreshold production (and its subsequent decay) via this resonance m akes important contributions to the dilepton spectrum observed in the protonproton (pp) collisions. Therefore, the investigation of the dilepton production is expected to provide a useful tool to probe the param eters (eg:, coupling constants, form factors etc.) of the nucleon-resonance-photon vertices and m asses and widths of the relevant nucleon resonances.

The aim of this paper is to investigate the dilepton production in nucleon-nucleon collisions in the beam energy range of 1-5 GeV within an elective Lagrangian model (ELM) which has been successfully applied to the description of pion and associated kaon production in pp collisions [31{33}. Initial interaction between two incoming nucleons is modeled by an elective Lagrangian which is based on the exchange of the , , ! and m esons. The coupling constants at the nucleon-nucleon-m eson vertices are determined by directly tting the T matrices of the nucleon-nucleon (N N) scattering in the relevant energy region.

The e ective Lagrangian uses the pseudovector (PV) coupling for the nucleon-nucleon-pion

vertex and thus incorporates the low energy theorem s of current algebra and the hypothesis of PCAC. The e^+e^- production proceeds via excitation, propagation and radiative decay of

(1232) and N (1520) baryonic resonance states. A lso included are the nucleon interm ediate states (which gives rise to the N N brem sstrahlung contribution). The interference term s between various am plitudes are taken into account. The gauge invariance at the electrom agnetic vertices is preserved in our calculations. O urm odel is sim ilar in spirit to those of Refs. [28,34,35]. However, in Ref. [35] no resonance contribution was considered whereas in Ref. [28,34] resonance contributions were limited to the isobar only. The latter, though, did include the interference between the nucleon and term s. In calculations presented in Refs. [29,30,36], N N brem sstrahlung contributions were ignored. We would like to stress that in Refs. [29,30] constant m atrix elements have been used for various processes and the total dilepton production cross sections have been calculated by adding the corresponding cross sections and not the am plitudes, so part of the motivation of the present study is the investigation of the so far neglected quantum mechanical e ects.

We investigate the role of baryonic resonances in the invariant mass spectrum of the dilepton produced in proton-proton and proton-neutron collisions at various beam energies in the 1-5 G eV range. To this end, we present the rst eld theoretic calculation of the dilepton production in N N collisions where excitation, propagation and radiative decay of the N (1520) baryonic resonance are fully accounted for. We also compare our calculations with the published data [37] on the dilepton production in elementary proton-proton collisions, by the D ilepton Spectrum eter (D LS) collaboration. In order to describe these data the contributions from other dilepton sources (0 and D alitz decay and direct decay of 0 and I m esons) have also been considered.

The remainder of this paper is organized in the following way. Section II contains details of our theoretical approach. Section III comprises the results of our analysis and their discussions. The summary and conclusions of our work is presented in Sec. IV.

II.FORM ALISM

A representative of the lowest order Feynm an diagram s contributing to the dilepton production as considered by us, is shown in Fig.1. The interm ediate nucleon or resonances can radiate a virtual photon which decays into a dilepton (Figs. 1a and 1b). There are also their exchange counterparts. In addition, there are diagram s of these types where the virtual photon is emitted from the nucleon line on the right side. The internal m eson lines can also lead to dilepton emission (see Fig1c). M om enta of various particles are indicated in Fig. 1a. q, p_i, and k are four m om enta of the exchanged m eson, the interm ediate resonance (or nucleon) and the photon, respectively. To evaluate various am plitudes, we have used the e ective Lagrangians for the nucleon-nucleon-m eson, resonance-nucleon-m eson, nucleonnucleon-photon and resonance-nucleon-photon vertices. These are discussed in the follow ing subsections.



FIG.1. A representative of Feynm an diagram s for emission of dilepton in nucleon-nucleon collision as considered in this work. (a) denotes emission after NN collisions, (b) before NN collision and (c) during NN collision. The box represents any of an o-shell nucleon, a isobar or a N resonance.

A.Nucleon-nucleon-m eson vertex

As done before in the investigation of pp ! pp 0 , pp ! pn $^{+}$ [31] and pp ! p K $^{+}$ [33] reactions, the parameters for these vertices are determined by thing the N N elastic scattering T m atrix with an elective N N interaction based on the , , !, and m eson exchanges. The elective m eson-N N Lagrangians are (see, e.g., [38,39])

$$L_{NN} = \frac{g_{NN}}{2m_N} + 5 \qquad (e) + 1 \qquad (1)$$

$$L_{NN} = g_{IN} + \frac{k}{2m_N} Q_N$$
 (2)

$$L_{NN!} = Q_{N!N!} + \frac{k_!}{2m_N} \quad 0 \quad ! \quad N$$
 (3)

$$L_{NN} = g_{NN} \qquad N \qquad (4)$$

In Eqs. (1)-(4), we have used the notations and conventions of B jorken and D rell [40] and de nitions of various terms are the same as those given there. In Eq. (1) m_N denotes the nucleon m ass. It should be noted that we use a PV coupling for the N N vertex. Since these Lagrangians are used to directly model the N N T m atrix, we have also included a nucleon-nucleon-axial-vector-isovector vertex, with the elective Lagrangian given by

$$L_{NNA} = q_{NNA} \qquad 5 \qquad A; \qquad (5)$$

where A represents the axial-vector m eson eld. This term is introduced because if the m ass of the axial m eson A is chosen to be very large (m_N) [28] and g_{NNA} is de ned as

$$g_{N N A} = \frac{1}{p 3} m_{A} \frac{g_{N N}}{2m_{N}} ;$$
 (6)

it cures the unphysical behavior in the angular distribution of N N scattering caused by the contact term in the one-pion exchange amplitude. It should be mentioned here that A is di erent from the a_1 (1260) meson resonance to be discussed later on. The role of the A vertex is to explicitly subtract out the contact term of the one-pion exchange part of the N N interaction. Similar term in the coordinate space potential is electively switched o by the repulsive hard core.

At each interaction vertex, the following form factor is introduced

$$F_{i}^{NN} = \frac{2}{i} \frac{m_{i}^{2}}{2}; i = ; ; ;!;$$
(7)

where q_i and m_i are the four momentum and mass of the ith exchanged meson and i is the corresponding cut-o parameter. The latter governs the range of suppression of the contributions of high momenta which is done via the form factor. Since N N scattering cross sections decrease gradually with the beam energy (beyond certain value), and since we t the elastic T m atrix directly, the coupling constants are expected to be energy dependent. Therefore, while keeping the cut-o s i_i [in Eq. (7)] energy independent, we take energy dependent m eson-nucleon coupling constants of the following form

$$g(\overset{p}{s}) = g_0 \exp((\overset{p}{s});$$
(8)

here s is the square of the total CM energy. The parameters g_0 , ', and were determined by tting to the T matrix to the relevant proton-proton and proton-neutron scattering data at the beam energies in the range of 800 M eV to 4.00 G eV [28]. This procedure also xes the sign of the elective Lagrangians [Eqs. (1)-(5)]. The values of various parameters are shown in Table I [the signs of all the coupling constants (g) are positive]. In this table the parameters of the A exchange vertex are not explicitly shown as they are related to those of the pion via Eq. (6). The mass of the A meson is taken to be 18 G eV. The same parameters were also used to describe the initial N N interaction in the calculations reported in Refs. [28,31,33]. This ensures that the elastic N N elastic scattering channel remains the

TABLE I. Coupling constants for the NN -m eson vertices used in the calculations

M eson	g ² =4	١		m ass
			(GeV)	(G eV)
	12,562	0.1133	1.005	0.138
	2.340	0.1070	1.952	0.550
!	46.035	0.0985	0.984	0.783
	0.317	0.1800	1.607	0.770
$k = 6.033, k_1 = 0.0$				

same in the description of various inelastic processes within this model, as it should be.

The exchange of $a_1 m exon (m ass = 1260 M eV)$, which is the chiral partner of the m exon has also been considered by some authors [41] in the description of the N N interaction. However, its contribution there is masked to a considerable extent by the strong short-ranged repulsion originating from ! exchange. M oreover, as can be seen from Table I, the values of the cut-o parameters appearing in the form factors [Eq. (7)] are in the region of 1.0 - 2.0 GeV. Therefore, it does not seem meaningful to include exchange of mesons with masses lying in the region where modi cations due to the extended structure of hadrons are applied. N evertheless, $a_1 m eson$ (if included) can contribute to the dilepton spectrum through diagram s like the one shown in Fig. 1 (c). However, the couplings of this meson to nucleons and resonances are largely unknown. In any case, contributions of such processes are expected to be of relevance only for the dilepton invariant masses > 1.5 G eV [42]. This region is excluded by the D LS data. D ue to these reasons we have not included the exchange of $a_1 m$ eson in our calculations.

B.Resonance-nucleon-meson vertex

In addition to nucleonic interm ediate states (N N brem sstrahlung), we have considered in this work also the contributions from the (1232) isobar and N (1520) nucleon resonance interm ediate states. The latter is a spin $\frac{3}{2}$ negative parity resonance. W hile only and m esons couple to isobar, all of the four exchanged m esons, namely, , , !, and can couple to N (1520) resonance.

For spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ resonances, we use the following elective Lagrangians [43]

$$L_{RN} = \frac{g_{RN}}{m} \quad R \quad Q \quad N + H :::$$
(9)

$$L_{RN} = i \frac{g_{RN}}{m} \quad (0 \quad 0 \quad) \quad N + H :::$$
(10)

$$L_{RN!} = \frac{g_{RN!}}{m_{!}} R (0! 0!) R + H c::$$
 (11)

$$L_{RN} = \frac{g_{RN}}{m} R (0) R + H c::$$
 (12)

Here, ^R is the vector spinor for the spin $\frac{3}{2}$ particle. In Eqs. [(9) - (12)], the operator

TABLE II. Coupling constants and cut-o parameters for the resonance-nucleon-meson vertices used in the calculations

Resonance	decay channel	g	cut-o param eter
			(G eV)
(1232)	N	2.13	1.421
	N	7.14	2 273
N (1520)	N	1.55	0.800
	N	6.44	0.800
	N !	3.42	0.800
	Ν	1.24	0.800

is unity for even parity resonance and 5 for the odd parity one, whereas is 5 for the even parity resonance and unity for the odd parity one. The meson elds in above equations need to be replaced by (where corresponds to , , !, or meson elds) and T (where T and are the isospin transition operator and Pauli isospin matrices, respectively) for isospin $\frac{1}{2}$ and isospin $\frac{3}{2}$ resonances, respectively. The values of the coupling constants g_N and g_N are shown in Table II; these are the same as those determ ined in Ref. [28] by tting to experimental data on pp ! n ⁺⁺ reaction at kinetic energies in the range of 1-2 G eV. We have assumed that the o -shell dependence of the resonance-nucleon vertex is determ ined solely by multiplying the vertex constant by a form factor. Sim ilar to Ref. [28,31], we have used the following form factor for the vertices

$$F_{i}^{N} = \frac{\binom{n}{(i)^{2}} m_{i}^{2}}{\binom{n}{(i)^{2}} q_{i}^{2}}; i = ;;$$
(13)

with the values of the cut-o parameters as and as given in Table II which are exactly the same as those used earlier [28,31,33].

For the N N and N N vertices the coupling constants have been determined from the observed branching ratios for the decay of the resonance to N and N channels, respectively. In the later case the nite lifetime for the decay has been taken into ! account by introducing an integration over the corresponding phase space. The details of this method are provided in Ref [33]. The coupling constants g_{N} is and g_{N} are determ ined by vector m eson dom inance (VMD) hypothesis [44] and from the branching ratio of the decay of this resonance into the two pion channel [33], respectively. It should be noted that there is considerable uncertainty in the latter two coupling constants. However, the contributions of these terms to the total dilepton production amplitude are almost negligible. A s branching ratios determ ine only the square of the corresponding coupling constants, their signs remain uncertain in this method. Predictions from the independent calculations can, however, be used to constrain these signs [43,45,46]. Guided by the results of these studies, we have chosen the positive sign for the coupling constants for these vertices. The values of various coupling constants are given in Table II.

As in Refs. [43,44], we have used the following form factor for the N N vertices

$$F_{j}^{NN} = \frac{\binom{N}{j}^{4}}{\binom{N}{j}^{4} + \binom{q_{j}^{2}}{(q_{j}^{2} - m_{j}^{2})^{2}}}^{\#}; j = ; ;!; ;$$
(14)

with the value of the cut-o parameter being 0.8 GeV in all the cases. It may however be mentioned that identical results will be obtained if one uses the form factor as given by Eq. (13) with dimension cut-o parameters.

C.Nucleon-nucleon-photon vertex

In the nucleonic brem sstrahlung process of dilepton production the interm ediate nucleon is necessarily o -shell. The general form of the elective Lagrangian is given by

$$L_{NN} = e^{NN} A ; \qquad (15)$$

where the half-o -shell nucleon-photon vertex function is [47{49]

$${}^{NN} = ie _{s=}^{X} (F_{1}^{s} + F_{2}^{s} + F_{3}^{s}k) _{s}:$$
(16)

In Eq. (16), $k^2 = (p_f p)^2$ with p_f and p_i being the initial and nalnucleon fourmomenta.

= (p+W)=W are the projection operators where $W = (p^2)^{1-2}$ and $= i \quad k = 2m_N$. The form factors $F_{1;2;3}$ are functions of k^2 , W, and m_N . The factor F_3 is not independent but is constrained by the W ard-Takahashi identity [50] (i.e. by the requirement of gauge invariance)

$$\mathbf{F}_{1} = \mathbf{e}_{N} + \frac{\mathbf{k}^{2}}{\mathbf{W} \quad \mathbf{m}_{N}} \mathbf{F}_{3} ; \qquad (17)$$

where \hat{e}_N is the nucleon charge in units of je j.

In this work, we have adopted the procedure followed in Refs. [28,51] where one uses the on-shell form of the vertex function also for the o-shell momenta. This means, one assumes $F_1^+ = F_1 = F_1$ and $F_2^+ = F_2 = F_2$ and $F_3^+ = F_3 = 0$. This vertex does not in general satisfy gauge invariance. However, this can be achieved (see also [52]) by multiplying the external photon emission vertices by the same form factors that multiply the hadronic vertices [Eq. (7)] and by multiplying the internal photon production diagrams by the following additional factor:

$$F_{int} = 1 + \frac{m_{meson}^{2} q_{1}^{2}}{2 q_{1}^{2}} + \frac{m_{meson}^{2} q_{1}^{2}}{2 q_{1}^{2}}; \qquad (18)$$

where q_1 and q_2 are the fourm on entum transfers at the left and right vertices, respectively. In this way various electrom agnetic form factors can be in plem ented for the hadrons without bosing gauge invariance. Unfortunately, there are problem s and ambiguities in the the selection of form factors $F_{1,2}$ (see e.g., [53] for a detailed discussion). It has been shown already in Ref. [28] that N N brem sstrahlung contributions depend sensitively on the choice of these form factors and that cross sections calculated with no form factors are closest to the data. In our calculations, we have used the prescription [43,53] of using no form factors at the electrom agnetic vertices of the nucleon term and replacing F_1 by the nucleon charge and F_2 by nucleon anom alous magnetic moment.

D.Resonance-nucleon-photon vertex

TABLE III. Coupling constants for the resonance-nucleon-photon vertices used in the calculations. For N (1520) proton couplings are given in the rst line and neutron couplings in the second line.

R esonan <i>c</i> e	g ₁	g ₂	g ₃
(1232)	5.416	6.612	7.0
N (1520)	3.449	5.074	1.0
	-0.307	1.862	3.0

For $spin-\frac{3}{2}$ resonance-nucleon-vertices, the form of the vertex functions is

$${}_{RN} = \frac{i}{2m} \frac{e}{m_N} g_1(k^2) + \frac{g_2(k^2)}{2m_N} p^i + \frac{g_3(k^2)}{2m_N} k^* (kg + kg)Z$$
(19)

where Z is $_5$ for the even parity resonance and unity for the odd parity one. Values of the coupling constants g_1 , g_2 , and g_3 used in our calculations are shown in Table III. The rst two are taken from [43], while the last one from [54]. The vertex function given by Eq. (19) fulls gauge invariance [55] by the way of its construction.

It should be noted that the vector spinor vertices [Eqs. (9)-(12) and (19)] should in addition be contracted by an o-shell projector (z) = g $\frac{1}{2}(1+2z)$ where z is the o-shell parameter [43,56]. This operator describes the o-shell admixture of spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ elds [57]. The choice of the o-shell parameter z is arbitrary and it is treated as a free parameter to be determined by thing the data. However, recently, the authors of R ef. [58] have proposed a di erent N interaction which leads to am plitudes where spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ components of the Rarita-Schwinger propagator (see in section E) drop out, thus making the o-shell parameters redundant (see, eg;, [53,58] for further details). The full in plication of this prescription on observables calculated within the elective Lagrangian model will be investigated in future. In the present study we work with the couplings given by Eqs. (9)-(12) and (19).

E.Propagators

In the calculation of the amplitudes, the propagators for various m exons and nucleon resonances are required. For pion, m exon and axial-vector m exons, they are given by

G (q) =
$$\frac{1}{(q^2 m^2)}$$
 (20)

G (q) =
$$i \frac{g \quad q q = q^2}{q^2 \quad m^2}$$
 (21)

$$G_{A}(q) = i \frac{q}{q^{2} m_{A}^{2}}$$
 (22)

In Eq. (22), the mass of the axial meson is taken to be very large, as the corresponding amplitude is that of the contact term. The propagators for ! and mesons are similar to those given by Eqs. (21) and (20), respectively.

The propagators for the nucleon and the spin $\frac{3}{2}$ resonance are

$$G_{N}(p_{i}) = \frac{i(p_{i}+m_{N})}{p_{i}^{2} m_{N}^{2}}$$
(23)

$$G_{R}(p_{i}) = \frac{i(p_{i}+m_{R})}{p_{i}^{2}} \frac{p_{R}}{p_{R}} \frac{i(R=2)}{g}^{2} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{2}{3m_{R}^{2}}p_{i}p_{i} + \frac{1}{3m_{R}^{2}}(p_{i}-p_{i}) : \qquad (24)$$

In Eqs. (24), $_{\rm R}$ is the total width of the resonance which is introduced in the denom inator term (p² $m_{\rm R}^2$) to account for the fact that the resonances are not the stable particles; they have a nite life time for the decay into various channels. $_{\rm R}$ is a function of the center of m ass momentum of the decay channel, and it is taken to be the sum of the corresponding widths for its decays to pion and rho channels (the other decay channels are considered only implicitly by adding their branching ratios to that of the pion channel):

$$R = R! N + R! N \tag{25}$$

The partial decay width $_{R!N}$ is calculated in the same way as in Ref. [33]. This method is also identical to that used in Ref. [29]; the only difference is that while Ref. [33] uses a fully relativistic expression, that employed in [29] is its non-relativistic counterpart. The partial width $_{R!N}$ is taken as [29]

$$_{R!N}() = _{0} \frac{k()}{k(m_{R})}^{!2'+1} \frac{0.25 + k(m_{R})^{2}}{0.25 + k()^{2}}^{!2'+1};$$
(26)

with

k () =
$$\frac{\left[\left(\begin{array}{ccc} 2 & (m + m_{\rm N})^2\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc} 2 & (m & m_{\rm N})^2\right)\right]^{1-2}}{2}$$
: (27)

In Eq. (26), 'and $_0$ are 2 and 0.095 G eV for the N (1520) resonance, and 1 and 0.120 G eV for isobar, respectively.

It should be noted that no width is included in the propagator [Eq. (21)] as it corresponds to a exchange process between two nucleons where q^2 is in the space-like region and hence meson has a zero width. However, this width is nite in the propagator in, e.g., NN ! NN ! NN e^t e process where the corresponding momentum is time-like.

F.Amplitudes and cross sections

A fter having established the e ective Lagrangians, coupling constants and form of the propagators, we can now proceed to calculate the amplitudes for various diagram s associated with the N N ! N N e⁺ e reaction. These amplitudes can be written by following the well known Feynm an rules [50] and calculated num erically. It should be stressed here that the signs of the various amplitudes are xed, by those of the e ective Lagrangians, coupling constants and the propagators as described above. These signs are not allowed to change anyw here in the calculations.

The general formula for the invariant cross section of the N + N + N + e⁺e reaction is written as

$$d = \frac{m_{N}^{4} m_{e}^{2}}{2 \left[(p_{1} \ p_{1}^{2})^{2} \ m_{N}^{4}\right]} \frac{1}{(2)^{8}} {}^{4} (P_{f} \ P_{i}) j_{A}^{fi} j_{a=1}^{2} \frac{Y^{4}}{E_{a}} \frac{d^{3} p_{a}}{E_{a}};$$
(28)

where A^{fi} represents the total amplitude, P_i and P_f the sum of all the momenta in the initial and nal states, respectively, and p_a the momenta of the particles in the nal state. In Eq. (28) m_e represents the mass of the electron. The term jA^{fi} jalready includes a sum over nal spin and average over initial spin degrees of freedom of all particles. More details of the evaluation of Eq. (28) are given in appendix A.



FIG.2. Calculated invariant mass distributions for dileptons produced in proton-proton (left panel) and proton-neutron collisions (right panel) at the beam energies of 1.04 GeV, 2.09 GeV and 4.88 GeV. The contributions of the N N brem sstrahlung (non-resonance), and the delta isobar and N (1520) resonance states are shown by dashed-dotted, dashed, and dotted lines, respectively. Their coherent sum is shown by solid lines.

III.RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In Fig. 2, we show the invariant m ass spectra for dilepton production in both pp and pn collisions at bom barding energies of 1.04 GeV, 2.09 GeV and 4.88 GeV. It can be noted that in all the cases the dom inant contribution arrises from the interm ediate states consisting of the isobar resonance. In fact the total yields are alm ost equal to the contributions of the am plitude alone. The pn cross sections are about a factor of 2-3 larger than those for the pp reactions even at the higher beam energy of 4.88 GeV. For beam energies of 1.04 GeV and 2.09 GeV, the contributions of the N (1520) term s are sim ilar to those of the N N brem sstrahlung processes for the pp collisions, while for the pn case the latter are larger than the form er. However, at 4.88 GeV, the N channel prevails over the brem sstrahlung one in both cases. A ny way, the isobar production term s are still dom inant even at this high energy.



FIG.3. Calculated invariant mass distributions for dileptons produced in proton-proton (left panel) and proton-neutron collisions (right panel) at the beam energies of 1.04 GeV, 2.09 GeV and 4.88 GeV. Solid and dashed lines show the cross sections obtained by coherent and incoherent sum m ations of the am plitudes of various processes shown in Fig.2, respectively.

The contributions of the nucleon brem sstrahlung process (graphs involving only interm ediate nucleon lines) are considerably larger in case of pn reaction as compared to those for the pp case for all the beam energies. We do not observe the reversal of this trend at 4.88 GeV as seen in the soft-photon model calculations of Ref [27] (where NN brem sstrahlung is larger for pp reaction as compared to that for pn one). On the other hand, if the o -shell behavior of the elective NN interaction is described by using a T matrix which includes the degrees of freedom, instead of the meson exchange mechanism as employed in our model, the pp brem sstrahlung contributions turn out to be larger than those of ours at the bom barding energy of 1 GeV. Yet due to lack of calculations for the pn case, it may not be possible to make comments about the relative pp and pn brem sstrahlung contributions within this approach. In any case, the coherent sum of the and brem sstrahlung terms as obtained within ourm odel are similar to those calculated with the T matrix method.

The role of the interference e ects between various terms is investigated explicitly in Fig. 3 where we can pare the cross sections obtained by coherent and incoherent sum mations of the amplitudes corresponding to various processes shown in Fig. 2. The form er are the same as those shown by solid lines in Fig. 2. It is already noted in Fig. 2 that the delta



FIG.4. Total dilepton production cross section in proton-proton (top) and proton-neutron (bottom) collisions as a function of beam energy. The nucleon, delta isobar and N (1520) contributions are shown by crosses, led triangles and solid squares, respectively. The total cross sections obtained by coherent and incoherent sum m ations of the corresponding am plitudes are shown by open circles and plus signs, respectively.

contributions are slightly larger than the total ones at the larger m ass end of the spectrum for all the beam energies in both the cases. In Fig. 3, one can further see that the interference e ects are noticeable towards the larger m ass ends of the spectra for beam energies below 4.88 G eV in case of pp collisions. For the 4.88 G eV case these e ects show up also at low er values of the invariant m ass. On the other hand, they are relatively sm aller for the pn case everywhere.

C ontributions of various term s to the excitation function (integrated dilepton cross section) are shown in Fig. 4 for the pp (top) and pn (bottom) collisions. The total cross section at 1.04 G eV is slightly larger than that at 1.27 G eV in both the cases. However, after this it rises monotonously with the beam energy. The delta isobar term s dom inate the total production yields for all the beam energies. For pp collisions, N N brem sstrahlung and N (1520) term s are of sim ilarm agnitude except at the beam energy of 4.88 G eV where the latter term is larger than the form er. However, in the pn case, the N N brem sstrahlung contributions are larger than those of the N (1520) at lower beam energies while the two terms contribute sim ilarly at 4.88 G eV. Furtherm ore, the di erence in the interference e ects of



FIG.5. C om parison of the e ective Lagrangian model results for the invariant mass spectra of dileptons produced in proton-proton collisions, folded with the experimental lter, with the data of the DLS collaboration [37] at the beam energies of 1.04 GeV, 1.27 GeV, 1.61 GeV, 1.85 GeV, 2.09 GeV and 4.88 GeV.Various curves have the same meaning as in Fig.2. The solid circles show the experimental data.

various term s in two case, should also be noted. C ross sections obtained by coherent (shown by open circles) and incoherent (shown by plus signs) summations of the amplitudes di er very slightly for all the beam energies in case of the pn collisions and at energies sm aller than 4.88 G eV for the pp case. This can be understood from the fact that the regions where the interference e ects are visible in Fig. 3, contribute very little to the integrated cross sections for these cases. However, the interference e ects do show up even in the total dilepton yields for pp collisions at 4.88 G eV beam energy.

The cross sections shown in Figs. 2 and 3 can not be compared data of the DLS collaboration. They have to be folded with appropriate experimental liter, which is a function of the invariant mass (M), transverse momentum (p_T) and the rapidity in the laboratory frame (y_{lab}) of the produced dileptons. We have used the DLS acceptance liter (version 4.1) in the folding procedure. In Fig. 5, we present a comparison of the folded invariant mass spectra (which also include the nalmass resolution [29]) and the data from the DLS collaboration. We note that at the beam energies of 1.04 GeV and 1.27 GeV the elective Lagrangian m odel calculations are able to describe the data reasonably well in the region of



FIG. 6. The calculated dilepton invariant m ass spectra for proton-proton collisions in the beam energy range of 1.04-4.88 GeV as comparison to the DLS data [37]. The elective Lagrangian m odel results are shown by the dotted lines (the sam e as those shown by full lines in Fig. 4. The contributions of ⁰, Dalitz decay and ⁰ and ! direct decay processes are shown by dashed, dashed-dotted, open circles, and open squares, respectively. The incoherent sum of these cross sections with those of the elective Lagrangian m odel is shown by the solid line. Solid circles represent the experimental data.

M > 0.1 GeV. However, at beam energies higher than 1.27 GeV, our calculations fail to reproduce the data, being lower than them by factors ranging from 2-20. C learly, with increasing beam energy, other dilepton sources become important; these include D alitz decays of hadrons (⁰, and !) [23,29,30], direct decay of ! and ⁰ m eson to dileptons, processes leading to multi-hadronic nal states [27] and two pion annihilation [60]. Nevertheless, it is encouraging that the present elective Lagrangian model is able to account for the dilepton yields in pp collisions at beam energies around 1.0 GeV. Therefore, this theory could be useful in making predictions for the dilepton spectra to be measured by the HADES spectrom eter at GSI, for beam energies in this region.

In order to study the role of other dilepton production processes not considered in the present e ective Lagrangian m odel, we have included the contributions of the D alitz decays: ⁰ ! e^+e and ! e^+e , and the direct decays ⁰ ! e^+e and ! ! e^+e . The details of these calculations are described in R ef. [29] and in portant relevant form ulas are listed in appendix B. The cross sections of these processes were incoherently summed to those of the total mass dimential cross sections (solid lines in Fig. 5) of the ELM. The results are shown in Fig. 6 together with the DLS data. At the beam energies of 1.04 GeV and 1.27 GeV, we have shown explicitly only the contributions of 0 and 0 and decay processes, respectively together with the ELM results as cross sections for the other decay channels are insigni cant here. At incident energies of 1.61 GeV and 1.85 GeV we show in addition the contributions of 0 direct decay also; the ! direct decay is insigni cant at these energies. However, at 2.09 GeV and 4.88 GeV, contributions of all the decay channels as mentioned above are shown explicitly.

It is clear that now the data can be described reasonably well for all the beam energies. This comparison suggests that the lowest points in the mass distributions of the dilepton stem from the ⁰ D alitz decay. The D alitz decay is in portant at the interm ediate masses for beam energies between 1.61 G eV to 4.88 G eV, while ⁰ and ! direct decay processes are in portant at higher mass ends of the spectra for these beam energies. In addition to these processes, the multi-hadron nal state brem sstrahlung mechanism could also contribute signi cantly in the low mass region at the beam energy of 4.88 G eV [27]. We would like to mention that although several processes are included in our results shown in Fig. 6, the double counting problem is unlikely to be present there. The D alitz decay and the direct decay processes of dilepton production are excluded from the elective Lagrangian treatment. Furtherm ore, we have not considered the processes like N N ! N R ! N N ⁰ ! N N e⁺ e (term ed as the subthreshold resonance production). Therefore, problem s of double counting in the vector meson direct decay contributions as suggested in R ef. [61], are not present in our calculations.

IV.SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We investigated the dilepton production in the nucleon-nucleon collisions at beam energies in the range of 1-5 GeV within an elective Lagrangian model, which in proven to describe well the pion and kaon production in N N collisions. Most of the parameters of this model are xed by thing to the elastic N N T matrix; this restricts the freedom of varying the parameters of the model to provide a to the data. A long with the N N brem strahlung process, the model also includes the excitation, propagation and radiative decay of (1232) and N (1520) intermediate nucleon resonant states. The coupling constants at vertices involving resonances have been determined from the experimental branching ratios of their decay into various relevant channels. The interference terms among various amplitudes are included in the total T matrix.

The reaction proceeds predom inantly via excitation of the interm ediate state in the entire beam energy range considered in this work. The contributions of N (1520) terms are relatively small. The N N brem sstrahlung contributions are also weak in comparison to those of the delta isobar except for the higher mass region of the invariant mass spectra at the beam energy of 1.04 GeV. In this region of these spectra considerable interference between various terms is also visible. For the case of pp collisions, the N N brem sstrahlung and N (1520) contributions are similar in magnitude at lower beam energies. However, for the pn case, the form er is larger than the latter at these energies. On the other hand, for the beam energies of 4.88 GeV, N (1520) terms are larger than those of the brem sstrahlung

in both the cases. A key result of our study is that the pn brem sstrahlung is stronger than the pp one even at the beam energy of 4.88 GeV.

At the lower beam energies (1.04 GeV and 1.27 GeV), the elective Lagrangian model calculations are able to explain the data of the DLS collaboration for the invariant mass distribution of dilepton emitted in pp collisions except for the lowest mass point. This is encouraging in the context of the analysis of the new experimental data on the dilepton production expected shortly from the HADES collaboration at GSI, D arm stadt.

However, at higher beam energies (1.61 GeV, 1.85 GeV, 2.09 GeV and 4.88 GeV), the e ective Lagrangian model underpredicts the DLS data. This indicates that with increasing energy, other dilepton production sources become important. To stress this point further, we incoherently summed the ⁰ and Dalitz decay and ⁰ and ! direct decay contributions to the cross sections of the ELM . With this procedure, it is possible to provide a good description of the DLS data on the invariant mass distribution at all the beam energies. This also removes the discrepancy observed between the elective Lagrangian model calculations and the DLS invariant mass distribution data for the lowest mass point at the beam energies of 1.04 GeV and 1.27 GeV.

Our study has clari ed the role of and N (1520) intermediate baryonic resonance states in the dilepton production in the N N collisions. The interference e ects of various terms are visible towards the large invariant m assends of the spectra for proton-proton collisions. However, the DLS experimental later e ectively cuts o this mass region. Therefore, these e ects do not show up when calculations are compared with the data. This may imply that an incoherent combination of resonance and decay contributions is su cient to explain the DLS data. However, with improved quality of the data this impression could change. Therefore, precise data where also the hadrons in the nal channel are detected would be welcome. This would put constraint on them odels calculating the role of variousm echanisms in the dilepton production in nucleon-nucleon collisions. The present e ective Lagrangian approach should be improved by including the amplitudes for the decay processes also so that they can be summed coherently with the amplitudes of the other channels considered already.

ACKNOW LEDGM ENTS

This work has been supported by the Forschungszentrum Julich. One of the authors (RS) would like to thank E lena Bratkovskaya for several useful and clarifying discussions about the work presented in Refs. [29,30] and help in implementing the lterprogram of the DLS collaboration.

APPENDIX A: SOME DETAILS OF THE PHASE SPACE AND THE AMPLITUDE

In this appendix we give additional details of the calculation of the four-body phase space factor and the amplitude A^{fi} .

The kinematical situation for calculating the dimension [Eq. (28)] is illustrated in Fig. 7 where p_1 and p_2 depict the initial momenta of two nucleons while p_3 and

 p_4 their nalmomenta. The momenta of e^+ and e^- are shown by p_+ and p^- , respectively. P represents half of the total center of mass (CM) dilepton momentum. The di erential cross section can be written as

$$\frac{d}{dM \ d_{P} \ dP \ d_{Q_{L}} \ d_{Q_{N}}} = \frac{4m_{N}^{4} m_{e}^{2} P^{2} Q_{L}^{2} Q_{N}^{2}}{\left[E_{1+} Q_{L} \quad E_{1} \ P \cos_{1}\right] \left[(E_{3} + E_{4}) Q_{N} + (E_{3} \quad E_{4}) P \cos_{2}\right]} \\ \frac{M}{E_{1+} E_{p} \frac{1}{P} j_{p} j_{2}^{2}}, \qquad (A1)$$

where $Q_L = p - P$ and $Q_N = p_3 + P$. 1 and 2 are angles between P and Q_L , and P and Q_N , respectively. In Eq. (A1), we have de ned $E_{1+} = E_+ + E_-$ and $E_1 = E_+ - E_-$, where E_+ and E_- are energies of e^+ and e_- , respectively. E_p and \mathcal{P}_p j are the energy and m on entum of the projectile nucleon in the CM system. The invariant mass of the dilepton is given by, $M^2 = (p_+ + p_-)^2$. Integrations over the residual degrees of freedom in the dilepton dilepton for the mass section Eq. (A1) are carried out by using the M onte C arb techniques.



FIG.7. Illustration of the kinematical situation for e^+e^- pair production in N N collisions. p_1 and p_2 are the initial nucleon momenta in the CM system while p_3 and p_4 are the same for the nalnucleons. P is half of the total dilepton momentum.

A total number of 90 diagram s [42 for N N brem sstrahlung, 16 involving interm ediate states and 32 involving N (1520)] contribute to the amplitude A^{fi} . Due to their large number, it is hard to calculate them by usual trace techniques. Instead we follow the procedure, given below. Sim ilar method has also been used in our previous calculations within this model.

We rst note that am plitude corresponding to each individual diagram can be split into a hadronic part and a leptonic part as

$$A^{fi} h^{fi} \frac{g}{k^2} l^{fi}; \qquad (A2)$$

where k is the photon momentum. For example, for the diagram of type (a) of Fig. 1, involving pion exchange and the nucleon interm ediate state (N N brem sstrahlung), we have

$$h^{fi} = i \frac{g_{N N}}{2m_{N}} \frac{^{2}}{q^{2}} \frac{1}{m^{2}} u(p_{4};s_{4}) {}_{5} e_{\mu} (p_{2};s_{2}) u(p_{3};s_{3}) {}^{N N}$$

$$\frac{(p_{1}+m_{N})}{p_{1}^{2} m_{N}^{2}} {}_{5} e_{\mu} (p_{1};s_{1}) f_{iso}; \qquad (A 3)$$

 $l^{fi} = eu(p_{+};s_{+}) e u(p_{+};s_{+});$ (A4)

where u (p_i ; s_i) are the free D irac spinors with fourm on entum p_i and spin s_i , and ^{NN} is the sam e as de ned in Eq. (16). f_{iso} is the isospin factor which for each graph, is obtained from the separate treatment of the isospin parts. In Eq. (A 4) e is $\frac{1}{2}(1 + _3)$. Sim ilar expressions for the am plitudes corresponding to all the graphs can be written in a straight forward way by using the Lagrangians and the propagators given in the main text.

The sum mation over spins of the modulus square of the leptonic part gives

$$L = \frac{X}{\sup_{p \neq n} s} l^{fiy} l^{fi}$$

= $\frac{e^4}{4m_e^2} l^h 2g M^2 + 4(p_+ p_- + p_+ p_-)^i$ (A5)

In the evaluation of the hadronic part of the amplitudes we encounter term s of the form

$$T^{NI} = u(p_3; s_3) \quad \frac{p_i + m_j}{(p_i^2 - m_j^2)} \stackrel{NI}{=} \sup_{eff} u(p_1; s_1); \quad (A 6)$$

where ${}_{\rm eff}^{\rm N I}$ is a linear combination of 164 4 D irac matrices (1, , , , 5, 5) and m_j is mass of either nucleon or the resonance. In the latter case it also includes the resonance width as shown in Eq. (24). Further calculations of such terms are carried out by using the manipulator package REDUCE. The matrix elements so calculated are sum med together as complex numbers. The result still depends on the initial and nalspins of the nucleons. The modulus square of this sum is then averaged over the initial spins and sum med over the nal spin states. This leads to $j_{\rm A}^{\rm fig}$. By this procedure a large number of matrix manipulations are avoided.

APPENDIX B:CALCULATIONS OF DALITZ DECAYS AND DIRECT DECAY OF M ESONS

In this appendix we describe brie y the calculations of D alitz decays $! e^{\dagger}e$ and $^{0}! e^{\dagger}e$, and direct decays V $! e^{\dagger}e$ (V = and !). More details can be found in Ref. [29].

The calculation of the process pp ! M X ! $e^{+}e^{-}$ proceeds in two steps. First the meson M (and) is produced in the pp collisions and then the Dalitz decay M ! $e^{+}e^{-}$ is considered. In the procedure of Ref. [29], the prametrizations of Refs. [3,14,62] are used to calculate the M production cross sections. The Dalitz decay to $e^{+}e^{-}$ is given by (see also [63])

$$\frac{d}{dM} = \frac{4}{3} \frac{!2}{M} = \frac{4}{3} \frac{!2}{M} \frac{1}{1} \frac{4m_e^2}{M^2} \frac{!1=2}{1+2} \frac{1+2m_e^2}{M^2}$$

$$\frac{1}{1} \frac{M^2}{m^2} \frac{!1=2}{F} \frac{!1=2}{e^+e^-} \frac{(M)^2}{M^2}; \quad (B1)$$

where the form factor is parameterized as

$$F_{!e^{+}e^{-}}(M) = 1 \frac{M^{2}}{2}^{!1}$$
 (B2)

The value of parameter is 0.72 GeV. In Eq. (B1), represents the nestructure constant, and $_{!2}$ is the partial width for the !2 decay whose value is adopted from the particle data group compilation [64].

The Dalitz decay 0 ! $e^{+}e^{-}$ is calculated in the same way using the following form factor

$$F_{0} = e^{+} e (M) = 1 + B_{0} M^{2};$$
(B3)

with B $\circ = 5.5 \,\text{GeV}^2$.

Similarly, calculations of the direct decay of and ! mesons proceed in two steps; the production of these meson in pp collisions is calculated in the rst step and their decay to dilepton in the second

$$\frac{d (M)}{dM}^{N N! VNN! e^+ e^- NN} = \frac{d (M)}{dM}^{NN! VNN} \frac{V! e^+ e^- (M)}{V_{tot} (M)}$$
(B4)

The calculation of the st term on the right hand side is described in details in Ref. [29] and will not be repeated here. The decay width $_{V!e^+e}$ (M) is given by

$$v_{e^+e^-}(M) = C_v \frac{m_v^4}{M^3};$$
 (B5)

where $C_V = 8.8 \ 10^6$ and 0.767 10^6 for V = and !, respectively. The total vector m eson decay width V_{tot}^V is de ned in the same way as in Ref. [29]. We refer to [61], for a m ore recent description of these calculations.

[1] C.Gale and J.Kapusta, Phys. Rev. C 35, 2107 1987.

- [2] L.H.Xia, C.M.Ko, L.Xiang and J.R.Wu, Nucl. Phys. A 485, 721 1988.
- [3] Gy. Wolf, G. Batko, W. Cassing, U. Mosel, K. Nitta, and M. Schafer, Nucl. Phys. A 517, 615 (1990).
- [4] U.Mosel, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 41, 29 1991.
- [5] G.E.Brown and M.Rho, Phys.Rev.Lett. 66, 2720 (1991).
- [6] T.Hatsuda and S.Lee, Phys. Rev. C 46, R34 (1992).
- [7] G. Chanfray and P. Schuck, Nucl. Phys. A 545, 271c (1992).
- [8] M. Herrm ann, B. Frim an, and W. Norenberg, Nucl. Phys. A 560, 411 (1993).
- [9] M. Asakawa and C. M. Ko, Phys. Rev. C 48, R526 (1993).
- [10] C.M. Shakin and W.D. Sun, Phys. Rev. C 49, 1185 (1994).
- [11] F.K lingl and W. Weise, Nucl. Phys. A 606, 329 (1996); F.K lingl, N.Kaiser, and W. Weise, ibid. A 624, 527 (1997).
- [12] R.Rapp, G.Chanfray, and J.W am bach, Nucl. Phys. A 617, 496 (1997).
- [13] S. Leupold, W. Peters, and U. Mosel, Nucl. Phys. A 628, 311 (1998).
- [14] Gy.Wolf, W. Cassing, and U. Mosel, Nucl. Phys. A 552, 549 (1993).
- [15] G.Agakichiev et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 1272 (1995); Th.U llrich et al., Nucl. Phys. A 610, 317c (1996).
- [16] M . M asera, Nucl. Phys. A 590, 93c (1995).
- [17] G.Q.Li, C.M.Ko, and G.E.Brown, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 4007 1995.
- [18] C.M.Ko, G.Q.Li, and G.E.Brown, and H.Sorge, Nucl. Phys. A 610, 342c (1996).
- [19] W .Cassing, W .Ehehalt, and C.M.Ko, Phys.Lett. B 363, 35 (1995); W .Cassing, W .Ehehalt, and I.K ralik, ibid. B 377, 5 (1996).
- [20] E.L.Bratkovskaya and W. Cassing, Nucl. Phys. A 619, 413 (1997).
- [21] W. Cassing, E. L. Bratkovkaya, R. Rapp, and J. Wam bach, Phys. Rev. C 57, 916 (1998).
- [22] E.L.Bratkovkaya, W. Cassing, R.Rapp, and J.W am bach, Nucl. Phys. A 634, 168 (1998).
- [23] C. Ernst, S. A. Bass, M. Belkasen, H. Stocker, and W. Greiner, Phys. Rev. C 58, 447 (1998).
- [24] E.L.Bratkovkaya and C.M.Ko, Phys.Lett. B 445, 265 (1999).
- [25] R.J.Porter et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 79, 1229 (1997).
- [26] C.Gale and J.Kapusta, Phys. Rev. C 40, 2397 (1989).
- [27] K.Haglin and C.Gale, Phys. Rev. C 49, 401 (1994).
- [28] M. Schafer, H.C. Donges, A. Engel, and U. Mosel, Nucl. Phys. A 575, 429 (1994).
- [29] E.L.Bratkovskaya, W.Cassing, M.E enberger, and U.Mosel, Nucl. Phys. A 653, 301 (1999).
- [30] E.L.Bratkovskaya, W.Cassing, and U.Mosel, Nucl. Phys. A 686, 568 (2001).
- [31] A. Engel, R. Shyam, U. Mosel, and A. K. Dutt-Majum der, Nucl. Phys. A 603, 387 (1996).
- [32] R. Shyam and U. Mosel, Phys. Lett. B 426, 1 (1998).
- [33] R. Shyam, Phys. Rev. C 60, 055213 (1999); R. Shyam, G. Penner, and U. Mosel, Phys. Rev. C 63, 022202 (R) (2001).
- [34] F. de Jong and U. Mosel, Phys. Lett. B 379, 45 (1996);
- [35] K.L.Haglin, Ann.Phys.212,84 (1991).
- [36] A.I.Titov, B.K am pfer, and E.L.Bratkovskaya, Phys.Rev.C 51, 227 (1995).
- [37] W .K.W ilson et al, Phys. Rev. C 57, 1865 (1998).
- [38] T. Ericson and W. Weise, Pions and Nuclei (Clarendon, Oxford, 1988).
- [39] G. Penner, Ph.D. thesis (in English), Universitat Giessen, 2002, available at the URL http://theorie.physik.uni-giessen.de
- [40] J. D. B jorken and S. D. D rell, Relativistic Quantum Mechanics (McG raw Hill, New York,

1964).

- [41] J.W. Durso, G.E. Brown, and M. Saarela, Nucl. Phys. A 430, 653 (1984).
- [42] C.Song, C.M.Ko, and C.Gale, Phys. Rev. C 50, R1827 (1994).
- [43] T. Feuster and U. Mosel, Nucl. Phys. A 612, 375 (1997), Phys. Rev. C 58, 457 (1998), Phys. Rev. C 59, 460 (1999).
- [44] M. Post and U. Mosel, NuclPhys. A 688, 808 (2001).
- [45] D.M.Manley am dE.M.Saleski, Phys. Rev. D 45, 4002 (1992).
- [46] S.Capstick and W. Roberts, Phys. Rev. D 49, 4570 (1994).
- [47] A.Yu.Korchin and O.Scholten, Nucl. Phys. A 581, 493 (1995).
- [48] H.W.L.Naus and J.H.Koch, Phys. Rev. C 36, 2459 (1987).
- [49] P.C.Tiem eijer and J.A.Tjon, Phys. Rev.C 42, 599 (1990).
- [50] C. Itzykson and J.B. Zuber, Quantum Field Theory, (M cG raw Hill, New York, 1964).
- [51] K. Haglin, J. Kapusta, and C. Gale, Phys. Lett. B 224, 433 (1989).
- [52] I.S.Towner, Phys. Rep. 155, 263 (1987).
- [53] G.Penner and U.Mosel, Phys.Rev.C 66, 055211 (2002); Phys.Rev.C 66, 055212 (2002).
- [54] G. Penner, D iplom a Thesis, University of Giessen, 1997 (unpublished).
- [55] S. Nozawa, B. Blankleider, and T.-S.H. Lee, Nucl. Phys. A 513, 459 (1990); S. Nozawa and T.-S.H. Lee, ibid A 513, 511 (1990).
- [56] M. Benmerrouche, N. C. Mukhopadhyay, and J. F. Zhang, Phys. Rev D 51, 3237 (1995).
- [57] M. Benmerrouche, R. M. Davidson, and N. C. Mukhopadhyay, Phys. Rev. C 39, 2339 (1989).
- [58] V. Pascalutsa, Phys. Rev. D 58, 096992 (1998); V. Pascalutsa and R. Timmermans, Phys. Rev. C 60, 042201 (R) (1999).
- [59] M. Schafer, T. S. Biro, W. Cassing, and U. Mosel, Phys. Lett. B 221, 1 (1989).
- [60] J.K apusta and P.Lichard, Phys. Rev. C 40, R1574 (1989).
- [61] Am and Faessler, Christian Fuchs, Mikhail Krivoruchenko, and Boris Martem yanov, LANL preprint nucl-th/0010056.
- [62] T. Vetter, A. Engel, T. Biro, and U. Mosel, Phys. Lett. B 263, 153 (1991).
- [63] L.G.Landsberg, Phys. Rep. 128, 301 (1985).
- [64] Particle Data Group, K. Hagiwara et al, Phys. Rev. D 66, 010001 (2002).