

Bounding the tau neutrino magnetic moment from the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^- \gamma$

Aytek in AYDEMİR
Ramazan SEVER^y

February 14, 2022

Abstract

In a class of E_6 inspired models with a light additional neutral vector boson, we discuss the effects of the magnetic moment of the tau neutrino by analyzing $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^- \gamma$ at the Z-pole. We take into account present scenarios for the extra Abelian group and vary extra neutral gauge boson mass beyond the present experimental exclusion limits. The present LEP experimental results prove us to set an upper bound on the magnetic moment of the tau neutrino: $< 1.83 \cdot 10^{-6}$.

Mersin Üniversitesi, İcel-Turkey

^yOrta Doğu Teknik Üniversitesi, Ankara-Turkey

1 INTRODUCTION

Neutrinos are weakly interacting particles. They have very long mean free path. So they immediately escape from the star and effect the evolution of the star drastically. In the detection of neutrinos emerging from the sun, the experimental results do not agree with the expected rates ($\sim 1/3$ of the expected rates) [1] obtained from theoretical calculations using standard model (SM). Hence this is considered as a solar neutrino problem. On the other hand, due to the emission of neutrinos, some of the stars could not be detected in their proper places [2]. Magnetic moment of the neutrino is one of the properties to solve these problems. Magnetic moment can be the reason of the detection of the neutrinos by the magnetic field of the sun.

The possible electromagnetic properties of a massive Dirac neutrino are summarized in the current [3,4,5]

$$J = \bar{u}_v(q_2) \left[i \frac{e}{2m} F_2(q^2) + e F_3(q^2) \gamma_5 \right] u_v(q_1) \quad (1)$$

where F_2 and F_3 are dimensionless structure functions, corresponding to magnetic moment and electric dipole moment respectively at $q^2 = 0$.

On the other hand, some of the experimental results for the cross section of some processes at high energies deviate from the values obtained theoretically using standard model. One example is $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ scattering cross section calculated at TRISTAN and LEP-I energies and the result is 2% different from the theoretical calculations [6,7]. This discrepancy could be removed if a new intermediate vector boson (IVB) which comes out in the extension of the standard model or in GUT's is considered [8,9,10]. In the successive breaking of E_6 as

$$E_6 \rightarrow SO(10) \times U(1) \rightarrow SU(5) \times U(1) \times U(1)$$

the standard elektroweak group $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ is embedded in $SU(5)$ subgroup of $SO(10)$: Therefore, we can write Q^0 charges in terms of Q and Q' which are already orthogonal to symmetries of elektromagnetism and other known interactions which are buried into $SU(5)$. Then we write [8,11]

$$\begin{aligned} Q^0 &= Q \cos\theta + Q' \sin\theta: \\ Q'^0 &= -Q \sin\theta + Q' \cos\theta: \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

This new IVB Z_θ is considered in our calculations together with the magnetic moment of the neutrino.

Thus, the relevant neutral current Lagrangian becomes [12,13]

$$L_{NC} = g_1 Z_0 J_{Z_0} + g_2 Z_\theta J_{Z_\theta} \quad (3)$$

with the currents

$$\begin{aligned} J_{Z_0} &= X \overline{f} [a + b_5] f \\ J_{Z_\#} &= X \overline{f} [a^0 + b_5^0] f \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where f representing fermions,

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= (g^2 + g^{\prime 2})^{1/2} = \frac{e}{2 \sin_w \cos_w} = (\frac{1}{2} G M_{Z_0}^2)^{1/2} \\ g_2 &= g_\# \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \frac{1}{2} + 2 \sin^2 \#_w \\ b &= \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} a^0 &= X \left(\frac{\cos \#}{\frac{1}{6}} + \frac{\sin \#}{\frac{1}{10}} \right) \left(\frac{\cos \#}{\frac{1}{6}} + \frac{3 \sin \#}{\frac{1}{10}} \right) \\ b^0 &= 2 X \frac{\sin \#}{\frac{1}{10}} \left(\frac{\cos \#}{\frac{1}{6}} + \frac{3 \sin \#}{\frac{1}{10}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

and

$$X = \left(\frac{g_\#^2}{g^2 + g^{\prime 2}} \right) \left(\frac{M_{Z_0}}{M_{Z_\#}} \right)^2 \quad (8)$$

is a parameter depending on the coupling constant $g_\#$ and the mass of $Z_\#$; where $\#$ is the mixing angle in E_6

$$Z_\# = Z \cos \# + Z' \sin \# : \quad (9)$$

For the following $\#$ values the corresponding models emerge:

$$\# = 0 ; Z_\# \equiv Z ;$$

$$\# = 37.8 ; Z_\# \equiv Z^0 ;$$

$$\# = 90 ; Z_\# \equiv Z' ;$$

$$\# = 127.8 ; Z_\# \equiv Z_I \text{ [7].}$$

2 CALCULATION

We calculated the differential cross section for the scattering process

$$e^+(p_1) + e^-(p_2) \rightarrow \gamma(k) + \nu(q_1) + \bar{\nu}(q_2) \quad (10)$$

at LEP energies where only the relevant four Feynman diagrams are considered and given in Fig. 1. Using the experimental result on the cross section of above mentioned process we obtain an upper bound for the magnetic moment of the tau neutrino (μ_{ν}). Charged currents can produce only ν_e and $\bar{\nu}_e$ due to lepton number conservation, and if considered in the calculations, can only decrease the magnetic moment of the tau neutrino. Therefore charged current contribution is not considered. The same process is calculated at center of mass energies where $\sqrt{s} = M_{Z_0}$ by D. Fargion et al. for six Feynman diagrams [14].

The four momenta of the electron, positron, photon, neutrino, and antineutrino are p_1, p_2, k, q_1 , and q_2 ; respectively. The four momenta of Z_0 and $Z_{\#}$ are s_1 and s_2 ; respectively and are taken equal to center of mass energy $\sqrt{s}$.

The total matrix element for the diagrams in Fig. 1, is

$$M_{Tot} = M_a + M_b + M_a^0 + M_b^0 \quad (11)$$

where

$$M_a = \bar{\nu}_{e^+}(p_2) \frac{ie}{\sin \theta_w \cos \theta_w} \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) u_e(p_1) \frac{i}{s - M_{Z_0}^2 + i(s - M_{Z_0}^2)M_{Z_0 Z_0}} \left(g + \frac{s}{M_{Z_0}^2} \right) \bar{u}_\nu(q_1) \frac{i}{\omega_1 + \omega_k} \frac{ie}{\sin \theta_w \cos \theta_w} \left(\frac{1}{4} \right) v_\nu(q_2) \quad (12)$$

$$M_b = \bar{\nu}_{e^+}(p_2) \frac{ie}{\sin \theta_w \cos \theta_w} \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) u_e(p_1) \frac{i}{s - M_{Z_0}^2 + i(s - M_{Z_0}^2)M_{Z_0 Z_0}} \left(g + \frac{s}{M_{Z_0}^2} \right) \bar{u}_\nu(q_1) \frac{ie}{\sin \theta_w \cos \theta_w} \left(\frac{1}{4} \right) \frac{i}{\omega_2 + \omega_k} \bar{v}_\nu(q_2) \quad (13)$$

and for M_a^0 and M_b^0

$$M_a^0 = M_a(a; a^0; b; b^0; M_{Z_0}; M_{Z_{\#}}) \quad (14)$$

$$M_b^0 = M_b(a; a^0; b; b^0; M_{Z_0}; M_{Z_{\#}}) \quad (15)$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{ij} = \mathcal{M}_{aj} + \mathcal{M}_{bj} + \mathcal{M}_{aj}^0 + \mathcal{M}_{bj}^0 + (M_a M_a^{0y} + M_b M_b^{0y} + M_a^y M_a^0 + M_b^y M_b^0): \quad (16)$$

Here only the terms different from zero are written. In Eq. (16), the first two terms are due to the intermediate vector boson Z_0 and are calculated by T.M. Gould and I. Z. Rothstein [4]. The second two terms are due to $Z_\#$ which arise in the extensions of the standard model. The last four terms in parentheses are due to the mixing of Z_0 and $Z_\#$. We calculate the last six terms. In the following equations Z_i should be replaced by $Z_i \rightarrow \frac{s}{M_{Z_i}^2} Z_i$ where $i=0$ and $\#$:

Taking the sum over final particle's polarizations and the average over the initial particle's polarizations, the square of the matrix elements becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\text{pol;spins}} |\mathcal{M}_{aj}|^2 &= \frac{1}{4} \frac{e^4 \frac{1}{4} k \cdot k}{64 \sin^4 \theta_w \cos^4 \theta_w (q^2 + k)^4 [(s - M_{Z_0}^2)^2 + M_{Z_0}^2 \frac{1}{4}]} \\ &\quad [\text{Tr}[(\not{p}_2 - m)(\not{a} + \not{b}_5)(\not{p}_1 + m)(\not{a} + \not{b}_5)] \\ &\quad \text{Tr}[\not{q}_2 (1 - \gamma_5)(\not{a}_1 + \not{k})(\not{F}_2 - \not{F}_3 \gamma_5) \not{a}_1 (\not{F}_2 + \not{F}_3 \gamma_5) \\ &\quad (\not{a}_1 + \not{k})(1 - \gamma_5)]] \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\text{pol;spins}} |\mathcal{M}_{bj}|^2 &= \frac{1}{4} \frac{e^4 \frac{1}{4} k \cdot k}{64 \sin^4 \theta_w \cos^4 \theta_w (q^2 + k)^4 [(s - M_{Z_0}^2)^2 + M_{Z_0}^2 \frac{1}{4}]} \\ &\quad [\text{Tr}[(\not{p}_2 - m)(\not{a} + \not{b}_5)(\not{p}_1 + m)(\not{a} + \not{b}_5)] \\ &\quad \text{Tr}[\not{q}_2 (\not{F}_2 - \not{F}_3 \gamma_5) (\not{a}_2 + \not{k})(1 - \gamma_5) \not{a}_1 (1 - \gamma_5) \\ &\quad (\not{a}_2 + \not{k})(\not{F}_2 + \not{F}_3 \gamma_5)]]: \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

The trace calculations yield

$$\begin{aligned} M_{K1} &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\text{pol;spins}} (\mathcal{M}_{aj} + \mathcal{M}_{bj})^2 = \frac{\frac{1}{4} 16 \frac{1}{4} e^4 \frac{1}{4}}{8 \sin^4 \theta_w \cos^4 \theta_w [(s - M_{Z_0}^2)^2 + M_{Z_0}^2 \frac{1}{4}]} \\ &\quad [4 (\not{p}_1 \not{a}_1) (\not{p}_2 \not{a}_2) \sin^4 \theta_w + 4 (\not{p}_1 \not{a}_2) (\not{p}_2 \not{a}_1) \sin^4 \theta_w \\ &\quad 4 (\not{p}_1 \not{a}_2) (\not{p}_2 \not{a}_1) \sin^2 \theta_w + (\not{p}_1 \not{a}_2) (\not{p}_2 \not{a}_1)]; \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

$$M_{K2} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\text{pol;spins}} (\mathcal{M}_{aj}^0 + \mathcal{M}_{bj}^0)^2 = \frac{\frac{1}{4} 16 \frac{1}{4} e^4 \frac{1}{4}}{7200 \sin^4 \theta_w \cos^4 \theta_w [(s - M_{Z_\#}^2)^2 + M_{Z_\#}^2 \frac{1}{4}]} \frac{1}{4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& [729(p_1 q_1)(p_2 q_2) \sin^4 \theta - 270 p_1 p_2 (p_1 q_1)(p_2 q_2) \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta + \\
& 25 p_1 p_2 (p_1 q_1)(p_2 q_2) \cos^4 \theta - 72 p_1 p_2 (p_1 q_1)(p_2 q_1) \sin^3 \theta \cos \theta \\
& 40 p_1 p_2 (p_1 q_2)(p_2 q_1) \sin \theta \cos^3 \theta + \\
& 81(p_1 q_2)(p_2 q_1) \sin^4 \theta + 330(p_1 q_2)(p_2 q_1) \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta + \\
& 25(p_1 q_2)(p_2 q_1) \cos^4 \theta]; \quad (20)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
M_K^3 = & \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\text{pol; spins}}^X (M_a M_a^{0Y} + M_b M_b^{0Y} + M_a^Y M_a^0 + M_b^Y M_b^0) = \\
& \frac{\frac{1}{4} \frac{16}{240} \frac{2}{4} e^{\frac{2}{B}} X}{\sin^4 \theta_w \cos^4 \theta_w} f(s; M_{z_0}; z_0; M_{z_\#}; z_\#) \\
& [54 p_1 p_2 (p_1 q_1)(p_2 q_2) \sin^2 \theta_w \sin^2 \theta - 10 p_1 p_2 (p_1 q_1)(p_2 q_2) \sin^2 \theta_w \cos^2 \theta + \\
& 8 p_1 p_2 (p_1 q_2)(p_2 q_1) \sin^2 \theta_w \sin \theta \cos \theta - 4 p_1 p_2 (p_1 q_2)(p_2 q_1) \sin \theta \cos \theta \\
& 18 p_1 p_2 (p_2 q_2)(p_2 q_1) \sin^2 \theta_w \sin^2 \theta - 10 p_1 p_2 (p_2 q_2)(p_2 q_1) \sin^2 \theta_w \cos^2 \theta + \\
& 9 p_1 p_2 (p_2 q_2)(p_2 q_1) \sin^2 \theta + 5 p_1 p_2 (p_2 q_2)(p_2 q_1) \cos^2 \theta]; \quad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$f(s; M_{z_0}; z_0; M_{z_\#}; z_\#) = \frac{2([s M_{z_0}^2] [s M_{z_\#}^2] + M_{z_0} z_0 M_{z_\#} z_\#)}{f[s M_{z_0}^2] [s M_{z_\#}^2] + M_{z_0} z_0 M_{z_\#} z_\#) g^2 + [(s M_{z_\#}^2) M_{z_0} z_0 (s M_{z_0}^2) M_{z_\#} z_\#]^2} :$$

Since we are interested with the magnetic moment in all of the above calculations we considered $F_3 = 0$ and $F_2 =$ and m_e^2 s:

Now, using the differential cross section

$$d = \frac{M^2}{4[(p_1 p_2)^2 m_e m_e]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{d^3 q_1}{2q_{10} (2)^3} \frac{d^3 q_2}{2q_{20} (2)^3} \frac{d^3 k}{2k_0 (2)^3} (2)^4 (p_1 + p_2 - q_1 - q_2 - k) \quad (22)$$

with Lenard's formula

$$\sum \frac{d^3 q_1}{2q_{10}} \frac{d^3 q_2}{2q_{20}} q_1 q_2^4 (P - q_1 - q_2) = \frac{1}{24} [2P \cdot P + g \cdot P^2]; \quad (23)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
d = & d(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3) = E dE d(\cos \theta) \frac{1}{96 \sin^4 \theta_w \cos^4 \theta_w} \frac{1}{[(s - M_{z_0}^2) + M_{z_0}^2 \frac{2}{z_0}]} \\
& [4 p_1 p_2 (8 \sin^4 \theta_w - 4 \sin^2 \theta_w + 1) E +
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& 4(2\sin^2\theta_w - 1)(\cos^2\theta \frac{E^2}{2s} - E^2)\sin^2\theta_w + \cos^2\theta \frac{E^2}{2s} - E^2] + \\
& \frac{X^2}{43200 \sin^4\theta_w \cos^4\theta_w [(s - M_{Z_\#}^2)^2 + M_{Z_\#}^2 \frac{E^2}{2s}]} \\
& [4\sqrt{s}(4 - 15(9\sin^2\theta + 5\cos^2\theta))\sin\theta\cos\theta - 405\sin^4\theta \\
& 30\sin^2\theta\cos^2\theta - 25\cos^4\theta)E^2 - 2((2s + E^2) - \cos^2\theta \frac{E^2}{2s}) (1815\sin^2\theta + \\
& 10\sqrt{s} - 15\cos^2\theta - 15\sin\theta\cos\theta)\sin\theta\cos\theta \\
& 405(\cos^2\theta \frac{E^2}{2s} - E^2)\sin^4\theta + 25(2s + E^2)\cos^4\theta - 25\cos^2\theta \cos^4\theta E^2] \\
& \frac{X}{2880 \sin^4\theta_w \cos^4\theta_w} f(s; M_{Z_0}; Z_0; M_{Z_\#}; Z_\#): \\
& [4\sqrt{s}(4 - 15(2\sin^2\theta_w - 1)\sin\theta\cos\theta + 4(9\sin^2\theta - 5\cos^2\theta) \\
& \sin^2\theta_w + 9\sin^2\theta + 5\cos^2\theta)E^2 + 4[9(\cos^2\theta \frac{E^2}{2s} - E^2)\sin^2\theta + \\
& 5(2s + E^2)\cos^2\theta - 5\cos^2\theta \cos^2\theta E^2]\sin^2\theta_w + \\
& 4\sqrt{s} - 15(2\sin^2\theta_w - 1)(\cos^2\theta \frac{E^2}{2s} - E^2)\sin\theta\cos\theta + \\
& 9(\cos^2\theta \frac{E^2}{2s} - E^2)\sin^2\theta - 5(2s + E^2)\cos^2\theta + 5\cos^2\theta \cos^2\theta E^2]g: \quad (24)
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating over θ from $-\pi$ to 0 and E from 15 GeV to 100 GeV and using the following numerical values, $\sin^2\theta_w = 0.2314$; $M_{Z_0} = 91.187$ GeV, $M_{Z_\#} = 5.35 \times 10^{18}$ GeV, $\frac{E^2}{2s} = \frac{1}{137}$, $M_{Z_0}^2 = 91.187^2$ GeV²; $s = M_{Z_0}^2$; $X = \frac{1.15}{3} \frac{M_{Z_0}}{M_{Z_\#}}$; $Z_\# = Z_0 = 2.49$ GeV,

we obtain the cross section

$$= \sigma(s; M_{Z_\#}; \theta): \quad (25)$$

Since we have calculated the cross section at the Z -pole, that is at $s = M_{Z_0}^2$; the value of $\sin^2\theta_w$ is not affected by Z physics [15,16], and we take $\sin^2\theta_w = 0.2314$. And also since $Z_\#$ contribution is small at $s = M_{Z_0}^2$; taking $Z_\# = Z_0$ or $Z_\# = 0$ does not affect our results [7]. We have calculated the cross section due to their magnetic moments for various values of θ and $M_{Z_\#}$ corresponding to different models of new physics due to superstring-inspired E_6 theories [8] and the numerical results for the cross sections for all neutrino interactions are given in Table 1.

The cross sections σ_1 ; σ_2 ; σ_3 ; correspond to Z_0 (SM), new intermediate vector boson $Z_\#$; mixing of Z_0 and $Z_\#$ respectively. The total cross section is $\sigma = \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3$:

Using $\sigma_{\text{exp}} L = N$ and taking the experimental results [17], $L = 48.0 \text{ pb}^{-1}$; $N = 14$, we obtain a limit for the neutrino magnetic moment in terms of μ_B as

$$1.83 - 1.6$$

for $M_{Z_\#} = 7 - M_{Z_0}$; and $\theta = 37.8^\circ$:

3 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

We have seen that, there is no much difference for various $M_{Z_\#}$ and $\#$ values in the calculation of the cross section in the content of this study. The only important contribution from $Z_\#$ particle is at $\# = 37:8$ which corresponds to $Z_\# \rightarrow Z^0$: That is, it gives negative contribution to the cross section.

We conclude that there is no further constraint on the magnetic moment of the neutrino due to $Z_\#$ since its mass is higher than Z_0 at $\sqrt{s} = M_{Z_0}$: But at higher center-of-mass energies $\sqrt{s} > M_{Z_\#}$; the $Z_\#$ contribution to the cross section becomes comparable with Z_0 :

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Professor T. A.iev for the suggestion of the problem, and also thank Dr. D. A. Demir for helpful discussions.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

FIGURE 1. The lowest order Feynman diagrams for the pair annihilation process.

TABLE CAPTIONS

TABLE 1. Scattering cross section for various $\#$ and $M_{z\#}$ values at $\bar{s} = M_{z_0}$: The unit of σ is cm^2 :

References

- [1] R. J. N. Phillips, "Solar Neutrinos" Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, (September 1987).
- [2] E. D. Commins, "Weak Interactions" McGraw-Hill, Inc. (1973).
- [3] R. N. Mohapatra, P. B. Pal, "Massive Neutrinos in Physics and Astrophysics" World Scientific Pub. (1991).
- [4] T. M. Gould, I. Z. Rothstein, Phys. Lett. B 333, 545 (1994).
- [5] R. Escribano, E. Masso, hep-ph/9403304.
- [6] P. Osland, A. A. Pankov, Phys. Lett. B 403, 93 (1997).
- [7] P. Osland, A. A. Pankov, Phys. Lett. B 406, 328 (1997).
- [8] London, Rosner, Phys. Rev. D 34, 1530 (1986).
- [9] P. Langacker, M. Luo, Phys. Rev. D 45, 278 (1992).
- [10] G. Altarelli, R. Casalbuoni, S. De Curtis, and et al, Phys. Lett. B 318, 139 (1993).
- [11] S. Capstick, S. Godfrey, Phys. Rev. D 35, 3351 (1987).
- [12] A. Leike, hep-ph/9805494.
- [13] S. Alam, J. D. Anand, S. N. Biswas, and A. Goyal, Phys. Rev. D 40, 2712 (15 Oct 1989).
- [14] D. Fargion, R. V. Konoplich, and R. Mignani, Phys. Rev. D 47, 751 (1993).
- [15] P. Langacker, M. Luo, and A. K. Mann, Reviews of Modern Physics, 64, 105 (Jan 1992).
- [16] D. A. Demir, hep-ph/9809361.
- [17] P. Mattig, CERN-PPE/95-081.




Fig. 1- The lowest order Feynman diagrams for the pair annihilation process.

TABLE I. Scattering cross sections for various $\#$ and $M_{Z\#}$ values at $\sqrt{s} = M_{Z_0}$: The unit of σ is cm^2 :

$\# = 0$									
$\frac{M_{Z\#}}{M_{Z_0}}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$		$-\frac{2}{2}$		$-\frac{3}{2}$		$-\frac{4}{2}$		
5	8:682	10^{26}	2:913	10^{36}	1:696	10^{35}	8:682	10^{26}	
6	8:682	10^{26}	6:605	10^{37}	4:615	10^{36}	8:682	10^{26}	
7	8:682	10^{26}	1:896	10^{37}	1:545	10^{36}	8:682	10^{26}	
8	8:682	10^{26}	6:450	10^{38}	6:009	10^{37}	8:682	10^{26}	
9	8:682	10^{26}	2:497	10^{38}	2:617	10^{37}	8:682	10^{26}	
10	8:682	10^{26}	1:070	10^{38}	1:246	10^{37}	8:682	10^{26}	
11	8:682	10^{26}	4:974	10^{39}	6:372	10^{38}	8:682	10^{26}	
$\# = 37:8$									
$\frac{M_{Z\#}}{M_{Z_0}}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$		$-\frac{2}{2}$		$-\frac{3}{2}$		$-\frac{4}{2}$		
5	8:682	10^{26}	1:982	10^{36}	1:322	10^{35}	8:682	10^{26}	
6	8:682	10^{26}	4:495	10^{37}	3:597	10^{36}	8:682	10^{26}	
7	8:682	10^{26}	1:290	10^{37}	1:204	10^{36}	8:682	10^{26}	
8	8:682	10^{26}	4:390	10^{38}	4:683	10^{37}	8:682	10^{26}	
9	8:682	10^{26}	1:700	10^{38}	2:040	10^{37}	8:682	10^{26}	
10	8:682	10^{26}	7:281	10^{39}	9:710	10^{38}	8:682	10^{26}	
11	8:682	10^{26}	3:385	10^{39}	4:965	10^{38}	8:682	10^{26}	
$\# = 90$									
$\frac{M_{Z\#}}{M_{Z_0}}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$		$-\frac{2}{2}$		$-\frac{3}{2}$		$-\frac{4}{2}$		
5	8:682	10^{26}	3:675	10^{35}	7:869	10^{34}	8:682	10^{26}	
6	8:682	10^{26}	8:333	10^{36}	2:141	10^{34}	8:682	10^{26}	
7	8:682	10^{26}	2:391	10^{36}	7:170	10^{35}	8:682	10^{26}	
8	8:682	10^{26}	8:138	10^{37}	2:788	10^{35}	8:682	10^{26}	
9	8:682	10^{26}	3:151	10^{37}	1:214	10^{35}	8:682	10^{26}	
10	8:682	10^{26}	1:350	10^{37}	5:781	10^{36}	8:682	10^{26}	
11	8:682	10^{26}	6:275	10^{38}	2:956	10^{36}	8:682	10^{26}	
$\# = 127:8$									
$\frac{M_{Z\#}}{M_{Z_0}}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$		$-\frac{2}{2}$		$-\frac{3}{2}$		$-\frac{4}{2}$		
5	8:682	10^{26}	3:135	10^{35}	7:398	10^{34}	8:682	10^{26}	
6	8:682	10^{26}	7:108	10^{36}	2:013	10^{34}	8:682	10^{26}	
7	8:682	10^{26}	2:040	10^{36}	6:740	10^{35}	8:682	10^{26}	
8	8:682	10^{26}	6:942	10^{37}	2:621	10^{35}	8:682	10^{26}	
9	8:682	10^{26}	2:688	10^{37}	1:142	10^{35}	8:682	10^{26}	
10	8:682	10^{26}	1:151	10^{37}	5:435	10^{36}	8:682	10^{26}	
11	8:682	10^{26}	5:353	10^{38}	2:779	10^{36}	8:682	10^{26}	