

# Large Direct $CP$ Violation in $B \rightarrow \phi\phi X_s$ Decays

Masashi Hazumi

*Institute of Particle and Nuclear Studies,  
High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK),  
1-1 Oho, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan*

---

## Abstract

We present a novel method to search for a new  $CP$ -violating phase in the  $b \rightarrow s\bar{s}s$  transition using  $B \rightarrow \phi\phi X_s$  decays, where  $X_s$  represents a final state with a specific strange flavor such as  $K^\pm$ ,  $K^{*\pm}$  or  $K^\pm\pi^\mp$ . Direct  $CP$  violation can be enhanced due to an interference between an amplitude beyond the standard model (SM) and the SM decay amplitude through the  $\eta_c$  resonance. We find that the  $CP$  asymmetry can be as large as 0.4 within the present experimental bounds on the  $b \rightarrow s\bar{s}s$  transition. These decays provide a very clean experimental signature and the background is expected to be small in particular at  $e^+e^-$   $B$  factories. A simulation study for the  $B^\pm \rightarrow \phi\phi K^\pm$  decay shows that the statistical significance of  $CP$  violation can exceed 5 standard deviations with  $10^9$   $B$  mesons.

*Key words:*  $B$  decay, direct  $CP$  violation, new physics

*PACS:* 11.30.Er, 12.15.Ff, 13.25.Hw

---

The phenomenon of  $CP$  violation is one of the major unresolved issues in our understanding of elementary particles today. In the standard model (SM),  $CP$  violation arises from an irreducible complex phase, the Kobayashi-Maskawa (KM) phase [1], in the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) weak-interaction quark mixing matrix [1,2]. Recent observations of direct  $CP$  violation in the neutral  $K$  meson system [3,4] and mixing-induced  $CP$  violation in the neutral  $B$  meson system [5,6] strongly support the KM mechanism. Despite this success, additional  $CP$ -violating phases are inevitable in most of theories involving new physics (NP) beyond the SM [7]. Some of them allow large deviations from the SM predictions in  $B$  meson decays. Examples of such theories include supersymmetric grand-unified theories with the see-saw mechanism that can accommodate the large neutrino mixing [8]. Therefore it is of fundamental importance to measure  $CP$  asymmetries that are sensitive to the difference between the SM and NP. Additional sources of  $CP$  violation are also highly desirable to understand the origin of the matter-antimatter asymmetry of the universe; detailed studies have found no way that  $CP$  violation in the SM alone could explain the baryogenesis [9]. Many methods to search for a new source of  $CP$  violation in  $B$  meson decays have been proposed until now. One of the most promising ways among them is to compare the mixing-induced  $CP$  asymmetries in the  $B \rightarrow \phi K_S^0$  decay [10], which is dominated by the  $b \rightarrow s\bar{s}s$  transition that is known to be sensitive to possible NP effects, with those in the  $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$  decay [11]. Recent measurements by Belle [12] and BaBar [13] collaborations yield values smaller than the SM expectation; a difference by 2.6 standard deviations is obtained when two results are combined. The other charmless decays  $B^0 \rightarrow \eta' K_S^0$  and  $B^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- K_S^0$ , which are mediated by  $b \rightarrow s\bar{s}s$ ,  $s\bar{u}u$  and  $s\bar{d}d$  transitions, also provide additional information [12,13]. The present world average with  $B^0 \rightarrow \phi K_S^0$ ,  $\eta' K_S^0$  and  $K^+ K^- K_S^0$  combined is different from the average with  $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$  and related modes by 3.1 standard deviations [14]. Possible implications of these measurements are discussed in the literature [15].

In this Letter, we propose a novel method to search for a new  $CP$ -violating phase in the hadronic  $b \rightarrow s$  transition using  $B^\pm \rightarrow \phi\phi X_s^\pm$  decays [16]. Here  $X_s^\pm$  represents a final state with a specific strange flavor such as  $K^\pm$  or  $K^{*\pm}$ . These non-resonant direct decay amplitudes are dominated by the  $b \rightarrow s\bar{s}s\bar{s}$  transition. A contribution from the  $b \rightarrow u\bar{u}s$  transition followed by rescattering into  $s\bar{s}s$  is expected to be small because of the CKM suppression and the OZI rule [17]. In these decays, when the invariant mass of the  $\phi\phi$  system is within the  $\eta_c$  resonance region, they interfere with the  $B^\pm \rightarrow \eta_c(\rightarrow \phi\phi)X_s^\pm$  decay that is dominated by the  $b \rightarrow c\bar{c}s$  transition. The decay width of  $\eta_c$  is sufficiently large [18,19] to provide a sizable interference. Within the SM, this interference does not cause sizable direct  $CP$  violation because there is no weak phase difference between the  $b \rightarrow s\bar{s}s\bar{s}$  and the  $b \rightarrow c\bar{c}s$  transitions. On the other hand, a NP contribution with a new  $CP$ -violating phase can create a large weak phase difference. Thus large  $CP$  asymmetries can appear

only from NP amplitudes, and an observation of direct  $CP$  violation in these decays is an unambiguous manifestation of physics beyond the SM.

Although the same argument so far is applicable to the  $B^\pm \rightarrow \phi X_s^\pm$  decays, there is no guaranteed strong phase difference that is calculable reliably for these decays. In contrast, the Breit-Wigner resonance provides the maximal strong phase difference in the case of  $B^\pm \rightarrow (\phi\phi)_{m \sim m_{\eta_c}} X_s^\pm$  decays.  $CP$  violation that arises from interference between a resonant and a nonresonant amplitude was initially studied in top-quark decays [20] and in radiative  $B^\pm$  decays [21]. The charmonium width effect on the SM direct  $CP$  asymmetries in  $B$  decays was first considered in  $B^\pm \rightarrow \eta_c(\chi_{c0})\pi^\pm$  decays [22] and was also used in subsequent theoretical studies [23].

Experimentally, an evidence for the decay  $B^\pm \rightarrow \eta_c K^\pm$  was first reported by the CLEO collaboration [24]. Recently Belle [19] has also reported the first observation of the  $B^0 \rightarrow \eta_c K^{*0}$  decay. This implies that other modes such as  $B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^{*+}$  will also be seen with a similar branching fraction, so that we will be able to study semi-inclusive  $B^\pm \rightarrow \eta_c X_s^\pm$  transitions experimentally. The semi-inclusive branching fraction of  $B^\pm \rightarrow \eta_c X_s^\pm$  is not yet measured, but is theoretically expected to be comparable to the branching fraction of the semi-inclusive decay  $B^\pm \rightarrow J/\psi X_s^\pm$  [25].

We derive the rates and the asymmetry of the decays  $B^\pm \rightarrow (\phi\phi)_{m \sim m_{\eta_c}} X_s^\pm$  based on the formalism described in the study of  $B^\pm \rightarrow \eta_c(\chi_{c0})\pi^\pm$  decays [22]. The distribution of two  $\phi$ 's is determined with two kinematical variables; one is the invariant mass of the  $\phi\phi$  system,  $m$ , and the other is the angle  $\theta$  between the  $B$ -meson momentum and the momentum of one of two  $\phi$ 's in the center-of-mass frame of the  $\phi\phi$  system. To have the interference between resonant and direct amplitudes,  $m$  should be in the  $\eta_c$  resonance region. To be specific, we require in this study that the difference between  $m$  and  $\eta_c$  mass ( $M$ ) should satisfy  $|m - M| < 3\Gamma$ , where  $\Gamma$  is the width of the  $\eta_c$  resonance [26]. The differential decay rate normalized with the total  $B^\pm$  decay rate is then given by the following equation:

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma_B} \frac{d\Gamma^\pm}{dz} = \int_{(M-3\Gamma)^2}^{(M+3\Gamma)^2} ds |R(s) + D^\pm(s, z)|^2, \quad (1)$$

where  $R(s)$  is the resonant amplitude,  $D^\pm(s, z)$  is the direct amplitude of the  $B^\pm \rightarrow \phi\phi X_s^\pm$  decay,  $\Gamma_B$  is the total  $B$  decay rate,  $s \equiv m^2$  and  $z \equiv \cos \theta$ .

The resonant amplitude  $R(s)$  is given by

$$R(s) \equiv A(B^\pm \rightarrow \eta_c X_s^\pm \rightarrow \phi\phi X_s^\pm) = \frac{a_R \sqrt{M\Gamma}}{(s - M^2) + iM\Gamma}, \quad (2)$$

where  $a_R$  is a product of the weak decay amplitude of  $B^\pm \rightarrow \eta_c X_s^\pm$  and the real part of the  $\eta_c$  decay amplitude to  $\phi\phi$ .

The direct amplitude  $D^\pm$  is separated into contributions from the SM,  $D_{\text{SM}}$ , and from NP,  $D_{\text{NP}}^\pm$ ,

$$D^\pm(s \approx M^2, z) \equiv D_{\text{SM}}(s \approx M^2, z) + D_{\text{NP}}^\pm(s \approx M^2, z), \quad (3)$$

$$D_{\text{SM}}(s \approx M^2, z) \equiv \frac{a_D(z)}{\sqrt{M\Gamma}} e^{i\delta}, \quad (4)$$

$$D_{\text{NP}}^\pm(s \approx M^2, z) \equiv \frac{a_{\text{NP}}(z)}{\sqrt{M\Gamma}} e^{i\delta'} e^{\pm i\Theta_{\text{NP}}}, \quad (5)$$

where  $a_D(z)$  is a real part of the SM direct amplitude,  $\delta$  ( $\delta'$ ) is a strong phase difference between the resonant amplitude and the SM (NP) direct amplitude,  $a_{\text{NP}}(z)$  is a real part of the NP amplitude and  $\Theta_{\text{NP}}$  is a new  $CP$ -violating phase. If  $\delta \neq \delta'$  holds, direct  $CP$  violation can also occur from an interference between the SM and NP direct amplitudes. We do not take this case in our study and assume  $\delta = \delta'$  in the following discussion.

The difference between the decay rates of  $B^+$  and  $B^-$  is given by

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma_B} \left( \frac{d\Gamma^+}{dz} - \frac{d\Gamma^-}{dz} \right) \equiv \gamma^-(z) \cong -4\pi a_R a_{\text{NP}}(z) \cos \delta \cdot \sin \Theta_{\text{NP}}. \quad (6)$$

Similarly the sum of two decay rates is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Gamma_B} \left( \frac{d\Gamma^+}{dz} + \frac{d\Gamma^-}{dz} \right) \equiv \gamma^+(z) \cong & 2\pi a_R^2 + 24a_D^2(z)(r^2 + 2r \cos \Theta_{\text{NP}} + 1) \\ & - 4\pi a_R a_D(z)(r \cos \Theta_{\text{NP}} + 1) \sin \delta, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where  $r \equiv a_{\text{NP}}(z)/a_D(z)$  is the amplitude ratio of NP to the SM. The  $z$  dependence of  $r$  reflects the spin components of the  $\phi\phi$  system, which can be determined at  $B$  factories in the future from the differential decay rates in the mass-sideband region below the  $\eta_c$  resonance. Although only a pseudo-scalar component in the direct transition interferes with the  $\eta_c$  resonance, the effect of other components can be estimated by such a measurement. Thus, for simplicity, we assume that the direct transition is dominated by a pseudo-scalar component and ignore the  $z$  dependence of  $r$  in the following discussion.

The maximum asymmetry is realized when  $\cos \delta \simeq 1$  is satisfied. Assuming that  $\delta$  is small following the discussion by Eilam, Gronau and Mendel [22],

the differential partial rate asymmetry is

$$A_{CP}(z) \equiv \frac{\gamma^-(z)}{\gamma^+(z)} \cong \frac{-4\pi a_R a_{NP}(z) \sin \Theta_{NP}}{2\pi a_R^2 + 24a_D^2(z)(r^2 + 2r \cos \Theta_{NP} + 1)} . \quad (8)$$

As a measure of  $CP$  violation, we define the following  $CP$ -asymmetry parameter:

$$\mathcal{A}_{CP} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{\int_{-1}^1 dz \gamma^-(z)^2}{\int_{-1}^1 dz \gamma^+(z)^2}} . \quad (9)$$

The numerator of  $\mathcal{A}_{CP}$  can be expressed with the branching fraction of the resonance ( $2\pi a_R^2$ ) and that of NP in the resonance region ( $\mathcal{B}_{NP}$ ):

$$\int_{-1}^1 dz \gamma^-(z)^2 = (2\pi a_R^2) \cdot \mathcal{B}_{NP} \cdot \frac{2\pi}{3} \sin^2 \Theta_{NP} , \quad (10)$$

where

$$2\pi a_R^2 \cong \mathcal{B}(B^\pm \rightarrow \eta_c X_s^\pm) \cdot \mathcal{B}(\eta_c \rightarrow \phi\phi) , \quad (11)$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}_{NP} \equiv \frac{1}{M\Gamma} \int_{(M-3\Gamma)^2}^{(M+3\Gamma)^2} ds \int_{-1}^1 dz a_{NP}^2(z) . \quad (12)$$

The inclusive branching fraction  $\mathcal{B}(B^\pm \rightarrow \eta_c X_s^\pm)$  has not been measured and only an upper limit of 0.9% is available [18]. Therefore we take theoretical expectations that range from 0.3% to 0.7%, depending on the estimation of the ratio of  $\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow \eta_c X)$  to  $\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow J/\psi X)$  [25]. For  $\mathcal{B}(\eta_c \rightarrow \phi\phi)$ , we take the present world average of  $(0.71 \pm 0.28)\%$  [18]. As a result we obtain  $2\pi a_R^2 = (2 \sim 5) \times 10^{-5}$ .

There is no direct experimental bound on the  $B \rightarrow \phi\phi X_s$  decay (see *Note added* in the end of this Letter). A bound on the  $B \rightarrow \phi X_s$  decay ( $2.2 \times 10^{-4}$  at 90% C.L.) is available [27]. The search, however, was devoted only for energetic  $\phi$  mesons above  $p_\phi > 2.1$  GeV/ $c$ . On the other hand, the momentum of  $\phi$  mesons in the  $B \rightarrow \phi\phi X_s$  decay is lower; it should be less than 2.2 GeV/ $c$ . Consideration on other experimental bounds also leads to a weak limitation on  $b \rightarrow sg$  [28];  $\mathcal{B}(b \rightarrow s\bar{s}s) \sim 1\%$  is still allowed, while the estimation of  $\mathcal{B}(b \rightarrow s\bar{s}s)$  within the SM is  $\sim 0.2\%$  [29]. Since there is no reliable way to

calculate the hadronic matrix element of the multi-body decay  $B \rightarrow \phi\phi X_s$ , we use an event generator that is based on the LUND fragmentation model [30] for the estimation of  $\mathcal{B}_{\text{NP}}$ . We start from the  $b \rightarrow sg^* \rightarrow s\bar{s}s$  transition that mainly produces soft  $\phi$  mesons. We find that it is possible to assume  $\mathcal{B}(b \rightarrow sg^* \rightarrow s\bar{s}s) \sim 1\%$  without conflicting the existing experimental results such as the branching ratio of the exclusive  $B \rightarrow \phi K$  decay [31]. We use the default value of the  $s\bar{s}$  popping probability in JETSET7.4 [30], which is consistent with the ratio of the branching fractions between  $B \rightarrow J/\psi K\phi$  and  $B \rightarrow J/\psi K$  [32]. We estimate  $\mathcal{B}_{\text{NP}}$  to be  $\sim 5 \times 10^{-6}$  for  $\mathcal{B}(b \rightarrow sg^* \rightarrow s\bar{s}s) = 1\%$ . Estimations of the corresponding branching fraction within the SM typically yield smaller values than this estimation. For example, the branching fraction of  $B \rightarrow \phi X_s$  is expected to be around  $10^{-4}$ . With an additional  $s\bar{s}$  popping and with the aforementioned simulation, we obtain  $\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow \phi\phi X_s)_{\text{SM}} \sim 3 \times 10^{-7}$  in the  $\eta_c$  mass region. Also from the expected  $\mathcal{B}(b \rightarrow s\bar{s}s)$  in the SM, we obtain  $\sim 9 \times 10^{-7}$ . Thus  $r^2 \sim 5$  holds for  $\mathcal{B}(b \rightarrow sg^* \rightarrow s\bar{s}s) = 1\%$ . Note that, even in this case, an observation of non-resonant  $B \rightarrow \phi\phi X_s$  decays in the  $\eta_c$  mass sideband region alone can not establish physics beyond the SM because of the difficulty in estimating branching fractions of multi-body hadronic decays.

The evaluation of the denominator of  $\mathcal{A}_{CP}$  is straightforward with the estimations mentioned above. We obtain the following result for  $\mathcal{B}(b \rightarrow sg^* \rightarrow s\bar{s}s) \sim 1\%$  and  $2\pi a_{\text{R}}^2 = 2 \times 10^{-5}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{CP} \cong & \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{B}_{\text{NP}}}{\mathcal{B}(B^\pm \rightarrow \eta_c X_s^\pm) \cdot \mathcal{B}(\eta_c \rightarrow \phi\phi) + 2(1 + 2r^{-1} \cos \Theta_{\text{NP}} + r^{-2})\mathcal{B}_{\text{NP}}}} \\ & \times \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{3}} \cdot |\sin \Theta_{\text{NP}}| \sim 0.40 \cdot |\sin \Theta_{\text{NP}}|. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

A large  $CP$  asymmetry of 0.4 is allowed. The asymmetry is roughly proportional to  $|r|$ . Therefore it can be sizable even with  $r^2 < 1$ ; for example,  $\mathcal{A}_{CP} \sim 0.1$  is allowed for  $r^2 = 0.3$ .

A  $CP$  asymmetry proportional to  $\sin \phi_3$  ( $\phi_3 = 59^\circ \pm 13^\circ$  [18]) may arise within the SM due to an interference between the resonant amplitude and a SM contribution from the  $b \rightarrow u\bar{u}s$  transition followed by rescattering into  $s\bar{s}s$ . The effect is expected to be small since the  $b \rightarrow u\bar{u}s$  decay amplitude is CKM-suppressed, and the rescattering amplitude is also suppressed by the OZI rule. From the measured branching fractions for  $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^- K^+$  and  $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+$  together with the additional  $O(\lambda)$  suppression, where  $\lambda = 0.2229 \pm 0.0022$  is the sine of the Cabibbo angle [18], the fraction of the  $b \rightarrow u\bar{u}s$  transition in the  $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^- K^+$  decay amplitude is estimated to be  $\sqrt{0.022 \pm 0.005}$  in Ref. [33]. Using this information and with a modest assumption on a rescattering amplitude ratio  $\mathcal{A}(u\bar{u} \rightarrow \phi\phi)/\mathcal{A}(u\bar{u} \rightarrow K^+ K^-) \leq 0.3$  from the OZI rule, we estimate the  $CP$  asymmetry to be less than 0.9%. Thus we conclude that the  $CP$  asymmetry within the SM is most likely below 1%.

We perform Monte Carlo simulation for the  $B^\pm \rightarrow \phi\phi K^\pm$  decay and estimate statistical errors on the  $CP$  asymmetry parameter. For this decay mode, the background level is expected to be small enough to be neglected [34]. The reconstruction efficiency and the  $\phi\phi$  mass resolution are estimated using a GEANT-based detector simulator for the Belle detector [35]. We obtain  $\sim 300$  events for  $N_B = 10^9$ , where  $N_B$  is the number of charged  $B$  mesons recorded by a detector. We perform an unbinned maximum-likelihood fit to the differential decay rate distribution, which is proportional to  $|R(s) + D^\pm(s, z)|^2$ , instead of integrating the distribution. We choose the following two free parameters in the fit:  $\mathcal{A}_{CP}^0 \equiv -2r(a_D/a_R) \sin \Theta_{NP}$  and  $\mathcal{B} \equiv a_D^2(r^2 + 2r \cos \Theta_{NP} + 1)$ .  $\mathcal{A}_{CP}^0$  is the  $CP$  asymmetry in the Breit-Wigner term.  $\mathcal{B}$  is proportional to the branching ratio of the non-resonant  $B^\pm \rightarrow \phi\phi K^\pm$  decay below the  $\eta_c$  mass region. The statistical error for  $\mathcal{A}_{CP}^0$  is estimated to be  $\delta\mathcal{A}_{CP}^0 \sim 0.06$ . Figure. 1 shows the  $5\sigma$  search regions for  $N_B = 10^9$  (dotted line) and for  $N_B = 10^{10}$  (solid line), which will be accessible at next-generation high-luminosity  $e^+e^-$   $B$  factories. Direct  $CP$  violation will be observed in a large parameter space above a  $5\sigma$  significance.

The new  $CP$ -violating phase  $\Theta_{NP}$  also affects time-dependent  $CP$ -violating asymmetries  $A_{CP}(t) = \mathcal{S} \sin(\Delta m_d t) + \mathcal{A} \cos(\Delta m_d t)$  in  $B^0 \rightarrow \phi K_S^0$  and related decays. Here  $\Delta m_d$  is the mass difference between the two  $B^0$  mass eigenstates, and  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  are parameters for mixing-induced  $CP$  violation and direct  $CP$  violation, respectively. Ignoring a strong phase difference between the amplitude of NP ( $A_{NP}$ ) and SM ( $A_{SM}$ ), we obtain

$$\mathcal{S} = \frac{\sin 2\phi_1 + 2\rho \sin(2\phi_1 + \Theta_{NP}) + \rho^2 \sin(2\phi_1 + 2\Theta_{NP})}{1 + \rho^2 + 2\rho \cos \Theta_{NP}}, \quad (14)$$

where  $\rho \equiv A_{NP}/A_{SM}$  is an amplitude ratio of NP to the SM and  $\phi_1$  is one of the angles of the unitarity triangle. In particular, a difference in  $\mathcal{S}$  between  $B^0 \rightarrow \phi K_S^0$  and  $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$  decays, i.e.  $\Delta\mathcal{S} \equiv \mathcal{S}(\phi K_S^0) - \mathcal{S}(J/\psi K_S^0) \neq 0$ , would be a clear signal of the new phase since  $\mathcal{S}(J/\psi K_S^0) = \sin 2\phi_1$  is held to a good approximation. We define expected statistical significance of the deviation from the SM by  $\mathcal{A}_{CP}^0/\delta\mathcal{A}_{CP}^0$  for the  $B^\pm \rightarrow \phi\phi K^\pm$  decay and by  $\Delta\mathcal{S}/\delta\Delta\mathcal{S}$  for the  $B^0 \rightarrow \phi K_S^0$  decay, where  $\delta\Delta\mathcal{S}$  is an expected statistical error of  $\Delta\mathcal{S}$  extrapolated from the latest result by the Belle experiment [12]. Although  $r^2$  is not necessarily equal to  $\rho^2$ , both decays are governed by the same  $b \rightarrow s\bar{s}s$  transition. Therefore it is reasonable to choose  $r^2 = \rho^2$  for comparison. Figure. 2 shows the resulting significance for  $10^{10}$   $B$  mesons and with  $r^2 = \rho^2 = 0.5$ . The significance for  $\Delta\mathcal{S}$  largely depends on the sign of  $\Theta_{NP}$ , which is not the case for the  $B^\pm \rightarrow \phi\phi K^\pm$  decay. The sign dependence arises from an asymmetric range for  $\Delta\mathcal{S}$ ; to a good approximation, we have  $-1 - \sin 2\phi_1 \leq \Delta\mathcal{S} \leq 1 - \sin 2\phi_1$  where  $\sin 2\phi_1 = +0.736 \pm 0.049$  [14]. Therefore the  $B^\pm \rightarrow \phi\phi K^\pm$  decay plays a unique role in searching for a new  $CP$ -violating phase.

In the above estimation, we use parameters that have uncertainties. However, they can in principle be measured precisely if a sufficient number of  $B$  mesons are produced. In our estimation, we assume efficiencies and background levels that have been achieved with the Belle detector at the KEK  $B$  factory. They depend on the actual detector performance and beam conditions, which might be different at a next-generation  $B$  factory with the higher luminosity. Detailed simulation studies as well as some extrapolation from data at current  $B$  factories will be needed for further quantitative evaluation.

Experimental sensitivities can be improved by adding more final states. The technique to reconstruct  $X_s$ , which has been successfully adopted for the measurements of semi-inclusive  $B \rightarrow X_s \ell \ell$  transitions [36], can be used for this purpose. Flavor-specific neutral  $B$  meson decays, such as  $B^0 \rightarrow \phi \phi K^{*0} (\rightarrow K^+ \pi^-)$ , and other charmonia such as the  $\chi_{c0} \rightarrow \phi \phi$  decay can also be included. The method proposed in this Letter is also applicable to other beauty hadrons. Examples include  $B_s \rightarrow \eta_c (\rightarrow \phi \phi) \phi$  and  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \eta_c (\rightarrow \phi \phi) \Lambda$ .

In summary, we have investigated  $B \rightarrow \phi \phi X_s$  decays as a probe for a new  $CP$ -violating phase in the  $b \rightarrow s \bar{s} s \bar{s} s$  transition. Large  $CP$  violation may arise from an interference between  $B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c (\rightarrow \phi \phi) X_s^+$  and a contribution of the physics beyond the SM to the non-resonant decay  $B^+ \rightarrow \phi \phi X_s^+$ . We find that the direct  $CP$  asymmetry can be as large as 0.4. The experimental signature will be very clean in particular at  $e^+e^-$   $B$  factories; when  $10^9$  charged  $B$  mesons are available, the statistical error on the  $CP$  asymmetry parameter is estimated to be  $\sim 0.06$ . The statistical significance of  $CP$  violation in the  $B^\pm \rightarrow \phi \phi K^\pm$  decay can exceed 5 standard deviations. An even better sensitivity will be expected by including other final states. We also find that this result is comparable to the expected sensitivity with mixing-induced  $CP$  violation in the  $B^0 \rightarrow \phi K_S^0$  decay. Thus  $B \rightarrow \phi \phi X_s$  decays will be useful to search for  $CP$  violation beyond the SM in the  $b \rightarrow s \bar{s} s \bar{s} s$  transition at high-luminosity  $e^+e^-$   $B$  factories.

## Acknowledgements

I am grateful to I. Bigi, T. E. Browder, W. S. Hou, Y. Okada, A. I. Sanda and A. Soni for useful comments. I would also like to thank H.-C. Huang and T. Hojo for providing experimental information, and K. Sumisawa for his help in Monte Carlo simulation and fitting. This work was supported in part by a JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C), 12640268.

*Note added.*—After finishing this study and as we were preparing the manuscript, the Belle Collaboration announced evidence for  $B \rightarrow \phi \phi K$  decays [37]. The signal purity is close to 100% when the  $\phi \phi$  invariant mass is within the  $\eta_c$



mass region, as assumed in our study. The results are still limited by small statistics; using the measured branching fractions of the  $B \rightarrow \phi\phi K$  decay within and below the  $\eta_c$  mass region, we find that the maximal  $CP$  asymmetry of  $\mathcal{A}_{CP} \sim 0.4$  is still allowed. The same paper also reports  $\mathcal{B}(\eta_c \rightarrow \phi\phi) = (1.8_{-0.6}^{+0.8} \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$ , which is smaller than the current world average. We repeat the fit procedure described in this Letter with the above branching fraction. Although the smaller value for  $\mathcal{B}(\eta_c \rightarrow \phi\phi)$  results in the smaller number of signal events, the  $CP$  asymmetry from the interference between the resonant and the new-physics amplitudes becomes larger. We find that the change in  $\mathcal{B}(\eta_c \rightarrow \phi\phi)$  does not largely affect the significance; the difference is less than 10% for  $r^2 = 0.5$  and  $\sin \Theta_{NP} = 1$ .

## References

- [1] M. Kobayashi and T. Maskawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **49**, 652 (1973).
- [2] N. Cabibbo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **10**, 531 (1963).
- [3] KTeV Collaboration, A. Alavi-Harati *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 22 (1999); KTeV Collaboration, A. Alavi-Harati *et al.*, hep-ex/0208007.
- [4] NA48 Collaboration, V. Fanti *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **465**, 335 (1999); NA48 Collaboration, J. R. Batley *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **44**, 97 (2002).
- [5] Belle Collaboration, K. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 091802 (2001); Belle Collaboration, K. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 032007 (2002); Belle Collaboration, K. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 071102 (2002); Belle Collaboration, K. Abe *et al.*, hep-ex/0308036.
- [6] BaBar Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 091801 (2001); BaBar Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 032003 (2002); BaBar Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 201802 (2002);
- [7] For a recent review, see Y. Nir, hep-ph/0208080.
- [8] T. Moroi, Phys. Lett. B **493**, 366 (2000); S. Baek, T. Goto, Y. Okada and K. Okumura, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 051701, 2001; D. Chang, A. Masiero and H. Murayama, hep-ph/0205111.
- [9] For a recent review, see W. Bernreuther, hep-ph/0205279.
- [10] Y. Grossman and M. P. Worah, Phys. Lett. B **395**, 241 (1997);
- [11] A. B. Carter and A. I. Sanda, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 1567 (1981); I. I. Bigi and A. I. Sanda, Nucl. Phys. **B193**, 85 (1981).
- [12] Belle Collaboration, K. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 031102 (2003); Belle Collaboration, K. Abe *et al.*, hep-ex/0308035.

- [13] BaBar Collaboration, H. Monchenault, talk given at 2003 Rencontres de Moriond; Babar Collaboration, results given by T. Browder at the XXI International Symposium on Lepton and Photon Interactions at High Energies, Aug.11-16, 2003, Fermilab, Illinois, U.S.A.
- [14] Heavy Flavor Averaging Group, <http://www.slac.stanford.edu/xorg/hfag/>.
- [15] G. Hiller, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 071502 (2002); A. Datta, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 071702 (2002); M. Ciuchini and L. Silvestrini, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 231802 (2002); M. Raidal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 231803 (2002); B. Dutta, C. S. Kim and S. Oh, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 011801 (2003).
- [16] M. Hazumi, talk given at the Autumn Division Meeting of the Physical Society of Japan, 2001.
- [17] S. Okubo, Phys. Lett. **5**, 165 (1963); G. Zweig, CERN Reports TH-401 and TH-412 (1964); J. Iizuka, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **37**, 21 (1966).
- [18] Particle Data Group, K. Hagiwara *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 010001 (2002).
- [19] Belle Collaboration, F. Fang, T. Hojo *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 071801 (2003).
- [20] G. Eilam, J. L. Hewett and A. Soni, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 1979 (1991).
- [21] D. Atwood and A. Soni, Z. Phys. **C64**, 241 (1994); D. Atwood and A. Soni, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 220 (1995).
- [22] G. Eilam, M. Gronau and R. R. Mendel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 4984 (1995).
- [23] N. G. Deshpande, G. Eilam, X. He and J. Trampetic, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 5354 (1995); B. Bajc *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **447**, 313 (1999); R. E. Blanco, C. Göbel and R. Méndez-Galain, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 2720 (2001); S. Fajfer, R. J. Oakes and T. N. Pham, Phys. Lett. B **539**, 67 (2002).
- [24] CLEO Collaboration, K. W. Edwards *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 30 (2001).
- [25] N. G. Deshpande and J. Trampetic, Phys. Lett. B **339**, 270 (1994); M. Gourdin, Y. Y. Keum and X. Y. Pham, Phys. Rev. D **51**, 3510 (1995); M. R. Ahmady and E. Kou, Eur. Phys. J. C **1**, 243 (1998).
- [26] In this study we take  $M = 2980 \text{ MeV}/c^2$  and  $\Gamma = 29 \text{ MeV}$ , which are the values from the recent measurements by the Belle collaboration [19].
- [27] CLEO Collaboration, K. W. Edwards *et al.*, CLEO-CONF-95-8, EPS **162** (1995).
- [28] A. L. Kagan, Phys. Rev. D **51**, 6196 (1995); A. L. Kagan, Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on  $B$  Physics and  $CP$  Violation, p.204-223.
- [29] N. G. Deshpande, X-G. He and J. Trampetic, Phys. Lett. B **377**, 161 (1996).
- [30] T. Sjöstrand, PYTHIA5.7 and JETSET7.4 Physics and Manual, hep-ph/9508391.

- [31] CLEO Collaboration, R. A. Briere *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 112003 (2001);  
BaBar Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 151801 (2001);  
Belle Collaboration, K. Abe *et al.*, BELLE-CONF-0113.
- [32] CLEO Collaboration, C. P. Jessop *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 1393 (2000).
- [33] Belle Collaboration, K. Abe *et al.*, BELLE-CONF-0225.
- [34] H.-C. Huang and T. Hojo, private communications.
- [35] A. Abashian *et al.* (Belle Collab.), Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A **479**, 117 (2002).
- [36] Belle Collaboration, J. Kaneko *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 021801 (2003).
- [37] Belle Collaboration, H.-C. Huang *et al.*, hep-ex/0305068.




Fig. 1. Expected sensitivities on direct  $CP$  violation in the  $B^\pm \rightarrow \phi\phi K^\pm$  decay for  $10^9$   $B$  mesons (dotted line) and  $10^{10}$   $B$  mesons (solid line). In the regions above the curves, direct  $CP$  violation can be measured with a  $5\sigma$  significance or larger.




Fig. 2. Expected statistical significance of deviations from the SM for direct  $CP$  violation in the  $B^\pm \rightarrow \phi\phi K^\pm$  decay with  $r^2 = 0.5$  (solid line) and for time-dependent  $CP$  violation in the  $B^0 \rightarrow \phi K_S^0$  decay with  $|A_{NP}/A_{SM}|^2 = 0.5$  (dashed line). For each case, significance is calculated with  $10^{10}$   $B$  mesons.