arXiv:hep-ph/0303091v1 12 Mar 2003

SuperG ZK neutrinos: testing physics beyond the Standard M odel

Veniam in Berezinsky

INFN, Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso, I-67010 Assergi (AQ), Italy and Institute for Nuclear Research of the RAS, Moscow, Russia

The sources and uxes of superGZK neutrinos, $E > 10^{20}$ eV, are discussed. The most promising sources are reionization bright phase, topological defects, superheavy dark matter and mirror matter. The energy of neutrinos can be above the GUT scale (10^{16} GeV). The predicted uxes are observable by future space detectors EUSO and OW L.

I. IN TRODUCTION

The abbreviation SuperGZK neutrinos' in plies neutrinos with energies above the G reisen-Zatsepin-K uzm in [1] cuto $E_{GZK} = 5 = 10^{0}$ eV. Soon after theoretical discovery of the GZK cuto it was noticed that this phenom enon is accompanied by a ux of UHE neutrinos, that in som e m odels can be very large [2]. In 80s it was realized that topological defects can produce unstable superheavy particles with m asses up to the GUT scale [3] and neutrinos with trem endous energies can emerge in this process [4].

It has been proposed that SuperG ZK neutrinos can be detected with help of horizontal Extensive A in Showers (EAS) [5]. The exciting prospects for detection of SuperG ZK neutrinos have appeared with the ideas of space detection, e.g. in the projects EUSO [6] and OW L [7]. The basic idea of detection can be explained by example of EUSO.

A superGZK neutrino entering the Earth atm osphere in near-horizontal direction produces an EAS. The known fraction of its energy, which reaches 90%, is radiated in form of isotropic uorescent light. An optical telescope from a space observatory detects this light. Since the observatory is located at very large height (400 km) in comparison with thickness of the atm osphere, the fraction of detected ux is known, and thus this is the calorim etric experiment (absorption of light in the upw ard direction is small). A telescope with diameter 2.5 m controls the area 10° km² and has a threshold for EAS detection $E_{\rm th} = 1$ 10° eV.

II. SOURCES OF SUPERGZK NEUTRINOS

The sources can be of the accelerator and non-accelerator origin.

A cœlerator sources

A source comprises an accelerator and target. Low energy photons inside the source or outside it (e.g. CMB photons) are usually more e cient for neutrino production than gas. The problem is acceleration to E $1:10^{20}$ eV.For shock acceleration the maximum energy can reach optim istically E_{max} 10^{21} eV for protons. There are some other, less developed accelerator mechanisms (e.g. unipolar induction, acceleration in strong e-m waves), which hopefully can provide larger E_{max}. An interesting mechanism with very large E_{max} has been recently proposed in Ref.[8].

The large neutrino uxes at the superGZK energies is also a problem for accelerator sources.

Non-accelerator (top-down) sources

Topological D effects (TD) and Superheavy D ark M atter (SHDM) can easily produce required superG ZK energies. In both cases the superG ZK neutrinos are produced in the decays of very heavy particles, unstable gauge and H iggs particles in case of TD s, and quasistable particles in case of SHDM. Neutrinos are born mostly in pion decays and have $E_{max} = 0.1m_X$. A natural upper limit for mass is $m_X = 10^{16}$ GeV.

TDsdier substantially by mechanisms of SH particle production.

They include emission of X-particles through cusps in superconducting cosm ic strings. In this case the energy of X-particle is boosted by the Lorentz-factor of the cusp which can reach 10^3 10^6 .

In case of network of m onopoles connected by strings, m onopoles m ove with large acceleration a and can thus radiate the gauge bosons such as gluons, W; Z^0 . Neutrinos appear in their decays, in case

of gluons through production and decays of pions. The typical energy of radiated quanta is E a_M , where $_M$ is the Lorentz-factor of the monopole.

In necklaces, where each monopole (antimonopole) is attached to two strings, all monololes and antimonopoles inevitably annihilate in the end of evolution, producing neutrinos in the this process (see Subsection IV C).

Vortons can decay due to quantum tunnelling, producing neutrinos.

In some of the cases listed above the neutrino energies can be larger (due to Lorentz factor) than the GUT scale.

SHDM is very e ciently produced at in ation due to gravitational radiation and is accumulated in galactic halos with overdensity 10° . Neutrinos are produced at the decay of these particles mostly in extragalactic space. (see Subsection IV D).

For m ore details of the top-down particle production see reviews [9].

III. UPPER LIM ITS ON DIFFUSE NEUTRINO FLUXES

Cascade upper lim it [5, 12]

P roduction of HE neutrinos is accompanied by photons and electrons which start em cascade colliding with target photons (+ $_{tar}$! e^+ + e , $e + _{tar}$! e^0 + 0). The cascade photons get into range observed by EGRET, and the energy density of these photons, ! $_{cas}$, put the upper limit on di use HE neutrino ux J (E) as it follows from the obvious chain of inequalities [5, 12]:

$$!_{cas} > !$$
 (E) $\frac{4}{C} \sum_{E}^{Z_{1}} EJ (E) dE > \frac{4}{C} \sum_{E}^{Z_{1}} J (E) dE = \frac{4}{C} EJ (> E);$

from which the bound for di erential neutrino ux follows

$$E^{2}I(E) (c=4)!_{cas}$$
: (1)

The upper bound Eq. (1) is obtained under assumptions that neutrinos are produced in the chain of decays of particles (e.g. pions, W and Z⁰), where electrons or photons appear too, and that the sources are not opaque for the cascade photons. In fact cascade can develop inside a source, provided that produced cascade photons are not absorbed by gas in the source. Only fully opaque (hidden) sources escape the bound Eq. (1), e.g. see Subsection IV E.

This bound has a great generality. It is valid for photon and proton target and for any spectrum index (in this case the bound becomes up to factor 2 stronger [12], p.359).

Waxman-Bahcall (WB) upper lim it [13]

If UHE protons escape freely from a source, their ux should be less than the observed one. It gives the W B bound on neutrino ux produced by escaping protons. It is obtained for a speci c shape $(1=E^2)$ of the production spectrum. This bound is much stronger than the cascade limit, but it is not valid for m any sources and m odels (e.g. for TDs and SHDM, where production of protons is negligible, for acceleration sources at high redshift, from which UHE protons do not reach us, for clusters of galaxies, since time of CR exit from there is larger than age of universe, for som e speci c AGN m odels, e.g. the Steckerm odeletc). How ever, it is valid for di use ux from som e interesting sources like GRBs and som e m odels of AGN.

Mannheim - Protheroe-Rachen (MPR) upper bound [14]

As compared to the W B bound, the M P R lim it is valid for sources with various optical depths and for di erent m axim alacceleration energies. It is weaker than W B bound, and for high and low energies di er not too m uch from the cascade lim it.

Since UHE protons is subdom inant component form ost sources of superGZK neutrinos (see next Section), the WB and MPR bounds are not valid for them, and the cascade bound becomes most appropriate.

IV. DIFFUSE FLUXES OF SUPERGZK NEUTRINOS

${\tt A}$. ${\tt A}\,{\tt G}\,{\tt N}$ and other accelerator sources

The uxes of UHE neutrinos produced in p collisions with CMB photons by UHE protons from AGN (quasars and Seyfert galaxies) were calculated in Ref.[5] up to $E_{max} = 10^{21}$ eV. The assumed cosm ological evolution of the sources up to redshift z = 14 with the evolutionary index m = 4 increases strongly the ux. The cascade upper bound has been taken into account.

Recently the detailed calculations of di use neutrino uxes from evolutionary and non-evolutionary sources have been performed in Ref.[10] (see also [11]). The acceleration mechanisms are not specified, but it is assumed that generation spectra are at and E_{max} can reach 3 10^{22} eV. Neutrinos are produced in collisions with CMB photons. The calculated uxes agree by order of magnitude with those from Ref.[5]



FIG.1: Diuse neutrino ux from the reionization bright phase at redshift $z_0 = 20$ and $z_0 = 5$ [17]. The cascade upper bound for neutrinos is shown by curve bascade lim it'.

B. Reionization bright phase

The W MAP detection of reionization [15] in plies an early form ation of stars at large redshifts up to z = 20, able to reionize the universe.

A plausible process is form ation of very massive, M > 100M , Population III stars with subsequent fragmentation to SN. Two-burst scenario of reionization is plausible: at z 15 and z 61[6]. The fraction of baryonic matter in the form of compact objects is 0.01 at z 101[5]. Fragmentation of massive P op III stars into presupernovae and black holes results in CR acceleration by various mechanisms (shocks, jets in miniquasars and hypernovae etc). Not specifying them we shall assume that the energy release in the form of CR is W_{cr} 5 $1\bar{0}^0$ erg/1M , generation spectrum is E ^{2:1} and E_{max} = 1 10^{13} G eV. Neutrinos are produced in collisions with CM B photons. In Fig.1 we present the calculated

neutrino spectrum for two values of z (20 and 5) [17], together with cascade upper limit for one neutrino avour. This model is very similar to the galactic bright phase (for a review see [12], p.352).



FIG.2: Diuse neutrino ux (curve) from necklaces [18]. The curve p shows the UHE proton ux, compared with the AGASA data, and two curves show UHE photon ux for two cases of absorption.

C. N ecklaces

Neck laces are hybrid TD s (m onopoles connected by strings) form ed in a sequence of sym m etry breaking phase transition G ! H U(1) ! H Z. In the rst phase transition the m onopoles are produced, and at the second each m onopole gets attached to two strings.

The sym metry breaking scales of these two phase transitions, m and s, are the main parameters of the necklaces. They give the monopole mass, m $4_m = e$, and tension of the string, 2_s^2 . The evolution of necklaces is governed by ratio r = m = d, where d is a length of a string between two monopoles. During the evolution this length diminishes due to gravitational radiation, and in the end all monopoles and antim onopoles annihilate, producing high energy neutrinos as the dominant radiation. The di use neutrino ux from necklaces is given [18] in Fig. 2. Note, that the rate of UHE particle production in this model is $n_x = r^2 = t^3 m_x$, where r tends in evolution of a necklace to $r_{max} = r = 10^{13}$ contrary to expectation in Ref.[10] that this parameter is typically 1 for all TD s.

D. Superheavy dark m atter (SHDM)

P roduction of SHDM particles naturally occurs in time varying gravitational eld of the expanding universe at post-in ationary stage [19, 20]. This mechanism of production does not depend on the coupling of X-particles with other elds, and occurs even in case of sterile X-particles. The relic density of these particles is mainly determined (at xed reheating temperature and in aton mass) by mass m_X. SHDM can constitute all CDM observed in the universe, or be its subdom inant component. The range of practical interest is given by masses (3 $10)10^{13}$ G eV, at larger masses the SHDM is subdom inant.

SHDM is accumulated in the Galactic halowith overdensity 10^5 .

In m any elementary-particle m odels SH particles can be quasi-stable with lifetime $_{\rm X}$ 10¹⁰ yr. Such decaying particles produce UHECR with photons from the halo being the dominant component. The measured ux of these photons with corresponding signatures (an isotropy in the direction of G alactic C enter and the muon-poor EAS) determines $_{\rm X}$ experimentally. Such precise determination of a parameter from experimental data has nothing to do with ne-tuning.



FIG.3: Diuse neutrino ux (curve) from SHDM [21,24]. The curve shows UHE photon ux from the halo compared with the AGASA data, and the curve N shows the UHE nucleon ux from the halo.

The energy spectrum of HE particles is now reliably calculated in the SUSY-QCD framework as $dE = E^2$, there is an agreem ent between the calculations of di erent groups [21, 22, 23].

Neutrino ux from SHDM is given in Fig.3 according to Refs.[21, 24]. It is produced by decays of X-particles in extragalactic space, while UHECR signal is produced mainly by photons from X-particle decays in the Galactic halo.

E. M irror m atter

M irror m atter can be m ost powerful source of UHE neutrinos not limited by the usual cascade limit [25].

Existence of m irror m atter is an interesting theoretical idea which was introduced by Lee and Yang (1956), Landau (1957) and m ost notably by K obzarev, O kun and P om eranchuk (1966) to have a space re ection operator I_s commuting with H am iltonian $[I_s; H] = 0$. The m irror particle space is generated by operator I_s , which transfers the left states of ordinary particles into right states of the m irror particles and vise versa. The m irror particles have interactions identical to the ordinary particles, but these two sectors interact with each other only gravitationally. G ravitational interaction m ixes the visible and m irror neutrino states, and thus causes the oscillation between them.

A cosm obgical scenario must provide the suppression of the mirror matter and in particular the density of mirror neutrinos at the epoch of nucleosynthesis. It can be obtained in the two-in atom model [25]. The rolling of two inlaton to minimum of the potential is not synchronised, and when mirror in atom reaches minimum, the ordinary in atom continues its rolling, in ating thus the mirror matter produced by the mirror in atom. W hile mirror matter is suppressed, the mirror topological defects can strongly dominate [25]. Mirror TD s copiously produce mirror neutrinos with extrem ely high energies typical for TD s, and they are not accompanied by any visible particles. Therefore, the upper limits on HE mirror neutrinos in our world do not exist. All HE mirror particles produced by mirror TD s are sterile for us, interacting with ordinary matter only gravitationally, and only mirror neutrinos can be e ciently converted into ordinary ones due to oscillations. The only (weak) upper limit comes from the resonant interaction of converted neutrinos with DM neutrinos: + DM ! Z⁰ [25].

In Fig.4 UHE neutrino ux from mirror TDs is presented according to calculations [18]. This model

provides the largest ux of superG ZK neutrinos being not restricted by the standard cascade bound (1).



FIG.4: Diuse neutrino ux from mirror necklaces [18].

V. CONCLUSIONS

The detectable uses of superG ZK neutrinos (E > 10^{20} eV) can be produced by accelerator and non-accelerator sources.

The accelerator sources need $E_{max} = 1 = 10^{21} \text{ eV}$, which most probably implies the non-shock acceleration mechanisms.

The non-acceleration sources, topological defects and superheavy relic particles, involve physics beyond the Standard M odel. Very high neutrino energies, in excess of the GUT scale, are possible for these sources. The uxes are norm ally limited by the cascade bound. The largest ux (above the standard cascade bound) is predicted by the m irror m atter m odel.

 [1] K G reisen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 16, 748 (1966), G.T. Zatsepin and V.A. Kuzmin, Pisma Zh. Experim. Theor. Phys. 4, 114 (1966).

- [5] V S Berezinsky and A Yu Sm imov, Ap.Sp.Sci 32, 461 (1975);
 V S Berezinsky, Proc. of \N eutrino-77" 1, 177 (1977).
- [6] see http://www.euso-misson.org/

- [9] A.V. ilenkin, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl) 48, 508 (1996); V Berezinsky, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl) 75 A, 119 (1999); V A.Kuzm in and I.I.T.kachev, Phys. Rep. 320, 199 (1999);
- [10] O E K alashev et al, Phys. Rev. D 66, 063004 (2002).

^[2] V S Berezinsky and G T Zatsepin, Phys. Lett B 28, 423 (1969); V S Berezinsky and G T Zatsepin, Soviet Journal of Nuclear Physics 11, 111 (1970).

^[3] E.W itten, Nucl. Phys. B 249, 557 (1985).

^[4] C.T.Hill, D.N. Schramm and T.P.W. alker, Phys. Rev. D 36, 1007 (1987).

^[7] see http://heawww.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/gam.cosray/hecr/OWL/.

^[8] P.Chen, T.Jajim a, and Y.Jakahashi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 161101 (2002).

- [11] Z Fodor, S K atz, A R ingwald and H .Tu, hep-ph/0303080.
- [12] V S Berezinsky, S.V Bulanov, V A Dogiel, V L G inzburg and V S Ptuskin, A strophysics of Cosm ic Rays, p 357, p 378, p 352, N orth H olland E lsevier (1990).
- [13] E W axm an and J B ahcall, P hys. Rev. D 59, 023002 (1999).
- [14] K M annheim, R JP rotheroe and JR achen, Phys. Rev. D 63, 023003 (2000).
- [15] A K ogut et al, astro-ph/0302213
- [16] R .C en, astro-ph/0210473
- $\left[\!17\right]$ V .Berezinsky and A .G azizov, in preparation.
- [18] R A loisio, V Berezinsky and P B lasi, in preparation.
- [19] V A Kuzm in and I.I.Tkachev, JETP Lett., 68, 271 (1998).
- [20] D J.C.hung, E W Kolb and A Riotto, Phys. Rev. D 59, 023501 (1999).
- [21] V Berezinsky and M Kachelriess, Phys. Rev. D 63, 034007 (2001).
- [22] S.Sarkar and R.Toldra, Nucl. Phys. B 621, 495 (2002).
- [23] C Barbot and M D rees, Phys. Lett. B 533, 107 (2002).
- [24] V Berezinsky, M Kachelriess and A.Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 4302 (1997).
- [25] V Berezinsky and A .V ilenkin, Phys. Rev. D 62, 083512 (2000).