# Description of composite systems in the spectral integration technique: the gauge invariance and analyticity constraints for the radiative decay amplitudes

V.V.Anisovich and M.A.Matveev PNPI

#### A bstract

The constraints followed from gauge invariance and analyticity are considered for the amplitudes of radiative transitions of composite systems when composite systems are treated in terms of spectral integrals. We discuss gauge-invariant amplitudes for the transitions S ! S and V ! S with scalar S and vector V mesons being two-particle composite systems of scalar (or pseudoscalar) constituents, and we demonstrate the mechanism of cancellation of false kinematical singularities. Furthermore, we explain how to generalize the performed consideration for quark-antiquark systems, in particular, for the reaction (1020) !  $f_0$  (980). Here we also consider in more detail the quark-model non-relativistic approach for this reaction.

#### 1 Introduction

P resently there exists rich inform ation for radiative decays of m esons with m asses at 1000{ 1800 M eV, and one m ay expect the appearance of m ore data in future, see e.g. [1, 2, 3, 4] and references therein. The data on partial widths of radiative decays provide us with an important know ledge about the quark-gluon structure of hadrons. However, to su ce this expectation and avoid m isleading conclusions one needs to work with an adequate technique for the description of radiative processes involving com posite system s.

To describe the low-lying hadronic states, nam ely, S-wave mesons of the 36-plet and baryons of the 56-plet in terms of the SU (6)-symmetry, the non-relativistic quark-model approach is an appropriate technique. The investigation of radiative decays carried out decades ago played crucial role in the establishing of quark model, by operating with constituent quark as a universal object for mesons and baryons [5]. However, for higher states, e.g. for the P-wave quark-antiquark states, relativistic e ects become important. Nevertheless, till now the use of non-relativistic form ulae for radiative decays of mesons with masses about 1000{1500 M eV is rather comm on practice.

So there is a necessity to use relativistic technique for the description of radiative decays. The scheme of relativistic description of the composite system interacting with electrom agnetic eld was suggested in [6, 7, 8, 9]. Within this approach, form factors of composite systems are represented as double spectral integrals over the masses of composite systems. The spectral integration technique is a direct generalization of non-relativistic quantum -mechanical approximation, and the processes considered within this technique are time-ordered, like in quantum mechanics. The energy in the intermediate state is not conserved but the particles are mass-on-shell. In this method, vertex functions of the transitions composite system ! constituents are de ned by the scattering amplitude of constituents.

The scheme applied to the composite-system form factors in [6] is as follows: partial scattering amplitude A  $^{(J)}$  (s) (s is total energy squared of the scattered constituents and J is the total angularm on entum) is considered within dispersion N =D representation [10]. In this technique the amplitude is represented as a sum of dispersion N =D loop diagrams shown in Fig. 1a. In case when the D -function does not have CDD -poles [11], partial amplitude of the J-state reads:

$$A^{(J)} = Q^{(J)}_{1 ::: J} (p_{?}) \frac{N_{J}(s)}{1 B_{J}(s)} Q^{(J)}_{1 ::: J} (p_{?}^{0}) ;$$
(1)

where  $Q_{1 \\ 1 \\ \dots \\ J}^{(J)}$  ( $p_2$ ) is covariant angular momentum -J operator which depends on relative momentum of particles 1 and 2:  $p_2 = g^2 p$ ; here  $p = p_1 p_2$ , and  $g^2$  is the metric tensor which works in the space orthogonal to  $P = p_1 + p_2$ :  $g^2 = g P P = P^2$  (for equal particle m asses 1 and 2, one has  $p_2 = p$ ). If the scattered particles are spinless and J = L, where L is the orbital momentum of the system, we replace  $Q_{1 \\ \dots \\ J \\ \dots \\ M}$  ( $p_2$ ) ! X  $_{1 \\ \dots \\ M}$  ( $p_2$ ), where for the lowest waves, according to [12], the operators X  $_{1 \\ \dots \\ M}$  ( $p_2$ ) are determined as follow s:

$$X^{(0)}(p_{2}) = 1; \quad X^{(1)}(p_{2}) = p_{2}; \quad X^{(2)}_{12}(p_{2}) = \frac{3}{2}p_{21}p_{22} = \frac{1}{3}p_{2}^{2}g_{12}^{2}; \quad (2)$$

W hen the particles 1 and 2 are ferm ions, like quarks or nucleons, then the operators  $Q_{1 \text{ if } J}^{(J)}$  are constructed by using -m atrices, see [12] for details.

If there is a bound state with mass M in the partial wave, the sum of diagram s shown in F ig. 1a creates a pole at  $s = M^2$ , see F ig. 1b, and the vertex of the pole diagram determ ines the wave function of the bound state.

The form factor of bound state can be de ned by the process of F ig. 2a when the photon is emitted by interacting constituents. The amplitudes of the initial state (interaction block before the photon emission) and nalone (that after photon emission) contain the poles  $s = M^2$  and  $s^0 = M^2$ , see F ig. 2b, so the two-pole amplitude de nes form factor of the composite system : the form factor is the residue in these poles, it is shown separately in Fig. 2c.

To be more understandable in explaining the form factor calculus within gauge invariance and analyticity constraints, we use a simplied variant of the N-function, with separable forces. The hypothesis of separability of the interaction blocks can be successfully applied to the realistic description of composite systems such as the deuteron is [6]. Here we use this hypothesis to simplify rather cumbersome presentation, and this simplication does not in uence the principal statements. Correspondingly, we use separable interaction with N<sub>J</sub> (s) !  $g_J^2$  (s). Then the amplitude of Fig. 2a for the emission of photon by the two-particle system with total angularmomenta J and J<sup>0</sup> in initial and nal states, respectively, reads as follows:

$$A^{(J ! J^{0})} = Q^{(J)}_{1 ::: J} (p_{?}) \frac{q_{J}(s)}{1 B_{J}(s)} (J^{(J ! J^{0})}_{1 ::: J^{0}} (P; P^{0}; q) \frac{q_{J^{0}}(s^{0})}{1 B_{J^{0}}(s^{0})} Q^{(J^{0})}_{1 ::: J^{0}} (p_{?}^{0});$$
(3)

where  ${}^{(J! J^0)}_{1 \\ 1 \\ m J}$ ;  ${}^{(J! J^0)}_{1 \\ m J}$  is the three-point interaction amplitude at  $P^2 = s$  and  $P^{02} = s^0$ . The amplitude  $A^{(J! J^0)}$  is represented by Fig. 3a as a chain of loop diagram s; the three-point interaction amplitude is depicted in Fig. 3a in the middle of the chain of loop diagram s.

The loop diagram  $B_J$  (s), under the ansatz of separable interaction, is equal to:

$$B_{J}(s) = \frac{Z}{(m_{1}+m_{2})^{2}} \frac{ds}{s} \frac{g_{J}^{2}(s)}{s s i0} J(s); \qquad (4)$$

where  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are the m assess of scattered particles and  $J_J$  (s) is the phase space in the state with total angularm on entum J. For scalar constituent particles and total angularm on entum J = 0, the phase volume is de ned as follows:

$$(s) = \overset{2}{d} (p; p_{1}; p_{2}); \qquad (5)$$

$$d_{2}(P; p_{1}; p_{2}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^{3}p_{1}}{2p_{10}(2)^{3}} \frac{d^{3}p_{2}}{2p_{20}(2)^{3}} (2)^{4} (P p_{1} p_{2});$$

where we have redenoted  $_{0}$  (s) ! (s). For  $J \in 0$ , the convolution of the operators  $Q_{1}^{(J)}_{1}$  (p; )Q $_{1}^{(J)}_{1}$  (p; )Q $_{1}^{(J)}_{1}$  (p; ) should be inserted in the right-hand side of (5) for the calculation of  $_{J}$  (s).

The D-function zeros at  $s = M^2$  and  $s^0 = M^{02}$  correspond to the existence of bound states:

1  $B_{J}(M^{2}) = 0;$  1  $B_{J^{0}}(M^{2}) = 0;$  (6)

In this way, the D -functions near the pole read:

$$1 \quad B_{J}(s) ' \frac{dB_{J}(M^{2})}{ds} (M^{2} s); \quad 1 \quad B_{J^{0}}(s^{0}) ' \frac{dB_{J^{0}}(M^{2})}{ds^{0}} (M^{2} s^{0}):$$
(7)

Therefore, the amplitude (3) near the poles takes the form :

$$A^{(J ! J^{0})} \prime Q^{(J)}_{1 ::: J} (p_{?}) \frac{G_{J} (s)}{M^{2} s} - \frac{G_{J} (s)}{\frac{1}{2} ::: J} \frac{G_{J} (s)}{\frac{1}{2} ::: J} \frac{G_{J^{0}} (p_{?})}{\frac{1}{2} :: J} \frac{G_{J^{0}} (p_{?})}{\frac{1}{2} ::: J} \frac{G_{J^{0}} (p_{?})}{\frac{1}{2} ::: J} \frac{G_{J^{0}} (p_{?})}{\frac{1}{2} ::: J} \frac{G_{J^{0}} (p_{?})}{\frac{1}{2} :: J} \frac{G$$

where  $G_J(s) = g_J(s) = \frac{q}{dB_J(M^2)}$ .

One can introduce the wave functions as follows:

$$_{J}(s) = \frac{G_{J}(s)}{M^{2} s}; \qquad _{J^{0}}(s^{0}) = \frac{G_{J^{0}}(s^{0})}{M^{2} s^{0}}: \qquad (9)$$

The radiative transition amplitude m eson-J! m eson-J<sup>0</sup> is determ ined by residues in the poles  $s = M^{2}$  and  $s^{0} = M^{0}$ :

$$(m eson J! m eson J^{0})_{1} (P; P^{0}; q) = \frac{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & J^{0} \\ 1 & \dots & J^{0} \end{pmatrix}}{q} (P; P^{0}; q)_{s=M^{2}; s^{0}=M^{2}} (P; P^{0}; q)_{s=M^{2}; s^{0}=M^{2}}$$

In this way, the magnitudes M<sup>2</sup> and M<sup>2</sup> are xed in (9). This means that we should discrim – inate between analytical properties of the amplitude of photon emission by unbound particles and those of radiative transition amplitude meson-J! meson-J<sup>0</sup>. Analytical properties of the amplitude as function of s and s<sup>0</sup> for the emission of photon by unbound particles are determines by all diagram s shown in Fig. 3.

By studying analytical amplitude one should take into account that moment operators, which give the spin dependence of the form factor  ${}^{(J\,!\,J^0)}_{1\,\,;\,;\,;\,J^0}$  (P;P<sup>0</sup>;q), may have false kinematical singularities. In the whole amplitude of Fig. 3 just these singularities should cancel each other.

This paper is devoted to the problem of cancellation of false singularities: we use as an example the processes when  $J = J^0 = 0$  (Section 2) and  $J = 1, J^0 = 0$  (Section 3). We deal with scalar (or pseudoscalar) constituent particles with equal masses,  $m_1 = m_2 = m$ : this does not a ect generality but simplify cumbersom e calculations. Furthermore, in Section 4, we present the generalization for quark constituents.

In Section 2, the transition  $(J = 0) ! (J^0 = 0)$  is considered; we denote this transition as S ! S. The three-point amplitude for this transition  ${}^{(0! \ 0)}(P;P^0;q)$  can be expanded in respect to two spin operators: the transverse one,  $(P_2 + P_2^0) = 2 (P - q (Pq)=q^2)$ , and longitudinal one, q. Transverse spin operator contains kinematical singularity  $1=q^2$ , which should be cancelled in the whole amplitude.

The necessity to use transverse and longitudinal spin operators, which are orthogonal to each other  $(P_2 + P_2^0)$  q = 0, is dictated by the speci cs of the spectral-representation m ethod for form factors of the S! S transition. Vertex function of the photon em ission is expanded in respect to independent and orthogonal operators  $(P_2 + P_2^0)$  and q as follows:

$$(0! \ 0) (P; P^{0}; q) = (P_{2} + P_{2}^{0}) F_{T}^{(0! \ 0)} (s; s^{0}; q^{2}) + q F_{L}^{(0! \ 0)} (s; s^{0}; q^{2}) :$$
 (11)

Then,  $F_T^{(0!\ 0)}(s;s^0;q^2)$  and  $F_L^{(0!\ 0)}(s;s^0;q^2)$  are dened by dispersion integrals over s and  $s^0$ , which are in due course determined by transverse and longitudinal components of the triangle diagram only.

The mechanism of singularity cancellation in  ${}^{(0!\ 0)}(P;P^{0};q)$  was considered in [6] for the variant of  $F_{T}^{(0!\ 0)}(s;s^{0};q^{2})$  being double spectral integral without subtraction terms. However, as was shown in [6], one cannot deal without subtraction terms at all: subtraction terms in  $F_{L}^{(0!\ 0)}(s;s^{0};q^{2})$  are important for cancelling false singularities in (11). In Section 2, we consider more general case of  ${}^{(0!\ 0)}(P;P^{0};q)$  with subtraction term in  $F_{T}^{(0!\ 0)}(s;s^{0};q^{2})$ : such a variant serves us as a guide to consider transition form factors with angular momenta  $J = 1, J^{0} = 0$ .

The vertex function for the transition  $(J = 1) ! (J^0 = 0)$ , or V ! S, is considered in Section 3. The spin structure of such a vertex,  $(1! \ 0) (P; P^0; q)$ , is determined by two independent tensors, one of them can be chosen as metric tensor operating in the two-dimensional space and being orthogonal to P and q:

$$g^{2} = g + \frac{q^{2}}{(P q)^{2} P^{2} q^{2}} P P + \frac{P^{2}}{(P q)^{2} P^{2} q^{2}} q q - \frac{(P q)}{(P q)^{2} P^{2} q^{2}} (P q + q P);$$
 (12)

while the second tensor is de ned as

$$4L = \frac{q^{2}}{(P q)^{2} P^{2}q^{2}}PP + \frac{P^{2}}{(P q)^{2} P^{2}q^{2}}qq \qquad (13)$$
$$\frac{(P q)}{(P q)^{2} P^{2}q^{2}}Pq = \frac{P^{2}q^{2}}{[(P q)^{2} P^{2}q^{2}](P q)}qP :$$

These two tensors satisfy gauge invariance requirem ents:

$$P q^{??} = 0; q^{??} q = 0; P L = 0; L q = 0;$$
 (14)

and L is constructed to be orthogonal to  $g^{??}$ :

$$L g^{??} = 0$$
: (15)

Vertex function for V ! S is defined by two form factors which correspond to operators in the form (12) and (13):

<sup>(1! 0)</sup> (P; P<sup>0</sup>; q) = 
$$g^{??} F_{T}^{(1! 0)} (s; s^{0}; q^{2}) + L F_{L}^{(1! 0)} (s; s^{0}; q^{2}) :$$
 (16)

The operators written in (12) and (13) are singular: they contain poles at  $(Pq)^2 = P^2q^2 = 0$ and (Pq) = 0. In (16) these singularities must be compensated by zeros of the form factors  $F_T^{(1! \ 0)}(s;s^0;q^2)$  and  $F_L^{(1! \ 0)}(s;s^0;q^2)$ ; corresponding mechanism is considered in detail in Section 3. We demonstrate that to compensate false singularities in the reactions V ! S the subtraction term splay an important role; from this point of view the compensation mechanism in the amplitudes S ! S and V ! S is similar.

At  $q^2 = 0$ , the only form factor  $F_T^{(1! 0)}(s;s^0;q^2)$  determ ines the transition amplitude V ! S. In Section 3, we discuss in detail the problem of unambigous determ ination of the amplitude in terms of the spectral integration technique. The matter is that at  $q^2$  ! 0 one of independent operators of (12) and (13) become nilpotent one. Indeed,

$$g^{??}(0) = g + \frac{4s}{(s \ s^{0})^{2}} q q \frac{2}{s \ s^{0}} (P \ q + q \ P \ ); \qquad (17)$$
  
L (0) =  $\frac{s}{(s \ s^{0})^{2}} q q \frac{1}{2(s \ s^{0})} P \ q ;$ 

and the second operator has a zero norm :

$$L (0) L (0) = 0 :$$
 (18)

Due to Eqs. (15) and (18) any combination of  $g^{2}$  (0) and L (0),

$$g^{2}(0) + C(s;s^{0}) L(0);$$
 (19)

can be equally used to de ne the transverse form factor  $F_T$  (s; s<sup>0</sup>; 0). In Section 3, this propery is demonstrated directly by considering two sets of operators, the second set appeared after the substitution as follows:

$$g^{??}(0) ! g^{??}(0) + 4L(0) = g = \frac{2}{s s^0} q P :$$
 (20)

Such a choice of operators for the illustration of (19) is motivated by the existing statements (for example, see [13]) that only the form of (20) provide us with a correct operator expansion of transition amplitude for V !  $(q^2 = 0)$  S. However, our consideration performed in Section 3 proves directly that it is not so.

Concerning analytical properties of am plitudes in the transitions V ! S, with em ission of photon ( $q^2 = 0$ ), they are as follows.

The whole am plitude of the transition of unbound particles is a sum of three contributions shown in Fig. 3a,b,c:

$$\frac{g_{1}(s)}{1 B_{1}(s)} \stackrel{h}{g^{2}} (0) T(s; s^{0}; 0) + L (0) L(s; s^{0}; 0) \stackrel{i}{=} \frac{g_{0}(s^{0})}{1 B_{0}(s^{0})} :$$
(21)

The analyticity of this am plitude requires for transverse component T ( $s;s^0;0$ ) to have zero of the rst order at  $s = s^0$ , namely, T (s;s;0) = 0, while the combination L ( $s;s^0;0$ ) + 4T ( $s;s^0;0$ ) should have zero of the second order.

Transverse am plitude for the transition of bound vector state to bound scalar state,  $F_T^{(1! 0)}$  (s = M<sup>2</sup>; s<sup>0</sup> = M<sup>0</sup>; 0), which is defined by the diagram of Fig. 2c, is not indebted to be zero at  $! = M = M^0 = 0$ .

In Section 4, we show the way of generalization of our results for constituent quarks. We present the transition form factor for (1020) !  $f_0$  (980) both in the form of relativistic spectral integral [7] and non-relativistic quark model approach.

Direct form factor calculations performed for the transition (1020)!  $f_0(980)$  both in relativistic and non-relativistic approximations demonstrate the absence of zero at !! 0. This is in accordance with general result obtained in the analysis of the whole am plitude (21).

In Conclusion we summarise brie y the results focussing our attention to advantages of the spectral integration technique and the problem swhich this method faces on.

## 2 Interaction of scalar two-particle composite system in the state $J^P = 0^+$ with electrom agnetic eld: S ! S

D iagram m atical representation of the interaction amplitude in terms of the dispersion-relation graphs is shown in Fig. 3. These diagrams are obtained by coupling the photon to all the

constituents (both internal and external) in the diagram s related to the constituent scattering amplitude. Besides, there is an uncoupled diagram of Fig. 3d corresponding to non-interacting constituents.

W e assume that two constituents may form the bound state, and the form factor of composite system is determined by residues in the amplitude poles, see Fig. 2b.

For the sake of sim plicity, the constituent m asses are put to be equal to each other,  $m_1 = m_2 = m$ , though constituents are not identical; in this way we do not symmetrize the states of constituents.

#### 2.1 Diagram s of the Fig. 3a type

Consider the sum of the diagram s shown in Fig. 3a, when constituents interact in the S-wave state both before and after the emission of photon. The sum of diagram s of such a type is written as:

$$A^{(0! 0)}(P;P^{0};q) = \frac{g_{0}(s)}{1 B_{0}(s)} (P;P^{0};q) \frac{g_{0}(s^{0})}{1 B_{0}(s^{0})};$$
(22)

where  $^{(0!\ 0)}$  is the three-point function shown separately in Fig. 4a; its representation through form factors  $F_T^{(0!\ 0)}$  (s; s<sup>0</sup>; q<sup>2</sup>) and  $F_L^{(0!\ 0)}$  (s; s<sup>0</sup>; q<sup>2</sup>) is given in (11).

The dispersion representation of the triangle graph can be found in [6, 7, 8, 9]. Here we brie y repeat the scheme keeping in m ind to apply it not only to three-point diagram s but also to the two-point ones describing the photon emission by constituents in the initial and nal states too, see Fig. 4b,c.

Let us start with the Feynman expression for the triangle diagram (0! 0) (P; P<sup>0</sup>; q):

$$\frac{d^{4}k_{1}}{i(2)^{4}} \frac{g_{0}(\mathcal{P}^{2}; k_{1}^{2}; k_{2}^{2})(k_{1} + k_{1}^{0}) g_{0}(\mathcal{P}^{2}; k_{1}^{2}; k_{2}^{2})}{(m^{2} k_{1}^{2})(m^{2} k_{2}^{2})(m^{2} k_{1}^{2})} :$$
(23)

The following steps are necessary to write down the dispersion integral starting from this amplitude:

(i) We should calculate the double discontinuity of the Feynm an diagram (23), with xed energy squared of initial and nalstates,  $P^2 = s$  and  $P^{02} = s^0$ . This implies the substitution of operators in the interm ediate states as follows:

$$(m^{2} k_{1}^{2})^{1} (m^{2} k_{2}^{2})^{1} ! (k_{10}) (k_{1}^{2} m^{2}) (k_{20}) (k_{2}^{2} m^{2}) 
(m^{2} k_{1}^{02})^{1} ! (k_{10}^{0}) (k_{1}^{02} m^{2})$$
(24)

as well as integration over three particle phase space in both channels at xed s and  $s^0$ :

$$\frac{d^{4}k_{1}}{(2)^{4}} (m^{2} k_{1}^{2}) (m^{2} k_{1}^{02}) (m^{2} k_{2}^{2}) ! d_{tr} \mathcal{P}; \mathcal{P}^{0}; k_{1}; k_{1}^{0}; k_{2} ;$$

$$d_{tr} \mathcal{P}; \mathcal{P}^{0}; k_{1}; k_{1}^{0}; k_{2} = d_{2}(\mathcal{P}; k_{1}; k_{2}) d_{2}(\mathcal{P}^{0}; k_{1}^{0}; k_{2}^{0}) 2k_{20}(2)^{3/3} (k_{2} k_{2}^{0}) ; (25)$$

The constituents in the interm ediate state are mass-on-shell. The double discontinuity is calculated at q = P  $P^0 \in q$  but  $q^2 = q^2$ .

(ii) The vertex functions are to be replaced as

$$g_{0}(\mathbf{p}^{2};\mathbf{k}_{1}^{2};\mathbf{k}_{2}^{2})g_{0}(\mathbf{p}^{2};\mathbf{k}_{1}^{02};\mathbf{k}_{2}^{2}) \quad ! \quad g_{0}(\mathbf{s})g_{0}(\mathbf{s}^{0});$$
(26)

that actualize the treatment of composite system as a true two-particle state.

(iii) The invariant part of the triangle diagram should be singled out by expanding the spin factor  $(k_1 + k_1^0)$  in the vectors  $(P + P^0)_2$  and  $q = P P^0$ :

$$(k_1 + k_1^0) = (s; s^0; q^2) P + P^0 \frac{s s^0}{q^2} q + (s; s^0; q^2) q :$$
 (27)

The coe cients  $(s; s^0; q^2)$  and  $(s; s^0; q^2)$  are given below, in Eq. (30). As a result, we have the following expressions for double discontinuities (double spectral densities) for the triangle diagram:

$$disc_{s}disc_{s} F_{T}^{(0! \ 0)}(\mathbf{s}; \mathbf{s}^{0}; \mathbf{q}^{2}) = (\mathbf{s}; \mathbf{s}^{0}; \mathbf{q}^{2})g_{0}(\mathbf{s})g_{0}(\mathbf{s}^{0})d_{tr}(\mathbf{P}^{*}; \mathbf{P}^{0}; \mathbf{k}_{1}; \mathbf{k}_{2}; \mathbf{k}_{1}^{0});$$
  
$$disc_{s}disc_{s} F_{L}^{(0! \ 0)}(\mathbf{s}; \mathbf{s}^{0}; \mathbf{q}^{2}) = (\mathbf{s}; \mathbf{s}^{0}; \mathbf{q}^{2})g_{0}(\mathbf{s})g_{0}(\mathbf{s}^{0})d_{tr}(\mathbf{P}^{*}; \mathbf{P}^{0}; \mathbf{k}_{1}; \mathbf{k}_{2}; \mathbf{k}_{1}^{0}): (28)$$

The form factor  $F_i^{(0! 0)}$  (s;  $s^0; q^2$ ) is determined by its spectral density as follows:

$$F_{i}^{(0!\ 0)}(s;s^{0};q^{2}) = f_{i}^{(0!\ 0)}(s;s^{0};q^{2}) + \frac{\frac{2}{4}}{4m^{2}} \frac{ds}{ds} \frac{ds^{0}}{ds} \frac{disc_{s}disc_{s}F_{i}^{(0!\ 0)}(s;s^{0};q^{2})}{(s\ s\ i0)\ (s^{0}\ s^{0}\ i0)};$$
(29)

where  $f_i^{(0! 0)}(s;s^0;q^2)$  are the subtraction term s with zero double spectral density. W ithin the approach, where partial amplitude is described by a set of dispersion diagram s of F ig. 1a, the subtraction term  $f_i^{(0! 0)}(s;s^0;q^2)$  is an arbitrary function determ ined by diagram s where photon interacts with other particles, not constituents, for example, with m esons which determ ine the forces between constituents.

The expansion coe cients (27) are calculated under the orthogonality requirements. Indeed, by projecting (27) onto  $P' + P'^0$  q (s  $s^0$ )=q<sup>2</sup> and q, we obtain the equations for (s;  $s^0$ ; q<sup>2</sup>) and (s;  $s^0$ ; q<sup>2</sup>). We have:

$$(\mathbf{s};\mathbf{s}^{0};\mathbf{q}^{2}) = \frac{\mathbf{q}^{2} (\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{s}^{0} - \mathbf{q}^{2})}{(\mathbf{s};\mathbf{s}^{0};\mathbf{q}^{2})};$$
  

$$(\mathbf{s};\mathbf{s}^{0};\mathbf{q}^{2}) = 0;$$
  

$$(\mathbf{s};\mathbf{s}^{0};\mathbf{q}^{2}) = 2\mathbf{q}^{2} (\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{s}^{0}) + \mathbf{q}^{4} + (\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{s}^{0})^{2}:$$
(30)

Here we took into account that  $k_i^2 = m^2$  and  $(k_1 \quad k_1^0)^2 = q^2$ . Therefore,  $F_L^{(0! \ 0)}(s;s^0;q^2)$  has zero double spectral density, and it is de ned by the subtraction term only:

$$F_{\rm L}^{(0!\ 0)}(s;s^{0};q^{2}) = f_{\rm L}^{(0!\ 0)}(s;s^{0};q^{2}) :$$
(31)

For  $F_T^{(0! 0)}$  (s; s<sup>0</sup>; q<sup>2</sup>), after integrating in (25) over the momenta  $k_1$ ,  $k_1^0$  and  $k_2$  at xed s and s<sup>0</sup>, we obtain the following equation:

$$F_{T}^{(0!\ 0)}(s;s^{0};q^{2}) = f_{T}^{(0!\ 0)}(s;s^{0};q^{2}) + \int_{4m^{2}}^{Z^{2}} \frac{dsds^{0}}{s} \frac{g_{0}(s)}{s} \frac{g_{0}(s)}{s} \frac{g_{0}(s^{0})}{s^{0}} \frac{(ss^{0})^{2}}{16} \frac{(ss^{0})^{2}}{(ss^{0})^{2}} (ss^{0})^{2} \frac{(ss^{0})^{2}}{s^{0}} (ss^{0})^{2} \frac{(ss^{0})^{2}}{s^{0}} \frac{(ss^{0})^{2}}{s^{0}$$

Here the -function is defined as follows: (X) = 1 at X = 0 and (X) = 0 at X < 0.

Now let us come back to the requirements the amplitude should obey. First, as was said above, it must be analytical function, that is, kinematical singularities should be absent. Concerning the  $q^2 = 0$  singularity of the considered amplitude, the term we should care for is:

$$\frac{s}{q^2} F_T^{(0!\ 0)}(s;s^0;q^2) q :$$
(33)

Let us calculate the form factor in the lim it  $q^2$  ! 0. To this aim let us introduce new variables in (32):

$$= \frac{1}{2} (s + s^{0}); = s s^{0}; Q^{2} = q^{2};$$
(34)

and then consider the case of interest,  $Q^2$  ! 0. The form factor form ula reads:

$$F_{T}^{(0!\ 0)}(s;s^{0};q^{2}) = f_{T}^{(0!\ 0)}(s;s^{0};q^{2}) + \frac{z^{4}}{4m^{2}} \frac{d}{d} - \frac{g_{0}^{2}()}{(s;s^{0})(s^{0})} \int_{b}^{z^{b}} d - \frac{(;;Q^{2})}{16p^{2} + 4Q^{2}}; \quad (35)$$

where

$$b = \frac{Q}{m} \frac{q}{(4m^2)}; \quad (;;Q^2) = \frac{2Q^2}{2+4Q^2}; \quad (36)$$

As a result we have:

$$F_{T}^{(0!\ 0)}(s;s^{0};0) = f_{T}^{(0!\ 0)}(s;s^{0};0) + \frac{B_{0}(s)}{s} \frac{B_{0}(s^{0})}{s};$$
(37)

where  $B_0$  (s) is the loop diagram :

$$B_{0}(s) = \frac{Z^{1}}{4m^{2}} \frac{ds}{s} \frac{g_{0}^{2}(s)}{s} (s) ; \qquad (s) = \frac{1}{16} \frac{s}{s} \frac{s}{s} \frac{4m^{2}}{s} : \qquad (38)$$

In (38) the index J for the phase volum e w ith J = 0 is om itted.

In the  $\lim it q^2 ! 0$  the amplitude takes the form :

The am plitude (39) should not have pole singularity  $1=q^2$ : the presence in the right-hand side of (39) of singular factor q (s s<sup>0</sup>)=q<sup>2</sup> is an artifact of our expansion of the am plitude <sup>(0! 0)</sup> in the transverse and longitudinal components. Therefore, the subtraction term in  $f_{L}^{(0! 0)}$  (s; s<sup>0</sup>; q<sup>2</sup> ! 0) m ust contain expressions which cancel the singularity  $1=q^2$ . The calcellation of singular term s lead to the requirem ent:

$$f_{\rm L}^{(0!\ 0)}({\rm s};{\rm s}^{0};{\rm q}^{2} !\ 0) = \frac{1}{{\rm q}^{2}} ({\rm s} {\rm s}^{0}) f_{\rm T}^{(0!\ 0)}({\rm s};{\rm s}^{0};0) + {\rm B}_{0}({\rm s}) {\rm B}_{0}({\rm s}^{0}) :$$
(40)

A fler the full ment of (40), we have for  $(0! \ 0)$  (s; s<sup>0</sup>; 0):

1

This form ula has been obtained in [6] for the case of  $f_T^{(0! \ 0)} = 0$ . In this approximation we come to a well-known W and identity for the triangle diagram :  $q^{(0! \ 0)}$  (s; s<sup>0</sup>; 0) = B<sub>0</sub> (s) B<sub>0</sub> (s<sup>0</sup>). With non-zero subtraction term, the W and identity looks as follows:

$$q^{(0! 0)}(s;s^{0};0) = (s s^{0})f_{T}^{(0! 0)}(s;s^{0};0) + B_{0}(s) B_{0}(s^{0}) :$$
(42)

#### 2.2 Diagram s of Fig. 3b, c type

Consider the amplitude for the diagram of Fig. 3b; it reads as follows:

$$A^{(! 0)}(P;P^{0};q) = {}^{(! 0)}(P;P^{0};q)\frac{g_{0}(s^{0})}{1 B_{0}(s^{0})} :$$
(43)

Here (! 0) stands for the vertex representing the emission of photon by the incoming constituent, it is shown in Fig. 4b.

By singling out the S-wave state from the initial state of the amplitude of Fig. 4b,  $(S^{(0)})$ , we can represent  $(S^{(0)})$  as the spectral integral. In this way the amplitude is written as follows:

$$(S! 0) (P; P^{0}; q) = P + P^{0} \frac{s s^{0}}{q^{2}} q^{2} F_{T} (S! 0) (S; s^{0}; q^{2}) + q F_{L} (S; s^{0}; q^{2}) :$$
(44)

The spectral integrals for  $F_T^{(S! 0)}$  and  $F_L^{(S! 0)}$  are obtained in the same way as before. Namely, we project the Feynman of Fig. 4b on the S-wave state by averaging over the phase space of initial particles,  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ :

$$\frac{d_{2} (\mathcal{P}; k_{1}; k_{2})}{(s)} (k_{1} + k_{1}^{0}) \frac{g_{0} (\mathcal{P}^{2}; k_{1}^{0}; k_{2}^{2})}{m^{2} k_{1}^{0}} :$$
(45)

The discontinuity of the amplitude (44) is calculated for the mass-on-shell constituent:

$$(m^{2} k_{1}^{02})^{1} ! (k_{10}^{0}) (k_{1}^{02} m^{2});$$
 (46)

with the phase space integration in the channel  $s^0$ :

$$(2)^{3}2k_{20} (k_{2} k_{2}^{0}) d_{2} (\mathbb{P}^{0}; k_{1}^{0}; k_{2}^{0}) :$$

$$(47)$$

Besides, it is necessary to expand the spin factor  $(k_1 + k_1^0)$  in the vectors P,  $\mathcal{P}^0$  and q with the constraint  $q^2 = q^2$ . Invariant expansion coe cients,  $(s; s^0; q^2)$  and  $(s; s^0; q^2)$ , are given by Eqs. (27) and (30).

A fler the substitution  $g_0 (\mathbb{P}^{\mathbb{Q}}; k_1^{\mathbb{Q}}; k_2^2) \leq g_0(s^0)$  and spectral integration, we have the following representation for  $F_i^{(S! 0)}(s; s^0; q^2)$ :

$$F_{T}^{(S!\ 0)}(s;s^{0};q^{2}) = f_{T}^{(S!\ 0)}(s;s^{0};q^{2}) + \frac{ds^{0}}{4m^{2}} (s;s^{0};q^{2}) \frac{g_{0}(s^{0})}{s^{0}} d_{tr}(\mathbb{P};\mathbb{P}^{0};k_{1};k_{1}^{0};k_{2});$$

$$F_{L}^{(S!\ 0)}(s;s^{0};q^{2}) = f_{L}^{(S!\ 0)}(s;s^{0};q^{2}):$$
(48)

Here we took into account that  $(s;s^0;q^2) = 0$ , see (30); the equation for  $F_L^{(S! 0)}(s;s^0;q^2)$  has zero double spectral density, and it is completely determ ined by its subtraction term.

For  $F_T^{(S! 0)}(s; s^0; q^2)$ , after integrating over  $k_1$ ,  $k_1^0$  and  $k_2$  at xed  $s^0$ , we have the following expression:

$$F_{T}^{(S!\ 0)}(s;s^{0};q^{2}) = f_{T}^{(S!\ 0)}(s;s^{0};q^{2}) + \frac{2}{4m^{2}} \frac{ds^{0}}{s^{0}} \frac{g_{0}(s^{0})}{s^{0}} \frac{(ss^{0})^{2}}{16} \frac{(ss^{0})^{2}}{(s;s^{0};q^{2})} (s;s^{0};q^{2})$$
(s;s^{0};q^{2}) (s)

For the factor  $F_T^{(S! 0)}(s; s^0; q^2)$  in the lim it  $q^2 ! 0$ , after the same calculations as for previous diagram s, we obtain:

$$F_{T}^{(S! 0)}(s;s^{0};0) = f_{T}^{(S! 0)}(s;s^{0};0) + \frac{g_{0}(s)}{s s^{0}}:$$
(50)

The amplitude (44) in the lim it  $q^2$  ! 0 has the form :

$$= P + P^{0} \frac{s}{q^{2}} q^{2} ! 0 = f_{T}^{(S! 0)} (s; s^{0}; q^{2} ! 0) + \frac{g_{0}(s)}{s} + q f_{L}^{(S! 0)} (s; s^{0}; q^{2} ! 0) + \frac{g_{0}(s)}{s} + q f_{L}^{(S! 0)} (s; s^{0}; q^{2} ! 0) ;$$

$$(51)$$

and the requirement of absence of singularity  $1=q^2$  in the amplitude <sup>(S! 0)</sup> (P; P<sup>0</sup>; q<sup>2</sup>! 0) leads to the form ula:

$$f_{\rm L}^{(\rm S!\ 0)}(s;s^{0};q^{2}!\ 0) = \frac{1}{q^{2}}(s\ s^{0})f_{\rm T}^{(\rm S!\ 0)}(s;s^{0};0) + g_{0}(s) :$$
(52)

Taking this condition into account for the vertex  $(S! 0) (P; P^{0}; 0)$ , one obtains:

$$(S^{(S^{(0)})}(P;P^{(0)};0) = (P + P^{(0)}) f_{T}^{(S^{(0)})}(s;s^{(0)};0) + \frac{g_{0}(s)}{s s^{(0)}} :$$
 (53)

The amplitude for diagram s of Fig. 3c-type is treated similarly. A fler the S-wave is extracted for outgoing constituents, we have:

$$A^{(0! S)}(P;P^{0};q) = \frac{g_{0}(s)}{1 B_{0}(s)} (P;P^{0};q); \qquad (54)$$

where

$${}^{(0! S)}(P;P^{0};q) = P + P^{0} \frac{s s^{0}}{q^{2}}q^{2} F_{T}^{(0! S)}(s;s^{0};q^{2}) + q F_{L}^{(0! S)}(s;s^{0};q^{2});$$
(55)

and

$$F_{\rm L}^{(0! \ S)}({\bf s};{\bf s}^{0};{\bf q}^{2}) = f_{\rm L}^{(0! \ S)}({\bf s};{\bf s}^{0};{\bf q}^{2});$$

$$F_{\rm T}^{(0! \ S)}({\bf s};{\bf s}^{0};{\bf q}^{2}) = f_{\rm T}^{(0! \ S)}({\bf s};{\bf s}^{0};{\bf q}^{2}) + \frac{{}^{2}}{4{}^{4}{}^{2}} \frac{d{\bf s}}{{\bf s}} \frac{g_{0}({\bf s})}{{}^{6}{}^{5}{}^{5}{}^{6}{}^{2}} \frac{({}^{5}{}^{8}{}^{0}{}^{6}{}^{2}{}^{2}{}^{1}{}^{2}{}^{2}{}^{1}{}^{2}{}^{2}{}^{1}{}^{2}{}^{2}{}^{1}{}^{2}{}^{2}{}^{1}{}^{2}{}^{2}{}^{1}{}^{2}{}^{2}{}^{1}{}^{2}{}^{2}{}^{1}{}^{2}{}^{2}{}^{1}{}^{2}{}^{2}{}^{1}{}^{2}{}^{2}{}^{1}{}^{2$$

In the lim it  $q^2$  ! 0, the amplitude takes the form :

and the requirement of cancellation of the singularity  $1=q^2$  works out the following form ula:

$$f_{\rm L}^{(0! \ S)}({\bf s};{\bf s}^0;q^2 \ ! \ 0) = \frac{1}{q^2} ({\bf s} \ {\bf s}^0)f_{\rm T}^{(0! \ S)}({\bf s};{\bf s}^0;0) \quad g_0({\bf s}^0) :$$
(58)

That results in

$$^{(0! S)}(P;P^{0};0) = (P + P^{0}) f_{T}^{(0! S)}(s;s^{0};0) \frac{g_{0}(s^{0})}{s s^{0}}!$$
 (59)

ш

### 2.3 Connected diagrams in the lim it $q^2$ ! 0

First, consider the case when there are no subtraction terms in the transverse form factor,  $f_T^{(S!\ 0)}(s;s^0;0) = f_T^{(0!\ S)}(s;s^0;0) = 0$ : such a variant has been considered in [6]. It is easy to see that the sum of all connected diagrams of F ig. 3a, 3b and 3c, is equal to zero in this lim it. Indeed, according to (41), (53) and (59), it is equal to

$$(P + P^{0}) \quad \frac{g_{0}(s)}{s s^{0}} \frac{g_{0}(s^{0})}{1 B_{0}(s^{0})} + \frac{g_{0}(s)}{1 B_{0}(s)} \frac{B_{0}(s)}{s s^{0}} \frac{B_{0}(s^{0})}{s s^{0}} \frac{g_{0}(s^{0})}{1 B_{0}(s^{0})} - \frac{g_{0}(s)}{1 B_{0}(s)} \frac{g_{0}(s^{0})}{s s^{0}} = 0:$$
(60)

Generally, when subtraction terms dier from zero, the amplitude A  $^{(conected)}(P;P^{0};q^{2})$  should also turn into zero at  $q^{2}$ ! 0:

$$A^{(\text{conected})}(P;P^{0};q^{2}! 0) =$$

$$= A^{(S! 0)}(P;P^{0};q^{2}! 0) + A^{(0! 0)}(P;P^{0};q^{2}! 0) + A^{(0! S)}(P;P^{0};q^{2}! 0) = 0;$$
(61)

that is equivalent to the requirem ent (see form ulae (41), (53), (59)):

$$f_{T}^{(0!\ 0)}(s;s^{0};0) = \frac{B_{0}(s)}{g_{0}(s)} f_{T}^{(S!\ 0)}(s;s^{0};0) + f_{T}^{(0!\ S)}(s;s^{0};0) \frac{B_{0}(s^{0})}{g_{0}(s^{0})} \frac{1}{g_{0}(s^{0})}$$
(62)

Let us bring our attention to the follow ing. The existence of bound state requires  $B_0 (M^2) = 1$ ; therefore, we have on the basis of (62):

$$f_{T}^{(0! 0)} (M^{2}; M^{2}; 0) = 0 :$$
 (63)

This means that charge form factor of composite system F ( $q^2$ ) =  $F_T^{(0!\ 0)}$  (M<sup>2</sup>; M<sup>2</sup>;  $q^2$ ) is determined at  $q^2 = 0$  by the triangle graph only, without subtraction terms. Such a property is not surprising: relying on B<sub>0</sub> (M<sup>2</sup>) = 1, we assumed actually that composite system is true two-particle one, and the condition for charge form factor of the composite system,

$$F(0) = 1;$$
 (64)

is the norm alization condition for the wave function of this system .

Accounting for (63), one can impose more general constraint

$$f_{T}^{(0! 0)} (M^{2}; M^{2}; q^{2}) = 0; \qquad (65)$$

that is equivalent to the suggestion that charge form factor of composite system is de ned by double spectral integral only. W ithin this approximation the form factor of deuteron as two-nucleon system was calculated in [6] as well as form factor of the pion treated as qq-system [8].

#### 3 Transition V ! S

Consider now the transition of vector state to scalar one, V ! S: this is the transition of the P-wave two-constituent state to the S-wave one. Such a reaction, as in the previous case, is represented by a set of diagram s shown in Fig. 3a,b,c. The vertex function for V ! S, (1! 0), depends on the two spin indices: stands for vector state of constituents and , as before, is related to photon.

In the diagram s shown in Fig. 4a,b,c the following factor carries spin indices:

$$(k_1 \quad k_2) \quad (k_1 + k_1^0) ;$$
 (66)

where  $(k_1 \ k_2)$  provides the P-wave of the initial state and  $(k_1 + k_1^0)$  determines gaugeinvariant vertex photon {constituent. Let us expand the factor  $(k_1 \ k_2)$   $(k_1 + k_1^0)$  in the spin operators. As was said in Introduction, there exists a freedom in the choice of expansion operators. To reveal the consequences of this freedom for spectral amplitudes, consider in parallel two sets of operators. In the rst case the operators are as follow s:

and in the second one:

Expansion II: 
$$g^{??} = g = \frac{q P}{(P q)} = g^{??} 4L$$
; L: (68)

Recall that the operators  $g^{?}$  and L were introduced in (12) and (13).

The convolutions of operators  $g^{?}$ ,  $g^{?}$  and L are equal to:

$$g^{??} g^{??} = 2; \quad L \quad L = \frac{q^2 P^2}{16 (P q)^2}; \quad L \quad g^{??} = 0;$$

$$g^{??} g^{??} = 2 + \frac{q^2 P^2}{(P q)^2}; \quad L \quad g^{??} = \frac{q^2 P^2}{4 (P q)^2}: \quad (69)$$

We see that the operators from the second set are not orthogonal to one another but the orthogonality is restored at  $q^2$  ! 0. At  $q^2 = 0$  one has:

$$g^{??}(0) g^{??}(0) = 2;$$
 L  $(0) L (0) = 0;$  L  $(0) g^{??}(0) = 0;$   
 $g^{??}(0) g^{??}(0) = 2;$  L  $(0) g^{??}(0) = 0;$  (70)

that means the equivalence of both sets of operators.

In terms of the considered operators the spin factor,

$$S = (k_1 \quad k_2) \quad (k_1 + k_1^0) ;$$
 (71)

is represented as:

Expansion I: 
$$S = {}_{T} (s; s^{0}; q^{2}) g^{2} + {}_{L} (s; s^{0}; q^{2}) L$$
 (72)  
 ${}_{T} (s; s^{0}; q^{2}) = 2 m^{2} + \frac{ss^{0}q^{2}}{(s; s^{0}; q^{2})};$   
 ${}_{L} (s; s^{0}; q^{2}) = \frac{2 (s + s^{0} q^{2}) (s s^{0} q^{2}) (s s^{0} + q^{2})}{(s; s^{0}; q^{2})}:$   
Expansion II:  $S = {}^{e}_{T} (s; s^{0}; q^{2}) g^{2} + {}^{e}_{L} (s; s^{0}; q^{2}) L$  (73)  
 ${}^{e}_{T} (s; s^{0}; q^{2}) = 2 m^{2} + \frac{ss^{0}q^{2}}{(s; s^{0}; q^{2})};$   
 ${}^{e}_{L} (s; s^{0}; q^{2}) = 2 4m^{2} + \frac{4ss^{0}q^{2} + (s + s^{0} q^{2}) (s s^{0} q^{2}) (s s^{0} + q^{2})}{(s; s^{0}; q^{2})}$ 

with function  $(s; s^0; q^2)$  given in (30); the calculation of coe cients is carried out in Appendix A.As one can see,

$$_{T}(s;s^{0};q^{2}) = {}^{e}_{T}(s;s^{0};q^{2});$$
 (74)

and this is important for the discussion.

#### 3.1 The amplitude of Fig. 3a.

The whole am plitude is a sum of am plitudes of three types represented by Fig. 3a,b,c. Let us start with the diagram of Fig. 3a, which contains double pole term . Corresponding am plitude is written as follows:

$$A^{(1!\ 0)}(s;s^{0};q^{2}) = (p_{1} p_{2}) \frac{g_{1}(s)}{1 B_{1}(s)} (p;P^{0};q) \frac{g_{0}(s^{0})}{1 B_{0}(s^{0})};$$
(75)

The functions  $g_1$  (s) and  $g_0$  (s<sup>0</sup>) are vertices of vector and scalar states; is the three-point amplitude of Fig. 4a, for which the expressions for di erent choices of operators read:

Here  $F_T^{(1!\ 0)}$ ,  $F_L^{(1!\ 0)}$  and  $F_T^{(1!\ 0)}$ ,  $F_L^{(1!\ 0)}$  are the form factors, for which the dispersion relations can be written in similarly to what was described in the previous Section.

The form factor  $F_i^{(1! 0)}$  (s; s<sup>0</sup>; q<sup>2</sup>) in Expansion I reads:

$$F_{i}^{(1!\ 0)}(s;s^{0};q^{2}) = f_{i}^{(1!\ 0)}(s;s^{0};q^{2}) + \frac{ds}{4m^{2}} \frac{ds}{ds} \frac{ds^{0}}{ds} \frac{dsc_{s}disc_{s} \cdot F_{i}^{(1!\ 0)}(s;s^{0};q^{2})}{(s\ s\ i0)(s^{0}\ s^{0}\ i0)}; \quad i = T;L : (77)$$

Here  $f_i^{(1! 0)}$  (s; s<sup>0</sup>; q<sup>2</sup>) is the subtraction term , and the double spectral density is:

$$disc_{s}disc_{s} \circ F_{i}^{(1! 0)}(s; s^{0}; q^{2}) = i(s; s^{0}; q^{2}) g_{1}(s) g_{0}(s^{0}) d_{tr} P; P^{0}; k_{1}; k_{1}^{0}; k_{2} : (78)$$

The form factor  $\mathbb{P}_i^{(1! \ 0)}$  (s; s<sup>0</sup>; q<sup>2</sup>) in Expansion II is written sim ilarly to (77) but with di erently de ned double spectral density given by (78): one should substitute  $_i(s; s^0; q^2) ! = {}^e_i(s; s^0; q^2)$ .

Using dispersion relations for the form factors, one can investigate these two variants for any  $q^2$ . But the subject of our interest is the case of  $q^2$  ! 0, so concentrate our attention just here. At  $q^2$  ! 0 the amplitude in Expansion I takes the form :

$$= \begin{cases} (1! \ 0) \ (s; s^{0}; q^{2} \ ! \ 0) = \\ g + \frac{4s}{(s \ s^{0})^{2}} q q \frac{2}{s \ s^{0}} (P \ q + q P \ ) \ F_{T}^{(1! \ 0)} (s; s^{0}; 0) + \\ \frac{s}{(s \ s^{0})^{2}} q q \frac{1}{2(s \ s^{0})} P \ q \ F_{L}^{(1! \ 0)} (s; s^{0}; 0) = \end{cases}$$

$$= g \frac{2}{s s^{0}} q P F_{T}^{(1! 0)}(s; s^{0}; 0) + \frac{4}{s s^{0}} q q \frac{1}{2(s s^{0})} P q F_{L}^{(1! 0)}(s; s^{0}; 0) + 4F_{T}^{(1! 0)}(s; s^{0}; 0) : (79)$$

Hence one has:

$$\mathbf{\hat{F}}_{\mathrm{L}}^{(1!\ 0)}(\mathbf{s};\mathbf{s}^{0};0) = \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{L}}^{(1!\ 0)}(\mathbf{s};\mathbf{s}^{0};0) ;$$

$$\mathbf{\hat{F}}_{\mathrm{L}}^{(1!\ 0)}(\mathbf{s};\mathbf{s}^{0};0) = \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{L}}^{(1!\ 0)}(\mathbf{s};\mathbf{s}^{0};0) + 4\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(1!\ 0)}(\mathbf{s};\mathbf{s}^{0};0) :$$

$$(80)$$

The calculation of three-point form factors in the limit  $q^2 ! 0$  is given in Appendix B for both expansion types, it is similar to the calculation of the three-point amplitude performed in previous Section for the transition S ! S. Here we present the case of Expansion I only, for the amplitudes of Expansion II are de ned by (80). One gets:

Expansion I: 
$$F_{i}^{(1!\ 0)}(s;s^{0};0) = f_{i}^{(1!\ 0)}(s;s^{0};0) + \frac{B_{i}^{(1!\ 0)}(s) - B_{i}^{(1!\ 0)}(s^{0})}{s - s^{0}}; i = T;L:$$
 (81)

where the loop diagram  $B_i^{(1! 0)}$  (s) is equal to:

$$B_{i}^{(1!\ 0)}(s) = \frac{24}{5} \frac{ds}{s} \frac{g_{1}(s)g_{0}(s)}{s} (s)_{i}(s)_{i}(s);$$

$$T_{T}(s) = 2m^{2} \frac{s}{s} \frac{1}{s} \frac{1}{4m^{2}} \ln \frac{1+q}{s} \frac{(s-4m^{2})=s}{(s-4m^{2})=s} s;$$

$$L_{L}(s) = 4s^{4} \frac{s}{s} \frac{1}{s} \frac{1}{4m^{2}} \ln \frac{1+q}{s} \frac{(s-4m^{2})=s}{(s-4m^{2})=s} 2^{5}; (82)$$

Here we come to a clue result of our study: the form factor related to the transverse component (80) does not depend on the choice of expansion operators. The choice of the expansion results in the de nition of  $F_{L}^{(1! \ 0)}$  (s; s<sup>0</sup>; 0), but the amplitude  $A_{L}^{(1! \ 0)}$ , in its turn, does not contribute to cross sections of physical processes with real photons because  $A_{L}^{(1! \ 0)} = 0$ .

Sum m ing up, we conclude that in the lim it  $q^2 ! 0$  the amplitude of Fig. 3a-type diagram s is determ ined unambiguously:

$$A^{(1! 0)}(s; s^{0}; 0) = (p_{1} p_{2}) \frac{g_{1}(s)}{1 B_{1}(s)} (1! 0)(s; s^{0}; 0) \frac{g_{0}(s^{0})}{1 B_{0}(s^{0})};$$
(83)

~

where  $(1! \ 0)$  (s;  $s^{0}$ ; 0) is given by (79).

#### 3.2 The amplitudes for the processes of Fig. 3b,c.

Furtherm ore, consider the diagram of F ig. 3b, when the photon interacts with constituents in the initial state. Corresponding am plitude for the diagram s of such a type is given by (43);

recall that  $(! 0) (P; P^0; q)$  is a function represented diagram matically by Fig. 4b. By studying the transitions V ! S, one needs to single out the P-wave component in the initial state of the pole am plitude of Fig. 4b. In Appendix C the expansion of pole diagram in partial waves is presented in more detail. A fler singling out the P-wave, the am plitude  $(! 0) (P; P^0; q)$  turns into  $(P! 0) (P; P^0; q)$ :

$${}^{(P!0)}(P;P^{0};q) = \frac{3}{p^{2}} \frac{Z}{p^{2}} \frac{d_{2}(P;p_{1};p_{2})}{(s)}(p_{1} p_{2}) (P;P^{0};q); \qquad (84)$$

where  $p^2 = (p_1 p_2)^2 = 4m^2$  s.

Therefore, the amplitude for diagram s with the P-wave initial state takes the form :

$$A^{(P!0)}(P;P^{0};q) = (p_{1} p_{2})^{(P!0)}(P;P^{0};q) \frac{g_{0}(s^{0})}{1 B_{0}(s^{0})};$$
(85)

Now we can perform the expansion similar to what has been done in Section 3.1, namely:

The form factors  $F_T^{(P!0)}$ ,  $F_L^{(P!0)}$  and  $F_T^{(P!0)}$ ,  $F_L^{(P!0)}$  entering this expression m ay be found in the same way as for S ! S, see also Appendix C. For Expansion I we have:

$$F_{i}^{(P! 0)}(s;s^{0};q^{2}) = f_{i}^{(P! 0)}(s;s^{0};q^{2}) + \frac{3}{p^{2}} \frac{ds^{0}}{ds^{0}} \frac{g_{0}(s^{0})}{s^{0} s^{0}} i(s;s^{0};q^{2})d_{tr}(P;P^{0};k_{1};k_{1}^{0};k_{2}); \quad i = T;L; \quad (87)$$

with factors  $_{i}(s;s^{0};q^{2})$  determined by the formula (72). The formulae for  $\mathbb{P}_{i}^{(\mathbb{P} \mid 0)}(s;s^{0};q^{2})$  are given by an equation similar to (87), with the substitution  $_{i}(s;s^{0};q^{2}) ! = {e_{i}(s;s^{0};q^{2})}$ .

In the  $\lim it q^2 ! 0$ , the amplitude (86) reads:

$$= \begin{array}{c} & \overset{(P^{!} \ 0)}{=} (s; s^{0}; q^{2} \ ! \ 0) = \\ & & & & \\ & g + \frac{4s}{(s \ s^{0})^{2}} q q \quad \frac{2}{s \ s^{0}} (P \ q + q \ P \ ) \ F_{T}^{(P^{!} \ 0)} (s; s^{0}; 0) + \\ & & & \\ & &$$

Hence the form factors at Expansions I and II are related to each other as:

$$F_{\rm L}^{e^{(P! 0)}}(s;s^{0};0) = F_{\rm L}^{(P! 0)}(s;s^{0};0);$$

$$F_{\rm L}^{e^{(P! 0)}}(s;s^{0};0) = F_{\rm L}^{(P! 0)}(s;s^{0};0) + 4F_{\rm T}^{(P! 0)}(s;s^{0};0); \qquad (89)$$

that is similar to (80). The calculation of form factors in the limit  $q^2$  ! 0 is performed in Appendix B for Expansion I.W e have:

Expansion I: 
$$F_{i}^{(P!0)}(s;s^{0};0) = f_{i}^{(P!0)}(s;s^{0};0) + \frac{g_{0}(s)}{s s^{0}} \frac{3_{i}(s)}{4m^{2} s}; i = T;L;$$
 (90)

where  $_{T}$  (s) and  $_{L}$  (s) are given in (82). Let us emphasize that the factor  $_{i}$  (s)=(4m<sup>2</sup> s) in (90) is analytical at s = 4m<sup>2</sup>, since  $_{i}$  (4m<sup>2</sup>) = 0.

The amplitude for diagram s of Fig. 3c-type, with a separated S-wave in the nal state, reads as follows:

$$A^{(1! S)}(P; P^{0}; q) = (p_{1} p_{2}) \frac{g_{1}(s)}{1 B_{1}(s)} (s; s^{0}; q^{2}); \qquad (91)$$

where (1! S) is the function represented by Fig. 4c, where the separation of the S-wave has been carried out. For Expansion I, this function is written as follows:

Expansion I: 
$${}^{(1! S)}(P;P^{0};q) = q^{??}F_{T}^{(1! S)}(s;s^{0};q^{2}) + L F_{L}^{(1! S)}(s;s^{0};q^{2}):$$
 (92)

The calculation of  $F_i^{(1!S)}$  and  $F_i^{(1!S)}$  can be done quite similarly to a form ercase. As a result, we have the following expressions for the form factors:

Expansion I: 
$$F_{T,L}^{(11,S)}(s;s^{0};q^{2}) = f_{T,L}^{(11,S)}(s;s^{0};q^{2}) + \int_{4m^{2}}^{24} \frac{ds}{s} \frac{g_{1}(s)}{s} r_{T,L}(s;s^{0};q^{2})d_{2}(\mathcal{P};k_{1};k_{2});$$
  
(93)

In the lim it  $q^2 ! 0$ , which is just a subject of our investigation, we have:

Expansion I: 
$$F_{T;L}^{(1!S)}(s;s^{0};0) = f_{T;L}^{(1!S)}(s;s^{0};0) + \frac{g_{1}(s^{0})}{s^{0}s}_{i}(s^{0})$$
: (94)

For Expansion I, the nalam plitude at  $q^2$  ! 0 reads:

$$A^{(1! S)}(s; s^{0}; 0) = (p_{1} \quad p_{2}) \frac{q_{1}(s)}{1 \quad B_{1}(s)}$$

$$g^{+} \frac{4s}{(s \quad s^{0})^{2}} q q^{-} \frac{2}{s \quad s^{0}} (P \quad q + q \quad P)$$

$$f_{T}^{(1! S)}(s; s^{0}; 0) + \frac{q_{1}(s^{0})}{s^{0} \quad s} r^{-}(s^{0}) +$$

$$\frac{s}{(s \quad s^{0})^{2}} q q^{-} \frac{1}{2(s \quad s^{0})} P \quad q^{-} f_{L}^{(1! S)}(s; s^{0}; 0) + \frac{q_{1}(s^{0})}{s^{0} \quad s} r^{-}(s^{0}) +$$

$$(95)$$

$$(95)$$

$$(95)$$

It can be easily rewritten in the form of Expansion II:

+

$$A^{(1! S)}(s;s^{0};0) = (p_{1} p_{2}) \frac{g_{1}(s)}{1 B_{1}(s)}$$
(96)

#### 3.3 A nalytical properties of the amplitude V ! S

Now let us turn to the whole amplitude, which is the sum of processes shown in Fig. 3a,b,c, and investigate its analytical properties in the lim it  $q^2$  ! 0.

For the two representations of the amplitude corresponding to Expansions I and II, one has:

$$A^{(\text{connected})}(s;s^{0};0) = \frac{g_{1}(s)}{1 B_{1}(s)}$$

$$g + \frac{4s}{(s s^{0})^{2}} q q \frac{2}{s s^{0}} (P q + q P) T(s;s^{0};0) +$$

$$+ \frac{s}{(s s^{0})^{2}} q q \frac{1}{2(s s^{0})} P q L(s;s^{0};0) \frac{g_{0}(s^{0})}{1 B_{0}(s^{0})} =$$

$$= \frac{g_{1}(s)}{1 B_{1}(s)} g \frac{2}{s s^{0}} q P T(s;s^{0};0) +$$

$$+ \frac{s}{(s s^{0})^{2}} q q \frac{1}{2(s s^{0})} P q L(s;s^{0};0) + 4T(s;s^{0};0) \frac{1}{1 B_{0}(s^{0})};$$

$$(97)$$

where

$$T (s; s^{0}; 0) = \frac{1 B_{1}(s)}{g_{1}(s)} f_{T}^{(P!0)}(s; s^{0}; 0) + \frac{1 B_{1}(s)}{g_{1}(s)} \frac{g_{0}(s)}{s} \frac{3 T(s)}{s} + f_{T}^{(1!0)}(s; s^{0}; 0) + \frac{B_{T}^{(1!0)}(s)}{s} \frac{B_{T}^{(1!0)}(s)}{s} \frac{B_{T}^{(1!0)}(s^{0})}{s} + f_{T}^{(1!s)}(s; s^{0}; 0) \frac{1 B_{0}(s^{0})}{g_{0}(s^{0})} + \frac{g_{1}(s^{0})}{s} T(s^{0}) \frac{1 B_{0}(s^{0})}{g_{0}(s^{0})} + \frac{g_{1}(s^{0})}{s} T(s^{0}) \frac{1 B_{0}(s^{0})}{g_{0}(s^{0})}$$
(98)

and

$$L(s;s^{0};0) = \frac{1 B_{1}(s)}{g_{1}(s)} f_{L}^{(P!0)}(s;s^{0};0) + \frac{1 B_{1}(s)}{g_{1}(s)} \frac{g_{0}(s)}{s} \frac{3 L(s)}{s} + (99)$$

$$+ f_{L}^{(1!0)}(s;s^{0};0) + \frac{B_{L}^{(1!0)}(s)}{s} \frac{B_{L}^{(1!0)}(s)}{s} + \frac{B_{L}^{(1!0)}(s)}{s} \frac{B_{L}^{(1!0)}(s)}{s} + (1 B_{L}^{(110)}(s)) + \frac{B_{L}^{(110)}(s)}{s} + \frac{B_{L}^{(110)}(s)}$$

Looking on the last equation in (97), which corresponds to Expansion II, we conclude that the analyticity of the amplitude A (connected) (s; s<sup>0</sup>; 0) requires the following ultimate expressions be fulled at s! s<sup>0</sup>:

and

A fler satisfying the requirements given by (100) and (101), the point  $s = s^0$  is not singular for A <sup>(connected)</sup> (s; s<sup>0</sup>; 0).

First, consider the condition (100) for the transverse am plitude T (s; s<sup>0</sup>; 0). This am plitude is de ned in (98), it contains pole singularities 1=(s s<sup>0</sup>), which are due to both A <sup>(P! 0)</sup> (s; s<sup>0</sup>; 0) and A <sup>(1! S)</sup> (s; s<sup>0</sup>; 0), see (94). These singularities should be cancelled by similar singular points, correspondingly, in  $f_T^{(P! 0)}$  (s; s<sup>0</sup>; 0) and  $f_T^{(1! S)}$  (s; s<sup>0</sup>; 0). Namely, at s! s<sup>0</sup> we should deal with nite limits for:

$$f_{T}^{(P!0)}(s;s^{0};0) + \frac{g_{0}(s)}{s} \frac{3}{s^{0}} \frac{3}{4m^{2}} \frac{s}{s} = \frac{1}{r}(s;s^{0};0); \qquad (102)$$

$$f_{T}^{(1! S)}(s;s^{0};0) = \frac{g_{1}(s^{0})}{s s^{0}} r_{T}(s^{0}) r_{T}(s;s^{0};0) :$$
(103)

Therefore,  $l_{\rm T}$  (s;s;0) and  $r_{\rm T}$  (s;s;0) should be analytical functions of s. In terms of  $l_{\rm T}$  (s;s;0) and  $r_{\rm T}$  (s;s;0), the equation (100) reads:

$$f_{T}^{(1!\ 0)}(s;s;0) = \frac{1 \quad B_{1}(s)}{g_{1}(s)} l_{T}(s;s;0) + F_{T}^{(1!\ 0)}(s;s;0) + r_{T}(s;s;0) \frac{1 \quad B_{0}(s)}{g_{0}(s)} : (104)$$

Here we use the equality

$$F_{T}^{(1!\ 0)}(s;s^{0};0) = \frac{B_{T}^{(1!\ 0)}(s) - B_{T}^{(1!\ 0)}(s^{0})}{s - s^{0}};$$
(105)

that results in  $F_T^{(1!\ 0)}(s;s;0) = dB_T^{(1!\ 0)}(s)=ds$ . The freedom in choosing subtraction terms makes (104) being fulled. A sum ing that transition form factor of composite systems is dened by double spectral integral only, the follow ing requirement should be imposed:

$$f_{\rm T}^{(1!\ 0)} (M_{1}^{2}; M_{0}^{2}; q^{2}) = 0; \qquad (106)$$

in particular, at  $q^2 = 0$ :  $f_T^{(1! 0)} (M_1^2; M_0^2; 0) = 0$ . We see that the requirement (106) does not contradict the amplitude analyticity constraint given by (104).

Likewise, using the freedom for the choice of subtraction functions,

$$f_{\rm L}^{(P!\ 0)}({\rm s};{\rm s}^{0};0); \qquad f_{\rm L}^{(1!\ 0)}({\rm s};{\rm s}^{0};0); \qquad f_{\rm L}^{(1!\ S)}({\rm s};{\rm s}^{0};0); \qquad (107)$$

one can satisfy the analyticity constraints given by (101); still, we would not present explicitly these constraints, for they are rather cum bersom e and do not teach us of a som ething new.

#### 4 Quark model

To rewrite form ulae of Sections 2 and 3 for composite ferm ion {antiferm ion system s does not provide problem s. For such case one needs to introduce spin variables and substitute vertices of scalar (pseudoscalar) constituents by ferm ion ones:

$$g_0 ! (uu) g_0 ;$$
  
 $p_2 g_1 ! (u^2 u) g_1 ;$  (108)

where u is the four-spinor. Then the consideration of ferm ion {antiferm ion composite system ff remains in principle the same as for scalar (pseudoscalar) constituents. Namely, one should consider the (ff) scattering amplitude of Fig. 1, and the pole of the amplitude ff ! ff determ ines the bound state of the ff-system. Its form factor is de ned by triangle graph of Fig. 2c, which is a residue in the amplitude poles of the transition  $(ff)_{in}$  ! +  $(ff)_{out}$ , Fig. 2b. Triangle diagram shown separately in Fig. 4a determ ines form factor of composite particle.

Still, quarks do not have the con nem ent trap as free particles do, so one cannot use for quarks the above-described scheme in a full scale.

The logic of the quark model tells us that we may treat constituent quarks inside the con nement region as free particles, and the region, where they are "allowed" to be free, is determined by quark wave function. Thism eans that, within quark model, one can calculate the three-point form factor amplitudes, which refer to the interaction of photon in the intermediate state: these are the diagram s of Fig. 4a-type. The diagram s with photon interacting with incom ing/outgoing particle (Fig. 4b,c-type) should be treated using hadronic language. So we come to a combined approach, where incom ing/outgoing particles in the processes of Fig. 3 are mesons, while constituents of the triangle diagram are quarks. It is obvious that in such a combined approach to the amplitude the relations (100) and (101) imposed by analyticity are kept; they may be satisted, without any problem, by the constraints similar to (104) and (106). Triangle diagram s determined as residues in amplitude poles, see Fig. 2b, stand for quark form factors, which are obtained in accordance with gauge invariance and analyticity constraints.

Now, to illustrate the above statements, let us repeat the main items of the method of singling out the quark form factor of the transition (1020)!  $f_0$  (980) from hadronic processes. We cannot use directly the process (qq)<sub>in coming</sub>! (qq)<sub>outgoing</sub> for the de nition of quark form factor: being rigorous we are not allowed to treat quarks as free particles at large distances. In this way, the reaction of the type K K ! is to be considered; then the amplitude

(1020) !  $f_0$  (980) should be extracted as a double residue in the amplitude poles of K K !

. The amplitude itself, (1020) !  $f_0(980)$ , may be considered in terms of constituent quarks, namely, as triangle diagram with constituent quarks.

The form factor amplitude for radiative transition of vector meson to scalar one, of the type of (1020) !  $f_0$  (980), was calculated in term s of constituent quarks [7, 9]. The spectral integral for  $F_T^{(1! 0)}$  (M  $_V^2$ ; M  $_S^2$ ; 0) was given by Eq. (32) of [9], it was denoted there as  $A_{V!} = s$  (0).

Up to the charge factor  $Z_{V!}$  s, which we om it here, it reads:

The quark wave functions for vector and scalar states,  $_{\rm V}$  (s) and  $_{\rm S}$  (s), are normalized as follows:

$$\frac{ds}{dm^{2}} = \frac{ds}{v} \frac{2}{v} (s) \frac{s + 2m^{2}}{12} \frac{s}{s} \frac{s - 4m^{2}}{s} = 1; \quad \frac{ds}{dm^{2}} \frac{2}{s} (s) \frac{s - 4m^{2}}{8} \frac{s - 4m^{2}}{s} = 1: \quad (110)$$

M asses of light constituent quarks u and d are of the order of 350 M eV , and the strange-quark m ass ~500 M eV .

The function in square brackets of the integrand (109) is positive at  $s > 4m^2$ , so the transition form factor  $F_T^{(1! 0)} (M_V^2; M_S^2; 0) \in 0$  at arbitrary  $M_V$  and  $M_S, M_V = M_S$  included, if the wave functions  $_V$  (s) and  $_S$  (s) do not change sign, and just this feature (absence of zeros in radial wave function) is a signature of basic states with the radial quantum number n = 1.

O ne point needs special discussion, that is, the possibility to apply spectral-integration technique, with m ass-on-shell interm ediate states, to the calculation of quark diagram s of F ig. 4a. The fact that quarks (constituents do not y o at large distances does not restrain directly the calculation technique: calculations m ay be performed with m ass-on-shell particles in the interm ediate states as well as m ass-o -shell ones, like Feynm an integrals. Recall that in non-relativistic quantum m echanics, that is, in non-relativistic quark m odel, the particles in the interm ediate states are m ass-on-shell, that does not prevent the use of con nem ent m odels. The ying-o of quarks at large distances corresponds to threshold singularities of the am plitude: in the triangle diagram of F ig. 4a they are at s =  $4m^2$  and s<sup>0</sup> =  $4m^2$ . The suppression of contribution from large distances m eans the suppression in the m om entum space from the regions s  $4m^2$  and s<sup>0</sup> =  $4m^2$ . Such a suppression is implemented by the properties of vertices, or wave functions, of com posite system s. A sconcern the threshold singularities, they are present in other techniques too, like Feynm an or light-cone ones. Therefore, in all representations one should suppress the contributions from the regions s  $4m^2$  and s<sup>0</sup> =  $4m^2$ . The spectral-integration technique provides us a possibility to control the near-threshold contributions.

#### Transition ${}^{3}S_{1}qq ! {}^{3}P_{0}qq$ in the non-relativistic approach

In the non-relativistic limit, formula (109) turns into standard expression of the quark model transition  ${}^{3}S_{1}$  !  ${}^{3}P_{0}$ , and non-relativistic amplitude is obtained by expanding the expression in square brackets in a series in respect to relative quark momentum squared  $k^{2}$ , where  $k^{2} = s=4$  m<sup>2</sup>. Here we present the form factor  $F_{T}^{(1! \ 0)}$  (M  $_{V}^{2}$ ; M  $_{S}^{2}$ ; 0) in the non-relativistic approach: this very transition is responsible for the decays (1020) !  $f_{0}$  (980) and (1020) !  $a_{0}$  (980), if  $f_{0}$  (980) are the qq states.

In the non-relativistic approximation, after re-de nition  $_{\rm V}$  (s) !  $_{\rm V}$  (k<sup>2</sup>) and  $_{\rm S}$  (s) !  $_{\rm S}$  (k<sup>2</sup>), Eq. (109) turns into:

$$F_{T}^{(1!\ 0)} (M_{V}^{2}; M_{S}^{2}; 0) ! F_{T}^{(1!\ 0)} (M_{V}^{2}; M_{S}^{2}; 0)_{\text{non relativistic}}^{i} = \int_{0}^{2^{i}} \frac{dk^{2}}{dk^{2}} V_{V}(k^{2}) K^{2} (k^{2}) \frac{8}{3} k^{3}; (111)$$

Normalization conditions for wave functions  $v(k^2)$  and  $s(k^2)$  should be also re-written in the non-relativistic limit, they read:

$$1 = \int_{0}^{2^{2}} \frac{dk^{2}}{s} (k^{2}) \frac{2k^{3}}{m}; \qquad 1 = \int_{0}^{2^{2}} \frac{dk^{2}}{v} (k^{2}) \frac{mk}{2}; \qquad (112)$$

For exponential param etrization of wave functions,

$$_{\rm S}(k^2) = N_{\rm S}e^{b_{\rm S}k^2}$$
;  $_{\rm V}(k^2) = N_{\rm V}e^{b_{\rm V}k^2}$ ; (113)

one can easily calculate integrals (111) and (112). Norm alization constants are determ ined as

$$N_{s}^{2} = \frac{8^{p}\overline{2}}{3} \, {}^{3=2}m \, b_{s}^{5=2}; \qquad N_{v}^{2} = 2^{p}\overline{2} \, {}^{3=2}\frac{1}{m} b_{s}^{3=2}; \qquad (114)$$

and transition form factor is equal to:

$${}^{h}_{F_{T}}{}^{(1! \ 0)} (M_{V}{}^{2}_{V}; M_{S}{}^{2}; 0)_{non \ relativistic}^{i} = 8 \frac{2}{3} \frac{h_{V}^{3=4} h_{S}^{5=4}}{(h_{V} + h_{S})^{5=2}} :$$
(115)

 $At b_V ' b_S = b_r$  one has

$$\overset{h}{F}_{T}^{(1!\ 0)} (M_{V}^{2}; M_{S}^{2}; 0)^{i}_{non\ relativistic} ' \frac{2}{3b}:$$
 (116)

For loosely bound systems 1=b m, where is the binding energy, so the right-hand side of Eq. (116) contains the suppression factor inherent in the E1-transition.

In Fig. 5 we demonstrate the calculated form factors  $F_T^{(1!\ 0)}$  for the transition (1020) !  $f_0$  (980) both for non-relativistic approximation, Eq. (111), and relativistic spectral integrals, Eq. (109), with the nn and ss components. We use  $b_V = 10 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$  that corresponds to the meson radius of the order of pion radius, and for the  $f_0$ -meson we change the wave function slope within the limits 2 GeV  $^2 < b_S < 12 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ , that means the change of the radius squared in the interval 0:5R<sup>2</sup> < R<sup>2</sup><sub>fo</sub> < 2R<sup>2</sup>, form ore detail see [7, 9].

It is seen that form factors calculated in both relativistic and non-relativistic approaches do not di er signi cantly one from another, that makes puzzling the statement about in possibility of the quark-model description of the reactions (1020)!  $f_0$  (980) and (1020)!  $a_0$  (980) under the assumption about  $f_0$  (980) and  $a_0$  (980) being op states: recall that the data can be indeed described [7, 9] by using spectral-integral form ula (109).

#### 5 Conclusion

The use of dispersion technique for the calculation of form factors of composite system has certain advantages, of which we would underline two.

(i) In the dispersion technique, or in the spectral integration technique, the content of bound state is controlled. The interaction of constituents with each other due to meson exchanges does not lead to the appearance of new components in the bound state related to these mesons.

(ii) The dispersion technique, as well as spectral integration technique, works with the energy-o -shell am plitudes, and the particles in the interm ediate states are mass-on-shell. This provides us an easy possibility to construct spin operators, that in its turn allows one to consider without problems the am plitudes for composite particles with a large spin (see [12] for more detail).

However, as it happens often, the advantages make it necessary to take special care about other aspects of the approach: in the spectral integration technique, when radiative processes are considered, one should impose "by hand" the constraints related to gauge invariance and analyticity. In [6], this problem was considered in connection with charge form factors, for example, for the transitions of (S! S)-type, where S is scalar m eson. However, m ore com plicated radiative processes were investigated later on [8], such as V ! S (V is vector m eson) (P and T are pseudoscalar and tensor m esons). In these cases and P ! ,S ! ,T ! the spin structure of am plitude is more com plicated, hence more com plicated constraints for am plitudes are needed, that is related to gauge-invariant operators which perform the momentoperator expansion. A Ithough in principle the reconstruction of analyticity and transition form factors is analogous to that used in [6], the statem ents of Ref. [13] concerning transition form factors need certain comment.

In the present paper, we have carried out the study of constraints ow ing to gauge invariance and analyticity using as an example the process V ! S.As the rst step, we accept them esons V and S to be two-particle composite systems of scalar or pseudoscalar particles (constituents (Section 3). Such an approach makes calculations simple, less cumbersome, without a ecting basic points. This approach can be generalized for ferm ion-antiferm ion system without principal complications, and the necessary changes are related only to the phase space (spin factors should be included) and the form of vertices. In this way, one can generalize the present results for quark-antiquark systems (Section 4), i.e. one can apply the method to the consideration of radiative decays of qq-m esons too.

Concerning the transition V ! S, we demonstrate that two independent operators are responsible for the spin structure of this reaction,  $g^{??}$  and L given by (12) and (13). The operators  $g^{??}$  and L determ ine transverse and longitudinal amplitudes. In the spectral integration technique, the essential point is the use of operators, which are responsible for total spin space, that is, two orthogonal operators  $g^{??}$  and L , with  $g^{??}$  L = 0. At  $q^2$  = 0 the longitudinal operator turns into a nilpotent one, L (0)L (0) = 0.

A lso, we demonstrate that meson-decay form factors are determined by residues in the poles of scattering blocks. For example, the form factor for the decay (1020) !  $f_0$  (980) is given by the residue of the amplitude of the reaction (1020) ! , so analytical properties of these two amplitudes are di erent. In particular, the amplitude of the transition (1020) ! should be zero at (M M) ! 0, while for the transition (1020) !  $f_0$  (980) an analogous requirement is absent for M = M  $_{f_0}$ . Moreover, if (1020) and  $f_0$  (980) are members of the basic qq nonets,  $1^3S_1$  and  $1^3P_0$ , the transition amplitude cannot be zero at any meson masses, including M = M  $_{f_0}$ . An opposite statement is declared in [13].

Present investigation does not con m the use of a unique form of the spin operator in S ! V declared in [13]: generally, at  $q^2 \in 0$ , two independent spin operators exist related to the transverse and longitudinal amplitudes,  $q^{??}$  and L . At  $q^2 ! 0$  one operator, L (0), turns into nilpotent one, thus giving us a freedom in writing spin operator for the transition with real photon.

We thanks A.V. Anisovich, YaJ. Azim ov, L.G. Dakhno, M.N. Kobrinsky, D.J. Melikhov, V.N. Nikonov and V.A. Sarantsev for helpful discussions of problems involved. This work is supported by the grants RFBR N 0102-17861 and N 00-15-96610.

## Appendix A: Coe cients $_{T}$ (s;s<sup>0</sup>;q<sup>2</sup>) and $_{L}$ (s;s<sup>0</sup>;q<sup>2</sup>)

Let us calculate  $\cos$  cients in the expansion (72) for spin factor S  $\cdot$ . To this aim we need the convolutions as follows:

$$g (k_{1} \quad k_{2}) (k_{1} + k_{1}^{0}) = 4m^{2} \frac{1}{2} (s + s^{0} + q^{2});$$

$$P P (k_{1} \quad k_{2}) (k_{1} + k_{1}^{0}) = 0;$$

$$q q (k_{1} \quad k_{2}) (k_{1} + k_{1}^{0}) = 0;$$

$$P q (k_{1} \quad k_{2}) (k_{1} + k_{1}^{0}) = 0;$$

$$q P (k_{1} \quad k_{2}) (k_{1} + k_{1}^{0}) = 0;$$

$$(117)$$

Projecting S on the operators  $g^{??}$  and L , one obtains:

$${}_{\rm T} ({\bf s};{\bf s}^{0};{\bf q}^{2}) \quad {\bf g}^{??} \stackrel{2}{=} 4 \quad {\bf m}^{2} + \frac{{\bf s}{\bf s}^{0}{\bf q}^{2}}{({\bf s};{\bf s};{\bf q}^{2})} ;$$

$${}_{\rm L} ({\bf s};{\bf s}^{0};{\bf q}^{2}) \quad ({\bf L} \quad)^{2} = \frac{{\bf q}^{2}{\bf s}}{2 \quad ({\bf s};{\bf s}^{0};{\bf q}^{2})} \frac{({\bf s} + {\bf s}^{0} \quad {\bf q}^{2})({\bf s} + {\bf s}^{0} + {\bf q}^{2})}{({\bf s} \quad {\bf s}^{0} + {\bf q}^{2})} ; \qquad (118)$$

As a result, we have the coe cients given in (72). Taking into account that  $g^{??} = g^{??} + 4L$ , we obtain coe cients for Expansion II,  $e_{T}^{e}(s;s^{0};q^{2})$  and  $e_{L}^{e}(s;s^{0};q^{2})$ .

## Appendix B:Form factors in the lim it $q^2$ ! 0

Form factors of the three-point diagram of Fig. 4a

Let us calculate form factors in the lim it  $q^2$  ! 0 for the diagram of F ig. 4a for two variants of the expansion given by (76).

Expansion I: To get the form ula (77) for form factors in the lim it  $q^2$ ! 0 let us insert new variables: , and Q<sup>2</sup>, see (34), and integrate over phase space, that leads at sm all Q<sup>2</sup> to

$$F_{i}^{(1!\ 0)}(s;s^{0};q^{2}!\ 0) = f_{i}^{(1!\ 0)}(s;s^{0};q^{2}!\ 0) + \frac{\overset{Z}{4m^{2}}}{_{4m^{2}}} \frac{d}{(s;s^{0})} \frac{g_{1}(s)g_{0}(s)}{(s;s^{0})} \int_{b}^{Z^{b}} ds \frac{g_{1}(s;q^{2})}{16^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{1}{2} + 4Q^{2}};$$
(119)

where b is determined in (36) and

$$T_{\rm L}(;;Q^{2}) = 2 {\rm m}^{2} \frac{Q^{2} {\rm e}^{2}}{{\rm e}^{2} + 4 {\rm e}^{2}};$$
  

$$L_{\rm L}(;;Q^{2}) = 4 \frac{{\rm e}^{2} {\rm e}^{2} {\rm e}^{2}}{{\rm e}^{2} + 4 {\rm e}^{2}};$$
(120)

Integrating over , we obtain nal form ula for form factors in Expansion I, see (81) and (82).

Expansion II: Likewise, the same procedure is carried out for Expansion II, though with other coecients de ning double discontinuity of the form factor:

$$e_{T}(;;Q^{2}) = T(;;Q^{2});$$

$$e_{L}(;;Q^{2}) = 4 2m^{2} + \frac{(^{2} 2 Q^{2})}{^{2} + 4 Q^{2}}$$
(121)

As a result, we obtain:  $F_T^{e_{(1! 0)}}(s;s^0;q^2) = F_T^{(1! 0)}(s;s^0;0)$  and

$$\mathbf{F}_{L}^{e_{(1! 0)}}(\mathbf{s};\mathbf{s}^{0};\mathbf{q}^{2}) = \mathbf{f}_{L}^{e_{(1! 0)}}(\mathbf{s};\mathbf{s}^{0};0) + \frac{\mathbf{F}_{L}^{(1! 0)}(\mathbf{s}) \quad \mathbf{F}_{L}^{(1! 0)}(\mathbf{s}^{0})}{\mathbf{s} \quad \mathbf{s}^{0}}; \\
\mathbf{F}_{L}^{e_{(1! 0)}}(\mathbf{s}) = \frac{\mathbf{z}^{4}}{2} \quad \frac{d\mathbf{s}}{\mathbf{s}} \quad \frac{g_{1}(\mathbf{s})g_{0}(\mathbf{s})}{\mathbf{s} \quad \mathbf{s}} \quad (\mathbf{s})^{e_{L}}(\mathbf{s}); \\
\frac{2^{4m^{2}}}{\mathbf{s} \quad \mathbf{s}} \quad (\mathbf{s})^{e_{L}}(\mathbf{s}); \\
\frac{e_{L}}{(\mathbf{s})} = 4^{4}(2m^{2} + \mathbf{s}) \quad \frac{\mathbf{s}}{4m^{2}} \quad \mathbf{s} \quad \mathbf{h} \quad \frac{1 + \frac{q}{(\mathbf{s} \quad 4m^{2}) = \mathbf{s}}}{1 \quad (\mathbf{s} \quad 4m^{2}) = \mathbf{s}} \quad 3s^{5}: \quad (122)$$

Two-point diagram of Fig. 4b

For the diagram of Fig. 4b, the form factor calculations in the lim it  $q^2$  ! 0, see (87), is carried out as before, by using the variables , and Q<sup>2</sup> determined in (34):

$$F_{i}^{(P! 0)}(s;s^{0};q^{2}! 0) = f_{i}^{(P! 0)}(s;s^{0};q^{2}! 0) + \frac{3}{p^{2}} \frac{z^{4}}{4m^{2}} \frac{d}{s^{0}} \frac{g_{0}()}{s^{0}} (s) \frac{z^{b}}{b} \frac{\frac{i(j;q^{2}! 0)}{16}}{\frac{1}{p^{2}} \frac{j(j! 0)}{2} + 4Q^{2}};$$
(123)

where  $_{i}(s; s^{0}; q^{2})$  are given by (120). Perform ing calculations analogous to the above ones, we obtain (90).

# A ppendix C: Separation of the P-w ave in the initial state of the process of Fig. 4b

The Feynman diagram shown in Fig. 4b reads:

$${}^{(! 0)} = (p_1 + k_1^0) \frac{g_0 (P^{(U)}; k_1^{(U)}; k_2^2)}{m^2 k_1^{(U)}} :$$
(124)

The amplitude (! 0) can be expanded in a series with respect to orbitalm on enta of the initial states as follows:

$$(! \ 0) = (S! \ 0) + (p_1 \ p_2) \qquad (P! \ 0) + X \ (2) \ 1 \ 2 \ (p) \ (D! \ 0) + (125)$$

There is summation over and  $1_2$ . To ndout (P! 0), one should multiply (! 0) by

$$(p_1 p_2) p$$
 (126)

and integrate over d = (4) or  $\binom{R}{2} (P; p_1; p_2) = (s)$ . The right-hand side of (125) gives us:

$$\frac{d_{2}(P;p_{1};p_{2})}{(s)}(p_{1} p_{2})(p_{1} p_{2}) = \frac{p^{2}}{3}(P! 0); \qquad (127)$$

for one can replace in the integrand of (127):

$$(p_1 \quad p_2) \quad (p_1 \quad p_2) \circ ! \quad q^2 \circ \frac{p^2}{3}; \qquad p^2 = 4m^2 \quad s:$$
 (128)

The left-hand side of (125) with the account for (124) gives us:

$$\frac{d_{2}(P;k_{1};k_{2})}{(P^{2})}(k_{1} k_{2})(k_{1} + k_{1}^{0}) \frac{g_{0}(P^{0};k_{1}^{0};k_{2}^{2})}{m^{2} k_{1}^{0}}:$$
(129)

In the integrand we re-denoted them on enta  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  as  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ . To get the spectral integral, let us calculate the discontinuity; to this aim we consider interm ediate state as m ass-on-shell:

$$(m^{2} k_{1}^{(2)})^{1} ! (k_{10}^{0}) (m^{2} k_{1}^{(2)});$$
 (130)

and substitute the vertex function as follows:

$$g_0 (\mathcal{P}^{\circ 2}; k_1^{\circ 2}; k_2^{\circ 2}) \quad ! \quad g_0 (s^{\circ}) :$$
 (131)

Then we expand the spin factor of the am plitude:

$$(k_1 \quad k_2) \quad (k_1 + k_1^0) = g^{??} \quad (s;s^0;q^2) + L \quad L \quad (s;s^0;q^2);$$
(132)

with the coe cients  $_{\rm T}$  and  $_{\rm L}$  given in (72). As a result, the discontinuity in the s<sup>0</sup>-channel reads:

$$\operatorname{disc}_{s^{0}} \mathbb{F}_{T,L}^{(\mathbb{P} \mid 0)}(s; s^{0}; q^{2}) = \frac{1}{(s)} \mathbb{F}_{T,L}(s; s^{0}; q^{2}) g_{0}(s^{0}) d_{tr}(\mathbb{P}; \mathbb{P}^{0}; k_{1}; k_{1}^{0}; k_{2}) :$$
(133)

So we have the following dispersion representation for the pole diagram of Fig. 4b:

$$F_{T;L}^{(P! 0)}(s;s^{0};q^{2}) = f_{T;L}^{(P! 0)}(s;s^{0};q^{2}) + \frac{3}{p^{2}} \frac{1}{(s)} \frac{ds^{0}}{4m^{2}} \frac{g_{0}(s^{0})}{s^{0}} T_{;L}(s;s^{0};q^{2}) d_{tr}(P;P^{0};k_{1};k_{1}^{0};k_{2}); \qquad (134)$$

that gives (87).

#### References

 M N.K inzle-Focacci, "Two-photon collisions at LEP", in Proceedings of the V IIIth B bis W orkshop, Protvino, Russia, 28 June-2 July 1999, eds. V A. Petrov, A.V. Prokudin, W orld Scienti c, 2000;

VA.Schegelsky, "physics at LEP", Talk given in Open Session of HEP Division of PNPI: On the Eve of the XXIC entury", 25-29 December 2000.

- [2] E.K lem pt, hep-ph/0101031 (2001).
- [3] A.Aloisio et al. (KLOE Collaboration), hep-ph/0204012 (2002).
- [4] T.Bames, hep-ph/0202157 (2002).
- [5] V.V.Anisovich, A.A.Anselm, Ya.I.Azim ov, G.S.Danibov, and I.T.Dyatlov, Phys.Lett. 16 194 (1965);
  W.E.Tirring, Phys.Lett. 16 335 (1965);
  L.D.Soloviev, Phys.Lett. 16 345 (1965);
  C.Becchi and G.Morpurgo, Phys.Rev. 140 687 (1965).

- [6] V.V.Anisovich, M.N.Kobrinsky D.J.Melikhov, and V.A.Sarantsev, Nucl. Phys. A 544, 747 (1992); Yad. Fiz. 55, 1773 (1992).
- [7] A.V. Anisovich, V.V. Anisovich, and V.A. Nikonov, Eur. Phys. J. A12, 103 (2001).
- [8] V.V. Anisovich, D.J.Melikhov, V.A.Nikonov, Phys. Rev. D 52, 5295 (1995); D 55, 2918 (1997);
  A.V. Anisovich, V.V. Anisovich, and A.V. Sarantsev, Phys. Rev. D 62, 051502 (2000);
  A.V. Anisovich, V.V. Anisovich, D.V. Bugg, and V.A. Nikonov, Phys. Lett. B 456, 80 (1999).
- [9] A.V. Anisovich, V.V. Anisovich, V.N. Markov, and V.A. Nikonov, Yad. Fiz. B65, 497 (2002).
- [10] G F. Chew, and M andelstam, Phys. Rev. 119, 467 (1960).
- [11] L.Castelejo, F.J.Dyson, and R.H.Dalitz, Phys. Rev. 101, 453 (1956).
- [12] A.V. Anisovich, V.V. Anisovich, V.N. Markov, M.A. Matveev, and V.A. Sarantsev, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 28, 15 (2002).
- [13] N N.A chasov, hep-ph/0110059 (2001);
   N N.A chasov and V.V.G ubin, hep-ph/0101024 v2 (2001)



Figure 1: a) Representation of partial scattering amplitude as a set of the dispersion loop diagram s. b) Partial amplitude at the energies close to the mass of a bound state: the main contribution is given by the pole at  $s = M^2$ .



Figure 2: D iagram s determ ining form factor of the composite system : a) the photon emission by interacting constituents; b) the diagram of Fig. 2a near pole corresponding to bound states; c) form factor of bound state determ ined as a residue of the amplitude of Fig. 2b in the poles at  $s = M^{2}$  and  $s^{0} = M^{2}$ .



Figure 3: a) The process shown diagram matically in Fig. 2a in terms of the dispersion loop diagram s; b,c) diagram s with the photon interaction in the initial and nal states; d) emission of photon by non-interacting constituents.



Figure 4: a) Three point form factor amplitude. The blocks for the emission of photon in the initial (b) and nal (c) states.



Figure 5: Form factor  $F_T^{1!0}$  (M  $_V^2$ ; M  $_S^2$ ) for the decay (1020) !  $f_0$  (980) calculated in relativistic (for nn and ss components) and non-relativistic approaches: solid and dashed lines.