Thermalgluo-magnetic vacuum of SU(N) gauge theory

N ikita O. A gasian
Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics
117218, Moscow, Russia

A bstract

The magnetic sector of SU (N) Yang-M ills theory at nite temperature is studied. At low temperatures, T < $2T_{\rm c}$, the analytic expressions for the temperature dependence of the magnetic correlator, of the magnetic gluon condensate and of the spatial string tension are obtained. Fair agreement with lattice calculations for spatial string tension is obtained for SU (2) and SU (3) gauge theories. The relative contribution given by non-zero Matsubara modes to the spatial string tension is calculated. At T = $2T_{\rm c}$ this contribution is of the order of 5%. The behavior of magnetic correlator at high temperatures is investigated and it is shown that gluo-magnetic condensate increases with temperature as hH 2 i(T) = const 8 gT)T 4 .

PACS:11.10W x,11.15Ha,12.38Gc,12.38Mh

1 Introduction

It is known that at nite temperature non-Abelian SU (N) gauge theories undergo a decon ning phase transition passing from the low-energy glueball phase to the phase of hot gluon matter. At the critical point $T_{\rm c}$ where the phase transition occurs the behavior of the therm odynam ic properties of the system, such as energy density ", speci c heat, non-ideality (" 3P)= T^4 , etc., is drastically changed [1, 2, 3]. M ore than that, the phase transition in non-Abelian gauge theories is characterized by the radical rearrangement of the non-perturbative (NP) gluon vacuum.

Recently the behavior of the gauge-invariant two-point correlation functions of the gauge elds across the decon nement phase transition was investigated by numerical simulations on a lattice both for the pure-gauge SU (3) theory and for the full QCD with two avors [4]. These data clearly demonstrate strong suppression of the electric

component of the correlator above T_c and persistence of the magnetic component. The magnetic correlator and its contribution to the gluon condensate is kept intact across the phase transition temperature, while the conning electric part abruptly disappears above T_c , so that the electric gluon condensate drops to zero at the deconning phase transition point [4]. These results are completely in line with theoretical predictions of deconning phase transition within the "evaporation model" [5] approach. Within the framework of the electric dilaton Lagrangian at nite temperature the discontinuity of the gluon condensate at $T = T_c$ was studied in [6] (see also [7]).

At $T = T_c$ the physical string tension becomes zero. It was understood however that in non-Abelian gauge theories the Wilson loop for large space-like contours obeys the area law at arbitrary tem perature. This phenomenon is known as "magnetic con nement" and yields non-zero spatial string tension $_{\rm s}$ (T) [8]. The temperature dependence of $_{\rm s}$ (T) was studied on a lattice for the pure-gauge SU (2) [9] and SU (3) [2, 10] theories. It was shown there that $\ _{\rm s}$ (T) smoothly passes through the phase transition temperature and increases with temperature. For temperatures larger than 2Tc the scaling behavior, const, settles on 2, 9, 10]. This regime is imposed by the non-perturbative gT [11]. It is also m ly established that the 4d SU (N) gauge theory m agnetic scale at nite temperature is well described by an elective 3d gauge theory with an adjoint Higgs eld (the so-called dimensional reduction) [12, 13]. Moreover, the scalar Higgs elds only weakly in uence the physical properties of the gauge theory magnetic sector [9, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16]. Thus the behavior of certain gluo-magnetic quantities in 3d are of direct relevance for the behavior of these quantities in the 4d gauge theory at high tem perature. In particular, the spatial string tension $_{s}$ (T) at high T coincides with good accuracy with lattice results for the 3d string tension 3 [10, 17].

In the present paper we study the magnetic sector of the 4d SU (N) Yang-M ills theory at nite temperature. It is demonstrated that thermal behavior of magnetic properties of the system is qualitatively dierent in two temperature regions. We will define $T < 2T_c$ as low temperature region, and $T = 2T_c$ as high temperature region. The temperature behavior of the gluo-magnetic correlator, of the magnetic condensate and of the spatial string tension in low temperature region ($T < 2T_c$) are obtained analytically. The relative contribution of non-zero Matsubara modes to $_s(T)$ is calculated and found to be of order of 5% for pure SU (3) gauge theory at $T = 2T_c$. The temperature interval corresponding to the onset of the 3d regime of the Yang-Mills theory is investigated. We consider the thermal character of the gluo-magnetic correlator in the scaling region for the spatial string tension, $\frac{p}{s} = \frac{1}{s}$ $\frac{1}{s}$ It is shown that the non-perturbative gluo-magnetic condensate grows with temperature as $\frac{1}{s}$ \frac

2 Gluo-magnetic correlator and spatial string tension

Gauge-invariant non-local eld correlators are of utmost importance for our understanding of the NPQCD dynamics (see a recent review [18] and references therein). The gauge-invariant two-point correlators in the Yang-Mills vacuum are dened as [19, 20, 21]

D
$$(x) = hg^2 trG (x) (x;0)G (0) (0;x)i;$$
 (1)

 $^{^{1}}$ "2" should not be considered as an exact number. This matter is discussed in the last section.

where $G=t^aG^a$ is the eld-strength tensor, t^a are the SU (N) gauge group generators in the fundam ental representation, $trt^at^b=\frac{1}{2}$ ab, and

$$(x;y) = P \exp fig \quad dx A (x)g; A = t^a A^a$$
 (2)

is the Schwinger parallel transporter with the integration from x to y along a straight-line path. These phase factors—are introduced to take care of the gauge-invariance of the correlators. In general form bilocal correlators (1) are expressed in terms of two independent invariant functions of x^2 ; D (x^2) and D $_1$ (x^2) [19, 20, 21].

At nite temperature the O (4) space-time symmetry is broken down to the spatial O (3) symmetry and biboal correlators are described by independent electric and magnetic correlation functions. On general grounds one can write for the magnetic correlators the following expression

$$D_{ik}^{H}(x) = hg^{2}trH_{i}(x) (x;0)H_{k}(0) (0;x)i$$

$$= _{ik} (D^{H} + D_{1}^{H}) + (_{ik} - \frac{x_{i}x_{k}}{x^{2}})x^{2} \frac{\partial D_{1}^{H}}{\partial x^{2}};$$
 (3)

where H $_{i}=\frac{1}{2}\textbf{m}_{ijk}G_{jk}$ are the magnetic eld operators. In a well known way [20] one can obtain the area law for large spatial W -loops of size L $_{m}$, where $_{m}$ is the magnetic correlation length

$$hW (C)i_{spatial} = expf _sS_{m in}g;$$
 (4)

where the spatial string tension $_{\rm s}$ is

$$s = \frac{1}{2} d^2x hg^2 tr H_n (x) (x;0) H_n (0) (0;x) i$$
 (5)

and H $_{\rm n}\,$ is the component orthogonal to the space-like plane of the contour.

Substituting (3) into (5) and keeping in m ind that the term s with D $_{1}^{H}$ form the complete derivative, one can present $_{s}$ in the following form [22]

$$_{s} = \frac{1}{2}^{Z} d^{2}xD^{H} (x)$$
: (6)

Note that only the term D $^{\rm H}$ enters in the expression (6) for $_{\rm S}$. At T = 0 due to the O (4) invariance electric and magnetic correlators coincide and $_{\rm S}$ = , where = (420M eV) 2 is a physical (temporal) string tension.

Note that magnetic correlator D $_{ik}^{H}$ can be expressed through matrix U_{adj}^{ab} in the adjoint representation. Since integration in (2) goes along straight-line path, connecting points x and y, one has

$$D_{ik}^{H}(x;y) = hg^{2}H_{i}^{a}(x)U_{adj}^{ab}(x;y)H_{k}^{b}(y)i;$$

$$U_{adj}^{ab}(x;y) = 2tr t^{a}(x;y)t^{b}(y;x) :$$
(7)

The functions D $^{\rm H}$ and D $_{1}^{\rm H}$ contain both perturbative (/ $1=x^4$) and nonperturbative (/ $\exp f$ $\dot{x} \dot{f}_m$ g) term s and only non-perturbative parts of the correlators contribute to

the string tension (for the detailed discussion of these questions see the review paper [18]). The magnetic gluon condensate can be also determined through the NP contributions to the correlator and expressed in terms of the functions D $^{\rm H}$ and D $^{\rm H}_1$ at ${\rm x}^2=0$. The gluo-magnetic condensate can be written as

$$D_{ii}^{H}(0) = hg^{2}trH_{i}^{2}i \frac{1}{2}hH^{2}i = 3(D^{H}(0) + D_{1}^{H}(0));$$
 (8)

where hH 2 i h(gH_i^a) 2 i.

The lattice data [4] dem onstrate that at least in the region of "m easured" tem peratures, T . 1:5T_c, the NP functions D H = B₀ expf $j_x j_{-m} g$ and D H = B₁ expf $j_x j_{-m} g$ are almost independent of tem perature. Correlation length does not change and equals to it's value at T = 0, and B₁ 0:05B₀ B₀. Only B₀ slightly grows with T, which leads to the slow growth of condensate hH 2 i at low tem peratures.

Let us see how one can obtain the analytical expressions for them agnetic correlator and correspondingly for the spatial string tension and magnetic gluon condensate as functions of the temperature. It is well known that the introduction of the temperature for the quantum eld system in thermodynamic equilibrium is equivalent to compactication along the euclidean "time" component x_4 with the radius = 1=T and imposing the periodic boundary conditions (PBC) for boson elds (anti-periodic for fermion ones).

Thermal vacuum averages are de ned in a standard way

$$h:: i = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{PBC} [DA] ::: e^{SA};$$
 (9)

where partition function is

$$Z = \sum_{PBC} PA e^{S A}; S = dx_4 d^3xL_{YM}; \qquad (10)$$

As mentioned above, in the low temperature region gluelum p mass M (inverse magnetic correlation length 1= $_{\rm m}$) does not depend on T . Thus we will use zero-temperature expression for D $_{\rm ik}^{\rm H}$ (x;x4) with PBC to obtain them all correlator D $_{\rm ik}^{\rm H}$ = $\rm hg^2H$ $_{\rm i}^{\rm a}U$ $_{\rm adj}^{\rm ab}H$ $_{\rm k}^{\rm b}i$ built from gauge elds H $_{\rm i}$ (x;x4) and phase factor U $_{\rm adj}$ A (x;x4)] at a particular "time" coordinate x4

$$D_{ik}^{H}(x;) = \sum_{n=-1}^{K^{1}} D_{ik}^{H}(x; n);$$
 (11)

U sually, this approximation is valid in the case of free noninteracting elds. For example, thermal G reen function of free scalar eld is dened as sum over M atsubara modes of propagator at T = 0. At the same time, interaction changes particle mass and makes it dependent on T. In our case in the region of low T we take into account only "kinematic" change of correlator, and consider it as zero-temperature correlator with PBC. Physically the expression (11) is valid at > 2 m, i.e. when the two neighboring exponential functions do not overlap. We use the value $1 = m = 1.5 \, \text{GeV}$ and it is thus required that T < $1 = 2 \, m = 750 \, \text{MeV}$. In the temperature region we consider in the present section, T < $2 \, \text{T}_{\text{C}}$ 600 MeV, this condition is full led. Moreover comparison of

calculated below physical quantities with lattice results con $\,$ m $\,$ validity of expression (11) at T < $2T_{\rm c}$.

It should be noted that using Poisson's sum mation formula

$$\frac{1}{n} e^{i!_n x} = x^1 \qquad (x \quad n); \quad !_n = 2 n = (12)$$

one nds, that (11) is a representation of magnetic correlator D as a Fourier sum over Matsubara frequencies

$$D_{ik}^{H}(x;n) = \frac{1}{2} X D_{ik}^{H}(x;!_{n}); \qquad (13)$$

where $D^{\sim}(!) = \begin{bmatrix} R \\ d \end{bmatrix}$ exp(i!) D () is a Fourier image of function D.

Thus consider the function

$$f(x;) = \sum_{n=1}^{X^1} e^{M} \frac{p_{x^2+n^2}}{x^2+n^2}; M = 1 =_m :$$
 (14)

In order to carry out the M atsubara sum m ation over the frequencies $!_n = 2$ nT in (14) use can be m ade of the integral representation of the exponent in (14). This yields

$$f(x;) = \frac{1}{p-1} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{ds}{p-s} e^{-s M^{2}x^{2}=4s} e^{-M^{2} 2n^{2}=4s};$$
 (15)

The following sum mation equation holds

It enables to write (15) in the form

$$f(x;) = \frac{2}{M} \int_{0}^{X^{1}} dse^{as b=s};$$
 (17)

where $a = 1 + 4^{2}n^{2} = M^{2}$ and $b = M^{2}x^{2} = 4$:

The integral in the right-hand side of (17) is expressed in terms of the M acdonald function K $_{\rm 1}$. Finally we get

$$f(x;T) = 2M T \dot{x} \dot{y} \frac{1}{p \frac{1}{M^2 + !_n^2}} K_1(\dot{x} \dot{y} \frac{p}{M^2 + !_n^2});$$
 (18)

From the asymptotic behavior of function f at short distances we can obtain the tem – perature dependence of the magnetic gluon condensate, hH 2 i(T) = hH 2 if (jkj! 0;T). Using the behavior K $_1$ (x! 0)! 1=x one has

$$\frac{hH^{2}i(T)}{hH^{2}i} = 2M T \frac{X^{1}}{n=1} \frac{1}{M^{2} + !\frac{2}{n}} = coth \frac{M}{2T}$$
 (19)

and at T M

$$hH^{2}i(T) = hH^{2}i = 1 + 2e^{M} = T + 0 (e^{2M} = T)$$
: (20)

This is completely in line with the lattice result [4] showing the slow increase of the magnetic gluon condensate at low T . $1.5\,\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}$.

Using the expression (6) for $_{\rm s}$ and equation (18) we get

$$\frac{s(T)}{m} = \frac{4T}{M} \sum_{n=-1}^{X^{1}} \frac{1}{(1 + !_{n}^{2} = M^{2})^{2}};$$
 (21)

In arriving to (21) use was made of the normalization condition, $_{\rm s}$ (0) = , and $_{\rm 0}^{\rm R_1}$ x²dxK $_{\rm 1}$ (cx) = 2=c³. Performing sum mation in (21) we arrive to the expression for the temperature dependence of the spatial string tension at low temperatures

$$\frac{s(T)}{s(T)} = \frac{\sinh(M = T) + M = T}{\cosh(M = T)};$$
(22)

and $_{S}(T M) = 1 + 2(M = T + 1)e^{M = T} + 0(e^{2M = T}).$

From (21) we can determ ine the relative contribution, $_n$ (T) $_s^{n\,\in\,0}$ (T)= $_s$ (T), of the non-zero M atsubara m odes to the spatial string tension as a function of T. Sorting out from (21) the term with n=0 we get

$$_{n}$$
 (T) = 1 $\frac{4T}{M} \frac{\cosh (M = T) - 1}{\sinh (M = T) + M = T}$ (23)

It is clear that $_{s}^{n=0}$ (T) + $_{s}^{n\in 0}$ (T) = $_{s}$ (T) and $_{n}$ (0) = 1.

Also one can obtain from (21) contribution of individual n-th non-zero M atsubara m ode

$$\frac{\binom{n}{s}(T)}{\binom{n=0}{s}(T)} = \frac{M^{\frac{4}{3}}}{\binom{1}{n}} \frac{1}{(1+M^{2}=!\frac{2}{n})^{2}}$$
(24)

Thus, it can be seen that at T > M = 2 contribution of n-th non-zero m ode to the spatial string tension as compared to the contribution of zero mode, n = 0, very fast "dies out" with increasing T

$$\frac{\binom{n}{s}(T)}{\binom{n=0}{s}(T)} = \frac{M}{2T} + \frac{1}{n^4} + 2 + \frac{M}{2T} + \frac{1}{n^6} + \dots$$
 (25)

3 High tem peratures

A coording to its de nition the quantity $M=1=_m$ is the inverse magnetic correlation length. At T=0 the correlation lengths were determined by lattice calculations [23]. On the other hand M can be identified as the mass of the lowest magnetic gluelum p with quantum numbers $J^{PC}=1^+$. Gluelum ps [24] are not physical objects and their spectrum cannot be measured in experiment. However, they play fundamental role in the nonperturbative QCD since gluelum p masses de ne the eld correlators in the QCD vacuum and in particular the string tension at T=0. The masses of gluelum ps were

calculated analytically within the framework of the QCD sum rules [25], QCD string model [26] and computed numerically on the lattice [27]. From all the calculations listed above one can deduce the value of the correlation length in the interval 0:1 0:2 fm

In what follows we shall use the value $M=1.5~G\,\mathrm{eV}$ for inverse magnetic correlation length at T=0. In Fig. 1 we present the dependence of the function $_n$ (T) on $T=T_c$ ($T_c=270~M\,\mathrm{eV}$ for pure-gauge SU (3) theory). It follows that $_n$ ($2T_c$) ' 0:05 , i.e. the contribution non-zero (non-static) M atsubara modes into $_s$ is about 5% . This is of the same order as the error of the lattice calculations of the spatial string tension [2, 9, 10]. Thus at temperatures under consideration, $T>2T_c$, the main contribution into the dynamics of the gauge theory magnetic sector comes from zero (static) M atsubara mode.




Figure 1: Relative contribution, $s^{60} = s$, of the non-zero M atsubara m odes to the spatial string tension for pure-gauge SU (3) theory.

Next we note that at any T the SU (N) gauge theory is in the phase of magnetic con nem ent. Therefore at high T the exponential form of the magnetic correlator remains unchanged. On the other hand the two-point correlation function is determined by the amplitude and correlation length which are temperature dependent. Hence one can write the magnetic correlator at high temperature T as

$$D_{ik}^{H}(x;T) = \frac{1}{6}_{ik}hH^{2}i(T)e^{-jx_{jm}(T)} + O x^{2}\frac{\partial D_{1}^{H}}{\partial x^{2}} :$$
 (26)

The term 0 (:::), as explained above, contributes neither into gluo-m agnetic condensate, nor into spatial string tension. Then from (5) and (26) we get

$$_{s}(T) = -\frac{1}{6}hH^{2}i(T)_{m}^{2}(T)$$
: (27)

As it was shown in [2, 9, 10] the tem perature dependence of the spatial string tension $_{s}$ (T) at T > 2T_c with good accuracy coincides with the behavior of the string tension $_{3}$

in 3d Y ang-M ills theory. The three-dim ensional Y ang-M ills theory is a supermenormalizable theory and all physical quantities are determined by the only dimensionful coupling constant $g_3^2 = g^2T$. It was shown in [2, 9, 10] that

$$P = c g^{2} (T)T;$$
 (28)

where use was made of the two-loop expression for g^2 (T)

$$g^{2}(T) = 2b_{0} \ln \frac{T}{} + \frac{b_{1}}{b_{0}} \ln 2 \ln \frac{T}{};$$

$$b_{0} = \frac{11N}{48^{2}}; \quad b_{1} = \frac{34}{3} \frac{N}{16^{2}};$$
(29)

The two constants c and were determ ined using a two-param eter t to lattice results. For the SU (2) gauge theory c = 0.369 0.014; = (0.076 0.013)T_c [9], while for SU (3) gauge theory c = 0.566 0.013; = (0.104 0.009)T_c [2]. In Figs. 2 and 3 we present the plots $_{\rm S}$ (T)= for SU (2) (Fig 2) and SU (3) (Fig 3) gauge theories as functions of T=T_c (T_c $^{\rm SU}$ (2) = 290 M eV, T_c $^{\rm SU}$ (3) = 270 M eV). Solid lines correspond to Eq.(22) and the dashed ones to Eqs.(28,29). The lattice data are from Refs.[2, 9].

Further, from (27) it is possible to $\,$ nd $\,$ hH 2i (T). The inverse magnetic correlation length $1=_{m}$ at high temperature behaves as

$$1 = _{m} (T) = c_{m} g^{2} (T) T;$$
 (30)

where c_m is some constant. Using (27), (28) and (30) we obtain the following expression for the temperature dependence of the gluo-magnetic condensate

$$hH^{2}i(T) = c_{H} g^{8}(T)T^{4}; (31)$$

and

$$c_{H} = \frac{6}{3}c^{2}c_{m}^{2}$$
: (32)

From (32) one can estim ate c_H . For instance in SU (2) Yang-M ills theory, $c=0.37\$], $c_m=0.92\$ 28], and one nds $c_H=0.22$. However, the value of $c_H=0.22$ should be found from num erical simulations on a lattice for therm alm agnetic correlator.

The result (31) seems quite natural from the 3d gauge theory standpoint. As was already indicated, the therm albehavior of the physical quantities in the magnetic sector of 4d SU (N) gauge theory at high T coincides with the behavior of corresponding quantities in the 3d gauge theory. Then the NP gluo-magnetic condensate is determined by the value of the dimensionful coupling constant g_3 and hH 2 i(T) = const g_3^8 . Therefore at high temperatures (in the scaling region for the spatial string tension) the gluo-magnetic correlator has the form

$$D_{ik}^{H}(x;T) = \frac{1}{6} i_k c_H g^8(T) T^4 e^{-c_m g^2(T)T j_k j_k};$$
 (33)

The amplitude of this correlator rises as T^4 while the correlation length drops with growing temperature as 1=T in the high temperature region.

²Strictly speaking, in supermenormalizable 3d Yang-Mills theory vacuum averages either are equal to zero, or are dened through corresponding power of coupling constant g_3 . Disappearance of magnetic condensate at high temperatures means that s=0, and therefore contradicts lattice data on magnetic connement.




Figure 2: Spatial string tension $_{\rm s}$ (T)= for SU (2) gauge theory as function of T=T $_{\rm c}$. Solid lines correspond to Eq.(22) and the dashed ones to Eqs.(28,29). The lattice data are from Refs.[9].




Figure 3: Spatial string tension $_s$ (T)= for SU (3) gauge theory as function of T=T $_c$. Solid lines correspond to Eq.(22) and the dashed ones to Eqs.(28,29). The lattice data are from Refs.[2].

4 Discussions and conclusion

The results which were obtained above allow to estimate the temperature region within which the change of the regime in the magnetic sector of SU (N) gauge theory occurs and it becomes possible to describe the dynamics in terms of the static correlation functions, i.e. in terms of the 3d gauge theory. On physical grounds it is clear that when the compactication radius (the inverse temperature 1=T) becomes much smaller than the typical dimension lof the system (which in turn is determined either by magnetic string thickness, or by the radius of the magnetic gluelump) $1 = 1 = \frac{1}{s}$ (T), the correlators

"cease to feel the time coordinate x_4 " and become pure static.

Thus the condition $T=\frac{1}{s}(T)$ enables to estimate the temperature at which the change of the regimes occurs. Consider for de niteness the SU (3) gauge theory. If one starts from the low temperature region then from the numerical solution of the equation $T=\frac{1}{s}(T)$ and from Eq. (22) for $_s(T)$ one nds $T=1.42T_c$. Alternatively if one starts from the high temperature region then the solution of the equation $T_+=\frac{1}{s}(T_+)$ with $_s(T)$ dened by (28,29) gives $T_+=2.74T_c$. Thus we may conclude that within the interval $T< T< T_+$ the change of the regimes takes place and transition to the reduced 3d Yang-Mills theory occurs. Therefore the commonly accepted value $2T_c$ seems quite natural from the outlined physical point of view.

The growth of the magnetic condensate, hH 2i (T) / T 4 , with the increase of the tem – perature is thermodynam ically advantageous for the quantum eld system. The vacuum energy density is connected via the trace anomaly 3 with the magnetic condensate by the relation " $_{vac}$ = h $_{00}i$ = (b=64 2)hH 2i ; b = 11N =3; and the increase of hH 2i lowers the vacuum energy density.

In the present paper we have investigated the magnetic sector of SU (N) Yang-Mills theory at nite temperature. At low temperatures, $T < 2T_c$, the analytic expressions were obtained for the temperature dependence of the magnetic correlator, magnetic gluon condensate and the spatial string tension. Fair agreement with lattice calculations for $_s$ (T) was obtained for SU (2) and SU (3) gauge theories. It is demonstrated, that the contribution of n-th non-zero M atsubara mode to the spatial string tension as compared to the contribution of zero mode, n=0, very fast "dies out" with increasing temperature $(M=2\ nT)^4$. The relative contribution given by non-zero M atsubara frequencies to the spatial string tension was calculated. At $T=2T_c$ this contribution is about 5%. The estimate was presented of the temperature region corresponding to the transition to the description of the nonperturbative dynamics in the magnetic sector in terms of the 3d correlation functions. We have found the behavior of magnetic correlator at high

ACKNOW LEDGM ENTS

T > 2T_c and have shown that gluo-magnetic condensate increases with temperature as

I am grateful to YuA. Sim oneov for discussions and useful comments, and I thank B L. To e and V A. Rubakov for discussions of results. The nancial support IN TAS grant N 110 is gratefully acknowledged.

References

 $hH^{2}i(T) = c_{H} g^{8}(T)T^{4}$.

- [1] J.Engels, F.K arsch and K.Redlich, Nucl. Phys. B 435 (1995) 295 [hep-lat/9408009].
- [2] G.Boyd, J.Engels, F.Karsch, E.Laermann, C.Legeland, M.Lutgemeier and B.Petersson, Nucl. Phys. B 469 (1996) 419 [hep-lat/9602007].

 $^{^{3}}$ C onnection between trace anomaly and thermodynamic pressure is considered in Refs. [29, 30, 31, 32]

- [3] F.Karsch, Nucl. Phys. A 698 (2002) 199 [hep-ph/0103314]; Lect. Notes Phys. 583 (2002) 209 [hep-lat/0106019]; E.Laerm ann and O.Philipsen, \Status of lattice QCD at nite temperature," hep-ph/0303042.
- [4] M.D'Elia, A.DiGiacom o and E.Meggiolaro, \Gauge-invariant eld-strength correlators in pure Yang-Mills and full QCD at nite temperature," hep-lat/0205018.
- [5] Y.A. Sim onov, JETP Lett. 55 (1992) 627; Phys. Atom. Nucl. 58 (1995) 309 [hep-ph/9311216].
- [6] N.O. Agasian, JETP Lett. 57 (1993) 208; N.O. Agasian, D. Ebert and E.M. Ilgen-fritz, Nucl. Phys. A 637 (1998) 135 [hep-ph/9712344].
- [7] F. Sannino, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 034013 [hep-ph/0204174].
- [8] C.Borgs, Nucl. Phys. B 261 (1985) 455; E.M anousakis and J.Polonyi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58 (1987) 847.
- [9] G.S.Bali, J. Fingberg, U.M. Heller, F. Karsch and K. Schilling, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71 (1993) 3059 [hep-lat/9306024].
- [10] F. Karsch, E. Laermann and M. Lutgemeier, Phys. Lett. B 346 (1995) 94 [hep-lat/9411020].
- [11] A.D.Linde, Phys.Lett.B 96 (1980) 289; D.J.Gross, R.D.Pisarski and L.G.Ya e, Rev.Mod.Phys.53 (1981) 43.
- [12] P.G insparg, Nucl. Phys. B 170 (1980) 388; T. Appelquist and R.D. Pisarski, Phys. Rev. D 23 (1981) 2305; S. Nadkami, Phys. Rev. D 27 (1983) 917; N. P. Landsman, Nucl. Phys. B 322 (1989) 498; K. Kajantie, M. Laine, K. Rummukainen and M. E. Shaposhnikov, Nucl. Phys. B 458 (1996) 90 [hep-ph/9508379].
- [13] K. Kajantie, M. Laine, K. Rummukainen and M. E. Shaposhnikov, Nucl. Phys. B 503 (1997) 357 [hep-ph/9704416].
- [14] M. Laine and O. Philipsen, Phys. Lett. B 459 (1999) 259 [hep-lat/9905004].
- [15] A. Hart and O. Philipsen, Nucl. Phys. B 572 (2000) 243 [hep-lat/9908041].
- [16] F. Karsch, M. Oevers and P. Petreczky, Phys. Lett. B 442 (1998) 291 [hep-lat/9807035]; A. Cucchieri, F. Karsch and P. Petreczky, Phys. Lett. B 497 (2001) 80 [hep-lat/0004027].
- [17] M. Teper, Phys. Lett. B 311 (1993) 223.
- [18] A.DiGiacomo, H.G.Dosch, V.I.Shevchenko and Y.A.Simonov, Phys. Rept. 372 (2002) 319 [hep-ph/0007223].
- [19] H.G.Dosch, Phys. Lett. B 190 (1987) 177.
- [20] H.G.Dosch and Y.A.Simonov, Phys.Lett. B 205 (1988) 339.

- [21] Y.A.Sim onov, Nucl. Phys. B 324 (1989) 67.
- [22] Y.A. Sim onov, JETP Lett. 54 (1991) 249; \Hot nonperturbative QCD," Lectures given at International School of Physics, 'Enrico Ferm i', Varenna, Italy, 1995. In *Varenna 1995, Selected topics in nonperturbative QCD * 319-337 [hep-ph/9509404].
- [23] M. Campostrini, A. Di Giacomo and G. Mussardo, Z. Phys. C 25 (1984) 173; A. Di Giacomo and H. Panagopoulos, Phys. Lett. B 285 (1992) 133; A. Di Giacomo, E. Meggiolaro and H. Panagopoulos, Nucl. Phys. B 483 (1997) 371 [hep-lat/9603018]; G. S. Bali, N. Brambilla and A. Vairo, Phys. Lett. B 421 (1998) 265 [hep-lat/9709079].
- [24] N.A.Campbell, I.H. Jorysz and C.M ichael, Phys.Lett.B 167 (1986) 91; I.H. Jorysz and C.M ichael, Nucl. Phys. B 302 (1988) 448.
- [25] H. G. Dosch, M. Eidemuller and M. Jamin, Phys. Lett. B 452 (1999) 379 [hep-ph/9812417]; M. Eidemuller, H. G. Dosch and M. Jamin, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 86 (2000) 421 [hep-ph/9908318].
- [26] Y.A.Sim onov, Nucl. Phys. B 592 (2001) 350 [hep-ph/0003114].
- [27] O. Philipsen, Nucl. Phys. B 628 (2002) 167 [hep-lat/0112047]; M. Laine and O. Philipsen, Nucl. Phys. B 523 (1998) 267 [hep-lat/9711022].
- [28] P. Petreczky, \Screening in hot non-Abelian plasma," hep-ph/9907247.
- [29] H. Leutwyler, \Decon nement And Chiral Symmetry," Prepared for Workshop on QCD: 20 Years Later, Aachen, Germany, 9-13 Jun 1992.
- [30] P. J. Ellis, J. I. Kapusta and H. B. Tang, Phys. Lett. B 443 (1998) 63 [nucl-th/9807071].
- [31] I.T.D rum m ond, R.R. Horgan, P.V. Landsho and A. Rebhan, Phys. Lett. B 460 (1999) 197 [hep-th/9905207].
- [32] N.O. Agasian, Phys. Lett. B 519 (2001) 71 [hep-ph/0104014]; JETP Lett. 74 (2001) 353 [hep-ph/0104193]; hep-ph/0212392.