H iggs pair production due to radion resonance in R andall-Sundrum m odel: prospects at the Large H adron C ollider

Prasanta Kum ar Das¹

Harish-Chandra Research Institute, Chhatnag Road, Jhusi, Allahabad-211019, India.

B iswarup M ukhopadhyaya²

Harish-Chandra Research Institute, Chhatnag Road, Jhusi, Allahabad-211019, India.

A bstract

We consider Higgs pair production at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) in a Randall-Sundrum scenario containing a radion eld. It is shown that the enhanced e ective coupling of the radion to gluons, together with contributions from a low -lying radion pole, can provide larger event rates compared to most new physics possibilities considered so far. We present the results for both an intermediate mass Higgs and a heavy Higgs, with a detailed discussion of the background elim ination procedure.

¹E-m ailpdas@m riemet.in

²E-mailbiswarup@mriemet.in

1 Introduction

The standard electroweak theory still awaits the discovery of the Higgs boson. A fler the Large E lectron Positron (LEP) collider has set a lower limit of about 114.5 GeV on its mass [1], the responsibility of nding the Higgs now rests mostly on the Lage Hadron Collider (LHC). At the same time, puzzles such as the naturalness problem make a strong case for physics beyond the standard model (SM), just around or above the mass scale where the Higgs boson is expected to be found. It is therefore of supreme interest to see if the collider signals of the Higgs contain some imprint of new physics. This necessitates detailed quantitaive exploration of a variety of phenom enona linked to the production and decays of the Higgs.

In this paper, we have studied pair production of the Higgs boson at the LHC as a possible channel for uncovering new physics e ects. In particular, we show that the rate of such production receives a large enhancement in a class of theories with extra compact dimensions, namely, the Randall-Sundrum (RS) type of models containing a radion eld.

As has been mentioned above, the large hierarchy between the electroweak scale M $_{EW}$ and the Planck scale M $_{P1}$ is somewhat puzzling. Whereas theories like supersymmetry and technicolour, each with its own phenomenological implications and constraints, have addressed this question, theories with extra spatial dimensions proposed as a resolution of this problem have recently drawn a lot of attention [2]. Basically, such theories postulate that all hitherto known particles and their standard interactions are connect to our familiar (3+1) dimensional spacetime (on a brane'), while gravity propagates in the bulk' including additional spacetike compact dimensions. On compactication, the (3 + 1) dimensional projection of gravity gives rise to both massless and massive graviton modes, where the latter interacts with the standard particles with a strength that can be perceptible in TeV – scale accelerator experiments.

There are two major variants of the above approach. The rst one of them [3] proposes a factorizable metric, large compact extra dimensions, and a compati cation scheme that gives rise to a continuum of gravitonic modes on the Brane. The integrated e ects of this continuum in observable processes have been the subject of a large number of phenom enological studies. However, given the fact that we aim to have a bulk gravity scale in the TeV

2

range as a natural cut-o to the SM (and therefore as a solution to the naturalness problem), such an approach fails to explain a fresh hierarchy that it introduces, namely, one between the higher dimensional gravity scale and the inverse of the large compactication radius.

The other approach is the one proposed by Randall and Sundrum [4]. In this model the ffh dimension corresponds to an $S^{1}=Z_{2}$ orbifold and the (4+1) dimensional world is described by the following Warped'metric

$$ds^{2} = e^{2K R_{c}jj} dx dx R_{c}^{2}d^{2}$$
(1)

where K is the bulk curvature constant and R_c is the radius of the extra dimension. The theory postulates two D₃ branes, one located at = 0 where gravity peaks and the other at

= where the SM elds reside. The factor $e^{2K R_c j j}$ appearing in the metric is known as the warp factor.

The interesting feature of such a theory is that projections of the graviton on the brane at (=) gives, apart from the usual zero mode, a discrete massive tower with spacing on the TeV scale. In addition, the coupling of these massive modes to the SM elds is suppressed not by the P lanck mass M_P but by a mass M_P e^{KR_c}. In fact, it can be shown that all quantities with the dimension of mass undergo such an exponential suppression on this brane, thereby o ering a tangible solution to the naturalness problem with K R_c ' 12, i.e. with a combination of masses that are not too widely separated.

The length R_c in this scenario is called the brane separation, and can be related to the vacuum expectation value (vev) of some modulus eld T (x) which corresponds to the uctuations of the metric over the background geometry given by R_c . Replacing R_c by T (x), we can rewrite the RS metric at the orbifold point = as

$$ds^{2} = g^{vis} dx dx T (x)^{2} d^{2}$$
(2)

where $g^{vis} = e^{2 K T(x)} = \frac{(x)^2}{f}^2$. Here $f^2 = \frac{24M_5^3}{K}$ and M_5 , the 5-dimensional P lanck scale.

One is thus left with a scalar eld (x). This eld is called the the radion eld [5]. Of course, the modulus eld has no potential to start with. Thus one needs to generate a stable vacuum for T (x) at R_c, which in turn can give (x) a non-zero vev. This is done in terms of the Goldberger-W is mechanism [6], using a bulk scalar eld with non-zero vev's at the

two branes, whereupon a potential for the modulus eld is generated, and one ends up with a radion eld whose mass (m) and vev (h i) are both within the TeV scale. In particular, the radion can be lighter than the other low -lying gravitonic degrees of freedom. Thus it can very well act as the rst messenger of a scenario with compact extra dimensions, and reveal itself in collider experiments. Several studies on the observable implications of the radion are available in the literature [7],[8].

Here we wish to focus on the pair-production of Higgs bosons mediated by the radion at hadron colliders. The two features that can be instrumental in enhancing the signal in this channel are (a) the accessibility of the radion resonance for $m > 2m_H$, and (b) the relatively enhanced radion coupling with a pair of gluons at LHC energies. Before we come to the details of the predicted signal, however, let us brie y recapitulate the various interactions of the radion in a theory of the above kind.

W e list the relevant interactions of the radion with the SM elds in the next section. The general features of H iggs pair-production via radion are discussed in section 3. Sections 4 and 5 contain discussions on the predicted signals for $m_h < 2m_W$ and $m_h > 2m_W$ respectively. W e conclude in section 6.

2 E ective interaction of radion with the SM elds

Radion interactions with the SM elds on the TeV brane (i.e. the one located at =) are governed by 4-dimensional general covariance. The radion essentially couples to the trace of the energy-momentum tensor of the SM elds in the following manner:

$$L_{int} = \frac{1}{h i} T \quad (SM)$$
(3)

where h i is the radion vev. There are phenom enological limits on the m -h i parameter space, from which the lower bound on h i can range from about the electroweak symmetry breaking scale to about a TeV, while m can in principle be even lighter than m_w.

The trace of the energy-momentum tensor of the SM elds is given by

...

~ .

T (SM) =
$$\frac{31}{2}$$
 @ @ $4m$ $2m_{W}^{2}W^{+}W$ $m_{Z}^{2}ZZ$
+ $(2m_{h}^{2}h^{2}$ @ h@ h) + ::: (4)

4

The photon and the gluons couple to the radion via the usual top-bop diagram s; an added source of enhancement of the coupling is the trace anomaly term [9]. This term arises from the fact the radion couples to the trace of the energy-momentum tensor, which can be equated with the four-divergence of the dilatation current associated with scale invariance of the theory. Scale invariance is preserved at the tree level in sectors of the theory which are massless and have no dimensionful couplings. However, quantum corrections can break such invariance, thereby giving a value to the four-divergence, which is proportional to the relevant beta-function. This term augments the coupling of the radion to the trace of he energy-momentum tensor. In general, the contribution of this term to the interaction Lagrangian can be expressed as

T (SM)^{anom} =
$$\frac{X}{a} - \frac{a(g_a)}{2g_a} G^a G^a$$
 (5)

For gluons, $_{s}(g_{s})=2g_{s}=[_{s}=8]b_{QCD}$ where $b_{QCD}=11$ $2n_{f}=3$, n_{f} being the number of quark avours. On the whole, the elective gg interaction is given by

$$\frac{\mathbf{i}_{s ab}}{2 h \mathbf{i}} \mathbf{b}_{QCD} + \mathbf{I}_{QCD} \mathbf{j} (\mathbf{p}_1 \mathbf{p}_2 \quad \mathbf{p}_1 \mathbf{p}_2)$$
(6)

where p_1 and p_2 are the 4-m on enta of the gluons G^a and G^b . The function I_{QCD} is dominated by the top quark loop, and is given by

$$I_{QCD} = \frac{2}{9} x_t^{h} 2 + 3^{p} \frac{1}{x_t - 1} (x_t) 2 (x_t - 1)^{2} (x_t)^{i}$$
(7)

where $x_t = \frac{4m_t^2}{m^2}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{x}_{t}) &= \sin^{-1} \frac{1}{p \cdot \mathbf{x}_{t}} ; \mathbf{x}_{t} = 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + i \ln \frac{1 + p \cdot \mathbf{x}_{t}}{1 \cdot p \cdot \mathbf{x}_{t}} ; \mathbf{x}_{t} < 1 \end{aligned}$$
 (8)

It is important to note that for x_t 1, $n_f = 5$ and hence $b_{QCD} = 23=3$, while for $x_t < 1$, $n_f = 6$ and the corresponding $b_{QCD} = 21=3$.

3 Higgs pair production at LHC

The pair production of a neutral Higgs boson from gluon-gluon fusion at the LHC has already been studied in the context of the SM [10] as well as several of its extensions such as the m inim al supersymmetric standard model (MSSM) [11]. As has been already mentioned, there is an expectation of some trace of physics beyond the SM being found in signatures of the Higgs, and this can only be possible by studying Higgs production and decays in as many channels as possible. From this standpoint, the pair production of Higgs deserves attention, because the predicted rate of such production is very small in the SM [10], and thus any excess can be interpreted as the signature of new physics. The mediation of the heavier neutral Higgs in SUSY has been shown to be the source of some enhancement in a region of the param eter space. Theories with extra dimensions, too, have been studied in this context, both in the ADD and RSm odels [12], where it has been reported that the mediation of gravitons can boost the Higgs pair production rates. What we wish to emphasize, how ever, is the fact that the presence of a radion in the RS context is of particular signi cance here. This is because (a) whereas the graviton resonance in the RS scenario is usually at too high a m ass to be signi cant, a relatively less massive radion can be within kinem atic reach, and also (b) the enhancement of radion coupling to a pair of gluons via the trace anom aly term jacks up the contributions. We have computed the predicted rates for both the cases of $m_{\rm H}$ < 2m $_{\rm W}$ and $m_{\rm H} > 2m_{\rm W}$, and analyzed the viability of the resulting signals with appropriate event selection strategies. We have used the CTEQ4L parton distribution function, setting the renorm alisation scale at the radion m ass. We have checked that the predicted results are m ore or less unchanged if this scale is set, say, at the partonic subprocess centre-ofm ass energy.

Using Breit-W igner approximation for the resonant production of a radion, one nds the following expression for the cross-section for pp ! ! hh (where the dominant subprocess is gg ! ! hh):

$$\sum_{hh} (pp ! ! hh) = dx_1 dx_2 g_1 (x_1) g_2 (x_2)^{hh} (gg ! ! hh)$$
(9)

where,

$$\hat{h}_{hh} (gg ! ! hh) = \frac{[!gg]}{128 \text{ m}^3 \text{ h} \text{ i}^2} \frac{\$[\$^2 + 4\text{m}_h^2\$ + 4\text{m}_h^4]}{[(\$ \text{ m}^2)^2 + \text{m}^2^2]}$$
(10)

where \$ is centre-of-m assenergy for the partonic subprocess. $\$ = x_1x_2s$, s = 14 TeV) being the proton-proton centre-of-m assenergy, and x_1, x_2 , the momentum fractions of the rst and

second partons (gluons) respectively. m and denote the mass and total decay width of the radion [13].

Away from the radion resonance, the term proportional to 2 is of little consequence, and the total rate falls as 1=h i⁴ if the radion vev is increased. This is because the decay width of the radion in any channel is proportional to 1=h i². Near resonance, on the other hand, the contribution is dictated by the term with in the radion propagator. G iven the above dependence of the decay width on h i, the net contribution near resonance becomes practically independent of the radion vev.

4 Event selection strategy and results: $m_h < 2m_W$

The signal of H iggs pair production depends on the nal states produced by H iggs decays. We shall broadly consider two mass ranges here, one corresponding to $m_h < 2m_W$, and the other, to $m_h > 2m_W$.

In the rst case, the dom inant decay channel for each Higgs is H ! bo, so that the signal consists of four b-jets. Norm ally, such events are beset with a huge background arising from the following sources:

The QCD production of 1(b) (bb),

The QCD production of 1(b) (jj) with the two non-bjetsm isidentied as b,

The production of twol(b) pairs from di erent partonic collisions, arising either from the same pair of protons or from two di erent pairs.

The electroweak production of Z (b) and W (b).

A very relevant discussion of these backgrounds and the cuts that can be used to elim in ate them can be found in [14]. These cuts have been adapted to our context in this study. Basically, the contributions from m istagged b-jets and di erent partonic collisions from the same proton pair are relatively sm all, the 'pile-up' e ects arising from di erent proton pairs can be also handled with the help of e ective b-tagging. For this, the following b-tagging e ciencies have been assum ed in di erent transverse m om entum (p $_{\rm T}$) ranges[15]:

$$b = 0:6; for p_T > 100 \text{ GeV}$$

$$= 0:1 + p_T = (200 \text{ GeV}); for 40 \text{ GeV} p_T 100 \text{ GeV}$$

$$= 1:5p_T = (100 \text{ GeV}) 0:3; for 25 \text{ GeV} p_T 40 \text{ GeV} (11)$$

where we also assume that b-jets are tagged only in the pseudorapidity region $_{\rm b}$ 2.

W ith such tagging e ciencies, it is found that the irreducible backgrounds becom e m anageable with a further set of event selection criteria, particularly if the Higgs m ass is not in close vicinity of the Z-m ass (a fact that is con med by LEP data). The exact cuts used in our analysis are listed below.

R econstruction of the H iggs boson m ass: Since we do not di erentiate between b-and \overline{b} -jets, there will be four b in the nalstate and hence one has three possible ways to pair them up. We choose those pairs which correspond to the smallest invariant m ass di erence between them. The reconstructed H iggs boson m ass is then de ned by M_h = $[M_{b_1,b_2} + M_{b_3,b_4}]/2$. Keeping in m ind the nite resolution of the reconstruction procedure, this invariant m ass has been sm eared by a G aussian distribution of width

 p_{M_h} . The window for the reconstructed H iggs boson m ass in which our search is being carried out is

$$0:9m_{h:in} 1:5 M_h 0:9m_{h:in} + 1:5$$
 (12)

The mass di erence between the invariant masses of the two pairs are required to be below the width of Gaussian smear:

$$M_{h} = M_{b_{1},b_{2}} \quad M_{b_{3},b_{4}} j \quad 2$$
 (13)

Large azim uthal angles between the two jets of each pair in the transverse plane are dem anded:

$$b_1 b_2; b_3 b_4 > 1$$
 (14)



(a)

(b)

Figure 1: The Signal cross-section (pb) for the process pp(gg) ! hh ! $b\overline{b}b\overline{b}$ against the Higgs mass for m = 250, 375 and 500 GeV and h i = 500, 1000 GeV.

Events where the di erence between the angles b_1b_2 ; b_3b_4 is small are retained:

$$j_{b_1b_2}$$
 b_3b_4 $j < 1$ (15)

The b-jet are subjected to the following $p_{\rm P}$ -cuts:

$$p_{T,m in} > M_h = 4; p_{T,m ax} > M_h = 4 + 2$$
 (16)

In order to further utilise the hardness of the b-jets in eliminating backgrounds, a minimum value is imposed on the 4b invariant mass M $_{4b}$:

$$M_{4b} > 1.9M_{h} = 3$$
 (17)

A fler applying all the above cuts, the cross-section for the process pp(gg) ! hh ! $b\overline{b}b\overline{b}$ is obtained for di erent radion vevs h i, radion masses m and H iggs masses m_h. In Figure

1(a,b) we have plotted this cross-section against m_h (GeV) ($m_{h;in}$) for h = 500;1000GeV and for m = 250;375 and 500 GeV.

The rst conclusion to draw from the gures is that there is a substantial enhancement of the total rates over what is predicted in the standard model as well as in the case of MSSM. In addition, it also exceeds the predicted rates in the ADD and RS-type models when such models assume graviton mediation to be the only new elect. This is particularly evident from the fact that the numbers presented here are after all the cuts have been applied and the b-tagging eleciency has been folded in, which electively causes well below one per cent of the signal events to survive.

As has been mentioned before, such an enhancement has two main sources, namely (a) the availability of the radion resonance, and (b) the enhanced coupling at the gluon-gluon-radion vertex. It can also be noticed by comparing gures 1 (a) and 1 (b) that the rates for H iggs masses corresponding to the onset of resonance are almost independent of the radion vev. Since we are showing here only that range of H iggs masses where the decay into the bb is dominant, such peaking e ect is prominent only for m = 250 GeV; for the higher values of m_h becomes large enough for the branching fraction in the bb channel to drop drastically, before the peak can be reached.

Signi cance contours:

W ith an integrated lum inosity of 100 fb¹, the above rates indicate a rather in pressive prospect of detecting pair-produced H iggs bosons if radion m ediation is operative. To gauge the actual situation, however, one m ust also remember that the backgrounds are never totally elim inated, and thus one m ust exam ine how the signal fares compared to the surviving backgrounds. This is depicted in gures 2 (a) and (b) with contour plots in $\frac{S}{B}$, where, S and B are the number of events corresponding to the signal and backgrounds respectively with the above lum inosity. In calculating the backgrounds, we have taken both statistical and system atic e ects into account, assuming the system atic uncertainty to be 2% of the total background and adding it in quadrature to the computed background itself. The contour plots correspondence our expectation that the signal really stands out over a large region of the param eter space. Thus the so-called interm ediate m ass range acquires a high degree of visibility if a low-lying radion is present in the theory.



Figure 2: Contour plots in $m_h - m_{plane}$ plane corresponding to $h_i = 500, 1000 \text{ GeV}$ and $\frac{pS}{B} = 5, 10.$

5 Event rates for $m_h > 2m_W$

Let us now consider the case of a som ewhat heavier H iggs, which can decay into a pair of W 's or Z 's. The signal in such a case consists in 4W or 4Z nal states, where the SM backgrounds are negligibly sm all. In Figures 3 and 4, we have plotted the cross sections for the processes pp (gg) ! ! hh ! W ⁺W W ⁺W and pp (gg) ! ! hh ! ZZZZ (for cases where the W and the Z decay into electrons and muons with total branching ratios of about 0.2 and 0.06 respectively) against the H iggs m ass m_h for di erent m and h i. In addition, an average detection e ciency of 75% per lepton has been assumed. It can be seen from the gures that the 4Z nal states are very unlikely to be seen at the LHC. The 4W nal state, how ever, is quite substantial, especially in view of the absence of backgrounds. O ne m ay thus expect to see events ranging in num ber from a few tens to several thousands, depending on the radion m ass, so long as the H iggs m ass is within approxim ately 200 G eV For a radion m ass on the order of 375 G eV, a slight peaking behaviour can be seen around

11



Figure 3: The Signal cross-section (fb) for the process pp(gg) ! hh ! W ⁺W W ⁺W where each of the produced W decay leptonically (W ! $l_1; l = e;$) against the Higgs mass for m = 375 and 500 GeV and h i = 500, 1000 GeV.

 m_h ' 180 GeV. For a heavier radion, however, the decay width becomes so large that the peak is washed out. In this case, the $2m^2$ -term in the Breit-W igner propagator gives a substantial contribution even where the subprocess centre-ofm ass energy is considerably away from m^2 . Such a contribution is responsible for the lack of dependence of the radion vev, following arguments given earlier.

6 Summary and conclusion:

W e have boked at the pair production of the H iggs boson enhanced by radion m ediation at the LHC. For $m_h < 2m_W$, 4b nal states are investigated. It is found that in spite of substantial backgrounds to start with, a careful event selection strategy can lead to a



Figure 4: The Signal cross-section (fb) for the process pp(gg) ! ! hh ! Z Z Z Z where each of the produced Z decay leptonically (Z ! l^+l ; l = e;) against the Higgs mass for m = 375 and 500 GeV and h i = 500, 1000 GeV.

discovery potential at the 5 or even 10 level. For $m_h > 2m_W$, on the other hand, one has to depend on the 4W nal states which are almost background-free. There, too, one should be able to see anything between about 100 and 800 events so long as the radion vev is within a TeV and the Higgs mass lies within about 200 GeV. Thus the presence of a radion can boost the very conspicuous phenom enon of Higgs pair production, over a large region of the parameter space, including the entire range of Higgs mass favoured by precision electroweak data.

A cknow ledgm ent:

We thank Um a Mahanta and Sreerup Raychaudhuri for sharing their radion decay code with us. PKD would like to thank Partha Konar for computational help. The work of BM has been partially supported by the Board of Research in Nuclear Science, Government of India.

References

- [1] T. Junk, The LEP Higgs W orking G roup, at LEP Fest O ctober 10th 2000.
- [2] N.ArkaniHamed, S.D in opoulos and G.D vali, PhysLett. B 429, 263 (1998).
 - Som e precursors of the m odel are A kam a L, Lect. N otes, 176 267 (1982); V. Rubakov and M. Shaposhnikov, Phys.Lett. B 125, 136 (1984); A. Barnaveli and O. K ancheli, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 51, 573 (1990); I. A ntoniadis, Phys.Lett. B 246, 377 (1990); I. A ntoniadis, C. M unoz and M. Quiros, Nucl. Phys. B 397, 515, (1993); I. A ntoniadis, K. Benakli and M. Quiros, Phys.Lett., B 331, 313 (1994); V. Rubakov, Phys.U sp. 44, 871–893 (2001).
- [3] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. D in opoulos and G. Dvali, Phys.Lett B 429, 263 (1998); I. Antoniadis, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. D in opoulos and G. Dvali, Phys.Lett. B 463, 257 (1998).
- [4] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 3370 (1999); L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 4690 (1999); H. Davoudiasl, J. L. Hewett, and T. G. Rizzo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 2080 (2000).
- [5] G.F.Giudice, R.Rattazzi and J.D.Wells, Nucl. Phys. B 595, 250 (2001); W.D.
 Goldberger and M.B.Wise, Phys. Lett. B 475, 275-279 (2000); W.D.Goldberger and
 I.Z.Rothstein, Phys. Lett. B 491, 339 (2000).
- [6] W.D.Goldberger and M.B.W ise, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 4922 (1999); W.D.Goldberger and M.B.W ise, Phys. Rev. D 60, 107505 (1999).
- [7] K. Cheung, Phys. Rev. D 63, 056007, 2001, hep-ph/0009232; C. Csaki, M. Graesser, L. Randall and J. Teming, Phys. Rev. D 62, 045015 (2000); U. Mahanta and A. Datta, Phys. Lett. B 483, 196 (2000); U. Mahanta and S. Rakshit, Phys. Lett. B 480, 176 (2000); S. Bae, P. Ko, H. Lee and J. Lee, Phys. Lett. B 487, 299 (2000).
- [8] P.Das and U.Mahanta, Phys. Lett. B 520, 307 (2001), Phys. Lett. B 528, 253 (2002), hep-ph/0110309, hep-ph/0201260, hep-ph/0202193; M. Chaidhian., A.Datta, K.Huitu and Z.Yu, Phys. Lett. B 524, 161 (2002).
- [9] J.C.Collins, A.Duncan and S.D.Joglekar, Phys. Rev. D 16, 438-449, (1977).

- [10] T.Plehn, M. Spira and P.M. Zerwas, Nucl. Phys. B 479, 46 (1999); D.A.Dicus, C.
 Kao and S.W illenbrock, Phys. Lett. B 203, 457 (1988).
- [11] A.Belyaev, M.Drees, O.J.Eboli, J.K.Mizukoshi and S.F.Novaes Phys. Rev. D 60, 075008 (1999); A.A.Barrientos Bendezu and B.A.Kniehl Phys. Rev. D 64, 035006 (2001); A.Belyaev, M.Drees, O.J.Eboli, J.K.Mizukoshi and S.F.Novaes, hep-ph/9910400, C.S.Kim, K.Y.Lee and J.Song, Phys. Rev. D 64, 015009 (2001).
- [12] C.S.K im, K.Y.Lee and J.Song, Phys. Rev. D 64, 015009 (2001).
- [13] P.K.Das, U.M ahanta and S.R.Raychaudhuri, (in preparation).
- [14] A.Belyaev, M.Drees and J.K.Mizukoshi, Eur.Phys.J.C 17, 337-351 (2000).
- [15] CMS collaboration, S.Abdullin et al., J. Phys. G 28, 469 (2002).