M SSM at direction as a curvaton

Kari Enqvist^{a;b}, Asko Jokinen^b, Shinta Kasuya^b, and Anupam Mazum dar^c

^a Department of Physical Sciences, P.O.Box 64, FIN-00014, University of Helsinki, Finland.

^b Helsinki Institute of Physics, P.O.Box 64, FIN-00014, University of Helsinki, Finland.

^c Physics D epartm ent, M cG ill U niversity, 3600-U niversity Road, M ontreal, H 3A 2T 8, C anada.

(D ated: M arch 19, 2003)

W e study in detail the possibility that the at directions of the M in in al Supersym m etric Standard M odel (M SSM) could act as a curvaton and generate the observed adiabatic density perturbations. For that the at direction energy density has to dom inate the Universe at the time when it decays. W e point out that this is not possible if the in aton decays into M SSM degrees of freedom. If the in aton is completely in the hidden sector, its decay products do not couple to the at direction, and the at direction curvaton can dom inate the energy density. This requires the absence of a Hubble-induced m ass for the curvaton, e.g. by virtue of the H eisenberg sym m etry. In the case of hidden radiation, n = 9 is the only adm issible direction; for other hidden equations of state, directions with lower n m ay also dom inate. W e show that the M SSM curvaton is further constrained severely by the dam ping of the uctuations, and as an example, demonstrate that in no-scale supergravity it would fragment into Q balls rather than decay. D am ping of uctuations can be avoided by an initial condition, which for the n = 9 direction would require an initial curvaton am plitude of 10 2 M $_{\rm p}$, thereby providing a working example of the M SSM at direction curvaton.

H IP -2003-13/T H, hep-ph/0303165

PACS num bers: 98.80.Cq

I. IN TRODUCTION

The Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM) is well known to have at directions, made up of squarks and sleptons, along which the scalar potential vanishes above the soft supersymmetry breaking scale 1 TeV [1, 2]. The MSSM at directions have important cosm obgical consequences for the early Universe and may seed A eck-D ine baryo/leptogenesis [1, 3, 4], give rise to non-therm al generation of supersymmetric dark matter [5, 6] or B-ball baryogenesis below the electroweak scale [7], and may also act as a source for isocurvature density perturbations [8, 9, 10] (for a review, see [11]).

In ation wipes out all the inhomogeneities along a given at direction, leaving only the zero mode condensate. However, during in ation quantum uctuations along the at directions in part isocurvature density perturbations on the condensate [8]. The isocurvature uctuations can later be converted into adiabatic perturbations at the time when the at direction decays into the radiation of the M SSM degrees of freedom [12], provided the at direction dominates the energy density of the Universe at the time of the decay. O byiously, during ination the at direction should be subdom inant and its m ass should be sm aller than the Hubble param eter. This is an example of the so-called curvaton scenario, which in its present incarnation was rst discussed in the context of pre-big bang [13] and then applied to ordinary in ation [14]. In many early papers [14, 15] the curvaton potential was simply taken as a quadratic potential $V = m^{2} r^{2}$.

For the M SSM at direction curvaton, the potential is determ ined by the supersymmetry breaking but it is usu-

ally dom inated by nonrenorm alizable operators at large am plitudes. There are three conditions such a potential should satisfy. First, the energy density of the at direction must contribute negligibly during in ation but should dom inate the Universe at later time when the at direction decays into radiation. Second, the at direction eld should stay in the right place to yield the right am ount of (isocurvature) uctuations. Third, the uctuations produced during in ation must not die out during the whole process. In [12], we have studied the conditions for the later energy dom ination, and partly the am plitude of the uctuations during in ation. Studying the consequences of all these constraints com prehensively is the m ain purpose of this article.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Sect. II we discuss general constraints which the at directions need to obey in order to be viable curvatons. In Sect.III, we follow the dynamics of the at direction, and study which directions may act as a curvaton. The behavior of the uctuations is considered in Sect.IV. In Sect.V, we study the M SSM at direction in no-scale supergravity, and show that Q-ball form ation is inevitable. Section V I is devoted to our conclusions.

II. FLAT D IRECTION AND INFLATION

The degeneracy of the e ective potential of the M SSM at direction is lifted by supersymmetry (SUSY) breaking e ects and some nonrenormalizable operators. In general, we can thus write the potential as

$$V() = \frac{1}{2}m^{2} + V_{NR}; \qquad (1)$$

$$V_{\rm N R} = \frac{2 \ 2 \ (n \ 1)}{2^{n \ 1} \ M \ ^2 \ (n \ 3)} ; \qquad (2)$$

where m TeV is the soft SUSY breaking mass, and the at direction condensate is $= e^{i} = \frac{1}{2}$. M is the cut-o scale for the low energy e ective theory, usually taken to be the P lanck scale M_p ' 2:4 10¹⁸ GeV; is a coupling constant; and n = 4;:::;9 is the dimension of the nonrenormalizable operator lifting the at direction, the value of which depends on which particular at direction one is discussing (for details, see [11]).

In supergravity (SUGRA) theories, the at direction offen acquires the mass of order H because of the SUSY breaking e ect due to the nite vacuum energy during ination [4]. If so, the uctuation amplitude along the at direction dies out completely during in ation. We thus dem and that the in ation model is such that mass term as large as H is not induced. One example is the SUSY D term in ation, which during in ation leads to a vanishing H ubble-induced mass term for the at directions [16]. A nother example is models obtained from SUGRA theories with a H eisenberg symmetry on the K ahlerm anifold [17]. These give rise to a K ahler potential of the form

$$G = f() + \ln j N (_{i})^{2} + g(y_{a});$$
(3)

with = z + z i i, where z is the Polonyi eld, and i and ya are respectively the observable and hidden elds. The latter are de ned as the ones that have only Planck-suppressed couplings to the observable sector. In this case there is no mass term in the tree-level potential for the at direction. No-scale models [18], for which $f() = 3 \ln$, are a particular realization of the Kahler manifold Heisenberg symmetry. However, even with a Heisenberg symmetry there will be radiatively induced mass squared which is small and negative with m²;eff 10^2 H 2 [17]. Such a small mass term has only negligible damping e ect on the uctuation amplitude.

In what follow swe simply assume that during in ation the at direction does not get any appreciable Hubbleinduced m ass, e.g. by virtue of the H eisenberg sym m etry, or by som e other reasons.

In order for the curvaton scenario to work, uctuations of the in aton should not contribute signi cantly to the adiabatic density perturbations, so that the Hubble pa- ${}^{1=2}_{\inf}M_{p} < 10^{14} \text{ GeV}$. ram eter during in ation is H Needless to say, the energy density of the at direction should be negligible compared to that of the in aton, inf). Then the isocurvature uctuation of the 10⁵, at direction is H =2 .If = Н = where is the amplitude during in ation, obtained from V⁰⁰() H^2 , the right amount of density perturbation can be generated provided there is no later dam ping. A simple analysis shows that during in ation the at direction eld condensate is slow-rolling in the nonrenorm alizable potential $V_{N\ R}$. Thus, the Hubble parameter and the amplitude of the eld can respectively be estimated

as,

$$\frac{\frac{1}{n-3}}{\frac{1}{n-3}} \frac{n-2}{n-3} M_{p}; \qquad (4)$$

$$\frac{\frac{1}{n-3}}{\frac{1}{n-3}} M_{p}; \qquad (5)$$

where = H =

Н

A fier in ation, the in aton ultimately decays into relativistic degrees of freedom. A priori, there are two possibilities. Because the in aton should give rise to all the observable baryons, conventionally one usually assumes that the in aton must decay into particles of the observable sector. The other possibility, discussed within the context of the MSSM curvaton scenario [12], is to assume that the in aton decays into the hidden sector and that the baryons originate solely from the at direction curvaton decay.

If the in aton decay products consist of (MS)SM particles, one should consider the behavior of the at direction in a thermal background which interacts with the condensate eld. It has been argued by Postma [19] that the at direction condensate decays by them al scattering before its dom ination. However, in her analysis the therm aldecay rate was taken to be f⁴T²=m, whereas in a therm alenvironm entm should be replaced by fT (f is here som e coupling). Nevertheless, the conclusion remains essentially the same, as we now argue. The energy density (am plitude) of the atdirection eld in V T^{2} ² / a $^{27=8}$ (/ a $^{21=16}$) during the in atonbehaves as oscillation dom inated Universe, while / a⁴ (/ a¹) during radiation dom ination. In either case, its energy density decreases not slower than that of radiation, and the amplitude becomes so small that the at direction condensate cannot dom inate the energy density after the zero tem perature part m 2 becom es im portant.

These di culties can be avoided if one takes the inaton sector to be completely decoupled from the observable one [12]. Indeed, there is not a single realistic particle physics model which would embody the in aton into the family of the observable elds. In almost all the models the in aton is a gauge singlet which largely lives in the isolated in aton sector as if it were part of a hidden world. The coupling of such a singlet to the SM degrees of freedom is usually set by hand. Under such circum stances, perhaps a hidden sector in aton would be a logical conclusion. Such an in aton would decay into (light) particles in the hidden sector, but the hidden therm albackground would not interact with the at direction condensate. Note that the reheating of the observable degrees of freedom in the Universe takes place due to the decay of the M SSM at direction into the M S (SM) degrees of freedom . The curvaton m echanism works successfully if this tem perature is high enough. We will com e back to this point later.

III. LATE DOM INATION OF THE FLAT DIRECTION ENERGY DENSITY

For a successful MSSM curvaton scenario, the energy density of the at direction condensate should dom inate the Universe at the time of its decay. The condensate starts to oscillate when H $\,$ m , and the am plitude at that time is

$$\frac{\text{m M}^{\text{n 3}}}{\frac{1}{n-2}}:$$
 (6)

If the reheating by the hidden in aton occurs earlier, the U niverse is dom inated by hidden radiation at this time. Since the energy density of the condensate is / a 3 / H $^{3=2}$, we nd that

$$m^{2} \frac{2}{\text{osc}} \frac{H_{EQ}}{H_{osc}}^{3=2}$$

$$m H_{EQ}^{3} \frac{1=2}{2} \frac{m M^{n-3}}{m M^{n-3}} \frac{2}{n-2}; \quad (7)$$

at the time it equals the hidden radiation density $_{\rm h}$ H $_{\rm E\,Q}^2$ M $_{\rm p}^2$. Thus the Hubble parameter at the equality time is

$$H_{EQ} m \frac{m M^{n-3}}{M_{p}^{n-2}} :$$
 (8)

In order for the at direction condensate to have a chance to dom inate the U niverse, H $_{EQ}$ should be larger than H at the time the curvaton condensate decays. If the decay rate is written as f^2m , where f is some Y ukawa or gauge coupling, we have a constraint on the coupling constant which reads

$$f < \frac{2}{n-2} \frac{m}{M_p} \frac{M_p^2}{M_p} = \frac{M_p^2}{M_p} = (9)$$

In the opposite case, when the reheating in the hidden sector occurs after the oscillation of the $\,$ at direction started, i.e., H $_{\rm osc} >$ H $_{\rm R\,H}$, the energy density evolves as

$$EQ \qquad osc \quad \frac{H_{RH}}{H_{osc}} \stackrel{2}{\longrightarrow} \frac{H_{EQ}}{H_{RH}} \stackrel{3=2}{;} \\ \frac{m \ M^{n-3}}{M_{R}} \stackrel{\frac{2}{n-2}}{\longrightarrow} \frac{T_{RH}^{2}}{M_{R}} \stackrel{1=2}{H_{EQ}^{3=2}}; (10)$$

so that taking into account H $_{\rm osc}$ > H $_{\rm R\,H}$ we actually get the same constraint as in Eq. (9).

Even for a sm all coupling of the order of the electron Yukawa coupling such as f 10^{6} , all n 6 cases fail to satisfy the condition Eq. (9), whereas n = 7 is m arginal when 1.

The condition Eq. (9) depends on the equation of state of the in atom decay products in the hidden sector. Let us therefore write the equation of state as $p_h = w_{h,r}$ and



FIG.1: Constraint on the coupling for n = 4;6;7, and 9. The allowed region is below these lines and above $f = 10^{-6}$.

assume that the hidden energy has already dom inated the Universe when the oscillations along the at direction begin. Then we obtain the ratio of the energy densities

h

$$\frac{H}{h_{osc}} \stackrel{\frac{2w}{1+w}}{H};$$

$$\frac{M}{M_{p}} \stackrel{\frac{2}{n-2}}{H} \stackrel{\frac{2w}{1+w}}{H_{osc}};$$
(11)

This ratio becomes unity when H H_{EQ} . Imposing $H_{EQ} > f^2m$, we obtain

$$f < \frac{m}{M_p} = \frac{1}{m^{-1}} \frac{m^{\frac{1}{1-w}}}{m^{\frac{1}{2w}}}$$
 : (12)

Notice that this is the same as Eq.(9) for w = 1=3. We show the constraints for n = 4;6;7; and 9 in Fig.1. As discussed in [12], n = 9 direction is essentially the only viable option for the hidden radiation case, but even n = 6 directions can be acceptable if the hidden sector uid has a sti equation of state (w = 1). Notice that n = 4 directions can never dom inate the Universe at any point and are thus com pletely ruled out as a curvaton candidate.

IV. EVOLUTION OF PERTURBATIONS

So far we have assumed that the isocurvature perturbation created during in ation does not evolve. This is strictly true in m² ² potential, since both the hom ogeneous and the (linear) perturbation parts obey the same equations of motion. Here we shall see whether this assumption holds in more general cases.

A. Slow rolling in nonrenorm alizable potential

For the M SSM curvaton scenario to work, the at direction must have a vanishing (or more precisely, negligible) mass during in ation. In such a case, the eld will be slow-rolling in the nonrenormalizable potential $V_{N\ R}$ ^{2 2 (n 1)} =M ^{2 (n 3)}. In addition, we assume here that there is no Hubble-induced mass term even after in ation, so that the eld will continue slow-rolling in $V_{N\ R}$ down to the amplitude osc, which is determined by $V_{N\ R}$ (osc) m² $^2_{osc}$. In general, the equations of motion for the hom ogeneous and uctuation parts are written respectively as

$$+ 3H -+ V^{0}() = 0;$$
 (13)

$$_{k} + 3H -_{k} + \frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}} + V^{0}() = 0;$$
 (14)

where the prime denotes the derivative with respect to \cdot . Since we are interested only in the super horizon mode (k ! 0), using the slow roll approximation we have

$$3H -+ V^{0}() = 0;$$
 (15)

$$3H -+ V^{(0)}() = 0:$$
 (16)

Hereafter we om it the subscript k, understanding that

is for the super horizon mode. Then it is easy to obtain the evolution of the ratio of the uctuation and the hom ogeneous mode in a $V_{N\ R}$ / $^{2\,(n\ 1)}$ potential. The result is:

$$-------; (17)$$

where idenotes the initial values.

During in ation the hom ogeneous eld obeys Eq. (15), which can be easily integrated to yield

$$-\frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{3(2n-3)} \frac{V^{(0)}(1)}{H^{2}} N \qquad (18)$$

where N is the number of e-folds. Since we are concerned with the slow-roll regine, it is reasonable to require V⁽⁰⁾($_i$)=H² < 1. Hence we have = $_i$ 0:95 for the last 50 e-folds in n = 9 case, for example. This im plies that the amplitude of the uctuation relative to its hom ogeneous part decreases only by factor ' 2. Hence during this stage there is essentially no dam ping. Notice that the slower the condensate eld rolls during the last 50 e-folds, the less dam ping there is.

A fter in ation the curvaton condensate slow-rolls (albeit marginally), i.e., $V^{(0)}() = H^2$, and we can still use the slow-roll approximation equations (15) and (16). During this stage, the eld amplitude is given by (H M ^{n 3} =)^{1= (n 2)}, while the H ubble parameter changes from H to m . As a consequence, there is a huge dam p-ing given by

$$\frac{-}{-}_{osc} \qquad \frac{m}{H} \qquad \frac{2}{n^{-3}} \qquad \frac{2(n-2)}{n^{-3}} \qquad \frac{m}{M} \qquad 2; \quad (19)$$

where we have used H $1=(n \ 3)$ $(n \ 2)=(n \ 3)$ M in the last equality, and ' H = is the uctuation during ination. Even for n = 4, the damping factor is 10¹⁰ for $M = M_p$ and 10^5 . The situation is still worse for the directions with larger n. Hence the primordial uctuations of the MSSM at direction curvaton appear to be electively wiped out. However, before drawing any de nite conclusions, one should also consider the elects of Hubble-induced mass term swhich can appear after ination. This is the case, for example, in D-term in ation.

B. Positive Hubble-induced m ass term

The Hubble-induced e ective potential can be written as

$$V_{\rm H} = \frac{1}{2} c_{\rm H} {\rm H}^{2} {\rm ^2}$$
 : (20)

The sign of the coe cient c $_{\rm H}\,$ is usually determ ined by higher order non m inim al K ahler potential, so there are equal possibilities for positive and negative m ass term. Let us rst consider the positive case. W hen the Hubble-induced e ective potential dom inates, the equations of m otion for the hom ogeneous and the uctuation m ode have the same form :

$$+ 3H -+ c_{H} H^{2} = 0;$$
 (21)

where = or . From the view point of the evolution of the relative amplitude of the uctuations, there is no dam ping. How ever, the amplitude itself dim in ishes considerably. If $c_{\rm H} > 9=16$, the decrease is / H¹⁼². At the onset of curvaton oscillations, when H m, the amplitude of the curvaton is then

osc
$$\frac{\frac{1}{2(n-3)}}{(n-3)} (m M)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
: (22)

Since $> 10^{5}$, a maximum is achieved for the largest n. For n = 9, $_{\rm osc}$ 10^{6} (m M)¹⁼², which is just 10^{2} times larger than in the case of n = 4, and 10^{2} times smaller than in the slow roll n = 6 case discussed in the previous subsection. (Notice that the amplitude has the same behavior as in the slow-roll case for n = 4.) Thus, at the time of its decay, the energy density of the at direction condensate cannot dom inate the Universe.

C. Negative Hubble-induced m ass term

In this case, the hom ogeneous eld is trapped in the instantaneous minimum $_{\rm m}$ (H M $^{\rm n-3} =$) $^{1=(n-2)}$ / H $^{1=(n-2)}$. Then, up to a numerical factor, the equation of motion for the uctuation is identical to the positive H ubble-induced mass case. Hence the am plitude of the uctuation decreases as / H $^{1=2}$. Therefore, the ratio of the am plitudes of the the hom ogeneous and the uctuation m odes is given by

$$- \frac{m}{H} \xrightarrow{\frac{n}{2} \frac{4}{(n-2)}}_{i};$$

$$\frac{\frac{n}{2} \frac{4}{(n-2)(n-3)}}{\frac{n}{2} \frac{n}{(n-3)}} \frac{m}{M} \xrightarrow{\frac{n}{2} \frac{4}{(n-2)}}_{i}: (23)$$

Since the eld is released from the trap when H m, the subsequent energy dom ination condition is the same as discussed in the previous section (See Fig. 1). Thus, the only viable direction is n = 9 (and highly marginally n = 7). We know that for the curvaton scenario H < 10^{14} GeV so that the uctuations of the at direction condensate during in ation cannot be too large: < 3(6) 10^4 for n = 9(7). Thus, the conclusion is that ratio of the uctuation to the hom ogeneous mode is much less than 10^{-5} at the onset of the at direction condensate oscillations.

O nem ay wonder whether the damping e ect becomes any milder for $j_{\rm H}$ j< 9=16. Such a situation may be realized in the context of the no-scale SUGRA. However, a small Hubble-induced mass term reduces to the case in subsection A, where the eld slow-rolls in a nonrenorm alizable potential. Thus, the amplitude of the uctuations will be wiped out.

D. The way out

As seen above, the energy nondom ination and/or the damping of the uctuation amplitude usually kill the MSSM at direction curvaton scenario. D amping arises because the curvaton has to slide from the slope of the non-renorm alizable potential down to value at which oscillations commence, a process which takes place slow ly and is thus associated with a considerable redshift.

W e have found that there are essentially two ways to avoid all these problem s. One is that the coupling of the nonrenorm alizable term is small enough so that the potential is electively of the form m^{2} . In this case, during in ation the amplitude of the at direction is M_p with H 10^{13} G eV. The other possibility is that the eld amplitude at the end of in ation happens to be of the same order as the amplitude osc. Such a situation m ay be realized by an extrem ely long period of in ation, or simply by chance. For the n = 9 direction, 10^{16} GeV, which is only an order of magnitude osc less than the \natural" value for . It is conceivable that such a low value of could be given e.g. by som e chaotic initial conditions. Hence we may conclude that hidden in ation with a M SSM curvaton can indeed provide the correct adiabatic density perturbations, although with som e di culty.

V. FRAGMENTATION OF THE FLAT DIRECTION

O ne should also consider the dynam ics of the curvaton after its oscillations begin. So far, we have not taken into account the running of the mass of the at direction. In general, in the gravity mediated SUSY breaking case the mass term in the elective potential can be written as [6]

V()'
$$m^2$$
 1+K log $\frac{2}{M^2}$ ²; (24)

where K is a coe cient obtained from one-loop corrections. M SSM curvaton dynamics is complicated by the fact that when K is negative, the at direction condensate naturally fragments into Q balls soon after it starts the oscillations [6, 21]. Then the isocurvature uctuations of the condensate remain trapped in the Q balls, and will only be released through the decay of the Q balls. They will be converted into adiabatic perturbations only if the energy density of the Q balls dom inates the U niverse at the time when they decay.

As a concrete example, let us consider no-scale SUGRA.D uring in ation, the Heisenberg symmetry then guarantees the vanishing of the tree-level Hubble-induced mass. Let us further assume that initially $_{\rm osc}$ so that the perturbations generated by curvaton are not damped. K can be computed from the renormalization group equations (RGEs), which to one loop has the form

$$\frac{\operatorname{Qm}_{i}^{2}}{\operatorname{Qt}} = \begin{array}{c} X \\ g \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ X \\ a_{ig}m_{g}^{2} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} X \\ h_{a}^{2} \\ B \\ g \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ X \\ h_{a}^{2} \\ B \\ b_{ij}m_{j}^{2} + A_{a}^{2}A \end{array} ; (25)$$

where a_{ig} and b_{ij} are constants, m $_g$ are the gaugino m asses, A_j the A-term s, h_a the Yukawa couplings, t = \ln (M $_X$ =) with M $_X$ the GUT scale, and m $_i$ are the m asses of the scalar partners. All the soft SUSY breaking scalar m asses vanish at tree-level at the GUT scale except the common gaugino m ass m $_{1=2}$.

The full renorm alization group equations are given in [22]. We neglect all the other Yukawa couplings except the third generation. We assume that the top, bottom and tau Yukawas unify at the GUT scale and norm alize the uni ed coupling through the top quark m ass by

$$m_{top} (m_{top}) = \frac{1}{\frac{p}{2}} h_{top} (m_{top}) v \sin ;$$
 (26)

where $m_{top} = 174:3 \text{ GeV} [23], v = 246 \text{ GeV} and 0 < =2 is a free parameter constrained by LEP as tan > 2:4 [24]. We nd that Yukawa coupling uni cation does not produce the correct top-Yukawa coupling given by Eq. (26) unless tan > 2:9. The uni cation is actually supported by tan 40 502[3], so that our calculation clearly covers the relevant range. In [25] tan = 1 and only the top-Yukawa was taken into account, which is applicable for sm all tan .$

The mass of the at direction scalar is the sum of the masses of squark and slepton elds $_{i}$ constituting the at direction, m² = $_{p}\dot{p}_{i}\dot{f}m_{i}^{2}$, where p_{i} is the projection of along $_{i}$, and $_{i}\dot{p}_{i}\dot{f} = 1$. The parameter K is then given simply by [25]

$$K = \frac{1}{2m^2} \frac{(2m^2)^2}{(2m^2)^2} + \frac{(2m^2)^2}{($$

To compute K, we have to choose the scale . The appropriate scale is given by the value of the t direction eld when it begins to oscillate so that t osc, see

Eq. (6). We have calculated K for two at directions: n = 7 direction LLddd (lifted by H_uLLLddd) and n = 9direction QuQue (lifted by QuQuQuH dee) [29]. We nd that K is generically negative. In Fig. 2 we show the coe cient K plotted against the parameter tan for the two at directions with di erent m ixtures of stop, sbottom and stau. In the QuQue direction there are values of tan where K is positive. This is due to the fact that for n = 9 the scale of oscillations, osc 1:5 10° GeV, is very close to the GUT scale M $_X$ 3 1 $\dot{\theta}^6$ G eV. For low tan the Yukawa coupling at the GUT scale is large and dom inates over the gaugino term s in the renorm alization group equation, driving $m_i^2 = 0$ t negative and m aking K positive. W hen the renorm alization group equations are run further, the Yukawa couplings become smaller and thus K becom es negative. This is why the LLdsb direction has a negative K for all tan .

Thus in most cases K < 0 in no-scale SUGRA, so that the at direction condensates will not decay but instead fragment into lumps which eventually form Q balls. In general, these are long-lived and hence give rise to a reheat temperature which is low (See, e.g., [11]). If R-parity is conserved, decaying Q balls will produce LSPs but with a low reheat temperature, their density might com e out to be too high [6, 26]. The fragmentation of the at direction condensate is yet another com plication for the M SSM curvaton scenario, which we do not attempt to analyze systematically here. The sign of K depends on the running of the RGEs and hence on the initial conditions for the soft SUSY breaking parameters, for which there is no generic form in the class of SUGRA m odels with a Heisenberg symmetry.

VI. CONCLUSION

To conclude, an M SSM at direction curvaton appears to be very much constrained, although not completely nuled out. First of all, we have argued that the constraints depend on the in aton sector. If the in aton reheats the Universe with M SSM degrees of freedom, the nite temperature e ects on both the elective potential of the at direction and its decay (or evaporation) process are crucial. A spointed out in Sect.II, the energy density of the at direction dom inated by the therm alm ass term cannot overcom e the radiation density, so that the curvaton will never dom inate the Universe. This seem s to exclude $% \mathcal{A}$ at direction curvatons in the presence of M SSM radiation .

However, if the in aton is completely in the hidden sector, there will be no therm alcorrections to the at direction. In this case, the curvaton has to provide both the adiabatic density perturbations as well as dark and baryonic matter. The general requirements for this scenario is the energy density dominance by the at direction condensate at the time of its decay, while during in ation its contribution must be negligible. One ingredient is that during in ation the Hubble-induced mass term should be negligible, a situation that occurs in SUGRA models with a Heisenberg symmetry.

At large am plitudes the e ective potential of the at direction is dom inated by nonrenom alizable term s, and hence it is important to follow the dynam ics of both the hom ogeneous and uctuation m odes during and after ination. We have found that there is considerable dam ping of the uctuations, and in general it is hard to obtain a successful curvaton scenario. W ithin one particular exam ple of the H eisenberg symm etry, the no-scale m odel, we have also shown that typically the curvaton m ay fragm ent and form Q balls rather than decay directly, which will further com plicate m atters. Indeed, it is not quite obvious whether Q balls would be a help or a hindrance.

D amping of the uctuations may how ever be avoided for a class of initial values for the condensate eld after in ation. Perhaps the most promising candidate for the hidden in ation M SSM curvaton would be the n = 9QuQue 3rd generation direction with an initial ampli- 10^{2} M $_{p}$, based on a SUGRA model such that tude there is no Q -ball form ation at least in som e parts of the parameter space. In such a case one recovers the hot 10⁶ GeV, which is high Universe at the tem perature enough for baryogenesis to occur during the electrow eak phase transition [27]. Moreover, the reheat tem perature is su ciently low in order not to create therm alor nontherm al gravitinos [28]. M ore studies are nevertheless needed to settle the open issues in more detail.

A cknow ledgm ents

S K . is grateful to M . K awasaki for useful discussions. This work has been partially supported by the A cadem y of F inland grant 51433.

- [L] M .D ine, L.R and all and S.Thom as, Nucl. Phys. B 458, 291 (1996).
- [2] T.Gherghetta, C.F.Kolda and S.P.M artin, Nucl. Phys. B 468, 37 (1996).
- [3] I.A eck and M.Dine, Nucl. Phys. B 249, 361 (1985).
- [4] M. D ine, L. Randall and S. Thom as, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 398 (1995).
- [5] A.Kusenko and M.E.Shaposhnikov, Phys.Lett.B 418,

46 (1998).

- [6] K. Enqvist and J. M cD onald, Nucl. Phys. B 538, 321 (1999).
- [7] K. Enqvist and J. M cD onald, Phys. Lett. B 425, 309 (1998).
- [8] K. Enqvist and J. M dD onald, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 2510 (1999).
- [9] K. Enqvist and J. M cD onald, Nucl. Phys. B 570, 407



FIG.2: K vs. tan . On the left LLdsb at direction with a choice of stau in the at direction (solid line) and no stau in the at direction (dashed line). On the right QuQue at direction with u from 3rd generation (thick lines) and Q from 3rd generation (thin lines), e from 3rd generation (solid line) and e from 1st or 2nd generation (dashed line).

(2000).

- [10] M.Kawasaki and F.Takahashi, Phys. Lett. B 516, 388 (2001).
- [11] K.Enqvist and A.M azum dar, arX iv hep-ph/0209244.
- [12] K. Enqvist, S. Kasuya and A. Mazum dar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 091302 (2003).
- [13] K. Enqvist and M. S. Sloth, Nucl. Phys. B 626, 395 (2002).
- [14] D.H.Lyth and D.W ands, Phys.Lett.B 524, 5 (2002); D.H.Lyth, C.Ungarelli and D.W ands, Phys.Rev.D 67,023503 (2003).
- [15] T. Moroi and T. Takahashi, Phys. Lett. B 522, 215 (2001); Phys. Rev. D 66, 063501 (2002); N. Bartolo and A. R. Liddle, Phys. Rev. D 65, 121301 (2002); M. S. Sloth, arX iv hep-ph/0208241; K. D in opoulos and D. H. Lyth, arX iv hep-ph/0209180; M. Bastero-G il, V. D i C lem ente and S. F. K ing, arX iv hep-ph/0211011; T. Moroi and H. Murayama, Phys. Lett. B 553, 126 (2003); J. M cD onald, arX ive: hep-ph/0302222.
- [16] C.F.Kolda and J.March-Russell, Phys. Rev. D 60, 023504 (1999).
- [17] M.K.Gaillard, H.Murayam a and K.A.Olive, Phys. Lett.B 355, 71 (1995).
- [18] For a review, see A.B.Lahanas and D.V.Nanopoulos, Phys.Rept.145,1 (1987).
- [19] M . Postm a, arX iv hep-ph/0212005.
- [20] C.L.Bennett et al., arX is astro-ph/0302207.
- [21] S.Kasuya and M.Kawasaki, Phys.Rev.D 61, 041301

(2000); Phys.Rev.D 62, 023512 (2000); S.Kasuya, in: Proceedings of the 7th International Symposium on Particles, Strings and Cosm ology (PASCOS-99), K.Cheung et al., 301 (1999).

- [22] K. Inoue, A. Kakuto, H. Kom atsu and S. Takeshita, Prog. Theor. Phys. 68, 927 (1982) Erratum -ibid. 70, 330 (1983)]; Prog. Theor. Phys. 71, 413 (1984).
- [23] K. Hagiwara et al. Particle Data Group Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 66, 010001 (2002).
- [24] LEP Higgs Working Group Collaboration, arX iv hep-ex/0107030.
- [25] K. Enqvist, A. Jokinen and J. M cD onald, Phys. Lett. B 483, 191 (2000).
- [26] M. Fuji and K. Ham aguchi, Phys. Rev. D 66, 083501 (2002).
- [27] V.A.Kuzmin, V.A.Rubakov and M.E.Shaposhnikov, Phys.Lett.B 155, 36 (1985).
- [28] J. Ellis, J E K in , and D. V. Nanopoulos, Phys. Lett. B 145, 181 (1984); J Ellis, D V Nanopoulos, K A O live and S-J Rey, A stropart Phys. 4, 371 (1996); A. L. Maroto, and A. Mazum dar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 1655 (2000); R. Kallosh, L. Kofman, A. D. Linde and A. Van Proeyen, Phys. Rev. D 61, 103503 (2000); R. Allahverdi, M. Bastero-Giland A. Mazum dar, Phys. Rev. D 64, 023516 (2001).
- [29] W e denote LLddd by LLdsb since all the fam ily indices in ddd have to be di erent.