Color superconductor with a color-sextet condensate

Tom as Brauner,^{1,2}, Jir Hosek,¹ and Rudolf Sykora²

¹D ept. Theoretical Physics, Nuclear Physics Institute, 25068 Rez (Prague), Czech Republic ²Faculty of M athematics and Physics, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

W e analyze color superconductivity of one massive avor quark matter at moderate baryon density with a spin-zero color-sextet condensate. The most general Higgs-type ground-state expectation value of the order parameter in plies complete breakdown of the SU (3) U (1) symmetry. However, both the conventional fourth-order polynomiale ective bosonic description, and the NJL-type ferm ionic description in the mean-eld approximation favor an enhanced SO (3) symmetry of the ground state. We ascribe this inding to the failure of the mean-eld approximation and propose that a more sophisticated technique is needed.

PACS num bers: 12.38 A w

I. IN TRODUCTION

V iew ing the low tem perature decon ned QCD m atter at m oderate baryon densities as a BCS type color superconductor is based on good assumptions (see [1, 2, 3] for original references and [4] for a recent review). First, the only degrees of freedom relevant for the e ective eld theory description of such a matter are the relativistic colored quark elds with their appropriate Ferm i surfaces. The colored gauge elds can be introduced perturbatively, and eventually switched o in the low est approxim ation. Second, the quarks interact with each other by an attractive interaction providing for C coper instability. It is natural to speak of the Higgs phases of QCD [5].

D ue to the mere fact that the quarks carry the Lorentz index (spin), color and avor, the ordered colored-quark phases could be numerous. Which of them is energetically most favorable depends solely upon the numerical values of the input parameters (chemical potentials, and the dimensionful couplings) in the underlying elective Lagrangian. Because there are no experimental data on the behavior of the cold decon ned quark matter available, all generically dierent, theoretically safe [6] and interesting possibilities should be phenomenologically analyzed. Moreover, one should be prepared to accept the fact that one or both our assumptions can be invalid. In any case there are the low-temperature many-ferm ion systems which are not the Landau {Ferm i liquids, and which become peculiar superconductors [7].

Recently, all distinct form s of the quasiquark dispersion kws corresponding to di erent sets of 16 m atrices in the Lorentz index were system atically derived [8]. Those exhibiting spontaneous breakdown of the rotational sym m etry m anifested in the anisotropic form of the dispersion kw are particularly interesting. Their possible nodes can yield im portant physical consequences even if the corresponding gaps are num erically sm all [9]. To have a complete list of di erent ordered quantum phases of the quark matter it would be good to know what is the pattern of spontaneous breakdown of the color SU (3) if an elective interaction prefers not the standard quark-quark Cooperpairing in the antisym metric color antitriplet, but rather in the symmetric color sextet. Such a pairing would in uence qualitatively not only the quark, but also the gluon spectrum.

A lthough the explicit analysis presented in this paper is strictly phenom enological we describe here brie y a mechanism which, within QCD and under plausible assumptions, can yield the desired color-sextet diquark condensate. Instabilities of the perturbative QCD in the two-gluon channel discussed in [10] justify contem – plating several types of e ective colored excitations in the decon ned phase at moderate densities with e ective (but in practice theoretically unknown) couplings to both quarks and gluons. A ccording to [10], there should be four types of two-gluon collective excitations: spin-zero color-singlet, spin-zero color octet, spin-one color octet, and spin-two color 27-plet. It is easy to show that exchange of a massive color-octet scalar results in a four-quark interaction

$$L_{int} = G \left(\begin{array}{c} \sim \end{array} \right)^2; \tag{1}$$

with G > 0, which is necessary for the color-sextet diquark condensation. It is, however, not easy to show which of the exchanges, including the one-gluon one, is eventually the most important. In fact, exchange of the color-singlet scalar would also lead to an attractive interaction in the color-sextet quark (quark channel, but as we aim at a phenom enological analysis and do not attempt to evaluate the elective coupling G, we restrict ourselves in the following to the single interaction term (1).

W e note that the argum ent leading to the conjectured colored collectivem odes excited by two gluon operators is the sam e as that leading, in the quark sector, to the phenom enologically useful [11] color-antitriplet scalar eld with the quantum numbers of a diquark.

The possibility of diquark condensation in the colorsymmetric channel has already been investigated in various contexts, for instance, within the color- avor-locking

E lectronic address: brauner@ ujf.cas.cz

scheme [12], and as an adm ixture to the color-antitriplet condensate [13, 14]. The algebraic structure of spontaneous symmetry breaking due to an SU (3)-sextet condensate is, however, richer than so far discussed in literature, and it is the general characterization of this structure that we focus on here.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Sec. II we describe the color-sextet superconductivity phenom enologically i.e., in terms of a scalar color-sextet Higgs eld. We are not aware of any system atic treatment of the Higgs mechanism with an SU (3) sextet in the literature and, therefore we go quite into detail. In Sec. III we review main ideas of the sem i-m icroscopic approach i.e., a self-consistent BCS-type approximation for a relativistic fermionic second-quantized quark eld, and apply it to the case of color-sextet condensation. Section IV contains a sum mary and a brief discussion of the obtained results, and com parison of the two approaches.

II. HIGGSMECHANISM WITH AN SU(3) SEXTET

Sim plifying as much as possible we consider the relativistic quark matter of one massive avor (say s-quark matter) in the decon ned phase at moderate baryon density. We assume that its ground state is characterized by the quark-quark C cooper-pair condensate in the antisym metric spin zero state. By Pauliprinciple this means the symmetric sextet state in SU (3) ie.,

$$h_{j_i}(C_5) = j_{j_i}(h_{ij})$$
(2)

where we insert a dimensionful constant of proportionality to make a dimension-one operator. The constant of proportionality can be determined within the mean-eld approximation to be 3=2G, see Sec. III.

Treating the u and d quarks as nearly degenerate in m ass and both much lighter than the s quark, such a condensate m ay provide a com plem ent to the usual picture of u and d pairing in the color-antitriplet channel [15].

In an elective Higgs description $_{ij}$ is a spin-zero color-sextet order parameter which transform s under the color SU (3) as a complex symmetric matrix,

The dynam ics of is governed by the most general Lagrangian invariant under global SU (3) U (1) and spacetime transform ations. As the full Lorentz invariance is explicitly broken by the presence of a dense medium, we require that the Lagrangian be invariant under spatial rotations only.

Since we aim at an e ective description of the superconducting phase, renorm alizability is not an issue here, and we have to include all possible interactions built up from the sextet that respect the sym metry of the theory. In accordance with our assumptions, the gauge interaction can be switched on perturbatively by gauging the global SU (3) color symmetry. Formally, we just replace the ordinary derivative of with the covariant derivative

$$D = 0 \quad igA^{a} \frac{1}{2}_{a} + \frac{1}{2}_{a}^{T}; \quad (3)$$

where A^a is the colored gluon eld. The elective Lagrangian thus has the form

$$L = e^{tr(D_0)^{y}D^{0}} + m^{tr(D_i)^{y}D^{i}} V() + \dots$$
(4)

where V () is the most general SU (3) U (1)-invariant polynomial in and the ellipses stand for other possible terms that involve covariant derivatives and/or gauge eld strength tensors F_a .

A. SU (3) invariants from a sextet

The ground-state expectation value h $i_0 = is$ at the tree level given by the m inimum of the scalar potential V (). To proceed with our analysis, we have to specify its concrete form.

N ote that the group SU (3) has only three algebraically independent invariant tensors, namely $\frac{i}{j}$, "_{ijk}, and "^{ijk}, the lower and upper indices transform ing under the fundam ental representation of SU (3) and its com plex conjugate, respectively (see, for example, [16]). As a consequence, the most general SU (3) U (1) invariant built up from a single sextet can be constructed from products and sum s of det (Y) and tr(Y)ⁿ, the sym bols \det" and \tr" referring to determ inant and trace in the color space, respectively [34].

Of these polynom ials, however, only three are algebraically independent. Indeed, express

tr
$$y = + + ;$$

tr(y)² = ² + ² + ²;
det $y = ;$

where ; ; are the eigenvalues of y [35], and de ne the symmetric polynomials

$$_{1} = + + ; _{2} = + + = \frac{1}{2} [tr(^{y})^{2} + (tr ^{y})^{2}]; _{3} = :$$

Note that the values of 1; 2; 3 determ ine those of ; uniquely as the three roots of the cubic equation x^{3} $_{1}x^{2} + _{2}x$ $_{3} = 0$. Thus also the values of all tr(y)ⁿ = $^{n} + ^{n} + ^{n}$ forn 3 are xed. Moreover, they can be expressed directly in terms of 1; 2; 3 as the Taylor coe cients of the generating function

which is readily rewritten as

$$f(t) = \ln (1 + _{1}t + _{2}t^{2} + _{3}t^{3}):$$
 (5)

W e have thus shown that the scalar potential V () can always be expressed as a function of the three independent invariants det (y), tr(y), and tr(y)².

B. Sym m etry-breaking patterns

We shall now turn to the structure of the ground state. In our elective Higgs approach, the SU (3) U (1) symmetry is spontaneously broken by the ground-state expectation value of the eld, which is a constant due to the translation invariance of the ground state. We can exploit the symmetry to give the as simple form as possible. In fact, as shown by Schur [17], any complex symmetric matrix can always be written as

$$= U U^{T};$$

where U is an appropriate unitary matrix, and is a real, diagonal matrix with non-negative entries. In our case, we set = diag($_{1};_{2};_{3}$).

Consequently, there are several distinct patterns of spontaneous symmetry breaking possible.

(a) $_1 > _2 > _3 > 0$. This ordering can always be achieved by the allowed appropriate real orthogonal transform ations. The continuous SU (3) U (1) sym m etry is completely broken (only a discrete $(Z_2)^3$ sym m etry is left).

(b) Two 's are equal, say $_1 = _2 \in _3$. This implies an enhanced O (2) sym m etry in the corresponding 2 2 block of .

(c) $_1 = _2 = _3 \in 0$. The vacuum remains O (3) symmetric.

(d) Some of $_{\rm i}$ = 0. Then there is a residual U (1) or U (2) symmetry of the vacuum corresponding to the vanishing entry or entries of .

The concrete type of the sym m etry breaking pattern is determined by the scalar potential V (). Note that, having relaxed the renorm alizability requirement, we can always choose the potential V () so that it yields as its minimum any desired values of $_1$; $_2$; $_3$, just take

$$V() = \frac{1}{2}a_{1} \text{ tr } y_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}a_{2} \text{ tr } (y)^{2} \frac{1}{1} + 2 \frac{2}{2} + \frac{1}{2}a_{3} \text{ det } y_{3}^{2}$$

with all $a_1; a_2; a_3$ positive. The 's here are to be interpreted as vacuum expectation values of the corresponding operators.

C. Higgs mechanism with a quartic potential

Up to now we have repeatedly stressed the fact that we are dealing with an elective theory and therefore we should include in our Lagrangian all possible interactions preserving the SU (3) U (1) symmetry.

N evertheless, under som e speci c conditions it is plausible to start up with a renorm alizable linear sigm a model that is, take a general quartic potential V () and neglect all operators of dimension greater than four. In Sec. IV we will see that this rather restrictive choice is justied when the underlying microscopic interaction is of fourferm ion type.

W e thus take up a general quartic potential [36],

$$V() = atr^{y} + btr(^{y})^{2} + c(tr^{y})^{2};$$
 (6)

where the m inus sign at a suggests spontaneous sym m etry breaking at the tree level. Varying (6) with respect to $^{\rm y}$, we derive a necessary condition for the vacuum expectation value ,

$$a + 2b ^{y} + 2c tr(^{y}) = 0$$
: (7)

A simple observation of (7) reveals that, should the matrix be non-singular, we can divide by it and arrive at the condition

$$2b^{y} = a 2ctr(^{y})$$
:

Thus, unless b = 0, ^y and hence also must be proportional to the identity matrix.

M oreover, even when is singular, it can be replaced with the real diagonal matrix and we see from (7) that all non-zero entries $_{i}$ satisfy the equation

$$2b_{i}^{2} = a_{i}^{2} + 2ctr_{i}^{2}$$

Thus all non-zero 's develop the same value.

W hich of the suggested solutions of (7) represents the absolute m inimum of the potential depends on the input parameters a;b;c, which must be inferred from the underlying theory [37]. We therefore stop the Higgs-like analysis here with the sim ple conclusion that under fairly general circum stances the quartic potential can be m inim ized by a matrix proportional to the unit matrix, thus leading to an interesting symmetry-breaking pattern (see the paragraphs (c) above and below).

D. Gluon m ass spectrum

Let us now switch on the gauge interaction perturbatively. Due to the spontaneous sym m etry breaking som e of the gluons acquire non-zero m asses via the H iggs m echanism. At the lowest order of the power expansion in the e ective theory, the mass matrix of the gluons follows from the scalar eld kinetic terms in (4) upon replacing with .

Now, recalling the particular form of the covariant derivative in (3), we arrive at the following gluon mass squared matrix:

2	M ² _e ,m =	e;mg ²									2
2	(₁ +	$(2)^2$ ()	0		0	0	0	0	0	2
ന്നത്തന്നത	0	(1	₂) ²	0		0	0	0	0	0	7
	0	()	2(1 +	2 ₂)	0	0	0	0	$\frac{p^2}{3}(\begin{array}{cc}2\\1\end{array})$	4
	0	()	0		$(_1 + _3)^2$	0	0	0	0	4
	0	()	0		0	(1	₃) ² 0	0	0	4
	0	()	0		0	0	$(_2 + _3)^2$	0	0	4
ă	0	()	0		0	0	0	$(_2 _3)^2$	0	5
	0	()	$\frac{p^2}{3}$ ($\frac{2}{1}$	2 ₂)	0	0	0	0	$\frac{2}{3}\left(\begin{array}{ccc} 2\\1\end{array} + \begin{array}{ccc} 2\\2\end{array} + \begin{array}{ccc} 2\\3\end{array}\right)$	

The subscripts e;m distinguish between the temporal (\electric") and spatial (\m agnetic") components of the gluon eld.

Let us brie y comment on the above mentioned four types of symmetry breaking patterns.

(a) $_1 > _2 > _3 > 0$. The SU (3) U (1) symm etry is completely broken, therefore there are nine m assless N am bu{Goldstone m odes. Eight of them are eaten by the gluons, which thus acquire non-zero unequalm asses (w ith an appropriate diagonalization in the (A³; A⁸) block). There is one physicalN am bu{Goldstone boson corresponding to the broken global U (1) baryon num ber symm etry of the underlying theory. Going to the unitary gauge, we can transform away eight of the original twelve degrees of freedom and parameterize the sextet eld as

$$(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{p}_{\overline{2}}} e^{i (\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{Q}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 (\mathbf{x}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 (\mathbf{x}) & 0 & \mathbf{A} \\ 0 & 0 & 3 (\mathbf{x}) \end{pmatrix}$$

the 's representing three massive radial modes and the N am bu {G oldstone mode.

(b) $_1 = _2 \notin _3$. One gluon is left m assless, corresponding to the Gell-M ann m atrix $_2$ which generates the SO (2) symmetry of the ground state.

(c) $_1 = _2 = _3 \notin 0$. There are three massless gluons corresponding to the generators $_2$; $_5$; $_7$ of the SO (3) subgroup of SU (3). A llother gluons receive equal m asses so that the sym m etry breaking SU (3) ! SO (3) is isotropic.

(d) Some of $_{\rm i}$ = 0. There is always an unbroken globalU (1) symmetry that arises from a combination of the original baryon number U (1) and the diagonal generators of SU (3), hence all N am bu {G oldstone modes that stem from the symmetry breaking are absorbed into the gauge bosons.

E. Interpretation of the results

So far in this section, we have worked out the usual Higgs mechanism for the case that the scalar eld driving the spontaneous symmetry breaking transforms as a sextet under the color SU (3). However, one must exercise some care when applying the results to the physical situation under consideration, that is, color superconductivity. In the very origin of possible problem s lies the fact that is not an elementary dynam ical eld but rather a com posite order parameter.

A nyw ay, our analysis of sym m etry breaking patterns still holds as for this purpose one can regard as simply a shorthand notation for the condensate in Eq. (2).

The most apparent deviation from the standard H iggs mechanism is the presence of non-trivial normalization constants at the kinetic terms in (4). This is due to the compositeness of the eld [18, 19].

Further, the power expansion of the e ective Lagrangian (4) can be reliable as long as the expansion param eter is su ciently sm all. In the standard G inzburg{ Landau theory, this is only true near the critical tem – perature. It is, however, plausible to think of a zerotem perature e ective eld theory for the superconducting phase. We therefore understand our Lagrangian as such an e ective expansion in terms of the N am bu{ G oldstone m odes [20, 21] generalized by inclusion of m odes of the m odulus of the order param eter [19, 22]. In ordinary superconductivity, the N am bu{G oldstone m ode is the B ogolyubov{A nderson m ode, and the m odulus m ode is the A braham s{T suneto m ode [23].

O ur last rem ark points to the above calculated m asses of gluons generated by the H iggs m echanism . To specify the scale of the m asses one would have to know the normalization coe cients $_{e_{fm}}$. These are unknown parameters of the elective theory and have to be determined from the m atching with the m icroscopic theory. At zero tem perature, they are roughly

$$e_{m}$$
 / $^{2}=^{2};$

and as a result, both electric and m agnetic m asses are found to be of order g , where is the baryon chem ical potential. Their physical origin is, how ever, very di erent. The electric (D ebye) m ass is non-zero even in the norm al state i.e., above the critical tem perature, due to polarization e ects in the quark m edium. On the other hand, the m agnetic (M eissner) m ass arises purely as a consequence of the spontaneous symmetry breaking. It is thus zero at the critical point and increases as the tem – perature is low ered, to become roughly equal in order of m agnitude to the D ebye m ass at T = 0.

Unfortunately, this is not the end of the story. As pointed out by Rischke who calculated the gluon masses m icroscopically for the two- avor color superconductor [19], the lowest order kinetic term alone does not give correct ratios of gluon masses of di erent adjoint colors. It is therefore not of much help to just try to adjust the normalization of the kinetic term. As a remedy to this problem, it is necessary to make use of higher order contributions to the gluon masses.

In the two- avor color superconductor with a colorantitriplet condensate, there is only one generically different higher order contribution that can change the ratios of the gluon masses from those given by the lowest order kinetic term (see R ef. [19], Eq. 153). This re ects the symmetry of the problem : the order parameter (conventionally chosen to point in the direction of the third color) leaves unbroken an SU (2) subgroup of the original color SU (3). Under the unbroken subgroup, the gluons of colors 4{7 transform as a complex doublet and thus have to receive equal masses, possibly di erent from the mass of gluon 8. The most general gluon mass matrix is thus speci ed by two parameters.

In our case of a color-sextet condensate, the SU (3) sym metry can be completely broken and we thus expect that there are in general no relations among the eight gluon masses. We do not go into details here, but just list the kinetic terms of order four in the eld , which give gluon mass ratios di erent from the lowest order values:

and analogously the term's contributing to the electric gluon m asses.

In our Lagrangian the SU (3) U (1) symmetry is realized linearly and these terms are found by inspection'. It would be appropriate to repeat the analysis using the non-linearly realized e ective Lagrangian along the lines of [24] analyzing the color-antitriplet case. The kinetic terms should follow from symmetry considerations, albeit again with theoretically undetermined coeccients.

F inally we note that as the D ebye m asses of all gluons are non-zero in the norm al state, one m ight expect that in the superconducting phase they rem ain non-zero even for those gluons which correspond to unbroken symmetries, in contrast to the conclusions of the electrice theory discussed. However, as shown by R ischke for the two-avor color superconductor, the \unbroken" electric gluons have, som ew hat surprisingly, zero D ebye m ass at T = 0. This is because the quark colors they interact with are bound in the condensate and hence there are no low energy levels to be excited by long-wavelength chrom oelectric elds.

This line of reasoning can be easily carried over to our case, since due to the diagonal nature of the matrix , one can immediately check which quark colors participate in the condensate. We thus conjecture that the naive expectation that the D ebyem assess of the gluons of the unbroken symmetry are zero, is correct at zero temperature, as long as the colors that the gluon interacts with both have non-zero gap $_{\rm i}$. This is the case, for instance, for the gluons of the SO (2) and SO (3) ground state symmetries discussed before (see paragraphs (b) and (c) above).

To provide a waterproof veri cation of this conjecture, on should carry out a microscopic calculation similar to that of [19].

III. FERM ION IC BCS-TYPE DESCRIPTION

In the previous section we used an elective H iggs-like theory to treat the kinematics of color superconductivity with a color-sextet condensate. The construction of the elective Lagrangian is based solely on the SU (3) U (1) symmetry. Such an approach is thus pretty convenient to extract as much information about the kinematics as possible, but fails to explain the very fact of C ooper pair formation. To understand the dynamics of color superconductivity, we need a microscopic description of the quark system.

As is well known from BCS theory of superconductivity, ferm ions (quarks in our case) will tend to form C ooper pairs if there is an attractive e ective two-body interaction between them. As is usual in attempts to describe the behavior of decon ned QCD matter, we em - ploy the N am bu {Jona-Lasinio m odel and look for the diquark condensate as a constant self-consistent solution to the equations of m otion.

Because the excitation spectrum of cold strongly coupled decon ned QCD m atter at moderate baryon density is not known, the e ective quark (quark interaction relevant for color superconductivity can only be guessed. In any case the excitations of such a matter are of two sorts:

- 1.Colored quasiparticles excited by the primary quantum elds with modi ed dispersion laws.
- Collective excitations, which can be in principle both colored and colorless, and are excited by the appropriate polynom ials of the prim ary quantum elds.

W e want to argue in favor of possible existence of m assive color-octet spin-zero collective m odes excited by two gluon operators [10], the exchange of which produces the desired e ective four-quark interaction attractive in the color-sextet quark (quark channel. The (naive) point is that the QCD -induced force between two gluons, which can in general be in any of

8 8 = 1 8 8 10 10 27;

is attractive is the color-octet spin-zero con guration.

Inspired by this argument, we choose for our NJL-type analysis a four-quark interaction which m in ics the exchange of an intermediate color-octet scalar particle. As we note below, however, we could have as well included interactions with Lorentz vectors or tensors. Nonetheless, the Lorentz structure of the interaction does not play almost any role in our calculation, and we therefore restrict to the single interaction term (1) suggested above.

Our e ective Lagrangian for one massive quark avor thus reads

$$L = (ide m + _{0}) + G(~)^{2}; \qquad (8)$$

where the arrow over G ellM ann -m atrices im plies appropriate sum m ation over adjoint SU (3) indices. O therwise, Lorentz and color indices are suppressed.

W e treat the model Lagrangian (8) in the mean-eld approximation. As this is a standard way of dealing with N JL-type models, we sketch only the main steps. Detailed account of the techniques used can be found, for example, in the recent paper by A lford et al. [8].

To extract the color-sextet condensate, we split our Lagrangian into a free and interacting part L_0^0 and L_{int}^0 , respectively,

$$L_{0}^{0} = (i \Re m + 0) + \frac{1}{2} (C_{5})^{T} \frac{1}{2} T^{Y} (C_{5});$$
$$L_{int}^{0} = \frac{1}{2} (C_{5})^{T} + \frac{1}{2} T^{Y} (C_{5}) + G (\sim)^{2};$$

where is the desired gap parameter which, as shown in the preceding section, can be sought in the form of a realdiagonal non-negative matrix in the color space. We introduce the standard N am bu {G orkov doublet notation,

$$(p) = {(p) \ _{T} (p)} ;$$

in which the calculation of the free propagator amounts to inverting a 2 2 m atrix,

$$S^{1}(p) = \begin{array}{ccc} p & m + & 0 & (C & 5) \\ & & & y (C & 5) & (p + m & 0)^{T} \end{array}$$

The explicit form of the propagator has been given by several authors, see, for instance, [25, 26].

In the mean-eld approximation, is determined from a single one-loop Feynman graph. Regulating the quadratic divergence with a three-momentum cuto and evaluating explicitly the W ick-rotated integral over the temporal component of the loop momentum, we nally arrive at the gap equation

$$1 = \frac{2}{3}G \frac{Z}{(2)^3} \frac{d^3p}{(2)^3} \frac{1}{E_+} + \frac{1}{E} ; \qquad (9)$$

where E represent the positive energies given by the dispersion relations of the quasiquark excitations,

$$E^{2} = \frac{p}{p^{2} + m^{2}} + jj^{2}$$

A few remarks to the gap equation (9) are in order. First, in the loop integral, we have ignored a term proportional to $_0$ which generates the operator (C $_5$) $_0$ ^T that breaks Lorentz invariance. In fact, we should have expected such a term to appear, since Lorentz invariance is explicitly broken by the presence of the chem ical potential in the Lagrangian (8). For our treatment of color superconductivity at non-zero chem ical potential to be fully consistent, we would have to include such operators into our Lagrangian from the very beginning and solve a coupled set of gap equations for both Lorentz invariant and non-invariant condensates [27]. Here, for the sake of sim plicity, we ignore this di culty and neglect the secondary e ects of Lorentz-invariance breaking induced by the chem ical potential.

Second, the gap equation (9) is understood as a matrix equation in the color space. Its matrix structure is, however, trivial. In fact, we get three separated identicalculations for the diagonal elements $_1; _2; _3$ of the gap matrix. This means that, at least at the level of the m ean-eld approxim ation, our m odel favors an enhanced SO (3) sym m etry of the ground state | the gaps for all three colors are the same. This is apparently not a peculiar consequence of our particular choice of interaction in (8), but holds for any SU (3)-invariant four-ferm ion interaction. The only e ect of adding also the Lorentz vector or tensor channel interactions, for example, would be in the modi cation of the e ective coupling constant G. The Lorentz structure of the interaction does not play any role and the resulting form of the gap equation is a consequence of the identity $\sim \sim^{T} = 4 = 3$, which holds for any diagonal matrix . We will return to the discussion of this point in the next section where we will comment on a correspondence between the bosonic and ferm ionic approaches.

Third, the extension of the gap equation to non-zero temperatures is easy. We can either rst calculate the therm odynam ical potential and then m in in ize it with respect to or, alternatively, proceed in the same m anner as before and derive a self-consistency condition for the therm al G reen function [38]. Performing the sum over M atsubara frequencies in the last step, the result is

$$1 = \frac{2}{3}G \frac{Z}{(2)^3} + \frac{d^3p}{(2)^3} + \frac{1}{E_+} \tanh \frac{E_+}{2T} + \frac{1}{E_-} \tanh \frac{E}{2T} + \frac{1}{E_-} \tanh \frac{E_-}{2T} + \frac{1}{E_-} \hbar \frac{E_-}{2T} + \frac{$$

This gap equation can be used for the study of tem perature dependence of the gap and, in particular, for nding the critical tem perature at which the SU (3) sym m etry is restored [25]. Let us brie y sum m arize our results. F irst we developed the H iggs m echanism for a color sextet and found out that although the underlying sym m etry allows for a com plete spontaneous breakdown, for a generic quartic scalar potential the pattern SU (3) ! SO (3) is preferred.

A fler then, we used the Nambu{Jona-Lasinio model to calculate the gaps $_1$; $_2$; $_3$ self-consistently in the mean-eld approximation and our result was in accord with the preceding Higgs-type analysis.

This is, of course, not only a coincidence, but follows from a general correspondence between four-ferm ioninteraction m odels and linear sigm a m odels provided by the Hubbard {Stratonovich transform ation.

Let us sketch the main idea. In the path integral formalism, one rst introduces an auxiliary scalar integration variable which has no kinetic term and couples to the ferm ion via the Yukawa interaction. The action now becomes bilinear in the ferm ion variables and one can integrate them out explicitly. The logarithm of the ferm ion determ inant gives rise to a kinetic term of the scalar eld and the model hence becomes equivalent to the linear sigm a model, up to a choice of the renorm alization prescription [28].

In term s of the NJL m odel the interpretation of the correspondence is a little bit di erent. Here one cannot carry out the usual renorm alization program and the choice of an ultraviolet regulator becom es physically signi cant. So in the e ective scalar eld action the operators with dim ension four or less are dom inant since they are generated with divergent coe cients. The quadratic divergences cancel due to the gap equation in the underlying NJL m odel but the logarithm ic ones rem ain [29].

O ne thus receives an a posteriori justi cation for the choice of the linear sigm a model as the starting point for the Higgs-type analysis in subsection $\Pi \mathcal{L} \cdot O$ n the other hand, one should bear in m ind that these conclusions are valid only in the mean-eld approximation that we employed.

In terms of the e ective scalar eld , the true vacuum is determ ined by the absolute m in im um of the full quantum e ective potential which is no longer restricted to contain operators of dimension four or less.

In the NJL model, going beyond the mean-eld approximation [39] could destroy the simple structure of the one-loop gap equation (9). Generally, the resulting set of algebraic equations for $_1$; $_2$; $_3$ must be permutation invariant since permutations of diagonal elements of the matrix belong to the symmetry group SU (3) of the theory. For four-ferm ion interactions the SU (3) structure of an arbitrary Feynm an graph can be investigated making use of the Fierz identities in the color space. O ne gets three coupled, but still rather simple equations for the three gaps. It is then perhaps a matter of numerical calculations to decide whether these equations possess asymmetric solutions and whether they are more energetically favorable than those with $_1 = _2 = _3$.

W e suspect that asym m etric solutions in plying a com – plete breakdown of the SU (3) U (1) sym m etry can also be obtained from interactions that m in ic m any-body forces (six-ferm ion or m ore). The correspondence with linear sigm a m odel via the H ubbard (Stratonovich transform ation is then lost and it could hopefully su ce to stay at the level of the m ean- eld approximation, thus requiring m uch less m anual work than in the previous case.

Investigations in the two directions mentioned above are already in progress.

A cknow ledgm ents

The authors are greatly indebted to D ink R ischke for hiskind and insightfulrem arks which resulted in the discussion in subsection ILE. They are also grateful to M icaela O ertel and M ichael Buballa for critical com m ents on an early draft of the paper. T B. would like to thank W. G rim us for bringing the reference [17] to his attention. J.H. acknow ledges with pleasure Uwe-Jens W iese for several useful and pleasant discussions.

This work was supported in part by grant GACR 202/02/0847. The work of T B. was also in part supported by a graduate fellow ship of the Faculty of M athem atics and Physics, Charles University.

- [1] B.C.Barrois, Nucl. Phys. B 129, 390 (1977).
- [2] S. C. Frautschi (1978), presented at Workshop on Hadronic Matter at Extrem e Energy Density, Erice, Italy, Oct 13-21, 1978.
- [3] D.Bailin and A.Love, Phys.Rept. 107, 325 (1984).
- [4] K.Rajagopaland F.W ilczek (2000), hep-ph/0011333.
- [5] M.G.Alford, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 117, 65 (2003), hep-ph/0209287.
- [6] F. Sannino, Phys. Lett. B 480, 280 (2000), hepph/0002277.
- [7] J.Polchinski (1992), hep-th/9210046.
- [8] M. G. Alford, J. A. Bowers, J. M. Cheyne, and

G.A.Cowan, Phys.Rev.D 67, 054018 (2003), hepph/0210106.

- [9] M. Buballa, J. Hosek, and M. Oertel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 182002 (2003), hep-ph/0204275.
- [10] T.H.Hansson, K. Johnson, and C.Peterson, Phys. Rev. D 26, 2069 (1982).
- [11] R.L.Ja e and F.W ilczek (2003), hep-ph/0307341.
- [12] M.G.Alford, K.Rajagopal, and F.W ilczek, Nucl. Phys. B 537, 443 (1999), hep-ph/9804403.
- [13] T. Schafer, Nucl. Phys. B 575, 269 (2000), hepph/9909574.
- [14] I. Giannakis and H.-C. Ren, Phys. Rev. D 65, 054017

(2002), hep-ph/0108256.

- [15] M.G.Alford, J.Berges, and K.Rajagopal, Nucl. Phys. B 558, 219 (1999), hep-ph/9903502.
- [16] H. G eorgi, Lie A lgebras in Particle Physics, Frontiers in Physics (Perseus Books, Reading, M assachusetts, 1999), 2nd ed.
- [17] I.Schur, Am.J.M ath. 67, 472 (1945), in Germ an.
- [18] R. D. Pisarski, Phys. Rev. C 62, 035202 (2000), nuclth/9912070.
- [19] D. H. Rischke, Phys. Rev. D 62, 034007 (2000), nuclth/0001040.
- [20] R. Casalbuoni and R. Gatto, Phys. Lett. B 464, 111 (1999), hep-ph/9908227.
- [21] D.T.Son and M.A.Stephanov, Phys. Rev. D 61, 074012 (2000), hep-ph/9910491.
- [22] I.J.R.A itchison, P.Ao, D.J.Thouless, and X.M. Zhu, Phys. Rev. B 51, 6531 (1995), CERN-TH-7385-94.
- [23] E. Abraham s and T. Tsuneto, Phys. Rev. 152, 416 (1966).
- [24] R. Casalbuoni, Z. Duan, and F. Sannino, Phys. Rev. D 62,094004 (2000), hep-ph/0004207.
- [25] R. D. Pisarski and D. H. Rischke, Phys. Rev. D 60, 094013 (1999), nucl-th/9903023.
- [26] M. Huang, P. Zhuang, and W. Chao, Phys. Rev. D 65, 076012 (2002), hep-ph/0112124.
- [27] M. Buballa, J. Hosek, and M. Oertel, Phys. Rev. D 65, 014018 (2002), hep-ph/0105079.
- [28] T.Eguchi, Phys. Rev. D 14, 2755 (1976).
- [29] G. Ripka, Quarks Bound by Chiral Fields: The quark structure of the vacuum and of light m esons and baryons, O xford Studies in N uclear Physics (C larendon P ress, O xford, 1997).
- [30] A.J.Paterson, Nucl. Phys. B 190, 188 (1981).
- [31] R.D.Pisarskiand D.L.Stein, J.Phys. A 14, 3341 (1981).
- [32] B.-R. Zhou (2002), hep-ph/0212193.
- [33] T. Tsuneto, Superconductivity and Super uidity (Cam-

bridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998).

- [34] The U (1) sym m etry guarantees that the SU (3) invariants det and det $^{\rm y}$ always com e with the same power.
- [35] Here and in the following, we act as if ^y were a cnum ber m atrix and not an operator one. We can do so since all components of ^y commute with one another. The constants ;; are to be understood as eigenvalues of the 3 3 m atrix ^y, disregarding its operator nature. Our goal is the generating function (5) which yields purely algebraic relations among det (^y) and tr(^y)ⁿ, without any reference to ;; . Our conclusions about (in)dependence of various polynom ials are thus valid for c-num ber as well as operator m atrices.
- [36] Sim ilar analyses within a more general class of models have been performed in [30, 31].
- [37] W ithout further knowledge, we can only constrain the values of b and c by the requirement of boundedness of V () from below. It is clear that at least one of these parameters must be positive, positivity of both being, of course, the safest choice. The sizes of the two quartic interaction terms are restricted by the inequalities $tr(\frac{y}{2})^2$ $(tr^{\frac{y}{2}})^2$ $3tr(\frac{y}{2})^2$ where the equalities $tr(\frac{y}{2})^2$ $(tr^{\frac{y}{2}})^2$ $3tr(\frac{y}{2})^2$ where the equalities $tr(\frac{y}{2})^2$ $tr(\frac{y}{2})^2$ $tr(\frac{y}$
- [38] Once we have found the gap equation, we can obtain the therm odynam ical potential by integrating it over the gap parameter (for further details see [32]).
- [39] Form ally, we in agine this as adding two- and more-loop graphs, with full ferm ion propagators inserted in place of the free ones, to the right hand side of the gap equation (9). Physically this means that the elective coupling constant G may depend on the order parameter [33].