Exclusive D ouble D i ractive H iggs B oson P roduction at LHC.

Petrov V A . and Ryutin R A . Institute for H igh Energy Physics 142 281 Protvino, Russia

A bstract

Exclusive double di ractive (EDD) Higgs boson production is analyzed in the fram ework of the Regge-eikonal approach. Total and di erential cross-sections for the process p + p ! p + H + p are calculated. Experim ental possibilities to nd Higgs boson at LHC are discussed.

K eyw ords

Di ractive Higgs Boson Production { Pomeron { Regge-Eikonalm odel

1 Introduction

LHC collaborations aim ed at working in low (TOTEM) – and high (CMS)- p_T regimes related to typical undulatory (di ractive) and corpuscular (point-like) behaviours of the corresponding cross-sections may o era very exciting possibility to observe an interplay of both regimes [1]. In theory the "hard part" can be (hopefully) treated with perturbative m ethods whilst the "soft" one is de nitely nonperturbative.

Bebw we give an example of such an interplay: exclusive H iggs boson production by diractively scattered protons, i.e. the process p + p ! p + H + p, where + m eans also a rapidity gap.

This process is related to the dom inant am plitude of exclusive two-gluon production. D riving mechanism of the di ractive processes is the Pomeron. Data on the total crosssections dem ands unambiguosly for the Pomeron with larger-than-one intercept, thereof the need in "unitarisation".

As will be seen below the detection of the Higgs boson (in the bb mode) at LHC in the double di ractive regime looks fairly possible.

2 Calculations

In Figs. 1,2 we illustrate in detail the process p + p ! p + H + p.0 -shell proton-gluon am plitudes in Fig. 2 are treated by the method developed in Ref. [2], which is based on the extension of Regge-eikonal approach, and successfully used for the description of the HERA data [3].

The amplitude of the process p+p! p+H+p consists of two parts (see Fig.2). The "hard" part F is the usual gluon-gluon fusion process calculated in the Standart M odel[4]. "Soft" amplitudes $T_{1:2}$ are obtained in the Regge-eikonal approach.

We use the following kinematics, which corresponds to the double Regge limit. It is convenient to use light-cone components (+; ;?). The components of momenta of the hadrons in Fig.2 are

$$p_{1} = \frac{r}{2} \frac{\overline{s}}{2s}; \frac{m^{2}}{2s}; 0$$

$$p_{2} = \frac{m^{2}}{2s}; \frac{r}{2}; 0$$

$$p_{1}^{0} = (1 \quad 1)^{r} \frac{\overline{s}}{2}; \frac{\frac{1}{2} + m^{2}}{(1 \quad 1)^{r} \frac{\overline{2s}}{2s}}; 1$$

$$p_{2}^{0} = \frac{\frac{2}{2} + m^{2}}{(1 \quad 2)^{r} \frac{\overline{2s}}{2s}}; (1 \quad 2)^{r} \frac{\overline{s}}{2}; 2$$

$$q = (q_{r}; q; q);$$

$$q_{1} = q + p_{1} \quad p_{1}^{0} = q + 1;$$

$$q_{2} = q + p_{2} \quad p_{2}^{0} = q + 2;$$
(1)

 $_{1,2}$ are fractions of protons m om enta carried by gluons. For two-dimensional transverse

vectors we use boldface type. From the above notations we can obtain the relations:

$$t_{1,2} = {}^{2}_{1,2} ' \frac{\frac{2}{1;2}(1 + {}^{1}_{1,2}) + \frac{2}{1;2}m^{2}}{1} ' {}^{2}_{1,2}; {}^{1}_{1,2} ! 0$$
(2)

$$\cos_{0} = \frac{1}{j} \frac{2}{j} \frac{2}{j}$$

Physical region of di ractive events with two rapidity gaps is de ned by the following kinematical cuts:

$$0.01 \,\mathrm{GeV}^2$$
 $j_{z;2} j \, 1 \,\mathrm{GeV}^2$; (3)

$$m_{in} \prime \frac{M_{H}^{2}}{S_{max}}$$
 1;2 $m_{ax} = 0:1$; (4)

$$p \xrightarrow{\mathbf{p}} p \xrightarrow{\mathbf{p}} 2 \qquad p \xrightarrow{\mathbf{p}} p \xrightarrow{\mathbf{p}} 2 \qquad (5)$$
$$= {}_{1\ 2}\mathbf{s} \qquad M_{\rm H}^2 \qquad M_{\rm H}^2$$

We can write the relations in terms of $y_{1,2}$ and y_H (hadron and Higgs boson rapidities correspondingly):

$$1;2 ' \frac{M_{H}}{P_{\overline{s}}} e^{Y_{H}}; \qquad (6)$$

$$iy_{H} j \quad y_{0} = \ln \frac{p_{\overline{s} \max}!}{M_{H}}; \qquad (7)$$

$$y_{0} ' 2:5 \text{ for } p_{\overline{s}} = 14 \text{ TeV }; M_{H} = 115 \text{ GeV }; \qquad (9)$$

$$iy_{1;2} j = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{(1 \quad 1;2)^{2}s}{m^{2} \quad \frac{1}{5};2} \qquad 9$$

The calculation of the amplitude is based on the nonfactorized scheme. The contribution of the diagram depicted in Fig.2 is obtained by integrating over all internal bop momenta. It was shown in [5], that the leading contribution arises from the region of the integration, where momentum q is "G lauber-like", i.e. of the order (k_{+} m²=^P s; k m²=^P s; km), where k's are of the order 1. The detailed consideration of the loop integral like

$$\frac{d^{4}q}{(2)^{4}} \frac{f(q; p_{1}; p_{2}; 1; 2)}{(q^{2} + i0)(q^{2}_{1} + i0)(q^{2}_{2} + i0)}$$
(7)

shows that the main contribution comes from the poles

$$q_{1}^{2} = \frac{p}{\frac{2}{2}s_{1}}q_{1} \qquad q_{1}^{2} = 0;$$
$$q_{2}^{2} = \frac{p}{2}\frac{2}{2}s_{2}q_{1} \qquad q_{2}^{2} = 0:$$

In this case

$$q = \frac{q_2^2}{2 z_s^2}; \frac{q_1^2}{p z_s^2}; q ; \qquad (8)$$

where

$$q_{1}^{2} = q^{2} + {}_{1}^{2} + 2jqjj {}_{1}j\cos(+ \frac{0}{2});$$
$$q_{2}^{2} = q^{2} + {}_{2}^{2} 2jqjj {}_{2}j\cos(- \frac{0}{2})$$

In this region $j_{H}^{2} = M_{H}^{2} j$ 1, and for the ggH -vertex F in the rst order of the strong coupling we have the usual [4] expression

$$F' g = \frac{2q_2 q_1}{M_H^2} F_{gg! H}; \qquad (9)$$

$$F_{gg! H} = M_H^2 - \frac{s}{B_H^2} F_{gg! H}; \qquad (10)$$

$$f() = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$$

where $= M_{H}^{2} = 4m_{t}^{2}$, G_{F} is the Ferm iconstant. NLO K-factor 1.5 for the gg ! H process is included to the nalanswer.

Taking the general form for T-amplitudes that satisfy identities

$$q T^{D} = 0; q_{\underline{i}} T^{D} = 0;$$
 (11)

and neglecting term s of the order o($_{\rm i})$, the following expression is found at $t_{\rm i}$ j $-1~{\rm G~eV}^2$:

$$T^{D}(\mathbf{p};\mathbf{q};\mathbf{q}_{i}) = G \qquad \frac{P^{\mathbf{q}_{i}}P^{\mathbf{q}}}{P^{\mathbf{q}_{i}}P^{\mathbf{q}}} T^{D}_{\mathbf{q}\mathbf{p}',\mathbf{q}\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{s}_{i};\mathbf{t}_{i};\mathbf{q}\mathbf{q}_{i}) \qquad (12)$$

$$G = g \qquad \frac{q_{i;} q}{qq_{i}};$$

$$P^{q_{i}} = p \qquad \frac{pq_{i}}{qq_{i}}q;$$

$$P^{q} = p \qquad \frac{pq}{qq_{i}}q_{i;};$$

For $T_{gp! gp}^{D}$ we use the Regge-eikonal approach [2, 6]. At small t_i it takes the form of the Born approximation, i.e. Regge factor:

$$T_{gp! gp}^{D}(s_{i};t_{i};qq_{i}) = c_{gp} e^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}} \frac{s_{i}}{s_{0}} \frac{qq_{i}}{qq_{i}} \frac{m^{2}}{m^{2}} e^{b_{0}t_{i}}; \qquad (13)$$
$$b_{0} = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{r_{pp}^{2}}{2} + r_{gp}^{2}\right);$$

where $_{\rm P}(0) = 1203$, $_{\rm P}^{0}(0) = 0.094 \,\text{GeV}^{2}$, $r_{\rm pp}^{2} = 2.477 \,\text{GeV}^{2}$ are found in [6]. Param eters $c_{\rm gp}$ ' 3.5, $r_{\rm gp}^{2} = 2.54 \,\text{GeV}^{2}$ are de ned from thing the HERA data on elastic J= production, which will be published elsewhere. The upper bound for the constant $c_{\rm gp}^{\rm up}$ ' 2.3(3.3) can be also estimated from the exclusive double diractive di-jet production at Tevatron, if we take CDF cuts and the upper limit for the exclusive total di-jet cross-section [7]. The elective value $c_{\rm gp} = 2.3$ corresponds to the case, when the Sudakov suppression factor is absorbed into the constant, and $c_{\rm gp} = 3.3$ is obtained when taking into account this factor explicitely.

The full amplitude for Higgs boson production looks as follows:

$$T_{pp! pH p} \prime \frac{Z}{(2)^4} \frac{d^4q}{(2)^4} \frac{8F}{(q_1;q_2)T^D} (p_1;q_1;q_1)T^D} (p_2; q_1;q_1)}{(q_1^2 + i0)(q_1^2 + i0)(q_2^2 + i0)} :$$
(14)

Factor 8 arises from the colour index contraction. Let $l^2 = -\hat{q}' - q^2$, $y_H = \langle y_H \rangle = 0$ and contract all the tensor indices, then the integral (14) takes the form

$$T_{pp! pH p} \prime c_{gp}^{2} e^{b(t_{1}+t_{2})} \frac{s}{(2)^{2}} \frac{s}{M_{H}^{2}} = 8F_{g! H} I;$$
(15)

$$b = {}_{P}^{0} (0) \ln \frac{p_{H}}{M_{H}} + b_{0}; \qquad (16)$$

$$I' \int_{0}^{Z_{M_{H}^{2}}} \frac{dl^{2}}{l^{4}} \frac{l^{2}}{s_{0} m^{2} + l^{2} = 2} / (138 \, \text{GeV}^{2};$$

where $M_{\rm H} = 100 \,\text{GeV}$, and $s_0 \,\text{m}^2 \,\text{'} \,1 \,\text{GeV}^2$ is the scale parameter of the model that is used in the global tting of the data on pp (pp) scattering for on-shell am plitudes [6]. It remains xed in the present calculations.

If we take into account the emission of virtual "soft" gluons, while prohibiting the real ones, that could ll rapidity gaps, it results in the Sudakov-like suppression [8]:

$$F_{s}(l^{2}) = \exp \frac{4}{3} \frac{3}{2} \frac{M_{Z}^{2}=4}{p_{T}^{2}} \frac{dp_{T}^{2}}{p_{T}^{2}} s(p_{T}^{2}) \ln \frac{M_{H}^{2}!}{4p_{T}^{2}!} \frac{3}{5}; \qquad (18)$$

and to the new value of the integral (17):

$$I_{s}' \int_{0}^{Z_{M_{H}^{2}}} \frac{dl^{2}}{l^{4}} F_{s}(l^{2}) \frac{l^{2}}{s_{0} m^{2} + l^{2} = 2} / 0.38 \, \text{GeV}^{2}; M_{H} = 100 \, \text{GeV}: \quad (19)$$

In this case the total cross-section becom es 24 tim es sm aller, than without the factor F_s .

Unitarity corrections can be estimated from the elastic pp scattering by the method depicted in Fig.1, where

$$T_{X} = T_{pp! pH p}; \qquad (20)$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} V(\mathbf{s} \ ; \ \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{T}} \) &=& 4\mathbf{s}(2 \)^{2 \ 2} (\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{T}} \) + 4\mathbf{s}^{\mathrm{Z}} \ d^{2}\mathbf{b} e^{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{T}}} \mathbf{b}^{\mathrm{h}} e^{\mathbf{i}_{\mathrm{PP}^{1} \mathrm{PP}}} & \overset{\mathrm{i}}{1} \ ; \\ T_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{Unit}} (\mathbf{p}_{1} \ ; \ \mathbf{p}_{2} \ ; \ _{1} \ ; \ _{2} \) &=& \frac{1}{16\mathrm{ss}^{0}} \ \frac{d^{2}\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{T}}}{(2 \)^{2}} \frac{d^{2}\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{T}}^{0}}{(2 \)^{2}} V(\mathbf{s} \ ; \ \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{T}} \) & _{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{T}(\mathbf{p}_{1} \ \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{I}} \ ; \mathbf{p}_{2} + \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{T}} \ ; \ _{1\mathrm{T}} \ ; \ _{2\mathrm{T}} \) \\ & & V(\mathbf{s} \ \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{T}}^{0}) \ ; \\ & & V(\mathbf{s} \ \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{T}}^{0}) \ ; \\ & & & 1 \ \mathrm{T} \ = \ 1 \ \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{I}} \ \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{I}}^{0} \ ; \\ & & & 2\mathrm{T} \ = \ 2 + \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{T}} + \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{T}}^{0} \ ; \end{array}$$

and $_{pp! pp}$ can be found in Ref. [6]. It reduces the integrated cross-section by the factor about 14.

3 Results and discussions

W e have the following expression for the di erential cross-section:

$$\frac{d}{dt_1 dt_2 d_1 d_2} = \frac{\mathcal{J}_{pp! \ pH \ p}^{Un \ it:} \ f}{8s (2)^{5}} = \frac{2 + 2(t_1 + t_2) + (t_1 \ b)^2 \ 0}{2}$$
(21)

By partial integrating (21) we obtain the cross-sections d =dt and d =d. The rst result of our calculations is depicted in the Fig. 3. The antishrinkage of the di raction peak with increasing Higgs boson mass is the direct consequence of the existence of the additional hard scale $M_{\rm H}$, which makes the interaction radius smaller. The dependence is shown in Fig.4.

The second result is the total cross-section versus Higgs boson mass. We obtain the following results for the total cross-section of the EDD Higgs boson production:

	M _H (GeV)	p+p!p+(fb)			
Cgp		LHC		TeVatron	
		no Sud. suppr.	Sud. suppr.	no Sud. suppr.	Sud.suppr.
3.5	100 ! 500	110 ! 57	4:6! 0:14	12 ! 0:4	0:5! 0:001
23(33)	100 ! 500	20 ! 11	3.6 ! 0.11	2:2! 0:08	0:4 ! 0:0009

The above results for LHC energies are depicted in Figs.5-8. The form of the curve originates from the standard gluon-gluon-Higgs vertex and has a peak near M_H ' $2m_t$. For the case of Sudakov-like suppression the cross-section vanishes faster with M_H.

It is useful to compare our result with other studies. Results quite close to ours (with the norm alization to the CDF data, $c_{gp} = 3:3$) were given in Ref. [8], where the value of the total cross-section is about 3 fb. In both cases the most important suppressing in the mass region M_H > 100 GeV is due to (perturbative) Sudakov factors, while the nonperturbative (absorbtive) factors play relatively minor role.

Results of other authors were considered in details in [9]. The highest cross-section 2 pb for $M_{\rm H} = 400 \,\text{GeV}$ at LHC energies was obtained in Ref. [10]. They used a nonfactorized form of the amplitude and a "QCD inspired" model for gp ! gp amplitudes, taking into account the nonperturbative proton wave functions. Even if we multiply the result of

Ref. [10] by the suppressing factor, it will be larger than ours. This could serve as the indication of the role of nonperturbative e ects. Our model is based on the Regge-E ikonal approach for the amplitudes, which is primordially nonperturbative, normalized to the data from HERA on p! J = p and improved by the CDF data on the exclusive di-jet production.

To estimate the signal to QCD background ratio for bb signal we use the standart expression for gg! bb am plitude and assumptions [11]-[14]:

possibility to separate nalb quark jets from gluon jets. If we cannot do it, it will increase the background by two orders of magnitude under the 50% e ciency.

suppression due to the absence of colour-octet b nal states

suppression of light ferm ion pare production, when $J_{tot} = 0$ (see also [15],[16])

cut $E_{\rm F} > 50~{\rm GeV}$ (6°), since the cross-section of di ractive bb jet production strongly decreases with $E_{\rm T}$.

The theoretical result of these num erical estim ations is

9:5
$$10 \text{ jf } ^2 \text{Br}_{\text{H} ! QQ} \frac{M_{\text{H}}^3}{M}$$
; (22)

where jf j' 0.5! 3 for Higgs boson m asses 100! 350 GeV. For M_H ' 115 GeV

$$\frac{\text{Signal}(pp ! pH p ! pkp)}{\text{QCD background}} = 3 \frac{\text{GeV}}{\text{M}}; \qquad (23)$$

where M is the mass resolution of the detector. Sim ilar result was strictly obtained in [11],[12]. M ore exact estimations of the above ratio by fast M onte-C arb simulations and the totale ciency of EDD H iggs boson production will be publised in a forthcom ing paper.

4 Conclusions

We see from the result that there is a real possibility to detect Higgs boson using the usual bb signal under the lum inosity greater than 10^{32} in EDD events at LHC. Accuracy of the mass measurements could be improved by applying the missing mass method [17].

The low value of the exclusive Higgs boson production cross-section obtained in this paper is mainly due to the Sudakov suppression factor (18), the full validity of which is not obvious for us, because the con nem ent e ects can strongly modify the "real gluon em ission".

It is interesting that in spite of di erent models and quite di erent ways of account of absorbtive e ects in our paper and in Ref. [8], the nal results (see Fig.8) appeared to be quite close.

Certainly, the cross-sections m ay be several times lager due to still not very well known non-perturbative factors.

It is possible to generalize our approach for the exclusive production of other particles like $_{\rm c0}$, $_{\rm b0}$, radion, K.-K. gravitons, glueballs. In this case cross-sections can be lager than for EDD H iggs boson production, and some other important investigations like partial wave analysis and m easurem ents of the di ractive pattern of the interaction could be done.

A know ledgem ents

W e are grateful to A.D e Roeck, A.P rokudin, A.Rostovtæv, A.Sobol, S.Slabospitsky and participants of BLO IS2003 workshop and æveral CMS mætings for helpful discussions. This work is supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Reæarch, grant no. 02-02-16355

References

- V A. Petrov, Proc. of the 2nd Int. Symp. "LHC: Physics and Detectors". (Eds. A N. Sissakian and Y A. Kultchitsky), June 2000, Dubna. P 223; V A. Petrov, A V. Prokudin, S M. Troshin, N E. Tyurin, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 27 (2001) 2225.
- [2] V A. Petrov, Proceedings of the V 11th B bis W okshop (Ed. M. Haguenauer et al., Edintions Frontieres; Paris 1995).
- [3] V A. Petrov and A.V. Prokudin, Phys. Atom. Nucl. 62 (1999) 1562.
- [4] A.Kniehl, Phys. Rept. 240 (1994) 211.
- [5] A.Berera, J.C.Collins, Nucl. Phys. B 474 (1996) 183.
- [6] VA.Petrov, A.V.Prokudin, Eur.Phys.J.C23 (2002)135.
- [7] CDF Collaboration (K.Borras for the collaboration). FERM ILAB-CONF-00-141-E, Jun 2000; K.Goulianos, talk given in the X th B bis workshop, 2003, Helsinki, Finland; M.Gallinaro, hep-ph/0311192.
- [8] V.A.Khoze, A.D.Martin and M.G.Ryskin, Eur. Phys. J.C 14 (2000) 525;
 Eur. Phys. J.C 21 (2001) 99; V.A.Khoze, hep-ph/0105224;
- [9] V.A.Khoze, A.D.Martin, M.G.Ryskin, Eur. Phys. J.C 26 (2002) 229.
- [10] J.-R. Cudell and O.F. Hemandez, Nucl. Phys. B 471 (1996) 471.
- [11] V.A.Khoze, A.D.Martin, M.G.Ryskin, Eur. Phys. J.C 19 (2001) 477.

- [12] A.DeRoeck, V.A.Khoze, A.D.Martin, R.Orava, M.G.Ryskin, Eur. Phys. J.C 25 (2002) 391.
- [13] V.A.Khoze, A.D.Martin, M.G.Ryskin, Eur. Phys. J.C 23 (2002) 311.
- [14] A.D.Martin, M.G.Ryskin and V.A.Khoze, Phys. Rev. D 56 (1997) 5867.
- [15] A.Bialas and V.Szerem eta, Phys. Lett. B 296 (1992) 191;
 A.Bialas and R.Janik, Z.Phys. C 62 (1994) 487.
- [16] J.Pumplin, Phys. Rev. D 52 (1995) 1477.
- [17] M G.Albrow and A.Rostovtsev, FERM ILAB-PUB-00-173. Aug. 2000.

Figure captions

- Fig. 1: The full unitarization of the process p + p! p + X + p.
- Fig. 2: The process p + p ! p + H + p. Absorbtion in the initial and nalpp-channels is not shown.
- Fig. 3: t-distribution d =dt= $_{tot}$ of the process p+ p ! p+ H + p for H iggs boson m asses 100 and 500 G eV.
- Fig. 4: -distribution d =d =_{tot} of the process p + p! p + H + p for $M_H = 100 \text{ GeV}$.
- Fig. 5: The total cross-section (in fb) of the process p + p ! p + H + p versus Higgs boson m ass for $c_{qp} = 3.5$ without Sudakov-like suppression.
- Fig. 6: The total cross-section (in fb) of the process p + p ! p + H + p versus Higgs boson m ass for $c_{qp} = 3.5$ with Sudakov-like suppression.
- Fig. 7: The total cross-section (in fb) of the process p + p ! p + H + p versus Higgs boson m ass for $c_{qp} = 23$ without Sudakov-like suppression.
- Fig. 8: The total cross-section (in fb) of the process p + p ! p + H + p versus Higgs boson m ass for $c_{qp} = 3:3$ with Sudakov-like suppression.



Figure 1:



Figure 2:



Figure 3:



Figure 4:



Figure 5:



Figure 6:



Figure 7:



Figure 8: