# C orrelations around an interface 

A. Bessa , C. A. A. de C arvalhor, E. S. Fraga ${ }^{\text { }}$<br>Instituto de $F$ sica, Universidade Federal do $R$ io de Janeiro<br>C P. 68528, R io de Janeiro, R J 21941-972, B rasil<br>(D ated: A pril 15, 2024)


#### Abstract

W e com pute one-loop correlation functions for the uctuations of an interface using a eld theory model. W e obtain them from Feynm an diagrams drawn with a propagator which is the invense of the $H$ am iltonian of a Poschl-Teller problem. W e derive an expression for the propagator in term $s$ of elem entary functions, show that it corresponds to the usual spectral sum, and use it to calculate quantities such as the surface tension and interface pro le in two and three spatial dim ensions. The three-dim ensional quantities are rederived in a sim ple, uni ed m anner, whereas those in two dim ensions extend the existing literature, and are applicable to thin m s . In addition, we com pute the one-loop self-energy, which $m$ ay be extracted from experim ent, or from M onte C arlo sim ulations. O ur results $m$ ay be applied in various scenarios, which include uctuations around topological defects in cosm ology, supersymmetric dom ain walls, $Z(N)$ bubbles in $Q C D$, dom ain $w$ alls in $m$ agnetic system $s$, interfaces separating $B$ ose $E$ instein condensates, and interfaces in binary liquid $m$ ixtures.


PACS num bers: 11.10.W x, 11.10 K k, 11.27.+ d, 64, 68

## I. INTRODUCTION

$M$ any natural system sexhibit interfaces that separate regions of di erent physical characteristics. A $n$ interface in a binary liquid $m$ ixture separates its two com ponents, a dom ain $w$ all in a $m$ agnetic system separates its $m$ agnetic phases. A part from those traditional exam ples, several system s of current interest can be view ed as di erent guises of that sam e physical situation. They include topological defects in cosm ology [1] 1 $Z(\mathbb{N})$ interfaces in them alSU $(\mathbb{N})$ gauge theories $\left[\frac{1}{3}\right]$, or di erent types of B ose E instein condensates [it

R ecently, studies of quantum and statistical uctuations around interfaces or dom ain walls have been the ob ject of renew ed attention. Such studies have concentrated on one-loop calculations which, technically, am ount to com puting
uctuation determ inants around the interface (dom ain wall) background. They include com putations around kink backgrounds in scalar theories in various dim ensions $[\overline{5} \overline{1} 1]$, as well as in supersym $m$ etric $m$ odels $\overline{2}[1]$. They use di erent $m$ ethods, exploiting connections w ith special properties of determ inants of di erential operators data $\left[\frac{6}{6}\right]$, and $w$ th the spectrum of the operators $[\overline{2}]$.
$T$ he calculations $m$ entioned in the previous paragraph are restricted to vacuum bubbles, as w ill becom e clear in the sequel. In the present article, we will go beyond by com puting correlations that involve one and two-point functions. D eterm inants, vacuum bubbles, and correlations will all be obtained from a sem iclassicalpropagator that describes how the uctuations of an interface (dom ain wall) evolve. O ur m ethod relies on a system atic sem iclassical expansion around the given background, and has the sem iclassical propagator as its essential ingredient. It not only serves as an altemative to the $m$ ethods used in particle physics inspired applications $\mathbb{R}_{1}^{1}, ~=1$ uni ed fram ew ork that extends those results to the com putation of correlations, allow s for connections w ith statistical $m$ echanicalsystem $s$ (for which one-point functions have been obtained by other m ethods), and introduces calculations of two-point functions that lead to novel results.

W e shall pro $t$ from the connections $w$ ith statisticalm echanical system $s$ to present our $m$ ethod. Indeed, it is wellknown $[\overline{1}, \bar{\prime}, \bar{q}]$ that interface uctuations $m$ ay be described by a scalar eld theory $m$ odel $w$ th a double-w ell potential. Them odel adm its a classical solution, a kink pro le depending on only one spatial (longitudinal) coordinate, which is associated $w$ ith the $m$ ean- eld con guration of the interface.

F luctuations of the interface have been taken into account in 4 dim ensions, via renorm alization group m ethod ['d], as well as directly in three-dim ensions $\left[\begin{array}{l}-1] \\ {[1]}\end{array}\right]$. A s a result of their inclusion, calculations of the $m$ odi ed surface
 tension was com puted up to tw o-loop order [13], leading to the prediction of universal ratios for an interface in the three-dim ensional Ising $m$ odel (which belongs to the sam $e$ universality class of the scalar eld $m$ odel); the interface

[^0]pro le was com puted up to one-loop order[ $\left[\begin{array}{ll}-1 \\ r\end{array}\right.$ re ectivity ofbinary liquid $m$ ixtures near à phäse transition.

The three-dim ensional calculations listed above can allbe view ed as the result of com puting the Feynm an diagram s of a sem iclassical expansion around the $m$ ean-eld interface background [1] ]. The diagram $s$ involve a sem iclassical propagator and sem iclassical vertices [1] $\left.\bar{T}_{1}\right]$ : the form er is the inverse of the $H$ am iltonian of a P oschl-Teller problem in one dim ension; the latter inchude a background dependent cubic vertex, in addition to the quartic vertex of the doublew ell.

In this paper, we use a closed analytic form for the sem iclassical propagator that has been recently obtained [1] [] and show that it am ounts to sum $m$ ing up the spectral representation for the inverse of the $P$ oschl-Teller $H$ am iltonian. Indeed, from our expression, we recover the eigenvalues and eigen functions of that problem. W e then use that com pact expression to rederive previous results, to extend them to low er dim ensions, and to com pute two-point correlations up to one-loop.

The two-point vertices that we com pute correspond to the selfenergy at large relative distances (zero relative m om enta). They depend on the position of those points relative to the interface, a consequence of the breaking of translational invariance. That selfenergy is the sum of a m ass (inverse correlation length) squared and a potential which re ects the in uence of the interface on the uctuation $m$ odes. W ecom $m$ ent on how to com pare our predictions for those quantities to experim ents and sim ulations.
$T$ he article is organized as follow s: in Section II, we introduce the eld theory model, and outline the derivation of the $m$ ean- eld interface solution and of the sem iclassical propagator, as well as the sem iclassical expansions for the various generating functionals; in Section III, we com pute the surface tension from the vacuum bubbles; in Section IV, we extract the interface pro $l e$ from the graphs for the one-point $G$ reen function; in Section $V$, we obtain the self-energy from the graphs for the two-point vertex function; Section VI presents conclusions and suggestions for further work. A ppendix A presents a detailed derivation of the sem iclassicalpropagator, and explores its properties. A ppendix B describes the renom alization procedure we have adopted.
II. THE FIELD THEORYMODEL

W e consider the generating (partition) functional for a self-interacting scalar eld theory model

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z[j]=\stackrel{\left.D^{\prime}\right] \exp \quad \underline{S}+{ }^{Z} j^{\prime}}{p^{d}} d^{d} x ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose action functional in $d$ spatial dim ensions is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left[{ }^{\prime}\right]=d^{\mathrm{d}} \times \frac{1}{2}\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{4!}\left(r^{2} \quad,_{v}^{2}\right)^{2} ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is a dim ensional coupling, ${ }^{\prime} v$ is the vacuum value of the eld ', and $j(x)$ is an extemalcurrent.
The model may be used to describe an interface and its uctuations: for instance, we $m$ ay associate the scalar eld to the di erence in concentration of the phases (com ponents) of a binary system, as in a binary liquid m ixture. Sim ilarly, we $m$ ay relate it to an Ising-like spin. T he phases of the liquid $m$ ixture are described by the tw o degenerate vacua ' ${ }_{v}$ of the m odel. A nalogously, the tw o hom ogeneous con gurations where the spins are either all up, or all dow $n, m$ ay likew ise be described by those tw o vacua. T he m ean- eld interface willem erge as a classicalsolution of the equation ofm otion of the $m$ odel. Its uctuations willbe captured by a sem iclassicalexpansion around that solution.

The classical solution of interest is the well-known kink pro le, which depends on only one longitudinal coordinate z,

$$
\begin{gather*}
b(z)={ }_{v} \text { tanh }(z) ;  \tag{3a}\\
(z)=\frac{M}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
z & z
\end{array}\right) ; \tag{3b}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\mathrm{M} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{V}}{ }_{\mathrm{v}}={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{3}$. The solution breaks translational invariance along the longitudinal direction as it depends on a position $z$, the point where it vanishes, which can be identi ed with the position of the kink. For two and three dim ensions, the dependence of $z$ on the transverse coordinate (s) characterizes the interface.
$T$ he sem iclassical expansion includes uctuations of the interface in a system atic way 1

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prime(x)=\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{z})+\quad 1=2 \quad(\mathrm{x}) ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we expand the action functional around the classical solution, regarded as a m ean- eld pro le to be m odi ed by the uctuations, and perform a saddle-point integration to obtain the generating functional of $E q$. (hill in the form of an in nite (sem iclassical) series.
$T$ he quadratic term in the functional expansion of the action de nes the sem iclassical propagator $G\left(x ; x^{0}\right)$ around the kink background

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(b^{2} \quad M^{2}\right) G\left(x ; x^{0}\right)={ }^{d}\left(x \quad x^{0}\right): \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing the expression for 5 , and Fourier transform ing the transverse coordinates, leads to

$$
G_{z}^{2}+\widetilde{K}^{2}+M^{2} \quad \frac{3}{2} M^{2} \operatorname{sech}^{2} \quad \bar{G}\left(\mathbb{k} ; z ; z^{0}\right)=\quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
z & \frac{9}{z} \tag{6}
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

where $\widetilde{K}$ is the transverse $m$ om entum, and $\bar{G}$ is a hybrid $m$ om entum -position propagator.
$\bar{G}$ can be view ed as the inverse of the $H$ am iltonian for a Schrodinger problem in one dim ension with a Poschl-Teller potential U( ) $\quad \widetilde{K}^{2}+M^{2} \quad(3=2) M^{2} \operatorname{sech}^{2}$. We may obtain an expression for $\bar{G}$ from two linearly independent solutions of the hom ogeneous version of Eq. (\%). It is a hypergeom etric equation, but it so happens[1] that its two independent solutions are hypergeom etric series that term inate, as shown in Appendix A. Therefore, we end up $w$ ith an expression for $\bar{G}$ which can be written in term s of elem entary functions. $U$ sing the dim ensionless quantities $\sim \quad \widetilde{\mathrm{K}}=\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{u} \quad(1 \quad \tanh )=2$, and $\mathrm{b} \quad \frac{\mathrm{P}}{4+\sim^{2}}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overline{\mathrm{G}}=\frac{2}{\mathrm{M}} \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~b}} \quad(\mathrm{~b} ; \mathrm{u})\left(\mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{u}^{0}\right)\left(\mathrm{u}^{0} \quad \mathrm{u}\right)+(\mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{u})\left(\mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{u}^{0}\right)\left(\mathrm{u}^{\left(u^{0}\right)} \quad \mathrm{u}^{0}\right) ;  \tag{7a}\\
& \text { (b;u) } \frac{u}{1 u}^{b=2} 1 \frac{6 u}{b+1}+\frac{12 u^{2}}{(b+1)(b+2)} \quad \frac{u}{1} u_{b=2}^{f(b ; u):} \tag{7b}
\end{align*}
$$

In A ppendix A, we show that the above form is equivalent to the usual spectral sum over eigenm odes. In fact, we use it to derive the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the Schrodinger problem.
$T$ he cubic and quartic term $s$ in the functional expansion of the action de ne the vertioes of the sem iclassicalseries

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{3}=\frac{1=2}{3!} \mathrm{b}^{3} ; \quad \mathrm{V}_{4}=\overline{4}^{4} \quad: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The cubic vertex involves the kink background. Saddle-point integration im plies expanding the exponential of the cubic and quartic parts of the action in a pow er series, and then perform ing the resulting functional integrals, which reduce to pow ers of the uctuation tim es a G aussian, leading to a series for the generating functional.
$T$ he translational invariance of the $m$ odel requires the introduction of a collective coordinate. The background solution explicitly breaks the invariance along the longitudinal direction (it is centered on $z$ ). H ow ever, as there are solutions for any value of $z$, translational invariance $m$ ust be restored in the calculation by adding over all possible values of $z$. Indeed, translational invariance $m$ anifests itself through the appearance of a zero-energy eigenm ode of the uctuation kemel of Eq. ( $\bar{\sigma}_{\underline{\prime} / \bar{\prime}) \text {. P hysically, it costs zero energy to in nitesim ally translate a classical solution. T he }}$ restoration of the sym $m$ etry is accom plished by $m$ eans of the Faddeev $P$ opov procedure, and yields a Jacobian. W e trade integration over the zero-m ode subspace for integration over the collective coordinate $z$.
$T$ he generating functional, after the introduction of the collective coordinate, becom es

W e have introduced a longitudinal infrared cuto L , the longitudinal size of the system; the $(\$=)^{1=2}$ factor com es from the Jacobian, while the (2 $)^{1=2}$ com es from the fiunctionalm easure; ${ }^{0}$ is the determ inant of $\left[G^{0}\right]^{1}$, w th $G^{0}$ denoting the sem iclassicalpropagatorw the thero-m ode subspace exchuded; $z^{0}[j] \quad \exp f^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad j(x) G^{0}(x ; y) j(y) d^{d} x d^{d} y g$; and $P[6 ;]$ is de ned by the pow er series expansion of the exponential in

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left[0 ; \quad 1 \quad \frac{1=2}{\$} U^{0}[0] \quad e^{s}\right. \text {; } \tag{10a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
V_{3}+V_{4} d^{d} x:
$$

$\mathrm{U}^{0}[\mathrm{~B}]$ is the derivative of the double-well potential $\mathrm{U} \quad\left(\mathrm{r}^{2} \quad \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{v}}^{2}\right)^{2}=4$ ! with respect to ${ }^{\prime}$, com puted at the kink solution; $V_{3}$ and $V_{4}$ are the vertices ofE q. ( (i)' . The Faddeev $P$ opov Jacobian expanded around byields the com bination $(\mathrm{S}=)^{1=2} \mathrm{P}$ appearing in Eq. $(\underline{\underline{9}})$.

C onnected correlation functions can be derived from the free energy functional $F[j]=\quad \lim _{L}!1$ flogZ [j]g by functional di erentiation $w$ ith repect to $j(x)$. A Legendre transform leads to the e ective action (G ibbs) functional [ ] = $F[j]+{ }^{R}{ }_{j}^{P}-d^{d} x, w$ ith $(x) \quad h^{\prime}(x) i$ denoting the expectation value of the eld ${ }^{\prime}$. Its fiunctional derivatives w ith respect to ( $x$ ) lead to one-particle irreducible (1P I) vertex fiunctions. C onnected correlations and 1P I vertex functions can all be expressed in the usual language of Feynm an diagram $s$, as in the explicit exam ples of the forthcom ing sections. W e note that a sem iclassical expansion around 'b (r v) leads naturally to correlations and vertex fiunctions in the interface (vacuum ) sector, as we take functional derivatives at $j=0$, for the form er, and at
$=6\left({ }^{\prime} \mathrm{v}\right)$, for the latter.
Finally, renom alization is required to connect the various correlation functions to physical param eters. A s we are interested in com paring correlations in the presence of an interface w th those of the hom ogeneous (vacuum ) phase (i.e., with bulk values), we will use counterterm $s$ com puted in the vacuum sector. The diagram $s$ in the kink and in the vacuum sector $w$ ill then be related to the bulk physical param eters by $m$ eans of a standard renorm alization procedure, which is outlined in A ppendix B .

## III. THESURFACETENSION

$T$ he surface tension for the interface $m$ ay be obtained from the di erence betw een the free energy functionals at zero extemal current in the interface and vacuum sectors

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{A!1} \frac{F[0]}{A}=\lim _{A!1} \frac{F_{i}[0] F_{V}[0]}{A} ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where A denotes the \area" spanned by the transverse direction (s). Its leading (zero-loop) value is given by the di erence of the classicalactions in the two sectors $0=\lim _{A!1}(\Im=A)=2 M^{3}=$, which is independent of the space dim ension.

Up to one-loop order, the free energy and e ective action functionals coincide $\overline{1} \frac{1}{2}$ in, so we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F[0]=[0] \quad[\quad \mathrm{v}]=\frac{\$ 8}{-}+\frac{1}{2} \log -\frac{0}{\mathrm{v}} \frac{2}{\S} ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v$ is the (free) determ inant of the quadratic uctuation kemel $G{ }_{v}{ }^{1}$ in the vacuum sector. $N$ eglecting term $S$ that vanish as $(\log A=A)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
=0+1=0+\lim _{A!1} \frac{1}{2 A} \log \frac{0}{v}: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he 1 contribution can be com puted from the sem iclassical propagator of A ppendix $A$, as show $n$ in reference $[1-1]$. Indeed,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\frac{1}{2}^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{\mathrm{d} 1} \mathrm{k}}{(2)^{\mathrm{d} 1}} \log \frac{(\mathrm{k})}{\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{k})} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(k)$ and $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{k})$ are the determ inants of $[\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{k})]^{1}$ and $\left[\bar{G}_{\mathrm{v}}(\mathrm{k})\right]^{1}$, and we sum over transverse $m$ om enta. Exclusion of the zero-m ode for $\mathrm{k}=0$ is guaranteed by w riting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \frac{(k)}{v_{v}(k)}=\log { }^{2}(k)+\log \frac{{ }^{0}(k)}{{ }^{0}(0)} \quad \log \frac{\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{k})}{{ }_{\mathrm{v}}(0)}+\log \frac{{ }^{0}(0)}{{ }_{\mathrm{v}}(0)}: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second term on the rhs is expressible in term $s$ of the sem iclassical propagator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \frac{{ }^{0}(\mathrm{k})}{{ }^{0}(0)}=\mathrm{Z}_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}^{2}} \mathrm{Zs}_{1}^{Z_{1}} \mathrm{dz} \overline{\mathrm{G}}^{0}(\mathrm{p} \overline{\mathrm{~s} ; z ; z) ;} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas the third is given by a sim ilar expression w ith $\bar{G}^{0}$ replaced by $G_{v}$. T hen

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\log \frac{{ }^{0}(k)}{{ }^{0}(0)} \quad \log \frac{\stackrel{\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{v}}_{0}(k)}{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{v}}^{0}(0)}=\log \frac{\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{k}) \quad 1}{\mathrm{~b}(\mathrm{k})+1} \quad 2 \log \mathbb{b}(\mathrm{k})+2\right]+\log 48: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

 (17-1), we derive the unrenorm alized expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\frac{1}{2} \frac{Z}{(2)^{d 1}} \log \frac{[b(k) \quad 1][b(k) \quad 2]}{[b(k)+1][b(k)+2]} ; \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is valid for $\mathrm{k}>0$.
In $d=2$ and $d=3$, expression (10 ${ }^{-1}$ ) needs to be regularized and renorm alized. We use a cuto in transverse $m$ om entum space to regularize: in $d=2$, we integrate over the interval [ ; ], whereas, in $d=3$, we integrate over a disk of radius. N eglecting term s that vanish as $1=$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1=\frac{3 M}{2} \log \frac{2}{M}+\frac{1}{4} \frac{3}{2} ; d=2 ;  \tag{19a}\\
& 1=\frac{3 M}{4}+\frac{3}{8} \frac{3 \log 3}{32} M^{2} ; d=3: \tag{19b}
\end{align*}
$$

The renorm alization procedure is described in A ppendix B. W e adopt renorm alization conditions at zero $m$ om enta
which specify the Fourier transform ed one and tw o-point 1P I vertex functions. They lead to the renorm alized result

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1_{R}=\frac{1}{4^{p} \overline{3}} \frac{3}{2} ; d=2 ;  \tag{21a}\\
& 1_{R}=\frac{3}{32} \log 34 M^{2} ; d=3: \tag{21b}
\end{align*}
$$

For $d=2$, the result coincides $w$ th the correction to the $k$ ink $m$ ass obtained previously $[1][1]$. The results for $d=3$ are


W e could go beyond one-loop in our expansion by inchding contributions which are rst-order in the cubic and quartic sem iclassical vertioes. U sing equations $(\underline{q})$ and (1-1 $)$ to com pute $F[0]$ to that order, we obtain the two-loop
 using the spectral sum representation for the sem iclassical propagator. $W$ e believe that our (resum $m$ ed) expression for the propagator $w$ ill con $m$ their results, and sim plify the calculation, but we shall postpone that veri cation for a future publication, and concentrate on one-loop correlations in the present article. As the tw o-loop results have been com pared to those obtained from $M$ onte $C$ arlo sim ulations [2 ${ }_{2}$ ], it is im portant to have an independent check. P resum ably, the calculation $w$ ill be $m$ ore direct if one $m$ akes use of the com pact expression for the resum $m$ ed propagator.


F IG . 1: D iagram swhich contribute to the surface tension at tw o-loop order.

IV . THE INTERFACE PROFILE

The interface pro $l e$ is given by the expectation value of the order param eter $(x)=h^{\prime}(x) i$, which we com pute from the rst derivative of F [j] w ith respect to the extemal current $j(x)$, at $j=0$. The calculation was carried out
in the kink sector using the sem iclassical expansion around $b$. W e m ay express the correction to the interface pro le in term $s$ of the Feynm an diagram s of Figurell. A s we shall show, this is equivalent to solving a one-loop corrected equation for, as was done in references $[7]$ our sem iclassical propagator.

(a)

(b)

FIG. 2: C ontributions to the interface pro le. D iagram (b) represents the Jacobian term.

B efore proceeding, we retum to the discussion of translational invariance. Inspection of the diagram $s$ in $F$ igure ${ }_{1}$ Lshow s that diagram (a) is ultraviolet divergent in $d=3$ if we com pute it with the sem iclassical propagator in the subspace orthogonal to the zero-m ode. Indeed, the excluded zero-m ode contribution behaves as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{k}^{2}}{(2)^{2}}{ }_{1}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{dz} \frac{\mathrm{~K}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{z})}{\widetilde{\mathrm{K}}^{2}} / \log \frac{2}{\mathrm{M}} \text {; } \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which accounts for the divergence. The problem does not exist in low erdim ensions, suggesting that $d=3$ is a m arginal dim ension $\bar{\eta}_{1} 1$. W ere we to work w ith the full propagator, no ultraviolet problem would occur, but we would have an infrared problem for vanishing $\mathbb{K}$.

Follow ing the interpretation of references $[\overline{1}, \bar{\prime}, \overline{1} \overline{1}]$ for the case ofbinary liquid $m$ ixtures, we take that to indicate the instability of a translationally invariant interface in three dim ensions. To cope w ith this problem, we explicitly break translational invariance by introducing a sm all m ass in the zero-m ode subspace. P hysically, in three-dim ensional binary liquid $m$ ixtures that can be attributed to the action of a gravitational eld, or som e other pinning e ect, and is negligible in the other subspaces ( M ). In other physical applications, the fact that the interfaces or dom ain walls have their positions pinned dow $n$ by som e extemale ect which breaks translational invariance w ill be encoded in the dependence of on whatever param eter characterizes that pinning e ect.

B reaking translational invariance has the follow ing im plications for our calculation: i) we no longer need to work in the subspace orthogonalto the zero-m ode, so that no Jacobian willem erge; ii) for the sem iclassicalpropagator, we use the expression in the subspace orthogonal to the zero-m ode, added to a zero-m ode part with $m$ ass

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{G}\left(\widetilde{k} ; z ; z^{0}\right)=\bar{G}^{0}\left(\widetilde{k} ; z ; z^{0}\right)+\frac{M}{2} \frac{-_{0}(z)-{ }_{0}\left(z^{0}\right)}{2} ; \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }_{0}$ is the norm alized zero eigenm ode show $n$ in A ppendix A.
The preceding paragraph im plies that the only diagram to be considered in one-loop order is the rst diagram off iguren. W e com pute it by_integrating over longitudinalcoordinates and transverse $m$ om enta. W ith the renorm alization conditions de ned in (20), we derive the renorm alized pro le

$$
\begin{equation*}
R()={ }^{P} \overline{3 M} \tanh \quad \operatorname{sech}^{2}+\tanh \operatorname{sech}^{2} ; d=2 \text { or } 3: \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The pro le has the functional form above, and only the coe cients change with the spatialdim ension. That is a direct consequence of the from of the sem iclassical propagator. The com puted coe cients are $p_{p}=(2 \quad 3 \quad \overline{3})=(16 \mathrm{M})$, $=(6 \overline{3}+4 \quad \overline{3} \overline{3} M=)=(24 \mathrm{M})$, for $d=2$, and $=3 \overline{3}(\log 3 \quad 1)=(32), \quad=(\overline{3}=16) \ldots \log (4 M=3)$, for $d=3$. It should be rem arked that, for $d=3$, our calculation $w$ ill coincide $w$ ith that of reference [111] for a judicious choice of renorm alization conditions. The latter reference confronted its ndings $w$ ith experim ental results [151], being com patible w ith the data available at the time. O ur new results for $d=2$, depicted in $F$ igure ${ }_{1}^{\prime}$, illustrate the dependence of the pro le on the ratio $\Rightarrow \mathrm{M}$. Obviously, the lower that ratio, the $m$ ore striking the e ect $w i l l$ be. $T$ hose results can be tested experim entally by studying the interface of thin m sofbinary $m$ ixtures $21 / 2$, for instance. $M$ ore recent applications, such as the ones involving B ose E instein condensates, could also be used in experim ental checks in $d=2$ and $d=3\left[22^{2}\right]$.

A s we have already rem arked, it is only in $d=3$ that we are forced to break translational invariance. In $d=2$, a translationally invariant $m$ ean- eld interface solution is stable, so that we $m$ ay com pute its uctuations by using $\overline{\mathrm{G}}^{0}$, and inchuding diagram (b) of F igure $\overline{2} \mathbf{1} . \mathrm{H}$. H ow ever, in the lim it of large transverse larea (in the present case, a length), that contribution is negligible. The result we obtain has the functional form presented in (24.) w ith
$=(2 \quad \overline{3} \overline{3})=(16 \mathrm{M})$ and $=(6 \overline{3}+4)=(24 \mathrm{M})$ (which is equivalent to taking ! 1 in the expression for ). That is to be com pared w ith the pro le obtained previously, w ithout translational invariance.


FIG. 3: Pro le diagram $s$ in $d=2$ for $=0: 1$. The solid line is the kink con guration. The dashed curve correspond to $\mathrm{\# M}=0: 01$ and the dotted one to $=\mathrm{M}=0: 001$.

## V. THE TW OTOINT CORRELATIONS

W em ay take a second derivative of the free energy functionalF $w$ ith respect to the extemalcurrent $j$ to obtain the connected tw o-point function $\mathrm{G}_{c}^{(2)}$. That leads to the Feynm an diagram s of F igure $\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\underline{1}}^{1}$. Just as in the previous section, one has to om it the diagram com ing from the Jacobian, whenever translational invariance is broken.


F IG . 4: D iagram s which contribute to the two-point function up to one-loop order.
The calculation of the tw o-point function $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{c}}^{(2)}$ up to one-loop order is rather involved, so we shall postpone it for a future publication. Instead, we w ill concentrate on $m$ ean- eld results obtained from its low est order expression, which is given by our sem iclassicalpropagator, and on one-loop corrections to ${ }^{(2)}$.

As our classical interface pro le depends on a collective coordinate $z$, the hybrid Fourier transform $\bar{G}\left(\mathbb{K} ; z ; z^{0}\right)$ depends on both $z$ and $z^{0}$, not just on their di erence. Introducing center-ofm ass and relative coordinates

$$
\begin{align*}
R & =\frac{z+z^{0}}{2} ;  \tag{25a}\\
& =z \quad z ; \tag{25b}
\end{align*}
$$

we may reexpress $\bar{G}$ in term s of $R$ and. The expression has a particularly sim ple form for $\tilde{k}=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{G}(R ; ; 0)=\frac{e^{M} \quad\left(6(M=)^{2} \quad 4 \quad 3 M \quad\right)+8^{\frac{M}{e}} \cosh (M R)+\cosh (2 M R)}{M 1+e^{M}+2 e^{M}=2 \cosh (M R)^{2}} \quad()+(\$) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we now perform a Fourier transform in the -ooordinate, we obtain a function $\mathcal{G}$ depending on $R, k$ and $\mathbb{K}$. Setting $k=0$ and $\widetilde{k}=\hat{0}$ am ounts to integrating over all relative coordinates.

O ne m ay de ne a susceptibility as

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\lim _{\mathrm{L}!1} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~L}}_{\mathrm{L}=2}^{\mathrm{L}=2} \mathrm{dR} \in(\mathrm{R} ; 0 ; \theta): \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Likew ise, one $m$ ay exclude the low est $m$ ode and de ne ${ }^{0}$ using $\mathcal{E}^{0}$ in the previous form ula. For both cases, we obtain $=1=\mathrm{M}^{2}$, just as in the vacuum sector. That is a consequence of the fact that the tw o low est modes are localized,
whereas the continuum ones behave asym ptotically as plane waves of $m$ ass $M \cdot p^{A}$ ccordingly, the exponential decay of our propagator as the relative distance becom es large is of the form $\exp \left(\mathrm{M} \overline{2}+{ }_{T}^{2}\right)$, where $\sim_{T}$ is the relative transverse coordinate. $T$ he correlation length is thus set by $1=M$, being independent of $R$, even when we give a sm all $m$ ass to the low est $m$ ode. In principle, the susceptibility and the correlation length could depend on the position of the two points w ith respect to the interface, i.e., on $R$. However, the phases on either side of the interface are degenerate in our m odel. They have the sam e correlation length $1=\mathrm{M}$. It is then natural that $M$ should set the scale. A m odelw herein the co-existing phases could have di erent $m$ asses (inverse correlation lengths) would probably lead to position-dependent quantities.

The calculation of the two-point vertex ${ }^{(2)}$ up to one-loop order involves few er integrals than that of its inverse $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{C}}^{(2)}$. Setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{(2)}\left(x ; x^{0}\right) \quad\left[G_{c}^{(2)}\right]^{1}\left(x ; x^{0}\right)=G^{1}\left(x ; x^{0}\right)+\left(x ; x^{0}\right) ; \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the rst term on the rhs is the inverse of the sem iclassical propagator, then $\left(x ; x{ }^{0}\right) w$ ill be the contribution
 legs, as shown in Figure 'W1' (diagram (d) is obtained as a combination of ${ }^{(1)}$ and ${ }^{(3)}$ w ith $G^{1}$ ).

(a)

(b)

FIG. 5: D iagram swhich contribute to the selfenergy up to one-loop order.

We should stress that the sem iclassical propagator itself already gives a contribution sc to the selfenergy when com pared w ith the vacuum sector

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{1}\left(x ; x^{0}\right)=G^{1}\left(x ; x^{0}\right)+\operatorname{sc}\left(x ; x^{0}\right) ; \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{v}{ }^{1}$ is the inverse of the free propagator. Equation $\left.{ }^{(2} \overline{2}_{1}\right)$ de nes sc, just as equation (20 ${ }^{1}$ ) de nes . Fur-
 vertices, w illyield $\mathrm{v}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{x}^{0}\right)$, de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.{ }_{v}^{(2)}\left(x ; x^{0}\right) \quad\left[G_{v}^{(2)}\right]^{1}\left(x ; x^{0}\right)=G_{v}^{1}\left(x ; x^{0}\right)+v^{(x ;} ; x^{0}\right): \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations $\left(\overline{2} \overline{2}_{1}\right),\left(\overline{2} \overline{9}_{1}^{1}\right)$ and $\left(\overline{3} \overline{\mathrm{O}}_{1}\right)$ lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{(2)}\left(x ; x^{0}\right)={ }^{(2)}\left(x ; x^{0}\right) \quad V_{v}^{(2)}\left(x ; x^{0}\right)=s c\left(x ; x^{0}\right)+\left(x ; x^{0}\right) \quad v\left(x ; x^{0}\right)=\text {; } \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

the di erence in self-energy betw een kink and vacuum sectors.
A $s$ in the case of the tw o-point function, the hybrid Fourier transform $\left.\overline{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathbf{z} ; z^{0}\right) \mathrm{w}$ illdepend on both $z$ and $z^{0}$, not just on their di erence, so that wem ay reexpress in term $S$ ofR and. Perform ing, as before, a Fourier transform in the -coordinate, we obtain a function $e$ depending on $R, k$ and $\widetilde{K}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.e_{(R ; k} ; \mathbb{k}\right)=e^{(2)}(\mathbb{R} ; k ; \widetilde{K}) \quad e_{v}^{(2)}(\mathrm{R} ; \mathrm{k} ; \widetilde{\mathbb{K}}): \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to understand the physicalm eaning of the selfenergy in the present situation, it is instructive to consider the low est order term de ned in Eq. (299,') . From Eq. ( $\overline{6}$ ), we have

$$
\bar{G}^{1}\left(\tilde{\mathbb{K}} ; z ; z^{0}\right)=\quad \bigotimes_{\mathrm{z}}^{2}+\widetilde{K}^{2}+\mathrm{M}^{2} \quad \frac{3}{2} \mathrm{M}^{2} \operatorname{sech}^{2} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
z & \hat{z} \tag{33}
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

w ith the ( $z$ ) previously de ned. In term sof $R$ and , we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{sc}(\mathrm{R} ;)=\frac{3}{2} M^{2} \operatorname{sech}^{2} \quad\left(R+\frac{-}{2}\right) \quad(): \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating over the coordinate, i.e., taking the Fourier transform at $k=0$, yields a $R$-dependent potential $V_{s c}(R)=$
$(3=2) M^{2} \operatorname{sech}^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, that vanishes at in nity. Therefore, the lowest order contribution to ${ }^{e(2)}(\mathbb{R} ; k ; \mathbb{k})$ at zero relative $m$ om enta is given by the $m$ ass squared plus a uctuation potential that vanishes as $R$ ! 1 . The rst-order contribution can be split likew ise: the constant term as R ! 1 can be interpreted graphically as com ing from the diagram s of $F$ igure ${ }^{\text {ST }}$ com puted at zero relative $m$ om enta $w$ th vacuum propagators, and $w$ ith $b$ replaced by ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{v}$ in diagram (b). Those are exactly the corrections to the $m$ ass (inverse bulk correlation length) squared. O ur renom alization condition (201) guarantees that the constant term is just the renorm alized $m$ ass squared; on the other hand, the contribution to the uctuation potential, which vanishes asR! 1 , is given by $e_{(R ; 0 ; 0) . T h e ~ u c t u a t i o n ~}^{\text {a }}$ potential re ects the presence of the interface.

U sing our propagator to com pute the diagram $s$, we obtain for diagram (a) of F igure ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 1$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overline{24} \operatorname{sech}^{2} P_{\overline{3}}^{3} \tanh ^{2}+\frac{9}{4} \underline{M} \quad \frac{2}{} \operatorname{sech}^{2} ;  \tag{35a}\\
& \frac{3 \mathrm{M}}{32} \operatorname{sech}^{2}(\log 3) \tanh ^{2}+\log \underline{2 M} \operatorname{sech}^{2} ;  \tag{35b}\\
& ; d=3:
\end{align*}
$$

The calculations w ere done using $M$ athem atica. The integrations for diagram (b) of $F$ igure $\overline{1} \overline{1}$, had to be perform ed num erically, so that we have com puted it for several values of $R$ in order to draw the curve of the m odi ed potential illustrated in $F$ igure $\overline{1}$. A s before, we have adopted the renorm alization conditions in ( $2 \mathbf{O}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) . The m odi ed potential is very sensitive to the value of the ratio $=\mathrm{M}$, especially in the case $d=2$. A com parison betw een $F$ igures suggests that it is probably easier to $m$ easure the e ects of uctuations on the potential than on the interface pro le.

Radiation scattered through the interface $m$ ay be used to probe structure factors, which ultim ately m easure the two-point function. A num erical evaluation of $\mathcal{E}^{(2)}(\mathbb{R} ; k ; \widetilde{k})$ would then allow a direct com parison w ith data from binary liquid $m$ ixtures, or from $m$ ore recent applications, especially those involving B ose $E$ instein condensates. A $s$ we have said before, that calculation is feasible, but rather involved. Since $e^{(2)}(R ; k ; \mathbb{k}) m$ easures the change in free energy (which coincides w ith the e ective action to rst-order) as the pro le changes, onem ight hope to have a direct test of our com putation by $m$ easuring those changes for $w$ ell-separated points at di erent values of $R$. A ltematively, M onte C arlo com putations m ight be used as a test.


FIG. 6: Potential as a function of $R$ for $=M=0: 1$ and $=0: 1$. The solid line stands for $V_{s c}(\mathbb{R})$; the other lines correspond to the m odi ed potential in $\mathrm{d}=3$ (dashed) and $\mathrm{d}=2$ (dotted).

## VI. CONCLUSIONS

W e have cast all previous results for the correlation functions of interface uctuations in the uni ed fram ew ork of a sem iclassical expansion. B esides $m$ aking contact $w$ ith the existing literature, we have extended previous results to a low er dim ension, $m$ aking use of the closed analytic form for the sem iclassical propagator. W e have also com puted susceptibilities and tw o-point correlations, which m ay eventually be checked experim entally.

It is im portant to note that our technique for resum $m$ ing the spectralrepresentation for the sem iclassicalpropagator $m$ ight be of use in other contexts, as long as we can reduce the di erential equation for the propagator to an ordinary
one. In the present case, an additional sim pli cation cam e from the fact that the hypergeom etric series involved term inated. In particular, spherically sym $m$ etric backgrounds w ould be natural candidates to be investigated.

W e should em phasize that w ith our basic ingredient, the sem iclassicalpropagator, we have reduced the calculation of physical quantities to com puting Feynm an diagram $s$, whose propagators and vertices carry inform ation about the background solution.
$M$ any system $s$ of interest $m$ ay pro $t$ from the sem iclassical treatm ent that we have presented. T hus, com puting correlations in supersym $m$ etric $m$ odels is clearly a direction for future work. Likew ise, correlations for $m$ ixtures of B ose E instein condensates separated by an interface are certainly worth pursuing. For this latter exam ple, existing experim ental techniques $\left[\underline{2} 2_{1}^{1}\right] m$ ay open up a host of possibilities for experim ental tests and checks. A lso prom ising are the possibilities of com parison $w$ ith experim ental data in the $m$ ore traditionalbinary liquid $m$ ixtures. W e should em phasize that our treatm ent allows for a com plete and separate treatm ent of capillary (those in the zero-m ode subspace) and noncapillary waves. Re ectivities and form factors extracted from scattered radiation are the physical quantities to be $m$ easured for com parison. M onte $C$ arlo sim ulations $m$ ight also be used as a test.

F inally, wem ay hope to calculate other correlations of interest to experim entalists, as long as their de ning Feynm an diagram $s$ lead to tractable integrals. A $s m$ entioned before, the tw o-loop calculation done previously for the surface tension $m$ ay serve as a test of the sim pli cation introduced by our expression for the propagator.
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## APPENDIX A

The hom ogeneous version of Equation (') (') in the text can be written in term sof dim ensionless variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2}+b^{2} 6 \operatorname{sech}^{2}=0 ; \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where u (1 tanh $)=2$, as before. De ning
(cosh ${ }^{9} \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{u})$, the function F satis es a hypergeom etric equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(1 \quad u) \frac{d^{2} F}{d u^{2}}+(b+1) \quad 2(b+1) u \frac{d F}{d u}+6 \quad b(b+1)=0 ; \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose general solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{u})=\mathrm{c}_{1}{ }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \mathrm{~b} \quad 2 ; \mathrm{b}+3 ; 1+\mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{u}+\mathrm{c}_{2} \mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{b}}{ }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1} 3 ; 2 ; 1 \quad \mathrm{~b} ; \mathrm{u} \quad ; \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}(\mathrm{~A} ; \mathrm{B} ; \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{u})$ is a hypergeom etric function. T he identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}(\mathrm{~A} ; \mathrm{B} ; \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{u})=(1 \quad \mathrm{u})^{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}{ }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}(\mathrm{C} \quad \mathrm{~A} ; \mathrm{C} \quad \mathrm{~B} ; \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{u}) \text {; } \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(u)=c_{1}(1 \quad u)^{b} \quad{ }_{2} F_{1} 3 ; 2 ; 1+b ; u+c_{2} u^{b}{ }_{2} F_{1} 3 ; 2 ; 1 \quad b ; u \quad: \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

B oth series term inate. The two solutions in the linear combination of (A. equation ( $7 \overline{7} \bar{g}^{\prime}$ ) of the text.

From the solutions of the hom ogeneous equation, one constructs the sem iclassical propagator by a standard procedure [1] [], which leads to (7ą). That expression can be rew ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{G}=\frac{e^{p \overline{k^{2}+M^{2}}\left(z z^{0}\right)}}{2 \overline{\bar{K}^{2}+M^{2}}} f(b ; u) f\left(b ; u^{0}\right) \quad\left(z \quad z^{0}\right)+\frac{e^{p \overline{K^{2}+M^{2}}\left(z^{0} z\right)}}{2^{\widetilde{K}^{2}+M^{2}}} f(b ; u) f\left(b ; u^{0}\right) \quad\left(z^{0} \quad z\right): \tag{A6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The latter expression appears as one of the term s of the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { I } \quad Z_{1} \frac{d q}{2} \frac{(q ; z)\left(q ; z^{0}\right)}{q^{2}+\widetilde{K}^{2}+M^{2}} \tag{A7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(q ; z) \quad e^{j q z} f\left(\frac{2 i q}{M} ; u\right)$. Indeed, its $\left(z \quad z^{0}\right)$ part has poles in the upper-half of the com plex $q$-plane at $i \mathrm{P}{\widetilde{K^{2}}+M^{2}}^{2}, \quad i M$ and $+i M$, $w$ ith residues given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{p \overline{K^{2}+M^{2}}\left(z z^{0}\right)} f(b ; u) f\left(b ; u^{0}\right)=4 \text { i } \overline{\mathbb{K}^{2}+M^{2}} \text {; }  \tag{A8a}\\
& 12 \mathrm{M} \text { u(1 } \quad \mathrm{u}) \mathrm{u}^{0}\left(1 \quad u^{0}\right)=4 \quad \text { T }^{2} ; \\
& \left.\left.6 M^{p} \overline{u(1} \quad u\right)(1 \quad 2 u)^{p} \overline{u^{0}(1} \quad u^{0}\right)\left(1 \quad 2 u^{0}\right)=4 \quad i\left(\mathbb{k}^{2}+\frac{3 M^{2}}{4}\right) \text {; }
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. The (z $\quad z^{0}$ ) part has sim ilar contributions. C om bining them, we derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\bar{G}\left(\widetilde{K} ; z ; z^{0}\right) \quad \frac{M}{2} \quad \frac{0(z) 0\left(z^{0}\right)}{\widetilde{K}^{2}} \quad \frac{M}{2} \quad \frac{1(z)_{1}\left(z^{0}\right)}{\widetilde{K}^{2}+\frac{3 M^{2}}{4}} \tag{A9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to the spectral representation for $\overline{\mathrm{G}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{G}}=\frac{\mathrm{M}}{2} \frac{0(\mathrm{z}) 0\left(\mathrm{z}^{0}\right)}{\widetilde{K}^{2}}+\frac{1(\mathrm{z})_{1}\left(\mathrm{z}^{0}\right)}{\widetilde{K}^{2}+\frac{3 \mathrm{M}^{2}}{4}}+\mathrm{Z}_{1} \frac{\mathrm{dq}}{2} \frac{(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{z})\left(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{z}^{0}\right)}{\mathrm{q}^{2}+\widetilde{K}^{2}+\mathrm{M}^{2}} ; \tag{A10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where one clearly identi es the eigenvalues and the eigenfunctions for the P oschl-Teller problem at hand. U sing the variable u, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=\widetilde{K}^{2} \text {; } \\
& \left.1=\widetilde{K}^{2}+\frac{3 M^{2}}{4} ; \quad 1(u)={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{6 \mathrm{u}(1 \mathrm{u}} \mathbf{u}\right)(1 \quad 2 \mathrm{u}) \text {; }  \tag{A11}\\
& q=q^{2}+\widetilde{k}^{2}+M^{2} ; \quad q(u)=e^{i q z} f \quad 2 i q=M ; u \quad \text { : }
\end{align*}
$$

Having shown that our sem iclassical propagator does correspond to the usual spectral representation, we now investigate its lim it when ! $0(b!2)$ in the subspace orthogonal to the zero eigenm ode. That lim it is required for the calculations of Section V.Unfortunately, the expression for the lim it which appeared in reference [1] is wrong (it is not orthogonal to the zero-m ode subspace). W e pro t from this occasion to exhibit the correct expression

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.\bar{G}\left(0 ; u ; u^{0}\right)=\frac{2}{M} \quad \frac{u\left(1 \quad u^{0}\right)}{4 u^{0}(1} \quad u\right) G\left(u ; u^{0}\right)+\frac{3}{2} u(1 \quad u) u^{0}\left(1 \quad u^{0}\right) \log \frac{u(1 r r}{(1} u^{0}\right)  \tag{A12}\\
& \frac{11}{2} u(1 \quad u) u^{0}\left(1 \quad u^{0}\right) \quad\left(u^{0} \quad u\right)+\left(u \$ u^{0}\right) ;
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(u ; u^{0}\right)=(1 \quad u)^{2}+6 u^{0}(1 \quad u)^{2}+6 u^{02}(1 \quad u)+u^{0}: \tag{A13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corrected expression for $\overline{\mathrm{G}}$ is indeed orthogonal to the zero m ode subspace, as can be veri ed in a straightforw ard calculation.

## APPENDIX B

In this A ppendix, we shall outline the renorm alization procedure adopted in the text. W e start from the e ective action functional, up to one-loop order

$$
\begin{equation*}
A[(x)] \quad[(x)]=S[(x)]+\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{(x)}{v} ; \tag{B1}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ritten in term s of renorm alized param eters, and add to it counterterm S , in order to obtain a renorm alized expression

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{R} \\
& (\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{A} \\
& \text { (x) } \quad \frac{C_{1}}{2} \underset{Z}{Z} d^{d} x^{2} \quad \stackrel{r_{v}^{2}}{2} \quad \frac{C_{2}}{4} \underset{Z}{Z} d^{d} x \quad{ }^{2} \quad{\underset{v}{2}}^{2} \\
& \frac{C_{3 L}}{2} d^{d} \times @_{L} \quad 2 \quad \frac{C_{3 T}}{2} \quad d^{d} \times r_{T} \quad{ }^{2}: \tag{B2}
\end{align*}
$$

In the form ulae above, (x) $h^{\prime}(x) i$ is the expectation value of the eld. $T$ he renorm alization constants $\mathrm{C}_{1}$; $\mathrm{C}_{2}$; $\mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{~L}}$ and $C_{3 т}$ are associated to $m$ ass, coupling, and longitudinal and transverse $w$ ave function renorm alization. They $w$ ill be xed by renorm alization conditions in the vacuum sector.

Functional derivatives of ( $\left.\mathbf{B 2}_{2}\right)$ with respect to $(x)$ lead to the $n$-point vertex functions. Derivatives taken at $(x)=\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{x})$ yield vertioes in the kink sector. A s batis es the equation of m otion, for the renorm alized one-point function in the kink sector, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{R}^{(1)}[0]=-\frac{b}{2} \mathrm{bG} \quad \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{~b} \quad \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~b} \mathrm{~b}^{2} \quad \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{v}}^{2}+\mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{~L}} \mathrm{@}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{~b}^{2} ; \tag{B3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where all quantities are taken at a given point $x$, so that $G=G(x ; x)$ is the sem iclassical propagator at coincident points. For the two-point vertex, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{R}^{(2)}\left[0 ; x_{1} ; x_{2}\right]=G^{1}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2}\right)+\overline{2}^{(d)}\left(x_{1} \quad x_{2}\right) G \quad-6\left(x_{1}\right) G\left(x_{1} ; x_{2}\right) H\left(x_{2}\right) G\left(x_{2} ; x_{1}\right)  \tag{B4}\\
& \mathrm{C}_{1}+\mathrm{C}_{2} 3 \mathrm{~b}^{2} \quad \stackrel{\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{v}} \quad \mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{~L}} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{z}}^{2} \quad \mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{~T}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2} \quad \text { (d) }\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} \quad \mathrm{x}_{2}\right) \text {; }
\end{align*}
$$

where again $\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{b}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)$, and $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{1}\right)$.
Expressions for the vacuum sector are obtained from functional derivatives at ${ }^{\prime}$ v. In the form ulae above, that am ounts to replacing to and $G$ w ith ${ }_{\mathrm{V}}{ }_{\mathrm{v}}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{v}}$, respectively. O ne may derive expressions for ${ }_{R}^{(3)}$ and ${ }_{R}^{(4)}$, as well. $T$ he translational invariance in the vacuum sector $m$ akes it convenient to go to m om entum space. Furtherm ore, we shall adopt zero-m om entum renorm alization conditions. If we de ne the Fourier transform ed vertioes as

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{(n)}\left(p_{1} ;::: ; p_{n}\right)  \tag{B5}\\
& (2)^{\text {(d) }} \\
& { }_{i} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{-(\mathrm{n})}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}} 1\right) \text {; }
\end{align*}
$$

we arrive at the follow ing relations at zero-m om enta

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{\mathrm{R}}^{-(1)}=\frac{-}{2}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{v}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \quad \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{v}}(\mathrm{k}) \quad \mathrm{C}_{1}{ }^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{v}} \text {; }  \tag{B6a}\\
& {\underset{R}{(2)}\left(p_{i}=0\right)=M^{2}+\frac{Z}{2} \quad G_{v}(k) \quad \frac{r_{v}^{2}}{2} \quad G_{v}(k) \quad C_{1} \quad 2 C_{2}^{\prime}{ }_{v}^{2} ; ~ ; ~ ; ~}_{R} \tag{B6b}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\varrho_{R}^{-(2)}}{@\left[p_{T}^{2}\right]}=1 \quad \frac{r_{v}^{2}}{2} \frac{@}{\left.@ \sum_{Z}^{2}\right]} \quad \begin{array}{c}
Z \\
\mathcal{E}_{V}(k) \mathcal{E}_{V}(k+p) \\
Z
\end{array} p_{i=0} \quad \begin{array}{c}
C_{3 T} \\
Z
\end{array} \tag{B6c}
\end{align*}
$$

where all integrals are calculated with a cuto in transverse $m$ om entum space. If we de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n}(d ;)={\frac{d^{d} 1}{} k_{T}}_{(2)^{d 1}}^{Z_{1}} \frac{d k_{L}}{2} \mathcal{G}_{v}^{n}(k) \tag{B7}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $k_{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{L}}$ am ount to transverse and longitudinalm om entum, respectively) relations ( $\left.\overline{\mathrm{B}} \hat{\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{i}\right)$ becom e

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{\mathrm{R}}^{-(1)}=\frac{-}{2}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{I}_{1}(\mathrm{~d} ; ~) \quad \mathrm{C}_{1}{ }^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{v}} \text {; }  \tag{B8a}\\
& { }_{\mathrm{R}}^{-(2)}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}=0\right)=\mathrm{M}^{2}+\frac{-I_{1}}{2}(\mathrm{~d} ;) \quad \frac{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{v}}^{2}}{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~d} ;) \quad \mathrm{C}_{1} \quad 2 \mathrm{C}_{2}{ }_{\mathrm{v}}^{2} \text {; } \tag{B8b}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{R}^{-(4)}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}=0\right)=\frac{3}{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~d} ;)+6,{ }_{\mathrm{V}}^{2} \mathrm{I}_{3}(\mathrm{~d} ;) \quad 3{ }_{\mathrm{V}}^{4} \mathrm{I}_{4}(\mathrm{~d} ;) \quad 6 \mathrm{C}_{2} \text {; }  \tag{B8d}\\
& \text { (B8e) }
\end{align*}
$$

where $d$ is the usuald-dim ensional solid angle.
The renorm alization conditions (20) for the vacuum sectorw hich w ere adopted in the text lead to the determ ination of the constants: $C_{1}=I_{1}(d ;)=2, C_{2}=I_{2}(d ;)=4, C$ 3L $=C_{3 T}=0 . U$ sing such values in the regulated Fourier transform ed expressions $\left(\mathbb{B}_{-1}^{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbb{B}_{-1}^{4}\right)$ at zero $m$ om enta cancels the ultraviolet divergences as ! 1 .

A s a consistency check on our procedure, we have used the renom alization conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{R}^{-(1)}=0 ; \quad{ }_{R}^{-(2)}=M^{2} ; \quad \frac{@_{\mathrm{R}}^{-(2)}}{@\left[\sum_{\mathrm{L}}^{2}\right]}=1 ; \tag{B9}
\end{equation*}
$$

that were adopted in reference $\overline{[ } \overline{1}]$, in order to calculate corrections to the kink $m$ ass. The results we have found coincide w ith those of $\overline{\underline{Z}}]$, illustrating that the calculation of the determ inant via the sem iclassical propagator is not a icted w ith m ode-counting am biguities. That allow s us to use a sim ple m om entum cuto regularization, which is $m$ ore directly related to condensed $m$ atter phenom enology.
[1] T. W . B. K ibble, in Topological D efects and the N onequilibrium D ynam ics of Sym m etry Breaking Phase Transitions, Les H ouches, France (1999).
[2] A . Rebhan and P.van N ieuw enhuizen, Nucl. Phys. B 508, 449 (1997); H . N astase, M.A. Stephanov, P.van N ieuw enhuizen and A. Rebhan, N ucl. Phys.B 542, 471 (1999); A. Rebhan, P. van N ieuwenhuizen and R.W im mer, New J. Phys. 4, 31 (2002).
[3] T. Bhattacharya, A. G ocksch, C. K orthals A ltes and R.D.P isarski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 998 (1991); N ucl. Phys. B 383, 497 (1992).
[4] D.T.Son and M.A.Stephanov, Phys. Rev.A 65, 063621 (2002).
[5] A. Pamachev and L.G.Ya e, Phys. Rev.D 62, 105034 (2000).
[6] E.Farhi, N.G raham, P.H aagensen and R.L.Ja e, Phys. Lett. B 427, 334 (1998); N.G raham and R.L.Ja e, Nucl. Phys. B 544, 432 (1999); ibid. 549, 516 (1999); E.Farhi, N.G raham, R.L.Ja e and H.W eigel, ibid. 585, 443 (2000); ibid. 595, 536 (2001); N.G raham , R.L.Ja e, M . Q uandt and H.W eigel, Phys.Rev.Lett. 87, 131601 (2001); N.G raham , Phys.Lett. B 529, 178 (2002).
[7] D. Jasnow, in Phase Transitions and C ritical P henom ena, vol. 10, edited by C.D om b and M.S.G reen (A cadem ic Press, N ew York, 1986), p. 270.
[8] D . J.W allace, in Recent A dvances in F ield Theory and StatisticalM echan ics, Les H ouches, 1982, edited by J.B. Zuber and R. Stora (N orth H olland, 1984).
[9] J. Rudnick and D. Jasnow, Phys. Rev.B 17, 1351 (1978).
[10] G. M unster, N ucl. Phys. B 324, 630 (1989).
[11] J. R udnick and D. Jasnow, Phys. Rev. Lett. 41, 698 (1978).
[12] G.M unster, N ucl. Phys. B 340, 559 (1990); S.K lessinger and G.M unster, Nucl. Phys. B 386, 701 (1992).
[13] P. H oppe and G .M unster, P hys. Lett. A 238, 265 (1998).
[14] E. B rezin and S.Feng, Phys. Rev.B 29, 472 (1974).
[15] E.S.W u and W .W .W ebb, Phys.Rev.A 8, 2065 (1973).
[16] C.A.A. de C arvalho, Phys. Rev.D , 65, 065021 (2002).
[17] C.A.A. de C arvalho, R M . C avalcanti, E S. Fraga and S E . Joras, A nnals P hys. 273, 146 (1999).
[18] D J. Am it, F ield Theory, The Renorm alization G roup and C ritical Phenom ena (W orld Scienti c, Singapore, 1984).
[19] R. Rajaram an, Phys. Rep. 21C, 227 (1975); Solitons and Instantons: an Introduction to Solitons and Instantons in $Q$ uantum $F$ ield $T$ heory ( $\mathbb{N}$ orth $H$ olland, A m sterdam, 1987-89), and references therein.
[20] M . H asenbusch and K . P inn, P hysica A 245, 366 (1997).
[21] K . B inder, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 1318 (1996); J. Stat. Phys. 95, 1045 (1999).
[22] F. D alfovo, S. G iorgini, L.P.P itaevskii, and S. Stringari, Rev.M od. Phys. 71, 463 (1999).


[^0]:    abessa@ if.ufrjbr
    y aragao@ if.ufrjbr
    ${ }^{z}$ fraga@ if.ufrjbr

