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#### Abstract

W e perform calculations of the dependence of nuclear $m$ agnetic $m$ om ents on quark $m$ asses and obtain lim its on the variation of ( $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}=Q_{\mathrm{CD}}$ ) from recent m easurem ents of hydrogen hyper ne ( 21 am ) and m olecular rotational transitions in quasar absonption system s , atom ic clock experim ents w ith hyper netransitions in $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Rb}, \mathrm{Cs}, \mathrm{Y} \mathrm{b}^{+}, \mathrm{H} \mathrm{g}^{+}$and opticaltransition in $\mathrm{H} \mathrm{g}^{+}$. Experim ents w ith $\mathrm{Cd}^{+}$, deuterium /hydrogen, m olecular $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$ and Zeem an transitions in ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{Xe}$ are also discussed.


PACS num bers: 06.20. Jr , $06.30 \mathrm{Ft}, 12.10 .-\mathrm{r}$

## I. IN TRODUCTION

Interest in the tem poral and spatial variation ofm a jor constants of physics has been recently revived by astronom ical data which seem to suggest a variation of the electrom agnetic constant $=e^{2}=$ hc ${ }^{-1}$ he $10{ }^{5}$ level for the time scale 10 billion years, see / discussion of other lim its can be found in the review and references therein). H ow ever, an independent experim ental con rm ation is needed.

T he hypothetical uni cation of all interactions im plies that variation of the electrom agnetic interaction constant
should be accom panied by the variation ofm asses and the strong interaction constant. Speci c predictions need a m odel. For $\quad \mathrm{ple}$, the grand uni cation $m$ odel discussed in Ref predicts that the quantum chrom odynam ic (QCD) scale QCD (de ned as the position of the Landau pole in the logarithm for the running strong coupling constant) is modi ed as follows: QCD= QCD $34=$. The variation of quark and electron $m$ asses in this $m$ odel is given by $m=m \quad 70=$. This gives an estim ate for the variation of the dim ensionless ratio

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(m=Q C D)}{(m=\varrho C D)} \quad 35- \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his result is strongly $m$ odel-dependent (for exam ple, the coe cient $m$ ay be an ${ }^{-1}$ er of $m$ agnitude sm aller and even of opposite sign . H ow ever, the large coe cients in these expressions are generic for grand unication m odels, in which m odi cations com e from high energy scales: they appear because the running strong coupling constant and H iggs constants (related to m ass) run faster than. This $m$ eans that if these $m$ odels are correct the variation ofm asses and the strong interaction scale $m$ ay be easier to detect than the variation of .

O ne can only $m$ easure the variation of dim ensionless quantities and therefore we want to extract from the $m$ easurem ents the variation of the dim ensionless ratio
$\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}=\operatorname{QCD}\left\{\mathrm{w}\right.$ here $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$ is the quark m ass (w ith the dependence on the renorm alization point rem oved). A num ber of $\lim$ its on the variation of $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}=\rho C D$ have been obtained recently from consideration of Big Bang Nucleosynthesis, quasar absorption spectra and the $O \mathrm{klo}$ natural nucl-........................ which willion years agc (see alsc . Below we consider the Im IIs which 10How trom quasar absonption radio spectra and laboratory atom ic clock com parisons. Laboratory lim its w ith a time base of the order one year are especially sensitive to oscillatory variations of fundam ental constants. A num ber of relevant $m$ easurem ents have been perform ed already and even larger num bers have been started or are planned. T he increase in precision is happening very fast.

It has been pointed out by $K$ arshenboim that $m$ easurem ents of ratios of hyper ne structure intervals in different atom s are sensitive to any variation ofnuclearm agnetic $m$ om ents. F irst rough estim ates of the dependence of nuclear $m$ agnetic $m$ om ents on $m_{q}=Q C D$ and lim its on $t^{\text {toriation of this ratio } w \text { ith tim e were }} d$ in Ref U sing $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}$ s and $\mathrm{Hg}^{+} \mathrm{m}$ easurem ent: we obtaned a lim it on the variation of $m_{q}=Q C D$ OI about $510^{13}$ per year. Below we calculate the dependence of nuclearm agnetic $m$ om ents on $m_{q}=$ QCD and obtain the lim its from recent atom ic clock experim ents $w$ th hyperne transitions in $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Rb}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Yb}^{+}, \mathrm{Hg} \mathrm{g}^{+}$and the optical transition in $\mathrm{H} \mathrm{g}^{+}$. It is convenient to assum e that the strong interaction scale, Qcd, does not vary, so wew ill speak about the variation of $m$ asses (this $m$ eans that we $m$ easure $m$ asses in units of $Q C D$ ). W e shall restore the explicit appearance of $Q C D$ in the nalanswers.

The hyper ne structure constant can be presented in the follow ing form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\text { const } \quad\left[\frac{m_{e} e^{4}}{h^{2}}\right]\left[{ }^{2} F_{r e l}(Z \quad)\right]\left[\frac{m_{e}}{m_{p}}\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The factor in the rst bracket is an atom ic unit of energy. The second \electrom agnetic" bracket determ ines
the dependence on . An approxim ate expression for the relativistic correction factor ( C asim ir factor) for an s-w ave electron is the follow ing

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\mathrm{rel}}=\frac{3}{\left(4^{2} 1\right)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=P \overline{1} \quad(Z \quad)^{2}$ and $Z$ is the nuclearcharg . riation of leads to the follow ing variation of $\mathrm{F}_{\text {re }}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{F_{\text {rel }}}{F_{\text {rel }}}=K-  \tag{4}\\
K=\frac{\left(\begin{array}{l}
Z
\end{array}\right)^{2}\left(l^{2} l^{2}\right.}{} \begin{array}{l}
2\left(\begin{array}{ll}
4 & 1
\end{array}\right)
\end{array} \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

$M$ ore accurate num ericalm any-body calculations of the dependence of the hyper ne structure on nave shown that the coe cient $K$ is slightly larger than that given by this form ula. For Cs $(Z=55) \mathrm{K}=0.83$ (instead of 0.74 ), for Rb $K=0.34$ (instead of 029 ) and nally for $\mathrm{H} \mathrm{g}^{+} \mathrm{K}=2.28$ (instead of 2 18).

The last bracket in Eq. contains the dim ensionless nuclear $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent (i.e., the nuclear $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent $\left.M=\frac{e h}{2 m_{p} c}\right)$, electron $m$ ass $m e$ and proton $m$ ass m. . W em ay also include a sm all correction arising from the nite nuclear size. H ow ever, its contribution is insigni cant.
$R$ ecent experim ents $m$ easured the tim e dependence of the rat f the hyper ne str ce intervals of ${ }^{199} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{g}^{+}$ and $\mathrm{H} \quad{ }^{133} \mathrm{Cs}$ and ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ and the ratio of the optia quency in $\mathrm{Hg}^{+}$to the nyper ne frequency of ${ }^{133} \mathrm{C} s \quad$. In the ratio of two hyper ne structure constants tor di erent atom $s$ tim e dependence $m$ ay appear from the ratio of the factors $F_{\text {rel }}$ (depending on ) as well as from the ratio of nuclear $m$ agnetic $m$ om ents (depending on $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}=$ QCD). M agnetic m om ents in a singleparticle approxim ation (one unpaired nucleon) are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\left(g_{s}+(2 j \quad 1) g_{1}\right)=2 ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1+1=2$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{j}{2(j+1)}\left(g_{s}+(2 j+3) g_{1}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1 \quad 1=2$. H ere the onbital $g$-factors are $g_{1}=1$ for a valence proton and $g_{1}=0$ for a valence neutron. The present values of the spin $g$-factors, $g_{s}$, are $g_{p}=5: 586$ for proton and $g_{n}=3: 826$ for neutron. They depend on $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{QCD}$. The light quark m asses are only about 1\% of the nucleon $m$ ass $\left(m_{q}=\left(m_{u}+m_{d}\right)=2 \quad 5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}\right)$ and the nucleon $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent rem ains nite in the chirallim it, $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{u}}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{d}}=0$. Therefore, one m ight think that the corrections to $g_{s}$ arising from the nite quark $m$ asses would be very sm all. How ever, through the m echanism of spontaneous chiral sym $m$ etry breaking, which leads to contributions to hadron properties from $G$ oldstone boson loops, one $m$ pect som e enhancem ent of the ect of quark $m$ asses. The natural fram ew ork for discussing such corrections is chiralperturbation theory and we discuss these chiral corrections next.

## II. CHIRALPERTURBATION THEORY <br> RESULTSFOR NUCLEON MAGNETIC M OMENTSAND MASSES

In recent years there has been trem endous progress in the calculation of hadron properties using lattioe QCD. M oore's Law, in combination w ith sophisticated algorithm $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{m}$ eans that one can now $m$ ake extrem ely accurate calculations for light quark $m$ asses ( $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$ ) larger than 50 M eV . H ow ever, in order to com pare w ith experim ental data, it is still necessary to extrapolate quite a long way as a function of quark $m$ ass. This extrapolation is rendered non-trivial by the spontaneous breaking of chiral sym m etry in Q CD, which leads to G oldstone boson loops and, as a direct conseque.......analytic behaviour as a function of quark $m$ ass Fortunately the $m$ ost im portant nonanalytic contmoutions are $m$ odel independent, providing a pow erfiul constraint on the extrapolation procedure.

In the past few years the behaviour of hadron properties as a function of quark $m$ ass has been studied over a $m$ needs for the present purpose O ne can therefore apply the successiul extrapolation form ulas developed in the context of lattice Q CD w ith considerable con dence.

The key qualitative feature leamt from the study of lattice data is that G oldstone boson loops are strongly suppressed once the C om pton wavelength of the boson is sm aller than the source. Inspection of lattioe data for a range of observables, from $m$ asses to charge radii and $m$ agnetic $m$ om ents, reveals that the relevant $m$ ass scale forth in is $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}} 50 \mathrm{MeV}$ \{i.e., $\mathrm{m} \quad 400 \quad 500$ $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} \quad$ The challenge of chiral extrapolation is theretore to incorporate the correct, m odel independent non-analytic behaviour dictated by chiral sym me try while ensuring excellent convergence properties of the chiral expansion in the large $m$ ass region, as well as $m$ aintaining the $m$ odel independence of the results of the extrapolation. C onsiderable study of this problem has established that the use of a nit lator (FRR) fiul ls all of these requirem ents Indeed, in the case of the $m$ ass of the nucieon, II nas been show $n$ that the extrapolation from $\mathrm{m}^{2} \quad 0: 25 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ to the physical pion $m$ ass $\left\{\right.$ a change of $m_{q}$ by a factor of 10 be carried out w ith a system atic error less than 1\% In the follow ing we apply this sam e m ethod to calculate the change in the nucleon m ass, corresponding to quark m ass changes at the level of $0.1 \%$ or less, as required in the present context.

## A. Variation of the nucleon $m$ ass $w$ ith quark $m$ ass


$M_{N}=a_{0}+a_{2} m^{2}+a_{4} m^{4}+a_{6} m^{6}+{ }_{N}+\quad+\operatorname{tad} ;$
where the chiral loops which given rise, respectively, to the leading and next-to-leading nonanalytic (LNA and N LN A ) behaviour are:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{N} & =\frac{3}{32 \mathrm{f}^{2}} g_{\mathrm{A}}^{2} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{M}}\left(\mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{NN}^{\prime} ;\right)  \tag{9}\\
& =\frac{3}{32 \mathrm{f}^{2}} \frac{32}{25} g_{A}^{2} I_{\mathrm{M}}\left(\mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{N}^{2} ;\right)  \tag{10}\\
\operatorname{tad} & =\frac{3}{16^{2} \mathrm{f}^{2}} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{~m} ;) ; \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

and the relevant integrals are de ned (in heavy baryon approxim ation) as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{M}\left(m_{P} ; \quad \text { BB } 0 ; ~\right)=\frac{2}{2}_{Z_{1}}^{d k} \frac{k^{4} u^{2}(k ;)}{!_{k}(B B!+!k)}  \tag{12}\\
I_{T}(m ;)=Z_{0} d k \frac{2 k^{2} u^{2}(k)}{\frac{k^{2}+m^{2}}{} \quad t_{0} ;}
\end{gather*}
$$

w ith $!_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{k}^{2}+\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}}$ and BB 0 the relevant baryon m ass di erence (i.e., $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}{ }^{0} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}$ ). We take the $\{\mathrm{N}$ m ass splitting, $=M \quad \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}}$, to have its physicalvalue ( 0292 GeV ), while $g_{\mathrm{A}}=1: 26$. The regulator function, $\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{k}$; ) ic taken to be a dipole w th m ass $=0: 8 \mathrm{GeV}$. In Eq. $\quad t_{0}$, de ned such that $I_{T}$ vanishes at $m=0$, is a local counter term introduced in FRR to ensure a linear relation for the renorm alisation of $\mathrm{C}_{2}$.

Tho model independence of the expansion given in Eq. is ensured by tting the unknown coe cients to the physical nucleon … and lattice data from the CPPACS Collaboration yielding: $\mathrm{a}_{0}=1: 22 ; \mathrm{a}_{2}=$ $1: 76 ; \mathrm{a}_{4}=0: 829 ; \mathrm{a}_{6}=0: 200$ ( w ith all param eters expressed in the appropriate pow ers of GeV ). W ith these param eters xed one can evaluate the rate of change of the $m$ ass of the nucleon $w$ ith quark or pion $m$ ass at the physicalpion mass:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}} \frac{@}{@_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{q}}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{m}^{2} \frac{\varrho}{@ \mathrm{~m}^{2}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}}=0: 035 \mathrm{GeV} \text {; } \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

a quantity com $m$ only knnwn as the pion-nucleon sigm a commutator. U sing Eq. one nds the relationship (in term sof dim ension less quantities) :

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{M_{N}}{M_{N}} & =\frac{m^{2}}{M_{N}} \frac{@ M_{N}}{@ m^{2}} \frac{m_{q}}{m_{q}}  \tag{15}\\
& =0: 037 \frac{m_{q}}{m_{q}} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

The extension of this procedure to the e ect of a variation in the strange quark $m$ ass is sim ilar, but one $m$ ust include the variation arising from -N ucleon loops, as well as $K$ aon loops w ith interm ediate or baryons.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{~K}}+\underset{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{~K}}+\underset{\mathrm{NN}}{ } \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

These contributions can be expressed as
w ith $G_{B B}^{P}$ 0 the associated coupling squared. O nce again we select the dipole regulator:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(k ;)={\frac{2}{2}+\mathrm{k}^{2}}^{2}: \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the relevant diagram $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{N}$ ! $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{N}$ ! K and N ! N , we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{K}} & =\frac{1}{3}(\mathrm{D} \quad \mathrm{~F})^{2} \\
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{K}} & =\frac{1}{9}(3 \mathrm{~F}+\mathrm{D})^{2} \\
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{NN}} & =\frac{1}{9}(3 \mathrm{~F} \quad \mathrm{D})^{2} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

where we take $F=0: 50$ and $D=0: 76$. W e use the G ell $M$ ann- 0 akes $R$ enner relation in the SU (2) chiral lim it to relate the variation of the kaon $m$ ass in the chiralSU (2) $\lim$ 止, $\mathrm{mr}_{\mathrm{K}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{K}}}{\frac{1}{2}^{2}}=0: 484 \mathrm{GeV}$ (w ith fK g , the physicalpionfkaong $m$ ass), to the variation ofthe strange quark mass ( $\left.m_{K}^{2}=m_{K}^{2}=m_{s}=m_{s}\right)$. H ence the variation of the nucleon $m$ ass $w$ ith strange quark $m$ ass is given by:

$$
\frac{M_{N}}{M_{N}}=\frac{m_{K}^{2}}{M_{N}} \frac{@}{@ m_{K}^{2}}{\underset{N}{K}}_{N}^{N}{ }_{N}^{K}+{ }_{N N} \frac{m_{S}}{m_{S}}:
$$

U sing the dipole regulator m ass, $=0: 8 \mathrm{G} \mathrm{eV}, \mathrm{Eq}$. leads to the result:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}}}{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}}}=0: 011 \frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{s}}}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{s}}}: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

B. Variation of proton and neutron $m$ agnetic $m$ om ents $w$ ith quark $m$ ass

The treatm ent of the $m$ ass dependence of the nucleon $m$ agnetic $m$ om ents is very sim ilar to that for the $m$ asses. O nce again the loops which give rise to the LNA and NLNA behaviour are evaluated w th a FRR, while the sm ooth, analytic variation $w$ th quark $m$ ass is param etrized by tting relevant lattioe data w ith a nite num ber of adjustable constants.

For the e data we use CSSM Lattige C ollaboration result: of nucleon 3 -point functions. Results are obtained using estal ${ }^{\text {nind }}$ techniques in the extraction of form factor data Sím ilar calculations have ${ }^{-7}$ been recently reported oy the Q C D SF C ollaboratior W e use tho heaviest sim ulation results, $\mathrm{m}^{2} \quad \mathrm{O}: 01$ $0: 7 \mathrm{GeV}^{2} \quad$ These sim ${ }^{-10 n s}$ were perform ed w th the FLIC Iem ion action on a $20^{3} \quad 40$ lattioe at $a=0: 128 \mathrm{fm}$.

In the $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent case the form ulae are a little $m$ ore com plicated, so we leave the details for the Appendix. Su ce it to say here that the relevant processes are show $n$ in $F$ io A gain we use a dipole form for the regulator w th $=\mathrm{U}: 8 \mathrm{GeV}$.


F IG . 1: C hiral corrections to the nucleon $m$ agnetic $m$ om ents included in the present work.
$H$ aving param etrized the neutron and proton $m$ agnetic $m$ om ents as a function of $m$, the fractional change versus $m_{q}$ orm ${ }_{s}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -=\frac{m^{2}}{\varrho m^{2}} \frac{m_{q}}{m_{q}}  \tag{23}\\
& -=\frac{m_{K}^{2}}{@ m_{\mathrm{K}}^{2}} \frac{m_{\mathrm{s}}}{m_{\mathrm{s}}}: \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

T he num erical results $m$ ay then be sum $m$ arised as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{p}{p}=0: 087 \frac{m_{q}}{m_{q}}  \tag{25}\\
\frac{p}{p}=0: 013 \frac{m_{s}}{m_{s}}  \tag{26}\\
\frac{n}{n}=0: 118 \frac{m_{q}}{m_{q}}  \tag{27}\\
\frac{n}{n}=0: 0013 \frac{m_{s}}{m_{s}}  \tag{28}\\
\frac{\left(p_{p}={ }_{n}\right)}{\left(p_{n}\right)}=0: 031 \frac{m_{q}}{m_{q}}  \tag{29}\\
\frac{\left(p={ }_{n}\right)}{\left(p_{n}\right)}=0: 015 \frac{m_{s}}{m_{s}}: \tag{30}
\end{gather*}
$$

III. DEPENDENCEOFATOM IC TRANSITION FREQUENCIESON FUNDAMENTAL
CONSTANTS

U sing the mesults of the previous section we can now use Eqs. to study the variation of nuclear m agnetic m om ents. For all even $Z$ nuclei $w$ ith valence neutron
$\left({ }^{199} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{g},{ }^{171} \mathrm{Yb},{ }^{111} \mathrm{C}\right.$ d, etc.) we obtain $-=\frac{g_{1}}{g_{\mathrm{n}}} \cdot$ For $^{133} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{s}$ we have a valence proton $w$ ith $j=7 / 2, l=4$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-=0: 110 \frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{q}}}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{q}}}+0: 017 \frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{s}}}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{s}}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

For ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ we have valence proton $w$ ith $j=3 / 2,1=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-=0: 064 \frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{q}}}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{q}}} \quad 0: 010 \frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{s}}}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{s}}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s an interm ediate result it is convenient to present the dependence of the ratio of the hyper ne constant, $A$, to the atom ic unit of energy $E=\frac{m_{e} e^{4}}{h^{2}}$ (or the energy of the $1 s-2$ stransition in hydrogen, which is equalto $3 / 8 \mathrm{E}$ ) on a variation of the fundam ental constants. W e introduce a param eter $V$ de ned by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{V}{V} \quad \frac{(A=E)}{A=E}: \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e start from the hyper ne structure of ${ }^{133} \mathrm{C}$ a which is used as a frequency standard. U sing Eqs. we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left({ }^{133} C s\right)=2: 83\left(\frac{m_{q}}{Q C D}\right)^{0: 110}\left(\frac{m_{s}}{Q C D}\right)^{0: 017} \frac{m_{e}}{m_{p}} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The factor $\frac{m_{e}}{m_{p}} w$ ill cancel out in the ratio of hyper ne transition frequencies. H ow ever, it w ill survive in com parison betw een hyper ne and opticalorm-sleculartransitions (see below). A ccording to Eqs. and the relative variation of the electron to proton $m$ ass ratio can be described by the param eter

$$
\begin{equation*}
X\left(m_{e}=m_{p}\right)=\left(\frac{m_{q}}{Q C D}\right)^{0: 037}\left(\frac{m_{s}}{Q C D}\right)^{0: 011} \frac{m_{e}}{Q C D} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be substituted into Eq instead ofm $e=m_{p}$. This gives an expression which is convenient to use for com parison w ith optical and $m$ olecular vibrational or rotational transitions

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left({ }^{133} \mathrm{C} s\right)=2: 83\left(\frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{q}}}{Q C D}\right)^{0: 073}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{s}}}{Q C D}\right)^{0: 006} \frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{e}}}{Q C D} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he dependence on the strange quark $m$ ass is relatively weak. Therefore, it $m$ ay be convenient to assum e that the relative variation of the strange quark m ass is the sam e as the relative variation of the light quark $m$ asses (this assum ption is $m$ otivated by the $H$ iggsm echanism of $m$ ass generation) and to use an approxim ate expression $\mathrm{V}\left({ }^{133} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{s}\right) \quad 2: 83\left(\frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{q}}}{\rho C D}\right)^{0: 13 \frac{m_{\mathrm{e}}}{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}} \text {. }}$

For hyper ne transition frequencies in other atom swe obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& V\left({ }^{87} \mathrm{R} b\right)=2: 34\left(\frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{q}}}{Q C D}\right)^{0: 064}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{s}}}{Q C D}\right)^{0: 010} \frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{e}}}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{p}}}  \tag{37}\\
& V\left({ }^{1} H\right)={ }^{2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{q}}}{Q C D}\right)^{0: 087}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{s}}}{Q C D}\right)^{0: 013} \frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{e}}}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{p}}} \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& V\left({ }^{2} H\right)=2\left(\frac{m_{q}}{Q C D}\right)^{0: 018}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{s}}}{Q C D}\right)^{0: 045} \frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{e}}}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{p}}}  \tag{39}\\
& V\left({ }^{199} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{~g}^{+}\right)=4: 3\left(\frac{\mathrm{mq}_{\mathrm{q}}}{Q C D}\right)^{0: 118}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{s}}}{Q C D}\right)^{0: 0013} \frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{e}}}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{p}}}  \tag{40}\\
& V\left({ }^{171} Y_{b} b^{+}\right)=3: 5\left(\frac{m_{q}}{Q C D}\right)^{0: 118}\left(\frac{m_{\mathrm{s}}}{Q C D}\right)^{0: 0013} \frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{e}}}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{p}}}  \tag{41}\\
& V\left({ }^{111} C^{+}\right)=2: 6\left(\frac{m_{q}}{Q C D}\right)^{0: 118}\left(\frac{m_{s}}{Q C D}\right)^{0: 0013} \frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{e}}}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{p}}}: \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote that the hyper ne frequencies of all even-Z atom $s$ where the nuclear $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent is determ ined by a valence neutron have the sam e dependence on quark m asses.

> IV. LIM ITSON VARIATION OF
> FUNDAMENTALCONSTANTS

N ow we can use these results to place lim its on the possible variation of the fiundam ental constants from particularm easurem ents. Let us start from the m easurem ents of quasar absorption spectra. C om parison of the atom ic H 21 am (h ne) transition $w$ ith $m$ olecular rotational transiti gave lim its for the variation of $Y_{g} \quad{ }^{2} g_{p}$. In Refs it was suggested that one $m$ ight use these $\lim$ itcto estm ato rariation of $m=Q C D$. A ccording to Eqs. and the relative variation of $Y_{g}$ can be replaced by the reative variation of $Y\left(Y=Y=Y_{g}=Y_{g}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=2\left(\frac{m_{q}}{Q C D}\right)^{0: 087}\left(\frac{m_{s}}{Q C D}\right)^{0: 013}: \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the $m$ easurem ents in $R$ ef lead to the follow ing lim its on the variation of $Y$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y=Y=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0: 20 & 0: 44) 10
\end{array}{ }^{5} \text { for redshift } z=0.2467\right. \text { and } \\
& Y=Y=(0: 16 \quad 0: 54) 10^{5} \text { for } z=0.6847 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The second lim it corresponds to roughly $t=6$ billion years ago. There is also a $1-\dot{\sim}$ on variation of $X_{m}$
${ }^{2} g_{p} m_{e}=m_{p}$ obtained in Ref $\quad$ This lim it was interpreted as a lim it on variation or orm $e=m p$. The relative variation of $X_{m}$ can be replaced by the relative variation of

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=2^{2}\left(\frac{m_{q}}{Q C D}\right)^{0: 124}\left(\frac{m_{s}}{Q C D}\right)^{0: 024} \frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{e}}}{Q C D}: \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dependence on quark $m$ asses appears from both the protor ctor and the proton $m$ ass. The m easurem ent in $R$ ef leads to the follow ing lim it on variation of $X$ : $X=X=(0: 7 \quad 1: 1) 10{ }^{5}$ for $z=1.8$.
N ow let us discuss the lim its obtained from the laboratory $m$ easurem ents of the tim e dependence of hyperne structure intervals. The dependence of the ratio of frequencies A ( ${ }^{133} \mathrm{C}$ s)/A $\left({ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}\right)$ can be presented in the
follow ing form

$$
\begin{align*}
& X(C s=R \mathrm{~b})=\frac{\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} \mathrm{~s})}{\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{R} \mathrm{~b})} \\
& =0: 49 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{QCDD}^{]^{0: 174}\left[\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{s}}=Q \mathrm{QDD}\right]^{0: 027}} \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

and the result of the $m$ easurem ent in $R$ ef. $m$ ay be presented as a lim it on variation of the param eter X :

$$
\frac{1}{\mathrm{X} \mathrm{(C} \mathrm{s=R} \mathrm{b)}} \frac{d \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{C} \mathrm{s=R} \mathrm{b)}}{d t}=(0: 2 \quad 7) \quad 10^{16}=\text { year }:(46)
$$

$N$ ote that if the relation were correct, the variation of $X$ ( $\mathrm{C} s=\mathrm{R} . \mathrm{b}$ ) would hor inated by variation of ${\underset{8}{m}}_{q}^{q}=$ QCD ]. The relation would give X (C s=R b) / 8.

For A $\left({ }^{133} \mathrm{C}\right.$ s)/A (H) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{C} \mathrm{~s}=\mathrm{H})=\frac{\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} \mathrm{~s})}{\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{H})} \\
& =0: 83 \mathrm{mq}_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{QCDD}^{]^{0: 196} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{s}}=Q \mathrm{CDD}\right]^{0: 030}} \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

and the result of the $m$ easurem ents in $R$ ef $m$ ay be presented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \frac{1}{X(C s=H)} \frac{d X(C s=H)}{d t} j<5: 5 \quad 10^{14}=\text { year }: \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

For A $\left({ }^{199} \mathrm{Hg}\right) / \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{H})$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& X(\mathrm{H} \mathrm{~g}=\mathrm{H})=\frac{\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{Hg})}{\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{H})} \\
& 2: 3\left[\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{q}}=\text { Q C D }\right]^{0: 031}\left[\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{QCDD}^{0: 015}:\right. \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he result of the $m$ easurem ent in $R$ ef $\quad m$ ay be presented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \frac{1}{\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{H} \mathrm{~g}=\mathrm{H})} \frac{d \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{H} \mathrm{~g}=\mathrm{H})}{\mathrm{dt}} j<8 \quad 10^{14}=\text { year }: \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that because the dependence on $m$ asses and strong interaction scale is very weak here, this experim ent $m$ ay be intenp ${ }^{-1-l}$ as a lim it on the variation of .

In R ef a lim it w as obtained on the variation of the ratio of nyper ne transition frequencies ${ }^{171} \mathrm{Yb}{ }^{+} / 133 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{S}$ (this lim it ic haond on the $m$ easurem ents of $R$ ef. $\begin{array}{ll}\text { U sing Eqs. } & \text { we can present the result as a } \sqrt{ } \text { It } \\ \text { on } X(Y \mathrm{~B}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{S})= & : 7 \mathrm{~m}=\end{array}$ on $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{Yb}=\mathrm{C} S)=: \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{QCD}^{0: 228} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{QCD}^{0: 015}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{X(Y \mathrm{~b}=\mathrm{C} \mathrm{~s})} \frac{\mathrm{dX}(\mathrm{Y} \mathrm{~b}=\mathrm{C} \mathrm{~s})}{\mathrm{dt}} \quad 1(2) \quad 10^{13}=\text { year }: \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

The opticalclock transition energy E ( Hg ) ( $=282 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) in the $\mathrm{Hg}^{+}$ion can be presented in the follow ing form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(H g)=\text { const }\left[\frac{m e^{4}}{h^{2}}\right] F_{r e l}(Z \quad): \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

N um erice 7..7culation of the relative variation of E ( Hg ) has given

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{E(\mathrm{Hg})}{\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{H} \mathrm{~g})}=3 \cdot 2-: \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

This corresponds to $V(\mathrm{HgOpt})=3: 2$. Variation of the ratio of the Cs hyper ne splitting $A(C s)$ to this optical transition energy is described by $X(O p t)=$ $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} \mathrm{s})=\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{Hgopt}):$

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(O p t)={ }^{6}\left(\frac{m_{q}}{Q C D}\right)^{0: 073}\left(\frac{m_{s}}{Q C D}\right)^{0: 006}\left(\frac{m_{e}}{Q C D}\right): \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we used Eq. for $V$ (C s). The work of $R$ ef gives the lim it on vamation of this param eter:

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \frac{1}{X(O p t)} \frac{d X(O p t)}{d t} j<7 \quad 10^{15}=\text { year : } \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

M olecular vibrational transitions frequencioc are proportional to $\left(m_{e}=m_{p}\right)^{1=2}$. B ased on Eq. we may describe the relative variation of vibrational frequencies by the param eter

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(v i b)=\left(\frac{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}}{Q C D}\right)^{0: 018}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{s}}}{Q C D}\right)^{0: 005}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{e}}}{\mathrm{QCD}}\right)^{0: 5} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

C om parison of the Cs hyper ne standard $w$ th $\mathrm{SF}_{6} \mathrm{mq}{ }^{7}$ lar vibration frequencies was discussed in Ref. In this case X ( $\mathrm{C} \mathrm{s}=\mathrm{V}$ ibrations) $=$ ${ }^{2: 8}\left[\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{e}}=\text { QCD }\right]^{0: 5}\left[\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{q}}=\text { QCD }\right]^{0: 091}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\text { Q } \mathrm{c} D}\right)^{0: 011}$.
The $m$ easurem ents of hyper ne constant ratios in different isotopes of the same atom depends on the ratio of $m$ agnetic $m$ om ents and is therefore sensitive to $m_{q}=$ QCD. For exam ple, it would be interesting to $m$ easure the rate of change for hydrogen/deuterium ratio where $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{D})=\left[\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}=\text { QCD] }\right]^{0: 068}\left[\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{s}}=\text { QCD }\right]^{0: 032}$.
$W$ alsw orth has suggested that one $m$ ight $m$ easure the ratio of the Zeem an transition frequencies in noble gases in order to explore the time dependence of the ratio of nuclear $m$ agnetic $m$ om ents. C onsider, for exam ple ${ }^{129} \mathrm{Xe} /{ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$. For ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ the m agnetic m om ent is very close to that of neutron. For other noble gases the nuclear $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent is also given by the valence neutron, how ever, there are signi cant $m$ any-body corrections. For ${ }^{129} \mathrm{Xe}$ the valence neutron is in an $\mathrm{s}_{1=2}$ state, which corresponds to the single-particle value of the nuclear m agnetic m om ent, $=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}=1: 913$. T he m easured value is $=0: 778$. The $m$ agnetic m om ent of the nucleus changes $m$ ost e ciently through the spinspin interaction, because the valence neutron transfers a part of its spin, $\left\langle\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{z}}>\right.$, to the core protons and the proton $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent is large and has the opposite sign. In this approxim ation $=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & \mathrm{~b}\end{array}\right) \mathrm{n}+$ $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{p}}$. This gives $\mathrm{b}=0.24$ and the ratio of m agnetic mo $m$ entc $y \quad{ }^{129} \mathrm{X}$ e)/ ( ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ e) $0: 76+0: 24 g_{p}=g_{n}$. U sing Eqs. we obtain an estim ate for the relative variation of ( Xe )/ ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ ), which can be presented as variation of $X=\left[m_{q}=\text { QCD] }\right]^{0: 027} m_{s}=$ QCD $]^{0: 012}$. Here again $Y=Y=X=X$.
$N$ ote that the accuracy of the results presented in this paper depends strongly on the fundam ental constant under study. The accuracy for the dependence on is a few percent. The accuracy form ${ }_{q}=$ QCD is about $30 \%$ \{ being lim ited $m$ ainly by the accuracy of the single-particle

TABLE I: Chiral coe cients for various diagram s contributing to proton and neutron $m$ agnetic $m$ om ents. W e use SU (6) sym $m$ etry to relate the $m$ eson couplings to the $N$ vertex, $C=2 D$.

approxim ation for nuclearm agnetic $m$ om ents. (For com parison, the estim ated system atic error associated with the calculation of the e ect of the quark $m$ ass variation is less than 10\% .) F inally, we stress that the relation betw een the variation of and $m=\Omega C D$ has been used solely for purposes of illustration.
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## APPENDIX A:MAGNETIC MOMENTS

A s explained in tho text, we explicitly include the processes show $n$ in $F$ if which give rise to the leading and next-to-leading nonanalytic behaviour as a function of quark $m$ ass.
$W$ e describe the quark $m$ ass dependence of the $m$ agnetic $m$ om ents as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{0}{1+{ }_{2} \mathrm{~m}^{2}}+\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{L}} ; \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M^{L}$ denotes the chiral loop corrections given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& M^{L}=(a) I(m ; 0 ;) \\
& + \text { (b) } I \text { ( } \mathrm{m} \text {; } \mathrm{N} \text {; ) } \\
& +\quad \text { (c) } I\left(m_{K} ;{ }_{N} ;\right) \\
& + \text { (d) } I\left(m_{K} ;{ }_{N}\right. \text {; ): } \tag{A2}
\end{align*}
$$

The chiralcoe cients ofthe loop integrals, , are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{M_{N}}{8 \mathrm{f}^{2}} \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and are sum $m$ arised in TabN ote that the required analytic term $s$ in the cniral expansion to this order have been placed in a P ade approxim ant designed to reproduce the D irac $m$ om ent behaviour of the nucleon at $m$ oderate quark $m$ ass.

The corresponding loop integral is given by

$$
I(m ; ;)=\frac{4}{3}_{0}^{Z_{1}} d k \frac{(+2!k) k^{4} u^{2}(k ;)}{2!_{k}^{3}(+!k)^{2}} \text { (A 4) }
$$

where the various term shave been de ned in Sect. II.W e note that in the lim it where the $m$ ass-splitting vanishes this integral is norm alised such that the leading nonanalytic contribution is m.
$W$ ith the coe cients of the loop integrals de ned, we only raim determ ination of the param eters 0 and 2 in Eq. to constrain the variation $w$ ith quark $m$ ass. W e note also that this form assum es no analytic dependence on the strange quark $m$ ass, beyond what is im plicitly included in the loop diagram $s(c ; d)$. W e determ ine $0 ; 2$ forboth the proton and neutron by tting the physicalm agnetic m om ent as well as the lattice QCD data. We tonly to the two heavis ulation results of the CSSM Lattice C ollaboration $\mathrm{m}^{2} 0: 6\left\{0: 7 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right.$. Theselationsw ere perform eaw the the LIC ferm ion action on a $20^{3} \quad 40$ lattige at $a=0: 128 \mathrm{fm}$. W e select the neaviest two data points, w e ects of quenching are anticipated to be sm al
$T$ he best ts to the physicalvalues and the lattice data give

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
{ }_{0}^{p}=2: 17 \mathrm{~N} & { }_{2}^{\mathrm{p}}=0: 817 \mathrm{GeV}^{2} \\
{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{n}}=1: 33 \mathrm{~N} & { }_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}=0: 758 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}: \tag{A6}
\end{array}
$$

U pon renorm alisation of the loop diagram $s$, the resultant $m$ agnetic $m$ om ents in the $S U$ (2) chiral lim it are given by

$$
{ }_{0}^{p}=3: 48 \mathrm{~N} ; \quad \text { and } \quad{ }_{0}^{n}=2: 58 \mathrm{~N}: \quad \text { (A 7) }
$$

We now take derivatives of Eq. at the physical pion $m$ ass to determ ine the variation with quark $m$ ass. In particular, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& -=\frac{m^{2}}{d m^{2}} \frac{d}{m_{q}}  \tag{A8}\\
& -=\frac{m_{\mathrm{K}}^{2}}{\operatorname{dmr}_{\mathrm{K}}^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{m_{\mathrm{s}}}: \tag{A9}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ his yields the results show $n$ in the text.
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