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#### Abstract

W e present an approxim ate gap equation for di erent crystalline structures of the LOFF phase of high density QCD at $T=0$. This equation is derived by using an e ective condensate term obtained by averaging the inhom ogeneous condensate over distances of the order of the crystal lattice size. T he approxim ation is expected to work better far o any second order phase transition. A s a function of the di erence of the chem icalpotentials $\beta^{f}$ the up and down quarks, , we get that the octahedron is energetically favored from $=0=\overline{2}$ to $0: 950$, where 0 is the gap for the hom ogeneous phase, while in the range 0:95 o 1:32 o the face centered cube prevails. At $=1: 32$ a a rst order phase transition to the norm alphase occurs.


## I. INTRODUCTION

The existence ofan inhom ogeneous superconductive phase characterized by a periodic structure ofthe gap param eter w as hypothesized long ago in tw o separate and contem porary papers by Larkin and $O$ vchinnikov $\overline{11}]$ and by Fulde and Ferrel [12 m agnetic eld coupled to the spins of the conduction electrons. The corresponding interaction ham iltonian gives rise to a separation of the Ferm i surfaces of the pairing electrons since they have opposite spins. If the separation is very high the pairing is destroyed and there is a transition from the superconductive to the norm al state. In $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[1]}\end{array}\right]$ and $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[/ 2}\end{array}\right]$ it was shown that a new state could be form ed, close to the transition line. $T$ his state has the feature of exhibiting an order param eter, the gap, which is not a constant, but has a space variation whose typicalw avelength is of the order of the inverse of the di erence in the Ferm ienergies of the pairing electrons. The space $m$ odulation of the gap arises because the electron pair has non zero totalm om entum. In the sim plest case the modulation is that of a plane wave. In the general case it is given by the sum of several plane waves, which might lead to a crystalline structure. In any case the LOFF phase breaks translational and rotational sym $m$ etries.

Inhom ogeneous superconductivity in m etals has been the object of intense experim ental investigations especially in the last decade, though the evidence is still inconclusive (for a review see [ $\left[\frac{3}{1}\right]$ ). Q uite recently it has been also realized that at $m$ oderate density the $m$ ass di erence betw een the strange and the up and dow $n$ quarks at the weak equilibrium leads to a di erence in their Ferm im om enta, which renders in principle the LOFF state possible in color
 crystalline color superconductive phase of $Q C D$ is theoretically im portant for the understanding of the $Q C D$ phase diagram. It $m$ ay be also relevant to the astrophysics of com pact stars. A sa $m$ atter of fact whereas at extrem e densities one expects the color- avor-locking phase to occur, at low er densities, w ithin the inner core of com pact stars, there is a better chance that the crystalline phase be realized. In these conditions a di erence in the chem ical potentials of the up and dow $n$ quark $m$ ight be generated by beta-equilibrium of the quark $m$ atter. $W$ hile di cult to be studied in the laboratory this phenom enon $m$ ight be therefore relevant in astrophysics, for exam ple by explaining the sudden variations ( $g$ litches) of the rotation period of the pulsars (for a review of color superconductivity in com pact stars see
 $T=\overline{0}$. This approxim ation holds for $s m$ all values of the gap param eter and therefore this analysis studies a sm all region of the phase diagram, i.e. the comer where the di erence of the chem icalpotentials of the pairing quarks is near to 2 , the value where a second order phase transition from the LOFF to the nom alphase is assum ed to exist. For the one-planew ave structure 2 is not far from the $C$ logston $-C$ handrasekhar [15] [1] [1] point $1_{1}^{-1}={ }_{0}=\overline{2}(0$ is the value of the gap for the superconductive hom ogeneous phase). This is approxim ately the point where a rst order transition occurs betw een the hom ogeneous B ardeen-C ooper-Schrie er (BCS) and the inhom ogeneous LOFF phases. In other cases 2 can be larger and the phase transition can be rst-order.
An e ective eld theory for the crystalline color superconductive phase of $Q C D$ was developed in iil ${ }^{1}$. The papers
 sym $m$ etry, whose properties w ere analyzed in [ $\left.{ }^{2}{ }^{-1}\right]$, where an approxim ation schem e valid at the G L point ( ! 0) was developed. In the present paper we com e back to this problem w th the aim to im plem ent an approxim ation schem e
for the gap equation along sim ilar lines. W e will nd that an e ective gap equation can be indeed w ritten down, but it is expected to work better for large, for exam ple close to the BCS/LOFF transition point $\quad 1$. We will work at $T=0$ and for high densities, since we wish to study the LOFF phase in the context of QCD, where the physically relevant case is presum ably the zero tem perature high density inner core of com pact stars. W e will not consider a com plete analysis, which is of course an endless task, but we shall lim it our analysis to four crystalline structures. In Section II we discuss the one plane w ave as the prototype case. T hen we consider those cases that various analyses [1] [1] ], [14] [21] indicate am ong the favored form s of the condensate: the tw o-antipodalplane w ave, the octahedron and the
 of the di erence betw een the quark chem icalpotentials, the octahedron is energetically favored from $=0=\overline{2}$ to $0: 95$ 0, while in the range $0: 95$ o 1:32 o the face centered cube prevails. A fter this point the norm al phase is restored.

## II. THEEFFECTIVEGAPEQUATION FORTHEFULDEFERREL (ONEPLANE WAVE)STATE

W e begin our study by a review of one-plane wave, Fulde-Ferrel (FF) state. A though this case can be solved exactly, it is usefiul to consider it here in order to $x$ the notations and introduce som e de nitions to be used later on. $W$ e shall consider $C$ ooper pairing of the $m$ assless quarks up $u$ and dow $n d$, $w$ th chem icalpotential $u$, $d$. W ede ne
$=(u+d)=2$ and $=j u \quad d j 2$
The gap equation, as discussed in Ref. [3]ill can be derived using the follow ing e ective interaction Lagrangian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{I}}=\frac{3}{8} g \quad a \quad a \quad: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere $g$ is a coupling constant, a are colorm atrices and a sum over avors is understood.


$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\text {cond }}=\frac{1}{2} \quad 3^{i j}\left({ }_{i} \quad(r)+c: C:\right)+(L!R) \quad \frac{1}{g}(r) \quad(r) ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i ; j$ are avor indices and ; are color indices. In the FF state the totalm om entum of the C ooper pair is 2 q and the condensate has the space-dependence of a single plane w ave [2]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(r)=e^{2 \text { iq } r}: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follow s that at zero tem perature, the gap equation for the FF state can be w ritten as follow s

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\frac{g^{Z}}{2} \frac{d v}{4} \int_{0}^{Z} \frac{d}{2+2^{2}}\left(1 \quad\left(E_{i}\right) \quad\left(E_{a}\right)\right) ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j v j=1$ and is the ultraviolet cuto that we assum e equal to $=2 ; \mathrm{m}$ oreover we assume $\mathrm{q} \quad$. The follow ing equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{d}}=\quad q \mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{p}+\overline{2}+2^{2}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

provide the quasi-particle dispersion laws and is the density of states that in QCD w ith two avor quarks is

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{4^{2}}{2}: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \quad\left(E_{1}\right) \quad\left(E_{\mathrm{G}}\right)=\left(E_{u}\right)\left(E_{d}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the integration in Eq. $(\overline{4})$ is over the pairing region (PR), de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P R=f(; v) j E_{u}>0 \text { and } E_{d}>0 g: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$M$ ore explicitly the pairing region ( $(\mathrm{R})$ is de ned by the condition ( $q=q=q$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Max} \quad 1 ; z_{\mathrm{I}} \frac{\mathrm{P}{\frac{2}{2}+2^{2}}^{\prime}}{q}<v \quad \hat{q}<M \text { in } 1 ; z_{q}+\frac{\left.\mathrm{P} \frac{2+2^{2}}{}\right)}{q} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{q}=\bar{q}: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (4) can be written in a way that w ill be useful later:
that after energy integration gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{g^{Z}}{2} \frac{d v^{Z}}{4}{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{\text { eff }}{2+\underset{\text { eff }}{2}}: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here eff $=$ eff $(v \quad q ;)$ is de ned as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { eff }= & \left(E_{\mathrm{u}}\right)\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)=\begin{array}{l}
\text { for }(; \mathrm{v}) 2 \mathrm{PR} \\
0
\end{array} \quad \text { elsew here : }
\end{array}
$$

To the interaction term ( $\overline{\mathbf{Z}}$ ) in the lagrangian one should add the Lagrangian for free quarks. W e adopt for its



$$
L_{0}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{v}}^{\mathrm{h}} \underset{+}{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{iV} @_{+}+{ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{i} V \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{i}}+(\mathrm{L}!\mathrm{R}):
$$

Here the sum represents an average over velocities. The velocity dependent lefthanded elds vare the positive energy part in the decom position

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.(x)=\frac{Z}{4} e^{i v x_{[v}(x)+}{ }_{v}(x)\right] \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

while $v$ is the negative energy part which has been integrated out. v is given by
and therefore contains the residual $m$ om entum $\quad$, corresponding to the decom position of the quark $m$ om entum $p=$
 de ned by

$$
P=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & v \tag{17}
\end{array}\right):
$$

In this form alism we get from (2) ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{i}_{2}$ is the charge conjugation m atrix)

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{\text {cond }}= & \overline{2}_{v_{i} ; v_{j}}^{X} \operatorname{expfir} \quad\left(\underline{y} ; v_{j} ; q\right) g_{i j} \quad 3 \underset{v_{i} ; i}{T}(x) C \quad v_{j} ; j(x) \\
& (L!R)+h: C: \frac{1}{g}(r) \quad(r) ; \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v_{i} ; v_{j} ; q\right)=2 q \quad{ }_{i} v_{i} \quad j v_{j}: \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

This Lagrangian can be put, in $m$ om entum space, in the follow ing form
by an average procedure (see below) and then we can obtain the gap equation (11) . It is useful to introduce the follow ing basis for the ferm ion elds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
+; i=X_{A=0}^{X^{3}} \frac{\left({ }_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{A}}\right)_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{2}} \underset{+}{\mathrm{A}} ;(\mathrm{i} ;=1 ; 2) ; \quad+; 31=\stackrel{4}{+} ; \quad+; 32=\stackrel{5}{+} ; \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ are the $P$ aulim atrioes for $A=1 ; 2 ; 3$ and $0=1$ (sim ilar expressions hold for ${ }^{A}$ ) and then we obtain that the propagator for the velocity dependent ferm ionic elds is given by

Them atrix $A B$ is as follow $S: A B=0(A$ or $B=4$ or 5$)$, and, for $A ; B=0 ;:: ; 3$ :

$$
\text { AB }=\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
\text { eff } & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & C  \tag{23}\\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0_{A}^{A} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \text { AB }
\end{array}:
$$

 of the lattioe cell. The weight function $g_{R}(r) w i l l$ be de ned below. W e note that in the gap equation the relevant $m$ om enta are sm all w th respect to the gap which is of the order of $q$. Therefore we $m$ ay assum e that the velocity dependent elds are slow ly varying over regions of the order of the lattioe size. This $m$ eans that in the average we can treat them as constant, and in conclusion the average is $m$ ade only on the coe cient expfir $g$. Therefore what we are com puting is

$$
I()={ }^{D} \text { expfir } \quad \text { gg (r) }{ }^{E}
$$

$w$ here the bracket $m$ eans average over the cell.
It is possible to choose $g_{R}(r)$ in such a way that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I()={\underset{R}{3}}_{\overline{2 q}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
{ }_{R}^{3}(x)=\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { for } j x j<\frac{1}{2 R} ; \\
0 & \text { elsew here }:
\end{array} \tag{26}
\end{array}
$$

A $n$ approxim ate expression of $g_{R}(r)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{R}(r)=Y_{k=1}^{Y^{3}} \frac{\sin ^{h} \frac{q r_{k}}{R}}{r_{k}}: \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $R=1, g$ is di erent from zero only in a region of the size of the unit lattice cell; the resulting integral I


Independently of the exact form of $g_{R}(r)$, we will assum e that the average procedure gives as a result the brickshaped function $R$ de ned in $\left(\overline{2} \bar{\sigma}_{1}\right) . C$ learly this assum ption is a crucial ingredient of our approxim ation.

In the ! 1 lim it by taking $q$ along the $z$ axis, we get for the com ponents $x$ and $y$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
j\left({ }_{1} v_{1}+{ }_{2} v_{2}\right)_{x ; y} j<\frac{q}{R} ; \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. approxim ately (for )

$$
\begin{equation*}
j\left(v_{1}+v_{2}\right)_{x ; y} j<\frac{q}{R}: \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG.1: $I(x)$ as obtained by Equations (24) and (27) (in one dim ension) for $q=40 \mathrm{MeV}$ and $\mathrm{R}=2=3$.

From this in the high density lim it it follow s

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}=v_{2}+O(1=): \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e use the $x$ and $y$ com ponents of the $e_{R}$ function to get rid of the integration over $v_{2}$ in eq. (1]). The two factors $=R$ arising from the $x$ and $y$ com ponents are absorbed into a wave function renorm alization of the quark elds, both in the kinetic and in the gap term $s$. The $z$ com ponent gives a factor $R[h(v \quad q)]$, where the function $h$ was com puted in [20]. Since here we work in the lim it we neglect corrections of order $=$ and we use the asym ptotic expression [20_]:

$$
h\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{v} & \mathrm{q} \tag{31}
\end{array}\right)=1 \frac{\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{q}}}{\mathrm{v}} \dot{\mathrm{q}}
$$

A fter this average we get that the coe cient of the bilinear term in Eq. (1-9)', is

$$
\mathrm{R}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\text { h }(\mathrm{v} & \mathrm{q}) \tag{32}
\end{array}\right]:
$$

This factor coincides with eff (v $q$; ) if one chooses
$T$ his is consistent w ith our hypothesis, $R=1$, only if is not too small, meaning that we should be far from $a$ second order phase transition.
 w ith

In fact, observing that in any case eff is equalto 0 or , at the pole we get back the expression (3) 3$)_{1}^{\prime}$. Then w rilten as in ( $\left.3 \overline{2} \overline{4}_{1}^{\prime}\right), R$ and analogously eff becom e functions of the velocity and the energy; therefore our average should be better taken in the $m$ om entum space.

## III. THEEFFECTIVEGAPEQUATIONAT T = OFOR GENERICCRYSTALLINESTRUCTURES

Let us now consider the general case of $P$ plane w aves

$$
\begin{equation*}
(r)=\underbrace{X^{P}}_{m=1} \quad m e^{2 \dot{i q_{m}}} \quad r: \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e shall consider only the case $m=$ for any $m=1 ;:: P$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{m}=q n_{m} ; \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $n_{m}$ unit vectors. $G$ eneralizing the results of the previous equations we substitute in the Lagrangian (2d) and in the propagator (22i) eff ( $\mathrm{v} \quad \mathrm{n}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ ) w th

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(v ;{ }^{\prime} 0\right)=X_{m=1}^{X^{P}} \text { eff }\left(V \quad m ;{ }^{\prime} 0\right): \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this equation we have $m$ ade explicit the dependence on the energy ' 0 arising from the average. Correspondingly the gap equation is
which generalizes Eq. (11). The origin of the factor $P$ on the lh $s$. of this equation is as follow $s$. The Lagrangian contains the term

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(r)(r)}{g} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, when averaged over the cell, gets non vanishing contribution only from the diagonalterm $s$ in the double sum over the plane w aves.

The energy integration is perform ed by the residue theorem and the phase space is divided into di erent regions according to the pole positions, de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{q}{2+{\underset{E}{2}(v ;)}_{2}^{2}} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore we get
where the regions $P_{k}$ are de ned as follow $s$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{k}=f(v ;) j E(v ;)=k g \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we have $m$ ade use of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{9}=\ln \frac{2}{0} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

relating the BCS gap 0 to the four ferm ion coupling $g$ and the density of states. The rst term in the sum, corresponding to the region $\mathrm{P}_{1}$, has P equal contributions w th a dispersion rule equal to the Fulde and Ferrel case. $T$ his can be interpreted as a contribution from $P$ non interacting plane waves. In the other regions the di erent plane waves have an overlap. Since the de nition of the regions $P_{k}$ depends on the value of , their determ ination is part of the problem of solving the gap equation.

Let us com $m$ ent on our result. W e have shown that in our approxim ation the dispersion relation for the quasiparticles has severalibranches corresponding to the values $k, k=1 ; \quad ; P$. Therefore the follow ing interpretation of the gap equation (4는) can be given. E ach term in the sum corresponds to one branch of the dispersion law, i.e. to the propagation of a gapped quasi-particle $w$ th gap $k$. The corresponding region is nothing but $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}}$. H ow ever, the regions $P_{k}$ do not represent a partition of the phase space since it is possible to have at the sam e point quasi-particles w th di erent gaps.

A s a nal rem ark, it is possible to test ourm ethod in an exactly solvable case, i.e. the particular case ofP identical plane waves. In this case the only non em pty region in the gap equation is $P_{P}$ therefore the gap equation reduces to the FF gap equation for a gap P , i.e. the expected result. W e assum e this as a consistency test ofour approxim ation.

## IV. NUMERICALANALYSIS

$T$ he free energy is obtained by integrating in the gap equation. At $x e d, \quad$ is a function of and $z$, therefore the energetically favored state satis es the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@}=0 ; \quad \frac{@}{@ z_{q}}=0 \text {; } \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $m$ ust be the absolute $m$ inim um. W e now analyze three di erent structures by this criterion. We will assum $e$
$=400 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$ and $=2$.

## A. Strip



$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}_{1}=(0 ; 0 ;+1) ; \quad \mathrm{n}_{2}=(0 ; 0 ; 1) ; \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

in Eq.(3G). If is the pole position in the o com plex plane, the gap equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \ln \frac{2}{0}=Z_{P_{1}}^{Z} \frac{d v}{4} d \underset{E(v ;)}{2^{2}+\frac{2}{2}(v ;)}+\quad P_{P_{2}} \frac{d v}{4} d P \frac{E(v ;)}{2+\underset{E}{2}(v ;)}: \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Z
Here represents a region where the two eff appearing in e have no overlap. In this region $\mathrm{P}_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{v} ;)=\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{u}}^{1}\right)\left(\mathbb{E}_{d}^{1}\right)+\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{u}}^{2}\right)\left(\mathbb{E}_{d}^{2}\right) 2\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{u}}^{1}\right)\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{d}}^{1}\right)\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{u}}^{2}\right)\left(\mathbb{E}_{d}^{2}\right)=: \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words
$O n$ the other hand the integralover $P_{2}$ represents the region where the two eff appearing in e do overlap. In this region ${ }_{\mathrm{E}}^{2}(\mathrm{v} ;)=4^{2}$ and we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z Z } \\
& P_{P_{2}} \frac{d v}{4} d P \frac{E(v ;)}{2+\underset{E}{2}(v ;)}=  \tag{49}\\
& \text { Z Z } \\
& \frac{d v}{4} d \frac{2\left(E_{u}^{1}\right)_{p}^{\left(E_{d}^{1}\right)}\left(E_{u}^{2}\right)\left(E_{d}^{2}\right)_{2}}{2+4^{2}} ;
\end{align*}
$$

 A s already observed, a test of our approxim ation is given by the fact that the gap equation obtained in this way reproduces in the case of $q_{1}=q_{2}$ the $F F$ result.

A s we observed above, we expect $m$ ore reliable results for large, i.e. far o the G L point. N evertheless we can determ ine the phase transition point 2 w thin this approxim ation; we nd $20: 830$, which com pares fairly well w ith the exact result in the weak coupling lim it, i.e. 0:75 0 ; in our approxim ation we nd a rst order phase transition (see subsection $\bar{I} \overline{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{C}$ C'. for further com $m$ ents).

It $m$ ay be useful to have a pictorial representation of the various regions. They are reported for the strip in a ( $z=\cos ;$ ) plane in Fig ${ }_{1}^{2}$,


FIG. 2: The regions $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ for the strip in the $(z ;)$ plane $w$ ith $z=c o s=v$ $q$. The region $P_{1}$ is the dark region, whereas the region $P_{2}$ covers all the plane and is in light grey. Here $=0: 75 \quad 0, \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{q}}=1: 0, \quad=1$; in M eV .

To get a better insight of the $m$ ain features of our approxim ation, we can com pare the approxim ate dispersion law we are using w th the exact one. The exact dispersion law for the strip w as derived in [1]-1] (see also [2] [2] in both papers the energy is com puted for each species from the corresponding Ferm i surface):

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{u ; d}^{1}=\quad q \quad v+w j \dot{j} \underset{u}{2}, E=\quad q \quad v+w j j \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}^{1}=\mathrm{I}_{0} \frac{2}{\mathrm{qcos}}: \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

$I_{0}$ is the Bessel function and the angle betw een the $z \quad$ axis and $q=q$.
$T$ his com parison for the strip is reported in $F$ ig. 'הِ'1 (w e use the param eters $=0: 75 \quad 0, \quad=\quad 1$ and $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{q}} \quad 0: 8$, which is $m$ ore appropriate for com parison $w$ ith the exact result). O $n$ the right diagram the gray region depicts the area where coupling is $m$ ore favorable, because here both quasiparticles $w$ ith gap and 2 contribute; to this area corresponds on the left diagram, in gray, the pairing region, where $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{d}}>0(\mathrm{k}=1 ; 2)$. The white areas depict respectively, on the right an area where coupling is less favorable, because only quasiparticles with gap 2 can contribute, and on the left the blocking region, where the energies are negative. $W$ e have lim ited the analysis to the region of sm aller since this is the region $m$ ore relevant for coupling. The tw o graphs do not coincide, which is due to the approxim ation, how ever they share som e features, e.g. for both the central region ( $\left.\begin{array}{lll}z & 0\end{array}\right)$ is disfavored; also the areas $w$ ith $s m$ all and large joos jare less favorable in both graphs. This leads to the follow ing interpretation of the di erent regions of the phase space. The region where only the quasiparticles of gap 2 are present corresponds grosso $m$ odo to the blocking region of the exact dispersion law, whereas the region where both species of quasiparticles (i.e. w ith gaps and 2 ) are present corresponds to the pairing region of the exact dispersion law. This interpretation is supported by the num erical results for the gap equation. In fact we nd that the contribution of the form er region (corresponding to blocking) to the gap equation is only $20 \%$ of the total.


FIG. 3: On the left: Pairing (in gray) and blocking (in white) regions as com puted by the exact dispersion law $\quad(50)$ ) for the strip. On the right: The gray region depicts the area where coupling is m ore favourable (here both quasiparticles with gap and 2 contribute); in the white area only quasiparticles with gap 2 can be present. On the horizontal axis $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{cos}$; on the vertical axis the longitudinalm om entum m easured from the Ferm isurface, (in MeV ). Here $=0: 75 \quad 0 \quad \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{q}}=0: 8$, $=1$.

## B. O ctahedron and Face C entered C ube

For the octahedron (i.e. body-centered-cube, bcc) we have $P=6$ in ( 3 (3근) and we take

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{n}_{1}=(+1 ; 0 ; 0) ; & \mathrm{n}_{2}=(0 ;+1 ; 0) ; & \mathrm{n}_{3}=(0 ; 0 ;+1) ; \\
\mathrm{n}_{4}=(1 ; 0 ; 0) ; & \mathrm{n}_{5}=(0 ; 1 ; 0) ; & \mathrm{n}_{6}=(0 ; 0 ; 11) \tag{52}
\end{array}
$$

in $\left(\overline{3} \overline{\sigma_{1}}\right)$. For the face centered cube (fic) we have $P=8$ and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{n}_{1}=P^{1}(+1 ;+1 ;+1) ; & n_{2}=P_{\frac{1}{3}}^{3}(+1 ; 1 ;+1) ; \\
\mathrm{n}_{3}=P_{\frac{1}{3}}(1 ; \quad 1 ;+1) ; \quad \mathrm{n}=\frac{P^{1}}{3}(1 ;+1 ;+1) ;
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{n}_{5}=\frac{1}{P^{2}}(+1+; 1 ; \quad 1) ; \quad \mathrm{n}_{6}=\frac{1}{P^{\prime}}(+1 ; \quad 1 ; \quad 1) ; \\
& \mathrm{n}_{7}=\frac{1}{P_{3}}(1 ; 1 ; 1) ; \quad \Omega=\frac{1}{\frac{1}{3}}(1 ;+1 ; 1): \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

The topological structure of the di erent regions $P_{k}$ is $m$ ore involved for the cubes than for the strip. W e sim ply o er


F IG . 4: The pairing regions $\mathrm{P}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{P}_{8}$ (from left to right and from top to bottom) for the face centered cube in the ( z ; ) plane for a xed value of the polar angle' $=: 5 \mathrm{rad}$. In each gure the grey area corresponds to the pairing region. H ere $=1$, $=0: 210, \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{q}}=0: 9$. N ote that for th is particular value of the param eters the $\mathrm{P}_{8}$ region covers all the Ferm i surface.
 angle' $=0: 5 \mathrm{rad}$. In each gure the grey areas correspond to the pairing region.

## C . N um erical resu lts

W e now present num erical results for four crystalline structures ( $F$ F , strip, bcc, foc) that are obtained solving Eq.(3́ㅡㄴ) w ith the appropriate set of unit vectors $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}}$. In T able 'I we report the results obtained at the C logston point ( = 1). H ere P is the num ber of plane waves of each structure; the table show sthat, am ong the four considered cases, the favored structure is the octahedron ( $P=6$ ).

| P | $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{q}}$ | -0 | $\frac{2}{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.78 | 0.24 | $1: 80_{0}^{2} 10{ }^{3}$ |
| 2 | 1.0 | 0.75 | -0.08 |
| 6 | 0.9 | 0.28 | -0.11 |
| 8 | 0.9 | 0.21 | -0.09 |

TABLE I: The gap, $z_{q}=\quad=q$ and the free energy at $=1=0={ }^{p} \overline{2}$ for di erent crystalline structures.
W e have analyzed also the case $\quad 1$. In $g . \bar{N}^{1} \mathbf{1}$, we report the plot of the free energies of the octahedron (dashed line) and of the foc (filll line) phases as a function of $=0$.

W e nd that the octahedron is the favored structure up to :95 o. For larger values of $<1: 32$ o the favored structure is the fac. In Table ${ }_{\text {III }} \mathrm{w}$ w report num erical results for 2 for each crystalline structure and the com puted order of the phase transition betw een the LOFF and the nom al phases. $W$ e have reported also the values of $z_{q}$ and of the discontinuity in $=0$ at $=2 \quad 0$. The values of $z_{q}$ and are determ ined $m$ in im izing the free energy.

A s rem arked above, for the strip, in our approxim ation neither the order of the transition nor the point where the transition occurs coincide w ith those obtained w ithin the $G$ inzburg-Landau approxim ation [1] $\overline{11}]$. The di erence in 2 is $10 \%$ and in $z_{1}$ is $17 \%$ (the value for $z_{I}$ is $0: 83$ ).


FIG.5: The values of the free energies of the octahedron (dashed line) and of the fic (fill line) crystalline LO FF structures as a function of $=0$. The octahedron is the favored structure up to :95 0 ; for :95 $\ll 1: 32$ o the foc is favored. $H$ ere, for each value of , the values of $z_{q}$ and are those that $m$ in $\dot{m}$ ize the free energy.

| P | ${ }_{2}=0$ | O rder | $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{q}}$ | $=0$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.754 | II | 0.83 | 0 |
| 2 | 0.83 | I | 1.0 | 0.81 |
| 6 | 1.22 | I | 0.95 | 0.43 |
| 8 | 1.32 | I | 0.9 | 0.35 |

TABLE II: The values of $2, z_{q}=\quad=q$, the discontinuity of $=0$ and the order of the phase transition betw een the LOFF and the norm al phases for di erent crystalline structures.
V. D ISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have discussed the gap equation for di erent Fulde Ferrel-Larkin-O vchinnikov phases at $T=0$. $G$ enerally speaking this is a quite com plicated problem and the only soluble case corresponds to the originalFuldeFerrelphase w th a single plane wave. Typically the discussion of the gap equation is $m$ ade via the $G$ inzburg-Landau approxim ation which, how ever, holds only in proxim ity of a second order transition. H ow ever, the analysis of Ref. [14] show $s$ that in $m$ any interesting cases there is no second order transition to the norm alphase. Therefore, in this paper, we have developed a new kind of approxim ation to overcom e the problem s of the $G$ inzburg-Landau treatm ent. In fact, our approxim ation holds in the opposite case, that is when the gap is not too sm all. T he m ain feature of our schem e is a convenient average over the sites de ned by the crystalline structure of the condensate. The description one obtains am ounts to the de nition of a multi-valued gap function having P branches. Each of the branches has a gap given by $k, k=1$; $; P$, w ith the solution of the gap equation. Furthem ore each non vanishing value of the gap de nes a particular region in the phase space, the pairing region $P_{k}$. It is interesting to notice that each region $P_{k}$ depends on the actual value of and therefore its very de nition depends on the solution of the gap equation itself.
$W$ e have notioed that the regions $P_{k}$ do not represent a partition of the phase space since it is possible to have at the sam e point quasi-particles $w$ th di erent gaps. This observation would allow to form ulate the problem in term $s$ of an e ective Lagrangian containing $P$ velocity-dependent elds ${ }^{(k)}$, each of them de ned in the region $P_{k}$, and therefore with gap k. W e will com e back to this e ective Lagrangian in future work.
$T$ he gap equation has been solved num erically. W e have rst analyzed the case $\mathrm{P}=1$ where our approxim ation is exact, and then the cases $\mathrm{P}=2$ (tw o antipodalplane waves, or strip), $\mathrm{P}=6$ (the octahedron or body-centered-cube) and $P=8$ (the face-centered-cube). Our $m$ ain result is that, at $T=0$, and up to $0: 95$ o the energetically favored crystalline structure is the octahedron $(P=6)$. For larger values of $=0$ the fic becom es the $m$ ost favored structure up to the transition to the norm alstate occurring at $\quad 2=1: 32 \quad 0$.

A lso, in all the cases $P=2 ; 6 ; 8$, we have found that the transition to the norm al state is a rst order one.
It is di cult to com pare our results to previous work since we have studied a region of the phase diagram not accessible to the $G$ inzburg-Landau approxim ation. In any event let us sum $m \mathrm{~m}$ arize some of the previous results. $W$ ithin the GL approxim ation, the authors of $R$ ef. [1]1] found that the strip w as favored in com parison to the oneplanew ave. A lso in Ref. [1] $\left.1_{1}^{1}\right]$ a G L analysis is perform ed. T hese authors study a $m$ uch larger num ber of crystalline structures and con jecture that the $m$ ost stable case is the face-centered cube; how ever no second order transition is
observed for this structure and this $m$ akes questionable the $G$ inzburg-Landau approxim ation. As for the octahedron, the phase transition betw een the LOFF and the norm alphase is also rst-order. These results on the order of the transition for $P=6 ; 8$ agree $w$ th our approxim ation. F inally, in a recent paper $\left.{ }_{2} 1_{1}^{1}\right]$, the analogous problem has been studied in the context of the quasiclassicalequations for superconductors by using a Fourier expansion. T hese authors get that the favored state at zero tem perature is the octahedron, $P=6$, and also nd that the transition to the norm al state is rst order. T herefore the results of their analysis agree $w$ ith our approxim ation, at least qualitatively.
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