G auge dependence of the ferm ion quasiparticle poles in hot gauge theories

Shang-Yung W ang

Theoretical Division, MS B285, Los Alam os National Laboratory, Los Alam os, New Mexico 87545, USA

(D ated: D ecem ber 3, 2021)

The gauge dependence of the com plex ferm ion quasiparticle poles corresponding to soft collective excitations is studied in hot gauge theories at one-loop order and next-to-leading order in the high-tem perature expansion, with a view towards going beyond the leading order hard therm al loops and resum mations thereof. We end that for collective excitations of momenta k eT the dispersion relations are gauge independent, but the corresponding damping rates are gauge dependent. For k eT and in k ! 0 limit, both the dispersion relations and the damping rates are found to be gauge dependent. The gauge dependence of the position of the com plex quasiparticle poles signals the need for resum mation. Possible cancellation of the leading gauge dependence at two-loop order in the case of QED is brie y discussed.

PACS num bers: 11.10 W x, 11.15.-q, 12.38 Cy

I. IN TRODUCTION

The demonstration of gauge independence of physical quantities is of fundam ental im portance in gauge theories at nite temperature. Interestingly, the main breakthrough in perturbative gauge theories at high tem perature was in fact motivated by the quest for gaugeindependent dam ping rates of plasm a excitations. It was realized [1] that in hot gauge theories the usual connection between the order of the loop expansion and powers of the gauge coupling constant is lost, hence contributions of leading order in the gauge coupling constant arise from every order in the loop expansion. Resummation to all orders of these leading, gauge-independent hard therm al loops (HTLs) [1{6] is necessary for a consistent calculation. The HTL resummation program [1, 2] leads to an e ective theory that system atically includes contributions of di erent momentum scales [7].

It was proved in Ref. [8] that the singularity structure (i.e., the position of poles and branch singularities) of gauge boson and ferm ion propagators are gauge independent when all contributions of a given order of a system atic expansion scheme are accounted for. W hile the position of quasiparticle poles in the leading order HTL approximation are completely gauge independent [9, 10], nevertheless gauge dependence will enter at subleading order and gauge-independent extensions beyond the leading order HTL results are not yet available. M ore recently, several authors [11{13] have shown that the truncated on-shell two-particle-irreducible (2P I) e ective action has a controlled gauge dependence, with the explicit gauge-dependent term salways appearing at higher order. It would be interesting to study possible cancellation of the leading gauge dependence in the singularity structure of gauge boson and ferm ion propagators beyond the

leading order HTL approximation.

The propagation of ferm ions in hot and dense matter is of interest in a wide variety of physically relevant situations. In ultrarelativistic heavy ion collisions and the form ation and evolution of the quark-gluon plasma, lepton pairs play a very in portant role as clean probes of the early, hot stage of the new state of matter [14]. The propagation of quarks during the nonequilibrium stages of the electrow eak phase transition is conjectured to be an essential ingredient for baryogenesis at the electrow eak scale in (non) supersymmetric extensions of the standard model [15]. In stellar astrophysics, electrons and neutrinos play a major role in the evolution of dense stars such as white dwarfs, neutron stars and supernovae [16].

The goal of this article is to investigate the gauge dependence of the complex ferm ion quasiparticle poles corresponding to soft collective excitations at one-loop order and next-to-leading order in the hight-tem perature expansion. There have been investigations of how the leading HTL dispersion relations of ferm ions (and the gauge independence thereof) are a ected by retaining nonleading powers of tem perature [17, 18]. W hile it is known that quasiparticles at nite temperature will in general acquire therm alw idths due to collisional broadening rendering the quasiparticle poles com plex, the previous authors considered mainly the real parts of the com plex quasiparticle poles (dispersion relations) without discussing the corresponding in aginary parts (dam ping rates). In this article we study the gauge dependence of both the real and im aginary parts of the com plex quasiparticle poles on equal footing. Furtherm ore, we focus on the soft ferm ion collective excitations of mom enta k . eT , where e 1 is the gauge coupling constant and T the tem perature. On the one hand, it allows ll gap in the literature where either a num erical us to analysis with k T was carried out [17] or the lim iting cases of eT k T and k = 0 were considered [18]. On the other hand, k . eT is the relevant m om entum region at the heart of the HTL resum m ation program [1, 2]. P rogressm ade in the soft m om entum region will certainly shed light on the issue of going beyond the HTL resum -

Current Address: Department of Physics and Astronom y, University of Delaw are, New ark, Delaw are 19716, USA; Electronic address: syw ang@ physics.udel.edu

m ation .

The rest of this article is organized as follows. In Sec. II we calculate the real and in aginary parts of the one-bop ferm ion self-energy in general covariant gauges and up to next-to-leading order in the high-tem perature expansion. In Sec. III we study the gauge dependence of the com plex ferm ion quasiparticle poles corresponding to soft collective excitations of m omenta k . eT. Possible cancellation of the leading gauge dependence at two-loop order in the case of QED is brie y discussed. Sec. IV presents our conclusions. In the Appendix we calculate the vacuum contribution to the ferm ion self-energy that is neglected in the main text.

II. ONE-LOOP FERM ION SELF-ENERGY IN COVARIANT GAUGES

We will carry out our perturbative calculations in the imaginary-time (M atsubara) formalism (ITF) of nite temperature eld theory [9, 10]. The continuation to imaginary time that describes the theory at nite temperature T is obtained by replacing it ! with 0 1=T. C ontrary to the usual ITF, how ever, we will not work in Euclidean spacetime but keep the metric tensor and the D irac gam m a matrices the sam e as in M inkow ski spacetime.

In ITF it proves convenient to work in the spectral representation of the propagators. The ferm ion propagator is given by

$$s(i_{n};k) = \int_{1}^{Z_{1}} dk_{0} \frac{f(k_{0};k)}{k_{0} i_{n}}; \quad n = (2n + 1) T; \quad (1)$$

where f is the free D irac ferm ion spectral function (zero chem ical potential) [9]

$$_{f}(k_{0};k) = \mathbb{K} \operatorname{sgn}(k_{0}) (K^{2}); K = (k_{0};k);$$
 (2)

with sgn(x) being the sign function. The gauge boson propagator is given by

d
$$(i!_{n};p) = \int_{1}^{2} dp_{0} \frac{(p_{0};p)}{p_{0} \quad i!_{n}}; \quad !_{n} = 2n \; T; \quad (3)$$

where is the free gauge boson spectral function in general covariant gauges [19]

$$(p_0;p) = \operatorname{sgn}(p_0) \quad g + (1)P P \frac{\theta}{\theta p_0^2} (P^2);$$

(4)

with $P = (p_0; p)$. The covariant gauge parameter is dened in such a way that = 1 is the Feynman gauge.

Since at one-loop order the ferm ion self-energy has the same structure (up to gauge group factors) in both A belian and non-A belian gauge theories, for notational simplicity we will consider the A belian case in what follows. The non-A belian case can be obtained through the replacement $e^2 \ g^2 C_F$, where $C_F = (N^2 \ 1)=2N$ is the C asim ir invariant of the fundam ental representation in SU (N) gauge theories.

In QED the one-loop ferm ion self-energy in ITF is given by

$$(i_{n};k) = e^{2}T \sum_{\substack{i!_{m} \\ i!_{m}}}^{X} \frac{d^{3}p}{(2)^{3}} s(i_{n} + i!_{m};q)$$
$$d (i!_{m};p);$$
(5)

where q = k + p. Upon substituting the ferm ion and gauge boson propagators into (5), the sum over the bosonic M atsubara frequency can be done easily [9] lead-ing to

$$(i_{n};k) = e^{2} \frac{d^{3}p}{(2)^{3}} \frac{\sum_{1}^{2} \sum_{1}^{2} \sum_{1}^{2} dq_{0}}{p_{0} dq_{0}} (p_{0};p)$$

$$f(q_{0};q) \frac{n_{B}(p_{0}) + n_{F}(q_{0})}{q_{0} p_{0} i_{n}}; (6)$$

where $n_{B,F}(x) = 1 = (e^{x} - 1)$ are the Bose and Fermi distribution functions, respectively.

A fler the analytic continuation i $_n$! + 0⁺ to arbitrary frequency !, the imaginary part of the (retarded) self-energy can be readily found to be given by

$$Im \quad (!;k) = e^{2} \quad \frac{d^{3}p}{(2)^{3}} \quad \frac{d^{3}p}{dp_{0}} \quad \frac{dq_{0}}{dp_{0}} \quad (p_{0};p)$$

$$f \quad (q_{0};q) \quad [n_{B} \quad (p_{0}) + n_{F} \quad (q_{0})]$$

$$(! \quad q_{I} + p_{0}): \quad (7)$$

The realpart of the self-energy is obtained from the imaginary one through the dispersive representation

Re
$$(!;k) = PV \int_{1}^{Z_{1}} \frac{dk_{0}}{k_{0}} \frac{\text{Im}(k_{0};k)}{k_{0}!};$$
 (8)

where PV denotes the principal value.

For m assless ferm ion, rotational invariance and chiral sym m etry entail that the ferm ion self-energy in equilibrium can be parameterized by [9, 10]

$$(!;k) = {}^{(0)}(!;k) {}^{0} + {}^{(1)}(!;k) {}^{k};$$
 (9)

where k $k \neq k = k = k$, and ⁽⁰⁾ and ⁽¹⁾ are scalar functions

⁽⁰⁾ (!;k) =
$$\frac{1}{4}$$
tr[(!;k) ⁰];
⁽¹⁾ (!;k) = $\frac{1}{4}$ tr[(!;k) k]: (10)

Since we are interested in the self-energy calculated in general covariant gauges, it is convenient to decom pose the form er into gauge-independent and -dependent contributions. W e write

$$(!;k) = _{FG} (!;k) + (!;k);$$
 (11)

where $_{FG}$ is the gauge-independent part calculated in the Feynm an gauge (FG) and is the rem aining gauge-dependent part.

A. The imaginary part

We set study the gauge-independent contribution Im $_{FG}$. Evaluating the D irac traces and perform ing the trivial integrals over p_0 and q_0 in (7), we obtain

$$Im {}_{FG}^{(0)}(!;k) = e^{2} \frac{Z}{(2)^{3}} \frac{d^{3}p}{2p} f[I + n_{B}(p) n_{F}(q)]$$

$$(p + q !) + [p_{B}(p) + n_{F}(q)]$$

$$(p + q !) + [p_{H}(p) + n_{F}(q)]$$

$$(p + q !) + (! ! !);$$

$$Im {}_{FG}^{(1)}(!;k) = e^{2} \frac{d^{3}p}{(2)^{3}} \frac{k}{2p} f[I + n_{B}(p) n_{F}(q)]$$

$$(p + q !) + [p_{H}(p) + n_{F}(q)]$$

In the above expressions the support of the energyconserving delta functions $(p+q \ !)$ and (p+q+!) is ! > k and ! < k, respectively, corresponding to the usual two-particle cuts, while that of $(p \ q \ !)$ is $l^2 < k^2$ corresponding to the Landau dam ping cut which is purely a m edium e ect [1, 9].

The di erent contributions in (12) have a physical interpretation in terms of (o -shell) scattering processes taking place in the therm alm edium. The terms proportional to $(p+q \ !)$ arise from the processes in which a (tim elike) ferm ion decays into a ferm ion and a gauge boson f ! f+, and those proportional to $(p \ q+!)$ originate in the Landau damping process in which a (spacelike) ferm ion scatters o a gauge boson in the medium f + ! f. Here the o -shell ferm ion is denoted by a superscript \ ".

In what follows we will neglect the vacuum contribution, i.e., the terms which do not contain any therm al distribution functions, as we are interested mainly in the nite-term perature medium e ects. Interested readers can nd in the Appendix the calculation for the vacuum contribution.

The angular integration over $= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{p}$ in (12) can be performed analytically by using change of variables

! z = q() and the energy-conserving delta functions. The requirem ent that the energy-conserving delta functions must have a nonem pty support restricts the range of the radial integration over p for xed k and !. The remaining integration over p for arbitrary k and ! is an involved num erical task [17] which, how ever, is not very useful for the purpose of studying next-to-leading order corrections. In order to com pare with the leading order H T L results, we here focus on a high-tem perature expansion for which k;! T and keep terms in Im to O (T) in the Landau dam ping cut contribution but to O (T⁰) in the two-particle cut contribution.

A comment here is in order. One would presumably expect that it is su cient to keep terms in Im uniform ly up to next-to-leading order in the high-tem perature expansion, namely, terms of order O (T). W hereas this is correct for generic k ! T, it is not correct in the limit k ! T which is in turn relevant to the e ective ferm ion mass and the damping rate at rest that is obtained in the long-wavelength limit (k ! 0). As will be seen below, the O (T⁰) two-particle cut contribution in Im will give rise to O (ln T) term in Re which will become next-to-leading order in T in the limit k ! T.

Separating the leading HTL contributions that can be calculated analytically, then in the remaining contributions expanding the distribution functions in the hightem perature limit and cutting o the potentially divergent momentum integrations at T, we obtain after some algebra (k; ! T)

$$\operatorname{Im} \overset{(0)}{}_{\mathrm{FG}}(!;k) \, ' \, \frac{e^{2}T^{2}}{16k} \, 1 + \frac{2!}{^{2}T} \, \ln \, \frac{!+k}{!k} \\ (k^{2} \quad !^{2}) + \frac{e^{2}T}{8} \, \frac{!}{k} \, \ln \, \frac{!+k}{!k} \\ 1 \quad \frac{j! \, j}{2T} \, (!^{2} \quad k^{2}); \\ \operatorname{Im} \quad \overset{(1)}{}_{\mathrm{FG}}(!;k) \, ' \quad \frac{e^{2}T^{2}}{16k} \, \frac{!}{k} + \frac{k}{^{2}T} \, 1 + \frac{!^{2}}{k^{2}} \\ \ln \frac{!+k}{!k} \, (k^{2} \quad !^{2}) + \frac{e^{2}T}{8} \\ \frac{!}{k} \quad \frac{1}{2} \, 1 + \frac{!^{2}}{k^{2}} \, \ln \, \frac{!+k}{!k} \\ + \operatorname{sgn}(!) \frac{k}{2T} \, (!^{2} \quad k^{2}); \quad (13)$$

where (x) is the H eaviside step function. Three in – portant features are gleaned from the above expressions: (i) The gauge-independent part Im $_{FG}$ receives contributions both from above and below the light cone, corresponding respectively to the two-particle and Landau dam ping cuts. (ii) The leading O (T²) terms in Im $_{FG}$ are recognized as the HTL results, which arise solely from the Landau dam ping process [1, 5, 6, 9]. (iii) The subleading terms are suppressed by inverse powers of T and originate in the Landau dam ping as well as in the ferm ion decay processes.

Next, we calculate the gauge-dependent contribution Im . The gauge-dependent part of the free gauge boson spectral function contains the derivative of the onshell delta function (e $(p_0^2 \quad p^2)=0p_0^2$, thus the integral over p_0 can be done using integration by parts which in turn gives rise to derivatives of the Bose distribution function dn_B (p)=dp as well as of the energy-conserving delta functions (e) (p) q !)=0!, etc. Such structures are expected to be generic to the gauge-dependent higher loop contributions linear in (1).

A fler som e lengthy but straightforw and algebra we obtain

$$Im \stackrel{(0)}{=} (!;k) = (1)e^{2} \frac{d^{3}p}{4(2)^{3}} (l+p, q) [l+n_{B}(p) - n_{F}(q)]\frac{d}{d!} (p+q!)$$

$$= \frac{dn_{B}(p)}{dp} (p+q!) (l, p, q) [n_{B}(p)+n_{F}(q)]\frac{d}{d!} (p+q!)$$

$$+ \frac{dn_{B}(p)}{dp} (p-q+!) + (l!!!);$$

$$Im \stackrel{(1)}{=} (1)e^{2} \frac{d^{3}p}{4(2)^{3}} \frac{dk}{p} (p, q) (p, q) (p, q) (p, q) (p-q) (p-q+!) + k (p) (p-q)]$$

$$= (p+q!) + k (p) (p+q!) (p-q+!) + k (p) (1+p, q)$$

$$= (1 p, q) (n_{F}(q)]\frac{d}{d!} (p+q!) \frac{dn_{B}(p)}{dp} (p-q+!) + \frac{dn_{B}(p)}{dp} (p-q+!)$$

$$+ (1 p, q) (n_{F}(q))\frac{d}{d!} (p-q+!) + \frac{dn_{B}(p)}{dp} (p-q+!) (14)$$

It is noted that the various contributions in (14), being gauge dependent and hence in contrast to those in (12), will not have a physical interpretation in terms of the scattering processes taking place in the medium. The gauge-dependent contributions are expected to cancel in physical quantities in a consistent calculation that generally requires resummation of perturbation theory. C learly such a task is beyond the scope of this article.

The angular integration in (14) can be done analytically as in the gauge-independent contribution. A gain neglecting the vacuum contribution, we nd in the hightem perature lim it the following rather compact expressions (k;! T)

$$\operatorname{Im}^{(0)}(!;k) ' (1) \frac{e^{2}T}{16} 1 + \frac{!}{k} \ln \frac{!+k}{!k} \\ \frac{j! j}{T} (!^{2} k^{2}) ;$$

$$\operatorname{Im}^{(1)}(!;k) ' (1) \frac{e^{2}T}{16} \frac{!}{k} \frac{1}{2} 1 + \frac{!^{2}}{k^{2}} \ln \frac{!+k}{!k} \\ + \operatorname{sgn}(!) \frac{k}{T} (!^{2} k^{2}) ; \qquad (15)$$

where we have combined part of the contributions from above and below the light cone by using the identity (x) + (x) = 1. The leading gauge-dependent terms in Im are of order O (T) and the subleading ones are suppressed by inverse powers of T as is the case for Im $_{FG}$.

B. The realpart

From the above results for the imaginary part of the ferm ion self-energy the corresponding real part can be obtained using (8). In the high-tem perature limit that we are interested in, the upper and lower limits of the integral over k_0 in (8) can be cut o at T and T, respectively. The contributions to Re from the ignored regions of integration are at most of order O (T⁰), hence are negligible at the next-to-leading order under consideration. It is worthy noting that since Im ⁽⁰⁾ [Im ⁽¹⁾] is an even (odd) function of ! therefore, as a result of (8), Re ⁽⁰⁾ [Re ⁽¹⁾] is an odd (even) function of !.

In the high-tem perature lim it we obtain for the gauge-independent part (k; ! T)

$$\operatorname{Re}_{FG}^{(0)}(!;k) ' = \frac{e^{2}T^{2}}{16k} + \frac{2k}{2T} + \frac{k}{2} + \frac{k}{k}$$

$$\frac{e^{2}!}{16} \ln \frac{T^{2}}{j!^{2} - k^{2}j};$$

$$\operatorname{Re}_{FG}^{(1)}(!;k) ' = \frac{e^{2}T^{2}}{8k} + \frac{2k}{2T} + \frac{2k}{2T} + \frac{1}{2k} \ln \frac{1 + k}{k}$$

$$+ \frac{e^{2}k}{16} \ln \frac{T^{2}}{j!^{2} - k^{2}j}; \qquad (16)$$

where we have kept terms up to $O(\ln T)$ as remarked above. This is because the O(T) term in Re⁽⁰⁾ [Re⁽¹⁾] is proportional to k (k²) in the lim it k ! T, therefore the putative subleading $O(\ln T)$ term becomes nextto-leading order in T in this lim it [note that Re⁽¹⁾(!;k) vanishes identically in the lim it k ! 0 due to rotational symmetry].

A gain the leading O (T²) term s in Re $_{FG}$ are the HTL results [3, 5, 6, 9, 10]. The O (ln T) term s in (16) arise from the O (T⁰) term s above the light cone in (13), thus originating in the region of hard loop momentum. Such ln T contributions are not unique in the one-loop ferm ion self-energy. Indeed, sim ilar ln T behavior that originates also in the hard loop momentum region has been found in the gauge boson polarization [4, 20] as wellas, in general,

the n-point vertex function [21] at one-loop order in high tem perature non-Abelian gauge theories.

Sim ilarly we $% \mathcal{T}_{k}$ nd for the gauge-dependent part (k; ! \mathbb{T})

Re ⁽⁰⁾ (!;k) ' (
$$1\frac{e^{2}!}{16^{2}} \ln \frac{T^{2}}{j!^{2} k^{2}j}$$
;
Re ⁽¹⁾ (!;k) ' ($1\frac{e^{2}k}{16^{2}} \ln \frac{T^{2}}{j!^{2} k^{2}j}$; (17)

The $\ln T$ contributions in (17), like the gaugeindependent ones in (16), arise from the region of hard bop momentum as well. Following the argument in Ref. [5] based on the ultraviolet divergences in the absence of distribution functions, one might expect the leading term in Re to be linear in T. Nevertheless, explicit calculation shows that the leading term actually goes like $\ln T$ at high temperature. As we will see momentarily, the absence of the O (T) term in Re have an important consequence in the gauge dependence of ferm ion dispersion relations.

Furtherm ore, upon comparing (16) and (17), we nd that the O (ln T) term in Re has the same prefactor as that in the corresponding Re $_{\rm FG}$, hence they can be com - bined together to yield a single gauge-dependent term proportional to . This, how ever, is not the case for the im aginary part of the self-energy, where only some of the term s in Im and Im $_{\rm FG}$ can be combined together.

III. GAUGE DEPENDENCE OF THE FERM ION QUASIPARTICLE POLES

Having calculated the one-loop ferm ion self-energy at next-to-leading order in T in general covariant gauges, we now proceed to study gauge dependence of the com plex ferm ion quasiparticle poles.

T he full (retarded) inverse ferm ion propagator is given by the D yson-Schw inger equation

is
$${}^{1}(!;k) = ! {}^{0} k k + (!;k);$$
 (18)

where (!;k) is the (retarded) ferm ion self-energy. Eq. (18) can be inverted to yield [9, 10]

$$S(!;k) = \frac{i}{2} \frac{0}{+(!;k)} + \frac{0}{+(!;k)} + \frac{0}{(!;k)} ; \qquad (19)$$

where

$$(!;k) = ! k + (!;k);$$
 (20)

with

$$(!;k) = {}^{(0)}(!;k) {}^{(1)}(!;k):$$
 (21)

The analytic continuation of the ferm ion propagator to com plex frequency features the following singularities: Isolated poles. Isolated real poles of the ferm ion propagator correspond to stable quasiparticle excitations, whereas complex poles to unstable excitations (resonances) with nite widths.

Branch cuts. Branch cuts of the ferm ion propagator correspond to multiparticle states.

As we are interested in the collective excitation in the medium, we will consider isolated poles in the rest of this section.

Write ! = E i with E and real. In the narrow width approximation for which E, the equations that determ ine the position of the complex poles are given by [22]

$$E k + Re (E;k) = 0;$$

+ sgn()Z (k)Im (E;k) = 0; (22)

where Z (k) in the second equation are the residues at the poles (wave function renorm alizations)

Z (k) =
$$1 + \frac{@Re (!;k)}{@!} = E$$
 (23)

If the product of the residue at the pole and the in aginary part of the self-energy on the quasiparticle m ass shell Z (k)Im (E;k) is negative, there are two com – plex poles conjugate to each other in the physical sheet corresponding to a growing and a decaying solution, i.e., an instability. On the other hand, if the product is positive there is no com plex pole in the physical sheet, the pole has moved o into the unphysical (second or higher) sheet. In this case the spectral function features a B reit-W igner shape resonance with a width given by the dam ping rates

$$(k) = Z (k) Im (E;k);$$
 (24)

which in general determ ines an exponential fallo $e^{(k)t}$ of the ferm ion propagator in real time. It is worth noting that num erical results reveal that at next-to-leading order the product Z (k)Im (E;k) is positive in the Feynm an gauge.

From the one-loop ferm ion self-energy calculated above, we recover at leading order in T [i.e., $O(T^2)$] the celebrated HTL results [3, 5, 6, 9, 10]. There are two branches of stable ferm ion collective excitations (ferm ions and the so-called plasm inos [9, 10]) with positive and negative helicity to chirality ratios, respectively. Their dispersion relations are manifestly gauge independent and given by (for positive energy solutions)

$$E(k) = \binom{!_{+}(k)}{!_{+}(k)} \text{ ferm ion;}$$
 (25)
(25)

A plot of the HTL dispersion relations ! (k) can be found in the literature (see, e.g., Refs. [9, 10]). One of the main features is that the two branches of collective excitations develop a gap $m = eT = \frac{1}{8}$, corresponding to an e ective therm alm ass that respects both gauge invariance and chiral symmetry [3, 5, 6, 9, 10].

The gauge dependence of the quasiparticle poles at next-to-leading order must be studied with care because the O (T) terms in Re (!;k) becomes subleading in the lim it k ! T as remarked above.

A nticipating that the next-to-leading order correction will not dram atically change the leading order HTL dispersion relations ! (k) which have a gap of order eT, we will rst consider the case for which k eT.As is clear from the above results, for generic k;! eT the next-toleading order correction to Re (!;k) is of order T and gauge independent. Upon substituting Re at this order into (20), we nd that for the collective excitations of mom enta k eT the one-loop dispersion relations at nextto-leading order in T [i.e., O (T)] are manifestly gauge independent. This is one of the novel contributions of this article. Num erical analysis shows that the dispersion relations at next-to-leading order have sim ilar features but m ove slightly above the leading order HTL results in the same momentum region. The di erences increase with increasing gauge coupling constant, in agreement with the full num erical result found in Ref. [17].

The next-to-leading order correction to Im in them oeT is again of order T but gauge mentum region k dependent. W hereas at next-to-leading order Im has support above the light cone which presum ably suggests nite dam ping rates eT (up to the logarithm of the gauge coupling constant) for the collective excitations at one-bop order, nevertheless the gauge-dependent contribution to Im that rst appears at this order makes such interpretations doubtful. This result is not unexpected, as it is well-known that in high tem perature gauge theories a consistent, gauge-independent calculation of the quasiparticle damping rates requires a resummation of perturbation theory such as, e.g., the Braaten-Pisarski HTL resum m ation program [1].

We next consider the case for which k eT. Expanding the ferm ion self-energy obtained above in the high-tem perature lim it [see (13), (15)-(17)] in powers of k=! and keeping terms leading in k=! and up to next-to-leading order in T, we obtain (k ! eT)

Re ⁽⁰⁾ (!;k) '
$$\frac{e^{2}T^{2}}{8!}$$
 $\frac{e^{2}!}{8^{2}} \ln \frac{T}{j!j}$;
Re ⁽¹⁾ (!;k) ' $\frac{k}{!}$ $\frac{e^{2}T^{2}}{24!}$ + $\frac{e^{2}!}{8^{2}} \ln \frac{T}{j!j}$; (26)

and

Im ⁽⁰⁾ (!;k) '
$$\frac{e^2T}{8}$$
 + ($1\frac{3e^2T}{16}$;
Im ⁽¹⁾ (!;k) ' $\frac{k}{!} \frac{e^2T}{6}$ + ($1\frac{e^2T}{12}$: (27)

In the above expressions we have combined the gaugeindependent and -dependent contributions. The nextto-leading order corrections to Re and Im are of order $\ln T$ and T, respectively, and manifestly gaugedependent. Upon substituting the above results into (20), we nd that for the collective excitations of momenta k eT the one-loop dispersion relations and damping rates at next-to-leading order in T are gauge dependent.

The e ective ferm ion mass m as well as the dam ping rate of collective excitations at rest (0) at nextto-leading order can be extracted by taking the longwavelength limit k ! 0. The e ective mass is determined by the following equation (m > 0)

$$m^{2} = \frac{e^{2}T^{2}}{8} + \frac{e^{2}m^{2}}{8} \ln \frac{T}{m};$$
 (28)

which agrees with the result found in the real-time form alism [18]. Eq. (28) indicates that the one-bop e ective m ass at next-to-leading order in T is gauge dependent and that the gauge-dependent correction to m is of order $e^4 T^2 \ln (1=e)$. The dam ping rate of collective excitations at rest is of order $e^2 T$ but with a gauge-dependent contribution of the sam e order.

Before ending this section we discuss brie y possible cancellation of the leading gauge dependence of the quasiparticle poles at two-loop order. For simplicity we will consider the k = 0 case in QED. At two-loop order there are three one-particle-irreducible (1PI) diagrams contribute to the ferm ion self-energy, corresponding to corrections of the vertex, ferm ion self-energy and gauge boson polarization. However, because of the W ard identity for the gauge boson polarization only the rst two will give rise to gauge-dependent contributions. For the purpose of our discussion here, we only need the twoloop gauge-dependent contributions quadratic in (1) will be subleading in T.

To extract the leading gauge-dependent contribution at two-loop order, we focus on diagram s at the level of the propagator rather than the 1P I self-energy diagram s. Speci cally, we consider the one-particle-reducible (1P R) two-loop self-energy diagram. U sing ⁽⁰⁾ given in (26) and (27), we nd for the realpart (i) the leading gaugeindependent contribution is of order e⁴T⁴=!³ corresponding to what will be resum m ed by the H T Ls at two-loop order, and (ii) the leading gauge-dependent contribution is of order (e⁴T²=!) h (T=!) arising from taking the gauge-dependent contribution in one of the two loops. Sim ilarly, for the imaginary part we nd both the leading gauge-independent and -dependent contributions are of order e⁴T³=!².

A ssum ing that the leading gauge-dependent contributions from the 1PI diagrams have the same behavior as those from the 1PR one, we obtain in $k ! 0 \lim it$

$$m^{2} = \frac{e^{2}T^{2}}{8} + \frac{e^{2}m^{2}}{8^{2}} \ln \frac{T}{m} + a()e^{4}T^{2} \ln \frac{T}{m};$$

(0) = Z(0) $\frac{e^{2}T}{8} + (1)\frac{3e^{2}T}{16} + b()\frac{e^{4}T^{3}}{m^{2}};$ (29)

where a() and b() are -dependent coe cients yet to be determined by explicit calculations. To solve for m and (0) at two-loop order, one can substitute into terms s on the right-hand side in (29) the leading order result m O (eT). If a() and b() are such that the gaugedependent contributions in (29) cancel, one nds the following gauge-independent results

$$m^{2}$$
 / $\frac{e^{2}T^{2}}{8}$ 1+0 $e^{2}\ln\frac{1}{e}$; (0) / O ($e^{2}T$): (30)

The above discussion seems to suggest that cancellation of the leading gauge dependence in m and (0) at twoloop order and next-to-leading odder in T is plausible. This situation is rem iniscent of the recent proof that the truncated on-shell 2PI e ective action has a controlled gauge dependence, with the explicit gauge-dependent term s always appearing at higher order [11{13].

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this article we have studied the gauge dependence of the ferm ion quasiparticle poles in hot gauge theories at one-loop order and next-to-leading order in T . W e focus on the quasiparticle poles corresponding to soft collective excitations of m om enta k . eT T, with a view towards going beyond the leading order HTL results and resum m ations thereof.

We have calculated the one-loop ferm ion self-energy (both the real and im aginary parts) in general covariant gauges up to next-to-leading order in the hightem perature expansion for which k;! T.We nd that whereas the next-to-leading order contributions to the in aginary part behaves like T , the behavior of those to the realpart depends on the range of ! as well as on their gauge dependence. The next-to-leading order gaugeindependent contribution behaves like T for k;! eT. but becomes ln T for k ! eT. Nevertheless, the corresponding gauge-dependent contribution always behaves like ln T in the high-tem perature lim it. This analysis allows us to study in detail the gauge dependence of the complex quasiparticle poles corresponding to soft collective excitations.

For collective excitations of m om enta k eT we nd that the dispersion relations at next-to-leading order in T are gauge independent. Num erical results shows that these next-to-leading order dispersion relations have sim ilar features but move slightly above the leading order HTL ones in the same momentum region. However, the corresponding dam ping rates are gauge dependent, thus rendering the complex quasiparticle poles gauge dependent. For k eT and in the long-wavelength lim it k ! 0, both the dispersion relations and the damping rates are gauge dependent. The gauge dependence of the position of the quasiparticle poles at one-loop order and next-to-leading order in T signals the need for resum m ations of perturbation theory.

A cknow ledgm ents

theories is the subject of further investigations.

The author thanks E.M ottola and G.C.N ayak for collaboration during the early stages of this work, illum inating discussions, and constant interest. He also thanks M.C arrington for discussions and D.B oyanovsky for discussions and carefully reading the manuscript. This work was supported by the US D epartment of Energy under contract W -7405-ENG-36.

APPENDIX A:VACUUM CONTRIBUTION TO THE FERM ION SELF-ENERGY

In this appendix we calculate the vacuum contribution to the one-loop ferm ion self-energy for the sake of com – pleteness. As a by-product, we show that the position of the singularities of the ferm ion propagator in vacuum are gauge independent at one-loop order.

The vacuum contribution to the imaginary part of the self-energy Im is extracted from (12) and (14) by neglecting the therm all distribution functions. The angular integration can be done analytically in the sam emanner as that in the nite-tem perature contribution, and the remaining radial integration over momentum is elementary. We nd

$$\operatorname{Im} \begin{array}{c} \operatorname{vac}_{FG}; (!;k) = \operatorname{sgn}(!) \frac{e^2}{16} (! k) (!^2 k^2); \\ \operatorname{Im} \begin{array}{c} \operatorname{vac}_{i}(!;k) = (1) \operatorname{sgn}(!) \frac{e^2}{16} (! k) (!^2 k^2); \\ \end{array}$$
(A 1)

where are de ned in (21). We note that the gaugeindependent and -dependent contribution can be com bined together to yield a single gauge-dependent term proportional to . As expected, Im has support only above the light cone corresponding to the usual twoparticle cuts.

The realpart obtained from the imaginary one through the dispersive representation (8), is ultraviolet divergent and hence has to be regularized by an ultraviolet frequency cuto . Introducing counter term swith the renormalization condition Re ^{vac}() = 0 at some arbitrary renormalization point , we obtain

Re ^{vac} (!;k) =
$$\frac{e^2}{16^2}$$
 (! k) $\ln \frac{2}{j!^2 k^2 j}$; (A2)

where the gauge-independent and -dependent contributions have been combine together.

U pon substituting the above results into (20), we d that the putative real poles of the ferm ion propagator in vacuum is determined by

(! k)
$$1 + \frac{e^2}{16^2} \ln \frac{2}{j!^2 k^2 j} = 0$$
: (A3)

B ecause of the infrared logarithm ic divergence at threshold associated with the emission of soft gauge boson, ! ! k are no longer isolated poles but the endpoints of logarithm ic branch cuts. N evertheless, we clear see that the position of the singularities (in this case logarithm ic branch points and branch cuts) of the ferm ion propagator in vacuum are gauge independent at one-loop order.

If one adds the vacuum and the nite-tem perature contributions, one nds that the real part of the vacuum contribution can be exactly combined with the ln T term in the realpart of the nite-tem perature contribution to yield a single term proportional to $\ln(T=)$. This generalizes the k = 0 case found in Ref. [18] to the case of k;! T and is the ferm ionic counterpart of the results found in Refs. [4, 20, 21]. However, no similarly com bination can be found in the corresponding in aginary part of the ferm ion self-energy.

- [1] E. Braaten and R. D. Pisarski, Nucl. Phys. B 337, 569 (1990); B 339, 310 (1990); R D. Pisarski, Physica A 158, 146 (1989); Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 1129 (1989); Nucl. Phys. A 525, 175c (1991).
- [2] J. Frenkel and J. C. Taylor, Nucl. Phys. B 334, 199 (1990); B 374, 156 (1992).
- [3] O.K.Kalashnikov and V.V.K lim ov, Sov.J.Nucl.Phys.
 31,699 (1980); V.V.K lim ov, ibid. 33,934 (1981); Sov.
 Phys.JETP 55 (1982) 199.
- [4] H.A.Weldon, Phys. Rev. D 26, 1394 (1982).
- [5] H.A.Weldon, Phys. Rev. D 26, 2789 (1982).
- [6] H.A.Weldon, Phys. Rev. D 40, 2410 (1989); Physica A 158, 169 (1989).
- [7] E.Braaten and A.Nieto, Phys.Rev.D 53, 3421 (1996);
 C.Zhai and B.M.Kastening, ibid.52, 7232 (1995); P. A mold and C.Zhai, ibid.51, 1906 (1995); ibid.50, 7603 (1994).
- [8] R.Kobes, G.Kunstatter, and A.Rebhan, Phys.Rev. Lett. 64, 2992 (1990); Nucl. Phys.B 355, 1 (1991). For a recent review, see A.Rebhan, Lect. Notes Phys. 583, 161 (2002).
- [9] M. Le Bellac, Therm al Field Theory (C am bridge University Press, C am bridge, England, 1996).
- [10] U.K raem m er and A.Rebhan, Rept. Prog. Phys. 67, 351 (2004).
- [11] A. Arrizabalaga and J. Sm it, Phys. Rev. D 66, 065014

(2002).

- [12] E.M ottola, arX iv hep-ph/0304279 (to appear in the Proceedings of SEW M 2002, W orld Scienti c, Singapore).
- [13] M. E. Carrington, G. Kunstatter, and H. Zaraket, arX iv hep-ph/0309084.
- [14] J.W. Harris and B.Muller, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 46, 71 (1996) P. Jacobs and X. N. W ang, arX is hepph/0405125; and references therein.
- [15] A. Riotto and M. Trodden, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 49, 35 (1999); and references therein.
- [16] See, e.g., G.G.Ra elt, Stars as Laboratories for Fundamental Physics (University of Chicago Press, 1996).
- [17] A.Peshier, K.Schertler, and M.H.Thom a, Ann.Phys. (N Y.) 266, 162 (1998).
- [18] I.M itra, Phys. Rev. D 62, 045023 (2000).
- [19] H.A.Weldon, Phys. Rev. D 62, 056003 (2000).
- [20] F.T.Brandt, J.Frenkel, and J.C.Taylor, Phys. Rev. D 44, 1801 (1991).
- [21] F. T. Brandt and J. Frenkel, Phys. Rev. D 55, 7808 (1997).
- [22] S.-Y. W ang, D. Boyanovsky, H. J. de Vega, D.-S. Lee, and Y. J. Ng, Phys. Rev. D 61, 065004 (2000); D. Boyanovsky, H. J. de Vega, D.-S. Lee, Y. J. Ng, and S.-Y. W ang, ibid. 59, 105001 (1999).