A nalytical E valuation of D im ensionally R egularized M assive O n-Shell D ouble B oxes

G.Heinrich

II. Institut fur Theoretische Physik, Universitat Hamburg, Luruper Chausse 149, 22761 Hamburg, Germany

and

V A . Sm imov

Nuclear Physics Institute of Moscow State University, Moscow 119992, Russia

Abstract

The method of Mellin {Barnes representation is used to calculate dimensionally regularized massive on-shell double box Feynman diagrams contributing to Bhabha scattering at two boops.

1 Introduction

The method of Mellin {Barnes representation has turned out to be very successful for the analytical evaluation of two-loop Feynm an diagram s with four external lines within dimensional regularization [1]. The problem of the evaluation of massless on-shell double boxes was solved in [2, 3, 4, 5], with multiple subsequent applications to the calculation of two-loop scattering amplitudes in gauge theories [6]. First calculations of massless on-shell four-point three-loop Feynman integrals were done in [7].

C on plete algorithms for the evaluation of massless on-shell double boxes with one leg o shell were also constructed. Master integrals were calculated using the M B representation (rst results in [8]) and the method of dimential equations (DE) [9], where a systematic evaluation was described in [10]. The reduction to master integrals was done using a Laporta's idea [11] in [10]. All results are expressed in terms of two-dimensional harmonic polylogarithms [10] which generalize harmonic polylogarithms (HPL) [12]. Let us stress that this very combination of reduction based on [11, 10] and DE was successfully applied in numerous calculations, e.g. to various classes of vertex diagram s [13, 14].

Another important class of Feynman integrals with one more parameter, with respect to the massless on {shell double boxes, are massive on-shell double boxes relevant to Bhabha scattering at NNLO.Bhabha scattering plays an important role



Figure 1: Massive on-shell double boxes: (a) planar double box of the rst type, (b) planar double box of the second type and (c) non-planar double box. The solid lines denote massive, the dotted lines massless particles.

as a lum inosity monitor for e^+e^- colliders. Because of this phenom enological interest, the two-loop corrections to Bhabha scattering have been studied extensively in recent years. In [15], the complete two-loop virtual QED corrections were calculated in the massless ferm ion approximation. The infrared singularity structure of this result was studied in [16]. The rst result for a two-loop master double box with nonzero electron mass was obtained in [17]. Planar two-loop box diagrams with one-loop insertion and without neglecting the ferm ion masses were calculated by DE in [18]. This work was continued to calculate the subset of all two-loop diagram s involving a closed ferm ion loop in [19]. The two-loop contribution which factorizes into squares of one-bop diagram s was calculated in [20]. Num erical results for the three m aster integrals shown in Fig.1 were given in [21] for Euclidean points. D espite all these e orts, a complete two-bop di erential cross section is not available yet [22], m ainly because the most complicated m aster integrals, the two-bop box diagram s, have not all been calculated so far.

2 Evaluating by M ellin-Barnes representation

2.1 Planar double boxes of the rst type

The general double box Feynm an integral of the st type (see Fig. 1a) takes the form

$$B_{PL;1}(a_{1}; :::; a_{8}; s; t; m^{2};) = \frac{Z Z}{(k^{2} m^{2})^{a_{1}} [(k + p_{1})^{2})^{a_{2}} [(k + p_{1} + p_{2})^{2} m^{2}]^{a_{3}}} \frac{[(k + p_{1} + p_{2} + p_{3})^{2}]^{a_{8}}}{[(1 + p_{1} + p_{2})^{2} m^{2})^{a_{4}} [(1 + p_{1} + p_{2} + p_{3})^{2}]^{a_{5}} (l^{2} m^{2})^{a_{6}} [(k - l)^{2}]^{a_{7}}};$$
(1)

where $s = (p_1 + p_2)^2$; $t = (p_2 + p_3)^2$, and k and lare the bop m on enta corresponding to the left and the right box. U sual prescriptions $k^2 = k^2 + i0$; s = s + i0, etc. are im plied. For convenience, we consider the factor with $(k + p_1 + p_2 + p_3)^2$ corresponding to the irreducible num erator as an extra propagator but, really, we are interested only in the non-positive integer values of a_8 .

A rst analytical result for the planar double box of the rst type, was obtained in [17] using the following sixfold MB representation:

$$B_{PL,1}(a_{1};:::;a_{8};s;t;m^{2};) = \underbrace{\frac{i d^{-2} (1)^{a}}{2}}_{\substack{j=2;4;5;6;7 (a_{j}) (4 a_{4567} 2)(s)^{a} (4 - 2)}_{\substack{j=2;4;5;6;7 (a_{j}) (4 a_{4567} 2)(s)^{a} (2 - 2 + 2)}_{\substack{j=1}} (z_{3} + z_{4})}$$

$$= \underbrace{\frac{1}{(2 i)^{6}} \frac{z_{+i1}}{i1}}_{(2 i)^{6} i1} dw \frac{y^{5}}{y^{5}}_{j=1} dz_{j} \frac{m^{2}}{s} \frac{z_{+1+z_{5}}}{s} \frac{t}{s} \frac{w}{(a_{2} + w) (w) (z_{2} + z_{4}) (z_{3} + z_{4})}_{(a_{1} + z_{3} + z_{4}) (a_{3} + z_{2} + z_{4})}$$

$$= \underbrace{\frac{(4 a_{13} 2a_{28} 2 + z_{2} + z_{3})(a_{1238} 2 + z_{4} + z_{5})(a_{7} + w z_{4})}_{(4 a_{46} 2a_{57} 2 - 2w 2z_{4} z_{2} z_{3})}$$

$$= \underbrace{\frac{(a_{4567} 2 + w + z_{4} + z_{4})(a_{8} z_{2} - z_{3} z_{4})}_{(4 a_{1238} 2 + w z_{4}) (a_{8} w z_{2} - z_{3} z_{4})}$$

$$= \underbrace{\frac{(a_{5} + w + z_{2} + z_{3} + z_{4})(2 a_{567} w z_{4} - z_{2})}_{(4 a_{13} 2a_{28} 2 + z_{2} + z_{3} - 2z_{5})}$$

$$= \underbrace{\frac{(a_{4567} w z_{4} - z_{37} - z_{3})(2 a_{128} + z_{2} - z_{5})}_{(2 a_{457} w z_{4} - z_{37} - z_{3})}}$$

where $a = a_{1:::3}$, $a_{4567} = a_4 + a_5 + a_6 + a_7$; $a_{13} = a_1 + a_3$, etc., and integration contours are chosen in the standard way.

To evaluate the master double box $B_{PL;1}(1;:::;1;0;s;t;m^2;)$ the standard procedure of taking residues and shifting contours [2] was used [17], with the goal to obtain a sum of integrals where one may expand the integrands in a Laurent series in

= $(4 \ d)=2$ (where d is the space-time dimension within dimensional regularization [1]). Then one can use the rst and the second Barnes lemm as and their corollaries to perform some of the MB integrations explicitly. In the last integrations which usually carry a dependence on the external variables, one closes the contour in the com plex plane and sum s up the corresponding series. (See [24] for details of the m ethod.)

In [22] it was announced that the massive on-shell double boxes with two reduced lines have been calculated by D E, with a solution of reduction problem by the m ethod of [11, 10]. However an extension of these results to all master integrals meets problem s. D i erential equations of third order and higher are encountered there.

On the other hand, the method of MB representation can certainly be applied to arbitrary massive on-shell double boxes. To illustrate this point, we present the evaluation of typical complicated master integrals from this class.

As has been demonstrated in [3], it can be useful to use a double box with a numerator as a master integral in order to avoid coe cients of order 1= in the course of the tensor reduction when calculating a scattering am plitude. Therefore we present here results for the planar double box $B_{PL;1}(1;:::;1; 1;s;t;m^2;)$.

Our calculation of this Feynman integral is quite similar to the previous case [17]. It is based on (2). A firer a prelim inary analysis of the integrand one observes that it is reasonable to start the procedure of resolution of the singularities in , by shifting contours and taking residues, from taking care of the gamma function (1 z_4). Here one meets the same problem as in the massless case (see \mathbb{Z}_2 Zβ the third reference in [3]) connected with spurious singularities in MB integrals. It can also be cured in the same way, by introducing an auxiliary analytic regularization. To do this, we have chosen $a_8 = 1 + x$. Then the singularities in the MB integrals are rst resolved with respect to x and then with respect to when x and tend to zero. W ith this complication, the key gam ma function becomes (x 1 z_2 z_3 z_4). As a result of the procedure of resolution of the singularities in x and , the spurious singularities in x drop out, and we are left only with six M B integrals which can be evaluated by expanding the integrands in and evaluating the corresponding nite MB integrals.

W e have obtained the following result:

$$B_{PL;1}(1;:::;1; 1;s;t;m^{2};) = \frac{i^{d=2}e^{-\epsilon} x^{2}}{s^{2}(m^{2})^{2}} \frac{c_{2}^{1}(x)}{2} + \frac{c_{1}^{1}(x)}{2} + c_{01}^{1}(x) + c_{02}^{1}(x) + 4\ln\frac{1+x}{1-x}c_{03}^{1}(x;y) + O(());$$

with

$$c_2^{1}(x) = \frac{3}{2} \ln^2 \frac{1}{1+x}; \qquad (3)$$

$$c_{1}^{1}(x) = \text{Li}_{3} \frac{(1-x)^{2}}{(1+x)^{2}}^{!} 2\ln\frac{x}{1+x} + 2\ln2 \ln\frac{s}{m^{2}} \text{Li}_{2} \frac{(1-x)^{2}}{(1+x)^{2}}^{!} + 2\ln\frac{1-x}{1+x}\text{Li}_{2} \frac{1-x}{2} + \frac{1}{6}\ln^{3}(1-x) + \frac{3}{2}\ln^{2}(1-x)\ln(1+x) - \frac{5}{2}\ln(1-x)\ln^{2}(1+x) + \frac{5}{6}\ln^{3}(1+x) - 2\ln2\ln(1-x)\ln\frac{1-x}{1+x} - \frac{2}{6}\ln\frac{s}{m^{2}} - 2\ln^{2}\frac{1-x}{1+x}\ln\frac{t}{m^{2}} - \frac{2}{6}(3\ln(1-x) - 2\lnx)\ln(1+x)) + \ln^{2}2\ln\frac{1-x}{1+x} + \frac{2}{3}\ln2 \qquad (3);$$

$$(4)$$

$$c_{01}^{1}(x) = 8H \qquad 1;0;0;1;\frac{1+x}{1+x};$$
 (5)

where x = 1 = 1 4m² = s and H (1;0;0;1;z) is a HPL [12]. The two other contributions to the nite part are more cum bersom e: $c_{02}^{1}(x) = 3Li_{4}(1 x)^{2} = (1 + x)^{2}$ $8Li_{4}((1 x) = 2)$ $8Li_{4}(2x = (1 x))$ $12Li_4$ ((1 x)=(1 + x)) $4Li_4$ ((1 x)=(1 + x)) $8Li_4$ (2x=(1 + x)) 8Li₄ ((1 + x)=2) 2S_{2,2} (1 x)²=(1 + x)² 2(4l₂ m_x 3p_x)Li₃ ((1 x)=2) +4 (m $_{x}$ p_{x})Lig (1 x) 2 (21₂ + 1_x m $_{x}$ p_{x})Lig 4x=(1 x)² + 4 (m x p_x)Lig (x=(1 x)) + 2(2l₂ + 2l_x $3m_x + p_x$)Lig (2x=(1 x)) $2(3l_2 + l_x m_x 2p_x)Li_3 (1 x)^2 = (1 + x)^2$ $2(2l_{2} + l_{x} m_{x} p_{x})Li_{2} 4x = (1 + x)^{2} 4(m_{x} p_{x})Li_{2} (1 = (1 + x))$ $2(6l_2 + 2l_x + m_x - 7p_x)Li_3((1 x) = (1 + x))$ $4(5l_2 + 3l_x - 2m_x - 3p_x)Li_3((1 - x) = (1 + x)) - 4(m_x - p_x)Li_3(x = (1 + x))$ + 2($2l_2$ + $2l_x$ + m_x 3 p_x)Li₂(2x=(1 + x)) 2(4 l_2 3 m_x p_x)Li₂((1 + x)=2) $+4(l_2 + l_x m_x)Li_3((1 + x)=(1 x)) + 4Li_2((1 x)=2)^2 + 2Li_2(x)^2$ + $(m_x p_x)(6l_2 12l_x + 3m_x + 3p_x + 2ln(s=m^2))(Li_2(x) Li_2(x))$ 4Li₂ (x) Li₂ (x) + (2l₂ + l_x 2m x) (m px) Li₂ 4x=(1 x)² + $(m_x p_x)(2l_2 + 4l_x m_x ln(s=m^2))Li_2(2x=(1 x)) + (2l_2^2 + 2l_2l_x)$ $+ l_x^2 = 2$ $4 l_2 m_x$ $3 l_x m_x$ $m_x^2 + l_x p_x + 6 m_x p_x$ $3 p_x^2) L l_2 (1 x)^2 = (1 + x)^2$ $2(2l_2 + l_x - 2p_x) (m_x - p_x) L_2 4x = (1 + x)^2 (4l_2^2 + 4l_2 l_x + l_x^2 - 8l_2 m_x)$ $4l_x m_x m_y^2 = 3 + 10m_x p_x 5p_y^2)Li_2((1 x) = (1 + x))$ $(4l_2^2 + 4l_2 l_x + l_y^2)$ $4l_2m_x 2m_x^2 = 2 4l_2p_x 4l_xp_x + 8m_xp_x 2p_x^2 + 4Li_2 ((1 x)=(1 + x))$ $2Li_2$ ((1 x)=(1 + x))) Li_2 ((1 x)=(1 + x)) $(m_x p_x)(2l_2 + 4l_x m_x 2p_x \ln(s=m^2))L_1(2x=(1+x))$ + $6L_{12}$ (x) L_{12} ((1 + x)=2) + 2 ($3m_x$ $4l_xm_x$ + $2m_x^2$ + $3p_x$ + $4l_xp_x$ $4m_xp_x$ + $2p_x^2$

$$\begin{aligned} + 2m_{x} \ln (s=m^{2}) & 2p_{x} \ln (s=m^{2}) & 3L_{2}(x) + 2L_{2}((1+x)=2))L_{2}((1+x)=2) \\ & 2(3m_{x} 8l_{2}m_{x} 10l_{x}m_{x} + 2m_{x}^{2} 3p_{x} + 8l_{2}p_{x} + 10l_{x}p_{x} + 4m_{x}p_{x} 6p_{x}^{2} \\ & + 2m_{x} \ln (s=m^{2}) & 2p_{x} \ln (s=m^{2}) + 3L_{2}(x) & 3L_{2}(x) \\ & + 4L_{2}((1+x)=2))L_{2}((1-x)=2) + 2L_{2}(x)^{2} \\ & + 2l_{2}^{4} & 6l_{2}^{2}m_{x} + 4l_{2}^{3}m_{x} + 6l_{2}^{2}l_{x}m_{x} + 6l_{2}m_{x}^{2} & 41l_{2}^{2}m_{x}^{2} \\ & 32l_{2}l_{x}m_{x}^{2} + 2l_{x}^{2}m_{x}^{2} + (65l_{2}m_{x}^{3})=3 + (23l_{x}m_{x}^{3})=3 & (49m_{x}^{4})=8 & (2l_{2}^{2})=3 \\ & + m_{x}^{2} + (5l_{2}m_{x}^{2})=3 & (l_{x}m_{x}^{2})=2 & (7m_{x}^{2})=12 + (37^{4})=360 \\ & + 6l_{2}^{2}p_{x} & 12l_{2}^{3}p_{x} & 6l_{2}^{2}l_{x}p_{x} + 70l_{2}^{2}m_{x}p_{x} + 52l_{2}l_{x}m_{x}p_{x} \\ & 4l_{x}^{2}m_{x}p_{x} & 6m_{x}^{2}p_{x} + 17l_{2}m_{x}^{2}p_{x} + 13l_{x}m_{x}^{2}p_{x} + (4m_{x}^{3}p_{x})=3 \\ & ^{2}p_{x} & (l_{2}^{2}p_{x})=3 + (l_{x}^{2}p_{x})=2 & (11m_{x}^{2}p_{x})=6 & 6l_{2}p_{x}^{2} \\ & 17l_{2}^{2}p_{x}^{2} & 20l_{2}l_{x}p_{x}^{2} + 2l_{x}^{2}p_{x}^{2} + 6m_{x}p_{x}^{2} & 87l_{2}m_{x}p_{x}^{2} & 43l_{x}m_{x}p_{x}^{2} \\ & (41m_{x}^{2}p_{x}^{2})=4 + (7^{2}p_{x}^{2})=4 + (121l_{2}p_{x}^{3})=3 + (67l_{x}p_{x}^{3})=3 + (113m_{x}p_{x}^{3})=3 \\ & (165p_{x}^{4})=8 & 2(2l_{2} + 4l_{x} m_{x} p_{x})(m_{x} p_{x})^{2}\ln(s=m^{2}) \\ & + 2(m_{x} p_{x})^{2}\ln^{2}(s=m^{2}) + 2(m_{x} p_{x})^{2}\ln^{2}(t=m^{2}) \\ & + 2l_{2} 3 + 6l_{x} 3 & 4m_{x} 3 & 8p_{x} 3; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} c^{1}_{03}(x;y) &= 2(\text{Li}_{3}((1-x)=2) \quad \text{Li}_{5}((1+x)=2) + \text{Li}_{5}((1-x)y=(1-xy)) \\ \text{Li}_{3}((1+x)y=(1-xy)) + \text{Li}_{3}((1-x)y=(1+xy)) \quad \text{Li}_{3}((1+x)y=(1+xy))) \\ + \text{Li}_{3}((1+x)(1-y)=(2(1-xy))) \quad \text{Li}_{5}((1-x)(1+y)=(2(1-xy))) \\ \text{Li}_{5}((1-x)(1-y)=(2(1+xy))) + \text{Li}_{5}((1-x)(1+y)=(2(1-xy))) \\ + 2(\text{L}_{2}-m_{x})\text{Li}_{2}((1-x)=2) + 2(\text{p}_{x}-1_{2})\text{Li}_{2}((1+x)=2) \quad (m_{xy}-p_{xy})\text{Li}_{2}((1-y)=2) \\ + 2(m_{xy}-p_{xy})(\text{Li}_{2}(-y) - \text{Li}_{2}(y)) + (m_{xy}-p_{y})\text{Li}_{2}((1-xy))=(1-y)) \\ + (m_{xy}-p_{xy})(\text{Li}_{2}(-y) - \text{Li}_{2}(y)) + (m_{xy}-p_{y})\text{Li}_{2}((1-x)y=(1-xy)) \\ + (m_{xy}-p_{xy})(\text{Li}_{2}(-y) - (1-x)y=(1-xy)) \\ 2(\text{L}_{y}+m_{x}-p_{xy})\text{Li}_{2}(-(1-x)y=(1-xy)) \\ 2(\text{L}_{y}+m_{x}-p_{xy})\text{Li}_{2}(-(1-x)y=(1-xy)) \\ + (1-x)y=(1-xy) + 2(\text{L}_{y}+p_{x}-p_{xy})\text{Li}_{2}(-(1+x)y=(1+xy)) \\ (p_{xy}-p_{y})\text{Li}_{2}(-(1-xy)=(1+y)) \\ + (1-x)y=(1-xy) + 2(1-xy) + 2(1-xy)) \\ (1-x)y=(1-xy) + (1-x)y=(1-xy) + 2(1-xy) + 2(1-x) + 2$$

 $2l_ym_{xy}p_x + 2m_{xy}^2p_x + 2l_ym_yp_x + m_{xy}m_yp_x$

+ $(5^{2} (m_{xy} p_{xy}))=6 + 2l_{y}m_{x}p_{xy} m_{x}m_{y}p_{xy} (m_{y}^{2}p_{xy})=2 2l_{y}p_{x}p_{xy}$ $m_{y}p_{x}p_{xy} l_{y}p_{xy}^{2} 2m_{x}p_{xy}^{2} + m_{y}p_{xy}^{2} + p_{x}p_{xy}^{2} 2l_{y}m_{x}p_{y} 2l_{y}m_{xy}p_{y} m_{x}m_{xy}p_{y}$ + $2m_{x}m_{y}p_{y} + 2l_{y}p_{x}p_{y} 3m_{xy}p_{x}p_{y} 2m_{y}p_{x}p_{y} + 2l_{y}p_{xy}p_{y} + 3m_{x}p_{xy}p_{y} + p_{x}p_{xy}p_{y}$ $m_{x}p_{y}^{2} + (3m_{xy}p_{y}^{2})=2 + p_{x}p_{y}^{2} (3p_{xy}p_{y}^{2})=2;$ (7)

where y = 1 = 1 $4m^2 = t$ and $S_{2;2}$ is a generalized polylogarithm [25]. The following abbreviations are also used: $_3 = (3), \frac{1}{2} = \ln z$ for $z = x;y;2, p_z = \ln (1 + z)$ and $m_z = \ln (1 - z)$ for z = x;y;xy.

The results have been checked num erically in two independent ways: The nite M B integrals, obtained after the resolution of singularities, have been evaluated by num erical integration along the imaginary axis. The parameter space for the M onte C arb integration was maxim ally 4-dimensional in this case. In addition, the overall coe cients of the poles have been calculated at Euclidean points by the completely independent m ethod of sector decomposition [23, 21].

2.2 Planar double boxes of the second type

For the general planar double box of the second type (see Fig. 1b)

$$B_{PL;2}(a_{1}; :::; a_{8}; s; t; m^{2};) = \frac{Z Z}{(k^{2} m^{2})^{a_{1}} [(k + p_{1})^{2})^{a_{2}} [(k + p_{1} + p_{2})^{2} m^{2}]^{a_{3}}}{[(k + p_{1} + p_{2} + p_{3})^{2}]^{a_{8}}} \frac{[(k + p_{1} + p_{2} + p_{3})^{2}]^{a_{8}}}{[(1 + p_{1} + p_{2})^{2})^{a_{4}} [(1 + p_{1} + p_{2} + p_{3})^{2} m^{2}]^{a_{5}} (l^{2})^{a_{6}} [(k - l)^{2} m^{2}]^{a_{7}}};$$
(8)

a sixfold MB representation can be derived [26] similarly to the rst case:

$B_{PL;2}(a_1;:::;a_8;s;t;m^2;)$												
	i ^{d=2²} (1) ^a											
=	$\sum_{j=2;4;5;6;7}$ (a _j) (4 a ₄₅₆₇ 2) (s) ^{a 4+2}											
	1	Z _{+ i1}	Y ⁶ dz₊	m ² · z ₅ ·	+ z ₆ t	z ₁ Y ⁶	(Z;)	(a ₂ +	z1)	$(a_4 + z_2)$	₂ + z ₄)
	(2 i) ⁶ il	j= 1	S	S	j= 1	۰ <u>ر</u> ۲	(a	₃ Z ₂) (a ₁	z ₃)	
		(4	a ₄₄₅₆₆₇	2	Z ₂ Z ₃	2z4)	(a ₆ +	z ₃ + z	z ₄)			
	(4	a ₄₄₅₆₆	57 2	z ₂ z ₃	$2z_4$	2z ₅)	(6 a	3	Z_4	z ₅)		
		(8 a ₁	3 2a ₂₄₅₆	₅₇₈ 4	2z	\mathbf{z}_2	z ₃ 2:	z ₄ 2z	<u>;</u> 5)			
	(8	a ₁₃	2a ₂₄₅₆₇₈	4	2z ₁ z ₂	\mathbf{Z}_3	$2z_4$	$2z_5$	2z ₆)			
	(2	a ₄₅₆	Z_4	z ₅) (2	a ₄₆₇		Z ₂ Z ₃	3 Z4	z ₅)			
			(a ₄₅₆₇₈	2+	+ z ₂ + z	z ₃ + z ₄	+ z ₅)					
	(a ₄	₅₆₇ +	2 + z ₂ -	⊦ z ₃ + z	$z_4 + z_5$)	(a 456)	78 24	- + 2	$\mathbf{z}_1 + \mathbf{z}_2$	$z_{2} + z_{3} +$	z4 +	z ₅)

We have used this representation to calculate the master planar double box of the second type $B_{PL;2}(1;:::;1;0;s;t;m^2;)$. The resolution of singularities in was performed similar to the previous cases. The number of resulting M B integrals where an expansion in can be performed in the integrand is again equal to six. We have arrived at the following result:

$$B_{PL;2}(1;:::;1;0;s;t;m^{2};) = i^{d=2}e^{e^{2}}\frac{xy}{s^{2}(t)^{1+2}}$$

$$\frac{c_{2}^{2}(x;y)}{2} + \frac{c_{1}^{2}(x;y)}{2} + c_{01}^{2}(x;y) + c_{02}^{2}(x;y) + c_{03}^{2}(s;t;m^{2}) + O(t)^{i}; \quad (10)$$

where

$$c_{2}^{2}(x) = \ln \frac{1}{1+x} \ln \frac{1}{1+y} \frac{y}{1+y}; \qquad (11)$$

$$c_{1}^{2}(x) = 2 \ln \frac{1}{1+y} \frac{y}{1+y} \operatorname{Li}_{2} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Li}_{2} \frac{1+x}{2} + \operatorname{Li}_{2}(x) \operatorname{Li}_{2}(x) + 2 \ln \frac{1}{1+x} \operatorname{Li}_{2} \frac{1}{y} \operatorname{Li}_{2} \frac{1+y}{2} + \operatorname{Li}_{2}(y) \operatorname{Li}_{2}(y) + \ln \frac{1}{1+x} \ln \frac{1}{1+y} 4 \ln \frac{y}{x} + \ln \frac{1}{1+y} + \ln \frac{1+x}{1+y} : \qquad (12)$$

Furtherm ore,

$$\begin{aligned} c_{01}^{2}(x;y) &= 4\left((m_{y} + p_{y})(3Li_{5}((1 x)=2) + Li_{5}(1 x) + Li_{5}(x=(1 x))\right) \\ \text{Li}_{5}(2x=(1 x)) \quad \text{Li}_{5}(1=(1+x)) \quad \text{Li}_{5}(x=(1+x)) + \text{Li}_{5}(2x=(1+x)) \\ 3Li_{5}((1+x)=2)) + (m_{x} p_{x})(Li_{5}((1 y)=2) \quad \text{Li}_{5}(1 y) \quad 2Li_{5}(y) + 2Li_{5}(y) \\ \text{Li}_{5}(y=(1 y)) + Li_{5}(2y=(1 y)) + Li_{5}(1=(1+y)) + Li_{5}(y=(1+y)) \\ \text{Li}_{5}(2y=(1+y)) \quad \text{Li}_{5}((1+y)=2))) + 4\ln(s=m^{2})((m_{y} p_{y})(Li_{2}((1 x)=2)) \\ \text{Li}_{2}((1+x)=2)) + (m_{x} p_{x})(Li_{2}(y) \quad Li_{2}(y))) \\ + 2((p_{y} m_{y})((2L_{2} + 4L_{y} m_{y} p_{y})Li_{2}(x) + 2(L_{2} + L_{x} m_{x})Li_{2}(2x=(1 x))) \\ 2(L_{2} + L_{x} p_{x})Li_{2}((2x)=(1+x)) \\ (2 + 2L_{2} + 4L_{x} + 4L_{y} m_{y} 4p_{x} p_{y})Li_{2}((1+x)=2)) \\ + (m_{x} p_{x})(2 2L_{2} 4L_{x} + m_{x} 2m_{y} + p_{x} + 2p_{y})Li_{2}((1 y)=2) \\ + ((m_{x} p_{x})(2L_{2} 4L_{x} + m_{x} + p_{x}) + 2Li_{2}(x) + 2Li_{2}((1+x)=2))Li_{2}(y) \\ \end{aligned}$$

$$2 (m_x p_x) (l_z + l_y m_y) L_{z} (2y=(1 y)) (l_z + l_y p_y) L_{z} (2y=(1 + y))) + L_{z} (x) (2l_zm_y + 4l_ym_y m_y^2 2l_zp_y 4l_yp_y + p_y^2 + 2L_{z} ((1 y)=2) 2L_{z} (y) + 2L_{z} (y) 2L_{z} ((1 + y)=2)) ((m_x p_x) (2 + 2l_z 4l_x + 4l_y + m_x + p_x 4p_y) 2L_{z} (x) + 2L_{z} ((1 + x)=2)) L_{z} ((1 + y)=2) + L_{z} ((1 x)=2) ((m_y p_y) (2 6l_z 8l_x 4l_y + 6m_x + m_y + 2p_x + p_y) 2L_{z} ((1 y)=2) 2L_{z} (y) + 2L_{z} (y) + 2L_{z} ((1 + y)=2))) (m_x p_x) (m_y p_y) ln^2 (s=m^2) + (m_xm_y (16l_z (l_x + l_y 1) + 2))=2 + (2(4l_x m_x p_x) (m_x p_x) (m_y p_y) ln (s=m^2)) + (8m_y^3 (m_x p_x) (m_y p_y) + 2(l_z + m_x)p_x^2 (m_y p_y) 2p_x^3 (m_y p_y) + 8l_y^2 (m_x p_x) (m_y p_y) + 2(l_z + m_x)p_x^2 (m_y p_y) 2p_x^3 (m_y p_y) 4l_z^3 (m_x + m_y p_x p_y) + (2(l_z 1) ^2 (m_x + m_y p_x p_y))=3 + (6m_xm_y 2l_z (m_x p_x) p_x (6m_y + p_x))p_y^2 (10 (m_x p_x)p_y^3)=3 m_y^2 (m_x p_x) (2l_z + 8l_y + m_x + p_x + 2p_y) + (^2 (m_yp_x + (m_x 5p_x)p_y))=6 + m_x^2 (2m_yp_x 2p_xp_y + p_y^2) + (2l_y (m_x p_x) (^2 + 6m_yp_x + 6m_x (m_y p_y) 6m_yp_y 6p_xp_y + 12p_y^2))=3 4l_z^2 (p_x l_yp_x + m_y (l_x + p_x 1) + p_y l_xp_y + 2p_xp_y + m_x (1 + l_y 4m_y + p_y)) + (2l_x (m_y p_y) (^2 24l_y (m_x p_x) 6m_yp_x 6p_xp_y + 6m_x (m_y p_x + p_y))=3 8l_z (l_ym_yp_x (1 + m_y)p_xp_y + m_x ((l_x p_x)p_y + m_y (p_x + p_y))) : (13)$$

The function $c_{02}^2(x;y)$ is equal to $(p_x m_x)b_{02}(y;x)$ where the function $b_{02}(x;y)$ is given by the braces in Eq. (9) of [17] which de nes the contribution $b_{02}(x;y)$ to the nite part of the master double box of the rst type.

Finally,

$$c_{03}^{2} (s;t;m^{2}) = \frac{4}{s^{p-t}} \int_{0}^{z_{1}z_{1}} dx_{1} dx_{2} \frac{p}{1-x_{1}} \int_{1-x_{1}}^{z_{1}} \frac{A \operatorname{rsh}^{h} (t^{p-t} \frac{p}{x_{1}}) \int_{1-x_{2}}^{p} (4m^{2} \frac{p}{x_{1}}) \int_{1-x_{2}}^{1-x_{2}} (2m^{p-t} \frac{p}{x_{1}+x_{2}} \frac{x_{1}x_{2}}{x_{1}x_{2}})^{i}}{(4m^{2} - sx_{1})x_{2}} \int_{1-x_{2}}^{1-x_{2}} (4m^{2} - t)x_{1} (1-x_{2}) + 4m^{2}x_{2}} \int_{1-x_{1}}^{1-x_{2}} (4m^{2} - t)x_{2} \int_{1-x_{2}}^{1-x_{2}} (4m^{2} - t)x_{2}} \int_{1-x_{2}}^{1-x_{2}} (4m^{2} - t)x_{2} \int_{1-x_{2}}^{1-x_{2}} (4m^{2} - t)x_{2}} \int_{1-x_{2}}^{1-x_{2}} \int_{1-x_{2}}^{1-x_{2}} (4m^{2} - t)x_{2}} \int_{1-x_{2}}^{1-x_{2}} \int_$$

We have controlled this result similarly to the previous case, by num erical evaluation of our nite MB integrals and num erical evaluation by the method of [23].

It is not clear whether the two-param etric integrals present in the nite part can be expressed in term s of HPL or 2dHPL depending on special combinations of s;t and m^2 . If the answer to this question is negative one m ight think about the introduction of a new class of functions, e.g., a sort of generalized two-dimensional HPL. In this context, let us point out that generalized HPL of various types were introduced in [14]. These new special functions were de ned similarly to HPL, with other basic functions, in particular 1 = t(t + 4).

However, for example, the GHPL

h

H (r; 1;x) =
$$\int_{0}^{Z_{x}} \frac{dt}{t(t+4)}$$

de ned in [14] equals

2Li₂ z;
$$\frac{1}{3}$$
 + $\frac{1}{2}$ ln² z $\frac{2}{18}$;

where

$$z = \frac{p \frac{1}{4 + x}}{p \frac{1}{4 + x}} \frac{p \frac{1}{x}}{p \frac{1}{x}}$$

and Li₂ (r;) = ReLi₂ reⁱ is the dilogarithm of a complex argument. Still it is not yet clear whether any GHPL can be expressed in terms of polylogarithms and HPL.

From them athem atical point of view it is natural to try to express any new results in terms of known functions, which may depend on the initial variables through some special combinations. Pragmatically, it is su cient to present new results in terms of some functions which are, probably, new and which can be evaluated with high precision at physical values of the given variables. A nyway, the two-parametrical integrals presented above can be evaluated numerically in a straightforward way at any non-singular point of the variables s;t;m².

2.3 Non-planar double boxes

For the general non-planar double box (see Fig. 1c), one can derive the following eightfold MB representation [26]:

$$B_{NP}(a_{1}; :::; a_{8}; s; t; u; m^{2};) = \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} & i^{d=2} & ^{2}(1)^{a} \\ \\ \underbrace{1}_{j=2;4;5;6;7}(a_{j}) & (4 & a_{4567} & 2)(s)^{a-4+2} \\ \\ \underbrace{\frac{1}_{(2 i)^{8}} & ^{2}_{i1} & ^{2}_{j=1} \\ \\ \underbrace{a_{5} + z_{2} + z_{4}}_{(a_{7} + z_{3} + z_{4})}_{(a_{7} + z_{3} + z_{4})} & (4 & a_{455677} & 2 & z_{2} & z_{3} & 2z_{4}) \\ \\ \hline & (a_{1} & z_{2}) & (a_{3} & z_{3}) & (a_{8} & z_{4}) \end{array}$$

$(2 a_{567} z_2 z_4 z_5) (2 a_{457} z_3 z_4 z_5)$										
$(4 \ a_{455677} \ 2 \ z_2 \ z_3 \ 2z_4 \ 2z_5)$										
$(a_8 + z_1 z_4 + z_7)$ (8 a_{13} 2 a_{245678} 4 z_2 z_3 2 z_5 2 z_7 2 z_8)										
$(6 \ a \ 3 \ z_5)$										
$(a_8 z_1 + z_4 z_7 z_8) (4 a_{2345678} 2 z_2 z_5 z_6 z_7 z_8)$										
$(8 a_{13} 2a_{245678} 4 z_2 z_3 2z_5 2z_6 2z_7 2z_8)$										
$(4 a_{1245678} 2 z_3 z_5 z_6 z_7 z_8)$ $(a_{28} + z_1 z_4 + z_7 + z_8)$										
$(a_{245678} 2 + + z_1 + z_2 + z_3 + z_5 + z_7 + 2z_8)$										
$(a_{4567} + 2 + z_2 + z_3 + z_4 + z_5 + z_8)$ $(a + 2 + z_5 + z_6 + z_7 + z_8)$										
$(a_{245678} 2 + + z_1 + z_2 + z_3 + z_5 + 2z_7 + 2z_8)$:										

A lthough the num ber of integrations is rather high one can proceed also in this case. However, it turns out that the massive non-planar case is rather complicated. We have performed the resolution of singularities for the non-planar master planar double box. Here is our result for its double-pole part in :

$$B_{NP}(1;:::;1;0;s;t;m^{2};) = \frac{i^{d=2} xz}{stu} \ln \frac{1}{1+x} \ln \frac{1}{1+z} \frac{1}{2} + 0 \frac{1}{2};$$

where z = 1 = 1 4m²=u. A one-parametric integral which can hardly be expressed in terms of known special functions is present already in the 1= part.

Unfortunately, we are unable to check our prelim inary result (for the coe cient of the simple pole and for the nite part) num erically by the method of [23] for the following reason. As in the case of the massless non-planar double boxes (see the second reference in [2], it is natural to treat the M and elstam variables as not restricted by the physical condition $s + t + u = 4m^2$, because this condition does not simplify the calculation. Then the natural procedure is to perform the calculation for some extension of the given Feynman integral as a function of the variables s, t, u (and, now, m^2) to the Euclidean domain, with s;t;u < 0, and perform the analytical continuation to the physical dom ain in the result. W hen one starts from the alpha/Feynm an parameters of the whole diagram one naturally arrives at an extension of the physical condition just by considering u as an independent variable. Since, in the massless case, a fourfold M B representation was derived starting from the global Feynm an parameter representation, the same extension as in [23] was implied there. In the massive case however, if we start from Feynman parameters and try to separate various terms entering functions present in the integrals over Feynman parameters, we do not see any possibility to arrive at a MB representation with the num ber of integrations less than ten. The eightfold M B representation (15) was derived in another way, by introducing som e Feynm an parameters upon integrating over the second loop m om entum, then integrating over the second loop m om entum and completing the procedure of introducing MB integrations. A fler this procedure, the variable u is considered as an independent variable. It turns out that this extension to

non-physical Euclidean points de ned by (15) di ers from the version implied within [23], based on the global Feynm an parametric representation for the initial diagram. This can be seen by checking (15) in limiting cases where some of the indices a_i are zero and where one has explicit analytical results: In some of these limits, it is necessary to use the physical condition $s + t + u = 4m^2$ to prove agreement with the known result, while the agreement is achieved without this condition when one starts from the global Feynman parametric representation.

Thus, to perform num erical control of our results, a generalization of the m ethod of sector decom positions [23] to points with kinem atical invariants of di erent signs is necessary and will be developed in the near future. Then one will be able to m ake reliable results for m assive non-planar double boxes.

A cknow ledge ents. The work of V A S. was supported by Volkswagen Foundation (Contract No. I/77788) and DFG M ercator visiting professorship No. Ha 202/110-1. The work of G H. was supported by the Bundesm inisterium fur Bildung und Forschung through G rant No. 05 HT 4GUA/4.

References

- G. 't Hooft and M. Veltman, Nucl. Phys. B44 (1972) 189; C.G. Bollini and J.J.Giambiagi, Nuovo Cim. 12B (1972) 20.
- [2] V A.Smimov, Phys.Lett.B460 (1999) 397; J.B.Tausk, Phys.Lett.B469 (1999) 225.
- [3] E W N.G lover and M E. Tejeda-Yeom ans, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 89 (2000) 196; T.Gehrm ann and E. Remiddi, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 89 (2000) 251.
 C.Anastasiou, J.B. Tausk and M E. Tejeda-Yeom ans, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 89 (2000) 262.
- [4] V A. Sm imov and O L. Veretin, Nucl. Phys. B 566 (2000) 469.
- [5] C.Anastasiou, T.Gehrmann, C.Oleari, E.Rem iddiand J.B. Tausk, Nucl. Phys. B 580 (2000) 577.
- [6] C.Anastasiou, E.W. N.G. lover, C.O. leariand M.E.Tejeda-Yeomans, Nucl. Phys. B 601 (2001) 318; B 601 (2001) 341; Nucl. Phys. B 605 (2001) 486; E.W. N.G. lover, C.O. leari and M.E. Tejeda-Yeomans, Nucl. Phys. B 605 (2001) 467; Z.Bern, A.De Freitas and L.J.Dixon, JHEP 0109 (2001) 037; Z.Bern, A.De Freitas, L.J.Dixon, A.Ghinculov and H.L.Wong, JHEP 0111 (2001) 031; Z.Bern, A.De Freitas and L.J.Dixon, JHEP 0203 (2002) 018; JHEP 0306 (2003) 028; T.Binoth, E.W. N.G. lover, P.M. arquard and J.J.van der Bij, JHEP 0205 (2002) 060; C.Anastasiou, E.W. N.G. lover and M.E. Tejeda-Yeomans, Nucl. Phys. B 629

(2002) 255; E.W. N.G lover and M.E.Tejeda-Yeom ans, JHEP 0306 (2003) 033; E.W. N.G lover, JHEP 0404 (2004) 021.

- [7] V A. Sm imov, Phys. Lett. B 547 (2002) 239; B 567 (2003) 193.
- [8] V A. Sm imov, Phys. Lett. B 491 (2000) 130; B 500 (2001) 330.
- [9] A.V.Kotikov, Phys.Lett.B254 (1991) 158; B259 (1991) 314; B267 (1991) 123;
 E.Rem iddi, Nuovo Cim.110A (1997) 1435; T.Gehrm ann and E.Rem iddi, Nucl. Phys.B580 (2000) 485.
- [10] T.Gehrm ann and E.Rem iddi, Nucl. Phys. B 601 (2001) 248, ibid 287.
- [11] S.Laporta, Int. J.M od. Phys. A 15 (2000) 5087.
- [12] E.Remiddiand JAM.Vermaseren, Int. J.M od. Phys. A 15 (2000) 725.
- [13] P.Mastrolia and E.Remiddi, Nucl. Phys. B664 (2003) 341; U.Aglietti and R. Bonciani, Nucl. Phys. B668 (2003) 3; R.Bonciani, P.Mastrolia and E.Remiddi, Nucl. Phys. B661 (2003) 289; B676 (2004) 399; Nucl. Phys. B690 (2004) 138.
- [14] U.Aglietti and R.Bonciani, hep-ph/0401193; U.Aglietti, R.Bonciani, G.Degrassi and A.Vicini, Phys. Lett. B 595 (2004) 432.
- [15] Z.Bern, L.J.D ixon and A.Ghinculov, Phys. Rev. D 63 (2001) 053007.
- [16] E.W. N.G lover, J.B. Tausk and J.J. Van der Bij, Phys. Lett. B516 (2001) 33.
- [17] V A. Sm imov, Phys. Lett. B 524 (2002) 129.
- [18] R.Bonciani, A.Ferroglia, P.Mastrolia, E.Remiddi and J.J. van der Bij, Nucl. Phys. B681 (2004) 261.
- [19] R.Bonciani, A.Ferroglia, P.Mastrolia, E.Remiddi and J.J.van der Bij, hepph/0405275.
- [20] J.Fleischer, T.Riemann, O.V. Tarasov and A.W erthenbach, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 116 (2003) 43; J.Fleischer, O.V. Tarasov, T.Riemann and A.W erthenbach, Nucl. Instrum. M eth. A 502 (2003) 567.
- [21] T.Binoth and G.Heinrich, Nucl. Phys. B 680 (2004) 375.
- [22] M. Czakon, J. G luza and T. R iem ann, hep-ph/0406203, to appear in the proceedings of the 7th DESY workshop on E lem entary Particle Theory "Loops and Legs in Quantum Field Theory" (Z innow itz, G erm any, April 25{30, 2004).
- [23] T.Binoth and G.Heinrich, Nucl. Phys. B585 (2000) 741.

- [24] V A.Sm imov, Talk given at 35th AnnualW inter Schoolon Nuclear and Particle Physics, Repino, Russia, 19–25 Feb 2001, hep-ph/0209177.
- [25] K S. Kolbig, JA. Mignaco and E. Remiddi, BIT 10 (1970) 38; K S. Kolbig, M ath. Comp. 39 (1982) 647; A. Devoto and D W. Duke, Riv. Nuovo Cim. 7, No.6 (1984) 1.
- [26] V A. Sm imov, hep-ph/0406052, to appear in the proceedings of the 7th DESY workshop on Elementary Particle Theory "Loops and Legs in Quantum Field Theory" (Zinnow itz, Germany, April 25{30, 2004).