Sensitivity to the Standard M odel H iggs B oson in Exclusive D ouble D i raction

M. Boonekam p, R. Peschansk $\frac{1}{2}$ C. Royon^z

M arch 26, 2022

A bstract

We use a Monte Carb implementation of recently developed models of double di raction to assess the sensitivity of the LHC experiments to Standard Model Higgs bosons produced in exclusive double di raction. The signal is di cult to extract, due to experimental limitations related to the rst level trigger, and to contamination by inclusive double di ractive background. A ssum ing these di culties can be overcome, the expected signal-to-background ratio is presented as a function of the experimental resolution on the missing mass. W ith a missing mass resolution of 2 GeV, a signal-to-background ratio of about 0.5 is obtained; a resolution of 1 GeV brings a signal to background ratio of 1. This result is lower than previous estimates, and the discrepancy is explained.

1 Introduction

The subject of Higgs boson production in double di raction (denoted DPE, for Double Pomeron Exchange) has drawn considerable interest in recent years [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. Many approaches have been pursued, considering di ractive scattering in the Regge picture [2, 3, 4, 5], as nal state soft color interactions [6], or as fully perturbative exchange of gluon pairs [7].

O ne generally considers two types of DPE events, namely \exclusive" DPE, where the central heavy object is produced alone, separated from the outgoing hadrons by rapidity gaps:

$$pp! p+H+p;$$
 (1)

and \inclusive" DPE, where the colliding Pomerons are resolved (very much like ordinary hadrons), dressing the central object with Pomeron \remnants":

$$pp! p+X+H+Y+p:$$
 (2)

In general, exclusive H iggs boson production is considered m ost prom ising for both experim ental and theoretical reasons which will be recalled later on. A lthough a less appealing search channel, inclusive DPE is important to consider since it constitutes a background to exclusive DPE. Besides, it should

CEA/DSM/DAPNIA/SPP,CE-Saclay,F-91191Gif-sur-YvetteCedex,France

^yCEA/DSM/SPhT, Unite de recherche associee au CNRS, CE-Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France

^zCEA/DSM/DAPNIA/SPP,CE-Saclay,F-91191Gif-sur-YvetteCedex,France

not be forgotten that of the above two, only inclusive DPE has actually been observed for high central m asses [8]. Exclusive DPE form asses exceeding about 4 GeV is still hypothetical.

A recently developed M onte-C arb program, DPEMC [9], proposes an implementation of the m odels of [2, 3, 4, 5]. It uses HERWIG [10] as a cross-section library of hard QCD processes, and when required, convolutes them with the relevant P omeron uses and parton densities.

On the experim ental side, perform ance simulations of a possible experim ental setup for forward proton detection at the LHC are available [11]. The LHC experiments ATLAS and CMS also propose tools for fast simulation of the response of their detectors [12]. A llneeded ingredients are thus present to allow for a consistent evaluation of the DPE Standard M odel Higgs boson search potential, including experimental e ects. Such a study has not been performed yet. We focus on the H ! bb nal state, which dom inates the cross-section in the mass range 100-140 G eV.

In the next section, the theoretical fram ework is recalled, with some attention devoted to the exclusive processes. Relevant backgrounds are mentioned, and some details of the simulation are given. The following section describes the experimental context. The most important steps of the analysis are then given, concentrating on trigger aspects, background rejection, and mass reconstruction. The results are given as a function of the expected missing mass resolution. Conclusions follow.

We do not pretend to exhaust all possibilities in this paper, but give an idea of what can be achieved under reasonably optim istic conditions. Further details and ideas for improvement will be given in a forthcoming publication.

2 Theoretical context

The main features of the exclusive DPE H iggs boson signal, and of the various backgrounds are sum marized below .

Exclusive DPE

The rst proposed model for pp ! p + H + p, the Bialas-Landsho (BL) model, is based on a sum – mation of two-gluon exchange Feynman graphs coupled to Higgs production by the top quark loop. The non-perturbative character of di raction at the proton vertices relies on the introduction of \non-perturbative" gluon propagators which are modeled on the description of soft total cross-sections within the additive constituent quark model. R eggeization is assumed in order to recover the usual parameters of the Donnachie-Landsho Pom eron [13]. Expressions for the resulting cross-section can be found in [2].

Soon after, the same model was applied to $pp ! p + qq + p \beta$. The computation of diractive gluon pair production, pp ! p + qq + p, was performed in this framework very recently [14].

O ne important aspect for the consistency of the model is the non-trivial factorization of the sum of all relevant diagram s as the product of a soft component by a hard elementary cross-section. For both processes gg ! gg and gg ! qq, the elementary cross-section corresponds to what would be obtained by a separate computation imposing that the initial gluons are in the $J_z = 0$ state. The hard gg ! qq cross-sections turn out to be proportional to m_q^2 =s, and hence are suppressed at high energy. This makes the H iggs boson search in this channel theoretically attractive.

The other popular model for exclusive DPE has been developed by K hoze, M artin, Ryskin (KMR) [7]. It relies on a purely perturbative, factorized QCD mechanism applied to 2-gluon exchange among the protons, without reference to a reggeized Pomeron, and convoluted with the hard sub-processes gg ! gg;qq, H. In this context, the perturbative Sudakov form factors are providing a sort of \sem i hard"

cut-o which allows one to avoid the infrared divergence in the loop integration over the perturbative gluon propagators. The main ingredients of this model are the so-called unintegrated o -forward gluon distributions in the proton, which are a source of uncertainty [15]. The hard cross-sections are computed with the $J_z = 0$ constraint on the initial gluons. Besides this aspect, the rapidity gap or proton survival probability, ensuring that the incom ing hadrons do not re-scatter and indeed leave the interaction intact, have been computed and applied by the authors, using information from soft elastic scattering, and low m ass and high m ass di ractive scattering [16]. For a Higgs boson of 120 G eV produced at the LHC, the survival probability is found to be 3%.

The survival probability has not been applied in the original computations by B ialas et al, and the dijet cross-sections are found to exceed the CDF experimental bound [8]. It has however recently been show n^1 , using the G ood-W alker and G lauber form alism s, that the double P om eron exchange contribution to central di ractive production of heavy objects has to be corrected for absorption, in a form determ ined by the elastic scattering between the incident protons. W hen applied to H iggs boson production, this leads to a strong dam ping factor, very com parable to the KMR factor [19]. Taking this factor into account brings the dijet cross-sections in agreement with the abovementioned experimental bound.

M onte-C arb simulations, using DPEMC, based on the BL m odel and including the rapidity-gap survival probability as determ ined above, give cross-section results compatible with the KMR m odel. Hence our results on the signal to background ratios are expected to be valid for both the gap survival corrected B ialas-Landsho m odel and the KMR m odel.

Inclusive DPE and non-di ractive backgrounds

Since the signal of interest is pp ! p + (H ! bb) + p, all processes involving digets in the nalstate need to be considered as potential backgrounds. We consider them in turn.

Standard (non-di ractive) QCD diget events constitute the most copious background. It is important in the early stages of the analysis (namely as a background to the rst level experimental trigger), and is rejected requiring the detection of forward protons. These events are modeled using the PYTHIA event generator [20], with standard QCD parameter settings.

Inclusive D PE dijet events are the following background component and are also in principle reducible, since contrarily to exclusive D PE, the Pomeron remnants will prevent the appearance of rapidity gaps in the central detectors. However, in typical LHC running conditions, a large number of interactions are present simultaneously in the detector, and the majority of non-diractive events will lithe gaps left by the occasional exclusive D PE event. It is thus not clear whether one can expect to take bene t from this aspect of the signal.

A nother way to discrim inate between inclusive and exclusive DPE is to compare the dijet mass measured in the central detectors to the so-called missing mass, de ned as the de cit between the total LHC center-offmass energy and the mass of the outgoing proton pair. The ratio of these quantites should be 1 in exclusive DPE, and sm aller than 1 in inclusive DPE. How ever, the gluon density in the Pomeron has a signi cant component at large momentum fraction, and a fraction of inclusive DPE events will resemble exclusive events from this point of view. Inclusive DPE is thus an important background to consider. In this study, inclusive DPE dijets are simulated following the BPR model, with cross-sections and norm alization given in [4].

 $^{^{1}}$ This has been derived and tested rst in the context of factorization breaking in single di raction at HERA and the Tevatron [17], and later extended and generalized to double di raction at hadron colliders[18].

The exclusive DPE dijet background has been discussed in the previous section. All DPE processes are simulated using DPEMC, with settings as described in [9], or with DIFFHIGGS², the program used in [4].

Simulation and cross sections

The Higgs boson events are generated using DPEMC. Including the survival factor, the exclusive cross section at p = 14 TeV is found to be 2.3 fb for a Higgs boson m ass of 120 G eV decaying to b quark pairs. We also use DPEMC to produce the exclusive b jets. The cross section requiring jets with $p_T > 25 \text{ GeV}$, is 1.2 pb. These numbers are obtained with m odel parameters set as in the original publications [2, 3].

We perform ed two cross-checks which will be detailed in an forthcoming paper to verify the predictions of our generator. First, we computed the cross-section for DPE dijets within the CDF acceptance, after a dijet m assignation cut at 0.8, as it is done by the CDF experiment. We found a cross section of about 0.16 nb, well below the experimental bound of 3.7 nb. The other test was to check the suppression factor of exclusive bigts with respect to all other jets: we nd a b-quark dijet cross section of about 2.1 pb after a jet p_T cut of 25 GeV, and 6 10³ pb for all quark and gluon jets, the total quark contribution being 2.3 pb. This corresponds to the expected suppression of quark pair production in exclusive DPE.

The inclusive background has been generated using the DIFFHIGGS M onte C arb. In order to lim it the size of the simulated samples, we require jets with p_T greater than 25 G eV, and a dijet m ass greater than 75 G eV. The protons are also required to fall within the forward detector acceptance (see next section), and the m issing m ass is required to be between 100 and 170 G eV. The resulting inclusive DPE dijet cross-section is 22 pb.

3 Experim ental context

This section sum marizes the characteristics of the LHC detectors relevant to this study.

The central detector

The analysis below relies on a fast simulation of the CMS detector at the LHC. The same study could be performed using the ATLAS detector simulation, when one would expect similar results. The relevant detector characteristics are brie y recalled below.

The calorim etric coverage of the LHC experiments ranges up to a pseudorapidity of j j = 5. The region devoted to precision measurements lies within j j = 3, with a typical resolution on jet energy measurement of 50% = E, where E is in GeV, and a granularity in pseudorapidity and azim uth of

0:1 0:1. For digets, the mass resolution at M $_{\rm JJ}$ 100 GeV is about 10%. The extension in the forward region 3 < j j < 5 allows a precise measurement of the missing transverse energy, and can be used to select rapidity gaps by vetoing activity in this region (in the absence of pile-up).

The identi cation of b-quarks is done by detecting the decay vertices of B m esons. This is done by searching for displaced vertices, or for charged particle tracks with a large in pact parameter with respect to the interaction point. The light quark or gluon jet rejection depends on the chosen b-quark selection e ciency; typically, one expects a rejection factor of 100 for a selection e ciency of 60%. For a Higgs boson decaying to b-quark pairs, the e ciency is 35%, and the non-b dijet background is rejected by a factor 10^4 .

²This program is unpublished and superseded by its public version, DPEMC.

The forward detector

A possible experimental setup for forward proton detection is described in [11]. We will only brie y recall its features here, and will concentrate on its acceptance and resolution.

 ${\tt P}$ rotons di racted at very low angles, or with a sm allm om entum loss, are detected at large distances from the interaction point when, following the machine optics, they have su ciently deviated from the nom inalbeam .

In exclusive DPE, the mass of the central heavy object is given by M² = $_{12}$ s, where $_{i}$ are the proton fractional momentum losses, and s is the total center-of-mass energy. In order to reconstruct objects with mass 100-150 G eV in this way, the acceptance sould be large down to values as low as a few 10³. The missing mass resolution directly depends on the resolution on , and should not exceed a few percent if a signi cant in provem ent com pared to the dijet mass resolution is desired [21].

These goals are achieved in [11] assuming three detector stations, located at 210 m, 308 m, and 420 m from the interaction point. According to the currently foreseen LHC machine parameters, protons with a momentum loss of a few 10⁻³ will be su ciently separated from the beam envelope only after having traveled such large distances. The acceptance and resolution have been derived for each device using a complete simulation of the LHC beam parameters. The combined acceptance is 100% for ranging from 0.002 to 0.1. The acceptance limit of the device closest to the interaction point is $m_{\rm in} = 0.02$.

The present analysis does not assume any particular value for the resolution. Instead, for the sake of generality, results are presented as a function of the nalm issing mass resolution, so that the search perform ance of any given setup can be read o directly.

4 Sensitivity to the Standard M odel H iggs B oson

This section gives an overview of the selection procedure of exclusive DPE Higgs boson events. We consider trigger strategies relying on rapidity gaps and forward proton detection, their dom ain of application and their limitations. The analysis is then described, and the results follow.

Triggering with forward protons

Let us rst discuss possible trigger strategies for this channel. The dijet cross-section at the LHC is orders of m agnitude too large to allow triggering on the jets them selves, so bene t m ust be taken from the speci ties of DPE.

If the needed acceptance can be obtained for detectors close enough to the interaction point, requiring at least one detected proton at the rst level trigger elim inates all non-di ractive diget events and solves the triggering problem. The maximum allowed distance is about 200-250 m, a num ber given by the time needed for a proton to y from the interaction point to the forward detector, for the detector signal to travel back, and for the trigger decision to be made, within the allowed rst level trigger latency. This latency is about 1.8 s for the ATLAS detector; CMS disposes of about 3 s.

Figure 1 shows the proton distribution for a Higgs boson m ass of 120 G eV. G iven the acceptance of the closest detector ($_{m in} = 0.02$), requiring one proton to be detected at the rst level trigger has an acceptance of about 66%. If one proton satis es > 0.02, the second one has much sm aller momentum loss and can be detected in the large distance devices. B equiring the detection of both protons in the short distance devices has acceptance only above m_H = $\frac{2}{m} \frac{2}{m} \frac{1}{m} s = 280 \text{ GeV}$.

Figure 1: Proton m om entum loss distribution, for an exclusive DPE H iggs boson signal (m $_{\rm H}$ = 120 GeV). The forward proton acceptance is shown for the whole detection system, and for the device closest to the interaction point.



Requiring in addition two jets with tranverse m omenta of at least 40 and 30 GeV gives a rst level trigger rate of about 80 Hz at a lum inosity $L = 2 \quad 10^{33}$ cm⁻² s⁻¹, and 400 Hz at $L = 10^{34}$ cm⁻² s⁻¹. These numbers correspond to the low and high lum inosity nunning scenarios at the LHC. If the detection of the second proton is required at a higher trigger level, and a cut on the missing mass is added (for instance 80 < M_{m iss} < 250 GeV, where M_{m iss}² = $_{1 2}$ s), the naltrigger rate is less than 0.2 Hz (1 Hz) at low (high) lum inosity.

Triggering with rapidity gaps

If the strategy proposed in the previous section is insu cient (i.e, if the forward detector signal arrives beyond the latency lim it, or if the quoted single proton detection e ciency is too low), the trigger has to rely on central detector signals.

The rst level trigger rate requiring two jets with $p_T > 40$ and 30 GeV, and a dijet m ass greater than 80 GeV, is about 10 kHz at low lum inosity and 100 kHz at high lum inosity.

It is in principle possible to reduce this rate at Level 1 by requiring rapidity gaps between the protons and the jets. A sF igure 2 shows, requiring the absence of activity in the forward calorim eters (by requiring the total transverse energy in this region to be low) e ectively selects DPE events against non-di ractive dijet events. So this appears to be a simple and promising strategy.

However, at high lum inosity, some twenty interactions occur simultaneously and overlap in the detector. Figure 2 shows again that, even if an exclusive DPE event has no forward calorim etric activity, the superim position of minimum bias events washes out this feature, and spoils the discrimination between diractive and non-diffractive events.

To pro t from di ractive signatures in the central detectors, it thus appears desirable to run at low er lum inosity, in order to maxim ize the rate of single interaction collisions. In fact, one can express the probability to observe exactly one interaction of low cross-section (and no overlapping minimum bias events) as follow s:

P / L exp
$$\frac{m b L}{f}$$

where L is the lum inosity, m_b is the m inimum bias cross-section, taken to be 55 m b, and f is the crossing frequency, which is 40 M H z at the LHC.

The behaviour of this function is displayed in Figure 3. The value of L maxim izing the single interaction rate is $L_{opt} = 7.3 \quad 10^{32} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$. Note also that at this \optim al" lum inosity, the average number of overlapping events is still n = ${}_{m\,b}L_{opt}=f=1$, so that that the fraction of events without overlaps is e ${}^{1} = 0.37$. One can thus de nean elective lum inosity as $L_{e} = L_{opt}$ e ${}^{1} = 2.7 \quad 10^{32} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, which determ ines the counting rate of clean DPE events without pile-up. O by low ly, rare signals accumulate very slow ly under these conditions.

We do not exclude that clever ways can be found that allow to distinguish DPE events from nondi ractive dijets in the presence of pile-up. But this requires excellent detector understanding and know ledge of minimum bias processes. This study will be performed in a forthcoming publication.

At a higher trigger level, the inform ation from forward detectors can be used, and the nal rates will be at the same level as before. But we stress that it is crucial for the experiments to maintain a manageable trigger rate at the rst level. Considering the available bandwidth (75 to 100 kH z for A tlas, and a sim ilar number for CM S), and the concurrence of other important trigger channels, a few hundred H z appears to be a maximum.



Figure 2: Total transverse energy distributions in the forward calorim eters (3 < j j < 5), for relevant non-di ractive and DPE processes.

Figure 3: Evolution of the probability to observe exactly one interaction during an LHC bunch crossing, as a function of the machine lum inosity.



Analysis

This section sum marizes the cuts applied in the remaining part of the analysis. As said before, both di racted protons are required to be detected in rom an pot detectors. The central mass is reconstructed using the measurement of $_1$ and $_2$ given by the forward detectors, giving M_{miss} = $(_1 _2 s)^{1=2}$. The resolution on the central mass is thus directly dependent on the leading proton measurement resolution. A smentioned before, we choose to study the signal to background ratio as a function of the missing mass resolution, by varying this parameter directly.

The other cuts are based on detecting well measured, high p_T bb events. For this, we use a fast simulation of the CM S detector (the ATLAS detector simulation will produce very similar results). We

rst require the presence of two jets with $p_{T1} > 45 \text{ GeV}$, $p_{T2} > 30 \text{ GeV}$. The di erence in azimuth between the two jets should be 170 < 190 degrees, asking the jets to be back-to-back. Both jets are required to be central, j j < 2.5, with the di erence in rapidity of both jets satisfying j j < 0.8. We also apply a cut on the ratio of the dijet m ass to the totalm ass of all jets measured in the calorim eters, $M_{JJ}=M_{all} > 0.75$. The ratio of the dijet m ass to the missing m ass should verify $M_{JJ}=(1 2 s)^{1=2} > 0.8$. A s can be seen on F igure 4, the m ass fraction distribution for exclusive events has a spread of about 10%, dom inated the dijet m ass resolution as expected.

An additional cut requires a positive b tagging of the jets, elim inating all non-b dijet background, with the e ciency on b-quark dijets quoted above.

The last important cut requires that all the available Pomeron-Pomeron collision energy is used to produce the Higgs boson. Such a topology could be selected by requiring the digt mass to be close to the total mass measured in the calorim eters (i.e. sum ming over all calorim eter cells, rather than over all jets as done above). Such a selection clearly needs to be controlled accurately and would need a more complete simulation of the calorim eter response, notably including a detailed noise and pile-up simulation. The present study emulates this cut by requiring the Pomeron momentum fraction involved in the hard process to be greater than 95%. This cut appears crucial in eliminating the inclusive DPE background.

R esults

Results are given in Figure 5 for a Higgs mass of 120 GeV, in terms of the signal to background ratio S/B, as a function of the Higgs boson mass resolution. The background and overlayed signal is shown in Figure 6, for an example mass resolution of 2.5 GeV.

In order to obtain an S/B of 3 (resp. 1, 0.5), a mass resolution of about 0.3 G eV (resp. 1.2, 2.3 G eV) is needed. The forward detector design of [11] claims a resolution of about 2.-2.5 G eV, which leads to a S/B of about 0.4-0.6. Improvements in this design would increase the S/B ratio as indicated on the gure.

For 100 fb¹, one expects of the order of 20 signal events, when using a mass resolution of about 2.5 GeV and within a mass window of 4 GeV. As usual, this number is enhanced by a large factor if one considers supersymmetric Higgs boson production with favorable Higgs or squark eld mixing parameters.

F inally, let us note that the background increases by a factor 5 if the last cut of the analysis is not applied (see previous section), due to contam ination by inclusive events. As a result, S/B = 0.1.

Comparison with the KMR estimate

Our result can be compared to the phenom enological result of [22], where experimental issues were addressed within the KMR framework. For a missing mass resolution of 1 GeV, we have obtained



Figure 4: M ass fraction distribution for inclusive and exclusive DPE dijets events.

Figure 5: Standard M odel H iggs boson signal to background ratio as a function of the resolution on the m issing m ass, in GeV. This gure assumes a H iggs boson m ass of 120 GeV.







S/B 1, where the KMR collaboration nds S/B 3. Although our analysis relies on a more detailed experimental simulation, the reason for the di erence is elsewhere.

In [22], the background is integrated over a mass window of 1 GeV, assuming that 100% of the signal lies inside this window. This is the case only if the mass resolution is significantly smaller than 1 GeV, and typically of order 250-300 MeV.

So assuming the result of [22] is given for a gaussian mass resolution of 1 G eV either underestimates the background by a factor 3, or overestimates the signal by the same factor. Taking this factor into account, and once again assuming that trigger rates and contamination by inclusive DPE can be kept under control, brings the KMR estimate to agree with our M onte-C arb simulation.

5 Summary

We have perform ed a Monte-Carlo simulation of the exclusive DPE Standard Model Higgs boson search, accounting for the signal, backgrounds, and detector e ects in a realistic way.

We stressed that the trigger strategy for such a signal is straightforward, provided the forward detector signals arrive early enough. This strongly lim its the allowed distance between the forward detectors and the interaction point. The acceptance criteria are contradictory to the previous condition, and prefer larger distances. If no comprom ise can be found, the trigger has to rely on the central detectors only. Rapidity gaps can provide an e cient trigger signal, but only at low lum inosity, which means that the signal accumulates slow ly.

The selection of exclusive DPE events is di cult because of the contam ination by inclusive events. It is found that the quasi-exclusive" tail of inclusive DPE (with a dijet to missing mass ratio larger than 0.8) is hard to eliminate, and requires selections that are very sensitive to detector e ects. Further investigation in this direction is needed.

If the above di culties can be overcom e, i.e if it is possible to trigger on DPE events e ciently, and select exclusive DPE with high purity, then the signal to background ratio is a factor three sm aller than predicted elsewhere. Q uantitatively, a m issing m ass resolution of 1 G eV im plies S/B of order 1; to obtain S/B of order 3, a resolution of a few hundred M eV is required.

References

- [1] J.D. B prken, Phys. Rev. D 47 (1993) 101;
 - J.R. Cudell, O.F. Hemandez, Nucl. Phys. B 471 (1996) 471;
 - ${\rm E}~{\rm M}$. Levin, hep-ph/9912403 and references therein;
 - J.Pumplin, Phys. Rev. D 52 (1995) 1477;
 - A.Berera and J.C.Collins, Nucl. Phys. 474 (1996) 183.
- [2] A.Bialas, P.V.Landsho, Phys. Lett. B 256 (1990) 540.
- [3] A.Bialas, W. Szerem eta, Phys. Lett. B 296 (1992) 191;
 A.Bialas, R.Janik, Zeit. fur. Phys. C 62 (1994) 487.
- [4] M.Boonekam p, R.Peschanski, C.Royon, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 (2001) 251806;
 - M.Boonekamp, A.De Roeck, R.Peschanski, C.Royon, Phys. Lett. B 550 (2002) 93;
 - M. Boonekam p, R. Peschanski, C. Royon, Nucl. Phys. B 669 (2003) 277, Err-ibid B 676 (2004) 493;
 - for a general review see C.Royon, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 18 (2003) 2169.

- [5] B.Cox, J.Forshaw, B.Heinem ann, Phys. Lett. B 540 (2002) 263.
- [6] R. Enberg, G. Ingelm an, A. Kissavos, N. Tim neanu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 081801.
- [7] V A.Khoze, A D.Martin, M G.Ryskin, Eur. Phys. J.C 19 (2001) 477, Err-ibid C 20 (2001) 599;
 V A.Khoze, A D.Martin, M G.Ryskin, Eur. Phys. J.C 23 (2002) 311;
 V A.Khoze, A D.Martin, M G.Ryskin, Eur. Phys. J.C 24 (2002) 581.
- [8] D. Goulianos, talk given at the workshop on Di raction at the LHC, R io de Janeiro, M arch 31 -April 2 2004.
- [9] M. Boonekam p, T. Kucs, hep-ph/0312273, accepted by Comput. Phys. Commun.
- [10] G.Corcella et al., JHEP 0101:010 (2001).
- [11] J.Kalliopuska, T.Mki, N.Marola, R.Orava, K.Osterberg, M.Ottela, HIP-2003-11/EXP.
- [12] CM SIM, fast simulation of the CM S detector, CM S Collab., Technical Design Report (1997); TOTEM Collab., Technical Design Report, CERN/LHCC/99-7; ATLFAST, fast simulation of the ATLAS detector, ATLAS Collab, Technical Design Report, CERN/LHCC/99-14.
- [13] A.Donnachie, P.V. Landsho, Phys. Lett. B 296 (1992) 227.
- [14] A.Bzdak, Acta Phys. Polon. B 35 (2004) 1733.
- [15] L.Lonnblad, M.Sjodahl, JHEP 0402:042 (2004).
- [16] V A.Khoze, A D.Martin, M G.Ryskin, Eur. Phys. J.C 18 (2000) 167.
- [17] A.Bialas, Acta Phys. Pol. B 33 (2002) 26.
- [18] A.Bialas, R. Peschanski, Phys. Lett. B 575 (2003) 30.
- [19] A.Kupco, R.Peschanski, C.Royon, hep-ph/0407222.
- [20] T.Sjostrand, P.Eden, C.Friberg, L.Lonnblad, G.Miu, S.Mrenna, E.Nordoin, Comput. Phys. Commun.135 (2001) 238.
- [21] M G.Albrow, A.Rostovtsev, hep-ph/0009336.
- [22] A.DeRoeck, VA.Khoze, AD.Martin, MG.Ryskin, R.Orava, Eur. Phys. J.C 25 (2002) 391.