Bounds on neutrino masses from leptogenesis in type-II see-saw models

Narendra Sahu and S. Um a Sankar^y

Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology, Bom bay, Mum bai 400076, India

Abstract

The presence of the triplet _L in left-right sym metric theories leads to type-II see saw mechanism for the neutrino masses. In these models, assuming a normal mass hierarchy for the heavy Majorana neutrinos, we derive a lower bound on the mass of the lightest of heavy Majorana neutrino from the leptogenesis constraint. From this bound we establish a consistent picture for the hierarchy of heavy Majorana neutrinos in a class of left right symmetric models in which we identify the neutrino D irac mass matrix with that of Fritzsch type charged lepton mass matrix. It is shown that these values are compatible with the current neutrino oscillation data.

PACS num bers: 98.80.Cq, 14.60.pq

arXiv:hep-ph/0406065v3 17 Jan 2005

E lectronic address: narendra@ phy.iitb.ac.in

^yE lectronic address: um a@ phy.iitb ac.in

I. IN TRODUCTION

A plausible explanation of the observed baryon (B) asymmetry of the Universe is that it arose from a lepton (L) asymmetry [1, 2, 3, 4]. The conversion of the L-asymmetry to the B-asymmetry then occurs via the high temperature behavior of the B + L anomaly of the Standard M odel (SM) [5]. This is an appealing route for several reasons. The extrem ely sm all neutrino m asses suggested by the solar [6] and atm ospheric [7] neutrino anomalies and the K am LAND experiment [8], point to the possibility of M a jorana m asses for the neutrinos generated by the several with the M a jorana m asses the existence of new physics at a predictable high energy scale. Since the M a jorana m ass terms violate lepton number they can generate L-asymmetry.

Early proposals along these lines relied on out-of-equilibrium decay of the heavy M a jorana neutrinos to generate the L-asym m etry. In the sim plest scenario a right-handed neutrino per generation is added to the SM [1, 2, 4]. They are coupled to left-handed neutrinos via D irac m ass m atrix (m_D) which is assumed to be sim ilar to charged lepton m ass m atrix [9]. Since the right handed neutrino is a singlet under SM gauge group a M a jorana m ass term (M_R) for it can be added to the Lagrangian. D iagonalisation of neutrino m ass m atrix leads to two M a jorana neutrino states per generation: a light neutrino state (m ass m_D² = M_R) which is alm ost left handed and a heavy neutrino state (m ass M_R) which is alm ost right handed. This is called type-I see-saw m echanism in which the left handed elds do not have M a jorana m ass terms in the Lagrangian.

It is desirable to consider neutrino m asses in the context of grand united theories (GUTs). The gauge groups of most of the GUTs contain the left-right symmetry group SU (2)_L SU (2)_R as a subgroup [10]. In such models M a jorana masses, M_L, for left handed neutrinos occur in general, through the vacuum expectation value (VEV) of the triplet $_{\rm L}$ [11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. In these models also there is a light and a heavy neutrino state per generation. The heavy neutrino state has mass $M_{\rm R}$ but the light neutrino m ass is $(M_{\rm L} = m_{\rm D}^2 = M_{\rm R})$. The presence of new scalars and their couplings, which give rise to M_L, can contain adequate CP -violation to accommodate L-asymmetry. The two contributions to the light neutrino mass, $m_{\rm D}^2 = M_{\rm R}$ and M_L are called type-I and type-II terms respectively.

An additional grace of left-right symmetric models [16] is that B L is a gauge symmetry in contrast to type-I models where B L conservation is ad-hoc. Because of B L is a

2

gauge charge of this model, no primordial B L can exist. Further, the rapid violation of the B + L conservation by the anom aly due to the high temperature sphaleron elds erases any B + L generated earlier. Thus the lepton asymmetry must be produced entirely during or after the B L symmetry breaking phase transition.

Several authors [17, 18, 19, 20] have recently dealt with the bound on the mass scale of lightest right handed neutrino (M₁) in type-I see saw models from the leptogenesis constraint. With the assumption of hierarchical mass spectrum of the heavy Majorana neutrinos, a common outcome was that M₁ 10° GeV.With the same assumption, a bound on M₁ was obtained in type-II see saw models in [21], which is an order of magnitude less than that in type-I case.

In this paper we revisit the lower bound on the mass scale of lightest heavy M a jorana neutrino [17] due to its CP-violating decay to SM particles in generic type-II see-saw m odels. Recently it is claimed that the large atmospheric neutrino mixing can be achieved naturally in case of renormalisable SO (10) theories if the type-II term dominates [22, 23]. Therefore, it is interesting to extend our formalism to these models and derive an upper bound on the CP-asymmetry. In both cases it is shown that the mass scale of lightest right handed neutrino satis es the constraint, M₁ 2.5 10 GeV in order to produce the present baryon asymmetry of the Universe. On the other hand leptogenesis in models, where the type-II term was included in the neutrino mass matrix, was considered in the literature [24, 25]. However, the contribution to the CP-violating parameter, 1, due to the triplet L in the loop, are not taken into account.

Rest of our paper is organised as follows. In section II, we derive an upper bound on the CP -asymmetry in type-II see-saw models assuming that type-I and type-II terms are similar in magnitude. In section III, we discuss the upper bound on the mass scale of lightest right handed neutrino from the leptogenesis constraint. In light of current neutrino oscillation data in section IV a consistent picture for the heavy M a prana neutrino mass hierarchies are obtained in a class of left-right symmetric models in which we identify the neutrino D irac mass matrix with that of charged lepton mass matrix [9]. Further we choose this matrix to be of Fritzsch type [26]. Finally in Section V, we put our summary and conclusions.

3

II. UPPER BOUND ON CP-ASYMMETRY IN TYPE-IIMODELS

Before proceeding with our analysis, we review the breaking scheme of SO (10) grand uni ed theory through the left-right symmetric path [13, 15]. This breaking can be accom – plished by using a f126g of SO (10) as an intermediate. Under SU (2)_L SU (2)_k SU (4)_k (= SU (2)_L SU (2)_k SU (3)_k U (1)_{k L}) its decomposition can be written as

$$f126g = {}_{L} (3;1;10) + {}_{R} (1;3;10) + (2;2;15) + (1;1;6);$$
(1)

where (1;1;6) is a singlet under SU (2)_L SU (2)_R and has no role in neutrino m ass generation. Since 126 of SO (10) contains a pair of triplets $_{\rm L}$ and $_{\rm R}$ and the bidoublet , left-right sym m etry can be preserved at the interm ediate level. As the right handed triplet $_{\rm R}$ (1;3;10) gets a VEV $v_{\rm R}$, left-right sym m etry is broken to the SM sym m etry. The scalars in both the triplets $_{\rm L}$ and $_{\rm R}$ acquire m asses of the order $v_{\rm R}$. At a low er scale, gets a VEV v breaking the SM sym m etry to U (1)_{em}. This induces a sm all VEV $v_{\rm L}$ for the neutral component of the triplet $_{\rm L}$ [13,14,27]. The three VEVs are related by $v_{\rm L} = v^2 = v_{\rm R}$, where

is a model dependent parameter which depends on the quartic couplings of the H iggs and can be as small as 10 4 .

A fter the nal sym m etry breaking, the e ective neutrino m ass m atrix is

$$M = {}_{Li}m_{D_{ij}} {}^{0}_{Rj} + \frac{1}{2} v_{L_{Li}}f_{ij} {}^{c}_{Lj} + v_{R_{Ri}} {}^{0}_{Ri}f_{ij} {}^{0}_{Rj} + H \mathfrak{C}:$$
(2)

Because of L \$ R sym m etry, the same sym m etric Yukawa m atrix f_{ij} gives rise to M a jorana m asses for both left and right handed elds. The D irac m ass m atrix is given by $m_D = hv$, where h is the Yukawa m atrix for neutrino D irac m asses.

The M a jorana m assm atrix for the right handed neutrinos can be diagonalized by m aking the following rotation on 0_R

$$_{R} = U_{R}^{Y} {}_{R}^{0} :$$
 (3)

In this basis, we have

$$U_{R}^{T} f U_{R} = f_{dia}; \qquad (4)$$

$$h = \hbar U_R :$$
 (5)

In this rotated basis we get the mass matrix for the neutrinos 0

$$\begin{pmatrix} f v_{\rm L} & m_{\rm D} \\ m_{\rm D}^{\rm T} & M_{\rm R} \end{pmatrix} (6)$$

where $M_R = f_{dia}v_R$ and $m_D = hv$. D is a gonalising the mass matrix (6) into 3 3 blocks we get the mass matrix for the light neutrinos to be

$$m = fv_{L} \frac{v^{2}}{v_{R}}hf_{dia}^{1}h^{T}$$
$$= m^{II} + m^{I}:$$
(7)

Note that in contrast to the present case in type-I models, m^{II} is absent. D iagonalization of the above light neutrino mass matrix m, through lepton avour mixing PMNS matrix U_L , gives us three light M a jorana neutrinos. Its eigenvalues are

$$U_{L}^{Y}m U_{L} = \operatorname{dia}(m_{1};m_{2};m_{3}) \quad D_{m}; \qquad (8)$$

where the masses are real.

W e assume a norm alm ass hierarchy for heavy M a jorana neutrinos. In this scenario while the heavier neutrinos, N₂ and N₃, decay yet the lightest of heavy M a jorana neutrinos is still in therm all equilibrium . A ny asym m etry produced by the decay of N₂ and N₃ will be washed out by the lepton number violating interactions mediated by N₁. Therefore, the nallepton asym m etry is given only by the CP-violating decay of N₁ to standard model leptons (l) and H iggs (). The CP-asym m etry in this scenario is given by

$$_{1} = \stackrel{\mathrm{I}}{_{1}} + \stackrel{\mathrm{II}}{_{1}}; \tag{9}$$

where the contribution to $1 \mod 1$ com es from the interference of tree level, self-energy correction and the one loop radiative correction diagram s involving the heavier M a jorana neutrinos N₂ and N₃. This contribution is the sam e as in type-Im odels [17, 18] and is given by

$${}^{\rm I}_{1} = \frac{3M_{1}}{16 v^2} \frac{{}^{\rm P}_{ij} \, {\rm Im} \, (h^{\rm y})_{1i} \, (m^{\rm I})_{ij} \, (h_{j1})_{j1}}{(h^{\rm y}h)_{11}} :$$
(10)

On the other hand the contribution to $_{1}^{\text{II}}$ in equation (9) comes from the interference of tree level diagram and the one loop radiative correction diagram involving the triplet $_{L}$. It is given by [21, 27]

$${}_{1}^{\text{II}} = \frac{3M_{1}}{16 \text{ v}^{2}} \frac{P_{i;j} \text{ Im } (h^{y})_{1i} (m^{\text{II}})_{ij} (h)_{j1}}{(h^{y}h)_{11}};$$
(11)

Substituting (10) and (11) in equation (9) we get the total CP-asymmetry

$$_{1} = \frac{3M_{1}}{16 v^{2}} \frac{\text{Im} (h^{y}m h)_{11}}{(h^{y}h)_{11}} :$$
(12)

Using (8) in the above equation (12) we get

$${}_{1} = \frac{3M_{1}}{16 v^{2}} \frac{\text{Im} (h^{y}U_{L}D_{m}U_{L}^{T}h)_{11}}{P}$$

$$= \frac{3M_{1}}{16 v^{2}} \frac{P^{i}m_{i}\text{Im} (U_{L}^{T}h)_{11}^{2}}{P_{i}^{j}(U_{L}^{T}h)_{11}^{2}}; \qquad (13)$$

W ith an assumption of norm alm as shierarchy for the light M a jorana neutrinos them axim um value of CP -asymmetry (13) can be given by

$$l_{max} = \frac{3M_1}{16 v^2} m_3:$$
(14)

Note that the above upper bound (14) for $_1$ as a function of M $_1$ and m $_3$ was rst obtained for the case of type-I see-saw models [17]. However, the same relation holds in the case of type-II see-saw models also [21] independent of the relative magnitudes of m^I and m^{II}.

III. EST IM AT ION OF BARYON ASYMMETRY

A net B L asymmetry is generated when left-right symmetry breaks. A part of this B L asymmetry then gets converted to B -asymmetry by the high temperature sphaleron transitions. However these sphaleron elds conserve B L. Therefore, the produced B L will not be washed out, rather they will keep on changing it to B -asymmetry. In a comoving volume a net B -asymmetry is given by

$$Y_{\rm B} = \frac{n_{\rm B}}{\rm s} = \frac{28}{79} \, _{1}Y_{\rm N_{1}} ; \qquad (15)$$

where the factor $\frac{28}{79}$ in front [28] is the fraction of B L asymmetry that gets converted to B-asymmetry. Further Y_{N_1} is density of lightest right handed neutrino in a comoving volume given by $Y_{N_1} = n_{N_1}$ =s, where s = $(2^{-2}=45)gT^3$ is the entropy density of the Universe at any epoch of temperature T. Finally is the wash out factor at a temperature just below the mass scale of N₁. The value of Y_{N_1} depends on the source of N₁. For example, the value of Y_{N_1} estimated from topological defects [29] can be dimensioned in the mass scale only.

Recent observations from WMAP show that the matter-antimatter asymmetry in the present Universe measured in terms of $(n_B = n)$ is [30]

$$\frac{n_{\rm B}}{n} = 0 \qquad 61^{+0.3}_{0.2} \qquad 10^{10} ; \qquad (16)$$

where the subscript 0 presents the asymmetry today. Therefore, we recast equation (15) in terms of $(n_B = n)$ and is given by

$$\frac{n_{\rm B}}{n} = 7 (Y_{\rm B})_0$$

= 2:48 $_1 Y_{\rm N_1}$: (17)

Substituting equation (14) in (17) we get

$$\frac{n_{\rm B}}{n} = 2:48 \frac{3M_1}{16 v^2} m_3 Y_{\rm N_1} :$$
 (18)

The present neutrino oscillation data favours the bilarge neutrino mixing with the mass squared di erences m $^2_{atm}$ jm 2_3 m 2_1 j 2:6 10^3 eV^2 and m $^2_{sol}$ jm 2_2 m 2_1 j 7:1 10^5 eV^2 . A ssum ing a norm alm ass hierarchy (m 2_3 m 2_2 m 2_1) for the light M a jorana neutrinos the above num ber gives m $_3$ ' (m $^2_{atm}$)¹⁼² ' 0:05eV. W ith this approximation we get from equation (18)

$$M_1 = 2.5 = 1^{\circ} G eV = \frac{10^2}{Y_{N_1}} = \frac{0.05 eV}{m_3}$$
: (19)

For the above lower lim it on the mass scale of lightest right handed neutrino, $M_1 = 10^{\circ}$ G eV, we now proceed to nd the plausible hierarchies ($M_2=M_1$) and ($M_3=M_1$) of the massive M a jorana neutrinos in a model that are compatible with the current neutrino oscillation data. We check that the values we obtained are consistent with our assumptions.

IV. EXAM IN ING THE CONSISTENCY OF F-MATRIX EIGENVALUES

The solar and atm ospheric evidences of neutrino oscillations are nicely accomm odated in the m inim al fram ework of the three-neutrino m ixing, in which the three neutrino avours $_{e}$, are unitary linear combinations of three neutrino m ass eigenstates $_{1}$, $_{2}$, $_{3}$ with m asses m $_{1}$, m $_{2}$, m $_{3}$ respectively. The m ixing am ong these three neutrinos determ ines the structure of the lepton m ixing m atrix [31] which can be param eterized as

$$U_{L} = \bigcup_{Q}^{B} \begin{array}{c} c_{1}c_{3} & s_{1}c_{3} & s_{3}e^{i} \\ B \\ Q \\ s_{1}s_{2} & qs_{2}s_{3}e^{i} & c_{1}c_{2} & qs_{2}s_{3}e^{i} & s_{2}c_{3}C \\ s_{1}s_{2} & qc_{2}s_{3} & qs_{2} & qc_{2}s_{3}e^{i} & c_{2}c_{3} \end{array}$$
(20)

where c_j and s_j stands for cos_j and sin_j . The two physical phases and associated with the M a jorana character of neutrinos are not relevant for neutrino oscillations [32] and will

be set to zero here onwards. W hile the M a jorana phases can be investigated in neutrinoless double beta decay experiments [33], the CKM -phase 2 [;] can be investigated in long base line neutrino oscillation experiments. For simplicity we set it to zero, since we are interested only in the magnitudes of elements of U_L . The best t values of the neutrino masses and mixings from a global three neutrino avors oscillation analysis are [34]

$$_{1} = ' 34; _{2} = _{atm} = 45; _{3} 13;$$
 (21)

and

$$m^{2} = m_{2}^{2} m_{1}^{2} m_{2}^{2} = 7:1 \quad 10^{5} \text{ eV}^{2}$$
$$m_{\text{atm}}^{2} = m_{3}^{2} m_{1}^{2} m_{3}^{2} = 2:6 \quad 10^{3} \text{ eV}^{2}:$$
(22)

From equation (7) and (8) we have

$$f = \frac{1}{v_{\rm L}} (U_{\rm L} D_{\rm m} U_{\rm L}^{\rm T}) + \frac{v^2}{v_{\rm R}} (h f_{\rm dia}^{-1} h^{\rm T}) :$$
 (23)

W e now assume a hierarchical texture for the M a jorana Yukawa coupling to be

$$f_{dia} = \frac{M_{1}}{V_{R}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ B & 0 & A \\ 0 & 0 & B \end{bmatrix} (24)$$

where 1 $_{A} = (M_{2}=M_{1}) < _{B} = (M_{3}=M_{1})$. We identify the neutrino D irac Yukawa coupling h with that of charged leptons [9]. Further we assume it to be of Fritzsch type [26]

By choosing the values of a,b and c suitably one can get the hierarchy for charged leptons. In particular, the values

$$a = 0.004; b = 0.24 \text{ and } c = 1$$
 (26)

give the mass hierarchy of charged leptons. For this set of values the mass matrix h is normalized with respect to the -lepton mass. The values of a; b and c are rougly in geometric progression. They can be expressed in terms of the electro-weak gauge coupling $w = \frac{g^2}{4} =$

 $\frac{1}{\sin^2 w}$. In particular a = 2:9 $\frac{2}{w}$, b = 6:5 w and c = 1. Here onwards we will use this set of values for the parameters of h.

Substituting (24) and (25) in equation (23) we get

$$f = \left(\frac{eV}{v_{\rm L}}\right) \begin{cases} 6 \\ 4 \end{cases} \left(\left(U_{\rm L}D_{\rm m} U_{\rm L}^{\rm T} = eV\right) + \frac{4}{(1.054165)^2} \frac{1}{(M_{\rm 1} = GeV)} \begin{cases} \frac{a^2}{A} & 0 & \frac{ab}{A} & \frac{c}{A} \\ 0 & a^2 + \frac{b^2}{B} & \frac{bc}{B} & \frac{c}{A} \\ \frac{ab}{A} & \frac{bc}{B} & \frac{b^2}{A} + \frac{c^2}{B} \end{cases}$$
(27)

For the demonstration purpose we choose a typical value of $M_1 = 2.5$ 10⁶ GeV. Now by suitably choosing the parameters $m_1 = 1.0$ 10⁴ eV, A = 51, B = 191, B = 191, B = 10 we get

Thus, for the above values of m_1 and M_1 , the assumed hierarchies of right-handed neutrino masses are consistent with global low energy neutrino data. Further we em hasize that the value of m_1 for which the consistency is obtained is compatible with our assumption that

$$m_1^2 m_3^2 m_3^2 m_{atm}^2$$
: (29)

1 2

Comparing equation (28) with (24) one can get

$$\frac{M_{1}}{v_{R}} = \frac{6:42 \quad 10^{4} \text{ eV}}{v_{L}} :$$
(30)

This implies that $v_R = 0 (10^{11}) \text{ GeV}$ for $v_L = 0.1 \text{ eV}$. These values of v_L and v_R are compatible with genuine see-saw $v_L v_R = v^2$ for a small value of ' $0 (10^3)$. A lthough in the literature frequently it is stated is to be order of unity, we see, however, here that it is required to be 0 (10³) for the consistency. See, for example, the recently proposed type-II see-saw models in which $= v_L v_R = v^2 - 0 (10^4)$ [35].

Here we demonstrated the consistency of our choice of the matrix f with the current neutrino data for the minimum value of M₁. For higher values of M₁, to be consistent with $1 << _{\rm A} < _{\rm B}$, one can choose appropriate values of m₁ 10³ eV and ₁₃ 13 in equation (27) which will reproduce the correct eigenvalues of the matrix f.

V. CONCLUSION

In this work we proved the universality of the upper bound on the C P -violating parameter $_1$ in general type-II see-saw models. Irrespective of any assumption regarding the magnitude

of type-I and type-II term swe found that same bound holds for all cases. A ssuming a norm all mass hierarchy for the massive M a jorana neutrinos we demonstrated that in a therm all scenario the present baryon asymetry can be explained for all values of $M_1 = 0 (10^\circ)$ G eV in case of left-right symmetric models. We also consider a class of left-right symmetric models in which we assume the neutrino D irac masses are of the Fritzsch type. In such models we found that plausible hierarchies for the massive M a jorana neutrinos can be obtained which are compatible with the current neutrino oscillation data.

A cknow ledgm ent

It is our pleasure to thank Prof. U.A. Yajnik for his critical comments on the draft. We also thank Prof. R.N.M ohapatra and Prof. M.K.Parida for their interest and valuable discussions during the WHEPP-8 held at IIT Bom bay.

- [1] M. Fukugita and T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. B 174, 45 (1986).
- [2] M.A.Luty, Phys. Rev D 45, 455 (1992).
- [3] R.N.M ohapatra and X.Zhang; Phys.Rev.D 46, 5331 (1992).
- [4] M.Plum acher, Z.Phys. 74, 549 (1997).
- [5] V.A.Kuzmin, V.A.Rubakov and M.E.Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. B 155, 36 (1985).
- [6] Q.R.Ahm ed et al (SNO Collaboration), PhysRevLett.89, 011301-011302 (2002); J.N.Bahcall and C.Pena-Garay, hep-ph/0404061.
- [7] S.Fukuda et al (Super-K am iokande Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 5656 (2001).
- [8] K.Eguchiet al (Kam LAND collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 021802 (2003).
- [9] M.Gell-M ann, P.R am ond and R.Slansky in Supergravity (P.van Niewenhuizen and D.Freedm an, eds), (Am sterdam), North Holland, 1979; T.Yanagida in Workshop on Uni ed Theory and Baryon number in the Universe (O.Sawada and A.Sugamoto, eds), (Japan), KEK 1979; R.N.Mohapatra and G.Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. Lett. 44, 912 (1980).
- [10] R.Slansky, Phys.Rep. 79, 1(1981).
- [11] M.Magg and C.W etterich, Phys.Lett.B 94, 61 (1980).
- [12] C.Wetterich, Nucl. Phys. B 187, 343 (1981).

- [13] R.N.M ohapatra and G.Senjanovic Phys.Rev.D23, 165 (1981).
- [14] G.Lazarides, Q.Sha and C.W etterich, Nucl. Phys. B181, 287 (1981).
- [15] R.N.M ohapatra, Unication And Supersymmetry, (Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1992).
- [16] J.C. Pati and A. Salam, Phys. Rev. D 10, 275 (1974); R.N. Mohapatra and J.C. Pati, Phys. Rev. D 11, 566 (1975); Phys. Rev. D 11, 2558 (1975); R.N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. D 12, 1502 (1975); See also ref.[13,15]
- [17] S.Davidson and A. Ibarra, Phys. Lett. B 535, 25 (2002). [arX iv hep-ph/0202239]
- [18] W. Buchmuller, P. Di Bari and M. Plumacher, Nucl. Phys. B 643, 367 (2002). [arXiv:hep-ph/0205349].
- [19] K.Ham aguchi, H.M urayam a and T.Yanagida, Phys. Rev. D 65, 043512 (2002).
- [20] T.Hambye et al, arX iv hep-ph/0312203
- [21] S.Antusch and S.F.King, Phys. Lett. B 597, 199 (2004). [arX iv hep-ph/0405093]
- [22] B.Bajc, G.Senjanovic, F.Vissani, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90: 051802, 2003
- [23] B.Bajc, G. Senjanovic and F.VissaniarX iv hep-ph/0402140
- [24] A. Joshipura, E. A. Paschos and W. Rodejohann, Nucl. Phys. B 611, 227, 2001.
- [25] A. Joshipura, E. A. Paschos, and W. Rodejohann, JHEP08 029 (2001).
- [26] H.Fritzsch, Nucl. Phys. B 155, 189 (1979).
- [27] T.Hambye and G.Senjanovic, Phys.Lett.B 582, 73 (2004).
- [28] J.A.Harvey and M.S.Tumer, Phys. Rev. D 42, 3344 (1990).
- [29] P. Bhattacharjee, Narendra Sahu and U. A. Yajnik, Phys. Rev. D, 70, 083534, 2004 [arXiv:hep-ph/0406054].
- [30] D.N. Spergelet alA strophys. J. Suppl. 148 175 (2003) [astro-ph/0302209]
- [31] Z.Maki, M.Nakagawa and S.Sakata, Prog. Theor. Phys. 28, 870 (1962).
- [32] S.M. Bilenky, J. Hosek and S.T. Petcov, Phys. Lett. B 94, 495 (1980).
- [33] W .Rodejohann, Nucl. Phys. B 597, 110 (2001).
- [34] M.C.Gonzalez-Garcia and C.Pena-Garay, Phys. Rev. D 68, 093003 (2003).
- [35] N.N in ai Singh, M. Patagiri, M rinalDas, [arX iv hep-ph/0406075].