# E stim ating the E lectrom agnetic Chiral Lagrangian Coe cients

M aster of Science Thesis by Anders Pinzke Thesis advisor: Johan Bijnens Department of Theoretical Physics, Lund University, Lund, Sweden

#### Abstract

In the low energy region chiral perturbation theory including virtual photons is used to derive the structure of the generating functional. The work we do is perform ed within the three avor fram ework and reaches up to nextto-leading order. An Euclidian cut-o is introduced to separate the longand short-distance contributions. The long-distance part is evaluated in the ChPT fram ework up to 0 ( $p^4$ ). The short-distance part is achieved partly from the work of J. B inens and J. Prades [1], through perturbative QCD and factorization. A m atching ism ade and the nite parts of the low-energy constants (LECs) is determ ined by using already existing data of the QCD LECs up to order  $p^4$  [1], [2], [3].



# C ontents

1	Intr	oduct:	ion	2	
2	The	eory		3	
	2.1	Interp	retation of the Lagrangians	3	
	22	Renor	malization	4	
	2.3	Sym m	etnies	6	
		2.3.1	Spontaneous symmetry breaking	7	
		232	The linear sigm a model	8	
		233	The non-linear sigm a-model	10	
		2.3.4	Goldstone bosons	10	
	2.4	Chiral	lperturbation theory	12	
	2.5	E ecti	ive Lagrangians	13	
		2.5.1	Power counting	13	
		2.5.2	QCD at low energy	14	
		253	QFD at low energies	15	
		2.5.4	Leading order e ective Lagrangian	16	
		255	Next-to-leading order e ective Lagrangian	16	
3	H ow	to de	eterm ine the EM coe cients	18	
4	Result 2				
5	C on	clusio	n	33	
A	An	easy e	xam ple	35	
В	G en	eratin	g functionals	37	
С	Ext	emal	elds	38	

# 1 Introduction

Masses of hadrons have always been a problem to calculate throughout the history of modern particle physics. The problem lies in the fact that the particles are believed to have three di erent m ass contributions; the free quark m ass from the H iggs m echanism, the constituent m ass and perturbative interactions with , W and Z. The last contribution is the one that we are concentrating on. These are called radiative corrections and involve interactions from gauge bosons. For the light mesons that we are going to investigate it is enough to use photon corrections, in an elective low energy model. This model is derived from the Standard M odel (SM ) which is a relativistic quantum eld theory that give rise to the strong interaction described by Quantum Chromo Dynamics (QCD) and the electroweak interaction described by Quantum Flavor Dynamics (QFD).QCD works very welland agrees with experim ents at high energies and short distances. It is much more di cult to exam ine QCD at low energies and long distances. One of the most successful approaches is based on the fact that QCD has a SU  $(n_F)_L$ SU  $(n_{\rm F})_{\rm R}$  chiral symmetry for  $n_{\rm F}$  massless avors. To get an elective eld theory model for low energy, a perturbation of the sym m etry above is perform ed. The perturbation is called chiral perturbation theory (ChPT). This theory can be described by certain elds which correspond to the low energy spectrum of light pseudoscalarm esons, the ,K , and octet. When  $L_{EM}$  is added to  $L_{OCD}$ the e ective Lagrangian for QCD and E lectrom agnetic (EM) interactions using W einberg's power counting scheme [4] can be written as:

$$L_{effective} = L_{2} + L_{4} + 2 \# (F_{0}; B_{0}) + L_{i}O_{4}^{i} + H_{i}O_{4}^{i} + K_{i}O_{4}^{i} + K_{i}O_{4}^{i} + (1)$$

where the subscript has been ordered in momenta, mass and electric charge. The  $0^{i}$ s represent the term in the e ective Lagrangian that are connected to the ith LEC (see Eq. (44)). The lowest order Lagrangian is given by the non-linear sign a model coupled to external elds [5][6]. It contains three free param eters in the chiral lim it: the scalar quark condensate B $_0$ , the pion-decay constant F $_0$  and the lowest order EM coupling constant C. The leading order expansion 0 ( $p^2$ ) in plies tree level diagram s with L<sub>2</sub> vertices. The nextto-leading order expansion is used to derive the electrom agnetic LECs K<sub>i</sub> by computing photon loops from the leading order Lagrangian L2 and adding contributions from the next-to-leading order Lagrangian L4. Doing the loop calculations will involve all the 29 LEC  $s^1$  in Eq. (1) that are connected to the couplings of di erent vertices in the diagram s, more about this in section 2.5. This is not a problem to us since the purely QCD part connected to B<sub>0</sub>, F<sub>0</sub>, L<sub>i</sub> and H<sub>i</sub>, have been determ ined experim entally or theoretically. The constants of order 0 (p<sup>4</sup>) contain a divergent part and a constant nite part. The divergent part can be isolated with the help of dimensional regularization and M S regularization. For the K<sub>i</sub> part this was recently done by U rech in [7], but the nite part of the K<sub>i</sub> is almost entirely unknown. The goal of this thesis is to determ ine the K  $_{\rm i}$  as a function of the  $L_i$  and  $H_i$ .

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ There exist a few m ore constants up to order 0 (p<sup>4</sup>), but these are of no importance to us

# 2 Theory

One of the greatest achievem ents in physics during the 20th century is the Standard m odel ofparticle physics. It revolutionized the view of elementary particles. Protons and neutrons that build up atoms (and electrons, of course) are not considered to be the fundam ental particles any more. Instead they consist of point-like particles called quarks. Beside the quarks exist fundamental particles called leptons. In total there are six quarks (u, d, s, c, b, t) and six leptons (e, e, , , , ) which all matter is composed of. The forces between the fundam ental particles are described by the twelve gauge bosons. These particles m ediate three forces; the strong-, weak- and the electrom agnetic force. Note that the fourth fundamental force (out of the four known) gravity is not included. The weak force which comes from the local SU (2) gauge invariance of the SM Lagrangian and the EM force which originates from the localU (1) gauge sym metry is uni ed to the electroweak force (described by QED) which is mediated through the four gauge bosons (W;  $Z^{0}$ ; ). This uni ed force is based upon the U (1) SU (2) sym metry. The strong force com es from the localSU (3) gauge sym m etry of the Lagrangian. D ue to the non-A belian<sup>2</sup> nature of the localSU (3) gauge group, the eight gauge bosons (the gluons) that mediate the strong force, carry "cobur" charges such that the QCD Lagrangian incorporates gluon self interaction involving vertices with three and four gluons. This fact com plicates things a great deal.

## 2.1 Interpretation of the Lagrangians

The theory of the SM is written in terms of Lagrangians, which describe the dynam ics of a system in a fundam ental and well known way. They are scalars in every relevant space and invariant under transform ations. In the full eld theory, the Lagrangians can be used in a variety of ways. 50 years ago Feynm an created a simple method which reduces and simplies amplitude calculations done in relativistic quantum eld theory. This was an important discovery because the amplitude is of great importance since it incorporates the physical picture of a system. Feynm an's method is based on diagram s (called Feynm an diagram s) which can be used to calculate the amplitude in an eldient way, using so-called Feynm an rules. The rules enforce conservation of energy and three-momentum at each vertex, so that the four-momentum for the whole vertex is conserved.

Let us investigate an easy example where,  $^{0}$  ! . The pion, using standard quantum eld notation, is written as

$${}^{0}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{N} \circ (\mathbf{p}) (\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{e}^{i\mathbf{p}} + \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{e}^{i\mathbf{p}}) \mathbf{d}^{3}\mathbf{p}$$
(2)

where the creation  $a^y$  and annihilation a operators, create and annhibite a pion with momentum p, respectively. The N  $\circ$  (p) is a num erical factor determ ined from the Feynm an

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ W henever the order of transform ations m atters, they are called non-Abelian transform ations. The non-zero value of a commutator including the Paulim atrices that generate the local SU (2) gauge theory is an example of this.

rules. The photon eld can also be described in a simular way, but since it is a vector and not a scalar it needs an extra index. A polarization vector with four components is introduced. The photon eld is then represented by

7.

A 
$$(x) = N_{A}(q) (a_{k}e^{ik x} + a_{k}^{Y}e^{ik x})d^{3}q$$
 (3)

where k is proportional to the momentum q of the created photons. For the <sup>0</sup> ! where one pion is annihilated and two photons are created, the corresponding terms are picked out and used in the Lagrangian to get the Feynm an amplitude for the vertex.

#### 2.2 Renormalization

Start by considering lowest order ("tree level") Feynm an diagram s, where A + A ! B + B. A ssum e that the time axis goes from the bottom to the top.



The diagram has two vertices, so the matrix element M is proportional to the coupling constant squared  $(g^2)$ . This diagram is not the only one that describes this process, e.g. the four-vertex diagram s below also contribute to the process.



Let us investigate the bottom right diagram containing a loop integral where the four dimensional element could be written as  $d^4q = q^3 dqd$ , where d represents the angular part. At large q the integrand is essentially  $1/q^4$ , so the loop integral has the form of:

Ζ

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1}{q^4} q^3 dq = \ln q f = 1$$
 (4)

This integral is logarithm ic divergent for large q, which was a problem for a long time. Now there exist system atic methods to get rid of the "problem".

The procedure goes as follow s:

(1) Regularize the integral: D one by m odifying the theory, in such a way that it remains nite and well-de ned. This can be done in a couple of di erent ways which all lead to the

same physical result. In this thesis we will use two di erent methods, the cut-o procedure and dimensional regularization. The rst method mentioned, is the oldest one of the two and it is often used on simpler cases. The other procedure, dimensional regularization, is a powerfulmethod which is based on modifying the dimensionality of the integrals so that they become nite.

(2) Renorm alize: W here the physical parameters of interest change from the ones introduced by original Feynm an rules, to \renorm alized" and nite parameters containing extra factors.

(3) E lim inate the regularizing parameters: One revert from the regularized theory back to QED, i.e. the original in nities of QED relate the original (bare) particles with the physical particles. This means that the physical observations of the theory expressed in terms of mass and charge are nite as one restores QED.

We will now demonstrate the cut-o procedure to give a feeling about the whole topic. So, the rst step is to regularize the integral, where a suitable cut-o procedure is used that rem oves the in nities for the moment. This renders the integral to a nite value without spoiling the Lorentz invariance. The cut-o is introduced as a \fudge factor" that goes to 1 as the cut-o ! 1 . The nice thing is that the integral can then be calculated and separated into two parts: a nite part, independent of the cut-o , and a term related to the logarithm of the cut-o , which diverges when the cut-o goes to in nity. Now something extraordinary happens, all the divergent cut-o dependent terms appear together with the m asses and coupling constants. Thism eans that the physicalm asses and coupling constants are not the m's and g's from the original Feynm an rules, instead they are considered to be renorm alized ones, i.e

$$m_{physical} = m + m$$
  $g_{physical} = g + g$  (5)

In the in nite cut-o limit the delta factors are in nite, but this does not matter, since they are not the measured ones. The measured m<sub>physical</sub> and  $g_{physical}$  are nite, so the m and g in Eq. (5) also diverge, and makes the right side contribution nite. The remaining nite (cut-o independent) terms from the loop integrals, also su er from the modi cations of m and g. But the change in these nite e ective masses and coupling constants also depend on the momentum of the particles involved, and therefore they are called running masses and running coupling constants. If all the in nities from higher order diagrams behave in the way described above, we say that the theory is renorm alizable. 30 years ago 't Hooft showed that all gauge theories, including QCD and QED, are renorm alizable [8].

Another interesting way of viewing renormalization is with the help of a medium. From quantum physics we know that every particle moving through a medium consisting of quantum uctuations which e ect values i.e. charge and mass. To be able to ignore the medium the values of the particles parameters have to be changed to scale-dependent values, and you say that the parameters have been renormalized by the medium.

#### 2.3 Symmetries

Sym m etries are very important in particle physics. W henever there is an invariance there is a corresponding conserved quantity. That is exactly what N oether's theorem says: Sym m etry, conserved quantity. These conserved quantities and the associated currents create, with the help of group theory the building blocks of the standard m odel. The SM is fullof erent sym m etries. It is built up out of the three local gauge sym m etries SU (3)<sub>c</sub> SU (2)<sub>L</sub> U (1)<sub>k</sub>, som e continuous global sym m etries and som e discrete sym m etries.

The fullQCD Lagrangian with the SU  $(3)_{c}$  gauge symmetry can be approximated by a light-avor Lagrangian, because the scale of interest is 1G eV. So the c, b, and t quark will not be considered, since they are created at a scale over 1G eV. The light-avor QCD Lagrangian can be written as

$$L_{QCD} = iq_{L} D = q_{L} + iq_{R} D = q_{R} m_{q} (q_{L}q_{R} + q_{R}q_{L})$$

$$q = u_{r}d_{r}s$$
(6)

where  $q_{L,R} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & _5 \end{pmatrix} q$ , D = i (0 iG) and G the gluon eld. The q corresponds to a particular quark state, and its wave function is a product of factors,

$$q = \begin{cases} space & spin & U(1) & SU(2) & colour \\ factor & factor & factor & factor & factor \end{cases}$$
(7)

where each factor represents some labels, coordinates, or indices. If the masses in the approximated light-avor Lagrangian are put equal, the Lagrangian gets an extra global symmetry. The symmetry is an SU (3) avor symmetry (rotation in quark avor space). In the chiral limit the quark masses  $m_q = 0$ , which implies that SU (3) ! SU (3)<sub>L</sub> SU (3)<sub>k</sub> since the left and right handed quarks decouple from each other. So  $L_{QCD}^{m=0}$  is invariant under SU (3)<sub>L</sub> SU (3)<sub>k</sub> U (1)<sub>k</sub> U (1)<sub>v</sub> J. If we dene

then the transform ations associated with J symmetry correspond to

SU (3) $_{V = L + R}$	! e <sup>i~~=2</sup>		
U (1) <sub>A</sub>	! e <sup>i 5</sup>		
U (1) <sub>V</sub>	! e <sup>i</sup>		
SU (3) <sub>L</sub>	L! GLL		
SU (3) <sub>R</sub>	r ! g <sub>r r</sub>		
SU(3) <sub>L</sub> SU(3) <sub>R</sub>	$_{L} + _{R} ! g_{L} _{L} + g_{R} _{R}$	g <sub>L;R</sub> 2SU(3) <sub>L;R</sub>	(9)

where  $\sim$  is a vector with the G ell-M ann m atrices as its elements. These transform ations are later on used to derive the structure of the elective Lagrangians.

A symmetry is usually implemented in one of the two following ways: The W eylmode and the Goldstone mode. In the W eylmode the Lagrangian and the vacuum are both invariant under a set of symmetry transformations generated by Q, is for the vacuum

$$e^{i Q} j0i = j0i! Q j0i = 0$$
 (10)

where Q is a generator of the symmetry group under consideration. The vacuum j0i is unique and de ned as the state of the system which

$$h0 jH j0i = min:$$
(11)

So the generators Q generate a symmetry i.e. when [Q;H] = 0, and jai and ja<sup>0</sup>i belong to the same multiplet then H jai =  $E_a$  jai implies that H ja<sup>0</sup>i =  $E_a$  ja<sup>0</sup>i. This means that a and a<sup>0</sup> are degenerate states.

In the G oldstone m ode the Lagrangian is invariant under the sym m etry transform ations generated by Q, but Q j0i  $\in$  0. The consequence of this will be discussed in detail in the next chapters.

#### 2.3.1 Spontaneous sym m etry breaking

In the follow ing we consider an easy example that describes the theory behind spontaneous sym m etry breaking. This happens when the sym m etry of the Lagrangian is not shared by the groundstate. W e will develop this idea and consider the linear sigm a-and the non-linear sigm a-m odel, where an elective Lagrangian is derived from the sym m etry properties of the theory. Later on we use the same sym m etry arguments for the QCD and QFD Lagrangians to determ ine their form.

Start by consider a Lagrangian with a discrete relection symmetry, ! , i.e the  $^4$  theory Lagrangian

$$L = \frac{1}{2} (0)^{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{2} \frac{2}{4!} \frac{4}{4!}$$
(12)

where is a spin-zero scalar eld, and are constants. The eld  $_0$  is the classical minimum of the potential

V () = 
$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{4!} + \frac{4}{4!}$$
 (13)

which has two minima for  $^{2} < 0$ ,

$$r = v = \frac{6^2}{6^2}$$
 (14)

The constant v is the vacuum expectation value of  $\$  . Now expand around one of the two m inim a,

$$(x) = v + (x)$$
 (15)



Figure 1: Shows the M exican hat potential" in Eq. (18) where the potential energy V is a function of <sup>i</sup> and the plane parallel to V is thought of as an "i" dimensional hyperplane.

and rew rite L in term s of v and . D ropping the constant term s, the following L is obtained

$$L = \frac{1}{2} (0)^{2} \frac{1}{2} (2^{2})^{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4!}^{2} \frac{3}{4!}^{4}$$
(16)

which describes a simple scalar eld of mass  $p^{2^2}$ , with <sup>3</sup> and <sup>4</sup> interactions. The rejection symmetry in Eq. (12) is no longer present and you say that it is hidden inside the Lagrangian.

#### 2.3.2 The linear sigm a model

A generalization of the discrete sym m etry breaking above is the linear sigm a m odel, which has a broken continuous sym m etry. This is a phenom enological m odel for light m esons at low energy. The m odel consist out of N elds and has a <sup>4</sup> interaction that is invariant under rotation of the N elds. The Lagrangian is

$$L = \frac{1}{2} (0^{i})^{2} \frac{1}{2} (1^{i})^{2} \frac{1}{4} ((1^{i})^{2})^{2}$$
(17)

where i = 1, ..., N and is sum m ed over. The last two terms form the potential and are often written as

V 
$$(^{i}) = \frac{1}{2} (^{i})^{2} + \frac{1}{4} ((^{i})^{2})^{2}$$
: (18)

The Lagrangian in Eq. (17) is invariant under the symmetry transformation

<sup>i</sup>! 
$$R^{ij j}$$
 (19)

for an N  $\,$  N orthogonal matrix R which imply that L has an O (N ) symmetry. The potential V has a local maximum at  $\,^i$  = 0 for  $\,^2$  < 0. The minimum (ground state) can

be found through  $\frac{@V}{@~i}$  and thought of as a multidim ensional circle. Excitations in the N th direction (radial direction) require a shift away from the minimum, whilst an excitation along the circle, where the potential is at, corresponds to the other N 1 directions. Here an intuitive physical picture can be seen, and as will be seen later, the excitation in the radial direction corresponds to a massive particle and an excitation along the at potential gives rise to massless particles. The chosen minimum of the potential,  $_0$ , can be written in the follow ing way:

$$i_{0}(x) = 0$$
  $i = 1; ...; N = 1$   
 $i_{0}(x) = v$   $i = N$  (20)

W hen a perturbation expansion is performed, the uctuations around  $_0$  have to be considered instead of the uctuations around = 0. This means that

$$i(x) = ('^{k}(x);v + \sim (x))$$
  $k = 1; ...;N$  1 (21)

where  $'^{k}(x)$  are the light m eson elds and v the vacuum expectation value. The original O (N) symmetry is then hidden (broken) and the remaining symmetry is O (N 1), which rotate the  $'^{k}(x)$  among them selves. Now Eq. (17) can be rewritten by replacing the old <sup>i</sup> with the new one in Eq. (21). The following result is then obtained

$$L = \frac{1}{2} (\ell k^{k})^{2} + \frac{1}{2} (\ell k)^{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\ell k^{2})^{2} p^{2} p^{2}$$

where N 1 m assless m eson elds and one massive sigma eld have appeared. If N = 4<sup>3</sup> an O (4) symmetry is achieved, which means that

where 0 is an orthogonal 4 m atrix that rotate the matrix . This means that the four primed elds are linear combinations of the unprimed elds, and that the elds transform linearly. If  $= v + \sim$  is considered, where the sigma eld is a scalar eld and has a non-vanishing vacuum expectation value, then Eq. (22) can be rewritten as

$$L = \frac{1}{2} @ ~ (24)$$

U sing a representation called the exponential representation

S 
$$p = \frac{1}{2 + k^2}$$
 v; U exp(i~ ~  $v = v$ ): (25)

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>N = 4$  is useful because O (4) = SU (2) SU (2) which m eans that the exponential representation with the Paulim atrices can be used.

The resulting Lagrangian can be written in the form

$$L = \frac{1}{2} ((0 S)^{2} 2^{2}S^{2}) vS^{3} - \frac{(v+S)^{2}}{4}h0 U 0 U^{y}i$$
(26)

where h:::i is the trace in avor space. Since we have done the switch ! U, using local group arguments<sup>4</sup>, the linear transformation is not valid anymore. The matrix U is invariant under SU  $(2)_L$  SU  $(2)_k$ , but this is a non-linear transformation, which can be seen explicitly when we Taylor expand

$$U' 1 + \frac{1}{v} \sim \frac{0}{v^2} + \frac{(-v^2)^2}{v^2} + (27)$$

and use that  $U \, ! \, g_L U \, g_R^y$ ) ~  ${}^0 \mathcal{A} : g_L \sim {}^0 \mathcal{G}_R^y + \dots$ . So the Lagrangian in Eq. (26) can be thought of as the non-linear representation of the linear sigm a model.

#### 2.3.3 The non-linear sigm a-m odel

W hen physics is studied at a speci c energy scale only particles that can be created inside this energy scale have to be considered. The heavy elds do then not turn up explicitly, but can be seen through virtual e ects. W hen an e ective L (see chapter 2.5) is used at low energies the heavy elds do not have to be included, but the virtual e ects are included via LECs connected to only light elds. There is a theorem called the decoupling theorem that says that all e ects from heavy elds will reveal them selves through renorm alization of coupling constants or they can be ignored because of the heavy m ass suppression. At low energy, Eq. (26) can be expanded to a very interesting form. By only considering low est order ' <sup>0, 0</sup>-interactions the only interesting term is the one without the eld S, so all diagram s with S interactions and S propagators are neglected. The non-linear sigm a m odel is achieved when S ! 0, which in plies that

$$L = \frac{v^2}{4}h^0 U O U^{y}i:$$
 (28)

This is of great importance as we will see later on. W ithout external elds and EM interactions this term is the only term of  $(p^2)$ .

#### 2.3.4 Goldstone bosons

That m assless particles show up when a continuous symmetry is broken, is a consequence from G oldstone's theorem [9]. The theorem says that that for every spontaneous broken symmetry, the theory must contain a massless particle. So the result from an O(N)-symmetric theory as for the linear sigma model with N 1 broken symmetries, is N 1 m assless particles. The massless particles are called G oldstone bosons (GB).

 $<sup>{}^4\,{\</sup>rlap{\scriptstyle k}}{}^0$  is determined from  ${}$  + i  ${}^{\sim}$   ${}^{\sim}$  = (S + v)U .

As I mentioned before the Lagrangian is invariant, but Q j 0i  $\notin$  0 for a number of generators. This implies that in the vacuum there are operators that form states of particles, denoted j <sup>a</sup> (k)i. This fact will be used later on when we show that the non-linear sigm a model is equivalent with  $L_{OCD}$ .

In the earlier chapters the symmetry of the QCD Lagrangian was discussed and in U (1)  $U(1)_{V}$  symmetry was achieved. If only the chiral lim it a SU  $(3)_{T}$ SU (3) the two lightest quarks had been considered then the QCD Lagrangian would have a  $U(1)_{V}$ . The 1st two groups corresponds to SO(4) (true SU (2)<sub>T</sub> SU (2) U (1) under Lie algebra). The interesting part is that since SO (4) ! SO (3) under spontaneous symmetry breaking (where SO (3) corresponds to SU (2) under simular group argument as  $above)^5$  SU (2)<sub>1</sub> SU  $(2)_R$  ! SU  $(2)_{V=L+R}$ , where SU  $(2)_{V=L+R}$  is a subgroup of the chiral sym m etry. U sing the sam e argum ents as above G SU (3)<sub>R</sub> ! SU (3)<sub>V = L + R</sub> SU (3) H. Since the global axial part of  $L_{OCD}$  in the chiral lim it is broken and the fact that we are working in SU (3), eight <sup>6</sup> pseudoscalar Goldstone bosons (GB) appear as a consequence of Goldstone's theorem .

The massless particles show ing up form the light meson octet containing: ,  ${}^{0}$ , K , K  ${}^{0}$ , K  ${}^{0}$ , The reason for this is that the light particles have the correct quantum numbers to be associated with the generators of the coset space G =H, which parameterize the GB. Since the GB are massless in this theory, their masses have to be introduced in a di erent way. It can be added to  $L_{QCD}$ , but this will break the perfect chiral symmetries. The adding of the mass terms to the QCD Lagrangian is analogous to adding a a term to the potential in Eq. (18). U sing a perturbative ansatz, one obtains massive GB, where the squared masses are proportional to the breaking parameter a. Since the masses of the three light quarks are small compared to the breaking scale of chiral symmetries ( 1G eV ), the explicit adding of the mass can be treated as a small perturbation. The fact that the pseudoscalar-meson masses are much smaller than the typical hadronic scale reinforces this assumption.

O nem ore interesting feature of this is that the non-vanishing m asses of the light pseudoscalars in the "real" world, are related to the explicit symmetry breaking in QCD due to the light quark m asses which are believed to acquire their m ass from the Higgs m echanism.

 $<sup>^{5}</sup>$ SU (2) is locally identical to the rotations in three dimensions, which form the group SO (3), but they di er globally i.e. for rotations that are not in nitesimally small. The two groups have the same Lie algebra, i.e. their generators have the same commutator relations

 $<sup>^6\</sup>text{T}$  he number of GB is determined by the symmetry properties of the groups. The G group denote the symmetry group of the Lagrangian, with  $n_G$  generators. The H subgroup, that leaves the vacuum after spontaneous symmetry breaking invariant, has  $n_H$  generators. Every generator that does not annihilate the vacuum creates one massless GB, i.e. the total number of GB is  $n_G$   $n_H$ . In our case  $n_G$  = 16 and  $n_H$  = 8, which means that eight G oldstone bosons appear.

 $<sup>^{7}</sup>$ A round this energy the spin-one states (;K ; ) can be created with the same quark content as the light m eson octet. The spin-one particles can not be GB, since GB have spin zero if Lorentz invariance is a good sym m etry.

#### 2.4 Chiral perturbation theory

Chiral perturbation theory (ChPT) is based upon the following two basic assumptions:

- 1. The spontaneous breakdown of the SU  $(3)_L$  SU  $(3)_R$  symmetry to SU  $(3)_V$  for the QCD Lagrangian in the chiral limit.
- 2. C lose to the chiral lim it the mass term s of the light quarks can be treated as small perturbations.

It is very useful for strong interactions in the bw energy sector. As seen in previous chapters the sym m etry of the QCD Lagrangian is spontaneously broken to SU  $(3)_V$  U  $(1)_V$  which introduces eight m assless G oldstone bosons in the form of the (,K, ) octet. Since U  $(1)_V$  corresponds to the baryon number it plays no further role in low energy m eson physics.

W hen the quark masses are added to  $L_{QCD}$ , the pseudoscalar mesons aquire mass through an explicit chiral symmetry breaking. The mass is added through a chiral invariant coupling of the mesons to the scalar and pseudoscalar external currents (see appendix C) s and p, respectively,

$$= 2B_{0} (s + ip) ! 2B_{0} g_{R} (s + ip) g_{L}^{Y}$$
(29)

where  $g_{L,R} 2 SU(3)_{L,R}$ ,  $B_0$  is related to the quark condensate h0 ju  $Di = F_0^2 B_0 [1 + 0 (m_q)]$ , p is a 3 3 m atrix containing eight pseudoscalar external elds related to the  $q_5 q$  current. The scalar external currents includes the m asses for the three light quarks,

$$s = M + 0 = m_d A + (30)$$

$$m_s$$

and are related to the qq current. To get rid of the part of the external current swhich is of no importance to us, put it exactly equal to the mass matrix. By doing this  $\frac{1}{2}$  g<sub>R</sub> , so the chiral sym metry breaks, but the quark masses are small compared to the constituent mass, so this can be treated as a perturbation. And since the creation of the GB is based upon the SU (3)<sub>L</sub> SU (3)<sub>k</sub> symmetry which is broken by the perturbative mass, the GB change to pseudo-GB.

ChPT is a non-renorm alizable theory, because of the ultraviolet divergences produced by the loops. For a non-renorm alizable theory in nite numbers of counterterms have to be added to make it nite. The problem can be avoided by using e ective quantum eld theory (see e ective Lagrangian chapter), which indicate that the energy region of interest is below a certain cut-o parameter , and then the number of counterterms needed at each level of expansion is nite. At increasing expansion powers, the number of needed counterterms increases drastically. At very low energies, the contributions from higher orders are small, that is why only terms up to 0 ( $p^4$ ) are considered here, see Eq.(1). The nite parts for each power contains a nite coupling constant and it is some of those constants that are going to be determ ined in this thesis. The problem is that they cannot be calculated directly from the QCD and QFD Lagrangians.

### 2.5 E ective Lagrangians

The reason why an elective Lagrangian is used is that at high energies QCD is perturbative, i.e. it can be expanded in terms of  $_{s}$ , which is small for large energies. At low energies the theory is highly non-perturbative since  $_{s}$  is large and we therefore need to use elective Lagrangian techniques. When elective Lagrangians are used instead of the original Lagrangians, they correspond to the same symmetries but generate dilerent Feynman diagram s.

M ost theories in physics are an approximation to the real world. The perturbative treatment is a common and well known method. For the task of this thesis a perturbative theory is needed. The problem can be solved if a light pseudoscalar meson representation is used. The representation is not expanded in terms of  $_{\rm s}$ , but instead in terms of quark masses and momenta. This is known as chiral expansion.

For low enough energies only a few relevant degrees of freedom are needed to describe the theory. The non-relevant do.f can be integrated out and encoded into the LECs, just as for the non-linear sigm a model. The elective low energy action that is described by a number of relevant and non-relevant elds, can be written in the form of external elds in the GB low energy theory. The earlier mentioned Lagrangian is then modi ed, and the external elds can be included in a chiral invariant way.

#### 2.5.1 Power counting

Power counting is a very useful way to organize the powers in the chiral expansion. The ordering in the context of Feynman rules is that, derivatives generate four momenta and the quark mass terms have the same dimension as two derivatives. So when we are on-shellm  $_{m eson}^{2} = p^{2}$ , but when we are o -shelle<sup>2</sup> has the same counting as the four momenta squared. The external photon elds are of order p since they occur together with a momentum in the covariant derivative. An illustrative example can be seen below:



In the gure above, the loop diagram is of  $(p^4)$  and is generated by tree level diagram s from  $L_2$ . The loop integrals generate divergences which can be canceled by adding tree diagram s, from the  $L_4$  term s. The counterterm s needed to cancel the divergences created by the loop diagram s of  $(p^n)$  comes from the LECs of the same order, which implies two things. First the good thing which is that the loop integral divergences are canceled order by order. The other thing is that the number of coe cients needed to describe the theory increases dram atically for increasing orders, which makes an expansion to higher orders alm ost impossible.

For scattering in pure QCD, an in nite number of diagrams have to be considered since they are equally important. If instead an elective theory is considered, the diagrams can be divided into groups of dilerent powers of  $p^2$ . For low energy, only few powers of  $p^2$  have to be considered. The physical picture can then be described by a small number of diagrams, which is a good approximation of QCD for low energy.

#### 2.5.2 QCD at low energy

At low energies quarks do not behave like free quarks, instead they behave like asymptotic light meson elds. The elective theory of QCD is formulated in this way. It can be expanded in a series of in nite numbers of derivative terms, i.e.

$$L_{eff} = L_2 + L_4 + L_6 +$$
 (31)

From the properties of the GB and the sym m etry of QCD  $^{8}$  , the easiest form of the leading order term s is

$$L_{2}^{QCD} = \frac{F_{0}^{2}}{4} h (U^{Y} (U + 2B_{0})M (U^{Y} + U)) i$$
(32)

where M is the mass matrix in Eq. (30). U contain the eight pseudoscalar meson elds and has the following properties:

$$UU^{+} = 1; \qquad det U = 1$$
  

$$U = \exp(\frac{i}{F_{0}}); \qquad = \frac{X^{8}}{a'_{a}}; \qquad (33)$$

where

 $<sup>^{8}</sup>$ W ith this I m ean by adding local contributions to the external elds the transform ations associated with the J sym m etry in Eq. (9) im plies certain invariances, which are used to derive the structure of the e ective Lagrangians. All this follows from G asser & Leutwyler [6].

The observant reader can see that in the chiral lim it, the vacuum expectation value v in the non-linear sigm a model in Eq. (28) can be put equal to the pion decay coupling  $F_0$ . This coupling constant is de ned from the di erentiation of the lowest order classical action  $S_2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^4x L_2$  with respect to external axial elds in the chiral lim it, i.e.

$$h0 j \frac{S_2}{a} j^+ (p) i = h0 j d_{5} u j^+ (p) i = i \frac{p}{2F_0 p} ; \qquad (35)$$

W e com pare this with the pion decay constant which is derived as follows: The decay of a <sup>+</sup> into two leptons via a weak interaction can be described by the tree level diagram



W ritten in terms of matrix elements, this corresponds to

The pion decay constant is then de ned to be the second term on the right side. When the amplitude is calculated the following is achieved

$$i^{P} 2F p = h0 jd_{5} u j^{+} (p) i$$
 (37)

and the identi cation  $F = F_0$  can be made.

#### 2.5.3 QFD at low energies

The electrom agnetic virtual interactions of order  $e^2$  between pseudo-GB to the leading order in the chiral expansion which was rst derived by R.Dashen [10] and is described by the elective Lagrangian

$$L_{2}^{EM} = e^{2}C_{1}hQ^{2}i + e^{2}ChQUQU^{Y}i$$
(38)

which is created from the symmetry properties for an elective low energy QFD theory. To ensure the chiral SU  $(3)_L$  SU  $(3)_k$  symmetry in Eq. (38), the local spurions<sup>9</sup>

$$Q_{L} \stackrel{!}{=} g_{L} Q g_{L}^{Y}$$

$$Q_{R} \stackrel{!}{=} g_{R} Q g_{R}^{Y}$$
(39)

are introduced instead of the charge matrix Q. The st term in Eq. (38) is a constant and will not be considered. So the resulting Lagrangian is

$$L_2^{EM} = e^2 C h Q_L U Q_R U^{\gamma} i:$$
(40)

The two spurions,  $Q_L$  and  $Q_R$ , are di erent matrices. Since the photon couples identically to the left respectively right, it can not distinguish between the two Q matrices and for that reason we put them equal in our elective Lagrangians.

 $<sup>^9 {\</sup>rm Spurions}$  are dum m y  $\,$  elds that are added to the Lagrangian to make it a singlet under the chiral group.

#### 2.5.4 Leading order e ective Lagrangian

The e ective Lagrangian of QCD including EM e ects to leading order is in the mesonic sector

$$L_{2} = \frac{1}{4}F \quad F \qquad \frac{1}{2(1)}(e^{A})^{2} + \frac{1}{4}F_{0}^{2}h^{D} \quad U^{+}D \quad U^{+} + U^{+} + e^{2}Ch^{2}UQU^{y}i$$
(41)

where, the two rst terms describe the kinetic energy and the gauge xing term <sup>10</sup> for the virtual photons. When one takes the inverse of the two terms it will result in the photon propagator that we later on use to calculate the photon loops. F = 0 A 0 A is the electrom agnetic eld strength tensor of the photon eld A and is a gauge xing term. The covariant derivative in Eq. (41) conserves the local gauge symmetries since it includes the couplings to the photon eld A , the external vector v and axial-vector currents a ,

$$D U = 0 \quad i(v + a)U + iU(v = a) = 0 \quad irU + iU \quad (42)$$

where  $v = \frac{a}{2}v^a = e^2QA + v$ , and  $a = \frac{a}{2}a^a$  is a 3 3 m atrix associated to the quark current q  $5^a$  aq. v is associated with q  $a^a$ q, but this current is not of interest to us. The Q m atrix in Eq. (41) is the charge m atrix for the u, d, and s quark,

$$Q = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & 1 \\ 2 & & 1 \\ 1 & A \\ 1 & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(43)

A swe have seen above, the lowest order Lagrangian,  $L_2$ , is given by the non-linear sigm a model coupled to external elds. When calculating a process using only tree level diagram s of  $L_2$ , one represents the results of current algebra. The tree level diagram s can be put together to one-loops diagram swhere we have an emission and absorption of a photon in the pseudo-G B theory, generated by the two-point function (Fig. 2), which include the vertices  $P^+P$  and  $P^+P$  incorporated in Eq. (41). A calculation of one-loop diagram s with  $L_2$  vertices, leads to divergences as Immentioned before. Due to W einberg's counting these in nities are of 0 ( $p^4$ ) and can be canceled through renormalization by next-to-leading order Lagrangian at 0 ( $p^4$ ).

#### 2.5.5 Next-to-leading order e ective Lagrangian

The Lagrangian in Eq. (41) is only the rst term in the in nite chiral expansion series. Here we introduce the second term in the expansion. The rst part of it is of order  $p^4$  and for the three light quark approximation in the pure strong sector (Q = 0), it was rst written down by G asser and Leutwyler [6], while the EM part of order  $p^2e^2$  was rst derived by U rech [7].

 $<sup>^{10}{\</sup>rm T}\,{\rm he}$  main reason why the gauge xing term is introduced is to avoid singularities when the photon mass equals zero.



Figure 2: The two st types of one-bop contributions from Eq. (41). The crosses are external pseudoscalar currents and the wiggly line is the virtual photon. The full lines are pseudoscalars.

The  $L_4$  Lagrangian contains all allowed operators that transform linearly and are invariant under the correct symmetries of QCD and QFD. It is given by:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{L}_{4} = \mathbf{L}_{4}^{Q\,C\,D} + \mathbf{L}_{4}^{E\,M} &= \mathbf{L}_{1}\mathbf{h} D \ UD \ U^{y}i^{2} + \mathbf{L}_{2}\mathbf{h} D \ UD \ U^{y}i^{2} + \mathbf{L}_{3}\mathbf{h} D \ UD \ U^{y} D \ UD \ U^{y}i \\ &+ \mathbf{L}_{4}\mathbf{h} D \ UD \ U^{y}\mathbf{h} \ U^{y} + U \ y\mathbf{i}^{2} + \mathbf{L}_{5}\mathbf{h} D \ UD \ U^{y}) (\ U^{y} + U \ y\mathbf{i}) \\ &+ \mathbf{L}_{6}\mathbf{h} \ U^{y} + U \ y\mathbf{i}^{2} + \mathbf{L}_{7}\mathbf{h} \ U^{y} \ U \ y\mathbf{i}^{2} + \mathbf{L}_{8}\mathbf{h} \ U^{y} \ U^{y} + U \ y\mathbf{U} \ y\mathbf{i} \\ &+ \mathbf{h}_{6}\mathbf{h} \ U \ U \ U^{y} + \mathbf{L} \ D \ U^{y}\mathbf{D} \ U\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{L}_{10}\mathbf{h} \mathbf{R} \ UL \ U^{y}\mathbf{i} \\ &+ \mathbf{h}_{2}\mathbf{h} \mathbf{R} \ D \ UD \ U^{y} + \mathbf{L} \ D \ U^{y}\mathbf{D} \ U\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{L}_{10}\mathbf{h} \mathbf{R} \ UL \ U^{y}\mathbf{i} \\ &+ \mathbf{h}_{1}\mathbf{h} \mathbf{R} \ \mathbf{R} \ + \mathbf{L} \ \mathbf{L} \ \mathbf{i} + \mathbf{H}_{2}\mathbf{h}^{y} \ \mathbf{i} \\ &+ \mathbf{e}^{2}\mathbf{F}_{0}^{2}\mathbf{K}_{1}\mathbf{h} \mathbf{D} \ U^{y}\mathbf{D} \ UQ \ U \ U^{y}\mathbf{U} + Q U \ U^{y}\mathbf{D} \ U \ U^{y}\mathbf{U} \\ &+ \mathbf{e}^{2}\mathbf{F}_{0}^{2}\mathbf{K}_{3}\mathbf{h} \mathbf{Q}^{y}\mathbf{D} \ UQ \ U \ U^{y}\mathbf{U} + Q U \ U^{y}\mathbf{U} \\ &+ \mathbf{e}^{2}\mathbf{F}_{0}^{2}\mathbf{K}_{3}\mathbf{h} \mathbf{Q}^{y}\mathbf{D} \ UQ \ U \ U^{y}\mathbf{U} \\ &+ \mathbf{e}^{2}\mathbf{F}_{0}^{2}\mathbf{K}_{5}\mathbf{h} \mathbf{D} \ UD \ U^{y}\mathbf{U} + \mathbf{D} \ u^{y}\mathbf{D} \ U \big) \mathbf{Q}^{2}\mathbf{i} \\ &+ \mathbf{e}^{2}\mathbf{F}_{0}^{2}\mathbf{K}_{6}\mathbf{h} \ U^{y}\mathbf{D} \ UQ U^{y}\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{U} + \mathbf{D} \ U \ U^{y}\mathbf{Q} U \mathbf{Q} U^{y}\mathbf{i} \\ &+ \mathbf{e}^{2}\mathbf{F}_{0}^{2}\mathbf{K}_{9}\mathbf{h} (\ ^{y}\mathbf{U} + \mathbf{U}^{y} \ \mathbf{U}^{y}\mathbf{U} \mathbf{U}^{y}\mathbf{U} + \mathbf{U}^{y} \ \mathbf{i} \mathbf{Q}^{2}\mathbf{i} \\ &+ \mathbf{e}^{2}\mathbf{F}_{0}^{2}\mathbf{K}_{9}\mathbf{h} (\ ^{y}\mathbf{U} + \mathbf{U}^{y} \ \mathbf{U}^{y}\mathbf{U} \mathbf{U}^{y}\mathbf{U}^{z}\mathbf{i} \\ &+ \mathbf{e}^{2}\mathbf{F}_{0}^{2}\mathbf{K}_{10}\mathbf{h} (\ ^{y}\mathbf{U} + \mathbf{U}^{y} \ \mathbf{U}^{y}\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U}^{y}\mathbf{U} \mathbf{U}^{y}\mathbf{i} \\ &+ \mathbf{e}^{2}\mathbf{F}_{0}^{2}\mathbf{K}_{10}\mathbf{h} (\ ^{y}\mathbf{U} + \mathbf{U}^{y} \ \mathbf{U}^{y}\mathbf{U} \mathbf{U}^{y}\mathbf{U}^{y}\mathbf{U}^{z}\mathbf{i} \\ &+ \mathbf{e}^{2}\mathbf{F}_{0}^{2}\mathbf{K}_{10}\mathbf{h} (\ ^{y}\mathbf{U} + \mathbf{U}^{y} \ \mathbf{U}^{y}\mathbf{U} \mathbf{U}^{y}\mathbf{U}^{y}\mathbf{U}^{z}\mathbf{i} \\ &+ \mathbf{e}^{2}\mathbf{F}_{0}^{2}\mathbf{K}_{10}\mathbf{h} (\ ^{y}\mathbf{U} \ \mathbf{U}^{y}\mathbf{U}^{y}\mathbf{U}^{y}\mathbf{U}^{y}\mathbf{U}^{y}\mathbf{U}^{z}\mathbf{U}^{z}\mathbf{U}^{z}\mathbf{i} \\ &+ \mathbf{e}^{2}\mathbf{F}_{0}^{2}\mathbf{K}_{10}\mathbf{h} \mathbf{U}^{y}\mathbf{U}^{y}\mathbf{U}^{z}\mathbf{U}^{z}\mathbf{U}^{z}\mathbf{U}^{z}\mathbf{U}^{z}\mathbf{U}^{z}\mathbf{U}^{z}\mathbf{U}^{z}\mathbf{U}^{z}\mathbf{U}^{z}\mathbf{U}^{z}\mathbf{U}^{z}\mathbf{U}^{z}\mathbf{U}^{z}\mathbf{U}^{z}\mathbf{U}^{z}\mathbf{U}^{z}\mathbf{U}^{z}\mathbf{U}^$$

where the covariant derivatives r  $^{L(R)}$  are de ned as

 $r^{L(R)}Q = 0 Q \quad i[v \quad (+)a;Q]$  (45)

and the L and R eld strengths are

$$L = 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ i[1;1]$$
  

$$R = 0 \ r \ 0 \ r \ i[r;r]:$$
(46)

The coupling constants L  $_{\rm i}$  , H  $_{\rm i}$  and K  $_{\rm i}$  are de ned as

$$L_{i} = _{i} + L_{i}^{r}();$$

$$H_{i} = _{i} + H_{i}^{r}();$$

$$K_{i} = _{i} + K_{i}^{r}();$$
(47)

where the  $_{i}$  and  $_{i}$  constants are determined by G asser and Leutwyler in [5] and the  $_{i}$  constants by U rech in [7]. is the divergent part of the coupling constants and can be determined through dimensional regularization and  $\overline{M}$  S regularization. The  $L_{i}^{r}()$ ,  $H_{i}^{r}()$  and  $K_{i}^{r}()$  are, respectively, nite parts, which we are going to determine. These coe cients are renormalized and depend on the arbitrary renormalization scale .

# 3 How to determ ine the EM coe cients

The main idea behind the calculations of the K<sub>i</sub>s is based on the investigation of a single two-point function using two dimension methods, and comparing them in the end. On the RHS we use pure ChPT to O (p<sup>4</sup>), describing both QCD and QFD with internal photons. The amplitudes for the relevant Feynm an diagram s on this side are generated by  $L_{eff} = L_2 + L_4 + \dots$  On the other side, the LHS, we use external photons coupled to an emperity  $L_{eff}^{QCD} = L_2^{QCD} + L_4^{QCD} + \dots$ 

First a sum m ary of the plan:

Investigate the Lagrangians  $L_{2}$  in Eq. (41) and  $L_{4}$  in Eq. (44).

Expand the U m atrix and plug in the m atrices in Eq. (34), Eq. (42) and Eq. (43).

Consider the relevant Feynm an diagram s.

Integrate over the m om enta.

Calculate the amplitudes for the relevant processes.

C on pare the di erent an plitudes generated by  $L_{dff}^{QCD} = L_2^{QCD} + L_4^{QCD} +$  on the left hand side (LHS) with  $L_{eff} = L_2 + L_4 +$  on the right hand side (RHS).

Them ain result in the chapters before is the Lagrangian in Eq. (44) of 0 ( $p^4$ ) (where the  $e^2p^2$  tree-level contribution from Eq. (44) also is of this order). By expanding U in terms of the meson matrix and plugging in all the matrices into the Lagrangian, the term s that build up the Feynm an diagram s are achieved. To handle all the terms by hand is a very bothersom e task, since there are so many of them. Instead we use the computer program Form to calculate the terms. They are carefully investigated and divided up into certain Feynm an diagram s, e.g. interaction with di erent kinds of loop diagram s. The terms s from the Lagrangian are translated according to the Feynm an rules and the amplitude for each diagram is calculated. This is easier said than done, since the diagram s with a loop contain a loop integral over every relevant four-momenta. These integrals are calculated with di erent methods, depending if it is on the RHS or the LHS. The reason for this is that the two sides are related to di erent theories describing the sam e two-point function (appendix B).

W e will now investigate the two sides separately.

Left hand side, (LHS) Here we use a Lagrangian with a QCD symmetry including external virtual photons. There exist no divergences except the ones that can be absorbed with renormalization into  $m_q$ , s and  $_{EM}$ . The following picture illustrates the process



where the gure describes a two-point function, with a virtual photon connected to it. The "bubble" generated by the G reen function can be thought of as a propagator describing a process from one side to another.

The vital point is that since we are dealing with virtual photons an integration over the whole four-momentum space has to be performed. This is going to give us divergences, which are absorbed as I mentioned before. The integrals over the momentum are divided up into two parts with the help of a cut-o (not the same cut-o used in the renormalization chapter) which respectively is determined by a di erent method. The two parts are separated into a long-distance (LD) and a short-distance (SD) contribution, with the help an euclidian cut-o . The cut-o also works as a matching variable where a plateau in indicates matching. A fter passing from M inkowski space to Euclidian space with the help of a W ick rotation<sup>11</sup>,

 $<sup>^{11}</sup>T$  his m eans that the zeroth component of the four-m om enta,  $p^0$  =  $ip^0_E$ , where  $p^0$  is in the M inkowski space and  $p^0_E$  in the euclidian space

the integrals can be written in the following form

$$Z_{1} \qquad Z_{2} \qquad Z_{1} \qquad dr_{E} = dr_{E} + dr_{E} :$$

$$(48)$$

where  $r_E$  is the momentum. The rst integral, the LD part, is evaluated using ChPT to O (p<sup>4</sup>) which we will explain more in a moment. The second integral, called the SD part, is calculated with perturbative QCD.

SD We evaluate the photons which have a momentum larger than . This is done through an expansion in powers of 1=, where contributions up to next to leading order are considered. The essence of the physical picture can be illustrated through a simple one-loop diagram.



W e use large photon m om enta, so that the Q<sup>2</sup> is big. This m eans that a gluon or a quark has to be included to run back with the m om entum Q, otherwise the diagram is heavily suppressed. The suppression comes from the fact that if only \one-way" diagram s are considered, where the energy ows in one direction then the creation of the nalhadron is suppressed since the quarks carry too much energy.

In the calculations of the SD part we consider four types of di erent contributions, each associated with one type of diagram and expanded to order 1=  $^2$ .

In the leading order of  $1=N_{\rm C}^{12}$ , Fig. 11c and Fig. 11d are just a product of pure QCD currents and their contributions have already been calculated in [1]. The other two diagrams have to do with the renormalization of the scalar, pseudo-scalar, vector and axial-vector currents, and the contribution depend on the scale where the input current quark masses are renormalized in QED. This contribution has to some extent been calculated in [1], but in Ref.[12] by B.M oussallam it was pointed out that an extra contribution was needed. This in plies a di erent SD result for the K  $_9$  to K  $_{12}$  coe cients. W e will use the result from [1] as much as possible in this text and just add the ChPT corrections of O (p<sup>4</sup>) which originates from Fig.11a and 11b.

LD For this part we want to include all the orders in the QCD chiral Lagrangian expansion, so that a proper and a sm ooth result will appear and all the polynomial divergences will disappear. This is obviously not possible since there are an

 $<sup>^{12}\</sup>rm{T}\,he$  1=N  $_{\rm C}$  is a procedure where you assume the number of coulors N  $_{\rm C}$  to be large so that you can expand in 1=N  $_{\rm C}$  .



F igure 3: These are the four contributing diagram s. The curly line is the gluon, the wiggly the photon, the plain line the quark and the cross marks the external current.

in nite number of orders. The expansion up to  $L_6^{QCD}$  [14] is known, but we will only consider  $L_2^{QCD}$  and  $L_4^{QCD}$ . To this order the following relevant Feynm an diagram s are generated:



The top left diagram might seem strange, but it just represents two external elds coupled to a photon loop. Thus it consist out of one vertex and a photon propagator.

Since we are only considering the leading and the next-to-leading order, problem s will appear. For the LD part these problem s can be avoided by using low energies, i.e. a small which implies that terms like  $L_{i}^{2}\frac{4}{F_{0}^{4}}$ ,  $L_{i}^{3}\frac{6}{F_{0}^{6}}$ , ...  $L_{i}\frac{2}{F_{0}^{2}}$ . This means that to a good approximation for small momenta the higher order terms do not contribute. R ight hand side, (R H S) Here we have an elective Lagrangian in pure ChPT describing both QCD and QFD with internal photons. This means that ordinary eld theory can be used. Since the tree level diagram s created by  $L_2$  are



the vertices of 0 ( $p^2$ ) can be put together to one loop diagram s of order  $p^4$ ;  $e^2p^2$  and  $p^0e^4$ . These diagram s generate divergences of the sam e order, which can be rem oved by adding tree level diagram s from



The  $L_i$  coe cients will absorb the p<sup>4</sup> divergence from the loop diagram created by  $L_2$ , and the K<sub>i</sub> coe cients will rem ove the e<sup>2</sup>p<sup>2</sup> divergence. Since we are only interested in the K<sub>i</sub> coe cients, the order that we are going to consider is e<sup>2</sup>p<sup>2</sup>. By renormalizing with dimensional regularization and  $\overline{MS}$  which is just a method to isolate the loop divergence and include some speci c constant terms, all the in nities are absorbed into the LECs (the K<sub>i</sub> and  $L_i$  coe cients in this case) and the G reen function is well de ned. When this renormalization is performed, a renormalization scale will appear just as in [7], this means that in the end the LECs will depend on this scale.

The resulting amplitudes from both sides are polynom ials and logarithm s ofm om enta, m ass and electric charge. The identi cations are done particle by particle combined with di erent external currents. Since the loop integrals are hard to calculate, sim pli cations, ie putting  $m_q = 0$  or  $p^2 = 0$  on both sides helps the calculations. The one-loop diagram swill generate infrared (IR)<sup>13</sup> divergences of the form  $\log \frac{2}{p^2}; \log \frac{2}{m^2}$  for the LHS and  $\log \frac{2}{p^2}; \log \frac{2}{m^2}$  for the RHS.As one can see this IR divergent part will cancel on both sides.

W hen both the SD and LD part on the LHS have been calculated and all the LECs of interest have been written in terms of the two parts are plotted against each other, and compared to see at which region the result is approximately the same. In this way a reasonable region for the SD-LD separating scale can be determined, and it can then be removed.

 $<sup>^{13}</sup>$ Just an other name for a something that go to in nity when the momentum goes to zero. The ultra violet (UV) divergences that show up in the SD contribution, goes to in nity when the momentum goes to in nity.

## 4 Result

In this section the result for all the calculations are presented:

From the two-point function, using ChPT in the external eld form alism, the LD part and the RHS can be calculated. A fler m atching the amplitudes on the two sides the following relations are obtained:

$$C = \frac{3F_0^2}{216^2} + \frac{3L_{10}^r}{16^2}$$
(49)

A chieved through: h0 jT (  $^+$  (x)  $^+$  (y)) j0i, using external pseudoscalar currents in the chiral lim it to the 0 ( $p^0$ ).

$$K_{8}^{r} = \frac{3}{8^{2}} \frac{2}{F_{0}^{2}} (4L_{6}^{r} - L_{4}^{r}) - \frac{8C}{F_{0}^{4}} (2L_{6}^{r} - L_{4}^{r}) = \frac{3}{8^{2}} \frac{2L_{4}}{F_{0}^{2}}$$
(50)

A chieved through: h0 jT (  $^+$  (x)  $^+$  (y)) j0i, using external pseudoscalar currents with  $p^2 = m^2 = 0$  to the O ( $p^2$ ).

$$K_{9}^{r} = K_{8}^{r} = \frac{1}{128^{-2}}^{h} (1) \log(\frac{2}{2}) = \frac{3}{F_{0}^{2}} (2L_{4}^{r} + L_{5}^{r})^{i}$$
 (51)

A chieved through: h0 jT (  $^+$  (x)  $^+$  (y)) j0i, using external pseudoscalar currents with  $p^2$  = m  $_{\rm s}$  = 0 to the 0 ( $p^2$ ).

$$K_{9}^{r} = \frac{1}{128^{2}}^{h} (1) \log(\frac{2}{2}) + \frac{3}{F_{0}^{2}}^{2} (64L_{6}^{r} - 18L_{4}^{r} - L_{5}^{r})^{i} - \frac{8C}{F_{0}^{4}} (2L_{6}^{r} - L_{4}^{r})$$
$$= \frac{1}{128^{2}}^{h} (1) \log(\frac{2}{2}) + \frac{3}{F_{0}^{2}}^{2} (14L_{4}^{r} - L_{5}^{r})^{i} - \frac{1}{5} (14L_{6}^{r} - L_{5}^{r})^{i} -$$

A chieved through: Eq. (50) and Eq. (51).

$$4K_{11}^{r} + (K_{4}^{r} 2K_{3}^{r}) = \frac{1}{32^{2}}^{h}(r+2)\log(\frac{2}{2}) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{12}\sum_{F_{0}^{2}}^{2}L_{9}^{r}$$
(53)

A chieved through: h0 jT (  $^+$  (x)  $^+$  (y)) j0i, using external pseudoscalar currents in the chiral lim it to the 0 (p<sup>2</sup>).

$$2K_{12}^{r} \quad (K_{4}^{r} \quad 2K_{3}^{r}) = \frac{1}{32^{2}}^{h} \quad \log(\frac{2}{2}) + \quad \log(\frac{2}{2}) + 6\frac{2}{F_{0}^{2}}L_{9}^{r}$$
(54)

A chieved through: h0 jT (  $^+$  (x)  $^+$  (y)) j0i, using external axial-vector currents in the chiral lim it to the 0 ( $p^2$ ).

$$2K_{11}^{r} + K_{12}^{r} = \frac{1}{64^{-2}}^{h} (1+2) \log(\frac{2}{2}) + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{2}{6F_{0}^{2}}L_{9}^{r}$$
(55)

A chieved through: Eq. (53) and Eq. (54)

2

$$K_{13}^{r} + 2K_{14}^{r} + 4K_{12}^{r} - 2(K_{4}^{r} - 2K_{3}^{r}) = \frac{1}{32^{-2}}^{h} - 2\log(\frac{2}{-2}) + (\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\log(\frac{2}{-2})) + \frac{12^{-2}}{F_{0}^{2}}L_{9}^{r} + \frac{12^{-2}}{F_{0}^{2}}L_{9}^{r} + \frac{2}{F_{0}^{2}}(L_{10}^{r} - 2H_{1}^{r})(12 - 3)$$
(56)

A chieved through: h0 jT (  $^+$  (x)  $^+$  (y)) j0i, using external axial-vector currents in the chiral lim it to the 0 ( $p^0$ ).

$$\frac{2}{3}(K_{5}^{r} + K_{6}^{r}) = (K_{4}^{r} - 2K_{3}^{r}) = 2(K_{1}^{r} + K_{2}^{r})$$
(57)

$$K_{7}^{r} + K_{8}^{r} = 0$$
(58)

$$K_{9}^{r} + K_{10}^{r} = 0$$
(59)

In the calculation of the coe cients from the charged currents in Eq. (50) to Eq. (56) we have used the result from the neutral currents in Eq. (57) to Eq. (59). The observant reader has probably already noticed that some of the coe cients above are linear com binations of others. A ll together there exist 10 real com binations, the ones that are linear com binations are Eq. (52), Eq. (55) and Eq. (57). The reason why we display the linear com binations is that only certain com binations of the EM LECs have been calculated before, and to achieve those we need to rearrange our coe cients.

The processes that we used to calculate the coe cients above are not the only ones investigated, e.g. for the charge current we also investigated K<sup>+</sup>, but the combinations that came out of those calculations gave the same result as for the <sup>+</sup>. For the neutral current we investigated contributions from  $, {}^{0}, K{}^{0}$  and  $K{}^{0}, which all contributed to the combinations in Eq. (57) to Eq. (59).$ 

For the SD part which we calculated with perturbative QCD we got after comparing to the RHS:

$$C = \frac{3_{s}}{8} \frac{F_{0}^{4}B_{0}^{2}}{2}$$
(60)

$$K_{1}^{r} = \frac{3}{32} \frac{F_{0}^{2}}{2} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{L_{4}^{r}B_{0}^{2}}{2}$$
 (61)

$$K_{2}^{r} = 0$$
 (62)

$$K_{3}^{r} = \frac{3_{s}}{32} \frac{F_{0}^{2}}{2}$$
(63)

$$K_{4}^{r} = 0$$
(64)  
$$K_{5}^{r} = {}_{s}F_{0}^{2} {}_{s}L_{5}^{r}B_{0}^{2}$$
(65)

$$K_{5}^{r} = \frac{-\frac{s}{6} - \frac{1}{2}}{6} \frac{-\frac{s}{2} - \frac{s}{3} - \frac{s}{2}}{3}$$
(65)

$$K_{6}^{r} = \frac{s}{2} \frac{\Xi_{5} \Xi_{0}}{2}$$
(66)

$$K_{7}^{r} = \frac{4_{s}}{3} \frac{L_{6}^{r} B_{0}^{2}}{2}$$
(67)

$$K_{8}^{r} = \frac{6_{s}}{2} \frac{L_{6}^{r} B_{0}^{2}}{2}$$
(68)

$$K_{9}^{r} = \frac{s}{6} \frac{(2L_{8}^{r} + H_{2}^{r})B_{0}^{2}}{2} - \frac{(1-1)(1-1)(1-1)(1-1)}{128} \log \frac{2}{0}{2}$$
(69)

$$K_{10}^{r} = \frac{3}{4} \frac{(2L_{8}^{r} + H_{2}^{r})B_{0}^{2}}{2} + \frac{(4)}{128} \log \frac{2}{2} \log \frac{2}{2}$$
(70)

$$K_{11}^{r} = \frac{3_{s}}{4} \frac{(2L_{8}^{r} + H_{2}^{r})B_{0}^{2}}{2} - \frac{(4)_{128}}{128} \log \frac{2}{2}$$
(71)

$$K_{12}^{r} = \frac{(1)}{64^{2}} \log \frac{2}{2}$$
(72)

where the log contribution com es from Fig. 11a and Fig. 11b.

To be able to plot the SD part against the LD part, all the relevant coupling constants have to be known and the renorm alization scales have to be chosen. All this is displayed in Tab. 4. A sone m ight have noticed there are large errors in m ost of the param eters, and that will of course a ect our result in the end. This is the reason why we have chosen two di erent thing m ethods,  $t 10^{14}$  and  $0 (p^4)^{15}$ , respectively, i.e. the change in the result in the end can be seen. This will of course a ect som e K  $_{i}^{r}$  constants m ore than others. In our calculations we also x the gauge of the photon and use Feynm an gauge, which m eans that the gauge xing term is put equal to zero.

All that remains now is to compare the LD with the SD, component by component, and that is done in Figs. 4 to Fig. 11. On the y-axis we have the value of the LEC and on the x-axis we have the cut-o . The scale on the x-axis range from 0.3 GeV to 1.1 GeV,

 $<sup>^{14}</sup>$  Include term s up to 0  $(\!p^6)$ , and is based on that  $L_4^r$  and  $L_6^r$  is equivalent to zero.  $^{15}$  Include term s up to 0  $(\!p^4)$ , and is based on that  $L_4^r$  and  $L_6^r$  is equivalent to zero

Coe cient	t1	0	0 (p <sup>4</sup> )	article		
$10^{3}L_{1}^{r}$	0.43	0.12	0.38	[3]		
$10^{3}L_{2}^{r}$	0.73	0.12	1.59	[3]		
$10^{3}L_{3}^{r}$	-2.35	0.12	-2.91	[3]		
10 <sup>3</sup> L <sup>r</sup> <sub>4</sub>	C	)	0	[3]		
$10^{3}L_{5}^{r}$	0.97	0.11	1.46	[3]	B <sub>0</sub> [GeV]	1.63
$10^{3}L_{6}^{r}$	C	)	0	[3]	S	0.3
$10^{3}L_{7}^{r}$	-0.31	0.14	-0.49	[3]	[GeV] (ChPT scale)	0.77
$10^{3}L_{8}^{r}$	0.60	0.18	1.00	[3]	$_0$ [GeV] (QCD scale)	1.0
10 <sup>3</sup> L <sup>r</sup> <sub>9</sub>	5.93	0.43	6.0	[2]		
$10^{3}L_{10}^{r}$			-5.5	[6]		
$10^3 (2L_8^r + H_2^r)$			2.9 1.0	[2]		
10 <sup>3</sup> H <sup>r</sup> <sub>1</sub>			4:1			
F <sub>0</sub> MeV]	87	.7	81.1	[3]		

Table 1: D isplays the coupling constants that are needed to calculate the K<sub>i</sub>s. The nite renorm alized parts are at the scale M = 0.77 GeV. The values of the parameters to the left are all determ ined from articles. As one can see there are missing three t 10 values. For these we use 0 (p<sup>4</sup>) in both cases. The parameters to the right have values that we have chosen ourselves. B<sub>0</sub> are on the QCD scale 1 GeV and s is assumed to be constant. The ChPT parameter that appeared when we perform ed the dimensional regularization is chosen to be = M = 0.77 GeV.

and the reason for this is that a with a too high energy (1 GeV) would disturb the perturbative treatment (can be seen from the gures where the higher order deviates faster for bigger) and since our ChPT scale is around 1 GeV, it is not proper to exceed that energy. The reason why at low energies it does not work is that the perturbative expansion is not valid below 0.3 GeV.

The matching is done by nding the best region for LD 4 and SD. This is done by looking at the region where the Sum 4 function has the derivative closest to zero, but one also has to consider how the LD and SD parts behave. From Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 describing the coe cient C one can see how the next order (LD 4) a ects the result. If only the lowest order LD term were considered, the matching region would be easy to nd. By adding the next order one can see that the matching region is not so good anym ore, which means that the result would have a larger error. The di erence between LD 2 and LD 4 is important, since in this text we are also interested in comparing the behavior of the two functions. As one can see there is no big di erence between the t 10 input and the O (p<sup>4</sup>) input, that is why we only include the t 10 graphs for the remaining quantities.

W hen K $_8^r$  is calculated as in Fig. 6 the  $L_4^r$  and the  $L_6^r$  were put equal to 10<sup>-3</sup> to avoid a non-zero result. In the gure we see that the sum of the LD and SD behaves nicely, which is good.

For K  $_9$  in Fig. 7 both the sum s behave nicely. The best m atching region is a little too high, but it is still good enough. W hen we compare the two sum s, we see that Sum 4 is a little better.

For the 4K  $_{11}^{r}$  + (K  $_{4}^{r}$  2K $_{3}^{r}$ ) combination in Fig. 8 the Sum 4 function behaves in an appealing way. The behavior of Sum 2 is also rather good, the only thing to complain about is that the zero derivative area is a bit too low for Sum 2 and a bit too high for Sum 4. There is also a large di erence between them.

Next we consider Fig. 9, Fig. 10 and Fig. 11 describing  $2K_{12}^r$  ( $K_4^r$   $2K_3^r$ ),  $K_{13}^r$  +  $2K_{14}^r$  +  $4K_{12}$   $2(K_4^r$   $2K_3^r$ ) and  $2K_{11}^r$  +  $K_{12}^r$ , respectively. These graphs do not have a Sum 4 that behaves as we want in the region of interest, but we still pick a in our region, which means that the error in these parameters are big. For the  $2K_{12}^r$  ( $K_4^r$   $2K_3^r$ ) and

 $K_{13}^r + 2K_{14}^r + 4K_{12} = 2(K_4^r - 2K_3^r)$  combinations one can also see that the Sum 2 behaves much better.



Figure 4: The long distance (LD), short distance (SD) and the sum as a function of the matching variable for C. The number after the functions represents which  $O(p^n)$  that are considered. The t 10 input parameters are used.



Figure 5: The long distance (LD), short distance (SD) and the sum as a function of the matching variable for C. The number represents which O ( $p^n$ ) that are considered. The O ( $p^4$ ) input parameters are used.



Figure 6: The long distance (LD), short distance (SD) and the sum as a function of the matching variable for K  $_8^r$ . The number represents which O ( $p^n$ ) that are considered. The t 10 input parameters are used.



Figure 7: The long distance (LD), short distance (SD) and the sum as a function of the matching variable for K  $_{9}^{r}$ . The number represents which O ( $p^{n}$ ) that are considered. The t 10 input parameters are used.



Figure 8: The long distance (LD), short distance (SD) and the sum as a function of the matching variable for  $4K_{11}^{r} + (K_{4}^{r} - 2K_{3}^{r})$ . The number after the functions represents which O ( $p^{n}$ ) that are considered. The t 10 input parameters are used.



Figure 9: The long distance (LD), short distance (SD) and the sum as a function of the matching variable for  $2K_{12}^r$  ( $K_4^r$   $2K_3^r$ ). The number after the functions represents which O ( $p^n$ ) that are considered. The t 10 input parameters are used.



Figure 10: The long distance (LD), short distance (SD) and the sum as a function of the matching variable for K  $_{13}^{r}$  + 2K  $_{14}$  2 (K $_{4}^{r}$  2K $_{3}^{r}$ ). The number after the functions represents which O ( $p^{n}$ ) that are considered. The t 10 input parameters are used.



Figure 11: The long distance (LD), short distance (SD) and the sum as a function of the matching variable for  $2K_{11}^{r} + K_{12}$ . The number after the functions represents which 0 ( $p^{n}$ ) that are considered. The t 10 input parameters are used.

The result from all the gures are sum merized in the Tab.2 below:

Table 2: The results for t 10, This work 1" is the value calculated in this text using t 10, for This work 2" we are using 0 (p<sup>4</sup>). The is value of the cut-o where the SD part best m atches the LD part.

Coe cient	Thiswork 1	, t10	Thiswork 2	,0 (p <sup>4</sup> )
		[G eV ]		[G eV ]
С	3 <b>:</b> 0 10 <sup>5</sup>	0 <b>:</b> 62	2 <b>:2</b> 5 10 <sup>5</sup>	0:58
	[G eV <sup>4</sup> ]		[G eV <sup>4</sup> ]	
$K_{8}^{r}$ (used $L_{4}^{r} = L_{6}^{r} = 10^{-3}$ )	5 <b>:</b> 8 10 <sup>3</sup>	0 <b>:</b> 72	6 10 <sup>3</sup>	0:71
K g	8 10 <sup>4</sup>	0:8	9 10 <sup>4</sup>	0 <b>:</b> 73
$4K_{11}^{r} + (K_{4}^{r} - 2K_{3}^{r})$	23 10 <sup>2</sup>	0 <b>:</b> 83	23 10 <sup>2</sup>	0:71
$2K_{12}^{r}$ ( $K_{4}^{r}$ $2K_{3}^{r}$ )	4 10 <sup>3</sup>	0 <b>:</b> 65	5 10 <sup>3</sup>	0 <b>:</b> 65
$K_{13}^{r} + 2K_{14}^{r} + 4K_{12} - 2(K_{4}^{r} - 2K_{3}^{r})$	1:1 10 <sup>2</sup>	0 <b>:</b> 6	1:4 10 <sup>2</sup>	0 <b>:</b> 6
$2K_{11}^{r} + K_{12}^{r}$	8 10 <sup>3</sup>	0:8	8 10 <sup>3</sup>	0:8

Table 3: D isplays the relevant calculated coe cients for each article. For [1] F  $_0$  = 89M eV was used, for [12] the improved Z value for K  $_9$  and K  $_{10}$  was used. In all the calculation of the values we have used  $_0$  = 1 G eV, M  $_V$  = M = 0:77 G eV, F = F  $_0$  = 92:4 M eV, M  $_A$  = M  $_{a_1}$  = 1:23 G eV and leading N  $_{C}$  order.

Coe cient	[1]	[12]	[13]
C [G eV <sup>4</sup> ]	42 10 <sup>5</sup>	2 <b>:</b> 36 10 <sup>5</sup>	
K <sup>r</sup> <sub>8</sub>	0 <b>:</b> 8 10 <sup>3</sup>	0	
K <sup>r</sup> <sub>9</sub>	$13 10^3$	3 <b>:</b> 62 10 <sup>3</sup>	
$4K_{11}^{r} + (K_{4}^{r} - 2K_{3}^{r})$	5 <b>:</b> 0 10 <sup>3</sup>		
$2K_{12}^{r}$ ( $K_{4}^{r}$ $2K_{3}^{r}$ )			
$K_{13}^{r} + 2K_{14}^{r} + 4K_{12} - 2(K_{4}^{r} - 2K_{3}^{r})$			
$2K_{11}^{r} + K_{12}^{r}$		5 10 <sup>3</sup>	1 <b>:</b> 94 10 <sup>3</sup>

The relevance of som e of the results in Tab. 2 is now discussed.

W hen we compare the values for C in Tab.2 with the values in the articles in Tab.3, one can see that they are rather simular, which is good.

The value for  $K_8^r$  will not be discussed because of the arbitrary chosen  $L_1^r$  parameters. Instead we turn to  $K_9^r$  which have a good matching region, and as we see these values are rather close to the one derived in [1].

The values for  $4K_{11}^{r} + (K_{4}^{r} - 2K_{3}^{r})$  are only calculated in [1], and they di er a lot compared to our values. W hy is hard to say, but there are a lot of approximations that have been done in both cases.

For  $2K_{12}^r$  ( $K_4^r$   $2K_3^r$ ) and  $K_{13}^r$  +  $2K_{14}^r$  +  $4K_{12}$  2 ( $K_4^r$   $2K_3^r$ ) no published values are accessible. So their values will not be commented further.

The last combination obtained is  $2K_{11}^{r} + K_{12}^{r}$ , which has values that are close to the value calculated in [12]. This means that our values are reasonable<sup>16</sup>, despite the large uncertainty.

In Tab.2 one can see that the t10 and 0 ( $p^4$ ) input do not di erm uch, which means that the largest error in the K  $_{i}^{r}$  coe cients are not due to the uncertainties in the L  $_{i}^{r}$ s, but instead due to the matching between the LD and SD parts.

### 5 Conclusion

In this thesis we have determ ined the renormalized and nite coecients of the generating functional of ChPT up to 0 ( $p^4$ ) including virtual photons to one-loop. The nite part incorporates 29 low energy coupling constants, and of those, 10 combinations of some the 14 K  $_{i}^{r}$ s have in the  $\overline{M}$  S scheme been written in terms of the experimentally known constants L $_{i}^{r}$ , the cut-o and the dimensional regularization parameter . The dependences have been removed through the matching of the LD part to the SD part, which had a good match for some of the coecients and a not so good for others. But over all the 0 ( $p^4$ ) contribution made the result a little bit better. But the improvement is still not that big as we hoped it would be, which implies that the result of the naive leading order estimate in [11] is offen good enough for a rest estimate in the determination of the K  $_{i}$  constants. O ne could argue that a contribution of 0 ( $p^6$ ) would improve this result, but it seems unlikely since higher orders tend to deviate more for s in the upper region.

The determ ination of the e ective low energy chiral Lagrangian is of great in portance since it is used to determ ine the free quark mass di erence m<sub>u</sub> m<sub>d</sub>, which is not very wellknown. The procedure used, is based on that the physical m<sub>K</sub> + m<sub>K</sub> o mass di erence has a pure QCD contribution due to the di erence in quark masses and an electrom agnetic contribution. Since the mass of the mesons can be determined experimentally, and quite accurate, then by calculating the EM contribution, the quark mass di erence can be determined. This contribution can be calculated through di erent ChPT techniques, some better than others, offen depending on region of interest. The procedure used by B ijnens-P rades in [1] includes all orders of p<sup>2</sup> in ChPT for the LD part, and this is done by using the ENJL model. A nanthanarayan-M oussallam in [12] and [13] also used a method where all orders of p<sup>2</sup> in ChPT were considered. The di erence is that M oussallam used resonance saturation instead, but we will not explore the two methods more since it is beyond of the scope of this thesis.

O ne of the problem s calculating the next-to-leading order electrom agnetic coe cients is that the QCD coe cients are needed, the L  $_{1}^{r}$ s in this case. M ost of these term s are not so well determ ined. In the near future, hopefully, these constants can be determ ined m ore

 $<sup>^{16}</sup>$ To say that our value is reasonable, does not m ean that it is the right value, since we do not really know which value that is the right one.

accurately.

U sing the ChPT expansion on two-point functions as we did for the LD part, was not enough to determ ine all the LECs, as we were hoping. We even did the calculations for the four-point function for the K<sup>0</sup> ( $\overline{K}^{0}$ ), but no new relation appeared. In the future one should investigate the four-point functions further and see if new K<sup>r</sup><sub>i</sub> relations drop out.



Figure 12:0 ne of the basic Feynm an diagram s for a two-point G reen function.

# A An easy example

Start by considering the leading-order e ective QCD Lagrangian in Eq. (32). The U eld in Eq. (33) and Eq. (34) is expanded in the following way:

$$U = \exp\left(\frac{i}{F_{0}}\right)' 1 + i\frac{i}{F_{0}} \frac{2}{2F_{0}^{2}} + U^{y} = \exp\left(\frac{i}{F_{0}}\right)' 1 \frac{i}{2F_{0}} \frac{2}{F_{0}^{2}} +$$
(73)

where y = has been used. Taking the partial derivative of U in Eq. (73) yields

Putting Eq. (74) into the covariant derivative in Eq. (42) gives

$$D U = @ U \quad ieQAU + iUeQA = \frac{1}{F_0} @ \frac{1}{2F_0^2} (@ + @ ) \quad ieQA; \frac{i}{F_0} \frac{2}{F_0^2}] + \frac{1}{F_0} @ \frac{1}{2F_0^2} (@ + @ ) \quad ieQA; \frac{i}{F_0} \frac{2}{F_0^2}] + \frac{1}{F_0} @ \frac{1}{2F_0^2} (@ + @ ) \quad ieQA; \frac{1}{F_0} \frac{2}{F_0^2}] +$$
(75)

Now an expression for the rst term in the Lagrangian in Eq. (32) has been derived and the Feynm an diagram in Fig. 12 is ready to be evaluated.

1. Here a three vertex is investigated, where the only relevant terms from Eq. (32) are the ones with one photon eld A , one term and one @ term. So

$$tr(D UD U) = \frac{2eiA}{F_0^2}tr(0 [Q;]) + = =$$

$$\frac{2eiA}{F_0^2}(0^+ (0K^+K + 0^+ + 0KK^+) + : (76)$$

This is interesting, since only and K mesons showed up. Using ordinary Feynman rules and multiplying in the constants

A 
$$e^{+}$$
 A  $e^{+}$  !  $i(p + q) + ip$   
=)  $\frac{F_0^2}{4} \frac{4ei}{F_0^2}(q + 2p) = ei(q + 2p)$  (77)

A 
$$@$$
 K K<sup>+</sup> A  $@$  K<sup>+</sup>K !  $i(p^{K} + q^{K}) + ip^{K}$   
=)  $ei(q^{K} + 2p^{K})$ : (78)

- 2. The same as above, except that ! .
- 3. Here one external eld couples to an interior. The second term in Eq. (32) contain the eld couplings needed. The  ${}^{0}$ ;K  ${}^{0}$  and  ${}_{8}$  is not considered since they did not show up in the three vertex. The resulting term s are then

$$tr(U^{y} + U^{y}) = i \frac{P}{2F_{0}B_{0}}(P + P_{K^{+}}) +$$
(79)

where

4. The same result as above

5. In the propagator the derivative term squared and the mass term are of interest. So

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{1}{F_{0}^{2}}\left(0\right)^{2}\right) + \operatorname{tr}\left(U^{Y} + U^{Y}\right) = \frac{2}{F_{0}^{2}}\left(20^{+}0^{+} + 20^{+}K^{+}0^{+}K^{-}\right) + \frac{4B_{0}}{F_{0}^{2}}\left(2m^{+} + m^{+}K^{+}K^{-}\right) +$$
(81)

where  $\hat{m} = (m_u + m_d) = 2$ . The complex elds above propagate in the following way

- 6. A chieve exactly the sam e term s as above
- 7. Here the resulting term s are

$$\frac{\frac{i}{(p + q)^2 - 2B_0 m}}{\frac{i}{(p_K + q_K)^2 - B_0 m}}$$
(83)

8. This is the photon propagator, and only term s including  $\frac{1}{4}$ F F  $\frac{1}{2(1)}$  (@ A )<sup>2</sup> are considered. The term s propagate according to

$$\frac{1}{4}F F \frac{1}{2(1)}(0 A)^{2}! \qquad i_{0}^{2} \frac{d^{4}q}{(2)^{4}q^{2}}(g - \frac{qq}{q^{2}}): \qquad (84)$$

A dding all the parts for the Feynm an diagram gives the following am plitudes for the and K case, respectively:

$$\frac{Z}{2} \frac{1}{(2)^{4}} \frac{d^{4}q}{(2)^{4}} \frac{2F_{0}^{2}B_{0}^{2}e^{2}((q + 2p)^{2})}{(p^{2} - 2B_{0}m^{2})^{2}((p + q)^{2} - 2B_{0}m^{2})q^{2}}{\frac{d^{4}q}{(2)^{4}}} \frac{2F_{0}^{2}B_{0}^{2}e^{2}((q + 2p_{K})^{2} - 2B_{0}m^{2})q^{2}}{(q^{2} - 2B_{0}m^{2})^{2}((p + q)^{2} - 2B_{0}m^{2})q^{2}}$$
(85)

In the next step we calculate the one-bop integrals, where we integrate from zero to in nity. A fler transform ing from M inkowski space to Euclidian space  $(p^2 = (p^0)^2 (p)^2 ! p_E^2 = (p^0)^2 + (p)^2)$  we can introduce a cut-o and split the integral into two parts. The rst part from zero to gives us the following integral where the q independent terms are included in B

$$B \int_{0}^{Z} \frac{d^{4}q}{(2)^{4}} \frac{(q + 2p)^{2}}{(p + q)^{2}} \frac{\frac{[q (q + 2p)]^{2}}{q^{2}}}{(p + q)^{2}} (86)$$

For the photons with a momentum greater than you have to use other methods to calculate their contribution (see section 3). The important part is that in the end we want to compare the  $p^2e^2$  contribution from the elective QCD Lagrangian in Eq. (32) with the  $p^2e^2$  part of Eq. (44). This is done by putting e.g m<sub>q</sub> = 0 or  $p^2 = 0$  on both sides, i.e. the integrals are greatly simplied.

# B Generating functionals

The two following sections are based on Ref. [15].

In this thesis G reen functions in the form of two-respective four-point functions are used. They both originate from the matrix elements describing the physical process and the generalm atrix element is connected to a n-point function

h0 jT ( (x<sub>k</sub>) <sub>p</sub>)) 
$$\dot{g}$$
Oi = i<sup>n</sup>  $\frac{\ln W [j]}{j(x_k) p} = G^{(n)}(x_1; ...; x_n)$  (87)

where j(x) is the classical source eld used to probe the theory, and it can be thought of as an external eld. A physical picture of the above is shown in Fig. 13. The generating



Figure 13: The gure shows n external elds coupled to some process.

functionalW is associated with the sources in the following way

$$W [j] = [d] e^{i^{R} d^{4} x (L(j^{0}) j)}$$
(88)

and one should think of L in Eq. (88) as describing physical processes inside the big bubble in Fig. 13 and the external elds as creating and annihilating particles on the edge of the bubble. So the bubble contain all relevant Feynm an diagram s, e.g. the ones in Fig. 2.

The two-point function is offen written as a Fourier transform into momenta of the matrix elements with only two sources (four sources for a four point function). In the path integral formalism the two-point function can be written as

$$(q^{2}) = i d^{4}xe^{iqx}h0 jT (P (0)P^{y}(x)) j0i$$
 (89)

where P (x) is a pseudoscalar source in our case.

## C External elds

Here the idea about the external eld form ulation using generating functionals is presented. The external elds are a method that we use to be able to go from the generating functional to the G reen function, and they can be thought of as the generalized journent of appendix B.O ne can also use the analogy where a particle is accelerated into a target particle and we investigate what comes out. The particle in this case is our external eld and the target is the G reen function.

The use of external elds has some advantages, i.e. it is independent of the G/H parameterization, allows for a well de ned o -shell am plitude and it is obviously invariant

under chiral transform ations. One more advantage is that the connection between QCD and the local properties become clearer.

The external elds are added to the Lagrangian and the generating functional can be written as

$$e^{i (v; a; s;p)} = \frac{1}{Z} \begin{bmatrix} Z \\ [dq][dG] e^{i^{R} d^{4}x (L_{QCD}^{0} + q (v + a_{5})q q(s ip_{5})q)} \\ \frac{1}{Z} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} du ] e^{i^{R} d^{4}x L_{eff} (U, v; a; s;p)} \end{bmatrix}$$
(90)

where the approximation is valid at low energies as we saw in the case of the non-linear sigm a model. As seen in Eq. (90), the external currents contain quark currents and when the Lagrangians are dimentiated with respect to the external eld variables the pure quark current show up. The EM part could also be added to the Lagrangian above so that EM currents show up.

# A cknow ledgm ents

I would like to thank Johan \H ans" B ijnens for his valuable advises and for answering all m y questions. The M aster students at V interpalatest for their company at all the lunches and co ee breaks. And all the people that contributed with rewarding discussions, where a special thanks goes out to T im o Lahde.

# References

- [1] J.B inners and J.P rades, \E lectrom agnetic corrections for pions and kaons: m asses and polarizabilities" Nucl. Phys. B 490, 239 (1997)
- [2] J.B ijnens and P.Talavera,
   "P ion and K aon E lectrom agnetic Form Factors" JHEP 0203 (2002) 046
- [3] G.Amoros, J.Bijnens and P.Talavera,
   "QCD isospin breaking in m eson m asses, decay constants and quark m ass ratios" Nucl. Phys B, B 602 87-108 (2001)
- [4] S.W einberg,
   "P henom enological Lagrangians"
   P hysica A 96, 327 (1979)
- [5] J.G asser and H. Leutwyler, \Chiral perturbation theory to one loop" Annals Phys. 158, 142 (1984)
- [6] J.G asser and H. Leutwyler,"ChiralPerturbation Theory: Expansions in the mass of the strange quark" Nucl. Phys. B 250, 465 (1985)
- [7] R.Urech,"V intual photons in chiral perturbation theory" Nucl. Phys. B 433, 234 (1995)
- [8] G.**'**tHooft,

\Renorm alizable Lagrangians for massive Yang-Mills elds" Nucl.PhysB 35, 167 (1971)

[9] J.Goldstone,

\Field theories with 'Superconductor' solutions" Nuovo C im . 19, 154 (1961)

[10] R.Dashen,

\ChiralSU(3) SU(3) as a symmetry of the strong interactions" Phys. Rev. 183, 1245 (1969)

[11] J.Bijnens,

\V iolations of D ashen's theorem " Phys.Lett.B306,343 (1993) [12] B.Moussallam,

A sum rule approach to the violation of the D ashen's theorem " Nucl. Phys. B 504, 381 (1997)

- [13] B.Ananthanarayan and B.M oussallam, \Four-point correlator constraints on electrom agnetic chiral parameters and resonance e ective Lagrangians" arX iv hep-ph/0405206
- [14] J.Bijnens, G.Colangelo and G.Ecker, \Themesonic chiralLagrangian of order p<sup>6</sup>" JHEP 9902,020 (1999)
- [15] M ichael E . Peskin, D aniel V . Schroeder, \A n Introduction to Q uantum Field Theory" W estview press (1995), 661