C an the observed enhancement in the mass spectrum of pp in J= ! pp be interpreted by a possible pp bound state

X iang Liu¹, X iao-Q iang Zeng¹, Y i-B ing D ing^{6;3}, X ue-Q ian Li^{1;2;6}, H ong Shen¹ and Peng-N ian Shen^{5;4;2;6}

1. Department of Physics, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China

2. Institute of Theoretical Physics, CAS, P.O. Box 2735, Beijing, 100080, China

3. Graduate School of The Chinese A cademy of Sciences, Beijing, 100039, China

4. Institute of High Energy Physics, CAS, P.O. Box 918(4), Beijing 100039, China

5. Center of Theoretical Nuclear Physics, National Laboratory of Heavy Ion Accelerator, Lanzhou 730000, China

6. China Center of Advanced Science and Technology (W orld Laboratory), P.O. Box 8730, Beijing 100080, China

Abstract

Provided the enhancement in the pp spectrum in radiative decay J= ! pp observed by the BES collaboration is due to an existence of a pp m olecular state, we calculate its binding energy and lifetime in the linear m odel. We consider a possibility that the enhancement is due to a pp resonance which is in either S-wave or P-wave structure and compare our results with the data.

1 Introduction

Recently, the BES collaboration has observed a near-threshold enhancement in the pp m ass spectrum in the radiative decay J = ! pp [1]. A similar report about the enhancement in $B^0 ! D^0$ pp and B ! ppK decays has been published by the Belle collaboration [2].

There have been various interpretations for the observed enhancement. The enhancement can be understood if the nal state interaction between p and p is properly considered, as some authors suggested [3]. He et al. propose a possible mechanism that the nal state of pp comes from an intermediate state of + G where G is a 0 + or 0⁺⁺ glueball [4]. M eanwhile in analog to a₀ (980) and f₀ (980) which are supposed to be molecular states of K K, it is tempted to assume that pp constitute a bound state with quantum number 0 + or 0⁺⁺.

Under the assumption, one needs to evaluate the corresponding binding energy and lifetime, then compare the theoretical results with the data. In this work, we employ the linear model. H istorically, there has been dispute about the linear model where the -m eson stands as a realistic scalar meson [5] whereas in alternative scenarios, it is suggested that the contribution of can be attributed to two-pion exchange [6]. In fact, the di erence between the linear model and non-linear model is whether the 0⁺⁺ -m eson is a substantial object, it corresponds to the linear or non-linear realization of the chiral lagrangian [7]. Because the low -energy QCD which is the underlying theory of hadron physics is fully non-perturbative, all the nonperturbative QCD parameters in the theory are so far not strictly derivable and have to be extracted by the data tting. Therefore, determ ination of these parameters is somehow model-dependent and phenom enological. It is believed that at least for the leading order, all models would be applicable, even though they look som ewhat di erent. As we employ the linear model which is simpler in calculations, we take all the coe cients by tting data.

In our earlier work [8], we used the linear model to calculate the properties of deuteron, and by thing data we not only determ ine the value of m but also x the corresponding parameters of the linear model. In this work we will use the same model with the parameters obtained by thing the deuteron data to carry out all calculations for the pp bound state.

The present BES data do not nally decide if the resonance is an S-wave or P-wave bound state, but only indicate that the position of the S-wave peak is below the threshold $2m_p$ whereas the peak of the P-wave is a bit above the threshold. In our model, since the elective potential for the S-wave is attractive except a repulsive core near r ! 0, the binding energy must be negative, so that the calculated mass of the S-wave bound state is below the $2m_p$ threshold. W hereas for the P-wave due to the angular momentum barrier which is non-zero and positive, the binding energy becomes positive and the total mass is greater than $2m_p$.

To evaluate the widths for both S-wave and P-wave, we investigate the dissociation mechanism of the pp bound state. Since the central value of the mass of the S-wave bound state is sm aller than 2m p, it dissolves into a pp pair via its width tail where the available energy is su cient to produce a free pp pair. To evaluate the total width of the bound state, we need to achieve the imaginary part of the potential which is induced by the absorptive part of the loops in the pp elastic scattering am plitude (see the text for the concerned Feynm an diagram s and some details). Thus according to the traditional method [9], we derive the real part of the potential which mainly comes from the tree-level scattering amplitude where t-channel mesons are exchanged, including , , and !. For the S-wave bound state not only t-channel exchange, but also the s-channel annihilation contribute. Namely in the s-channel, and ⁰ are the interm ediate m esons and they contribute a real part and an im aginary part to the e ective potential, the s-channel contributions are proportional to a delta function (r) in the non-relativistic approximation. Thus the eigenenergy becomes E_{Re} $\frac{1}{2}$ and the time-factor is exp($iE_{Re}t = \frac{1}{2}t$) and the corresponds to the total width and E $_{Re}$ is a solution of the Schrödinger equation with a complex potential.

For the P-wave, the binding energy is positive and the angular momentum barrier prevents dissociation of the bound state. It is noted that since (0) = 0 for the P-wave, the imaginary part of the complex potential which is proportional to (r), does not result in an imaginary part to the eigenenergy. The dissolution mechanism of the bound state is the quantum tunnelling. By the W KB approximation method [10], the tunnelling transition proba-

bility is $\exp\left[2\frac{R_b p}{a}\frac{2}{2}(V-E)dr\right]$, thus the total width of the P-wave bound state would be $2\exp\left[2\frac{R_b p}{a}\frac{2}{2}(V-E)dr\right]$, where $=\frac{m_p}{2}$ is the reduced mass.

Substituting the potential no m atter real and com plex, into the Schrodinger equation, and solving it, one obtain both the eigenenergies and eigenfunctions of both S-wave and P-wave bound states. Then we can evaluate the m asses and total widths of the bound states. That is the strategy of this work.

This paper is organized as follows. A fler this introduction, we derive the form ulation for the complex potential with a brief introduction of the linear model. In sec. III, we substitute the potential into the Schrödinger equation and solve it to obtain the numerical result of the eigenenergy and eigenfunction, then obtain the masses and widths of the S- and P-wave bound states. In the section we also present all relevant parameters. The last section is devoted to our conclusion and discussion.

2 The form ulation

The necessary information about the model.
 In the linear model, the elective Lagrangian is

$$L = g (+ _{5});$$
 (1)

where is the wavefunction of the nucleon. When we calculate the scattering amplitude, we introduce a form factor to compensate the o-shell e ects of the exchanged m esons. At each vertex, the form factor is written as [8]

$$\frac{\frac{2}{2} M_{\rm m}^2}{\frac{2}{2} q^2};$$
 (2)

where is a phenom enological parameter and its value is near 1 GeV. It is observed that as $q^2 ! 0$ it becomes a constant and if M_m , it turns to be unity. In the case, as the distance is in nitely large, the vertex looks like a perfect point, so the form factor is simply 1 or a constant. W hereas, as $q^2 ! 1$, the form factor approaches to zero, namely, in this situation, the distance becomes very small, the inner structure (quark, gluon degrees of freedom) would manifest itself and the whole picture of hadron interaction is no longer valid, so the form factor is zero which cuts o the end e ects.

To derive an elective potential, one sets $q_0 = 0$ and writes down the elastic scattering amplitude in the momentum space and then carries out a Fourier transformation turning the amplitude into an elective potential in the conguration space. Following the standard procedure [9], we derive the elective potential from the scattering amplitude. Below, we present some details about the individual parts of the potential.

(2) The e ective potentials.

(i) The real part of the potential.

Here we rst consider the m exon exchanges at the t-channel, because the interm ediate m exon is a space-like, it cannot be on its m ass-shell, so that does not contribute to the imaginary part of the elective potential. Then we will go on discussing the s-channel contributions in subsection (ii).

a.Via exchanging -m eson:

The e ective vertex is

$$L = g_{5}$$
; (3)

and obviously only ⁰ can be exchanged in our case.

The scattering amplitude in the momentum space is

$$V (q) = \frac{g_{NN}^2}{4m^2 (q^2 + m^2)} (1 q) (2 q) \frac{2m^2}{2 + q^2}^2$$
(4)

Follow ing the standard procedure, we carry out a Fourier transform ation on V (q) and obtain the elective potential in the conguration space:

$$V (r) = \frac{g_{NN}^2}{4m^2} (1 r) (2 r) f(r)$$
 (5)

where

$$f(r) = \frac{e^{m}r}{4r} - \frac{e^{r}}{4r} + \frac{(m^{2} - 2)e^{r}}{8}; \qquad (6)$$

b.Via and and ! exchanges.

The e ective vertices are respectively

$$L = g ; (7)$$

$$L = g_{NN} = A^{a}; a = 1;2;3;$$
 (8)

$$L_{!} = g_{NN}!$$
 ! : (9)

The scattering amplitude via exchanging -m eson is

$$V (q) = \frac{q_{NN}^2}{4m^2 (q^2 + m^2)} [4m^2 - 4p^2 - q^2 - 4(p - q)] i (q - p) \frac{2}{2} \frac{m^2}{q^2} + q^2;$$

through a Fourier transform ation, the potential is

$$V (r) = \frac{g_{N N}^2}{4m^2} 4m^2 f (r) + 4p^2 f (r) + r^2 f (r) + 4i(p r)F(r) 2(L S)F(r);$$

where

$$f(r) = \frac{e^{m r}}{4 r} \frac{e^{r}}{4 r} + \frac{(m^2 2)e^{r}}{8}$$

$$F(r) = \frac{1}{r}\frac{\theta}{r}f(r):$$

V is exchanging vector-m eson (only 0 contributes), the elective potential is

$$V(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{g_{NN}^2}{4m^2} 4m^2 f(\mathbf{r}) r^2 f(\mathbf{r}) + 4p^2 f(\mathbf{r}) + 2(\mathbf{L} S)F(\mathbf{r}) 4i(p r)F(\mathbf{r}) + (1 r)(2 r)f(\mathbf{r})$$

where

$$f(r) = \frac{e^{m r}}{4 r} \frac{e^{r}}{4 r} + \frac{(m^{2} 2)e^{r}}{8}$$

$$F(r) = \frac{1}{r}\frac{\theta}{4 r}f(r):$$

For exchanging an ! vector m eson, the expression is similar to that in the case, but has an opposite sign to the contribution due to the G-parity [21, 22], thus one only needs to replace the corresponding parameter values, such as the m ass and coupling constant for by that for ! and add a m inus sign in front of all the term s of V (r). For saving space, we dism iss the concrete expression for ! exchange.

c. The real part of the potential

A synthesis of all the individual contributions derived above stands as the real part of the e ective potential, namely the traditional part of the e ective potential as

$$V_{eff}(\mathbf{r}) = V(\mathbf{r}) + V(\mathbf{r}) + V(\mathbf{r}) + V_{!}(\mathbf{r})$$
$$= V_{0}(\mathbf{r}) + V_{LS}(\mathbf{r}) + V_{pet}(\mathbf{r}) + V_{T} + V_{SS}:$$

In the expression the leading part of the potential is

$$V_{0} = \frac{e^{-r} g_{NN}^{2}}{8} \frac{e^{-r} m^{2} g_{NN}^{2}}{8} + \frac{e^{-r} g_{NN}^{2}}{4 r} \frac{e^{-m^{-r} g_{NN}^{2}}}{4 r} + \frac{e^{-r} g_{NN}^{2}}{4 r} + \frac{e^{-r} g_{NN}^{2}}{4 r} + \frac{e^{-r} g_{NN}^{2}}{8} + \frac{e^{-r} g_{NN}^{2}}{8} \frac{e^{-r} m^{2} g_{NN}^{2}}{4 r} + \frac{e^{-r} g_{NN}^{2}}{4 r} + \frac{e^{-r} g_{NN}^{2}}{8} \frac{e^{-r} m^{2} g_{NN}^{2}}{8} + \frac{e^{-r} g_{NN}^{2}}{4 r} + \frac{e^{-r} g_{NN}^{2}}{8} \frac{e^{-r} m^{2} g_{NN}^{2}}{8} + \frac{e^{-r} g_{NN}^{2}}{4 r} + \frac{e^{-r} g_{NN}^{2}}{8} \frac{e^{-r} m^{2} g_{NN}^{2}}{8} + \frac{e^{-r} g_{NN}^{2}}{4 r} \frac{e^{-r} m^{2} g_{NN}^{2}}{4 r} + \frac{e^{-r} g_{NN}^{2}}{8} \frac{e^{-r} m^{2} g_{NN}^{2}}{8} + \frac{e^{-r} g_{NN}^{2}}{4 r} \frac{e^{-r} g_{NN}^{2}}{4 r} + \frac{e^{-r} g_{NN}^{2}}{8} \frac{e^{-r} m^{2} g_{NN}^{2}}{8} + \frac{e^{-r} g_{NN}^{2}}{8} + \frac{e^{-r} g_{NN}^{2}}{8} \frac{e^{-r} m^{2} g_{NN}^{2}}{8} + \frac{e^{-r} g_{NN}^{2}}{8} + \frac{e^{$$

The spin-orbit term is

$$V_{LS} = \frac{e^{-r} g_{NN}^2}{8m^2 r^3} \frac{e^{-m} g_{NN}^2}{8m^2 r^3} + \frac{e^{-r} g_{NN}^2}{8m^2 r^2} \frac{e^{-m} g_{NN}^2}{8m^2 r^2} + \frac{e^{-r} g_{NN}^2}{16m^2 r} + \frac{e^{-r} g_{NN}^2}{16m^2 r} + \frac{e^{-r} g_{NN}^2}{8m^2 r^3} + \frac{e^{-r} g_{NN}^2}{8m^2 r^2} + \frac{e^{-r} g_{NN}^2}{8m^2 r^$$

The relativistic correction which in our later num erical computations is treated as a perturbation to the leading part, is

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{V}_{\text{pet}} &= \frac{\mathbf{e} \left[\frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{3}}{8\mathbf{m}^{2}} \frac{\mathbf{q}_{N}}{8\mathbf{m}^{2}} - \frac{\mathbf{e} \left[\frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{m}^{2} \mathbf{q}_{N}^{2}}{8\mathbf{m}^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{e} \left[\frac{\mathbf{r}^{2} \mathbf{q}_{N}^{2}}{4\mathbf{m}^{2} \mathbf{r}^{3}} - \frac{\mathbf{e} \left[\frac{\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{r} \mathbf{q}_{N}^{2}}{4\mathbf{m}^{2} \mathbf{r}^{3}} + \frac{\mathbf{e} \left[\frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{q}_{N}^{2}}{4\mathbf{m}^{2} \mathbf{r}^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{e} \left[\frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{q}^{2} \mathbf{q}_{N}^{2}}{8\mathbf{m}^{2} \mathbf{r}} + \frac{\mathbf{e} \left[\frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{q}^{2} \mathbf{q}_{N}^{2}}{8\mathbf{m}^{2} \mathbf{r}} - \frac{\mathbf{e} \left[\frac{\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{r} \mathbf{m}^{2} \mathbf{q}_{N}^{2}}{4\mathbf{m}^{2} \mathbf{r}^{3}} + \frac{\mathbf{e} \left[\frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}^{2} \mathbf{q}_{N}^{2}}{4\mathbf{m}^{2} \mathbf{r}^{2}} - \frac{\mathbf{e} \left[\frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}^{2} \mathbf{q}_{N}^{2}}{8\mathbf{m}^{2} \mathbf{r}} + \frac{\mathbf{e} \left[\frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{q}^{2} \mathbf{q}_{N}^{2}}{8\mathbf{m}^{2} \mathbf{r}} - \frac{\mathbf{e} \left[\frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}^{2} \mathbf{q}_{N}^{2}}{8\mathbf{m}^{2} \mathbf{r}} - \frac{\mathbf{e} \left[\frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}^{2} \mathbf{q}_{N}^{2}}{4\mathbf{m}^{2} \mathbf{r}^{3}} - \frac{\mathbf{e} \left[\frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{q}^{2} \mathbf{q}_{N}^{2}}{4\mathbf{m}^{2} \mathbf{r}^{2}} - \frac{\mathbf{e} \left[\frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}^{2} \mathbf{q}_{N}^{2}}{32\mathbf{m}^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{e} \left[\frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{q}^{2} \mathbf{q}_{N}^{2}}{8\mathbf{m}^{2} \mathbf{r}} + \frac{\mathbf{e} \left[\frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{q}^{2} \mathbf{q}_{N}^{2}}{4\mathbf{m}^{2} \mathbf{r}^{3}} - \frac{\mathbf{e} \left[\frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{q}^{2} \mathbf{q}_{N}^{2}}{4\mathbf{m}^{2} \mathbf{r}^{2}} - \frac{\mathbf{e} \left[\frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{q}^{2} \mathbf{q}_{N}^{2}}{8\mathbf{m}^{2} \mathbf{r}} + \frac{\mathbf{e} \left[\frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{q}^{2} \mathbf{q}_{N}^{2}}{16\mathbf{m}^{2} \mathbf{r}} - \frac{\mathbf{e} \left[\frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{q}^{2} \mathbf{q}_{N}^{2}}{16\mathbf{m}^{2} \mathbf{r}} - \frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{q}^{2} \mathbf{q}_{N}^{2}}{16\mathbf{m}^{2} \mathbf{r}} - \frac{\mathbf{e} \left[\frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}^{2} \mathbf{q}_{N}^{2}}{16\mathbf{m}^{2} \mathbf{r}} -$$

The tensor potential is

$$\begin{split} V_{\rm T} &= \frac{e^{-r} \frac{3}{9{}^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}}}{96m^{2}} \frac{e^{-r} \frac{r}{9} \frac{2}{9{}^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}}}{96m^{2}} + \frac{e^{-r} \frac{r}{9}^{2}}{16m^{2} r^{3}} \frac{e^{-m} \frac{r}{9{}^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}}}{16m^{2} r^{3}} + \frac{e^{-r} \frac{g^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}}}{16m^{2} r^{2}} r \\ &+ \frac{e^{-m} \frac{r}{m} \frac{g^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}}}{96m^{2}} + \frac{e^{-r} \frac{2}{9{}^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}}}{96m^{2} r} - \frac{e^{-r} \frac{r}{9} \frac{2}{9{}^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}}}{16m^{2} r^{3}} + \frac{e^{-r} \frac{r}{9{}^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}}}{48m^{2} r} \\ &+ \frac{e^{-r} \frac{3}{9{}^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}}}{96m^{2}} + \frac{e^{-r} \frac{m^{2}}{9{}^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}}}{96m^{2} r} - \frac{e^{-r} \frac{r}{9{}^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}}}{16m^{2} r^{3}} + \frac{e^{-r} \frac{r}{9{}^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}}}{16m^{2} r^{3}} \\ &+ \frac{e^{-r} \frac{m}{9} \frac{1}{9{}^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}}}{96m^{2} r} + \frac{e^{-r} \frac{m^{2}}{9{}^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}}}{96m^{2} r} + \frac{e^{-r} \frac{r}{9{}^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}}}{16m^{2} r^{3}} + \frac{e^{-r} \frac{r}{9{}^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}}}{16m^{2} r^{3}} \\ &+ \frac{e^{-r} \frac{m}{9{}^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}!}}{16m^{2} r^{2}} - \frac{e^{-r} \frac{2}{9{}^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}!}}{32m^{2} r} + \frac{e^{-r} \frac{m^{2}}{9{}^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}!}}{96m^{2} r} + \frac{e^{-m} \frac{r}{9{}^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}!}}{16m^{2} r^{3}} \\ &+ \frac{e^{-r} \frac{r}{9{}^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}!}}{16m^{2} r^{2}} - \frac{e^{-r} \frac{m}{1} \frac{2}{9{}^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}!}}{16m^{2} r^{2}} + \frac{e^{-r} \frac{2}{9{}^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}!}}{32m^{2} r} - \frac{e^{-m} \frac{r}{9{}^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}!}}{96m^{2} r} \\ &+ \frac{e^{-r} \frac{r}{9{}^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}!}}{16m^{2} r^{2}} - \frac{e^{-r} \frac{m}{1} \frac{2}{9{}^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}!}}{16m^{2} r^{2}} + \frac{e^{-r} \frac{2}{9{}^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}!}}{32m^{2} r} - \frac{e^{-m} \frac{r}{9{}^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}!}}{16m^{2} r^{2}} r} \\ &+ \frac{e^{-r} \frac{r}{9{}^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}!}}{16m^{2} r^{2}} - \frac{e^{-r} \frac{m}{1} \frac{2}{9{}^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}!}}{16m^{2} r^{2}} + \frac{e^{-r} \frac{2}{9{}^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}!}}{32m^{2} r} - \frac{e^{-r} \frac{m}{1} \frac{2}{9{}^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}!}}{96m^{2} r} \\ &+ \frac{e^{-r} \frac{r}{9{}^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}!}}{16m^{2} r^{2}} - \frac{e^{-r} \frac{m}{1} \frac{2}{9{}^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}!}}{16m^{2} r^{2}} r} - \frac{e^{-r} \frac{2}{9{}^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}!}}{16m^{2} r^{2}} r} \\ &+ \frac{e^{-r} \frac{2}{9{}^{2}_{\rm N}{\rm N}!}}{16m^{2} r^{2}} r} - \frac{2}{1} \frac{1}{10} \frac{r}{r}} \frac{r}{r} + \frac{e^{-r} \frac{2}{9{}^{2}_{\rm$$

and the spin-spin term is

$$V_{SS} = \frac{e^{-r} g_{NN}^2}{96m^2} \frac{e^{-r} m^2 g_{NN}^2}{96m^2} + \frac{e^{-r} m^2 g_{NN}^2}{48m^2 r} \frac{e^{-m} r m^2 g_{NN}^2}{48m^2 r}$$

$$+ \frac{e^{-r} g_{NN}^2}{48m^2} \frac{e^{-r} m^2 g_{NN}^2}{48m^2 r} + \frac{e^{-r} m^2 g_{NN}^2}{24m^2 r} \frac{e^{-m} r m^2 g_{NN}^2}{24m^2 r}$$

$$+ \frac{e^{-r} g_{NN}^2}{48m^2} + \frac{e^{-r} m^2 g_{NN}^2}{48m^2} \frac{e^{-r} m^2 g_{NN}^2}{24m^2 r}$$

$$+ \frac{e^{-r} g_{NN}^2}{48m^2} + \frac{e^{-r} m^2 g_{NN}^2}{48m^2} \frac{e^{-r} m^2 g_{NN}^2}{24m^2 r}$$

d. The case of pp is di erent from the deuteron where the constituents are p and n, namely there is a pp annihilation at the s-channel, which would contribute a delta function to the e ective potential.

If pp is in S-w ave with quantum num ber $I^G J^{PC} = 0^+ (0^+)$, in the s-channelonly a $0^+ (0^+)$ m eson can be exchanged. Here we only consider the lowest-lying pseudoscalar m esons of 0^+ and 0^- . Their contribution can be written as

$$V^{0}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{g_{NN}^{2}}{(4m^{2} m^{2})} \frac{2^{2} m^{2}}{4m^{2} 2} + 1 + \frac{(1 r)(2 r)}{2m^{2}} \frac{r^{2}}{2m^{2}} \frac{r^{2}}{2m^{2}}$$
(r);

where m is the invariant mass $p_{(p_1 + p_2)^2}$ and p_1 ; p_2 are the four-m omenta of the constituents p and p respectively, and it is very close to $2m_p$. For the contribution of ⁰, one only needs to replace the corresponding parameters values. It is noted that these contributions still belong to the real part of the elective potential. Below, we will derive the imaginary contributions induced by the absorptive part of loops at s-channel.

(ii) The imaginary part of the complex potential.

The corresponding Feynm an diagram s are shown in Figs.1 and 2. Fig.1 is the self-energy of and 0 which are o -shell and Fig.2 is a box diagram. O byiously, the elastic scattering of pp is a strong-interaction process, so that parity, isospin etc. quantum numbers must be conserved and as long as the pp bound state is of the 0 $^{+}$ structure, only and 0 can be exchanged in the s-channel (we neglect higher-resonances).

The concerned couplings are [11, 12, 13]

$$L_{PP} = \frac{P}{P} \frac{P}{2} @ P @ ; \qquad (10)$$

$$L_{VVp} = q_{VVp}$$
" Q V (P QV); (11)

here P stands as pseudoscalar m esons, such as , and $^{\rm 0}$ etc. and V denotes vector m esons, such as ! and etc.

The imaginary part of the potential is obtained in the following way. First, we calculate the absorptive part of the loops by the Cutkosky cutting rule in the momentum space [14] and carry out a Fourier transform ation turning it into an imaginary part of the complex potential.

(i) The contribution induced by the self-energy of and 0 .

W e have obtained

$$V_{Im_{1}}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{2 g_{NN}^{2} (4m^{2} m^{2} + m^{2})^{2} q}{512 m^{2} (4m^{2} m^{2})^{2}} \frac{16m^{2}m^{2} + (4m^{2} m^{2} + m^{2})^{2}}{16m^{2}m^{2} + (4m^{2} m^{2} + m^{2})^{2}};$$

$$\frac{2 m^{2}}{4m^{2} 2} a^{3}(\mathbf{r}); \quad \text{for Fig:1 (a):}$$

$$V_{\text{Im}_{2}}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{2 \frac{1}{f_{0}} g_{\text{N} \text{N}}^{2} (4m^{2} - m_{f_{0}}^{2} + m^{2})^{2} q}{512 m^{2} (4m^{2} - m^{2})^{2}} \frac{16m^{2}m^{2} + (4m^{2} - m_{f_{0}}^{2} + m^{2})^{2}}{4m^{2} - 2}$$

$$\frac{2 m^{2}}{4m^{2} - 2} \frac{3}{3}(\mathbf{r}); \quad \text{for Fig:1 (b):}$$

$$V_{\text{Im}_{3}}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{2 a_{0} g_{\text{N} \text{N}}^{2} (4m^{2} m_{a_{0}}^{2} + m^{2})^{2} q}{512 m^{2} (4m^{2} m^{2})^{2}} \frac{16m^{2}m^{2} + (4m^{2} m_{a_{0}}^{2} + m^{2})^{2}}{16m^{2}m^{2} + (4m^{2} m_{a_{0}}^{2} + m^{2})^{2}}$$

$$\frac{2 m^{2}}{4m^{2} 2} a^{3}(\mathbf{r}); \text{ for Fig.1 (c):}$$

and

$$V_{\text{Im}_{4}}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{g^{2} g_{\text{N}\text{N}}^{2} (16m^{4} - 4m^{2}m^{2})^{q}}{128 m^{2} (4m^{2} - m^{2})^{2}} \frac{16m^{4} - 16m^{2}m^{2}}{16m^{4} - 16m^{2}m^{2}} \frac{2m^{2} m^{2}}{4m^{2} - 2} (\mathbf{r}); \quad \text{for Fig: 1 (d):}$$

b. The contributions induced by the box diagram

$$V_{\rm Im \ box}(p) = 2q_{\rm N \ N}^2 q_{\rm N \ N}^2 \frac{d^4q}{(2)^4} \overline{v}(p_2) \frac{p_1}{(p_1 \ q)^2 \ m^2} \frac{q_1 + m}{m^2} 5u(p_1)\overline{u}(p_3) \frac{p_3}{(p_3 \ q)^2 \ m^2} \frac{q_1 + m}{(p_3 \ q)^2 \ m^2} v(p_4)$$

(i) $(i)^2 (q^2 \ m^2) (p_1 + p_2 \ q^2 \ m^2) \frac{2 \ m^2}{(p_1 \ q)^2 \ 2} \frac{2 \ m^2}{(p_3 \ q)^2 \ q^2 \ 2}^2$:

Taking the Fourier transform ation, we obtain

$$V_{Im_{box}}(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{pmatrix} Z & (\frac{g_{NN}^2 g_{NN}^2 g_{NN}^2 B(2^m m^2)^4}{16 A [A^2 B^2 2(A^m m^2 + p^2 Bp \cos(1))^2]} \\ \frac{[m^2 (m + \frac{p^2}{2m} A)^2 + 2m (m + \frac{p^2}{2m} A)]Sin(1)}{[m^2 B^2 + A^2 2 2(A^m m^2 + p^2 Bp \cos(1))^2]} \end{pmatrix} d^{3}(\mathbf{r});$$

where

$$A = \frac{q}{m^2 + B^2};$$

and

$$B = \frac{1}{4}^{s} \frac{2m^{2}m^{2}}{16m^{2}} + \frac{3m^{2}}{8m^{2}} + \frac{3m^{2}}{8m^{2}} + \frac{3m^{2}}{m^{2}} + \frac{3m^$$

Expanding the expression with respect to \dot{p} j and keeping term s up to p^2 , we have the nal expression as

where the coe cient 0 (m; m; m;) of p^2 is obtained by a tedious but straightforward calculation, here for saving space we ignore the details.

Finally we obtain the imaginary part of the potential as

$$V_{Im}$$
 (r) = $V_{Im_{1}}$ (r) + $V_{Im_{2}}$ (r) + $V_{Im_{3}}$ (r) + $V_{Im_{4}}$ (r) + $V_{Im_{box}}$ (r):

It is also noted that for the P-w ave resonance, the wavefunction at origin is zero, i.e. (0) = 0, at the leading order there is no s-channel contribution to the elective potential, and neither the imaginary part in the nale ective potential, since in our approximation, all of them are proportional to ³ (r).

In the practical computation, a popular approximation [15] for the delta function

3
 (r) / $\frac{^{3}}{\frac{3}{2}}$ e 2 r²

is adopted.

3 Num erical results

By solving the Schrödinger equation, we obtain the zero-th order engenenergy and wavefunction, where the L-S coupling and tensor term s are taken as perturbations and the imaginary part of the complex potential is treated in two ways. In terms of the traditional method of Q uantum M echanics, we can calculate the corrections.

For the S-wave resonance we obtain the binding energy and the total width as

m (GeV)	0.47	0.48	0.49	0.50	0.51	0.52	0.53	0.54	0.55
(GeV)	0.59	0.60	0.61	0.62	0.63	0.64	0.65	0.66	0.67
Es(MeV)	-18.38	-17.23	-16.19	-15.30	-14.47	-13.67	-13.03	-12.42	-11.88
s(MeV)	33.60	30.08	26.94	24.15	21.67	19.49	17.57	15.90	14.45

Table 1: the theoretica	l results for the	S-wave with	perturbative m	ethod
-------------------------	-------------------	-------------	----------------	-------

The number listed in table.1 are obtained in terms of the perturbation method. Namely, we take the imaginary part of the complex potential as a perturbation as well as the L-S coupling and tensor terms,

$$E = < _{0}jHj _{0} > ;$$

where $H = H_{real} + i H_{im ag}$ and $_0$ is the wavefunction of zero-th order.

As we sandwich the imaginary part of the complex potential between $_0$, the expectation value is the imaginary part of the complex eigenenergy as

$$i E_{im ag} = \frac{1}{2} = \langle 0 j V_{Im} (r) j_0 \rangle;$$

and

Thus the total eigenenergy is

$$E = E_0 + E_{real} + iE_{im ag} = E_0 + E_{real} = \frac{i}{2}$$

Instead, one can solve the Schrodinger equation with a complex potential which would be divided into two coupled di erential equations. The coupled equations cannot, in general, be solved analytically, but only num erically. We obtain the complex eigenenergy by solving the equation group and the results are listed in table 2.

m (GeV)	0.47	0.48	0.49	0.50	0.51	0.52	0.53	0.54	0.55
(GeV)	0.59	0.60	0.61	0.62	0.63	0.64	0.65	0.66	0.67
Es(MeV)	-14.10	-13.64	-13.17	-12.71	-12,25	-11.81	-11.38	-10.99	-10.62
_s (M eV)	21.90	19.48	17.29	15.29	13.48	11.87	10.45	9.19	8.11

Table 2: the calculated results for the S-wave with direct program calculating

C om paring the results in table 1 and 2, we nd that there are some deviations of no m ore than 30%, and generally, the num bers obtained in the perturbation m ethod are a bit greater than them by directly solving the coupled equations, but qualitatively, the two sets are consistent. C onsidering the experim ental errors and theoretical uncertainties, we would conclude that the two sets of num bers agree with each other.

For the P-wave, by solving the Schrödinger equation, we obtain the eigenenergy, and then by the W KB m ethod, we can estim ate the dissociation rate, which turns out to be the width of the P-wave resonance. The results are shown in Table 2.

m (GeV)	0.47	0.48	0.49	0.50	0.51	0.52	0.53	0.54	0.55
(10 ¹ GeV)	8.25	8.51	8.76	9.02	9.28	9.54	9.81	10.08	10.35
Ep(MeV)	0.35	0.43	0.54	0.63	0.72	0.80	0.87	0.94	1.08
p (M eV)	9.11	11.42	15.00	17.96	20.22	22.64	24.26	25.91	29.98

Table 3: The calculated values for P-wave

For the theoretical calculations, we have employed the following parameters as inputs: $m = 0.938 (G \text{ eV}); m = 0.138 (G \text{ eV}); m = 0.77 (G \text{ eV}); m_1 = 0.783 (G \text{ eV}); m_1 = 0.547 (G \text{ eV}); m_0 = 0.958 (G \text{ eV}); m_{f_0} = 0.98 (G \text{ eV}); m_{a_0} = 0.98 (G \text{ eV}) [16]; g_{NN} = g_{NN} = 13.5; g_{NN} = g_{NN}! = 3.25 [17]; \frac{g_{NN}^2}{4} = 0.4; \frac{g_{NN}^2}{4} = 0.6 [18]; = 4.11 (G \text{ eV}^{-1}); \circ = 2.65 (G \text{ eV}^{-1}); f_0 = 1.72 (G \text{ eV}^{-1}); \circ_{f_0} = 9.01 (G \text{ eV}^{-1}); a_0 = 6.80 (G \text{ eV}^{-1}); \circ_{a_0} = 7.80 (G \text{ eV}^{-1}) [19]; g = 16 (G \text{ eV}^{-1}) [13][20].$

4 Conclusion and discussion

In this work, in terms of the linear model we investigate the spectrum and total width of the possible pp bound states. We consider two possibilities that the observed enhancement is due to a pp bound state in S-or P-waves respectively.

A llthe parameters employed in the calculations were obtained by tting the data of deuteron. W ith the very precise measurement on the binding energy of deuteron and more or less accurate estimate of the s-d mixing and charge radius, there is only a narrow window in the parameter space [8]. Namely there is almost not much free room to adjust them, and neither is a large range for changing our theoretical calculations, as long as the model is employed. Therefore the new ly observed resonance, if it is experimentally con rm ed, can also provide an opportunity to further testify the linear model.

We derive the elective potential between p and p, and for the S-wave structure, we simply substitute it into the schrodinger equation to obtain the binding energy. We have also calculated the absorptive part of the concerned boops by the Cutkosky cutting rule and it becomes the imaginary part of the potential. D i erently from the deuteron case which is a bound state of pn, for the pp case, there exist s-channel processes (see the gures in the text), which can contribute a realpart (the tree levelm eson exchange) to the potential and an imaginary part through the boop diagrams in the channel and both of them are proportional to (r) at the concerned nonrelativistic approximation. In this work, we ignore the dispersive part of the boops because it depends on the renorm alization scheme and only makes a correction to the leading contribution of the real part of the potential, but keep the absorptive part which is the only source of the imaginary part of the potential.

W hen we solve the Schrodinger equation with a complex potential, we have taken certain approximations to simplify the calculations. Then we obtain the mass and total width of the S-wave resonance.

It is also noted that due to the G-parity structure of the N N and N N systems where N refers to nucleons, the potentials contributed by and are of the same sign for the N N and N N systems, but the potential induced by 0 and ! should have opposite signs for the two systems [21, 22]. In fact, for the deuteron case, which is in the pn structure, the contribution of

 0 is repulsive, and so is that from and !.But for the pp system, 0 and ! induce attractive potentials while the contribution induced by and remain unchanged. It can qualitatively explain why the the binding energy for pp (about 18 MeV) is more negative than that for deuteron (about 2:22 MeV).

For the P-wave case, where the wave function of origin is zero, i.e. (0) = 0, one does not need to calculate the s-channel contribution. The angular momentum barrier prevents dissociation of the bound state, but the quantum tunnelling leads to a nal dissolution of the bound state and this tunnelling rate determ ines the total width or lifetim e of the P-wave bound state of pp. Fig.3 shows the e ective potential for S-wave and P-wave respectively. The repulsive part at the region of sm all r is due to the vector-meson exchange. One also notes that for the S-wave, besides the repulsive core for sm all r the potential is attractive, whereas for the P-wave, there exists an angular barrier which results in an positive binding energy, i.e. the total m ass of the P-wave resonance is above the threshold of $2m_p$. Since the barrier is not high, the binding energy is not far above zero and the total m ass is very close to $2m_p$. To evaluate the tunnelling rate we use the W KB m ethod, how ever, since the barrier is not much higher than the binding energy level, using the W KB m ethod m ight bring up certain errors. Therefore the estim ated width can only be valid to its order of m agnitude. Indeed, with present experimental accuracy, we can satisfy ourselves with such numbers, but de nitely the future experiments can provide us with m uch m ore inform ation and by them we will modify our m odel and determ ine the concerned param eters to higher accuracy.

The new ly observed enhancement by BES and Belle may have various interpretations, one of them is due to a resonance of pp. In this work we discuss this possibility in the linear model and the obtained values are quantitatively consistent with the data. Our num erical results show, the total width and position of the proposed bound state, no matter S-wave or P-wave do not contradict the data, therefore both of them may be possible states which can accommodate the observed enhancement.

The authors of [3] suggested an alternative explanation, i.e. the nalstate interaction results in the observed enhancement. To decide which mechanism is right or dominant would wait for the future experiments. We hope that studies on the new resonance can enrich our know ledge about the hadron physics and the interactions at the hadron level. Our conclusion is that to con rm the observed enhancement, more precise measurements are needed.

A dknow ledgm ent:

We thank K.I. Chao for his helpful comments and suggestions, we also bene t from the fruitful discussions with C.H. Chang. This work is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

References

- [1] J.Z.Baiet al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 91 (2003) 022001.
- [2] K.Abe et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 88 (2002) 181803; 89 (2002) 151802.
- B.S.Zou and H.C.Chiang, Phys. Rev. D 69 (2004) 034004; B.Kerbikov, A.Stavinsky and V.Fedotov, hep-ph/0402054;
- [4] X.G. He et al., in preparetion.
- [5] H. Georgi, W eak Interactions and Modern Particle Theory, The Benjam in/Cummings Pub Co. (1984), New York.
- [6] R.Machleidt, K.Holinde and Ch.Elster, Phys.Rep. 149, No.1 (1987).
- [7] Y B.Daiand Y L.W u, Eur. Phys. J.C (2004) (DOI) 10.1140/ep jcd/s2004-01-001-3.
- [8] Y iB ing D ing et al., hep-ph/0402109.
- [9] V.Benresteskii, E.Lifshitz and L.Pitaeevskii, Quantum Electrodynamics, Pergam on Press, 1982, New York.
- [10] S.Gasiorowicz, Quantum Mechanics, 2nd ed., Wiley, 1995, New York.

- [11] M asayasu Harada, hep-ph/9606331; F. Sannino and J. Schechter, Phys. Rev. D 52 (1995)
 96-107; D. Black et al., Phys. Rev. D 58 (1998) 054012.
- [12] N N.A chasov and A A.K ozhevnikov, Phys. Rev. D 62 (2000) 056011.
- J.L.Lucio, M. Napsuciale, M.D. Scadron and V.M. Villanueva, hep-ph/9902349; A.G okalp,
 A.Kucukarslan, S.Solmaz, O.Yilmaz, Acta Phys.Polon.B 34 (2003) 4095-4104; J.W ess and B.Zum ino, Phys.Lett.B 37 (1971) 65.
- [14] See e.g., C. Itzykson and J.B. Zuber, Quantum Field Theory, McGrow-Hill, 1980, New York.
- [15] W. Lucha, F.F. Schoberland D.G. rom es, Phys. Rep. 200, No.4 (1991) 127-240.
- [16] The Data G roup, Eur. Phys. J. C 15 (2000) 1.
- [17] Z.Lin et al. Phys. Rev. C 61 (2000) 024904; B.Holzenkam p et al. Nucl. Phys. A 500 (1989)
 485; G.Janssen et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 71 (1993) 1975.
- [18] L. Tiator, C. Bennhold and S.S. Kam alov, Nucl. Phys. A 580 (1994) 455-474.
- [19] Deirdre Black, Am ir H. Fariborz and Joseph Schechter, Phys. Rev. D 61 (2000) 074030.
- [20] M. Lublinsky, Phys. Rev. D 55 (1997) 249-254.
- [21] E.Klempt, F.Bradamante, A.Martin and J.Richard, Phys.Rep. 368 (2002) 119-316.
- [22] Jean-M arc R ichard, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl, 86 (2000) 361.



Figure 1:



Figure 2:



Figure 3: