A brane world cosm ological solution to the gravitino problem

Nobuchika Okada

Theory Division, KEK, Oho 1-1, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan

Osamu Seto<sup>y</sup>

Institute of Physics, National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu, Taiwan 300, Republic of China

## A bstract

We investigate the thermal production of gravitinos in the context of the brane world cosm ology. Since the expansion law is modiled from the one in the standard cosmology, the Boltzmann equation for the gravitino production is altered. We not that the late-time gravitino abundance is proportional to the \transition temperature", at which the modiled expansion law in the brane world cosmology is connecting with the standard one, rather than the reheating temperature after in ation as in the standard cosmology. This means that, even though the reheating temperature is very high, we can avoid the overproduction of gravitinos by taking the transition temperature low enough. Therefore, the gravitino problem can be solved.

E lectronic address: okadan@post.kek.jp

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>y</sup>E lectronic address: osam u@ m ail.nctu.edu.tw

Several particles which only gravitationally couple to the ordinary matters appear in supergravity models (some models inspired by string theories). Since their couplings are Planck suppressed, their lifetime is quite long as estimated to be

$$\frac{M_{Pl}^{2}}{m^{3}}$$
,  $10^{5} \frac{1\text{TeV}}{m} \approx c$ ; (1)

where m is the mass of the particles, and M  $_{\rm P\,I}$  ' 12  $10^9$  G eV is the Planck mass. It is normally assumed that the scale of m is of order of the electroweak scale which is obtained through supersymmetry breaking in supergravity models. These particles with such a long lifetime often cause a serious problem in cosmology. The so-called \gravitino problem" is a famous example [1]. If the gravitino decays after big bang nucleosynthesis (BBN), its energetic daughters would destroy the light nuclei by photo-dissociation and, as a result, upset the successful prediction of BBN. As is well known, to avoid this problem the upper bound (depending on the gravitino mass) is imposed on the reheating temperature after in ation.

Here let us see a little more detail of the gravitino problem. Gravitinos have been produced by them alscatterings in plasm a since the reheating stage after in ation. The Boltzmann equation relevant to this gravitino production process is given by

$$\frac{dn_{3=2}}{dt} + 3H n_{3=2} = h_{tot} vin_{rad}^{2};$$
 (2)

where H is the Hubble parameter,  $n_{3=2}$  and  $n_{rad}$  are the number densities of gravitino and relativistic species in thermal bath, respectively, and h total gravitino production cross section times the relative velocity. In the standard cosmology, the Hubble parameter obeys the Friedmann equation,

$$H^{2} = \frac{8 \text{ G}}{3} ; \tag{3}$$

with the energy density  $=\frac{2}{30}gT^4$  in the radiation dominated universe. Here, G is the Newton's gravitational constant, and g (T) is the total number of the massless degrees of freedom. It is useful to rewrite Eq. (2) as

$$\frac{dY_{3=2}}{dT} = \frac{sh_{tot}vi}{HT}Y_{rad}^{2};$$
(4)

where  $Y_{3=2}$   $n_{B=2}=s$  and  $Y_{rad}$   $n_{rad}=s$  with s being the entropy density. Note that the rhs. of this equation is almost independent of temperature T, since h totvi ' M $_{P1}^2$ , H / T $^2$ ,

s /  $T^3$ , etc. Thus we nd that the late-time gravitino abundance is proportional to the reheating tem perature  $T_R$  and approximately described as [2],

$$Y_{3=2}$$
 ' 10 <sup>11</sup>  $\frac{T_R}{10^{10} \text{G eV}}$  : (5)

This abundance should be small in order for the gravitino decay products not to destroy the light elements successfully synthesized during BBN, thus we obtain the upper bound on the reheating temperature such as [3, 4]

$$T_R = 10^{\circ} = 10^{\circ} G \text{ eV};$$
 (6)

for the gravitino m ass m  $_{3=2}=0$  ( $10^2$ G eV), for example. In the recent analysis [4] the e ect of the hadronic processes also has been taken into account.

This stringent constraint is problem atic in the in ationary cosmology. The reheating tem perature provided by typical in ation models such as the chaotic in ation or the hybrid in ation is estimated to be much larger than the upper bound of Eq. (6) [5]. Therefore there exists a gap between the natural reheating tem perature for the in ation models and its upper bound of Eq. (6). It seems not so easy to construct an in ation model which can, simultaneously, provide a reheating tem perature low enough to satisfy this upper bound and be consistent with the present cosmological observations, the density uctuations, etc.

Some ideas to avoid the gravitino problem have been proposed such as the thermal in ation [8] and a model to forbid the radiative decay of gravitinos [9].

Recently, the brane world models have been attracting a lot of attention as a novel higher dimensional theory. In these models, it is assumed that the standard model particles are conned on a \3-brane" while gravity resides in the whole higher dimensional spacetime. The model rst proposed by Randall and Sundrum [10], the so-called \RS II" model, is a simple and interesting one, and its cosmological evolutions have been intensively investigated [11]. In the model, our 4-dimensional universe is realized on the 3-brane with a positive tension located at the ultraviolet (UV) boundary of a ve dimensional Anti-de-Sitter spacetime. In this setup, the Friedmann equation for a spatially at spacetime is found to be

$$H^{2} = \frac{8 \text{ G}}{3} \qquad 1 + \frac{1}{0} \tag{7}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Some in ation models with low reheating temperature has been proposed in the context of the brane world cosmology [6, 7], where some issues related to this letter are also discussed.

w here

$$_{0} = 96 \text{ GM} _{5}^{6};$$
 (8)

with M  $_5$  being the ve dimensional Planck mass, and the four dimensional cosmological constant has been tuned to be zero. Here we have omitted the term so-called \dark radiation", since this term is severely constrained by big bang nucleosynthesis [12]. The second term proportional to  $^2$  is a new ingredient in the brane world cosmology and lead to a non-standard expansion law.

Note that according to Eq. (7) the evolution of the early universe can be divided into two eras. At the era where  $=_0$  1 the second term dominates and the expansion law is non-standard (brane world cosmology era), while at the era  $=_0$  1 the rst term dominates and the expansion of the universe obeys the standard expansion law (standard cosmology era). In the following, we call a temperature defined as  $(T_t)=_0=1$  \transition temperature", at which the evolution of the early universe changes from the brane world cosmology era into the standard one. Using the transition temperature, we rewrite Eq. (7) into the form

$$H^{2} = \frac{8 \text{ G}}{3} \quad 1 + \frac{T}{T_{t}} \quad = H^{2}_{st} \quad 1 + \frac{T}{T_{t}} \quad ; \tag{9}$$

where  $H_{st}$  is the H ubble parameter in the standard cosmology (Eq. (3)).

This modi cation of the expansion law at a high temperature ( $T > T_t$ ) leads some drastic changes for several cosm ological issues. In fact, for example, an enhancement of the thermal relic abundance of dark matter has been recently pointed out [13]. In this letter, we investigate the brane cosm ological elect for the gravitino production process. Since the Friedmann equation is modified into Eq. (9), the solution of the Boltzmann equation of Eq. (4) can be modified, which leads us to a different consequence for the gravitino problem.

Before proceeding our discussion, let us specify our setup. Our brane world model is the supersymmetric extended RS IIm odel [14]. The cosmological solution of this extended model is the same as that in the non-supersymmetric model, since the Einstein's equation belongs to the bosonic part. As is well known in the RS IIm odel, the zero-mode graviton

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  W e can india lower bound on the transition temperature such as  $T_t > 0$  (1TeV) according to the discussion in [10] concerning the precision measurements of the Newton's gravitational law in sub-millimeter range.

is localized around the UV brane, while the graviton Kaluza-Klein (KK) modes are likely localized around the infrared (IR) brane (in the RS II model, the IR brane is located at the in nite boundary). This feature is the key to recover alm ost four-dimensional Einstein gravity even with the in nite fith dimensional radius, namely, the idea of the \alternative to compactication". If the supersymmetry is manifest, all the component elds in a supergravity multiplet take the same con gurations in the fith dimensional direction. Thus the zero-m ode gravitino is localized around the UV brane, and as an approximation we regard it as a eld residing on the UV brane. Suppose that the SUSY is broken on the UV brane. The zero-m ode gravitino obtains its mass through the super-Higgs e ect as in four dimensional supergravity, while KK gravitinos would remain the same, since supersymmetry is broken only locally on the UV brane in the in nite fth dimensional coordinate. As discussed above, we treat the zero-mode gravitino as the eld on the UV brane (the brane on which all the supersymmetric standard model elds reside) and apply the above Boltzmann equation for the gravitino production. On the other hand, the plasm a on the UV brane (our brane) can, in general, excite the KK gravitinos 3. Since the KK gravitinos take di erent con gurations in the fith dimensional direction and couple dierently to the elds on our brane, their production processes will obey di erent types of Boltzmann equations. It is very interesting and challenging to analyze the KK gravitino production process. We leave this important issue for future works.

Now let us analyze the therm alproduction of the gravitino in the brane world cosm ology. The Boltzm ann equation we analyze takes the form of

$$\frac{dY_{3=2}}{dT} = \frac{sh_{tot}vi}{H_{st}T}Y_{rad}^{2} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{T}{T_{t}}}$$
(10)

The pre-factor in the r.h.s. is the same as the one in the standard cosm ology. Suppose that the reheating tem perature after in ation is much higher than the transition tem perature. Then we integrate the Boltzm ann equation from the reheating tem perature  $T_R$  (  $T_t$ ) in the brane world cosm ology era to a low tem perature  $T_{low}$  (  $T_t$ ) in the standard cosm ology era.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The KK graviton can also be emitted from the plasma on the UV brane and contribute to the dark radiation term in the Friedmann equation. This issue has been studied in [15], and the resultant dark radiation is found to be consistent with the BBN constraint.

First let us integrate the Boltzmann equation approximately in such a way that

We will see this result is a good approximation from the following analytic formula we will show. Note that the reheating temperature  $T_R$  in the formula of the gravitino abundance in the standard cosmology (see Eq. (5)) is replaced by the transition temperature, namely,  $T_R$ !  $2T_t$ . Therefore we can avoid overproduction of gravitinos by

$$T_{+} = 10^{\circ} = 10^{\circ} G \text{ eV}$$
 (12)

independently of the reheating tem perature. This is our main result in this letter. Needless to say, if  $T_{\rm t}$   $T_{\rm R}$  we can reproduce the result in the standard cosm ology.

For completeness, we show analytic formulas of the solution of the Boltzmann equation as follows:

$$Y_{3=2} (T_{low}) = \frac{Z_{T_R}}{T_{low}} \frac{\text{sh tot} \text{vi}}{H_{st} T} Y_{rad}^2 \frac{dT}{1 + \frac{T}{T_t}}$$

$$, \frac{\text{sh tot} \text{vi}}{H_{st} T} Y_{rad}^2 T_{T=T_R} T_t Z_R F \frac{1}{4}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{5}{4}; Z_R^4$$
(13)

where F (;; ;z) =  $_2$ F<sub>1</sub>(;; ;z) is the G auss' hypergeom etric function, and Z  $_R$  is defined as  $Z_R = T_R = T_t$  (1). By using the relations,

$$F(;;;z) = (1 z) F ; ; \frac{z}{z 1};$$

$$F(;;;1) = \frac{()()}{()}; (14)$$

we obtain

$$Z_RF = \frac{1}{4}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{5}{4}; \quad Z_R^4 = \frac{(5=4) (1=4)}{(1) (1=2)}$$
, 1:85 (15)

for  $Z_R$  1. This result shows that Eq. (11) is actually a good approximation.

If the reheating is completed in the brane world cosm ology era as we have assumed, the reheating temperature becomes dierent from the one in the standard cosm ology due to the non-standard expansion law. Here let us estimate the reheating temperature and check that our assumption,  $T_R$   $T_t$ , can be satisfied. Comparing the total decay width of an in aton

(  $_{
m I}$ ) and the Hubble parameter such as

$${}_{1}^{2} = H^{2} = \frac{8 \text{ G}}{3} (T_{R}) 1 + \frac{T_{R}}{T_{t}} , \frac{T_{R}^{8}}{M_{P}^{2} T_{t}^{4}};$$
 (16)

where M  $_{P}$  = M  $_{P}$   $_{I}$  =  $\frac{p}{8}$  is the reduced P lanck mass, we nd

$$T_{R} ' T_{t} \frac{M_{P}}{T_{t}} = \frac{1-4}{T_{t}} :$$
 (17)

Therefore our assumption can be satisfed if  $(M_P)_{I}=T_t^2)^{1=4}$  1. It is interesting to see a relation between the reheating temperature in the brane world cosmology and the standard one. In the standard cosmology, the reheating temperature is estimated as  $T_R^{(s)}$ ,  $P_{\overline{M_P}_I}$ . Substituting this into Eq. (17), the reheating temperature of the brane world cosmology is described as

$$T_{R} ' T_{t} \frac{T_{R}^{(s)}}{T_{t}} :$$
 (18)

Recall that the gap between  $T_R^{(s)}$  and the upper bound of Eq. (6), namely,  $T_R^{(s)}$  10<sup>6</sup>  $10^7 \text{G eV}$  causes the gravitino problem originally. As discussed above, Eq. (6) is replaced by Eq. (12) in the brane world cosm ology. Therefore the gap between the temperatures, on the contrary, justi es our assumption  $T_R$   $T_t$  due to  $T_R^{(s)}$   $T_t$ .

In sum m ary, we investigate the abundance of gravitinos in the fram ework of in ationary brane world cosm ology. The point is that the expansion law is modi ed in the brane world cosm ology and this modi cation can lead to dierent results for various issues in the standard cosm ology. We have found that the late-time gravitino abundance is proportional to the transition temperature independently of the reheating temperature, if the reheating temperature is higher than the transition temperature. Therefore the gravitino problem disappears by assigning a transition temperature low enough. We also have checked that consistency of our assumption,  $T_{\rm t}$   $T_{\rm R}$ .

Finally we give some comments. A necessary condition to solve the gravitino problem by this manner is that in ation must occur in the brane world cosmology era as we have assumed. In order to verify our strategy toward a solution of the gravitino problem, it is important to explore whether the in ation can take place during the brane world cosmology era [16]. Furthermore, the detailed study of density perturbation generated from in ation

m odels in the brane world cosm ology is an important future issue [17, 18]. Also, as mentioned above, the issue of the KK gravitino production should be investigated in future.

N  $\Omega$ . would like to thank the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics (ICTP), Trieste, during the completion of this work. The work of N  $\Omega$ . is supported in part by the Grant-in-A id for Scienti c Research (# 15740164). O S. is supported by the National Science Council of Taiwan under the grant No. NSC 92-2811-M -009-018.

- [1] M.Y.Khlopov and A.D.Linde, Phys.Lett. B 138, 265 (1984); J.R.Ellis, J.E.Kim and D.V.Nanopoulos, Phys.Lett. B 145, 181 (1984).
- [2] M.Kawasaki and T.Moroi, Prog. Theor. Phys. 93, 879 (1995).
- [3] R.H.Cyburt, J.R.Ellis, B.D.Fields and K.A.Olive, Phys.Rev.D 67, 103521 (2003).
- [4] M. Kawasaki, K. Kohri and T. Moroi, astro-ph/0402490.
- [5] For a review of in ation, see, e.g. A.D. Linde, Particle Physics and In ationary Cosmology (Harwood, Chur, Switzerland, 1990); K.A.Olive, Phys. Rept. 190, 307 (1990); D.H. Lyth and A.Riotto, Phys. Rept. 314, 1 (1999)
- [6] A.Mazum dar, Phys. Rev. D 64, 027304 (2001); Nucl. Phys. B 597 561 (2001).
- [7] M.C.Bento, N.C.Santos and R.G.Felipe, Phys. Rev. D 69, 123513 (2004).
- [8] D.H.Lyth and E.D.Stewart, Phys. Rev. D 53, 1784 (1996).
- [9] T.Asaka and T.Yanagida, Phys. Lett. B 494, 297 (2000).
- [10] L.Randalland R.Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 4690 (1999).
- [11] For a review, see, e.g., D. Langlois, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 148, 181 (2003).
- [12] K. Ichiki, M. Yahiro, T. Kajino, M. Orito and G. J. Mathews, Phys. Rev. D 66, 043521 (2002).
- [13] N.Okada and O.Seto, Phys. Rev. D 70, 083531, (2004); T.Nihei, N.Okada and O.Seto, hep-ph/0409219.
- [14] T. Gherghetta and A. Pom arol, Nucl. Phys. B 586, 141 (2000); R. Altendorfer, J. Bagger and D. Nem eschansky, Phys. Rev. D 63, 125025 (2001); A. Falkowski, Z. Lalak and S. Pokorski, Phys. Lett. B 491, 172 (2000); M. Zucker, Phys. Rev. D 64, 024024 (2001); T. Kugo and K. Ohashi, Prog. Theor. Phys. 108, 203 (2002).
- [15] A. Hebecker and J. March-Russell, Nucl. Phys. B 608, 375 (2001); D. Langlois, L. Sorbo and M. Rodriguez-Martinez, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 171301 (2002).

- [16] R.M aartens, D.W ands, B.A.Bassett and I.Heard, Phys.Rev.D 62, 041301 (2000).
- [17] H.Kodam a, A. Ishibashi and O. Seto, Phys. Rev. D 62, 064022 (2000); S.Mukohyam a, Phys. Rev. D 62, 084015 (2000); C. van de Bruck, M. Dorca, R. H. Brandenberger and A. Lukas, Phys. Rev. D 62, 123515 (2000); K. Koyam a and J. Soda, Phys. Rev. D 62, 123502 (2000).
- [18] D. Langlois, R. Maartens and D. Wands, Phys. Lett. B 489, 259 (2000); S. Tsujikawa and A. R. Liddle, JCAP 0403, 001 (2004).