CHROMOMAGNETIC INSTABILITY AND THE LOFF STATE

IN A TW O FLAVOR COLOR SUPERCONDUCTOR

Ioannis Giannakis and Hai-Cang Ren¹

Physics Department Rockefeller University 1230 York Avenue New York, NY 10021

A bstract

We explore the relation between the chrom om agnetic instability of a hom ogeneous two avor color superconductor and the LOFF state. We perturb the free energy of the 2SC by generating a small net momentum for the quark pair. We not that the imaginary Meissner mass of a particular gluon implies that the LOFF state is energetically favored.

¹giannak@ sum m it.rockefeller.edu, ren@ sum m it.rockefeller.edu

It is well-known that nuclear matter when is subjected to extreme conditions of temperature and density undergoes phase transitions. New forms of matter have long been sought after, both theoretically and experimentally. Under suiciently high temperature, nuclear matter is expected to undergo a phase transition to a quark-gluon plasma. At low temperatures but suiciently high density, however, recent studies suggest a much richer phase structure. One of these phases-color superconductivity—might exist in the core of neutron stars [1, 2]. The baryon density of a color superconductor appropriate to a neutron star is few times higher than the saturation density of nuclear matter. Consequently, perturbative QCD becomes inadequate since the Fermilenergy of the quarks is not much larger than QCD.

An additional complication emerges at the baryon density appropriate for a compact star. The mass of the strange quark s can no longer be ignored. This causes mism at thes between the Ferm is a of the dierent quark avors. A large number of exotic Color Superconducting (CSC) phases has been investigated in the literature: these include the CFL (color avor locking) state [3], the LOFF state [4], the 2SC (two avorpairing) and g2SC (gapless 2SC) states [5] [6], the gCFL (gapless CFL) state [7] and super-norm almixed states [8]. The stability of these phases becomes an important issue to address. The interplay of various homogeneous phases has been investigated recently [9].

Gapless color superconductivity, g2SC or gCFL, is a consequence of breached pairing am ong the di erent species of ferm ions. It was considered initially in the context of an electronic superconductor by Sam a [10], and recently it was applied by Liu and W ilczek in the context of cold atom ic gas [11]. If the force responsible for pairing exceeds a critical value, the gap equation for xed chemical potential for each species admits two branches of solutions. One with fully gaped excitation spectrum and another gapless one. But if we impose the constraint that the total number of each species is xed, or other constraints such as the charge neutrality [5] etc., the gapless superconductor becomes the only solution. One problem that arises with the gapless solution is the possibility of imaginary Meissner masses [12]. Recently, Huang and Shovkovy found that the squares of the chrom om agnetic masses of the ve gluons corresponding to the broken generators of the SU (3), group are negative at zero tem perature [13]. This result indicates the existence of a chrom om agnetic type plasm a instability for the underlying color superconducting phase. At zero tem perature, this instability extends over the entire branch of the gapless solution and persists in the 2SC phase whenever the mism atch between the Ferm im om enta of the di erent quark avors is larger than a critical value. Such a chrom om agnetic instability has also been discovered in the gCFL phase [14]. The relation of the chrom om agnetic instability and the mixed state were explored in Ref. [15].

In this note, we shall exam ine the relationship between the chrom om agnetic instability and the LOFF state. For a 2SC in particular, we not that the chrom om agnetic instability associated with the eighth gluon is a su cient condition for a LOFF state of lower free energy. Complementing the results of [13], we calculate the M eissner screening masses in the region of the phase diagram of QCD, near the second order phase transition to the normal phase. We not that the value of the mismatch at the onset of the chrom om agnetic instability agrees with the threshold value of the LOFF state along the transition line, know to an electronic superconductor [16].

We consider the pairing of two quark avors, u and d. The NJL e ective Lagrangian

of two avors coupled to external color and electrom agnetic gauge elds in the massless limit is given by

$$L = \frac{\theta}{\theta x} igA^{1}T_{1} ieQA + 4$$

$$+ G_{S}[()^{2} + ()^{2}] + G_{D}()_{C} + G_{D}$$

where is the quark eld, A^1 the gluon potential and A the electrom agnetic potential. The four ferm ion interaction term s of (23) is taken from Ref. [17], where $(^c)^{mn} = ^{cmn}$ is a 3 m atrix acting on the red, green and blue color indices. The lagrangian is invariant under SU (3)_c U (1)_{em} transform ations. The SU (3)_c generators and the electrom agnetic charge operator are written as

$$T_1 = \frac{1}{2} _{1}; \qquad Q = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{2} _{3}$$
 (2)

where $_1$ and \sim are the G ell-M ann and P aulim atrices respectively. The chem ical potential reads = $_3$ + $_8$, with and $_9$ being sensitive to the charge and color neutrality respectively. All gam m a matrices are herm itian.

The CSC order param eter is set to be

$$< {c \atop f} {c}^{0} > = {cc \atop ff^{0}};$$
 (3)

where = $\frac{1}{8G_D}$ 2 2 is a matrix both in color and avor space, i.e.

$$c^{0}_{f^{0}f} = i \frac{c^{0}_{cb}}{8G_{D}} c^{0}_{f^{0}f}$$
 (4)

with > 0, f = u; d and c = r; g; b. By ignoring the chiral condensate and expanding the NJL Lagrangian to linear order in the uctuation

$$_{f}^{c}$$
 $_{f}^{c}$ $_{f}^{0}$ $_{f}^{c0}$ $_{f}^{0}$ $_{f}^{0}$; (5)

we derive the following mean eld expression for the NJL lagrangian

$$L_{MF} = \frac{2}{4G_{D}}$$
 $\frac{0}{0x}$ $igA^{1}T^{1}$ $ieQA + _{4} + [_{C 5 2 2} + _{5 2 2 C}]$: (6)

The therm odynam ic potential is given by the Euclidean path integral

$$= k_B T \ln \quad [d \ d \] exp \quad d^4x L_{MF}$$
 (7)

with $0 < x_4 < (k_B T)^{-1}$ and can be expanded in terms of one loop diagrams. The corresponding free energy, F will be minimized at equilibrium.

The Nambu-Gorkov form of the inverse quark propagator in the superphase takes the form

$$S^{-1}(P) = P + 4 \qquad 5 \ 2 \ 2 \qquad (8)$$

$$^{1} = \begin{array}{ccc} & T_{1} & 0 & ! \\ 0 & T_{1} & ; \end{array}$$
 (9)

with 1= 1;2; :::;9 and the tilde standing for transpose. We have

$$T_1 = T_1 \tag{10}$$

for l = 1; :::; 7,

$$T_8 = T_8 \cos + \frac{e}{q} \sin \tag{11}$$

and

$$T_9 = -\frac{g}{e} T_8 \sin + Q \cos \tag{12}$$

with $\cos = \frac{p - \frac{p}{3g}}{3g^2 + e^2}$ and $\sin = \frac{p - e}{3g^2 + e^2}$. Here we have introduced the gluon-photon mixing [18]. The condensate is invariant under the residual gauge transformations SU (2) U (1), where SU (2) is generated by T_1 , T_2 , T_3 and U (1) by T_9 .

A particularly convenient representation of the quark propagator and the vertex can be obtained by performing a unitary transform ation generated by

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} : \tag{13}$$

W e have

and

In the rem aining of this paper we shall use this representation and we shall suppress the primes from S and . We notice that S (P) in the new representation commutes with $_3$. The squared M eissner mass is defined by

$$(m^{2})^{1^{0}1} = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{K \downarrow 0} (_{ij} \hat{k}_{i}\hat{k}_{j}) \quad _{ij}^{1^{0}1} (K) = \frac{1}{2} (_{ij} \hat{z}_{i}\hat{z}_{j}) \quad _{ij}^{1^{0}1} (0)$$
 (16)

w here

$${}_{ij}^{1^{0}1}(K) = \frac{k_B T}{2}^{Z} \frac{d^3p}{(2)^3} T r {}_{i}^{1^{0}} S (P) {}_{j}^{1} S (P + K)$$
(17)

is the gluon polarisation tensor with K = (K; !). Furtherm one we have $(m^2)^{1^01} = 0$ for = 0.

A simplication follows from the observation that the isospin part of the charge operator (2) contributes to the Meissner masses a total derivative, i.e.

$$\frac{k_{\rm B} T}{2} \frac{{\rm d}^{3} p}{(2)^{3}} {\rm Tr}_{j} {\rm _{3}S} (P) {\rm M} S (P) = i \frac{k_{\rm B} T}{2} \frac{{\rm d}^{3} p}{(2)^{3}} \frac{{\rm e}}{{\rm e} p_{j}} {\rm Tr}_{3} {\rm M} S (P)$$
(18)

where M is an arbitrary matrix. Its contribution then can be omitted and the charge operator may be excitively replaced by $Q = \frac{1}{6}$.

It follows from the residual SU (2) symmetry that $(m^2)^{1/2}$ is diagonal with respect to 1^0 and 1 that label the standard G ell-M ann matrices. We proceed to divide the set of the nine generators into three subsets. Subset I includes the unbroken generators T_1 , T_2 and T_3 , subset II includes the broken generators T_4 , T_5 , T_6 and T_7 while subset III includes the broken T_8 and the unbroken T_9 generators. Under an SU (2) transform ation, T_1 ! uT_1u^y , the generators in the subset I transform as the adjoint representation, the generators in the subset II as the fundamental one while the generators in the subset III remain invariant. Therefore the indices 1^0 and 1 which correspond to generators from dierent subsets do not mix in the expression for $(m^2)^{1/2}$. The mass matrix within subset I vanishes following the standard arguments of gauge invariance. As to the subset II, we proceed to relabel its members according to $J_1 = T_4$, $J_1 = T_5$, $J_2 = T_6$ and $J_2 = T_7$. Introducing

we have

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ! \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$
 (20)

and similar expressions for J with = i , where the block decomposition is with respect to color indices. Since J is symmetric and J is antisymmetric, there is no mixing between J and J , following from the properties of the matrix C = i $_2$ $_4$, i.e. $C \sim C$ 1 = and C S'(P)C 1 = S(P). The matrix element (m 2) or (m 2) can be written as a sum of terms () y M or () y M with the same SU(2) invariant M . Therefore we have (m 2) = (m 2) / . Finally in subset III, the color matrices never m ix the red and green components with the blue ones. The latter do not carry a condensate. It follows then that we need only to work within the red-green subspace, in which T 9 does not contribute and T 8 m ay be replaced by a factor $\frac{1}{6}^P$ $\frac{1}{3g^2 + e^2}$.

Next we proceed to de ne the susceptibility as the response of the free energy to a sm all net m om entum of the Cooper pair. This am ounts in replacing the order parameter (5) with

$$\langle$$
 $_{5}$ $_{C}$ $\rangle =$ (21)

w here

$$(\mathbf{r}) = e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \quad (\mathbf{r}) \tag{22}$$

and to form the NG basis with respect to the new eld and its charge conjugate $C = C^{-}$. The net momentum carried by the Cooperpair is then 2q. The NJL lagrangian

(6) expressed in terms of reads

$$L = \frac{\theta}{\theta x} igA^{1}T^{1} ieQA i \sim q + 4$$

$$+ G_{S}[()^{2} + ()^{2}] + G_{D}()_{C_{5}}^{C_{2}})(_{5}^{C_{2}}); \qquad (23)$$

and gives rise to a new vertex q ~ with

$$\stackrel{\sim}{\sim} = \begin{array}{ccc} & & & & & & \\ & \sim & & & & \\ & 0 & \sim & & & \end{array}$$
 (24)

in NG basis. The free energy can be expanded according to increasing powers of q,

$$F = F_0 + \frac{1}{2} q^2 + \dots$$
 (25)

We call the coe cient momentum susceptibility. A signal that the LOFF state has lower free energy than the 2SC state is a negative momentum susceptibility. We have

$$= \frac{1}{3} \frac{k_B T}{j} \frac{Z}{2} \frac{d^3 p}{(2)^3} Tr_{i} S(P)_{j} S(P); \qquad (26)$$

W e observe that the relationship

$$m_8^2 = \frac{1}{12} g^2 + \frac{e^2}{3}$$
 (27)

is valid for all tem peratures in the superphase. Therefore the chrom om agnetic instability of the gluon that corresponds to the 8th color generator is equivalent to the instability against LOFF pairing.

In order to compare our results with the existing literature on LOFF pairing [16], we calculate explicitly the M eissner masses and the momentum susceptibility near the second order transition temperature where $<< k_B T$. We shall make the approximation that $^0=0$, consistent with the numerical study in Ref. [5]. As a result $=\frac{1}{2}(_u+_d)$ and $=\frac{1}{2}(_d-_u)$. While it is straightforward to obtain a closed form of the quark propagator for an arbitrary gap, it will subset to expand it to second order in the with being the solution of the gap equation as T! T_c . After some algebra, we not that

$$(m^2)^{101} = \frac{1}{2}g^2 {}^2 {}^2 {}^2 {}^2 {}^2 {}^1 {}^{101} I + {}^2 {}^2 {}^{101} J];$$
 (28)

The quantities I and J are given by

$$I = \frac{1}{2}k_{B}T^{X} \frac{Z}{(2)^{3}}(i_{j}) \hat{k}_{i}\hat{k}_{j}) \text{tr}^{h}_{i}S_{+}^{u}(P)_{j}S_{+}^{u}(P)S^{d}(P)S_{+}^{u}(P)$$

$$+ i_{j}S_{+}^{d}(P)_{j}S_{+}^{d}(P)S^{u}(P)S_{+}^{d}(P) : \qquad (29)$$

and

$$J = \frac{1}{4}k_{B}T^{X} \frac{Z}{(2)^{3}} (i_{j} \hat{k}_{j}) tr^{h}_{i}S^{u}_{+}(P)S^{d}(P)_{j}S^{d}(P)S^{u}_{+}(P)$$

$$+ iS^{d}_{+}(P)S^{u}_{+}(P)_{j}S^{u}(P)S^{d}_{+}(P); \qquad (30)$$

with

$$S^{f}(P) = \frac{1}{P - f}$$
(31)

and $S^{f}(P) = S^{f}(P)$. Using the relation

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta p_{j}} S^{f} (P) = i S^{f} (P) _{j} S^{f} (P)$$
(32)

and integrating by parts, we can show that I = J.

The group theoretic factors

$$C_1^{1/1} = 4 \text{tr}(T_{1/1} T_1 + T_1 T_{1/1})^2$$
 (33)

and

$$C_2^{1^01} = 4 \text{tr} T_{1^0} {}_2 T_{1} {}_2$$
 (34)

in the standard representation of the Gell-Mann matrices are given by

$$C_1 = \text{diag: } 2;2;2;1;1;1;1;\frac{2}{3} 1 + \frac{e^2}{3g^2} ;0$$
 (35)

and

$$C_2 = \text{diag}: \quad 2; \quad 2; \quad 2; \quad 0; \quad 0; \quad 0; \quad 0; \quad \frac{2}{3} \quad 1 + \frac{e^2}{3a^2} \quad ; \quad 0 :$$
 (36)

Combining Eq. (28), (35) and (36), we obtain the following expressions for the M eissner masses of the gluons

$$m^{2}j_{;5;6;7} = \frac{1}{2}g^{2} {}^{2}J;$$
 (37)

$$m^2 \dot{g} = \frac{2}{3} g^2 + \frac{e^2}{3g^2}$$
 ²J: (38)

corresponding to the broken generators T_4 , T_5 , T_6 , T_7 and T_8 of the SU (3)_c U (1)_{em} respectively.

Carrying out the momentum integral in Eq.(30), we obtain the expression

$$J = \frac{{}^{2}k_{B}T}{3}Re^{hX} \frac{1}{(+i)^{3}} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{X}{0 < <} \frac{1}{+i}^{i}$$

$$= \frac{{}^{2}}{24 {}^{4}k_{B}^{2}T^{2}}Re^{0} \frac{1}{2} + i \frac{1}{2 k_{B}T}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} {}^{h}Re^{1} \frac{1}{2} + i \frac{X^{N}}{2 k_{B}T} + E^{N} \frac{1}{n} ;$$
(39)

where $(z) = \frac{d \ln (z)}{dz}$, E = 0.5772: and we have introduced an UV cuto in the sum mation over the M atsubara energies with $E = 2 \log T + 2 \log T$

. The typical value of that results from the charge neutrality condition is not much smaller than [5].

For << , << and $k_{\!\scriptscriptstyle B}\,T<<$, we have

$$J' = \frac{\frac{2}{48^{4}k_{B}^{2}T^{2}}Re^{-0}\frac{1}{2} + i\frac{}{2}\frac{}{k_{B}T}$$
 (40)

and the term dropped is smaller by an order of 0 $\frac{k_B T}{2}$ ln – . The M eissner m asses of the gluons corresponding to the broken generators T_4 , T_5 , T_6 and T_7 are given by

$$m^{2}j_{a;5;6;7} = \frac{g^{2} + 2}{96 + (k_{B}T)^{2}}Re^{-0}\frac{1}{2} + i_{\overline{2} + k_{B}T};$$
 (41)

while the M eissner m ass for the gluon corresponding to the broken generator T₈ by

$$m^{2} \dot{j}_{e} = \frac{g^{2} + 2 + 2}{72 + (k_{B} T)^{2}} + \frac{e^{2}}{3g^{2}} Re^{-0} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2 + k_{B} T}$$
 (42)

The momentum susceptibility under the same approximation reads

$$= \frac{{}^{2} {}^{2}}{6 {}^{4} (k_{B} T)^{2}} Re^{0} \frac{1}{2} + i \frac{1}{2 k_{B} T} :$$
 (43)

In the absence of the m ism atch, = 0, we recover, with the aid of the formula $\frac{0}{2} = 14$ (3), the familiar parameters of the G inzburg-Landau free energy [19], [20],

$$m^{2}j_{i;5;6;7} = \frac{7 (3)g^{2}^{2}}{48^{4} (k_{B}T)^{2}};$$
(44)

$$m^{2}j_{B} = \frac{7 (3)g^{2}^{2}}{36^{4} (k_{B}T)^{2}} 1 + \frac{e^{2}}{3g^{2}}$$
 (45)

and

$$=\frac{7 (3)^{2}}{3^{4} (k_{\rm B} T)^{2}};$$
 (46)

The real part of the function $-\frac{00}{2} + i \frac{1}{2 \, k_B \, T}$) is positive for smallm is at that and changes its sign at $\frac{1}{2 \, k_B \, T}$ ' 0:3041. This is the critical value which signals the emergence of the chromom agnetic instability. Concurrently, the momentum susceptibility becomes negative signaling the LOFF instability.

The pairing tem perature for a m ism atched Ferm isea of electrons at given and q has been worked out and is given by the solution to the transcendental equation [16]

$$\ln \frac{T_{xq}}{T_0} = \frac{k_B T_{xq}}{q} \text{Im ln} \frac{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1-q}{2 k_B T_{xq}}}{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1-q}{2 k_B T_{xq}}}$$
 E 2 ln 2: (47)

The transition temperature for a given is the maximum of T_{xq} with respect to q. The small q expansion of T_{xq} reads

$$\ln \frac{T_{xq}}{T_{c}} = E 2 \ln 2 \quad Re \quad \frac{1}{2} + i \frac{1}{2 k_{B} T_{x0}} + \frac{q^{2}}{24 k_{B}^{2} T_{x0}^{2}} Re \quad \frac{1}{2} + i \frac{1}{2 k_{B} T_{x0}} +$$

$$(48)$$

The LOFF pairing prevails when the coe cient of q 2 becomes positive, which is exactly the point where the expression for the M eissner m asses changes sign. Upon substitution of the value $\frac{1}{2 \, k_B \, T_{-0}}$ ′ 0:3041, we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{100} = 0.6082;$$
 (49)

[16] which is the threshold value of the LOFF window along the transition line, where $_0$ is the gap at T = 0 in the absence of the m ism atch and $\frac{_0}{k_B\,T_0}$ = $_{E}$, following the standard BCS relation.

W hat we have considered so far is the response of the free energy of a 2SC or a g2SC to a virtual displacement of a small net momentum q in the direction of the simple LOFF pairing. The condition of the corresponding instability is the same as the chromom agnetic instability associated with the rotated 8-th gluon. The true minimum of the free energy with the LOFF pairing requires that

$$\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathsf{q}}\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{0} \tag{50}$$

and that $\frac{\theta^2 F}{\theta q_1 \theta q_2}$ is non negative. The existence of the solution has been demonstrated in [16] for an electronic system (though the gradient function (50) at T=0 m ay be discontinuous at the value of q when the branch of the gapped excitations at q=0 become gapless). It was found that the value of q of the solution away from the transition temperature becomes quickly comparable to the inverse coherence length at zero temperature. An important property of the minimum is that the electric current vanishes there and consequently there is no induced magnetic eld. For the color superconductor considered here, the condition (50) in plies the vanishing tadpole diagram, i.e.

$$\langle \quad \sim \quad \rangle = 0 : \tag{51}$$

What about color and electric currents? In order to answer this question, we write down the color-electric current in terms of the exact quark propagator at nite q and , i.e.

$$J_1 = k_B T^{X} \frac{d^3 p}{2^3} T r^{-1} S_q (P)$$
 (52)

w here

A gain, the isospin part of the charge operator contributes only a total derivative because of the relation

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{j}_{3}\operatorname{S}_{q}(P) = \frac{\mathrm{i}_{0}^{2}\operatorname{e}_{p}}{\operatorname{i}_{0}\operatorname{e}_{p}}\operatorname{ln}\operatorname{detS}_{q}(P)\dot{\mathsf{j}}_{3} + \frac{\mathrm{i}_{0}^{2}\operatorname{e}_{p}}{\operatorname{i}_{0}\operatorname{e}_{p}}\operatorname{ln}\operatorname{detS}_{q}(P)\dot{\mathsf{j}}_{3} = 1$$
 (54)

and m ay be om itted. The residual SU (2) U (1) sym m etry in plies that $\mathcal{J}_1 = 0$ (1 $\stackrel{\leftarrow}{\bullet}$ 8) for all q and

 $\mathcal{J}_8 = \frac{1}{6} \frac{q}{3g^2 + e^2} < \sim > = 0:$ (55)

for the value of q that satis es eq. (50. Therefore all currents vanish at the LOFF m inim um. W hile the chrom om agnetic instability associated with the 8th gluon has been rem oved, we need to check if the chrom om agnetic instability associated with the other color components vanishes as well.

In principle, there exist several directions that lead to a LOFF state corresponding to imaginary M eissner masses for dierent gluons, along which a virtual displacement lowers the free energy of the condensate. This amounts in replacing the transformation (22) by

$$(\mathbf{r}) = e^{i\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \quad (\mathbf{r}) \tag{56}$$

where T is the combination of the group generators that corresponds to the negative eigenvalue of the mass square matrix $(m^2)^{10}$. This is true both for the other gluons (l=4;5;6;7) of a 2SC superconductor and for the chrom om agnetic instability of a gCFL superconductor. It is not clear, however, if the condition (50) guarantees the vanishing of all the components of the color current. If not, the true minimum will be considerably more complicated than the LOFF state since a nonzero expectation value of the gluon eld will be induced.

Before concluding this paper, we would like to argue that the charge neutrality condition m ay be im plemented in the LOFF state as long as it can be implemented in the g2SC superconductor. The gap equation and the charge neutrality condition can be written as

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial a} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial a} = 0 \tag{57}$$

If we treat the second term of (25) perturbatively, the deform ation of the solution (,) to (57) from the one at q = 0 is of order 0 (q^2) provided that the determ inant

at q=0. The numerical solution of [5] indicates that the stationary point defined by (57) at q=0 and T=0 is a saddle point with respect to and , in which case the condition (58) is true. Therefore the impact of the charge neutrality condition on the free energy for a small nonzero q is of order $O(q^4)$ and as a result cannot compete with the q^2 term of (25). As q gradually increases from zero, eqs.(57) defines a charge neutral trajectory in the three dimensional parameter space of , and q that intersects with the plane of q=0 at the solution of Ref. [5]. Since the free energy is a contunuous function

and is bounded from below, there exists a m in im um at $q \in 0$ along the trajectory if or equivalently m 2_8 is negative.

In this letter, we have explored the relation between the newly discovered chrom omagnetic instability of a homogeneous color superconductor and the tendency towards a LOFF pairing by providing a net momentum to a Cooper pair to the free energy land-scape. Our procedure is similar to that of Wu and Yip for a nonrelativistic super uid [12]. We showed that whenever the square of the Meissner mass of the 8th gluon becomes negative there is a LOFF state with lower free energy. Further investigation is still required in order to decide whether this LOFF state represents a true equilibrium phase of the quark matter at moderate baryon density. Future work will concentrate on calculating the Meissner masses at the LOFF minimum, examining the elect of charge neutrality, and comparing the free energy of the LOFF minimum to the free energy of other exotic phases proposed in the literature. We hope to report on these issues in the near future.

A cknow ledgm ents

We would like to thank M. Haung and I. Shovkovy for discussions and to thank M. A lford for bringing the reference [15] to our attention. This work is supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy under grants DE-FG02-91ER40651-TASKB.

R eferences

- [1] For early works, see: D.Bailin and A.Love, Phys.Rept.107 (1984) 325, and references therein.
- [2] K. Rajagopal and F. Wilczek, in B.L. Io e Festschrift, At the Frontier of Particle Physics/Handbook of QCD, M. Shifm an ed., 2061 (World Scientic 2001); M. Alford, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 51 (2001) 131; T. Schafer, hep-ph/0304281; D. H. Rischke, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys., 52, 197 (2004); H.-c. Ren, hep-ph/0404074, M. Huang, hep-ph/0409167; I. Shovkovy, nucl-th/0410091 and references therein.
- [3] M.G.Alford, K.Rajagopaland F.Wilczek, Nucl. Phys. B537 (1999) 443.
- [4] M. G. Alford, J. A. Bowers and K. Rajagopal, Phys. Rev. D 63 (2001) 074016; A. K. Leibovich, K. Rajagopal and E. Shuster, Phys. Rev. D 64 (2001) 094005; I. Giannakis, J. T. Liu and H. C. Ren, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 031501; D. K. Hong, hep-ph0107017; R. Casalbuoni, G. Nardulli, Rev. Mod. Phys., 76, (2004) 263.
- [5] I. Shovkovy and M. Huang, Phys. Lett. B 564 (2003) 205; M. Huang and I. Shovkovy, Nucl. Phys. A 729 (2003) 835; A. Mishra and H. Mishra, Phys. Rev. D 69, (2004) 014014.
- [6] M. Huang, P. F. Zhuang and W. Q. Chao, Phys. Rev. D 67 (2003) 065015; Jinfeng Liao and Pengfei Zhuang, Phys. Rev. D 68 (2003) 114016.
- [7] M. Alford, C. Kouvaris and K. Rajagopal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92 (2004) 222001.

- [8] P.F.Bedaque, H. Caldas and G. Rupak, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91 (2003) 247002; H. Caldas, Phys. Rev. A 69 (2004) 063602.
- [9] K. Tida, T. Matsuura, M. Tachibana and T. Hatsuda, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93 (2004) 132001; B. Ruester, I. Shovkovy and D. Rischke, Nucl. Phys. A, 743, (2003) 127.
- [10] G. Sarma, Phys. Chem. Solid. 24 (1963) 1029.
- [11] W.V. Liu and F.W ilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90 (2003) 047002; E.G ubankova, W. V. Liu and F.W ilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91 (2003) 032001.
- [12] S. W u and S. Y ip, Phys. Rev. A 67 (2003) 053603.
- [13] M. Huang and I. Shovkovy "Screening masses in neutral two avor color super-conductor "hep-ph/0408268; M. Huang and I. Shovkovy, Phys. Rev. D 70 (2004) 051501 (R).
- [14] R. Casalbuoni, R. Gatto, M. Mannarelli, G. Nardulli and M. Raggieri "Meissner masses in the gCFL phase of QCD", hep-ph/0410401.
- [15] S. Rebby and G. Rupak, "Phase structure of 2- avor quark matter: heterogeneous superconductor" nucl-th/0405054.
- [16] S. Takada and T. Izuyama, Prog. Theor. Phys. 41 (1969) 635.
- [17] M. Huang, P. F. Zhuang and W. Q. Chao, Phys. Rev. D 65 (2002) 076012.
- [18] M. Alford, J. Berges and K. Rajagopal, Nucl. Phys. B571 (2000) 269; E. V. Gorbar, Phys. Rev. D 62 (2000) 014007.
- [19] K. Tida and G. Baym, Phys. Rev. D 63 (2001) 074018; K. Tida and G. Baym, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 014015.
- [20] I.G iannakis and H.-C.Ren, Phys. Rev. D 65 (2002) 054017; I.G iannakis and H.-C. Ren, Nucl. Phys. B 669, (2003) 462.