# G eneralized Parton D istributions in the Im pact Param eter Space with N on-zero Skewedness

D. Chakrabarti

Department of Physics, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL-32611-8440, USA

A. M ukherjee<sup>y</sup>

Instituut-Lorentz, University of Leiden, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands (Dated: November 18, 2021)

## Abstract

We investigate the generalized parton distributions (GPD s) with non-zero and ? for a relativistic spin-1=2 composite system, namely for an electron dressed with a photon, in light-front fram ework by expressing them in terms of overlaps of light-cone wave functions. The wave function provides a template for the quark spin-one diquark structure of the valence light cone wave function of the proton. We verify the inequalities among the GPD s with diment helicities and show the qualitative behaviour of the fermion and gauge boson GPD s in the impact parameter space.

E lectronic address: dipankar@physu .edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>y</sup>E lectronic address: asm ita@ lorentz.leidenuniv.nl

### I. IN TRODUCTION

Generalized parton distributions (GPD s) have attracted a considerable amount of theoretical and experimental attention recently. An interesting physical interpretation of GPD s has been obtained in [1, 2] by taking their Fourier transform with respect to the transverse m om entum transfer. W hen the longitudinal m om entum transfer = 0, this gives the distribution of partons in the nucleon in the transverse plane. They are called in pact param eter dependent parton distributions (ipdpdfs)  $q(x;b^2)$ . In fact they obey certain positivity constraints which justify their physical interpretation as probability densities. This interpretation holds in the in nite m om entum fram e (even the forward pdfs have a probabilistic interpretation only in this fram e) and there is no relativistic correction to this identi cation because in light-front form alism, as well as in the in nite momentum frame, the transverse boosts act like non-relativistic Galilean boosts. It is to be remembered that the GPDs, being o -forward matrix elements of light-front bilocal currents do not have a probabilistic interpretation, rather they have interpretation as probability amplitudes.  $q(x;b^2)$  is dened in a proton state with a sharp plus m on entum  $p^+$  and localized in the transverse plane such that the transverse center of m on entum  $R^{?} = 0$  (norm ally, one should work with a wave packet state which is very localized in transverse position space, in order to avoid the state to be normalized to a delta function [2, 3],  $q(x;b^2)$  gives simultaneous information about the longitudinalm om entum fraction x and the transverse distance b of the parton from the center of the proton and thus gives a new insight to the internal structure of the proton. The impact parameter space representation has also been extended to the spin-dependent GPDs [1] and chiralodd ones [4].

GPD s H<sub>q</sub>(x;0;t) have been investigated in the inpact parameter space in several approaches, for example in the transverse lattice form alism for the pion [5], in a two component (spectator) m odel [6] for the nucleon, in the chiral quark m odel for the pion [7] and using a power law wave function for the pion [8]. The spin- ip GPD E<sub>q</sub> has not been addressed in these. The connection of E<sub>q</sub> in the inpact parameter space and the Siver's e ect has been shown in [9] within the fram ework of the scalar diquark m odel of the proton. In a previous work [10], we have calculated both H (x;0;t) and E (x;0;t) in the inpact parameter space of the proton in QED. The state can be expanded in Fock space in term s of light-cone wave functions. The

GPD s are expressed as overlaps of light-cone wave functions [11]. The wave functions in this case can be obtained from perturbation theory, and thus their correlations are known at a certain order in the coupling constant. Their general form provides a tem plate for the e ective quark spin-one diquark structure of the valence light-cone wave function of the proton [12]. Such a model is self consistent and has been used to investigate the helicity structure of a composite relativistic system [12]. An interesting advantage is that the two-body Fock component contains a gauge boson as one of its constituents and so it is possible to investigate the gauge boson GPD s H<sub>g</sub> and E<sub>g</sub>. Studies of the deep inelastic scattering structure functions in this approach and for a dressed quark state also yield interesting results [13, 14].

So far we have discussed GPDs in in pact parameter space for = 0. However, deeply virtual Compton scattering experiments probe GPDs at nonzero . In this case, a Fourier transform with respect to the transverse m om entum transfer <sup>?</sup> is not enough to diagonalize the GPD s and thus giving a density interpretation. A s the longitudinal m om entum in the nal state is di erent from that in the initial state, the resulting matrix element would still be o -diagonal. Recently, certain reduced W igner distributions, when integrated over the transverse m om enta of the partons are shown to be the Fourier transform s of GPD s [15] and they can be interpreted as the 3D density in the rest fram e of the proton for the quarks with light cone m om entum fraction x. In fact, integration over the z coordinate relates them to the ipdpdfswith = 0. In  $\beta$  it has been shown that for nonzero, the Fourier transform of the GPD swith respect to <sup>?</sup> probes partons at transverse position b<sup>?</sup>, with the initial and nalprotons localized around  $0^{?}$  but shifted from each other by an amount of order  $\vec{b}$ . At the same time, the longitudinal momentum of the protons are specified. This difference of the transverse position of the protons depends on but not on x and thus this information should be present in the scattering am plitudes measurable in experiments where the GPDs enter through a convolution in x. This aspect makes it interesting to investigate the GPD s in the impact parameter space for nonzero . Here also, a useful approach is based on the overlap representation of GPD s in term s of light-cone wave functions [11]. The overlap representation can also be formulated directly in the impact parameter space, in terms of overlaps of light-cone wave functions  $(x;b^2)$ , which are the Fourier transform s of the wave functions with de nite transverse m om enta  $(x;k^2)$ .

Here, we calculate the GPD s H  $_{qrg}$  (x; ;t) and  $E_{qrg}$  (x; ;t) for an electron dressed with a photon in QED and we investigate them in the impact param –

3

eter space. The plan of the paper is as follows. The de nitions of the ferm ion and gauge boson GPDs are given in section II. The ferm ion and gauge boson GPDs are calculated respectively in section III and IV for a dressed electron state. The GPDs are expressed in the impact parameter space in section V. The issue of certain inequalities among the GPDs in the impact parameter space is addressed in section VI. The summary and discussions are given in section VII.

#### II. GENERALIZED PARTON DISTRIBUTIONS

The GPD s are de ned in term s of  $\circ$  -forward matrix elements of light-front bilocal currents. In the light-front gauge  $A^+ = 0$  we have,

$$F_{0}^{+q} = \frac{2}{8} \frac{dy}{8} e^{\frac{i}{2}xP^{+}y} hP^{00}j \left(\frac{y}{2}\right)^{+} \left(\frac{y}{2}\right) jP i$$

$$= \frac{1}{2P^{+}} U_{0}(P^{0})^{h} H_{q}(x; ;t)^{+} + E_{q}(x; ;t) \frac{i}{2M}^{+} U_{0}(P) + \dots (2.1)$$

$$F_{0}^{+q} = \frac{1}{8 xP^{+}}^{Z} dy e^{\frac{i}{2}P^{+}y} hP^{00}jF^{+} \left(\frac{y}{2}\right)F^{+} \left(\frac{y}{2}\right) jP i$$

$$= \frac{1}{2P^{+}} U \circ (P^{0})^{h} H_{g}(x; ;t)^{+} + E_{g}(x; ;t)^{\frac{1}{2M}} + U^{\frac{1}{2}} U^{\frac{1}{2}} + U^{\frac{1}{2}} U^{\frac{1}{2}} + U^{\frac{1}{2}} U^{\frac{1}{2}} + U^{\frac{1}{2}} U^{\frac{1}{2}} + U^{\frac{1}{2}} + U^{\frac{1}{2}} U^{\frac{1}{2}} + U^{\frac{1}{2}} U^{\frac{1}{2}} + U^{\frac{1}{2} + U^{\frac{1}{2}} + U^{\frac{1}$$

where the ellipses indicate higher twist terms. The momenta of the initial (nal) state is  $P(P^{0})$  and helicity ( $^{0}$ ). U (P) is the light-front spinor for the proton. The momentum transfer is given by  $= P^{0} P$ , skewedness  $= \frac{+}{2P^{+}}$ . The average momentum of the initial and nal state proton is  $P = \frac{P + P^{0}}{2}$ . We take the frame where  $P^{?} = 0$ . W ithout any loss of generality, we take > 0. t is the invariant momentum transfer in the process,  $t = -\frac{2}{2}$ . For simplicity we suppress the avor indices. Following [3] we dene

$$D^{?} = \frac{P^{0?}}{1} \qquad \frac{P^{?}}{1+} = \frac{?}{1-?}$$
 (2.3)

 $H_{qg}$  and  $E_{qg}$  are the twist two ferm ion and gauge boson GPD s. Using the light-cone spinors [16] we get

$$F_{++}^{+qxg} = F^{+qxg} = \frac{q}{1} \frac{1}{2} H_{qxg} (x; ;t) + \frac{2}{p} \frac{2}{1} E_{qxg} (x; ;t): \qquad (2.4)$$

$$F_{+}^{+q_{H}} = F_{+}^{+q_{H}} = \frac{\frac{1}{p} + i^{2}}{\frac{2M}{p} + i^{2}} E_{q_{H}}(x; ;t):$$
(2.5)

Note that  $E_{qg}$  appear both in helicity-ip and helicity non-ip parts. For = 0,  $H_{qg}$  correspond to nucleon helicity non-ip and  $E_{qg}$  correspond to the helicity ip part.

We take the state jP; i to be a dressed electron consisting of bare states of an electron and an electron plus a photon :

$$jP; i = {}_{1}b^{y}(P; ) j0i + {}_{x}^{Z} \frac{dk_{1}^{+}d^{2}k_{1}^{2}}{q (2 - 3)^{3}k_{1}^{+}} - \frac{dk_{2}^{+}d^{2}k_{2}^{2}}{q (2 - 3)^{3}k_{2}^{+}} - \frac{dk_{2}^{+}d^{2}k_{2}^{2}}{2 (2 - 3)^{3}k_{2}^{+}} - \frac{dk_{2}^{+}d^{2}k_{2}}{2 (2 - 3)^{3}k_{2}} - \frac{dk_{2}^{+}d^{2}k_{2}} - \frac{dk_{2}^{+}d^{2}k_{2}}{2 (2 - 3)^$$

Here  $a^y$  and  $b^y$  are bare photon and electron creation operators respectively and  $_1$  and  $_2$  are the multiparton wave functions. They are the probability amplitudes to nd one bare electron and one electron plus photon inside the dressed electron state respectively.

We introduce Jacobim on enta  $x_i q_i^2$  such that  $p_i x_i = 1$  and  $p_i q_i^2 = 0$ . They are dened as

$$x_{i} = \frac{k_{i}^{+}}{P^{+}}; \qquad q_{i}^{2} = k_{i}^{2} \qquad x_{i}P^{2}:$$
 (3.2)

A lso, we introduce the wave functions,

$$_{1} = _{1}; \qquad _{2} (x_{i}; q_{i}^{2}) = \stackrel{p}{\overline{P^{+}}}_{2} (k_{i}^{+}; k_{i}^{2}); \qquad (3.3)$$

which are independent of the total transverse m om entum  $P^{?}$  of the state and are boost invariant. The state is norm alized as,

$$h \mathbb{P}^{0}; \quad {}^{0} j \mathbb{P}; \quad i = 2 (2)^{3} \mathbb{P}^{+}; \quad {}^{0} (\mathbb{P}^{+} \mathbb{P}^{0^{+}})^{2} (\mathbb{P}^{?} \mathbb{P}^{0?}): \quad (3.4)$$

The two particle wave function depends on the helicities of the electron and photon. U sing the eigenvalue equation for the light-cone H am iltonian, this can be written as [13],

$$\frac{x(1 \times x)}{(q^{2})^{2} + m^{2}(1 \times x)^{2}} = \frac{x(1 \times x)}{(1 \times x)^{2}} = \frac{1}{(1 \times x)^{2}} = \frac{e}{2(2)^{3}} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{e}{1 \times x} + \frac{e}{1$$

m is the bare mass of the electron,  $\sim^2 = 1$  and  $\sim^1 = 2$ . 1 actually gives the norm alization of the state [13]:

$$j_{1}j_{2}^{2} = 1 \frac{2}{2} dx \frac{h_{1}^{2} + x^{2}}{1 x} \log \frac{2}{m^{2}(1 x)^{2}} \frac{1 + x^{2}}{1 x} + (1 x)^{i};$$
 (3.6)

within order . Here is a small cuto on x, the longitudinal momentum fraction carried by the ferm ion. We have taken the cuto of the transverse momenta to be  $^2$  [13]. This gives the large scale of the process. The above expression is derived using Eqs (3.4), (3.1) and (3.5).

The helicity non- ip part of the matrix element  $F_{++}^{+q}$  gives information about both  $H_q$ and  $E_q$ , as can be seen from (2.4). In terms of the wave function this can be written as,

$$F_{++}^{+q} = j_{1} j_{2}^{2} (1 x) + d^{2}q^{2} _{2+} (\frac{x}{1};q^{2} + (1 x)D^{2}) _{2+} (\frac{x+}{1+};q^{2}): (3.7)$$

We restrict ourselves to the DGLAP region 1 > x > . A swe are considering no antiparticles 0 < x < 1 in our case. It is known that in the ERBL region, < x < ... the GPD s are expressed as o -diagonal overlaps of light-cone wave functions involving higher Fock components [11].

The norm alization of the state,  $j_1 j_2^2$  given by eq. (3.6) gives another O () contribution. The q<sup>2</sup> integral in the above expression is divergent and can be performed using the same cuto s as discussed above. W e get, using Eq. (3.5),

$$F_{++}^{+q} = j_{1} j_{1}^{2} (1 - x) + \frac{e^{2}}{2(2 - )^{3}} j_{1} j_{1}^{2} q \frac{1}{(1 - x_{1})(1 - x_{2})}^{n} 2(1 + x_{1}x_{2})$$

$$\stackrel{h}{\log \left[\frac{2}{(1 - x)^{2}D_{2}^{2} + m^{2}(1 - x_{1})^{2}}\right] m^{2} (1 - x_{2})^{2} I^{\frac{1}{2}} + (1 + x_{1}x_{2})^{h} \log \left[\frac{2}{m^{2}(1 - x_{2})^{2}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\log \left[\frac{2}{(1 - x)^{2}D_{2}^{2} + m^{2}(1 - x_{1})^{2}}\right] (1 - x)^{2} D_{2}^{2} + m^{2} \left[(1 - x_{1})^{2} - (1 - x_{2})^{2}\right] I^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$+ 2m^{2} (1 - x_{1})^{2} (1 - x_{2})^{2} I^{\frac{2}{2}}; \qquad (3.8)$$

where  $I = {R \choose L_1 L_2} L_1 = (q^2 + (1 x)D^2)^2 + m^2(1 x_1)^2$  and  $L_2 = (q^2)^2 + m^2(1 x_2)^2$ ;  $x_1 = \frac{x}{1}, x_2 = \frac{x+}{1+}$ .

It is especially interesting to investigate (3.7) in the forward limit. For simplicity, we consider the massless case. W e get,

$$F_{++}^{+q}(\mathbf{x};0;0) = j_{1}j_{1}^{2}(1 + x) + d^{2}q_{2+}^{2}(\mathbf{x};q^{2}) = j_{1}(\mathbf{x};q^{2})$$
$$= j_{1}j_{1}^{2}(1 + x) + j_{1}j_{2}^{2}\frac{1 + x^{2}}{1 + x}\log^{\frac{2}{2}};$$
(3.9)

here is a scale, << .

The norm alization in this case gives,

$$j_{1}j_{2}^{2} = 1 \frac{z^{2}}{2} dx \frac{1+x^{2}}{1-x} \log \frac{z^{2}}{2}$$
 (3.10)

Thus we have,

$$F_{++}^{+q}(x;0;0) = (1 \quad x) + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{2^{h}}{2} \frac{1+x^{2}}{(1 \quad x)_{+}} + \frac{3}{2} (1 \quad x)^{i}$$
(3.11)

Here the plus prescription is de ned in the usual way. Now we know that in the forward lim it,  $H_q(x;0;0) = q(x)$  which is the (unpolarized) quark distribution of a given avor in the proton. From (2.4) we then get  $F_{++}^{+q}(x;0;0) = q(x)$ , so from (3.11) we get the splitting function for the leading order evolution of the ferm ion distribution

$$P_{qq}(x) = \frac{1+x^2}{1-x};$$
(3.12)

Note that the dependence is no longer there in Eq.  $\beta$ .11). This result is also obtained in [14] by calculating the structure function of a quark dressed with a gluon (here one would also get the color factor  $C_f$ ). In the nonforward case, one obtains the splitting function for the LO evolution of the GPD s [17]. Finally, it can be shown from (3.11) that

$$\int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dx H_{q}(x;0;0) = F_{1}(0) = 1; \qquad (3.13)$$

where  $F_1(0)$  is the D irac form factor at zero m om entum transfer.

Next we calculate the helicity ip matrix element

$$F_{+}^{+q} = \frac{Z}{8} \frac{dy}{8} e^{\frac{i}{2}xP^{+}y} hP^{0} + j \left(\frac{y}{2}\right)^{+} \left(\frac{y}{2}\right) jP \quad i: \qquad (3.14)$$

Contribution to (3.14) com es from the two-particle sector of the state. The mass cannot be neglected here. It can be written as

$$F_{+}^{+q} = {}^{Z} d^{2}q^{2} {}_{2+} (\frac{x}{1};q^{2} + (1 x)D^{2}) {}_{2} (\frac{x+1}{1+};q^{2}):$$
(3.15)

Using Eq. (3.5) we get,

$$F_{+}^{+q} = \frac{e^{2}}{(2)^{3}} (im)^{2} \frac{d^{2}q^{2}}{L_{1}L_{2}} q \frac{1}{(1-x_{1})(1-x_{2})}^{h} (iq^{1}+q^{2}) [x_{1}(1-x_{2})^{2} - x_{2}(1-x_{1})^{2}] + (iD^{1}+D^{2}) (1-x) x_{1} (1-x_{2})^{2} ;$$
(3.16)

The  $q^2$  integration can be performed either using the Feynman parameter method or by using

$$\frac{1}{A^{k}} = \frac{1}{(k)} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} d^{k} e^{A} : \qquad (3.17)$$

Here we use the latter method and we get,

$${}^{Z} d^{2}q^{2} \frac{(iq^{1} + q^{2})}{L_{1}L_{2}} = i \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} d \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} d \frac{(iq^{1} + q^{2})}{(iq^{2} + q^{2})^{2}} d \frac{(iq^{1} + q^{2})}{(i$$

where  $D_V^2 = D^1$  i  $D^2$ . We introduce the variables and y de ned as,

$$= + ; = y ; = (1 y) :$$
 (3.19)

The above integral can be written as,

The other integral can be done in a similar way ;

Substituting in Eq. (3.18) we obtain,

$$F_{+}^{+q} = \frac{e^{2}}{(2)^{3}} \quad (\text{im}) q \frac{(1 \ x)}{(1 \ x_{1})(1 \ x_{2})} \quad (\text{iD}^{1} \ D^{2})$$

$$\overset{Z_{-1}}{\underset{0}{\overset{1}{3}}} dy \frac{[x_{1}(1 \ x_{2})^{2} \ x_{2}(1 \ x_{1})^{2}](1 \ y) \ x_{1}(1 \ x_{2})^{2}}{y(1 \ y)(1 \ x)^{2}D_{2}^{2} + m^{2}[y(1 \ x_{2})^{2} + (1 \ y)(1 \ x_{1})^{2}]}; \quad (3.22)$$

In the forward limit, = 0,  $x_1 = x_2 = x_3D^2 = 0$  and we obtain, using Eq. (2.5)

$$E_{q}(x;0) = - \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dy \frac{x(1 - x)^{2}}{y(1 - x)^{2} + (1 - y)(1 - x)^{2}} = -x; \qquad (3.23)$$

which gives the Schwinger value for the anom abus magnetic moment of an electron in QED [10]:

$$\int_{0}^{Z_{1}} E_{q} dx = F_{2}(0) = \frac{1}{2} : \qquad (3.24)$$

# IV. GAUGE BOSON GPDS

W e calculate the helicity non- ip part of the gauge boson m atrix elem ent  $F_{++}^{+g}$  for the same state as before. This gives inform ation on the gauge boson GPD s H<sub>g</sub> and E<sub>g</sub>. Contribution

com es from the two-body wave function, which has one ferm ion and one gauge boson as constituents. This can be written as an overlap

$$F_{++}^{+g} = d^{2}q^{2} + (\frac{1 - x}{1};q^{2}) + (\frac{1 - x}{1+};q^{2} + (1 - x)D^{2}):$$
(4.1)

The  $q^2$  integral is divergent. The above can be calculated using (3.5) :

$$F_{++}^{+g} = \frac{e^{2}}{2(2)^{3}} q \frac{1}{(1-x_{1})(1-x_{2})} \frac{p \frac{1}{x^{2}-2n}}{x} 2(1+x_{1}x_{2})$$

$$h \log \left[\frac{2}{(1-x)^{2}D_{2}^{2} + m^{2}(1-x_{2})^{2}}\right] m^{2}(1-x_{1})^{2} \frac{1}{x} + (1+x_{1}x_{2})^{h} \log \left[\frac{2}{m^{2}(1-x_{1})^{2}}\right]$$

$$\log \left[\frac{2}{(1-x)^{2}D_{2}^{2} + m^{2}(1-x_{2})^{2}}\right] (1-x)^{2} D_{2}^{2} + m^{2} \left[(1-x_{2})^{2} - (1-x_{1})^{2}\right] \frac{1}{x}$$

$$+ 2m^{2}(1-x_{2})^{2} (1-x_{1})^{2} \frac{1}{x}; \qquad (4.2)$$

where  $I = {R \over L_1 L_2} {d^2 q^2 \over L_1 L_2}$ ,  $L_2 = (q^2 + (1 x)D^2)^2 + m^2(1 x_2)^2$  and  $L_1 = (q^2)^2 + m^2(1 x_1)^2$ ;  $x_1 = {1 \over 1}, x_2 = {1 \over 1_+}$ .

Like the quark case, it is again interesting to look at the forward lim it of the above expression. We get

$$F_{++}^{+g}(x;0;0) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{2}{2} \frac{1+(1-x)^2}{x}; \qquad (4.3)$$

Here we have neglected the electron m ass for sim plicity.  $F_{++}^{+g}$  (x;0;0) gives the (unpolarized) gluon distribution in the nucleon and the above expression gives the splitting function [14]

$$P_{gq}(x) = \frac{1 + (1 - x)^2}{x}; \qquad (4.4)$$

In the o -forward case, the splitting functions can be found using the same approach [17].

We now calculate the helicity ip gauge boson GPD E  $_{g}$  given in (2.2), for the same state. The matrix element is given by,

$$F_{+}^{+g} = \frac{1}{8 xP^{+}}^{Z} dy e^{\frac{i}{2}P^{+}y x}hP^{0} + jF^{+} (\frac{y}{2})F^{+} (\frac{y}{2}) jP i: (4.5)$$

Contribution com es from the two particle sector. As in the ferm ion case, the mass cannot be neglected here. This can be written as,

$$F_{+}^{+g} = {}^{2} d^{2}q^{2} {}_{2+} \left(\frac{1 x}{1};q^{2}\right) {}_{2} \left(\frac{1 x}{1+};q^{2}+(1 x)D^{2}\right):$$
(4.6)

Using Eq. (3.5) we get,

$$F_{+}^{+g} = \frac{e^{2}}{(2)^{3}} (\text{im}) \frac{p \overline{x^{2} - 2} h^{Z}}{x} d^{2}q^{2} \frac{\text{i}q_{V}^{2}}{L_{1}L_{2}} [x_{1} (1 - x_{2})^{2} - x_{2} (1 - x_{1})^{2}]$$

$$(1 - x)x_{2} (1 - x_{1})^{2} \text{i}D_{V}^{2} \frac{d^{2}q^{2}}{L_{1}L_{2}} :$$

$$(4.7)$$

The  $q^2$  integration can be performed in a similar way as for the fermions and we get,

$$F_{+}^{+g} = \frac{1}{2} m \frac{p \frac{1}{1} \frac{2}{x}}{x} D_{v}^{?} (1 x)$$

$$\int_{0}^{2} dy \frac{[x_{1} (1 x_{2})^{2} x_{2} (1 x_{1})^{2}](1 y) + x_{2} (1 x_{1})^{2}}{y (1 y) (1 x)^{2} D_{v}^{2} + m^{2} [y (1 x_{1})^{2} + (1 y) (1 x_{2})^{2}]}; \quad (4.8)$$

In the forward  $\lim it$ ,  $x_1 = x_2 = 1$  x and we get, in agreement with [10],

$$E_{g}(1 x; 0) = -\frac{(1 x)^{2}}{x};$$
 (4.9)

here  $E_g$  is given by Eq. (2.5). Note that x in the forward case is the momentum fraction of the gauge boson. The second moment of  $E_{qxg}(x;0)$ ;  $\operatorname{dxxE}_{qxg}(x;0)$  gives in units of  $\frac{1}{2m}$  by how much the transverse center of momentum of the parton q; g is shifted away from the origin in the transversely polarized state. W hen summed over all partons, the transverse center of momentum would still be at the origin. Indeed it is easy to check for a dressed electron [10]

$$\int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dx x E_{q}(x;0) + \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dx (1 x) E_{q}(x;0) = 0; \qquad (4.10)$$

which is due to the fact that the anom abus gravitom agnetic m om ent of the electron has to vanish [1]. Note that in the second term,  $(1 \ x)$  is the momentum fraction of the gauge boson.

## V. GPDS IN THE IMPACT PARAMETER SPACE

Fourier transform of the GPDs with respect to the transverse momentum transfer ?brings them to the impact parameter space. When the longitudinal momentum transfer = 0, this gives the density of partons with longitudinal momentum fraction x and transverse distance b from the center of the proton. For non-zero , the Fourier transform s are de ned as [3],

$$I_{++}^{+q_{H}}(\mathbf{x}; ; \mathbf{b}^{2}) = \frac{Z}{(2 )^{2}} e^{i D^{2} B \mathbf{b}^{2} \mathbf{b}^{2}} F_{++}^{+q_{H}}(\mathbf{x}; ; \mathbf{b}^{2})$$
$$= \frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{Z} d(D^{2})^{2} J_{0}(j D \; j j b \; j) (H_{q_{H}} \; \frac{Z}{1 \; Z^{2}} E_{q_{H}})$$
(5.1)

$$I_{+}^{+qg}(\mathbf{x}; ; \mathbf{b}^{2}) = \frac{Z}{(2 )^{2}} e^{i \mathbf{D}^{2} \cdot \mathbf{b}^{2}} F_{+}^{+qg}(\mathbf{x}; ; \mathbf{D}^{2})$$
$$= \frac{1}{4} \frac{b^{2} \cdot i b^{1}}{j b^{2} \cdot j} \int_{0}^{Z} d(\mathbf{D}^{2})^{2} J_{1}(j \mathbf{D}^{2}) j \mathbf{b}^{2} \frac{j \mathbf{D}^{2} j}{2m} E_{qg}; \qquad (5.2)$$

where  $J_0$  and  $J_1$  are Bessel functions and  $b^2$  is called the impact parameter. In order to avoid in nities in the intermediate steps, we take a wave packet state

$$\frac{d^2p^2}{16^{-3}} (p^2) jp^+; p^2; i;$$
(5.3)

which have a de nite plus m om entum. Following [3] we take a Gaussian wavepacket,

$$(p^{?}) = G(p^{?}; ^{2})$$
 (5.4)

where

$$G(p^{?}; ^{2}) = e^{\frac{(p^{?})^{2}}{2}}$$
: (5.5)

gives the width of the wave packet. It is the accuracy to which one can localize inform ation in the impact parameter space [3]. The states centered around  $b_0$  with an accuracy are normalized as,

$$hp^{0+};b^{02}; {}^{0}jp^{+};b^{2}; i = \frac{1}{16^{2}} \frac{1}{p^{+}} G (b^{02} b^{2};2^{2}) (p^{+} p^{0+}):$$
(5.6)

Fourier transform of the matrix elements in Eq. (5.1) and (5.2) with the Gaussian wave packet probe partons in the nucleon at transverse position b but when the initial and nal state protons are centered around 0 but shifted from each other by an amount of the order of jb j. In our case, they probe a bare electron or a photon in an electron dressed with a photon.

It is interesting to look at the qualitative behavior of the helicity- ip GPD s E<sub>q</sub> and E<sub>g</sub> in the impact parameter space. The contribution in this case comes purely from the two-body sector, that is it involves the wave function  $_2$  of the relativistic spin-1=2 system. The overlap is given in terms of the light cone wave functions whose orbital angular momentum di er by L<sub>z</sub> = 1 [12]. The scale dependence, as mentioned before, is suppressed here, unlike H<sub>q</sub> and H<sub>g</sub>. We use the notation

$$E_{q,g}(x; ;b^{2}) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} d(D^{2})^{2} J_{0}(jD \; jb) E_{q,g}; \qquad (5.7)$$

$$E_{qxg}^{1}(x; ; b^{2}) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} d(D^{2})^{2} J_{1}(jD \; jb \; j) \frac{jD \; j}{2m} E_{qxg}$$
(5.8)

which contribute to Eq. (5.1) and (5.2) respectively. Fig. 1(a) shows  $E_q$  vs  $b^2$  for xed = 0:1 and three di erent values of x > . We have plotted for positive  $\dot{B}$ . The functions are symmetrical in  $jb^2$  j. For all numerical studies, we have taken a G aussian wave packet.  $E_q$  is positive and has a maximum for  $jb^2 \neq 0$  and decreases smoothly with increasing  $jb^2$  j. For xed  $jb^2$  j.  $E_q$  is higher in magnitude for higher x. The qualitative behaviour for nonzero is the same as = 0. We have taken the normalization to  $be_2^- = 1$  and m = 0.5 MeV. Fig. 1 (b) shows  $E_q$  vs for xed  $\dot{B} = 0.1 \text{ MeV}^{-1}$  and three di erent values of x > .  $E_q$  is again a smooth function of and increases as increases for given  $\dot{B}$  and x. For xed ,  $E_q$  increases as x increases. We have plotted  $E_q^1$  in Fig. 1 (c) as a function of  $b^2$ for = 0.1 and three di erent values of x. The rise near  $b^2 = 0$  is much more sharp here than in Fig. 1(a).

In Fig. 2 (a) we have shown  $E_q(x; ;b^2)$  vs  $b^2$  for xed = 0:1 and for three di erent values of x.  $E_{q}$  is negative for positive b<sup>2</sup>. It has a negative maximum at b<sup>2</sup> = 0 and sm oothly decreases in m agnitude as b<sup>?</sup> increases. Again, the qualitative behaviour is the = 0. For a given  $\vec{b}$ ,  $E_q$  decreases in magnitude for increasing x. Fig. 2 (b) sam e as shows  $E_q$  as a function of x for xed b<sup>?</sup> = 0:1M eV<sup>1</sup> and three di erent values of  $< x \cdot E_q$ vanishes at x = 1. For x = 0, it also vanishes at x = 0. For y = 0, E increases in magnitude increases. The curves cannot be continued for x < as there will be contribution as from the higher Fock components in this region. Fig. 2 (c) shows  $E_{\alpha}^{1}$  vs b<sup>?</sup> for = 0:1 and three di erent values of x. The qualitative behaviour is the same as in (a), again the rise near  $b^2 = 0$  is much sharp like the ferm ion case. For large  $b^2$ ,  $E_q^1$  behaves in the same way independent of x. For completeness, in Fig. 3 (a) and 3 (b) we show  $H_q(x;0;t)$  and H<sub>g</sub>(x;0;t) in the impact parameter space, H<sub>g</sub>(x;b<sup>2</sup>) and H<sub>g</sub>(x;b<sup>2</sup>) respectively for a denite scale = 5 GeV. The width of the Gaussian is = 0:1. Both of them are smooth functions of b<sup>2</sup>, increases as jb<sup>2</sup> jdecreases. We have om itted the very small b<sup>2</sup> region in order to show the resolution of the di erent curves at higher  $b^2$ . For a given  $b^2$ , H<sub>q</sub>(x;  $b^2$ ) increases as x becomes closer to 1 and at x ! 1 it becomes a delta function [10] which can be seen analytically. H  $_{g}$  (x; b<sup>2</sup>) on the other hand, decreases in m agnitude for a given b<sup>2</sup> as x goes closer to 1.

#### VI. IN EQUALITIES

GPD s in the impact parameter space obey certain inequalities, which impose severe constraints on phenom enological models of GPD s. The most general form s of these inequalities were derived in [18] from positivity constraints. The spin ip GPD s  $E_{qxy}(x;b^2)$  de ned as the Fourier transform of  $E_{qxy}(x;b^2)$  for = 0 obey two inequalities given in [19], both of them can be shown to hold for a dressed electron state. For non-zero , a general inequality can be derived [3],

$$(1 \quad {}^{2})^{3} j I_{0}^{q,g}(x; ;b)^{2} j \int_{1}^{q,g} (\frac{x}{1}; 0; \frac{b^{2}}{1}) I_{++}^{q,g}(\frac{x+1}{1}; 0; \frac{b^{2}}{1+}); \qquad (6.1)$$

for x 1 and for any combinations of helicities <sup>0</sup>; . For <sup>0</sup>  $\notin$  , the inequality is easy to prove, as there are large logarithm is contribution to  $I_{++}^{q,q}$  from the scale dependent part. For <sup>0</sup> = , the inequality is non-trivial and can be veriled numerically. Fig. 4 shows the lhs and rhs of Eq. (6.1) vs b<sup>2</sup> for the ferm ions for two dimensions of the scale = 7 GeV and = 5 GeV. The scale dependence comes entirely from H q. In the plot  $x_1 = \frac{x}{1}$ ;  $x_2 = \frac{x+}{1}$ ;  $b_1 = \frac{b^2}{1}$  and  $b_2 = \frac{b^2}{1+}$ . We have taken = 0:1 and x = 0.5 and = 0:1.

## VII. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSIONS

In this work, we have investigated the GPDs for an elective spin-1=2 composite relativistic system, namely for an electron dressed with a photon in QED. It is known [12] that the light-cone wave function of this two-body state gives a tem plate for the elective quark spin-one diquark structure of the proton light cone wave function, which provides the phenom enological relevance of our study. The GPDs are expressed as overlaps of the light-cone wave functions, which in this case are known order by order in perturbation theory. We keep both the skewedness and the transverse momentum transfer <sup>?</sup> non-zero, which is relevant for deeply virtual C ompton scattering experiments to probe the GPDs. Fourier transform with respect to the transverse momentum transfer brings the GPD s to the im pact parameter space. We showed that the GPDs for the elective state obey the necessary inequalities and investigated the qualitative behaviour of the ferm ion and the gauge boson GPDs in the impact parameter space. VIII. ACKNOW LEDGMENT

We thank M.D iehl and P.Pobylitsa for fruitful discussions and correspondence. The work of DC was partially supported by the D epartment of Energy under G rant No. DE - FG 02-97ER-41029 and the work of AM has been supported by FOM, Netherlands.

- [1] M.Burkardt, Int. Jour. M od. Phys. A 18, 173 (2003).
- M. Burkardt, Phys. Rev. D 62, 071503 (2000), Erratum ibid, D 66, 119903 (2002); J.P.
   Ralston and B.Pire, Phys. Rev. D 66, 111501 (2002).
- [3] M. Diehl, Eur. Phys. Jour. C 25, 223 (2002).
- [4] M.Diehland P.Hagler, hep-ph/0504075, M.Burkardt, hep-ph/0505189.
- [5] S.Dalley, Phys. Lett. B 570, 191 (2003).
- [6] S.Liuti, S.K. Taneja, Phys. Rev. D 70, 074019 (2004).
- [7] W. Bronioski, E. R. Arriola, Phys. Lett. B 574, 57 (2003).
- [8] A. Mukherjee, I. V. Musatov, H. C. Pauli and A. V. Radyushkin, Phys. Rev D 67, 073014 (2003).
- [9] M.Burkardt and D.S.Hwang, Phys. Rev. D 69, 074032 (2004).
- [10] D.Chakrabartiand A.Mukherjee, Phys. Rev D 71, 014038 (2005).
- [11] S.J.Brodsky, M.Diehl, D.S.Hwang, Nucl. Phys. B 596, 99 (2001); M.Diehl, T.Feldmann,
   R.Jacob, P.K roll, Nucl. Phys. B 596, 33 (2001), Erratum ibid 605, 647 (2001).
- [12] S.J.Brodsky, D.S.Hwang, B-Q.Ma, ISchmidt, Nucl. Phys. B 593, 311 (2001).
- [13] A. Harindranath, R. Kundu, A. Mukherjee, J. P. Vary, Phys. Rev. D 58, 114022 (1998),
  A. Mukherjee and D. Chakrabarti, Phys. Lett. B 506, 283 (2001), A. Harindranath and R.
  Kundu, Phys. Rev. D 59, 116013 (1999), A. Harindranath, A. Mukherjee, R. Ratabole, Phys.
  Lett. B 476 471 (2000); Phys. Rev. D 63, 045006 (2001).
- [14] A.Harindranath, R.Kundu, W.M.Zhang, Phys. Rev D 59,094013.
- [15] X.Ji, Phys.Rev.Lett. 91, 062001 (2003).
- [16] A. Harindranath, An Introduction to the Light Front Dynamics for Pedestrians in Lightfront Quantization and Non-perturbative QCD, Ed. J. P. Vary and F. Wolz, published by International Institute of Theoretical and Applied Physics, Ames, Iowa, USA (1997).

- [17] A.Mukherjee and M.Vanderhaeghen, Phys. Rev. D 67, 085020 (2003), Phys. Lett. B 542, 245 (2002).
- [18] P.V. Pobylitsa, Phys. Rev. D 66, 094002 (2002).
- [19] M.Burkardt, Phys. Lett. B 582, 151 (2004).



FIG.1: (a)  $E_q vsb^2$  for = 0:1, (b)  $E_q vs$  for  $b^2 = 0:1M eV^{-1}$ , (c)  $E_q^1 vsb^2$  for = 0:1 and three di erent values of x. We have taken = 0:001.





FIG.2: (a)  $E_g vs b^2$  for = 0:1 and three di erent values of x. (b)  $E_g vs x$  for  $b^2 = 0:1 \text{ M eV}^{-1}$ and three di erent values of . (c)  $E_g^1 vs b^2 w$  ith = 0:1 and three di erent values of x. We have taken = 0:001.



FIG.3: (a)  $H_q(x;b^2) vs b^2$ , (b)  $H_g(x;b^2) vs b^2$  for three diement values of x. is given in MeV.



FIG.4: Inequality for  $I_{++}$ . is given in M eV.H ere  $x_1 = \frac{x}{1}$ ,  $b_1 = \frac{b^2}{1}$ ,  $x_2 = \frac{x^+}{1^+}$ ,  $b_2 = \frac{b^2}{1^+}$ .