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#### Abstract

W e com pute the energy of the ground state and a low lying excitation of the ghonic eld in the presence of static quark -anti-quark (qq) sources. W e show that for separation betw een the sources less then a few fin the ghonic ground state of the static qq system can be welldescribed in term sofa $m$ ean eld $w$ ave functionalw ith the excited states corresponding to a single quasi-particle excitation of the ghon eld. W e also discuss the role ofm any particle excitations relevant for large separation betw een sources.


PACS num bers: 11.10E f, $12.38 \mathrm{~A} w, 12.38 . \mathrm{C} y, 12.38 \mathrm{Lg}$
K eyw ords:

## I. INTRODUCTION

$R$ ecent lattice sim ulations lead to $m$ any new theoret con nem ent m In the quenched approxim ation aspects oI con nem entem erge from studies of the gluonic spectrum produced by static color sources. In the follow ing we w ill focus on the pure ghon dynam ics (the role of dynam ical quarks in the screening on ning gluonic strings has recently been studied in

Lattige studies indicate that $w$ ith relative separations betw een two color sources, $R>1 \rightarrow \wedge_{\text {? }}$, the ground state energy obeys Casim ir scaling $\quad$ This $m$ eans that the spectrum of ghon m odes generated by static color sources depends on the dim ension of the color representation of the sources rather than on the N -ality of the representation (which is related to the transform ation propert a representation with respect to the group center) For exam ple, for two sources in the fundam ental representation, lattioe com putations show, as expected, that energy grow s linearly w ith the separation betw een the sources. H ow ever, also for sources in the adjoint representation ( w ith N -ality of zero), lattice produces a linearly rising potential, even though for vanishing N -ality screening is expected to saturate the potential. Screening com es from the production of ghon pairs (glueballs) which vanishes in the lim it of a large num ber of colors. C asim ir scaling is thus telling us that there is, at least in the energy range relevant for hadronic phenom enology, a sim ple, universal (souroe independent) description of the con ning string.

The lattioe spectrum of ghoonic $m$ odes generated by sources in the fundam ental representation, i.e., a static qua iquark (qq) pair, has been extensively studied in $\quad$ The ground state energy, which as a function of the qq separation is w ell represented by the C ornell, "C oulomb+ linear" potential and the spectrum of excited ghonic m odes have been com puted. The excited ghonic m odes lead to excited adiabatic potentials betw een the sources in the sense of the B om-O ppenheim er approxim ation w ith the quark souroes and ghonic eld corresponding to the slow and fast degrees of freedom,
respectively The gluonic wave functional of these $m$ odes can oe classi ed analogously to that of a diatom ic molecule. The good quantum numbers are: $=0() ; 1() ; 2() ; \quad$ which give the total gluon spin projection along the qq axis, $\mathrm{PC}=+1(\mathrm{~g})$; 1 (u) which correspond to the product of gluon parity and charge con jugation, and $Y=1$ which describes parity under re ection in a plane containing the qq axis. The ground state corresponds to $\underset{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{Y}}=\stackrel{+}{+}$. T he lattice calculations show that the rst excited state has the u symmetry (for $0, Y=1$ states are degenerate) and thus has PC = 1 .

The lattice spectrum of g cinations is well reproduced by the bag $m$ ode. The crucial feature of the $m$ odel that $m$ akes tnis possible is the boundary condition, which requires the longitudinalcom ponent of the chrom o-electric and transverse com ponents of the chrom ofm agnetic eld of the free gluon inside the cavity to vanish at the boundary of the bag. This results in the TE m ode with pseudo-vector, $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{P}}{ }^{\mathrm{C}}=1^{+}$; , quantum num bers having the lowest energy, which leads to the $u$ adiabatic potentialbeing the lightest from am ong the excited ghonic states in the qq system. T. - other $m$ odel, the non-relativistic ux tube model , the PC $=+1$ quantum num bers of the low -lying glluon $m$ ode result from associating a negative parity and a positive charge con jugation to the low est order transverse phonon (unlike that of a vector eld). This also results in the u quantum numbers for the rst excited adiabatic potential. Finally in a QCD based quasi-particle picture the intrinsic quantum num bers of the quas: are, $J^{P} ; C=1$; ,that of a transverse vector elo . If the rst excited adiabatic potentialbetw een qq souroes is associated w ith a single quasi-ghon excitation and this quasi-ghon interacts via norm al two-body forces with the sources, then, one expects the quasi-ghon ground state w ave function to be in an orbitals wave, which, in tum, leads to the net P C $=+1$ and the $g$ sym metry for this state. $T^{1-}$ is in contradiction $w$ ith the lattice data as noted in $\quad$ The bag $m$ odel and the ux tube $m$ odel give the rignt ordering of the spectrum of low lying gluonic excitations, even though they are based on
very di erent $m$ icroscopic representations of the ghonic degrees of freedom.

There are indications from lattice sim ulations of various gauge $m$ odels that the adiabatic potentials approach that of the ux tube, or better strin spectrum for qq separations larger then $R>3$ how ever, the situation for QCD is far less clear in particular, for large separations betw een the sources the splitting between nearby string excitations is expected to fall o as / $=\mathrm{R}$. The lattice results indicate, how ever, that the spacing betw een the adiabatic potentials is close to constant. At distances $R<0: 2 \mathrm{fm}$ the ux tube model becom es inadequate while QCD is expected to become applicable. For exam ple as R ! 0, the C oulom b potential between the quark and the anti-quark in the color octet is repulsive, and, indeed, the results of lattice calculations do seem to have that trend. The bag model attem pts to combine the perturbative and long range, collective dynam ics by using a free ed theory inside a spherically sym $m$ etric bag and deform ing the bag to a string like shape as the separation betw een the souroes increases. A self consistent treatm ent of bag and ghon degrees of freedom is, how ever, lacking.

A nother modelwhich aim s at relating the string-like excitations at large qq separations $w$ th the $\sim n$ huon degrees of freedc the gluon chain $m$ ode- and versions thereof . The model is based on the assum ption that as tne separation betw een the sources increases pairs of constituent ghons are created to screen the charges in such a way that the Fock space is dom inated by a state w ith a num ber of constituent gluons, which grow sw ith the qq separation. Recently, support for the ghon chain $m$ odel cam e furnice studies of Coulombenergy of the qq pair A s show $n$ in
at xed $R$, C oulomb energy bounas the true exact (trom W ilson line) energy from above. The C oulom b energy is de ned as the expectation value of the $C$ oulom $b$ potential in a state obtained by adding the qq pair to the exact ground state of the vacuum, i.e., w ithout taking into account vacuum polarization by the sources. The addition of sources changes the vacuum wave functional by creating constituent gluons as described by the ghon chain $m$ odel.

In this paper we discuss the structure of the qq state in term $s$ of physical, transverse ghon degrees of freedom. In particular, we focus on the im portance of constituent ghons in describing the excited adiabatic potentials. For sim plicity and to $m$ ake our argum ents clearer, we concentrate on excited adiabatic potentials of single, $\underset{\mathrm{PC}}{\mathrm{Y}}=+{ }_{\mathrm{g}}$, symmetry. A description of the com plete spectrum of excited potentials will be presented in a follow ing paper. O urmain nding here is that a description based on a single (few) constituent ghon excitation is valid up to $R$ few fm , w ith the gluon chain tuming in, m ost likely, at asym ptotically large qq separations. C onsequently, we show how the ghon chain m odel can em erge in the basis of transverse gluon Fock space.

In Section IIw e review the C oulom b gauge form ulation
ofQ CD and introduce the Fock space of quasi-gluons. In Section IIIw e review the com putation of the ground state and the excited ${ }_{9}^{+}$potentials. There we also discuss the role ofm ulti-particle Fock sectors and a schem atic m odel of the ghon chain. A sum mary and outlook are given in Section IV .

## II. COULOMB GAUGEQCD

In the Coulomb gauge gluons have only physical degrees of freedom. For all color components a $=$ 1; $\quad{ }_{C}^{2} ; N \quad 1$ the gauge condition, $r \quad A^{a}(x)=$ 0 , elim inates the longitudinal degrees of freedom and the scalar potential, $A^{0 ;}$, becom es depend on the transverse com ponents through G auss's law . The canonical $m$ omenta, ${ }^{a}(x)$, satisfy $\left[{ }_{i}^{a}(x) ; A_{j}(y)\right]=$ iab ${ }_{T}^{i j}(r)^{3}(x \quad y)$ where ${ }_{T}^{i j}(r)=i j \quad r^{i} r{ }^{j}=r^{2}$; in the Shrodinger representation, the $m$ om enta are given by
${ }^{a}(x)=i=A^{a}(x)$. $M$ ore discussion of the topological properties of the furn ental dom ain of the gauge variables can be found in . The fiull Yang $M$ ills ( $\mathrm{Y} M$ ) H am iltonian w ith ghons coupled to static qq sources in the fiundam ental representation is given by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}_{0}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Qg}_{\mathrm{g}}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Q}_{Q}} ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{0}$ is the $Y M$ Ham iltonian containing the kinetic term and interactions betw een transverse ghons. The -...-7cit form of the YM H am iltonian, $\mathrm{H}_{0}$, can be found in

The coupling betw een qq sources and the transverse gMons, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{g}$, is explicitly given by,

$$
\left.H_{Q g}=Z^{Z} d x d y \underset{Q}{a}(x) K \mathbb{A}\right](x ; a ; y ; b)^{b}(y) ;
$$

where $e=h^{y}(x) T^{a} h(x) \quad{ }^{y}(x) T{ }^{a} \quad(x)$ is the colordensity of the sources $w$ th $h$ and representing the static quark and anti-quark annihilation operators, respectively; $=f_{\text {abc }} J^{1}{ }^{b}(x) J \quad A^{c}(x)$ is the ghon charge density operator and $K$ is the non-abelian $C$ oulom $b$ kernel,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K \mathbb{A}](x ; a ; y ; b)={\frac{g^{2}}{4}}^{Z} d z \frac{(1 \quad)^{2}(x ; a ; z ; b)}{\dot{j} y j} ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th them atrix elem ents of given by ( $1 \quad$ ) $(x ; a ; y ; b)=$ $a^{3}{ }^{3}(x \quad y) \quad g f_{a c b} r_{y}\left(1=\dot{x} \quad y \supset A^{c}(y)\right.$. The FaddeevPopov ( $F P$ ) operator, ( 1 ), determ ines the curvature of the gauge $m$ anifold speci ed by the FP determ inant, $J=\operatorname{det}(1 \quad)$. Finally, the interaction betw een the heavy souroes, $\mathrm{H}_{Q Q}$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{Q Q}=\frac{1}{2}^{Z} d x d y{ }_{Q}^{a}(x) K[A](x ; a ; y ; b){ }_{Q}^{b}(y): \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Coulomb kemel is a complicated function of the transverse ghon eld. W hen $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Qg}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{QQ}}$ are expanded in powers of the coupling constant, $g$, they lead
to an in nite series of term s proportional to pow ers of A. The FP determ inant also introduces additional interactions. All these interactions involving gluons in the Coulomb potential are responsible for binding constituent ghons to the quark sources.

## A. Fock space basis

The problem at hand is to nd the spectrum of $H$ for a system containing a qq par,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H} R ; \mathrm{R} i=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{R}) \mathrm{R} ; \mathrm{N} \mathrm{i}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the Shrodinger representation, the eigenstates can be w ritten as,
$\left.R ; N i=D\left[A^{a}(x)\right] J[A] \underset{i j}{N} \mathbb{A}^{a}(x)\right] j \frac{R}{2} z ; i ; \frac{R}{2} z ; j ; A i ;$
w ith

$$
\frac{R}{2} \hat{z} ; i \quad \frac{R}{2} \hat{z} ; j ; A i=h_{i}^{y}\left(\frac{R}{2} z\right){ }_{j}^{y}\left(\frac{R}{2} z\right) z A i
$$

describing a state containing a quark at position $R \hat{z}=2$ and color $i$ and an anti-quark at position $R \hat{z}=2$ and color j. We keep quark spin degrees of freedom im plicit since, for static quarks, the H am iltonian is spinindependent. The eigenenergies, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathbb{R})$, correspond to the adiabatic potentials discussed in Section I w ith N labeling consecutive excitations and spin-parity, ${ }_{P}^{Y}$, quantum num bers of the gluons in the static qq state.

The vacuum w thout sources, denoted by j0i, in the Shrodinger representation is given by,

$$
\left.\left.j 0 i=\quad D\left[\mathbb{A}^{a}(x)\right] J \mathbb{A}\right] \circ \mathbb{A}^{a}(x)\right] \neq A
$$

and satis es $\mathrm{H}_{0} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {vac }} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{i}$.
The eigenenergies, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathbb{R})$, in Eq
contain contributions from disconnected diagram s which sum up to the energy of the vacuum, $\mathrm{E}_{\text {vac }}$. In the follow ing, we will focus on the di erence, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{R})$ ! $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathbb{R}) \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{vac}}$, and ignore disconnected contributions in the $m$ atrix elem ents of H.

Instead of using the Shrodinger representation, it is convenient like ghons These are de ned in the standard way, as excilations built from a gaussian (harm onic oscillator) ground state. Regardless of the choice of param eters of such a gaussian ground state, the set of all quasi-particle excitations form s a com plete basis. W e w ill optim ize this basis by m inim izing the expectation value of the $H$ am iltonian in such a gaussian ground state. We will then use this variational state to represent the physical vacuum and use it in place of joi and
$0[\mathbb{A}]$. The unnorm alized variational wave functional is given by, $\quad 0[A]=h A$ joi,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0[A]=\exp \quad \frac{1}{2}^{Z} \frac{d k}{(2)^{3}} A^{a}(k)!(k) A^{a}(k) ; \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A^{a}(k)={ }^{R} d x \exp \left(\begin{array}{ll}\text { ik } & x) A^{a}(x) \text { and the gap func- }\end{array}\right.$ tion, ! ( $k \gg)$ plays the role of the variation param eter. T he com putation of the expecta value of $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ in 0 given above, was described in Ref In the follow ing we w ill sum $m$ arize the $m$ ain points.

The expectation value of $\mathrm{hO} \mathrm{H}_{0} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{Oi}$ can be written in term soffunctionalintegrals overD $\left.\mathbb{A}^{a}(x)\right]$ w th them easure $J \mathbb{A}]$. The fiunctionals to be integrated are products of $H_{0}=H_{0}(; A)$ and the wave functionalj $\left.0 \mathbb{A}\right] ?$. For exam ple the contribution to $\mathrm{hO} \mathrm{H}_{0} \mathrm{jOi}$ from the $\mathrm{g}=0 \mathrm{com}-$ ponent of the transverse chrom o-m agnetic eld density, $\left.h B^{2} i=h 0 j d x B^{a}(x)\right]^{2} j 0 i=h 0-j 0 i$, is given by,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{hB}^{2} i & =\mathrm{ZAJ} A]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{E} \\
\left.A^{a}(x)\right]^{2} \frac{\left.{ }_{0}^{2} \mathbb{A}\right]}{h 0-j \mathrm{i}} \\
\end{array}\right. \\
& =\mathrm{N} \frac{\mathrm{dk}}{(2)^{3}} \frac{\mathrm{k}^{2}}{2(\mathrm{k} \mathrm{~J})} ; \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $N=2 \quad\left(N_{C}^{2} \quad 1\right) \quad V$ counts the total (in nite) num ber of ghon degrees of freedom in volum e $V$ and is the instantaneous gluon-gluon correlation function,

Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
D A J\left[A \not A^{a}(p) A^{b}(q) \frac{\left.{ }_{0}^{2} \mathbb{A}\right]}{h 0 j D i}=\frac{a b}{2(p j)}(2)^{3}(p+q):\right. \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the ${ }^{1-1}$ ! , becom es equal to the gap function! Evaluation of functional integralc over non-gaussian aistributions, like the one in Eq. for $J \& 1$ can be perform ed to the leading order in $N_{C}$ by sum $m$ ing allplanar diagram $s$. This produces a set of coupled integral (Dyson) equations for functions like (p). The D yson equations contain, in general, UV divergencies. To illustrate how renorm alization takes place, let us consider expectation value of the inverse of the FP operator,

$$
\operatorname{abd}(x \quad y) \quad \begin{align*}
& \mathrm{x}  \tag{12}\\
& \mathrm{DAJ} \mathbb{A} \lg (1 \quad)^{1}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{b}) \frac{\left.{ }_{0}^{2} \mathbb{A}\right]}{\mathrm{h} 0-\mathrm{j} i}:
\end{align*}
$$

From translational invariance of the vacuum, it follow s that the integral depends on $x \quad y$ and the $D$ yson equation ford becom es sirple in $m$ om entum space. De ning, $d(x \quad y)!d(p)=d x \exp (i k \quad x) d(x)$, one obtains, ( $p=j p j$ etc. $)$,

$$
\left.\frac{1}{d(p)}=\frac{1}{g()} \quad \frac{N_{C}}{2} \quad \frac{d q}{(2)^{3}} \frac{\left(\begin{array}{lll}
( & \hat{q} & \hat{p} \tag{13}
\end{array}\right)}{(\dot{p}} \quad q\right) q^{2} d(q):
$$

As expected from asym ptotic freedom, for laron mo$m$ enta, $(k)=k!1+O(\log k)$; the integral in Eq com es divergent as q! 1, and we need to introduce an UV cuto . The cut-o dependence can, how ever, be re$m$ oved by renom alizing the coupling constant $g!g()$. The nalequation ford(p), renorm alized at 2 nite scale , is obtained by subtracting from Eq. the same equation evaluated at $p=$.

O ne also nds that the expectation value of $(1 \quad)^{2}$, which enters in the Coulomb kemel, K $\mathbb{A}$ ], requires a
m ultiplicative renom alization. We de ne the C oulom b potentialas,
Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
D A J \llbracket \mathbb{K} \mathbb{A}](x ; a ; y ; b) \frac{\left.2_{0}^{2} \mathbb{A}\right]}{h 0 j 0 i} \quad a b V_{C}(x \quad y) ; \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and introduce a function $f$ by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{C}(k)=d^{Z} d x e^{i k} x_{V_{C}}(x) \quad \frac{f(k) d^{2}(k)}{k^{2}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his function then satis es a renorm alized D yson equation,

$$
\begin{align*}
f(k) & =f()+ \\
& +\frac{N_{C}}{2} \frac{d q}{(2)^{3}} \frac{(1 \hat{q} \hat{p}) d^{2}(q) f(q)}{(\dot{p} q) q^{2}} \quad(k!): \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

$F$ inally, the bare gap equation, $\quad\left[\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}_{0} \mathrm{j} 0 \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{h} 0-\mathrm{j} \mathrm{i} j=!(\mathrm{k})=\right.$ 0 , contains a quadratic divergence proportional to ${ }^{2}$. $T$ his divergence is elim inated by a single relevant operator from the regularized $H$ am iltenian, the ghon $m$ ass term, which is proportionalto $2^{R} d x A^{a}(x)$. The renor$m$ alized gap equation determ ines the gap function $!(k)$, and it depends on a single dim ensional subtraction constant, ! ( ).

T he functions described above com pletely specify the variational ground state, and the com plete Fock space basis can be constructed by applying to this variational ground state quasi-particle creation operators, a;y (k; ), de ned by,

$$
\begin{align*}
A^{a}(x)= & \frac{Z}{(2)^{3}} p \frac{1}{2!(k)}\left[^{a}(k ;)(k ;)\right. \\
& +{ }^{a ; y}(k ;)(k ;) e^{i k x} ; \\
{ }^{\mathrm{Z}}(\mathrm{x})= & i \frac{d k}{(2)^{3}} \frac{!(k)}{2}\left[^{a}(k ;)(k ;)\right. \\
& { }^{a ; y}(k ;)(k ;) e^{i k x}: \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Here represent helicity vectors $w$ ith $=1$. This Fock space and the corresponding $H$ am iltonian $m$ atrix elem ents depend on four param eters (renrom alization constants), ! ( ), d( ), f( ) and one constant needed to regulate the FP determ inant. The FP determ inant enters into the $D y s o n$ equation for ( $k$ ).

In principle, if the entire Fock space is used in building the H am iltonian $m$ atrix and no approxim ations are $m$ ade in diagonalization, the physical spectrum willdepend on the single param eter of the theory i.e the renom alized coupling (ord( ), cfEq . In practical calculations, the Fock space is truncated and this $m$ ay introduce other renorm alization constants. G oodness of a particular hasis, for exam ple the one built on the state given in Eq can be assessed by studying sensitivity of physicalobservables to these residual param eters.

For exam ple, if we de ne the running coupling as, (k) $f(k) d^{2}(k)$, so that $V_{C}(k)=\frac{4(k)}{k^{2}}$, we will nd that for large (k) / $\left(1=\log ^{c}(k)\right)[1+O(1=\log (k))]$ where c 1:5 while in fullQ CD the leading log has pow er c = 1. I ne discrepancy arises because we used the single Fock state, j0i in de nition of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}$ (and ). This om 斗s, for exam ple, the contribution from the two-ghon Fock state, as show $n$ in $F$ ic $T$ his tw o ghon interm ediate state clearly im pacts the short range b-ion of the C oulom b interaction, but, as discussed in it is not expected to a ect the long range part (partially because the low m om entum ins develop a large constituent m ass). Sim ilarly, in the role of the FP determ inant has been analyzed, and it was shown that it does not $m$ ake a quantitative di erence leading to (p) ! (p).

This is in contrast, however, to the results of
W e think this discrepancy originater the di erence in the boundary conditions which in lead to $f(k)=$ 1. This $m$ akes possible for the gap equation to have a solution for ! ( $k$ ) which rises at low $m$ om enta. If $f(k)$ 1 and, in particular, if $f(k)$ grow $s$ as $k!0$, which is necessary if $V_{C}(R)$ is to grow linearly for large $R$, we nd that! (k) has to be nite ask! 0. A m ore quantitative com parison is curr being pursued. W e also note that im ulations are consistent $w$ th the results of

In the follow ing, we will thus set $J=1$, which $m$ akes
$=!$, and the solutions for $f(k), d(k)$ and ! $k$ ) found in Ref

F inally, we want to ctross that the C oulom b potential, de ned in Eqs. gives the energy expectation value in the staron vacuum of Eqs. i.e,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hqqł भqqi }=C_{F} V_{C}(\mathbb{R}) \quad C_{F} V_{C}(0) \text {; } \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}(0)$ originating from selfenergies, and

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { Mqi } & =\mathrm{R} ; \mathrm{N}=0 ;{ }_{\mathrm{g}}^{+} \mathrm{i} \\
& =\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{C}}} h^{\mathrm{y}} \frac{\mathrm{R}}{2} \hat{z} \text { y } \quad \frac{R}{2} \hat{z} \frac{j 0 i}{\mathrm{~h} 0 \mathrm{j} 0 \mathrm{i}}: \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

The state $R ; N=0 ; ~{ }_{g}^{+}$i refers the the ground state $(\mathbb{N}=0) \mathrm{w}$ ith spin-partiy quantum numbers ${\underset{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{C}}}_{\mathrm{Y}}=$ 0()$_{g}^{+}$. The energy $C-V_{C}(R)$ should be distinguished from $E_{0}(R)$ in Eq. The latter is evaluated using the true ground state of the qq system while the form er is evaluated in a state obtained by sim ply adding a qq pair to the vacuum. Since a qq pair is expected to polarize the ghon distribution ,these two states are di omat. Furtherm ore, in this work, the jqi state in Eq. is obtained by adding the qq pair to the variational state of the vacuum and not to the true vacuum state in the absence of sources.


F IG . 1: The O $\left(\mathrm{g}^{4}\right)$, one loop diagram s contributing to the leading log term in the expansion of the -function in YM theory w ith heavy sources. a) anti-screening dressing of the C oulom b potential by transverse ghons, b) D ebye screening of the C oulom b potentialby transverse ghons. The C oulom b potential is represented by the dashed line, and sources by thick lines.
B. Fitting the C oulom b P otential

A s discussed above, the C oulom b energy, $C_{F} V_{C}(R)$, represents the expectation value fothe $H$ am iltonian in a particular qq state (given in Eq. , which is not the sam $e$ as the true eigenstate of the $r$ am iltonian for the qq system as de ned in Eq. T he latter has energy $E_{0}(\mathbb{R})$.

A ccording to $\quad C_{F} V_{C}(R)>E_{0}(R)$ and num erical results in firther indicate that for large $R$, $C_{F} V_{C}(R) \quad C R$ and $E_{0}(R) \quad R$ with the $C$ oulom b string tension, $c$ ing approxim ately three tim es larger then . In we, however, tted $d(), f()$ and ! ( ) so that $C_{F} V_{C}(\mathbb{R})!E_{0}(\mathbb{R})$, and a num ber of phenom enologim been successfiul $w$ ith those param eters

It should be noted, how ever, that the resulis from for $C_{F} V_{C}(R) m$ ay not directly apply to our analysis since the qq state used here to de ne $V_{C}(R) m$ ay be di erent from the one used in lattice com putations of $V_{C}(R)$. Guided by the successes of the phenom enological applications of our approach we proceed w ith tting $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{R})$ to $\mathrm{E}_{0}(\mathrm{R})$ Tt is clear, however, that since the qq state of Eq . is a $V$ onal state, $C_{F} V(R)$ should be greater than $E_{0}(R) \quad W$ e will nevertheless proceed with the approxim ation $C_{F} V_{C}(R)=E_{0}(R)$ and exam ine the consequences afterw ards.

In we have found that the num erical solutions to the set of coupled D yson equations for $d(k), f(k)$ and ! (k) can be well represented by,

$$
\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{k})=\begin{array}{ccl}
8  \tag{20}\\
< & 3: 5 \frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{g}}}{\mathrm{k}} 0: 48 \\
: 3: 5 & \frac{\log (2: 41)}{\log \left(\mathrm{k}^{2}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{g}}^{2}+1: 41\right)}
\end{array} \quad 0: 4 \mathrm{for} \mathrm{k}<\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{g}}
$$



FIG . 2: C om parison betw een $V(R)=C_{F} V_{C}(r)$ fror (solid line) and $V(R)=E_{0}(R)$ lattice data from $1=450 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}{ }^{1}$ ).

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{k})=\begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{c}
8 \\
<
\end{array} & 1: 41 \frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{g}}}{\mathrm{k}} 0: 97 \\
1: 41 & \frac{\log (1: 82)}{\log \left(\mathrm{k}^{2}=\mathrm{m}{ }_{\mathrm{g}}^{2}+0: 82\right)} \quad 0: 62 & \text { for } \mathrm{k}<\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{g}} \\
\text { for } \mathrm{k}>\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{g}} ;
\end{array} \\
\qquad \begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{m} \\
\mathrm{~m} & \text { for } \mathrm{k}<\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{g}} \\
\text { for } \mathrm{k}>\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{g}}:
\end{array} \tag{22}
\end{gather*}
$$

The param eter $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{g}}=650 \mathrm{M}$ eV e ectively represents the constituent ghon $m$ ass. It should be notiged, how ever, that ! (k) is the gap function and not the single quasiparticle energy. This energy, denoted by $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}(\mathrm{k})$ is given by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{g}(k)=!(k) 1 \quad \frac{N_{C}}{2} \quad \frac{d q}{(2)^{3}} V_{C}(k \quad q) \frac{1+\hat{k} \quad \hat{q}}{2!(q)}: \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $V_{C}(k)=f(k) d^{2}(k)=k^{2}$, which for sm all $k$ grow $s$ faster then $\mathrm{k}^{3}$, the integralin $<\mathrm{Eq}$ is divergent. This $\mathbb{R}$ divergence is a $m$ anifestation of the long range nature of the con ning C oulomb potential which rem oves single, colored excitations from the spectrum. As w ill be explicit in the exam ples studied later, residual interactions betw een colored constituents in color neutralstates cancel such divergencies and result in a nite spectrum for color neutral states. In the follow ing analysis, we w illalso need the C oulom b potential in coordinate space. W e nd it practical to approxim ate the num ericalFT of $V_{C}(k \quad q)$ by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{C}(r)=\text { br } \quad \overline{r \log ^{c}\left[(r)^{1}+a\right]^{\prime}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $\mathrm{b}=0: 20 \mathrm{GeV}^{2},=0: 83, \quad=0: 63 \mathrm{GeV}, \mathrm{a}=1: 24$ and $c=1: 51$. C om parison betw een $C_{F} V_{C}(R)$ and $\left.F, \mathbb{R}^{\prime}\right)$ obtained from lattice com putations is show $n$ in $F$ io

W e now proceed to the m ain sub ject of this paper, nam ely to investigate the di erence betw een $E_{0}(\mathbb{R})$ com puted using the single Fock space approxim ation to the qq state (i.e w ithout m odin ation of the ghon distribution) and the solution of Eq. which accounts form odi cations in the ghion distrioution in the vacuum in presence of qq sources. W e w ill also com pute the rst excited potentialw ith the ${ }_{g}^{+}$sym m etry.

## III. AD IA BATIC POTENTIALS

To diagonalize the fill H am iltonian in the Fock space described above, in principle, requires an in nite num ber of states. In the zeroth-order approxim ation, $\mathrm{E}_{0}(\mathbb{R})=$ $C_{F} V_{C}(R)$, a single state $w$ ith no <quasi-gluons was used. At vanishing qq separation, we expect the $w$ ave function of the system to be identical to that of the vacuum, and the approxim ation becom es exact. O ne also expects that the average num ber of cuaci-ghon excitations in the full $w$ ave functional of Eq. increases with the qq separation. W e thus start by exam ining the approxim ation based on adding a single quasi-ghon and truncate the H am iltonian m atrix to a space containing jqqi and jqqgi states,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { hqqHi qqi hqqłi jqqi jqi }
\end{aligned}
$$

The jqqi state is given in Eq. In the quasi-particle representation the state with a single gluon and ${ }_{\mathrm{P}}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{C}$ quantum num bers, jqqgi $=-\mathcal{R} ; \mathrm{n} ; \underset{\mathrm{P} C}{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{i}$ is given by,
for 0 and,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{l}
R ; N ; O_{Y}^{P C} i= \\
Z
\end{array} \\
& \text { Z } \\
& \frac{d k}{(2)^{3}} D_{0}^{j_{g}}(\hat{k}){\underset{N}{j}(k) \quad-R ; k ; ~ i ; ~}_{j_{g}} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

for $=0$ ( potentials) where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R ; k ; \quad i=h^{y} \quad \frac{R}{2} z \quad y(k ;)^{y} \quad \frac{R}{2} z \quad \frac{-0 i}{h 0 j 0 i} ; \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$


 and b) represent ghon and quark self energies, respectively. D iagram sc) and d) represent the Coulomb interaction, $V_{C}$ betw een the ghon and one of the quarks and betw een the tw o quarks, respectively. In the bottom row, diagram se) and f) describe $m$ atrix elem ents of the interaction term resulting from expansion of the $C$ oulomb kemel $K[A]$ in up to one power in gluon eld.
and ${ }^{y}={ }^{a ; y} \mathrm{~T}^{a}$. In Eqs. $\quad j_{g}$ is the total angular $m$ om entum of the quasloguon. For vanishing separation betw een the quarks, the system has full rotational sym m etry, and $j_{g}$ becom es a good quantum num ber. In general, the system is invariant only under rotations around the qq axis. It is only the projection of the totalangularm om entum, , that is conserved and states w ith di erent $j_{g}$ becom em ixed. The wave function represents the tw o possibilities for the spin-oribt coupling of given parity, $\left(j_{g}=L_{g}\right.$ or $\left.\dot{p}_{g}=L_{g} \quad 1\right)$. It is given by
$=2$ for $=1$ and $=\overline{2}$ for $=1$, corresponding to TM (natural parity) and TE (unnatural parity) ghons, respectively. Finally $y$ determ ines the behavior under re ections in the plane containing the qq axis, i.e., the $Y$-parity.

T he radialw ave functions, ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{j} g}(\mathrm{k})$, labeled by the radial quantum num ber $N$ and $j_{g}$, are obtained by diagonalizing the filll H am iltonian in the Fock space spanned by the qqg states alone, i.e by solving the equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { P H P } \nrightarrow ; N ; \quad \underset{P}{Y} C i=V_{C ; N}^{q q g}(R) \not R ; N ; \underset{P C}{Y} i: \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere $P$ projects on the jqggi state and $V_{C ; N}^{q q g}(R)$ are the bare energies of the excited adiabatic potentials, i.e., w ithoutm ixing betw een states $w$ ith a di erent num ber of quasi-gluons. A nalogously, $C_{F} V_{C}(R)$ is the bare ground state energy $F,(R)$. The $m$ atrix elem ents of P H P are shown in F iq and given explicitly in the A ppendix.

The $m$ ixing $m$ atrix elem ent,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hqqłH jqqgi }=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{qq} ; q q g}(\mathrm{R}) \text {; } \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

depends on the num ber ofbare, qqg states from Eq kept, $\mathrm{N}=1$; $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and the separation between the


FIG.4: Them atrix elem ent hR $\ddagger \mathfrak{H} ; \mathrm{k}$; i. It originates from expansion of the Coulom b kemelK [A] to rst order in A
sources, $R$. It is show $n$ in $F$ ig and given in the $A p-$ pendix.

The $\left.N x_{x}+1\right) \quad\left(N_{m a x}+1\right) H$ am iltonian $m$ atrix show $n$ in Eq. is explicitly given by,


IV . NUMERICALRESULTS

In term sof and , the PC and $Y$ quantum numbers of the ghonic eld are given by,

$$
P C=(1)^{j_{g}+1} ; Y=\quad \begin{align*}
& Y(1) \text { for } \notin 0  \tag{32}\\
& \text { for }=0
\end{align*}:
$$

In the follow ing, we w ill concentrate on the states w ith
$=0, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{g}(+)$ and $\mathrm{Y}=+$, i.e., of $\stackrel{+}{g}$ symmetry, since $i t$ is only these states that $m$ ix the bare jqi state w ith the states $w$ th non-vanishing num ber of ghons.

For the ${ }_{g}^{+}$potentials, the wave function contains TM ghoons, $=1$ of naturalparity and $\mathrm{PC}=+1$ which im plies $j_{g}=1 ; 3 ; \quad$. As discussed above, for $R$ ! Q, $j$ becom es a good quantum num ber, and we have veri ed num erically that for $R$ in the range considered here the contributions from $j_{g}=3$ and higher are at a level of a few peroent. D iagonalization of the $H$ am iltonian in the qqg subspace alone. leads to the $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{qqg}}(\mathbb{R})$ potentialw hich is shown in F ig (upper solid line) for the low est excitation $w$ ith $N=1$. The dashed line is the result of 11 cing the one-and tw o-body interactions depicted in Fig. $\quad$ d. in Eq . These are also the interactions tions show $n$ in $F$ io I are added, the energy $m$ oves up. This discrepancy is tnen also a m easure of how far our variational, truncated Fock space expansion is from the true excited state. T he three-body potential is expected


FIG.5: C om parison betw een $V(R)=C_{F} V_{C}(r)$ from (solid line) and the $V(\mathrm{R})=\mathrm{E}_{0}(\mathrm{R})$ lattice data from $1=450 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}{ }^{1}$ ).
to be responsible for reversing the ordering betw een the $u$ and $g$ surfaces; with only one- and two-body interactions, the $g$ potential has lower eneman $u$, which is inconsistent $w$ th the lattice data In the A ppendix, we also show that the three-body term is suppressed at large separations, and thus the net potential approaches the C asim ir scaling $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{bR}$ lim it as R ! 1 . $F$ inally, we note that w hen the Fock space is restrioted to sinchasi-gluon excitations, the diagram $s$ in $F$ if nd $F$ ic epresent the com plete set of H am iltonian m a atrix elem ents.

The general features of higher excitations, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{qqg}}(\mathrm{R})$ for $\mathrm{N}>1$, follow from the structure of the H am iltonian, which represents a one-body Schrodinger equation for the single quasi-ghon wave function in m om entum space. The kinctic energy corresponds to the one-body diagram in F ig and the potential to the diagram s in $F$ ig e,f. I ne diagram $s$ in $F$ igs ,d give an $R$ dependent shift describing the qq self-interactions and qq octet potential. The $\mathbb{R}$ singularity in the gluon kinetic energy, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$, is canceled by the collinear singularity of the tw o-body potential, the qq selfenergy and qq octet potential. On average, ghon kinetic energy contributes an effective quasi-gluon $m$ ass of the order ofm $g \cdot Q$ uasi-gluon are thus heavy, and adding Fock space com ponents, w ith
 in higher adiabatic potentials with $(\mathbb{N}=2 ; 3$ ) that are split from the rst excited state by $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{m} \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{g}}$. At large R , the two-body C oulom b potential dom inates and together w ith Coulombenergies of the pair-w ise gluon interactions, results in the C asim ir scaling (we w ill discuss this in $m$ ore detail in the follow ing section). In the absence of $m$ ixing between Fock space com ponents the num ber of quasi-particle gluons in the jq; $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{g}}$ gi state is conserved, and they directly m ap in to the tow er of excited adiabatic potentials.


FIG.6: C om parison betw een $V(R)=C_{F} V_{C}(r)$ from (solid line) and the $V(R)=E_{0}(R)$ lattice data from $1=450 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}{ }^{1}$ ).

W ew ill now address the e ects ofm ixing betw een jqi and jqgi statoc $T$ he only non-vanishing diagram is show in F ic $\quad$ Since, as discussed above, the $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{qqg}}$;N $(R)$ potentials are split from the rst excited ctate, $\mathrm{N}=1$, by at least $m_{g}$, the $m$ ixing $m$ atrix in Eq. quickly, and in practice, only the $\mathrm{N}=1$ state is relevant. H ow ever, even this singla ctate $m$ ixing leads to a very sm allenergy shift. In F ic the dashed line corresponds to the energy of the grounc ctate $w$ ithout $m$ ixing, (the sam e as the solid line in $F$ id the e ect of $m$ ixing. The e ect of the $m$ ixing is $s m a l l$. N um erically, we nd that the full ground state,
is still dom inated by the jqi com ponent and the rst excited state,
by the jqgi com ponent. The probabilities of each are shown in $F$ ig $W$ e see that, for distances betw een sources as large as 5 fm , the adm ixture of the ghon com ponent is only of the order of $10 \%$.

This sm all adm ixture of the jqgigi in the full ground state is correlated with the sm all shift in the ${ }_{g}^{+}$surface shown in F ig and would justify using the ground state, exact ${ }_{9}^{+}$energy to constrain the C oulom b potential This is, however, contradicting the results of Ref where the e ect ofm ixing $m$ ust be large since it resulits in a factor of three in the ratio of the unm ixed to m ixed string tensions. O ne possible explanation is that there is an accidental suppression of the $m$ ixing interaction $m$ atrix elem ent for the tw o ctates considered here, jqqi and jqqgi. Inspecting Eq. we note that due to the gradient coupling of the transverse ghon to the C oulom b line, the coupling vanishes both for sm all and


FIG . 7: N orm alized probability of nding the bare jqi state in the filllground state of the $\dot{\mu q} ; \mathrm{N}=0 i$ ( w hich is also equal to the probability of nding the jqqgi state in the rst excited jq; $\mathrm{N}=1$ i state).


FIG.8: M atrix elem ents hqqH jqq;2gi leading to the jq; 2gi com ponent in the ground state ${ }_{g}^{+}$potential, a) interaction $m$ ediated via the Coulom b line coupled to quark sources, b) interaction betw een a single quark and the gluon charge density.
large-R. In contrast, a tw o gluon state can be coupled to jqi w ith either the $C$ oulombline $m$ ediated interaction as shown in Fig or the mrark density-gluon density interaction snown in Fig . As discussed in the A ppendix, at large distances the form er is suppressed and it is easy to show that the latter is proportional to $C_{F} V_{C}(x \quad R=2)+C_{F} V_{C}(x+R=2)$ (once the ghon spin is neglected) and persists at large distances. In the large$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}} \lim$ it $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}=2\left(1+\mathrm{O}\left(1=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)\right)$. It is therefore possible that the jqq;2gi com ponent of the full jqq; $\mathrm{N}=0 i$ state is actually $m$ ore im portant then the ragi one. We will investigate this further in sectiol


F IG . 9: Typical diagram s contribution to $m$ ixing betw een $n$ and $m$ ghon states. Vertical dots represent any num ber of gluons not a ected by the interaction. a) $m$ ixing $m$ ediated by the C oulom b potential, b) same as in a) w ith rearrangem ent of ghons, c) long-range C oulom b interaction betw een ghon charge densities, d) sam e as in c) but w ith the charge density of the quark sources.
A. M ulti-gluons states and the chain model

As shown above, the quasi-gluon degrees of freedom de ned in term $s$ of a variational quasi-partiche vacuum provide an attractive basis for describing ghon excitations. This is in the sense that for source separations relevant for phenom enology the color singlet states can be e ectively classi ed in term $s$ of the num ber of quasighons. This basis, how ever, does overestim ate the energies (as expected in a variationalapproach), and this fact together w ith lessons from otherm odels can give us guid-
where we have also forced all ghons to be on the qq axis. The factor $N_{n_{g}}=\left(n_{g}!C_{F} N_{C} R\right)^{1=2}$ is, to leading order in $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}$ xed by the nom alization condition, hqg; $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{g} \dot{\mathrm{g} q} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{g}}^{0} \mathrm{gi}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{g}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{g}}^{0}$, where we used $\left[\left(x_{i}\right) ;{ }^{y}\left(x_{j}\right)\right]=i j$. In this basis, the diagonalm atrix elem ents of the H am iltonian (cf. F iq d) add up to

The o -diagonal $m$ atrix elem ents are dom inated by interactions betw een color charges, e.g., sim ilar to the ones in $F$ is , but w ith the upper vertex attached to gluon line. W Ith the approxim ations leading to Eq a vertex which either annihilates or creates tw o ghions results in a vanishing $m$ atrix elem ent since in our basis no two ghons are at the sam e point. Sm earing each gluon in the coordinate space by a distance of the order of $1=m \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{w}$ ill
ance for how to im prove on the variationalstate of the qq system. A s the separation betw een quarks increases one expects the average num ber ofghons in the energy eigenstate to increase. This is because it becom es energetically favorable to add a constituent ghon which e ectively screens the qq charge. Furthem ore, the spacial distribution of these ghons is expected to be concentrated near the qq axis in order for the energy distribution to be that of a ux tube, as $m$ easured by the lattide. A $n$ im prove$m$ ent in the ansatz $w$ ave functional $w$ ill therefore result in a m ore com plicated Fock space decom position w th a large num ber of quasi-ghons present, even at relatively sm all separations betw een the sources. In this section we will rst discuss how multi-ghon states indeed becom e im portant, even in the case of the quasi-ghion basis used here. W e then compare with expectations from other $m$ odels and discuss the possible directions for im proving the quasi-ghon basis.

A s discussed in the A ppendix, at large separations the interactions betw een m ulti-ghuon Fock states m ediated by the C oulom b potential, show $n$ in F in b , require all but tw o ghons to be at relative separations sm aller than R. Furthem ore, rearrangem ent of gluons leads to $1=N_{c}$ suppression. For large $R$, the largest diagonal $m$ atrix elem ents of $H$ are the ones corresponding to the longrange C oulom h interaction betw een charge densities as show $n$ in $F$ ig d. To leading order in $N_{C}$, the gluons should be patn-order along the qq axis. For sim plicity, we w illneglect the ghon spin and use a single w ave function to represent a state $w$ ith an arbitrary num ber of ghons. W e w rite

give a nite m atrix elem ent, which just like the diagonal $m$ atrix elem ents grow s linearly w ith R ,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{g}}}^{0} & = \\
& \text { hqq; } \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{H}} \dot{\operatorname{qqq}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{g}}^{0} \mathrm{gi}  \tag{37}\\
& !\quad \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{bR} \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{g}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{g}}^{0}+2+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{g}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{g}}^{0}+2
\end{align*}
$$

where is a param eter representing the e ect of a sm earing, and we expect $j j<O(1)$. In addition, each ghon has a kinetic energy of the order of $m \mathrm{~g}$, so $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{nn}}$ ! $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{nn}}+\mathrm{nm} \mathrm{g}$. Them odelH am iltonian can be easily diagonalized num erically, and in $F$ in we plot the energy of the ground state and of the rst excited state as a function of R. It is clear that in the absence of accidental spin suppression, which, as discussed earlier, takes place for the hqqiH jqgim ixing $m$ atrix, the ect of the $m$ ixing $w$ ith two and m ore gluons can produce shifts in the low est


FIG. 10: Shift in the ground state ${ }_{g}^{+}$energy due to couplinc with mati-gluon states of the model H am iltonian of Eqs $\quad$ Them axim um num ber of states $w$ as taken to be $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{g} ; \mathrm{m} \text { ax }}=40$. The other param eters are $\mathrm{b}=0.21 \mathrm{GeV}{ }^{2}$ and $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{g}}=0: 65 \mathrm{GeV}$.


F IG. 11: A verage num ber of quasi-gh-
fill eigenstate of the $m$ odel H am iltonian of Eqs .
adiabatic potential and decrease the C oulom b string tension by asmuch aca factor of 2 at $\mathrm{R} \quad 3 r_{0}=2: 6 \mathrm{fm}$. $F$ inally, in $F$ ig ve plot the average num ber of gluons in the ground state of the m odel H am iltonian. As expected, the num ber of ghons grow s w ith $R$; how ever, still a sm all num ber of quasi-gluons contributes to the ground state at these separations, which again provides justi cation for the quasi-ghon description.

## V. SUMMARYAND OUTLOOK

W e com puted the ground state energy and the energy of the rst excited qq potentialw th the $\underset{\mathrm{P} C}{\mathrm{Y}}={ }_{\mathrm{g}}^{+}$sym -
$m$ etry. W e used the quasi-particle basis of constituent ghuons based on a variational ground state to build the Fock space representaion. W e found that the qq state can be well approxim ated by a supenposition of the bare qq state and a few quasi-ghons. T he exact com putation in which the bare $q q$ sate $m$ ixes $w$ ith a state containing a single quasi-gluon leads to negligible change in the enengy of the bare ( C oulom b) qq system . W e found that this is due to an accidental sm all $m$ ixing $m$ atrix elem ent of the C oulom b gauge H am ittonian. W e have discussed the general properties of the $m$ ixing $m$ atrix betw een states w ith an arbitrary num ber of ghons, and using a sim ple approxim ation, we have found a good agreem ent w ith the lattice data. T he lattioe data indicates that there is a change in slo etw een the C oulomb and the true, W ilson potentia , B ased on the representation used here, we interpret this in term s ofquasi-ghon excitations rather than in term $s$ of a ux-tube-like degrees of freedom. W e also note that lattice data on splitting betw een severalexcited qq states does not unam biguously shc string-like behavior for separation as large as 23 fm
In fact, the splittings are alm ost constant, although w ny lattice data has such a behavior is not complent understood (including a possible system atic error) In fact this data is consistent w ith the quasi-ghon plcture w here each quasi-particle adds kinetic energy of the order of the e ective ghion $m$ ass. T he fillexcitation spectrum as wellas distribution ofenergy density is currently being investigated.
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## VII. APPEND IX

Here we list $m$ atrix elem ents of the $H$ am iltonian in the basis spanned by jqqi $=\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{N}=0 ; \underset{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{i}$ i and
 in the $\underset{\mathrm{P} C}{\mathrm{Y}}=\stackrel{+}{\mathrm{g}}$ con guration. T hus m ixing m atrix elem ents are non-vanishing for jqgi with $\underset{\mathrm{g}}{+}$ spin-parity quantum num bers only.

For each $j_{g}$, the $w$ ave functions $N ; j_{g}(k)$ are expanded in a com plete orthonm albasis of functions $m ; j_{g}(k)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
N ; j_{g}(k)=\sum_{m=1}^{m_{X} a x} a_{N ; j_{g}}^{m} m ; j_{g}(k) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith norm alization,
$\mathrm{R}_{\frac{\mathrm{dkk}}{(2)}}$ ${ }^{m}{ }^{0}, m \quad j_{g}^{0} ; j_{g}$. The expansion coe cients are com puted by diagonalizing the $\left(m_{m a x} j_{g ; m}\right.$ ax $) \quad\left(m_{m a x} j_{g ; m}\right.$ ax $) m$ atrix,
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{Fig}_{\text {ig }}$; obtained by evaluating the diagram s in
F ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{3}=\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{~b}}+\quad{ }_{3}+ \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

evaluated in the basis of functions $m ; j_{g}$. In num erical com putations for each $j_{g}$, we used a $m$ om entum grid as the basis functions. The num ericalreciltapresented were for a single $j_{g}$ determ ined from Eq. after verifying that increasing $j_{g}$ changes the com pured spectrum by at most a few percent. For arbitrary $\underset{P}{Y}$ C the H am iltonian $m$ atrix elem ents are given by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{3 a}=\frac{j_{g}^{0} ; j_{g}}{2} \frac{Z}{(2)^{3}} m^{0} ; j_{g}(k) E_{g}(k) m_{i j_{g}}(k) ; \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}}{2} \mathrm{X}_{; 0 ; ~ ; ~ 0 ;}^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{dq}}{(2)^{3}}{ }^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{dk}}{(2)^{3}} \mathrm{~m}_{0 ; j_{g}^{0}}(\mathrm{q}) \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $Y$ and related to fis $_{\text {a }}$ and $\underset{P C}{Y}$ through Eq

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{3 d}=\quad \frac{1}{2 N_{C}} V_{C} \underset{Z}{(R)} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{Z}}^{0} ; \mathrm{m} \quad j_{g}^{0} ; j_{g} \\
& =4 \frac{1}{2 N_{C}} \frac{\mathrm{dkk}}{}_{(2)^{3}}^{(k)} V_{C}(\mathrm{k})(\mathrm{Rk}) \mathrm{m}_{0}^{0} ; \mathrm{m} \quad j_{g}^{0} ; j_{g}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{3 e}=X_{Z}^{Z} \frac{d k}{(2)^{3}} \frac{d p}{(2)^{3}} \frac{d q}{(2)^{3}} \frac{m^{0} ; j_{g}^{0}(p)}{2!(p)} p^{m ; j_{g}(k)} \frac{2!(k)}{2} \\
& \text { Z } \\
& d x d y d z K\left(x \quad \frac{R}{2} ; z+y \quad x ; y+\frac{R}{2}\right)+(R \quad!\quad R) e^{i x} e^{i z} e^{i y} p
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =X^{Z} \frac{d k}{(2)^{3}} \frac{d p}{(2)^{3}} \frac{d q}{(2)^{3}} \frac{m^{0} ; j_{g}^{0}(p)}{2!(p)} p^{m ; j g(k)} \frac{2!(k)}{} K(k+q ; q ; p+q)^{h} e^{i \frac{R}{2}}(k+p+2 q)+(R \quad 1 \quad R)^{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

> i
> i
where the sum is over ; ; ; ${ }^{0} ;{ }^{0}$ and the kemel is $F$ inally, given by
$K(x ; z ; y)=\frac{d k}{(2)^{3}} \frac{d p}{(2)^{3}} \frac{d q}{(2)^{3}} K(k ; q ; p) e^{i x} k^{k} e^{i y} p^{i z q}$
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
K(k ; q ; p)= & q^{2} \frac{N_{C}^{2}}{4} \frac{d(k) d(p) d(q)}{k^{2} q^{2} p^{2}} \\
& {[d(k) f(k)+d(p) f(p)+d(q) f(q)](47) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{3 f}=X_{Z}^{Z} \frac{d k}{(2)^{3}} \frac{d p}{(2)^{3}} \frac{d q}{(2)^{3}} P^{m^{0} ; j_{g}^{0}(p)} \frac{2!(p)}{2 m ; j_{g}(k)} \frac{2!(k)}{2} \\
& \text { Z } d x d y d z K\left(x \quad \frac{R}{2} ; z+y \quad x ; y \quad \frac{R}{2}\right)+(R!\quad R) e^{i x k} e^{i z} e^{i y p}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =X^{Z} \frac{d k}{(2)^{3}} \frac{d p}{(2)^{3}} \frac{d q}{(2)^{3}} \frac{m^{0} ; j_{g}^{j}(p)}{2!(p)} p^{m ; j_{g}(k)} \frac{2!(k)}{} K(k+q ; q ; p+q) e^{h}{ }^{i \frac{R}{2}(k p)}+(R \quad!\quad R)^{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the large $-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}} \lim$ it, $g^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}} \quad \mathrm{O}(1)$, and since $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{k}) / \mathrm{g}$ and $f \quad O(1)$, all of term $s$ above are $O(1)$ exœept $H$ (w hich corresponds to a non-planar diagram, see F if $T$ he products of the three factors, $d\left(p_{i}\right)=p_{i}^{2}$, originate from the three dressed C oulomb lines in diagram se and f in

[^0]possibilities to insert the $r^{2}$ operator on these three lines. The derivative coupling between transverse and C oulom b ghona bads to the extra $q^{2}$ factor in the nu$m$ erator in Eq $K$ ( $x ; z ; y$ ) is short-ranged in $z$. Furtherm ore in each of the three term $s$ in $E q$. tion, $d^{2}\left(p_{i}\right) f\left(p_{i}\right)=p_{i}^{2}$, whicn $\mathbb{I n} m$ om entum, space leads to
the con ning potential $V_{C}$. The rem aining two are of the form $d\left(p_{i}\right)=p_{i}^{2} w$ th $d(p) / 1={ }^{p} \bar{p}$, which for sm all $m o-$ $m$ enta also leads to a short-ranged interaction decreasing as $1={ }^{\rho} \bar{r}$ for large $r$. $W$ e thus conclude that for the three interaction lines connecting the four vertioes in the "three-body force" off if only one is long-ranged and all others are short-ranged. A long these lines one can approxim ate $K(x ; z ; y)$ as

At large separation $R$ w th the wave functions peaking at $\mathrm{j} j \mathrm{j} 0$, we nd that $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}$ grows less rapidly than tw O body interactions. $T$ his is in generaltrue for interactions originating from the expansion of $K$ A] in powers of $A$ which couple multiple gluons. This ic tha basis for the approxim ations discussed in Section

$$
K(x ; z ; y) / \quad(z) \frac{m_{g} V_{C}(x)}{\left(m_{g} \dot{y} y\right)}+\frac{m_{g} V_{C}(y)}{\left(m_{g} \dot{x} \dot{\nu}\right)} \text {; }
$$

with $0 \ll 1$. Ignoring the gluon spin and all spinonbit couplings we then obtain,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \\
& H_{3 e}!\quad d x d y p^{m^{\circ}} \frac{(x)}{2 m_{g}} p^{m} \frac{(y)}{2 m_{g}} \\
& K\left(x \quad \frac{R}{2} ; y \quad x ; y+\frac{R}{2}\right)+(R!\quad R) \\
& /^{Z} d x_{m 0}(x) \frac{V_{C}\left(x \frac{R}{2}\right)}{\left(m_{g} \dot{x}+\frac{R}{2} j\right)}+(R!R)_{m}^{\#}(x): \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

The o -diagonal $m$ atrix elem ent of the H-am iltonian $m$ ixing the jqi and qqgi states, show $n$ in $F$ id is given by,

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{4}=i^{X} \frac{\mathrm{Z}}{(2)^{3}} \frac{d q}{(2)^{3}} P^{m ; j g(k)} \frac{Z}{2!(k)} d x d z \quad K_{1}\left(x \quad \frac{R}{2} ; z \quad x \quad \frac{R}{2}\right) \quad(R \quad!\quad R) \quad e^{i x} e^{k} e^{i z q} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{P} \overline{2 j_{g}+1}}{4} \mathrm{D} \stackrel{j_{g} ;}{\left.=0 ; 0(\hat{k}) D^{1} ;(\hat{k}) \quad \circ D_{; 0}^{1 ;}(\hat{q})=i^{X} \quad \frac{d \mathrm{k}}{(2)^{3}} \frac{d q}{(2)^{3}} p^{m} \frac{j_{g}(k)}{2!(k)} K_{1}(k+q ; q)\right) ~} \\
& \mathrm{~h}^{\mathrm{e}^{i \frac{R}{2}}(\mathrm{k}+2 \mathrm{q})} \quad(\mathrm{R}!\quad \mathrm{R}) \frac{i \mathrm{P} \overline{2 j_{g}+1}}{4} \mathrm{D} \stackrel{\mathrm{j}_{g} ;}{=0 ; 0(\hat{k}) D^{1} ;(\hat{k}) \quad \circ D_{; 0}^{1 ;}(\hat{q})} \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}(x ; y)=\frac{d p}{(2)^{3}} \frac{d q}{(2)^{3}} K(p ; q) e^{i x} p^{i y} q \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}(p ; q)=\frac{N_{C}^{p} \overline{C_{F}}}{2} q \frac{d(p) d(q)}{p^{2} q^{2}}[d(p) f(p)+d(q) f(q)]: \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s expected in the large $N_{c}$ lim it $\mathrm{K}_{1}=O$ (1) and just like the three-body kemeldescribed previously, $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ ( $\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y}$ ) has $m$ ixed behavior for large separations. A term, in $m$ om entum space, proportional to $d^{2} f$ in one of the two m om entum variables leads to $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}$ in the corresponding position space argum ent. W hile for the other $m$ om entum variable it leads to a less singular behavior for large
distances. A pproxim ately, we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}\left(x \quad \frac{R}{2} ; x+\frac{R}{2}\right) / \frac{m_{g}^{2} V_{C}\left(\dot{x} \frac{R}{2}\right)}{\left(m_{g} \dot{x}+\frac{R}{2}\right)}+(R \quad! \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $1 \ll 2$. In this lim it, ignoring spin dependence, one nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{4} \text { ! i } \quad \mathrm{dxK} \mathrm{~K}_{1}\left(\dot{\mathrm{x}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{R}}{2} ; \dot{\mathrm{x}}+\frac{\mathrm{R}}{2} j\right) \frac{\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{j}_{g}(\mathrm{x})}{\mathrm{P} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{g}}}: \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, sim ilar to the case of $H_{3 e}$, we nd that at large separations the $m$ ixing term sgrow less rapidly $w$ th $R$ as com pared to two-body interactions.




[^0]:    $F$ io and the three factors of $f$ com e from the three

