1⁺ 1 (1400) cannot be hybrid m eson

F. Iddir and L. Sem ala^y

Laboratoire de Physique Theorique, Univ. d'Oran Es-Senia, ALGERIA

M arch 24, 2024

A bstract

W e expose argum ents concerning the $_1$ (1400), observed decaying into and claim ed to be an exotic meson. We conclude that this object cannot be a $q\bar{q}g$ hybrid meson.

1 Introduction

From experimental e orts at IHEP, KEK, CERN and BNL, several isovector $J^{PC} = 1^+$ exotic mesons have been identified. In this note we focus on the resonance 1 (1400) claimed to be decaying into . More detailed data are summarrized in table $1^{[1]}$. This discovery would be the rst resonant state with really exotic quantum numbers since no $q\bar{q}$ system can have $J^{PC} = 1^+$.

No glueball interpretation can be retained when the resonance has isospin 1. Thus, we are left with two possibilities: the hybrid state $q\bar{q}g$ or the diquonium $q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$.

Hybrids have been studied, using the quark model with constituent gluon ^[2 6], the ux-tube model^[7], using many body Coulom b gauge Ham iltonian^[8], QCD sum rules^[9], the M IT bag model^[10], and lattice QCD ^[11]. These models predict that the lightest hybrid mesons will be $J^{PC} = 1^+$ meson, in 1.4-2.1 GeV mass range. Decay process of the hybrid meson is studied in the framework of the rst four models.

D iquonium was the subject of several works^{β ;12;13}].

2 ₁(1400) cannot be hybrid m eson

Indeed, several argum ents support this idea:

i) One di culty with interpreting this state as a hybrid is the 500-600 M eV m ass di erence between the $_1$ (1400) m ass and ux tube, LG T and M BCGH estimates of m ass 1.9-2.1 G eV. In other hand, it appears di cult to

E-m ail: iddir@ univ-oran :dz

^yE-m ail: <u>l</u>sem lala@ yahoo:fr

accomm odate the $_1$ (1400) as hybrid state in the context of recent QCD sum rules calculations, the lightest hybrid in this case being approximately with mass 1.6 GeV. Although the range mass values 1.3-1.7 obtained from bag models are believed to su er from parameter uncertainties^[14].

ii) The studies of the decay mechanism $^{[7;9]}$ predict the suppression of 1^+ (hybrid) ! . This selection rule was generalized in a quite model independent way^[4]. In spite of our calculations of hybrid masses which yield exotic 1^+ mesons with mass around $1.4 \,\mathrm{GeV}$ (Q E hybrid)^[6] consistent with the experimental $_1$ (1400) the selection rule cited above excludes interpreting this resonance as Q E-m ode hybrid.

3 The qqqq possibility

The quantum numbers of $_1$ (1400) states is rather typical for P-wave $q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$ states, so it is possible to interpret them as light $q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$.

But here are som e di culties:

i) M ass results of $1^+ q \overline{q} q \overline{q} q$ are around 1.7 G eV and B ag m odel does not predict the low-laying P-wave $q \overline{q} q \overline{q}$ states with I= 1 as 1 (1400).^[13]

ii) The selection rule prevents the decay of the P-w ave $q\overline{q}q\overline{q}$ into \cdot .

4 An alternative solution!

A uthors of [15] exploit the possibility to construct quark bilinear operator which have $J^{PC} = 1^+$ exotic numbers. A numerical solutions of Bethe-Salpeter equation yield two exotic 1^+ m esons with masses of 1.439 and 1.498 GeV in good agreement with the experimental $_1$ (1400). Their approach does introduce explicitly gluon degrees of freedom. This may provide additional decay channels for exotic mesons, such as $_1$ (1400) ! , that would norm ally be suppressed according to $0 \text{ ZI} \text{ nule}^{[4]}$.

But the odd-time-parity amplitudes associated with the exotic mesons corresponding to bound states whose BS amplitudes has negative normalizations. The authors claim that this is not enough to disregard the BS equation in these channels.

5 Do $_1$ (1400) really an exotic resonance?

In the work [16], since the attem pts to describe the mass dependence of the amplitude and phase motion with respect to the D wave as B reit-W igner resonance are problem atic; the authors propose an alternative description of the mass dependent P-wave amplitude and phase that does not require the existence of an exotic meson but is consistent with re-scattering. In other hand, "there are possibility that the structure observed by C rystal B arrel at 1400 is due to the rapid opening of the threshold for the process ! b₁ (or f₁), since that the threshold for b₁ (1235) is about 1370 M eV. On the A grand diagram, the

am plitude will turn rapidly though 90 $\,$ if this channel opens, and will look as resonance " $^{[17]}$.

6 Conclusion

Taking into account the arguments cited above, $_1$ (1400) (if it has really exotic quantum numbers) could not be an hybrid meson.

A know ledgm ents

W e are gratefulto D .V . Bugg for extrem ely useful discussions on the experim ental data.

7 R eferences

[1] E.K lem pt, arX iv: hep-ph/0404270v1

[2] A.LeYaouanc, L.Oliver, O.Pene, J.-C.Raynaland S.Ono; Z.Phys. Rev.C 28 (1985) 309

[3] F. Iddir, A. LeYaouanc, L.O liver, O.Pene, J.-C. Raynal and S.O no, PhysLett B 204 (1988)564

[4] F.Iddir, A.LeYaouanc, L.O liver, O.Pene, J.-C. Raynal, PhysLett B 207 (1988)325

[5] F. Iddir and A.S. Sar, PhysLett B 507 (2001)183, F. Iddir and L. Sem lala, arX iv: hep-ph/0411074

[6] F. Iddir and L. Sem lala, arX iv: hep-ph/0211289

[7] N. Isgur and J.Paton: Phys. Lett. B 124 (1983) 247, Phys. Rev. D 31 (1985) 2910; N. Isgur, R.Kokosky and J.Paton: Phys. Rev. Lett. 54 (1985) 869; F.E.Close and P.R.Page: Nucl. Phys. B 433 (1995) 233, Phys. Rev. D 52 (1995) 1706; T.Bames, F.E.Close and E.S.Swanson: Phys. Rev. D 52 (1995) 5242

[8] S.R.Cotanch and F.J.Llanes-Estrada: hep-ph/0008337, hep-ph/0009191

[9] H.Y.Jin, J.C.Komer and T.G.Steele; arX iv: hep-ph/0211304v1; F.de Viron and J.G ovaerts; Phys. Rev. Lett. 53 (1984) 869

[10] T.Barnes: Caltech PhD. thesis (1977), unpublished, Nucl. Phys. B158, 171 (1979); T.Barnes and F.E.Close: Phys. Lett. 116B, 365 (1982);
M.Chanowitz and S.R.Sharpe: Nucl. Phys. B222, 211 (1983); T.Barnes,
F.E.Close and F.deViron: Nucl. Phys. B224, 241 (1983); M.Flensburg, C.
Peterson and L.Skold: Z.Phys. C22, 293 (1984); P.Hasenfratz, R.R.Horgan,
J.Kuti and J.M. Richard: Phys. Lett. 95B, 299 (1980)

[11] C.M ichael: hep-lat/9209014, hep-ph/9810415, hep-lat/9904013, hep-ph/0009115, hep-ph/0101287; C.M ichael and S.Perantonis: Nucl. Phys. B 347 (1990) 854; P.Boyle, P.Lacock, C.M ichael and P.Row land: PhysRev.D 54 (1996) 6997, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Supp. 63 (1998) 203; C.Bernard et al: Phys. Rev. D 56 (1997) 7039

[12] E.S. Swanson, preprint CTP # 2047 (1992), Annals Phys 220 (1992)73

[13] A.U.Badalyan, preprint LNF-91/017 (R)

- [14] A.Donnachie and Yu.S.Kalashnikova, PhysRevD 60 (1999)114011
- [15] C.J.Burden and M.A.Pichowsky, arXiv: hep-ph/0206161
- [16] A.R.D zierba and al, arX iv: hep-ex/0304002
- [17] D.V.Bugg, private communication

Experiment	Mass (MeV / c²)	width (MeV / c^2)	decay m ode
BNL	1370 16 $\frac{50}{30}$	385 40 $^{65}_{105}$	
BNL	$1359 \begin{array}{cc} 16 & 10 \\ 14 & 24 \end{array}$	$314 \begin{array}{c} 31 \\ 29 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 9 \\ 66 \end{array}$	
CBar	1400 20 20	310 50 $\frac{50}{30}$	
CBar	1360 25	220 90	
CBar	s 1400	s 400	

Table 1: detailed data for resonance $_1$ (1400)^[1]