F ission Cycling in Supernova Nucleosynthesis: A ctive-Sterile Neutrino O scillations

J. Beun,¹ G. C. McLaughlin,¹ R. Surm an,² and W. R. Hix³

¹Department of Physics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27595-8202

²Department of Physics, Union College, Schenectady, NY 12308

³Physics Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6374

(D ated: January 28, 2022)

W e investigate nucleosynthesis in the supernovae post-core bounce neutrino-driven wind environment in the presence of active-sterile neutrino transform ation. We consider active-sterile neutrino oscillations for a range of mixing parameters: vacuum mass-squared di erences of 0.1 eV 2 m 2 100 eV 2 , and vacuum mixing angles of sin 2 2 $_{\rm V}$ 10 4 . We ind a consistent r-process pattern for a large range of mixing parameters that is in rough agreement with the halo star CS 22892-052 abundances and the pattern shape is determined by ssion cycling. We ind that the allowed region for the formation of the r-process peaks overlaps the LSND and NSBL (3+1) allowed region.

PACS num bers: 14.60Pg, 14.60St, 26.30+ k

I. INTRODUCTION

N eutrinos dom inate both the dynam ics and the nucleosynthesis in the region near the protoneutron star during a core collapse supernova. The prodigious neutrino ux generated here is thought to deposit enough energy to liberate m aterial from the gravitational well of the protoneutron star. N eutrino capture produces a neutron-rich environm ent outside the protoneutron star at late tim es post-core bounce. Understanding the role of neutrinos in this environm ent directly leads to our understanding of heavy elem ent nucleosynthesis in the core collapse supernova environm ent.

O ne of the synthesis processes that m ay take place in this environment is the rprocess, or rapid neutron capture process, which generates about half of the elements with atomic weight A 100 [1]. At the heart of the rprocess is the rapid capture of neutrons, which occurs m uch faster than the competing beta decay, forming very neutron rich nuclides. As the supply of free neutrons is exhausted, these nuclides decay back to beta stability, forming a characteristic r-process abundance pattern that can be observed today.

W hile this basic r-process mechanism is understood, nding the astrophysical location of the rprocess proves m ore elusive. Several observational factors point tow ards the neutron-rich m aterial produced near the protoneutron star of a core-collapse supernovae as a likely candidate, e.g [2, 3]. Sneden and Cowan 2003 [2] concluded the r-process abundance patterns in extrem ely m etalpoor giant stars m atch the second and third peaks of the solar system r-process pattern, indicating these elem ents were form ed early in the evolution of the universe given these considerations [4]. The neutrino-driven w ind of the core collaspe supernova is a prom ising candidate for the rprocess, for a review see W and p et al. 2001 [5].

The evolution for a mass element which will eventually undergo an rprocess in the neutrino-driven wind of the protoneutron star proceeds through several stages [6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. Material rst em erges from the surface of the protoneutron star as free nucleons, carried o by the neutrino-driven wind. The strong in uence of neutrinos in this regime produces neutron rich material resulting from the equilibrium e ects of the $_{e}$ and $\overline{_{e}}$ uxes, as the -e's are thought to have a longer m ean free path at the surface of the protoneutron star and hence are more energetic. As the mass element moves farther from the star, it reaches lower tem peratures (T < 750 keV), the nucleons coalesce into particles and a large abundance of free neutrons. The particles then combine into seed nuclei for the rprocess with 50 . A . 100. Neutron capture begins at even lower tem peratures (T < 300 keV) allowing the formation of r-process elements.

It has long been suggested that soion could in uence the rprocess [11], primarily for cosm ochronom eters e.g [12, 13, 14] In su ciently neutron-rich conditions, heavy elements which are unstable to soion can be produced, term inating the r-process path. Additionly, soion inuences the rprocess through the subsequent cycling of these soion unstable heavy elements by neutron capture on the soion products. Beta-delayed, neutron induced, and spontaneous soion are all thought to play important roles in determ ining the outcome of the nal r-process abundances [15].

Early r-process models in the neutrino-driven wind environment, such as Woosley, et al, 1994 [9], accurately reproduced the r-process abundances without signi cant ssion cycling; However, later models produced lower entropy per baryon, producing nearly but not quite all the requisite conditions. Further, proper consideration of the near inertness of alpha particles to neutrino interactions resulted in decreased neutronization, presenting a signi – cant im pediment to r-process production in this environment [6, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20].

There are three possible solutions for circum venting these problem s. One solution is modifying the hydrody-

E lectronic address: jobeun@ unity.ncsu.edu

nam ics by using a very fast dynam ical tim escale [16, 21] even in a proton-rich environm ent [22], or increasing tem – perature with high entropy [9]; how ever, it is not known how neutrino heating could generate such conditions. A nother possibility is choosing a di erent location for the rprocess to occur, such as a neutron-starm erger [23, 24]. How ever this solution is not currently favored by analysis of the observational data [4]. O ther astrophysical sites such as gam m a ray burst accretion disks are currently being considered [25, 26].

The solution examined here is the introduction of active-sterile neutrino oscillations through the e s s channels. Two di erent types of terrestrial and e $_{\rm s}$ and $-_{\rm e}$ experiments place constraints on e transitions, reactor experim ents and short baseline accelerator experiments. Relevant data of the former comes from CHOOZ [27] with it's null result on _e disappearance, and the latter KARMEN, [28], with a null result on ! _____e appearance, leading to no indication of oscillations. LSND [29] is the only short baseline experiment which reported a positive signal for $_{\rm e}$! and this data is used as motivation for a sterile neutrino.

B ig B ang Nucleosynthesis (BBN) and the cosm ic m icrow ave background (CMB) can provide constraints on the existence of sterile neutrinos. The number of new neutrino avors, which would include a sterile neutrino, is restricted by the actions of the relativistic energy density limits during the BBN epoch while simultaneously accounting for the W MAP data, e.g. [30, 31]. This restriction m ay be lifted through exibility in the electron neutrino asymmetry, e, which allows room for the addition of neutrinos beyond the standard model [32]. CMB also places bounds on the neutrino m ass; how ever, these bounds are dependent on the therm al spectrum of the sterile neutrino [33]. At present, while they provide restrictions, the BBN and CMB analysis do not discount light sterile neutrinos.

We organize the paper as follows: In Section II, we describe the neutrino driven wind model and general nucleosynthesis in the neutrino-driven wind. Section III describes matter-enhanced active sterile neutrino oscillations and their e ects on nucleosynthesis. Section IV describes the network calculation of element abundances. In Section V we discuss the in uence of ssion in the rprocess and how ssion cycling links the second and third peaks of the rprocess. Our results appear in Section V I showing our calculation of nucleosynthesis with active-sterile neutrino oscillations and we com pare with both the solar system and halo star abundances. We conclude in section V II.

II. NUCLEOSYNTHESIS IN THE NEUTRINO DRIVEN W IND

The neutrino-driven wind epoch begins several seconds after post-core bounce in the type II supernovae environment. The new born protoneutron star undergoes K elvinHelm holtz cooling, radiating neutrinos that can lift material of the star's surface. This material is likely ejected by neutrino heating through charged-current reactions. It takes about ten neutrino interactions per nucleon to eject material from the surface of the star, and the neutrinos set the electron fraction, Y_e [10].

In our calculations we use a one-dimensional model to follow a mass element's peregrination from a nucleon at the surface of the protoneutron star to an ejected r-process element. A though multidimensional phenom – ena such as convection may operate in this environment, this simple model elucidates the elect of neutrino avor transformation on nucleosynthesis.

A param eterization of the neutrino-driven wind in the type II supernovae environment following from [9, 15, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38] results in a constant mass out ow rate $(M- = 4 r^2 v)$ with a hom ologous out ow with a radial velocity v of

where r is the radial distance from the center of the protoneutron star. From this we nd

$$r = r_{o} \exp(t =);$$
 (2)

where $r_{\rm o}$ is the initial radius and the expansion time scale, $% r_{\rm o}$ is de ned as

$$= r = v:$$
 (3)

Including _ 1=r scaling for the enthalpy per baryon, the density scales as

$$__{\circ} \exp(3t=)$$
: (4)

In our calculations we primarily use = 0.3 s and S=k = 100 unless otherwise stated. Our mass element leaves the surface of the protoneutron star in Nuclear Statistical Equilibrium (NSE) due to the very high tem – perature. At entropies of S=k = 100 baryonic content exists only as protons and neutrons [35]. Electron neutrino and anti-neutrino capture on free nucleons set the electron fraction and at the surface produce neutron-rich conditions. As material ow s away from the protoneutron star it cools and once material reaches tem peratures below T . 750 keV the formation of particles begins.

D uring this epoch since neutrino interactions have created a neutron-rich environment, all the protons and m any neutrons bind into particles. E lectron neutrinos capture on the remaining neutrons producing protons, which in turn consume m ore neutrons to form additional

particles. This drives the electron fraction towards $Y_e = 0.5$ decreasing the number of free neutrons and creating an environm entun favorable to the rprocess, known as the -e ect [17, 20].



FIG.1: In the left panel (Fig. 1a) we show the contours of electron fraction, Y_e , at the onset of rapid neutron capture, T_{keV} 200. For the astrophysical conditions below, one expects an rprocess for Y_e . 0:35 and an rprocess which m atches the observed ratio of peaks heights for Y_e . 0:3. For comparison with previous work, in the right panel (Fig. 1b), we show the Y_e , earlier, at the onset of heavy element form ation, T_{keV} 600. Neutrino captures on free nucleons increase the electron fraction slightly, between T_{keV} 600 and T_{keV} 200. The neutrino-driven wind parameters are entropy per baryon of S=k = 100, expansion time scale of = 0:3 s, neutrino lum inosities of L = 10^{51} ergs and L = 1:3 10^{51} ergs, and neutrino tem peratures T = 3.5 M eV and T = 4.5 M eV.

As the mass element continues moving away from the protoneutron star, the lower energies allow particles and neutrons to combine into seed nuclei for the rprocess. Farther away from the protoneutron star, as the material falls out of NSE, it undergoes a series of quasi-equilibrium phases [17, 39, 40]. The large C oulom b barriers eventually become insum ountable and charged current reactions drop out of equilibrium, while a large abundance of free neutrons remains. The mass element continues to move outward leaving neutron capture (n,) and photodissociation (,n) as the only reactions left in equilibrium.

This (n;) (;n) equilibrium phase marks the onset of the rprocess, as the balance between neutron capture and photo-dissociation determ ines the equilibrium path of the rprocess. The rprocess may produce nuclei unstable towards ssion at this time, whose ssion productsmay e ect the r-process abundances. Once there are not enough free neutrons left to maintain (n;) (;n) equilibrium, neutron capture and photo-dissociation reactions freeze-out allowing the r-process nuclei to decay back to stability, forming the progenitors to the observed r-process elements.

III. MATTER-ENHANCED ACTIVE-STERILE NEUTRINO OSCILLATIONS

M cLaughlin et al. 1999 [41] dem onstrated that activesterile neutrino transformation could circum vent the problem atic issues associated with the rprocess in the neutrino driven wind from the protoneutron star. A ctiveactive neutrino transform ation as well as active-sterile transform ation through di erent channels has also been explored in C aldwell et al. 2000 [42] and N unokawa et al. 1997 [43].

Here we consider matter enhanced transformation of neutrinos through the $_{\rm e}$ $_{\rm s}$ and $\bar{}_{\rm e}$ $\bar{}_{\rm s}$ channels as described by [41]. We de ne a sterile neutrino as one with interactions signi cantly weaker than the normalweak interaction. These interactions must be weak enough as to not contribute signi cantly to the decay rate of the Z boson [44]. Our results are independent of the model which produces a light sterile neutrino, as any SU (2) standard model singlet could be a light sterile neutrino.

For the following equations we use $_{\rm e}$ for the electron neutrino wavefunctions and $_{\rm s}$ for the sterile neutrino wavefunctions. We consider evolution of avor eigenstates in matter without the potential created by back-ground neutrinos in the form [45]

where

$$_{e}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{4E} \left(2^{P} \overline{2} G_{F} \mathbb{N}_{e}(\mathbf{r}) \mathbb{N}_{e}^{+}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\mathbb{N}_{n}(\mathbf{r})}{2} \mathbb{E} \right)$$

$$m^{2} \cos 2_{v}$$
(6)

and

$$p - \frac{m^2}{4E} \sin 2_v :$$
 (7)

In equation (6), the positive sign designates electron neutrinos and the negative sign electron anti-neutrinos. Here, G_F is the Ferm i constant and N_e (r), N_e^+ (r), and N_n (r) represent the number density for the electrons, positrons, and neutrons in the medium. The neutrino mixing angle is $_v$, and the vacuum mass-squared splitting term is de ned as $m^2 m_2^2 m_1^2$, where m_1 and m_2 are the masses of the mass eigenstates.

The potential is de ned to be proportional to the net weak charge,

V (r)
$$2^{p} \overline{2} G_{F} [N_{e} (r) N_{e}^{+} (r) N_{n} (r)=2];$$
 (8)

m eaning neutrinos of energy

$$E_{res}(r) = \frac{m^2 \cos 2_v}{V(r)}$$
(9)

undergo a M ikheyev-Sm imov-W olfenstein (M SW) resonance at a positive ($_{\rm e})$ or negative ($_{\rm e})$ potential. Noting the electron fraction is

$$Y_{e}(r) = \frac{N_{e}(r) - N_{e}^{+}(r)}{N_{p}(r) - N_{n}(r)};$$
 (10)

we can immediately see how $Y_{\rm e}$ in uences the sign of the potential,

$$V(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{3G_{\rm F}}{2} \frac{(\mathbf{r})}{2m_{\rm N}} Y_{\rm e} \frac{1}{3}$$
 : (11)

Electron neutrinos transform for a positive potential and electron anti-neutrinos for a negative potential. Above,

(r) is the matter density a distance r away from the protoneutron star, m_N is nucleon mass, and N_p(r) is the proton number density. Since the local material is electrically neutral we take N_p = N_e N_e^+ .

U sing the above substitutions, we can rew rite equation (6) as

$$_{e}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{3G_{F}(\mathbf{r})}{2^{2}\overline{2}m_{N}} Y_{e} \frac{1}{3} \frac{m^{2}}{4E} \cos 2_{v}:$$
 (12)

Active-sterile MSW neutrino resonances occur when $_{e} = 0$, providing a direct relationship to Y_{e} from the neutrino mixing parameters, m^{2} and $\sin^{2} 2$ and the electron neutrino and anti-neutrino energies.

W e calculate equation (5) num erically for neutrino survival probabilities passing through m atter above the protoneutron star. W e assum e no avor transform ations occur until the neutrinos leave the surface of the protoneutron star, hence all neutrinos reside in the active avor eigenstates, $_{\rm e}$ and $_{\rm e}$, with no sterile mixing, $_{\rm s}$ and s, at this time. We begin the neutrino transform ation evolution a Ferm i-D irac neutrino and anti-neutrino spectrum. As the neutrinos depart from the protoneutron star, the evolving survival probabilities from equation (5) cause the neutrinos to depart rapidly from this initial spectrum. We use electron neutrino and anti-neutrino lum inosities of L = 10^{51} ergs and L = 1:3 10^{51} ergs respectively, electron neutrino and anti-neutrino tem peratures of T = 35 MeV and T = 45 MeV respectively, and an e ective chem ical potential of zero. We chose these values to be representative of the general qualitative behavior of the expected neutrino avor transform ation in this environm ent. For these lum inosities, electron neutrinos and electron anti-neutrinos strongly determ ine the initial electron fraction, although additional in uence on the electron fraction com es from electron and positron capture through the backward rates of the following reactions:

$$_{e} + n \quad p + e ;$$
 (13)

$$_{e} + p n + e^{+}$$
: (14)



FIG. 2: Electron neutrinos undergoing transform ation to sterile neutrinos above the surface of the neutron star by active-sterile MSW neutrino transform ation. The active-sterile neutrino mixing parameters are $m^2 = 2 eV^2$ and $\sin^2 2 v = 7 - 10^2$, with the wind parameters of Fig. 1. The neutrinos shown have energy E 11 keV.

We now point out the main features of active sterile neutrino mixing in this environment: the detailed behavior of $_{\rm e}$ s mixing is discussed in [41, 46]. As neutrinos have the surface of the protoneutron star, low energy

and

electron neutrinos are the st to undergo transform ation to sterile neutrinos, s, due to the large potential, equation (11). Such a transform ation is shown in Fig. 2. This reduces the e cacy on the forward rate of equation (13) low ering both the potential and the electron fraction, allow ing progressively higher energy electron neutrinos to transform. Once the electron fraction drops below 1=3, the highest energy electron anti-neutrinos transform to sterile neutrinos due to the now negative potential. The potential continues to drop towards its m inim um, after which it will ascend back towards its zero value. On its way back, upon the potential reaching this zero value, all of the electron anti-neutrinos above a threshold energy convert from $\overline{}_{s}$'s back to $\overline{}_{e}$'s. As the mass elem ent reaches the alpha particle form ation epoch, very few of the original electron neutrinos and most of the original electron anti-neutrinos are present. This severely in pedes the forward rate of equation (12) due to the lack of e's, and also prevents the dram atic electron fraction rise associated with the e ect, allowing a neutron-rich neutron-to-proton ratio needed for r-process elem ent formation. The suppression of the e ect is dependent on the neutrino mixing parameters, m^2 and $\sin^2 2$, and we describe later which parameters allow for r-process elements to be produced [41].

IV. NETW ORK CALCULATIONS

We calculate the nuclide abundances for a mass elem ent leaving the surface of a protoneutron star by linking three network calculations: a nuclear statistical equilibrium (NSE) code [41], a full reaction rate network code [40], and an r-process reaction network code [47, 48]. Throughout the calculation we self-consistently solve the neutrino evolution through the integration of equation (5). In all regimes we are guided by the structure of the protoneutron star from [49], and from the wind as described in [47] as described in Sec. II.

As the mass element rst leaves the protoneutron star with $T_{M\ eV}$ 3, the NSE code takes distance and density and calculates all relevant them odynam ic quantities including positron and electron number densities. We use NSE to calculate the neutron, proton, and heavy element (A > 40) abundances. We also compute the weak reaction rates, generating an updated electron fraction, Y_{e} . This allows us to self-consistently solve the matter enhanced (MSW) equation and hence the neutrino survival probabilities.

Once the mass element leaves the NSE regime, at $T_{\rm keV}$. 900, we use the full reaction-rate network to calculate the evolution of the mass element. In this regime intermediate nuclide production is accounted for, A . 120, utilizing strong, electrom agnetic, and weak interactions rates from [50]. As before, we continue to use the updated electron fraction to self consistently calculate the MSW neutrino evolution.

As the mass element reaches the neutron capture

regin e where charged particle reactions have frozen out, we use an r-process reaction rate code, for T_{keV} . 200. The relevant nuclear reactions included are neutron capture, photo-disintegration, beta decay, charged-current neutrino interactions, and beta-delayed neutron em ission. We use the nuclear mass model from [51], decay rates from [52] and neutron capture rates from [50]. W hile this mass model is known to produce a gap after the second peak, we choose it since it is the largest selfconsistent data set available. We include the e ects of nuclei undergoing ssion as it is relevant in this regime.

V. FISSION IN r-PROCESS NUCLEOSYNTHESIS

It is important to understand which nuclides become unstable to ssion and if and where ssion term inates the rprocess. Some previous works such as C ow an et al. 1999 [14] and M eyer 2002 [22] have included term ination of the rprocess through ssion. M eyer 2002 [22] used a network term ination boundary of Z = 91 from proton drip line to neutron drip line with only one isotope 275 Pa undergoing ssion. C ow an et al. 1999 [14] em ployed a probability of unity for spontaneous ssion for nucleiw ith atom ic weight greater than 256. O ther calculations such as G oriely et al. 2004 [53] and Panov and Thielem ann 2004 [54], em ployed a set of ssion rates over a range of heavy nuclei.

Perhaps even more important than which nuclei undergo ssion is the role the nuclide distribution of the daughter products play. There are two ssion modes generally considered, described by their daughter product distributions, symmetric and asymmetric. Symmetric ssion results in the progenitor nucleus being split nearly in half by ssion, resulting in daughter products of the same nuclide for even numbers of protons and neutrons in the progenitor and adjacent nuclides for odd permutations of protons and neutrons. For asymmetric ssion, the progenitor nucleus splits unevenly, resulting in one daughter product being proportionally heavier than the other.

Cowan et al. 1999 [14] and Rauscher et al. 1994 [55] employ symmetrical ssion, to determ ine the daughter products, while Panov and Thielm ann 2004 [54] utilize a weighting function to determ ine the various daughter products. Ternary ssion has been suggested as well by Ref. [56, 57]. At present, a consensus on the choice of ssion daughter products has not been form ed.

In this work we go one step beyond a single nucleus term ination point. W hile we term inate the network path at A 270 by taking the probability of spontaneous ssion to be unity above this point, we also include beta-delayed ssion as well for nuclei ranging from 81 Z 100 and 140 N 164. Beta-delayed ssion has the greatest importance during late stages of the rprocess [15]. Our approach is su cient to illustrate the qualitative impact of $_{\rm e}$ s transform on the rprocess. For a detailed

com parison on a nucleus by nucleus basis with data, it would be preferable to include neutron-induced ssion as well. In the future, such rates may become available [58].

As stated above, the choice of ssion daughter products can have a signi cant im pact on the distribution of nal r-process element abundances. We exam ined two m odels, symmetric ssion where the two daughter products are taken to be as close to atom ic weight and number as possible, and asymmetric ssion using daughter products suggested by Ref. [11], which takes the daughter products to be about 40% and 60% of the mass of the progenitor nucleus.



FIG.3: Electron fraction, Y_e is plotted vs. T_{keV} for the wind parameters in Fig. 1, with active-sterile neutrino mixing parameters of m 2 = $10 \, eV$ 2 and $\sin^2 2$ $_v$ = 0:001. The appropriate neutrino interactions are turned o at T_{keV} = 850 for the neutrino-nucleon interactions only and no neutrino interactions cases. The Y_e continues to increase even after heavy element formation, due primarily to neutrino-nucleon interactions.

VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

It is convenient to cast our results in the form of both the electron fraction, $Y_e = p = (n + p)$, and abundance patterns to allow for direct comparison with previous theoretical and experim ental results. We begin with a calculation of electron fraction, Ye, since this is a key indicator of r-process element production in the neutrino-driven wind environm ent and it facilitates com parison with previous work over the neutrino m ixing param eter region, m^2 and $\sin^2 2$. We then discuss the implications of our results and compare our calculated abundance pattemswith both solardata [59, 60] and halo stardata [61]. W e also com pare neutrino m ixing param eters favorable to the rprocess against the param eter region that will be probed by M iniBooNE [62]. We pay particular attention to the elect of ssion cycling since this process is a determining factor in the behavior of the system.



FIG. 4: An r-process pattern (blue line) producing only the second and third of the three r-process peaks occurs in the neutrino-driven wind when there is active-sterile neutrino mixing. The dram atic impact of active-sterile neutrino oscillations on the rprocess is seen immediately when contrasted against the abundances produced without neutrino mixing (red line). For the blue line neutrino mixing parameters of m² = 2 eV² and sin² 2 v = 7 10² are used together with same astrophysical conditions as in Fig. 1. The general features of the r-process pattern above A & 130 found in solar system data [59] (black line) are reproduced when Y_e . 0.3 at the onset of neutron capture element formation. The solar data is scaled to the simulation such that the sum of the A = 195 nuclides is 2:3 10³.

A. Variation of Neutrino M ixing Param eters

A key indicator of whether the rprocess will occur is the electron fraction, Y_e , at the onset of neutron capture element formation. As described earlier, the effect together with low entropy is a prominent cause of the reduction of free neutrons, stilling the rprocess. A ctivesterile neutrino oscillations allow the elect to be circum vented during the epoch of particle formation as electron neutrinos are converted to their sterile counterparts, preventing electron neutrino capture on neutrons, and producing a neutron-rich environment.

O ur reaction rate network self-consistently accounts for the evolution of $Y_{\rm e}$ throughout the duration of nucleosynthesis of the mass element. We begin by comparing $Y_{\rm e}$ with a previous calculation, Fig. 7 of Ref. [41], at the onset of heavy element form ation (Fig. 1b) and nd that good agreement is reached. Then we further track the $Y_{\rm e}$ up to the point of neutron capture element form ation, $T_{\rm keV}$ 200, and we nd that for $Y_{\rm e}$. 0:35 at this time, the environment is su ciently neutron-rich for a rprocess to occur (Fig. 1a).

W e note there is a slight increase of Y_e between the two times, as shown between the two panels of Fig. 1. Since charged-current reactions a ect the Y_e as the mass element moves farther away from the protoneutron star,



FIG.5: The general features of the r-process pattern found in halo stars are reproduced in the neutrino-driven wind when active-sterile neutrino oscillations occur. Only the second, 55), third peaks, A Α 130 (Z 195 (Z 80), as well as the rare earth bum p inbetween, are reproduced. The halo star CS 22892-052 [60] abundances are plotted for comparative purposes (dots) along with the solar system r-process abundances from [59] (black line). Neutrino mixing param eters of $m^2 = 2 eV^2$ and $\sin^2 2_v = 7$ 10² were used for the neutrino driven wind abundances (blue line), although the pattern shown is fairly insensitive to the exact choice of mixing parameter (see Fig. 6). The astrophysical conditions are the same as in Fig 1. The measured abundances are scaled to the simulation such that the sum of the Z = 70 isotopes in each abundance curve have an abundance of 10 3 .

the increase in Y_e must be the result of one or more charged-current reactions, electron neutrino capture on neutrons (the forward rate of equation (13)) increases Y_e , making the environment less neutron-rich. A lthough electron neutrinos that undergo transformation to sterile neutrinos do not a ect the Y_e , not all electron neutrinos undergo transformation. The e ect of these residual electron neutrinos is shown in Fig. 3, where we plot the electron fraction between heavy nucleus production and neutron capture element formation, for the neutrino mixing parameters of $m^2 = 10 \text{ eV}^2$ and $\sin^2 2_v = 0.001$ E lectron neutrino capture on neutrons is therefore responsible for the increase in Y_e seen in Fig. 1.

B. R-process A bundance Patterns

In Fig. 4, we exam ine the consequences active-sterile neutrino oscillations have on abundance patterns in the neutrino-driven wind environment and nd conditions suitable for the rprocess in this environment for Y_e . 0:35. We note that none of our calculations reproduce the rst r-process peak at A 80. The resulting abundance pattern features the second and third r-process peaks and we note that the de cit after the second r-process peak, A 130 is a consequence of the m assm odel we are using, Fig. 4 (blue line). The solar abundance of A = 195 is scaled to 2:3 10³ for comparison.

W e classify our abundance patterns by $\rm Y_{e}$ at the onset of neutron capture element formation [63]. For 0:35 & $Y_e \& 0.30$, there are too few free neutrons to produce the 195, with the sam e proportions third r-process peak, A as the second r-process peak, A 130, as is indicated by observational data. Electron fractions of Y_e . 0.3 lead to conditions su ciently neutron-rich to have the second and third r-process peaks in sim ilar proportions to the observational data. Y_e lower than Y_e 0:25 produces r-process elements around A & 250 that are strongly susceptible to ssion, which can in turn repopulate regions at and around the A 130 peak. W e will return to this ssion cycling in the following section. Fig. 5 shows our abundance pattern plotted as a function of proton number, further demonstrating the second and third r-process peak production. The three abundances are scaled such that Z = 70 has an abundance of 10³.

Early calculations reproduced the general features of the solar system r-process pattern by constructing a nal r-process abundance pattern through a mass weighted average of individual abundances patterns resulting multiple mass trajectories [6, 7, 9]. We have investigated di erent wind conditions, including changes in neutrino lum inosity and out ow timescale. The e ect of varying the out ow timescale is shifting the range of neutrino m ixing parameters, m^2 and $\sin^2 2$, for which a successful rprocess occurs. The e ect of reducing the neutrino lum inosity is contracting the range of the neutrino m ixing param eters yielding a successful rprocess. W hile we nd the absence of an A 80 peak for a wide range of conditions, it would be necessary to calculate a time integrated history over a particular series of wind conditions to determ ine in a given neutrino driven wind model produces a signi cant rst r-process peak.

C. E ects of ssion cycling

The choice of neutrino m ixing parameters a ects the free neutron abundance and hence the electron fraction at the time of neutron capture element formation. We nd that ssion cycling is a mechanism for generating a stable r-process pattern once a threshold Y_e is reached, $Y_e = 0.25$ in our environment. All neutrino m ixing parameters which are below this Y_e produce the same general r-process pattern, shown in Fig. 6.

F ission cycling behaves as a mechanism linking the second and third peaks of the rprocess. As very heavy nuclides are produced, A & 250, they may become unstable towards ssion and provide an elective term ination point to the r-process path. The resulting daughter nuclides from this ssion repopulate abundances of the second rprocess peak.

The consistency of the r-process pattern is dependent on the equilibrium path of the rprocess. Early studies analyzing the mass numbers of both the peaks and the



FIG. 6: Fission cycling produces a consistent overall r-process pattern in the neutrino-driven wind, as long as Y_e . 0:3 at the onset of neutron capture element formation (right panel). In the left panel we show neutron abundance vs. T_{keV} for three sets of neutrino mixing parameters, $m^2 = 10 eV^2$ and $\sin^2 2_v = 10^2$, $m^2 = 5 eV^2$ and $\sin^2 2_v = 10^2$, and $m^2 = 2 eV^2$ and $\sin^2 2_v = 7$ 10² corresponding to electron fractions of $Y_e = 0.1174$, $Y_e = 0.1752$, and $Y_e = 0.2498$ respectively. The Y_e in the legend occurs at the start of heavy element formation, T_{keV} 200. Lower Y_e 's lead to more neutrons but a similar abundance pattern. In the right panel we show the abundance patterns from the same mixing parameters as used in the left panel. The abundances curves and astrophysical conditions are the same as gure 5.

closed neutron shells, yielded a r-process path well aw ay from the neutron-drip line. In general the equilibrium path of the rprocess is determ ined by the balance between neutron capture and photo-dissociation for particular tem perature and density conditions. In our calculations the environm ent becomes su ciently neutron-rich to generate a r-process path along the neutron-drip line, resulting in a consistent r-process abundance pattern of the second and third peaks.

In the rem ainder of this section we discuss som e details about the e ects of ssion. It is di cult to make any de nitive comments on the speci c e ects that spontaneous ssion and beta-delayed ssion have on particular nalr-process nuclide abundances due to uncertainties in ssion models; how ever, som e general comments are warranted. The impact of ssion is greatest in the regimes around the A 130 peak and past the A 195 peak, the regimes where ssion deposition and depletion occurs.

The details of the pattern around the A 130 peak is caused by the deposition of ssion daughter products, which in turn are strongly a ected by the choice of ssion daughterproduct distribution, in the region of 120 . A . 140. Two m odes of daughter product distributions that we exam ined are sym m etric and asym m etric as described in Ref. [11] and [12]. Sym m etric ssion m odes generally produce an abundance pattern sim ilar to that produced by an r-process calculation w ithout ssion, since m aterial ism ostly deposited near the closed neutron shell region of the A 130 peak; how ever, asym m etric m odes can m ake signi cant m aterial deposits into the A 100 region and erase the de cit past the A 130 peak. It has been suggested that ssion could form elements A 120 in metalpoor stars previously, e.g. Ref. [64].

A bundance structure of the depletion region past the A 195 peak is strongly a ected by several factors. These factors are the amount of material that reaches this region, how strongly ssion depletion occurs, and for what elements it occurs. Most previous work on ssion in the rprocess involves calculations which e ectively term inate the rprocess using a 100% ssion probablity in the regime of 260. A . 280. G iven that the nuclear physics of such neutron-rich nuclei is not well understood, it is di cult to draw conclusions about any detailed features in this region. Nevertheless, ssion cycling produces a distinct and stable abundance pattern with overall features that are in agreement with data.

D. Comparison with Halo Star Abundances

H ab stars, by virtue of their ancient nature, give us a glim pæ of the abundances from the earliest r-process progenitors. The sim ilarity between the r-process pattem in halo stars and that seen in the solar rprocess suggests a single extrem ely consistent rprocess mechanism is operating for the heavier r-process elements. W e present our abundance pattern for com parison with the halo star CS 22892-052 [59, 61] and the solar system rprocess [60] in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6. There is rough agreem ent between our data, Fig. 5 (black line), and CS 22982-052, Fig. 5



FIG. 7: Contours indicating the parameter space for which we nd an rprocess (de ned as Y_e . 0:35) in the prospective neutrino mixing parameter range of M iniBooNE. The light gray region signies an rprocess for an out ow timescale of = 0:1s, and similarly the dark gray region for = 0:3s, with a reminder of the astrophysical conditions listed in Fig. 1. The blue region is the combined NSBL and LSND 90% CL allowed region [62]. The parameter space which produces a successful rprocess is much larger than the space probed by experiment.

(dots), between 50. Z. 80 the region of the second and third r-process peaks. The gap after the Z 50 peak is the same as the gap after A 135 in the previous plot, shown in Fig. 5 and is feature of the mass modelwe are using. Our nalabundances are allowed to decay over a tim escale of 14 G yr for consistency with the accepted age of CS 22982-052, using decay modes and lifetim es from Ref. [65]. Adjusting the decay tim escale prim arily a ects the abundances of Th^{232} and U^{238} since their half-lives are the the shortest of the long lived quasistable elements, nearly the same order as the halo star. The remaining quasi-stable elements generally have decay paths to nearby stable nuclides so their decay does not produce a noticeable e ect to the abundance pattern.

E. Comparison with Combined N SBL and LSN D $$\rm D$$ ata

The M iniBooNE experiment is designed to study neutrino mixing in the range of the positive LSND result. One possible implication of this result is the existence of a sterile neutrino. In such a scenario the range of mixing parameters yielding a successful rprocess in our calculations overlaps with the parameter range of M iniBooNE. In Fig. 7, we compare our r-process allowed region for two out ow timescales, = 0.1 s and = 0.3 s, withthe combined null-short baseline (NSBL) and liquid scintillator neutron detector (LSND) (3+1) 99% con dence level (CL) data, with lower CL regions naturally residing inside the 99% region. A compilation of the NSBL and LSND data is described in detail in Ref. [62]. It should be noted that several factors impact the location of the r-process region and hence any compatibility with the NSBL and LSND data. The choice of expansion tim escale, , alters the electron fraction and shifts the points where active-sterile MSW avor transform ation occurs.

Finally, we caution that the inclusion of the neutrino background terms in the weak potential can signi cantly change the r-process abundances [46, 66, 67]. However, at late times the lum inosity decreases, decreasing the effect of background terms [46, 68]. In our calculations which do not include the background, we nd that a reduced electron neutrino lum inosity of $L = 5 \ 10^{50}$ ergs and a reduced electron anti-neutrino lum inosity of $L = 65 \ 10^{50}$ ergs can still yield a robust r-process solution. Future studies of neutrino transform ation fully inclusive of background terms done together a hydrodynam ical wind calculation will determ ine the extent to which rprocess nucleosynthesis products will be produced and also escape the star.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

We have exam ined nucleosynthesis in the neutrinodriven wind in the post-core bounce supernovae environment. We nd that inclusion of active-sterile neutrino transform ation yields an r-process pattern where only the second and third of the r-process peaks are produced for a broad range of neutrino mixing parameters. A nalysis of data from halo stars has suggested that there are at least two separate types of events which make rprocess nuclei [2]. Furthermore the analysis suggests one of these mechanisms operates early in the evolution of the universe and makes primarily the second and third r-process peaks.

F ission cycling is responsible for the establishment of a stable r-process pattern. As long as many neutrons exist during the phase of neutron capture, nuclei are created with su ciently high mass number that they are unstable to ssion. Below a threshold (Y_e . 0:3) in our model the resulting abundance pattern is not sensitive to the magnitude of the free neutron abundance and therefore the number of times the ssion cycle occurs.

We nd our allowed r-process region has overlap with the parameter space to be probed by the M ini-BooNE experiment. The extent of this overlap is dependent on uncertainties in the astrophysical environment and in the neutrino transformation calculations; however, the existence of a sterile neutrino in the parameters space that will be probed by M ini-BooNE will directly in uence element production in core-collapse supernova.

A cknow ledgm ents

This work was partially supported by the D epartment of Energy under contract DE-FG 02-02ER 41216 (GCM) and the Research Corporation under contract CC 5994 (RS). This work was partially supported by the United States N ational Science Foundation under contract PHY –

- [L] E.M. Burbidge, G.R. Burbidge, W.A. Fowler, and F. Hoyle, Rev.Mod.Phys.29, 547 (1957)
- [2] C. Sneden and J. J. Cowan, Science 299, 70 (2003)
- [3] Y.-Z.Qian, P.Vogel, and G.J.W asserburg Astrophys. J. 494, 285 (1998)
- [4] D. Argast, M. Sam land, F. K. Thielemann and Y. Z. Qian, Astron. Astrophys. 416, 997 (2004) [arXiv:astro-ph/0309237].
- [5] S. W ana jo, T. Ka jino, G. J M athews, and K. Otsuki, A strophys. J. 554, 578 (2001)
- [6] K. Takahashi, J.W itti, and H.-T. Janka, A stron. A strophys. 286, 857 (1994)
- [7] B.S.Meyer, W.M.Howard, G.J.Mathews, R.Homan, and S.E.Woosley, in Proceedings of the International Workshop on Unstable Nuclei in Astrophysics, Tokyo, Japan, 1991, edited by S.Kubono and T.Kajino (World Scientic, Singapore; New Jersey, 1992) p.37.
- [8] T.A. Thom pson, A. Burrows, and B.S. Meyer, Astrophys. J. 562, 887 (2001)
- [9] S.E.Woosley, J.R.Wilson, G.J.Mathews, R.D.Homan and B.S.Meyer, Astrophys. J. 433, 229 (1994).
- [10] Y.-Z.Qian, G.M. Fuller, G.J.M athews, R.W. Mayle, J.R.W ilson, and S.E.W oosley, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 1965 (1993)
- [11] P.A. Seeger, W.A. Fow ler, and D.D.C. layton, Astrophys.J. Suppl. 11, 121 (1965)
- [12] V M. Chechetkin, Yu.S. Lyutostansky, S.V. M alevanny, and I.V. Panov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. (USA) 47, 780 (1988)
- [13] J. J. Cowan, F.-K. Thielem ann, and J.W. Truran, Astrophys. J. 323, 543 (1987)
- [14] J.J.Cowan, B.P fei er, K.-L.K ratz, F.-K. Thielem ann, C.Sneden, S.Burles, D.Tytler, and T.C.Beers, A strophys. J. 521, 194 (1999)
- [15] I. V. Panov and F.-K. Thielem ann, Sov. A stron. Lett. 29, 510 (2003)
- [16] R.D.Homan, S.E.Woosley, and Y.-Z.Qian, Astrophys. J. 482, 951 (1997)
- [17] B.S.Meyer, G.C.McLaughlin and G.M.Fuller, Phys. Rev.C 58, 3696 (1998) [arXiv astro-ph/9809242].
- [18] G.C.McLaughlin, G.M.Fuller, and J.R.W ilson, Astrophys. J. 472, 440 (1996)
- [19] B.S.Meyer, Astrophys. J. Lett. 449, 55 (1995)
- [20] G. M. Fuller and B. S. M eyer, A strophys. J. 453, 792 (1995)
- [21] C.Y. Cardalland G.M. Fuller, A strophys. J. Lett. 486, 111 (1997)
- [22] B.S.M eyer, Phys.Rev.Lett. 89, 231101 (2002)
- [23] B.S.Meyer, Astrophys. J. 343, 254 (1989)
- [24] S.Rosswog, M. Liebendorfer, F.K. Thielemann, M.B. Davies, W. Benz, and T.Piran, Astron. Astrophys. 341, 499 (1999)
- [25] R. Surm an and G. C. McLaughlin, Astrophys. J. 603,

0244783 (W RH), and through the Scienti c D iscovery through Advanced C om puting Program (W RH). O ak Ridge National Laboratory (W RH) is managed by UT-Battelle, LLC, for the U S.D epartm ent of E nergy under contract D E -AC 05-000R 22725. We acknow ledge useful discussions with G eorge Fuller and Jim K neller.

611 (2004)

- [26] G.C.McLaughlin and R.Summan, Nucl. Phys. A 758, 189 (2005) [arX iv astro-ph/0407555].
- [27] M. Apollonio et al. [CHOOZ Collaboration], Phys.Lett.
 B 466, 415 (1999) [arX iv hep-ex/9907037].
- [28] KARMEN Collaboration, B. Arm buster et al., & Phys. Rev. D 65, 112001 (2002)
- [29] C. Athanassopoulos et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 1774 (1998)
- [30] V.Barger, J.P.Kneller, H.-S.Lee, D.Marfatia, and G. Steigman, Phys.Lett.B 566, 8 (2003)
- [31] R.H.Cyburt, B.D.Fields, K.A.Olive, and E.Skillman, A stropart. Phys. 23, 313 (2005)
- [32] V. Barger, J. P. K neller, P. Langacker, D. Marfatia, and G. Steigman, Phys. Lett. B 569, 123 (2003)
- [33] K.Abaza jian, N.F.Bell, G.M.Fuller, and Y.Y.W ong, Phys. Rev. D 72, 063004 (2005)
- [34] R.C.Duncan, S.L.Shapiro, and I.W asserm an, Astrophys. J. 309, 141 (1986)
- [35] Y.-Z. Q ian and S. E. W oosley, A strophys. J. 471, 331 (1996)
- [36] A. M ezzacappa and S. W. Bruenn, Astrophys. J. 405, 637 (1993)
- [37] A. Mezzacappa, A. C. Calder, S. W. Bruenn, J. M. Blondin, M. W. Guidry, M. R. Strayer, and A. S. Um ar, A strophys. J. 493, 848 (1998)
- [38] A. Mezzacappa, M. Liebendoerfer, O. E. B. Messer, W. R. Hix, F. K. Thielem ann and S. W. Bruenn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 1935 (2001) [arXiv astro-ph/0005366].
- [39] B.S.Meyer, T.D.Krishnan, and D.D.Clayton, Astrophys. J. 498, 808 (1998)
- [40] W. R. Hix and F.-K. Thielem ann, A strophys. J. 511, 862 (1999); W. R. Hix and F.-K. Thielem ann. J. Comput. Appl. M ath. 109 321 (1999)
- [41] G. C. McLaughlin, J. M. Fetter, A. B. Balantekin and G. M. Fuller, Phys. Rev. C 59, 2873 (1999) [arXivastro-ph/9902106].
- [42] D.O.Cakhwell, G.M.Fuller, and Y.-Z.Qian, Phys. Rev. D 61, 123005 (2000)
- [43] H. Nunokawa, J. T. Peltoniem i, A. Rossi and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Rev. D 56, 1704 (1997) [arXiv:hep-ph/9702372].
- [44] S.Eidelm an et al., Phys. Lett. B 592, 1 (2004)
- [45] S.P.M ikheyev and A.Yu.Sm imov, Sov.J.Nucl.Phys. 42,913 (1985); Sov.Phys.JETP 64,4 (1986); L.W olfenstein, Phys.Rev.D 17,2369 (1978)
- [46] J.Fetter, G.C.McLaughlin, A.B.Balantekin, and G.M. Fuller, A stropart. Phys. 18, 433 (2003)
- [47] R.Summ an and J.Engel, Phys.Rev.C 64, 035801 (2001)
- [48] R. Sum an, J. Engel, J. R. Bennett, and B. S. Meyer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 1809 (1997)
- [49] R.W. Mayle and J.R.W ilson (unpublished).

- [50] T.Rauscher, and F.K. Thielem ann, At.D ata Nucl.D ata Tables 75, 1 (2000)
- [51] P.Moller, J.R.Nix, W.D.Myers, and W.J.Swiatecki, At.Data Nucl.Data Tables 59, 185 (1995)
- [52] P.M oller, and J.R andrup, Nuc. Phys. A 514, 1 (1990)
- [53] S.G oriely, P.D em etriou, H.-. Janka, J.M. Pearson, and M. Sam yn, Nucl. Phys. A 758, 587c (2005)
- [54] I.V. Panov and F.-K. Thielem ann, Sov. A stron. Lett. 30, 647 (2004)
- [55] T. Rauscher, J. H. Applegate, J. J. Cowan, F. Thielemann, and M. Wiescher, A strophys. J. 429, 499 (1994)
- [56] V P. Perelygin et al. Nucl. Phys. A 127, 577 (1969)
- [57] I. T ækhanovich et al. Phys. Rev. C 67, 034610 (2003);
 C.-M Herbach et al. Nucl. Phys A 712, 207 (2002)
- [58] S.Goriely (Private Communication).
- [59] F.Kappeler, H.Beer, and K.W isshak, Rep. Prog. Phys.

52,945 (1989)

- [60] D. L. Burris, C. A. Pilachowski, T. E. Am andro, C. Sneden, J. J. Cowan, and H. Roe, Astrophys. J. 544, 302 (2000)
- [61] C. Sneden et al. A strophys. J. 591, 936 (2003)
- [62] M. Sorel, J.M. Conrad, and M.H. Shaevitz, Phys. Rev. D 70, 073004 (2005)
- [63] B S.M eyer and J.S.Brown, ApJS 112, 199 (1997)
- [64] I. V. Panov, C. Freiburghaus, and F.-K. Thielem ann, Nucl. Phys. A 688, 587 (2001)
- [65] G. Audi, O. Bersillon, J. Blachot and A.H. Wapstra, Nucl. Phys. A 729 (2003)
- [66] M. Patel and G. M. Fuller, arX iv hep-ph/0003034.
- [67] J.Pantaleone, Phys.Lett.B 287, 128 (1992)
- [68] A.B.Balantekin et al. New J. Phys. 7, 51 (2005)