Statistical mechanics of con ned quantum particles

V ishnu M .Bannur and K .M .U dayanandan D epartm ent of P hysics, U niversity of C alicut, K erala-673 635, India.

0 ctober 27, 2021

Abstract

We develop statistical mechanics and therm odynamics of Bose and Ferm i systems in relativistic harm onic oscillator (RHO) con ning potential, which may be applicable in quark gluon plasma (QGP), astrophysics, Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) etc. Detailed study of QGP system is carried out and compared with lattice results. Further, as an application, our equation of state (EoS) of QGP is used to study compact stars like quark star.

PACS Nos: 05.30.-d, 12.38 M h, 12.38 G c, 26.60.+ c, 05.70 C e, 12.39 K i

K eyw ords : Relativistic harm onic oscillator, Equation of state, quark gluon plasma, quark

star

1 Introduction :

There are many systems like QGP, quark stars, BEC etc. where particles are con ned by harm onic oscillator type potentials and are at therm odynam ic equilibrium. So we need to study statistical mechanics of such a system. Taking QGP, a new form of matter made of quarks and gluons, as an example we discuss this problem . It is generally accepted that hadrons are con ned state of quarks and gluons and at high tem perature and density, we get what is called QGP [1]. In relativistic heavy ion collisions (RHICs), quark stars etc., QGP consists of quarks and gluons con ned in a volum e large com pared to the size of hadrons. In the case of early universe, just before hadronization, again we have QGP. In all above cases, E oS of Q G P is needed to explain their evolution and study various properties. Since quantum chrom odynam ics (QCD) is nonlinear, it is di cult to solve analytically at low energy and only at high energy one can do perturbative calculations. That is why one goes to num erical simulations of QCD on lattice and EoS of QGP without and with quark avors has been derived [2]. Many phenom enological models like hard therm alloop (HTL) [3], quasi-particle QGP (qQGP) [4,5], strongly interacting QGP (sQGP) [6], strongly coupled QGP (SCQGP) [7] and various con nement models [8, 5, 9] were proposed to explain lattice results and there is no satisfactory model. Models which ts well with lattice results, generally, involve large number of parameters [4, 5] and models with detailed theory like HTL [3] don't t lattice results well, so on. However, recently we found that SCQGP model [7] as well as a new qQGP model [10] ts surprisingly well with lattice results with minimum number of param eters com pared to other m odels. B oth m odels are purely phenom enological and based on the collective properties of plasma.

Here we approach the problem from the known fact that RHO model of hadrons quite successfully explains many properties of hadrons [11]. QGP form ed in RHICs and compact stars may be viewed as a large hadron with large number of quarks and gluons, con ned in a RHO con ning potential. Hence, a study of statistical mechanics and therm odynamics of such a system will give EoS of QGP. It is just a generalization of widely studied Bag M odel of QGP. Instead of sharp bag wall, here we have a smooth con ning potential. As a rst step, here we neglect e ects like Color-Coulomb interactions, hadron form ations etc. and see how the EoS of QGP di ers from ideal EoS. Earlier, sim ilar calculations has been done [9], but without proper counting of degeneracy. They have taken only accidental degeneracy where as here we have taken full degeneracy arising from coalese of continum levels to oscillator levels as a result of RHO interaction, just like in Landau's theory of diam agnetism [12].

2 EoS ofQGP in RHO M odel:

From the earlier study of hadrons in RHO model, we have the single particle energy levels [11],

$$n^2 = C_q^2 (2n + 1);$$
 (1)

for gluons and

$${}_{n}^{2} = m_{q}^{2} + C_{q}^{2} + m_{q}^{2} (2n + 1);$$
(2)

for quarks. C_g and C_q are spring constants of gluon and quark RHO con ning potentials respectively. n = 1;2; ... is the oscillator quantum numbers and m_q is the mass of quark. Let us rst consider gluon plasma without quarks which is a Bose system. Following the standard procedure of statistical mechanics, pressure or logarithm of partition function is given by,

$$\frac{P V}{T} = \int_{n}^{X} g_{n} g_{I} \ln (1 e^{-n});$$
(3)

where g_n is the full degeneracy arising from the "coalescing together" of an alm ost continuous set of the zero-potential levels and is given by,

$$g_n = \frac{VC_g^3}{6^2} ((2n+3)^{3=2} (2n+1)^{3=2}) :$$
(4)

V is the volum e and is the inverse tem perature. $g_I = 16$ is the internal degrees of freedom of gluons. Since gluons are bosons, the fugacity z = 1. For ferm ions like quarks $z \in 1$ and pressure is given by,

$$\frac{P V}{T} = \int_{n}^{X} g_{n} g_{f} \ln (1 + z e^{-n});$$
(5)

where g_f is the internal degrees of quarks. Above expressions for pressure are exact and one need to do in nite sum which may be done num erically. However, for high tem perature, we may use Euler-Maclaurin series to get an appproximate expression as, after some algebra,

$$\frac{P}{T} = \frac{g_{I}}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{5} \frac{2}{(C_{g}T)^{3=2}} \frac{x^{l}}{l_{l=1}} \frac{1}{1^{5=2}} K_{3=2} \left(\frac{C_{g}I}{T}\right) + \frac{3}{4} \frac{C_{g}I}{T} K_{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{C_{g}I}{T}\right)^{\#} + \frac{C_{g}^{3}g_{I}}{12^{2}} \left(3^{P}\overline{3} - 1\right) \ln \left(1 - e^{\frac{C_{g}}{T}}\right)$$
(6)

for gluon plasma, in terms of modi ed Bessel functions K (x). But we know that at extrem ely high temperature, con nem ent e ects may be negligible and we expect to get EoS of relativistic free gas which we indeed get as shown below. As T ! 1,

P !
$$\frac{g_{I}^{2}}{90}T^{4}(1+\frac{45}{4^{2}}\frac{C_{g}^{2}}{T^{2}}+...)$$
 (7)
! $\frac{g_{I}^{2}}{90}T^{4}P_{s}:$

Note that in our quantum calculation with proper choice of g_h , Eq. (4), as expected, we get T^4 , rather than T^7 as in [9]. Further, we also know that as T ! 1, we approach classical lim it and from our earlier classical calculations of this problem, we indeed got T^4 law [13]. Next let us compare our EoS with the results of lattice simulation of QGP or lattice gauge theory (LGT).

3 Comparison with LGT:

We can see, from Eq. (7), that our EoS of QGP is temperature dependent modi cation of norm al T⁴ law. Sim ilar modi cations is seen in LGT calculations and only at T ! 1, one expects T⁴ law, which is termed as non-ideal e ect. As an example, let us consider pure gauge QGP or gluon plasm a and the pressure is, Eq. (3),

$$\frac{P}{T} = \frac{C_g^3 g_I}{6^2} \sum_{n}^{X} ((2n+3)^{3=2}) \ln (1 e^{\frac{c_g}{T} P \frac{2n+1}{2n+1}});$$
(8)

which may written as

$$p \quad \frac{P}{P_s} = \frac{a^3 g_I}{6^2} \frac{1}{t^3} \frac{X}{n} ((2n+3)^{3=2} (2n+1)^{3=2}) \ln (1 e^{\frac{a}{t} \frac{P}{2n+1}});$$
(9)

where P_s is the Stefan-Boltzm an limit pressure and t $T=T_c$. T_c is the critical tem perature of the transition of hadrons to QGP and the parameter a is defined as, a $C_g=T_c$. Above equation may be compared with LGT results by varying the parameter a as discussed in section V.K nowing P or partition function, all other therm odynamic quantities like energy density, entropy, sound speed etc. may be derived. Further extension of our calculations for QGP with nite number of quark avors, without or with nite chemical potential, is straight forward [14].

4 Quark star:

As another example, let us consider what are called quark stars [15]. We know that, at the last stages of a star, it undergoes various changes like white-dwarf star, neutron star and then, probably, quark star. Som etim es more complex compact star with di erent layers of m atter with quark m atter inside and then m ixture of quarks and hadrons, neutrons, so on. Here we take a very simple compact star, just cold quark star, where the degenerate pressure of quarks in RHO m odel balances the gravitational collapse. We estim ate the limiting m ass for stability and m ass-radius relation, using Newtonian description. A detailed study using Tolm an-Oppenheim er-Volko (TOV) equation with complex compact star in ourm odel is a separate topic. W ith these simplications, we get the degenerate pressure from the energy of the system,

$$E_{0} = \frac{C_{q}^{4} V g_{f}}{6^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{N_{F}} ((2n+3)^{3=2}) (2n+1)^{3=2})^{q} \overline{m_{q}^{2} + 2n+1};$$
(10)

where we have approximated the single particle energy level of quarks, Eq. (2), for sim plicity, as [9]

$${}_{n}^{2} = m_{q}^{2} + C_{q}^{2} (2n + 1) :$$
(11)

Here g_f is the internal degrees of quarks and $m_q = \frac{m_q}{C_q} \cdot n_F$ is the quantum number corresponding to Ferm i level, given by the relation,

$$N = \frac{C_q^3 V g_f}{6^2} \prod_{n=1}^{N_F} ((2n+3)^{3=2} (2n+1)^{3=2}); \qquad (12)$$

where N is the total number of quarks and in the case of cold star approximation, quarks

ll the single particle RHO levels, according to Pauli's exclusion principle, upto the level n_F . Follow ing the same procedure as that of white-dwarf star calculations we may relate the n_F to the radius, R, of the star as

$$R = \frac{4}{2m_{q}g_{f}C_{q}^{3}((2n_{F}+3)^{3=2}-3\overline{3})^{5}};$$
(13)

where M is the mass of star. Degenerate pressure is obtained from E $_{\rm 0}$,

$$P = \frac{QE_0}{QV}$$

$$= \frac{C_q^4 g_f}{6^2} \left[1 - \frac{(2n_F + 3)^{3=2}}{3(2n_F + 3)^{1=2}} \frac{p}{3} \frac{q}{Qn_F} \frac{p}{m_F^2} \frac{q}{(2n+3)^{3=2}} - (2n+1)^{3=2} \right] \left[q \frac{q}{m_q^2 + 2n + 1} \right] (14)$$

To proceed further, we approximate the summation over n by integration using Euler-M aclaurin series. The error introduced by this approximation is small, which may be checked num erically by evaluating summation and Euler-M aclaurin formula. This degenerate pressure balances the gravitational pressure inward, which we take, the Newtonian formula,

$$\frac{M^2}{4R^4};$$
(15)

where is the gravitational constant and is a geometrical factor. A fter some lengthy calculations for large $n_{\rm F}$, we get

$$(\mathbb{R}^{2} + 1) (2 - \mathbb{R}^{2})^{2} = \mathbb{M}^{4=3};$$
 (16)

where R and M are related to radius, R, and m ass, M, of the star respectively as,

$$\mathcal{R} = R C_{q} \left(\frac{2 g_{f} m_{q}}{9 M}\right)^{1=3};$$
(17)

and

$$M^{\sim} = \frac{M}{m_{q}} (m_{q}^{2})^{3=2} \frac{r}{3} \frac{\overline{g_{f}}}{3} \frac{32}{9} :$$
(18)

It is interesting to compare above mass-radius relation with fam iliar white-dwarf starm assradius relation, proceeding with similar normalization of mass and radius,

$$(\mathbb{R}^2 + 1) (2=3 \quad \mathbb{R}^2)^2 = M^{4=3}$$
: (19)

We see that the only change in our case is that the factor 2=3 is replaced by 2 in massradius relation and C_q plays the role of m_e , the electron mass. From the Eq. (16), we can estimate the limiting mass, M_0^{QS} , similar to the Chandrasekhar's limit (M_0^W) in the case of white-dwarf star, above which the star will collapse and we get,

$$M_{0}^{QS} = \left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{q}}\right)^{2} \frac{32}{g_{f}} M_{0}^{W};$$
 (20)

where m_p is the mass of proton. It is generally assumed [15] that quark star contains up, down and strange-antistrange quarks and hence g_f (2 3 2+1 2 3 2) = 24. The quark mass m_q in RHO is a constituent mass which ranges from 300 M eV to 600 M eV for up quark to strange quark and on an average we may approximate $m_q = m_p=2$. Therefore, as a rough estimate, from Eq. (20), limiting mass of quark star may be of the order of 4 times the solar mass, where as the M_0^{W} is of the order of solar mass. Further numerical calculation of Eq. (16), without the approximation of n_F ! 1 which is used to get the Eq. (16), we obtained a plot of mass-radius relation as shown Fig. 2.

5 Results:

In Fig. 1, we plotted our results for pressure in term s of p $P=P_s$ as function of t $T=T_c$ along with LGT results. By varying the parameter a, so as to get a similar behaviour as LGT results as function of t, we get a = 2.3. However, the curve lies slightly above the lattice data. W e m ay correct our pressure by subtracting a constant such that both the curve m atches at large t values and we get good t except near to T = T_c. The value of here is 0.1. It is not unreasonable to subtract by because we have neglected the m utual interactions between particles, m ainly, attractive C olor-C oulom bic interactions. W e know that attractive m utual interactions am ong particles reduces the pressure. From the expression for a, we may estimate $T_c = C_g=a$ 200M eV for $C_g = 2.35$ fm⁻¹ [9]. Once pressure or partition function is obtained all other therm odynam ic functions like ", c_s^2 etc. m ay be easily evaluated. Similar comparison of our results and LGT results may be carried for other systems with avored QGP [14]. In Fig. 2, we plotted m ass-radius relations of quark star. M ass is expressed in term s of solar m ass and radius in kilom eters (km) by solving, num erically, Eq. (14) after equating to the gravitational pressure. We have taken $m_q = 2.5$ which is equivalent to taking C_q 1 fm ¹ [9].

6 Conclusions:

W e developed statistical mechanics and therm odynamics of a system of quantum particles in RHO con ning potential and applied to QGP.W e compared the results with LGT and conclude that the overall QCD con ning e ect, modelled by RHO, explains the LGT data with sm all correction to take into account of the attractive mutual interactions among particles. W e applied our model to study quark star and obtained the limiting mass and mass-radius relation and found to agree of the order of magnitude. To get an accurate result one need to study complex compact stars using TOV equations. However, Boson or Fermion system in RHO con ning potential is a new general problem which may be applicable to many elds like BEC, RHICs, astrophysics and so on.

References

- [1] Proceedings of 5th International Conference on Physics and AstroPhysics of Quark G luon Plasma, Kolkata, India (2005), www.veccalemet.in/icpaqgp.
- [2] G. Boyd, J. Engels, F. Karsch, E. Laermann, C. Legeland, M. Lutgemeier and B. Petersson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 4169 (1995); Nucl. Phys. B 469, 419 (1996); F. Karsch, Nucl. Phys. A, 698, 199 (2002); E. Laermann and O. Philipsen, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 53, 163 (2003).
- [3] A.Rebhan, hep-ph/0010252, 2000.
- [4] A. Peshier, B. Kampfer, O. P. Pavlenko and G. So, Phys. Lett. B 337, 235 (1994);
 Phys. Rev. D 54, 2399 (1996); P. Levai and U. Heinz, Phys. Rev. C 57, 1879 (1998); R.
 A. Schneider and W. Weise, Phys. Rev. C 64, 055201 (2001).

- [5] D.H.Rischke, nucl-th/0305030, 2003.
- [6] E.Shuryak, hep-ph/0405066, (2004).
- [7] V.M.Bannur, Eur. Phys. J.C 11, 169 (1999);hep-ph/0504072.
- [8] V.M.Bannur, Phys. Lett. B 362, 7 (1995).
- [9] S.B.K hadkikar, J.C. Parikh and P.C. Vinodkum ar, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 8, 749 (1993).
- [10] V.M.Bannur, hep-ph/0508069.
- [11] S.B.Khadkikar and S.K.Gupta, Phys.Lett. B 124, 523 (1983); Phys.Rev.D 36, 307 (1987); P.C.Vinodkum ar, J.N.Pandya, V.M.Bannur and S.B.Khadkikar, Eur. Phys.J.A 4, 83 (1999).
- [12] R.K. Patria, Statistical Mechanics, Butterworth Heinem ann, Oxford (1997).
- [13] K.M. Udayanandan, V.M. Bannur and P.C. Vinodkum ar, submitted to J. Phys.G.
- [14] K.M.Udayanandan and V.M.Bannur, in preparation.
- [15] N.K.G lendenning, Compact stars, Springer, Berlin (2000); R.X.Xu, astro-ph/0211348;
 S.Banerjee, S.K.Ghosh and S.Raha, J.Phys.G: Nucl. Part. Phys., 26, L1 (2000).

Figure 1: P lots of $P = P_s$ as a function of $T = T_c$ from our model and lattice results (symbols) for pure guage.

Figure 2: Mass-radius plot of quark star, mass in term s of solar mass and radius in kilom eters.



Fig. 1



R [km]