# Chiral symmetry breaking and vacuum polarization in a bag

S.Yasui

Physics Department, Tokyo Institute of Technology, O okayam a 2-12-1, Meguro, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan

0 ctober 21, 2021

#### Abstract

We study the elects of a nite quark mass in the hedgehog conguration in the two phase chiral bag model. We discuss the chiral properties, such as the fractional baryon number and the chiral Casim ir energy, by using the Debye expansion for the analytical calculation and the Strutinsky's smearing method for the numerical computation. It is shown that the fractional baryon number carried by massive quarks in the vacuum is canceled by that in the meson sector. A nite term of the chiral Casim ir energy is obtained with subtraction of the logarithm ic divergence term.

#### 1 Introduction

Strangelets of nite volum e quark matter with strangeness has been one of the most interesting subjects of exotic particles in hadron and quark physics [1, 2, 3, 4]. In recent studies of strangelets, a model has been presented for a discussion of chiral symmetry breaking inside a quark droplet of nite volum e quark matter [5, 6, 7, 8]. There, in addition to quark con nement for a nite volum e system, it was allowed that the quarks acquire a nite mass by dynamical chiral symmetry breaking. In this picture, it was assumed that the quark interaction was provided by the N am bu (Jona-Lasinio (NJL) type interaction inside a quark droplet. In earlier works, the quark wave function was given by the M IT bag in Refs. [5, 6, 7]. Later, in order to overcom e the chiral symmetry breaking at the bag surface, the chiral bag model was introduced in Ref. [8]. The latter model was called as the NJL chiral bag model. Such an idea was rst presented by T.Kunihiro in 1983 [9, 10].

In the discussion of strangelets by the NJL chiral bag model, the hedgehog ansatz was used for the pion and ud quarks to include non-linear interaction of pions and quarks

yasuie th phys.titech.ac.p

[8]. In the history of the study of the chiral bag m odel since [11, 12], e ects of vacuum polarization, such as the anom abus baryon number and the chiral C asim ir e ects, have been discussed extensively form assless quarks [13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18]. It was shown that the correct behavior of the baryon number was provided by the contribution from the sea quarks [17, 19, 20]. In the development of the chiral bag m odel, several techniques for calculation of the sea quark contribution was also developed. In Ref. [17], the fractional baryon number was derived by using the M ultiple Re ection Expansion m ethod. A fler that, the D ebye expansion was used [19, 20]. The C asim ir energy is also a ected by the pion cloud. It was shown that the chiral C asim ir energy had a term of logarithm ic divergence [22, 26]. However, these properties form assive quarks have not been investigated so far. In the fram ework of the NJL chiral bag m odel, the dynam ical quark m ass is an order parameter for the chiral symm etry breaking in the chiral bag. In order to search the real stable state in the energy variation with respect to the dynam ical quark m ass, we need to clarify the e ect of vacuum polarization for the nite quark m ass in the hedgehog con guration in the chiral bag. This is the m otivation in the present paper.

We organize this paper as follows. In Section 2, we propose a model lagrangian of the chiral bag with massive quarks. In this paper, we describe the quarks with a nite D irac mass, which is generated through the NJL type interaction in the chiral bag. In Section 3, we discuss a fractional baryon number for massive quarks by using both of numerical computation and analytical formulation. In Section 4, we discuss the chiral C asim ir energy. Deriving the terms of logarithm ic divergence the nite term of the chiral C asim ir energy is obtained numerically. We summarize our discussion in Section 5.

# 2 The chiral bag with m assive quarks

In the NJL chiral bag model [8, 9, 10], the quark mass in a nite volume system is induced by the NJL point-like interaction [23]. In the NJL chiral bag model, we consider the ud quark sector with a nite quark mass induced by the mean eld approximation in the scalar channel. The lagrangian in the ud quark sector is written as

$$L = (ie m) (R r) \frac{1}{2} U^{5} (r R);$$
 (1)

which includes a boundary condition explicitly. Here,  $= (u;d)^t$  is the ud quark elds, and the ud quark mass matrix is given by  $\mathfrak{m} = \operatorname{diag}(\mathfrak{m}_u;\mathfrak{m}_d)$  with avor symmetry  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_u = \mathfrak{m}_d$ . The ud quark mass is the sum of the current mass and the constituent mass which is generated in the non-zero expectation value of the quark scalar condensate qq. In general, the constituent quark mass can be position dependent. Here, in order to simplify the essential discussion of the nite quark mass, we treat the quark mass as a constant. For a bag con guration, we assume a static spherical bag with radius R. The step function is multiplied in the rst term in order to con ne quarks inside the bag. Here, r is a distance from the center of the bag. The second term with the delta function realizes the chiral invariant boundary condition at the bag surface, where we de ne

$$U^{5} = e^{i^{-5}};$$
 (2)

with the meson eld ~ and the Paulimatrix ~ . In this paper, we do not explicitly show the lagrangian in the pion sector, since our current interest is to study the chiral vacuum polarization of the quarks in the bag.

In order to consider the non-linear e ect of the pion, we assume the hedgehog ansatz in the meson sector, where the pion eld conserves the grand spin  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{J} + \mathbb{I}$  with total angular momentum  $\mathcal{J}$  and isospin  $\mathbb{I}$ . The hedgehog pion eld for  $r > \mathbb{R}$  is written as

$$\sim = F(r)n; \tag{3}$$

with a chiral angle F (r) and a unit radial vector n in the real space [28, 29].

A coording to the meson sector, we introduce the hedgehog basis set in the ud quark sector [18, 27]. We construct the quark wave function () for natural ( = +) and unnatural ( = ) assignment for grand spin K, respectively;

$${}^{(+)} = \frac{a_0 j_k (pr) j_{i+a_1 j_k} (pr) j_{i}}{a_2 j_{k+1} (pr) j_{i+a_3 j_k} (pr) j_{i}};$$
(4)

and

The coe cients a  $_{i}$  and b<sub>i</sub> (i = 0; ;3) are determined by satisfying the equation of motion of the ud quark for = , respectively.  $j_{k}$  (pr) is the spherical Bessel function and p is the ud quark momentum. Here, the two-component spinors  $j_{i}$ ;  $j_{i}$  are given by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} j Di &=& Y_{K,M} (; ) {}_{0}^{0}; \\ j Ii &=& (K M & 1 K M) Y_{K M} (; ) {}^{1}; \\ &=& {}_{X}^{1;0;1} \\ j 2i &=& (K + 1M & 1 K M) Y_{K + 1M} (; ) {}^{1}; \\ &=& {}_{X}^{1;0;1} \\ j Bi &=& (K & 1M & 1 K M) Y_{K + 1M} (; ) {}^{1}; \\ &=& {}_{1;0;1} \end{array}$$

$$(6)$$

where  $Y_{LM}$  (;) is the spherical harm on ics with spherical coordinate (;). <sup>G</sup> are eigenstates of G = S + I = -2 + -2,

The sign of naturalness corresponds to the parity  $P = ()^{K+}$ .

In order to satisfy the equation of motion, the coe cients a  $_{\rm i}$  for naturalness assignment

= + are subjected to

where we de ne

$$P = \int_{s}^{s} \frac{\overline{K + 1}}{2K + 1};$$

$$Q = \int_{q}^{q} \frac{\overline{K}}{2K + 1};$$

$$E = \int_{q}^{q} \frac{p^{2} + m^{2}}{p^{2} + m^{2}};$$
(9)

Then, we obtain two independent solutions

$$\hat{a}^{0} = \begin{cases}
 0 & a_{0}^{0} & 1 & 0 & \frac{p}{E} & 1 \\
 B & a_{1}^{0} & C & = & N^{0} & B & \frac{p}{E} & \frac{p}{E} & iP & C \\
 a_{2}^{0} & A & = & N^{0} & B & \frac{p}{E} & \frac{p}{E} & iQ & C \\
 a_{3}^{0} & & 0 & & & & \\
 a_{3}^{0} & & & 0 & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0} & 1 & & & & & & & & & & \\
 a_{0}^{0}$$

Here, the norm alization constants N  $^{0}$  and N  $^{0}$  are determined by the condition  $^{R} d^{3}x^{(+)y^{(+)}} = 1$ ,

$$N^{02} = R^{3} \frac{E}{E m} \overset{\#}{j_{k}} (pR)^{2} + j_{k+1} (pR)^{2} \frac{1}{pR} 2(K+1) \frac{m}{E} j_{k} (pR)j_{k+1} (pR);$$

$$M^{02} = R^{3} \frac{E}{E m} j_{k-1} (pR)^{2} + j_{k} (pR)^{2} \frac{1}{pR} 2K + \frac{m}{E} j_{k-1} (pR)j_{k} (pR);$$
(11)

The nalsolution is expressed as a linear combination of  $\tilde{a}^0$  and  $\tilde{a}^{0}$ ,

$$a = c^{0}\tilde{a}^{0} + c^{0}\tilde{a}^{0} = c^{0}N_{e}^{0}B_{e}^{0} + c^{0}N_{e}^{0} +$$

with constants  $c^0$  and  $c^{00}$ . We obtain a solution for the unnaturalness assignment = in the same way.

The eigenenergy of the hedgehog ud quark is given by the boundary condition at the bag surface  $r=\,R$  ,

$$in \sim () = e^{i \sim nF(R)_5} ()$$
 (13)

By substituting the solution of Eq. (12), we obtain an equation for the eigenvalue, which is given by

$$\cos F(R) : \frac{k}{p} (pR)^{2} = \frac{E m}{p} \int_{k+1}^{2} (pR) j_{k-1}(pR) j_{k-1}(pR);$$

$$\frac{E m}{p} j_{k}(pR) f_{j_{k+1}}(pR) j_{k-1}(pR) g$$

$$+ \frac{E m}{p} \frac{\sin F(R)}{2K+1} j_{k}(pR) f_{j_{k+1}}(pR) + j_{k-1}(pR) g = 0:$$
(14)

The equation for K = 0 is obtained by setting  $j_1$  (pR) = 0. Eq. (14) determ ines the energy spectrum of a quark in the bag. We mention that there is a symmetry of the energy level in the positive and negative energy

$$E_{\kappa P}(F) = E_{\kappa P}(F):$$
(15)

This relation has its origin in the invariance of the lagrangian (1) under the transform ation  $U \mid U \text{ or } F \mid F$ . A nother sym metry under  $F \mid F + \text{ and } \mid \text{ is conserved in}$  the massless case. However, this sym metry is not conserved for the nite mass. This is due to an asymmetry of the quark energy levels, which is shown in Fig. 1 in [8].

#### 3 Baryon num ber conservation

In the limit of the zero bag radius, the meson eld in the Skyrme lagrangian gives a mapping from  $R^3$   $S^3$  to SU (2)  $S^3$  by imposing a boundary condition U = 1 at r ! 1 : The winding number in the mapping is identied with the baryon number [28, 29]. The fractional baryon number due to the pion cloud is given as [28, 29]

$$B = \frac{1}{-} F = \frac{1}{2} \sin 2F ; \qquad (16)$$

where F is the chiral angle at the bag surface. In the pure Skyrm ion, the chiral angle is given as n with integer n.

On the other hand, in the chiral bag with nite bag radius, the chiral angle is not generally equal to n . Therefore, the meson carries only a fractional baryon number. There, it has been known that the total baryon number is composed of the fractional baryon numbers of the pion, of the vacuum and of the valence quarks in a bag [18, 27]. The baryon number carried by the vacuum quarks is de ned as

$$B_{q}(m;F) = \frac{1}{2}h0 j[Y; ]Di$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2}\lim_{t \ge 0} x \operatorname{sgn}(E_{n})e^{tE_{n}j}$  (17)

Here, j i is a vacuum state of an empty bag led with negative energy quarks,  $E_n = E_n$  (m; F) an n-th state energy of a quark with mass m, and at the chiral angle F at the bag surface. The quantum number n labels the grand spin and parity. The sum is taken over for all the quark states n with positive and negative energies in the bag. In order to obtain the convergence in the sum, an exponential type regularization is multiplied.

In the studies of the chiral bag model with massless quarks, it was shown that the baryon number of the vacuum quarks was canceled by that of the meson cloud [13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 26, 20]. A coordingly, the total baryon number is a conserved quantity. This result should also be the case for the chiral bag with massive quarks. It is expected that the baryon number conservation is not a ected by the nite quark mass, because U (1)<sub>B</sub> symmetry is conserved in our lagrangian (1). In the following, we show explicitly the cancellation of the fractional baryon number between the vacuum massive quarks and the pion cloud. We use both numerical computation and analytical procedure.

First, we show the numerical computation. In the studies of chiral bag model with massless quarks, the regularization, such as the Gaussian type [22], heat kernel type [26], have been used with success. In this paper, we use the Strutinsky's smearing method [24, 25]. This method has an advantage that the states necessary for computation are limited up to the grand spin  $K_{max}$  40 in order to obtain a good convergence, while we need  $K_{max}$  100 at least for the other regulators. We rewrite the baryon number (17) by introducing a delta function for a density of states to pick up the discrete levels

$$B_{q}(m;F) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{2} dx sgn(E_{n}) (x E_{n}R)$$
(18)

Then, we replace the delta function by a gaussian function,

$$B_{q}(m;F) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{Z_{1}} dx_{x}(m;F); \qquad (19)$$

where we de ne a function for a density of states

$$_{x} (m; F) = \sum_{n}^{X} sign (E_{n}) \frac{e^{(x E_{n}R)^{2} = 2}}{P};$$
 (20)

where '2 3 is a sm earing parameter. We obtain  $B_q = 0$  at F = 0, since the energy spectrum is symmetric for positive and negative energies. In oder to obtain a rapid convergence in the sum, it is convenient to de ne the di erence of  $_x$  (m; F) between nite F and F = 0,

$$\sim_{x} (m; F) = _{x} (m; F) _{x} (m; 0):$$
 (21)

Therefore, the baryon number de ned by Eq. (17) is given by an alternative form ulation

$$B_{q}(m;F) = \int_{1}^{Z_{1}} dx \sim_{x} (m;F):$$
 (22)

For a num erical computation, we restrict the range of the x-integral in a nite interval x 2 [  $x_{m ax}$ ;  $x_{m ax}$ ].

$$B_{q}(m;F) = \int_{x_{max}}^{Z} dx \sim_{x} (m;F): \qquad (23)$$

We use  $x_{max}$  ' 20 to obtain su cient convergence. Carrying out num erical computation, we obtain the result which is given by

$$B_{q} (m; F) = \frac{1}{2} F \frac{1}{2} \sin 2F$$
 (24)

for F 2  $[F_0;F_0 + ]$ . The value of  $F_0$  depends on the quark mass;  $F_0 = 0.5$ , 0.695,

0.816 and 0.878 form R = 0, 1, 2 and 3, respectively. The equation (24) is independent of quark m ass m, and coincides with the opposite sign of Eq. (16) in the meson sector. Therefore, the fractional baryon number by meson and vacuum quark cancel each other for any quark mass.

The total baryon number is equal to A for a quark droplet with 3A valence quarks for any chiral angle. Let us investigate the case of a baryon. The baryon number carried by valence and vacuum quarks for chiral angle  $F_0 < F < 0$  is given as a sum of the valence quark and the fractional baryon number

B (m; F)<sub>val+sea</sub> = 
$$1 + \frac{1}{-}$$
 F  $\frac{1}{2}$  sin 2F : (25)

For  $\langle F \langle F_0 \rangle$ , the valence quark is absent since the  $0^+$  state is absorbed in the vacuum. Then, the baryon number is obtained by substituting F + instead of F from a periodicity,

$$B (m; F)_{val+sea} = \frac{1}{2} (F + 1) \frac{1}{2} \sin 2(F + 1)$$
$$= 1 + \frac{1}{2} F \frac{1}{2} \sin 2F : \qquad (26)$$

Therefore, the total baryon number is one for any chiral angle. In the same way, the conservation of baryon number holds for any quark droplets.

Second, we present an analytical calculation of the baryon number (17) by using the D ebye expansion. This method was originally developed in the chiral bag with massless quark [19, 20]. We apply this form alism to the massive case. We rewrite Eq. (17) in terms of the quark propagator as in [19, 20]. Then, we obtain

$$B_{q}(m;F) = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{\substack{1 \\ 0_{+} \ 1}} \frac{Z_{1}}{2i} e^{ix} \frac{X}{K=0} (2K+1) \frac{d}{dx} \ln \left( \frac{S_{K}(m;F;ix)}{S_{K}(m;F;ix)} \right)^{\#}$$
(27)

Here,  $S_K$  (m; F; p) is given as a product of the left hand side of the eigenvalue equation Eq. (14) for natural ( = +1) and unnatural ( = 1) states. For the sake of using the

Debye expansion, we substitute an imaginary number ix for pR in the spherical Bessel function. We explicitly show

$$S_0 (F; ix) = \cos F j_1 (ix)^2 j_0 (ix)^2 2 \frac{E \sin F + m}{p} j_0 (ix) j_1 (ix)$$
 (28)

for K = 0, and

$$S_{K} (m;F;ix) = \frac{E}{p} \cos F j_{k} (ix)^{2} j_{k+1} (ix) j_{k-1} (ix) + \frac{\sin F}{2K+1} j_{k} (ix) (j_{k+1} (ix) + j_{k-1} (ix)) + \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{m}{p} j_{k} (ix)^{2} + j_{k+1} (ix) j_{k-1} (ix) - j_{k} (j_{k+1} (ix) - j_{k-1} (ix)) + \frac{\pi}{2}$$

$$(29)$$

for K  $\notin$  0. For a short notation, we write m = mR, p = x and  $E = \frac{p}{m^2 + x^2}$ . The x-integral picks up an eigenvalue as a residue instead of solving directly the boundary condition. We note that Eq. (27) is an exact formulation, but it is not practical to consider the x-integral and the sum over K without approximation. In order to obtain the nalresult, it is su cient to use an asymptotic behavior of the quark energy spectrum.

In the follow ing manipulation, we discuss the K = 0 and K = 1 components separately for convenience. First, we consider the K = 0 component, which is defined by

$$B_{q}^{(K=0)}(m;F) = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{1 \to +\infty}^{Z_{1}} \frac{dx}{2i} e^{ix} \frac{d}{dx} \ln \frac{S_{0}(m;F;ix)}{S_{0}(m;F;ix)}^{\#} :$$
(30)

It is convenient to write the function  $S_0$  (m; F; ix) in a polar coordinate

$$S_0 \text{ (m ; F ; ix)} = R_0 \text{ (x)}e^{1 0 \text{ (x)}}$$
: (31)

By using the asymptotic form

$$j_1$$
 (ix)  $' \frac{i}{2x} e^x + e^x$ ; (32)

we obtain

$${}_{0}(\mathbf{x})' \arctan \left[ \frac{e^{2x}}{e^{2x} + 2} \frac{e^{-2x}}{2x} \frac{P}{\frac{x^{2} + m^{2}}{x} \sin F} + m}{x \cos F} \right]^{\#} :$$
(33)

Then, it is straightforward to perform the integral, giving

$$B_{q}^{(K=0)}(m;F)' \xrightarrow{F}; \qquad (34)$$

for any quark m ass m.

Second, for K 1, we use the Debye expansion for the modi ed Bessel function in estimation of Eq. (29). In our notation, the modi ed Bessel function I (x) is de ned by

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{i}\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{k}}}{2\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{i}^{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{x}); \tag{35}$$

with = K + 1=2. The D ebye expansion is a uniform asymptotic expansion with no constraint between and x. The D ebye expansion gives

I (x) 
$$' \frac{(1=2)}{P_{2t}} e^{f(x)};$$
 (36)

in the lowest order, where we denet =  $p - \frac{1}{2} + t^2$  and f (x) = t sinh<sup>1</sup> (=x). Now, let us dene

$$B_{q}^{(K-1)}(m;F) = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{\substack{1 \ 0_{+}}} \sum_{1}^{Z-1} \frac{dx}{2i} e^{i \times \frac{X}{K-1}} \frac{d}{dx} \ln \left( \frac{S_{K}(m;F;ix)}{S_{K}(m;F;ix)} \right)^{\#}$$
(37)

Here, we write  $S_K$  (m; F; ix) in a polar coordinate

$$S_{K}$$
 (m ; F ; ix) =  $R_{K}$  (x) $e^{i_{K}$  (x): (38)

Then, we apply the Debye expansion (36). A fler a little tedious calculation, we pick up only the term s of the leading order of m, and obtain

<sub>K</sub> (x) ' arctan 
$$\frac{1}{2K+1} \frac{x}{2t^3} \sin 2F \frac{4 \text{ m}}{t}$$
: (39)

We consider that the quark massm is smaller as compared with the momentum p. Indeed, this is a good approximation, since we are interested in the asymptotic behavior of the quark energy spectrum. The x-integral for  $_{\rm K}$  (x) is integrated out and the integral is determined only by  $_{\rm K}$  (1)  $_{\rm K}$  (1). Concerning the term which has no quark mass, we use an identity

$$\frac{\cos(z)}{1} = \ln \tan(z=4) - 2\cos(z=2);$$
 (40)

and

$$\lim_{\substack{1 \\ 1 \\ 0_{+}}} \sum_{1}^{2} \frac{dx}{2} e^{ix} \frac{x}{K} \frac{d}{dx} \frac{ix}{2t^{3}} = \frac{1}{2}:$$
(41)

Concerning the term proportional to m, we use

$$\lim_{\substack{1 \\ 0_{+} \\ 1}} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{dx}{2i} e^{ix} \frac{dx}{K} \frac{d}{dx} \frac{4}{t} = 0; \qquad (42)$$

which indicates the mass term does not contribute to the integral. Consequently, we obtain the result

$$B_{q}^{(K-1)}$$
 (m; F) =  $\frac{\sin 2F}{2}$ : (43)

F inally, by Eqs. (34) and (43), the fractional baryon number obtained in the analytical procedure coincides with the numerical result Eq. (24).

## 4 ChiralCasim ir energy

The chiral Casim in energy arises as a result of the modi cation of quark energy levels for nite pion cloud. Following the regularization scheme in the baryon number, the chiral Casim in energy is dened by

$$E_{c}(m;F) = \frac{1}{2}hO j[^{y};H] jD i$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2}\lim_{t \to 0_{+}} \sum_{n}^{X} sign(E_{n})E_{n}e^{tjE_{n}j};$  (44)

as in Refs. [13, 14, 15, 18, 21, 26, 27].

We show the numerical procedure by using the Strutinsky's smearing method. By using the Gaussian function (20), we rewrite Eq. (44) as

$$E_{c} (m;F) = \int_{1}^{Z_{1}} dx x_{x} (m;F):$$
(45)

By choosing the reference point at F = 0, we obtain an alternative formulation

$$E_{C}(m;F) = E_{C}(m;F) \quad E_{C}(m;0)$$
$$= dxx \sim_{x} (m;F): \qquad (46)$$

Restricting the range of integral in a nite range of  $[x_{max};x_{max}]$  with su cient convergence, we obtain,

$$E_{C} (m;F) = \int_{x_{max}}^{Z} dx x \sim_{x} (m;F):$$
(47)

In order to achieve a rapid convergence of the integral, it is much more practical to use the partial integration<sup>1</sup>. We mention that we need  $x_{max}$  40 to obtain the convergence in the calculation. More states are necessary for the chiral Casim ir energy as compared for the baryon number ( $x_{max}$  20).

For massless quarks, it has been known that there is a logarithm ic divergence term in the Casim ir energy (44). It was shown that the divergence term is proportional to  $\sin^2 F$  [13, 14, 15, 19, 20, 21, 26, 27]. Here, we also derive the logarithm ic divergence term form assive quark by using the D ebye expansion developed in [19, 20]. Following the procedure in [19, 20], we rewrite the Casim ir energy as

$$E_{C}(m;F) = \frac{1}{2R} \lim_{\substack{1 \\ 0_{+} \\ 1 \\ 0_{+} \\ 1 \\ 0_{+} \\ 1 \\ 0_{+} \\ 1 \\ 0_{+} \\ 1 \\ 0_{+} \\ 0$$

Just as in the case of the baryon number, we consider the calculation for K = 0 and K = 1, separately for convenience.

<sup>1</sup>We use a relationship 
$$\begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} dxx \sim_x (m;F) = \begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} dx \frac{x^2}{2} \sim_x^0 (m;F)$$
 with  $\sim_x (m;F)$ ! 0 for  $jxj! 1$ .

F irst, we investigate the K = 0 sector,

$$E_{C}^{(K=0)}(m;F) = \frac{1}{2R} \lim_{\substack{l=0\\ l=0}}^{Z-1} \frac{dx}{2} x e^{ix} \frac{d}{dx} \ln \frac{S_{0}(m;F;ix)}{S_{0}(m;0;ix)} :$$
(49)

We apply the asymptotic form of the spherical Bessel function (32). By expanding  $\beta_0 \text{ (m ; F ; ix)}=S_0 \text{ (m ; 0; ix) j in the lowest order of m, we obtain}$ 

$$E_{C}^{(K=0)}(m;F)'\frac{1}{2R}\lim_{\substack{1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ xe^{i}x\frac{d}{dx}\cos^{2}F + \sin F \tanh^{2}(2x) + \sin F \frac{2m}{x} \tanh^{2}(2x) : (50)$$

The set two terms in the integrand, which does not contain quark mass, are given in [19, 20]. The integral of the third term proportional to m is easily shown to be equal to zero. Consequently, we obtain the result

$$E_{\rm C}^{(\rm K=0)} (m; F)' \frac{F^2}{4 \rm R}:$$
 (51)

ı.

The divergence term does not appear in the K = 0 sector.

Second, we investigate the sum over K 1,

$$E_{C}^{(K-1)}(m;F) = \frac{1}{2R} \lim_{! 0_{+}} \frac{2}{1} \frac{dx}{2} x e^{ix} \frac{x^{k}}{K} (2K + 1) \frac{d}{dx} \ln \frac{S_{K}(m;F;ix)}{S_{K}(m;0;ix)} :$$
(52)

A fter a little troublesom e calculation by using the D ebye expansion and expanding in the lowest order of m , we obtain

$$\ln \frac{S_{K}(\mathfrak{m}; \mathbf{F}; \mathbf{i} \mathbf{x})}{S_{K}(\mathfrak{m}; \mathbf{0}; \mathbf{i} \mathbf{x})} \quad \cdot \quad \sin^{2} \mathbf{F} \quad \frac{(1 \quad L_{+} L_{-})^{2}}{(1 + L_{+}^{2})(1 + L^{2})} + \frac{1}{(2K + 1)^{2}} \frac{(L_{+} \quad L_{-})^{2}}{(1 + L_{+}^{2})(1 + L^{2})} \\ + \frac{\mathfrak{m}^{2}}{2\mathfrak{x}^{2}} \sin^{2} \mathbf{F} \frac{(1 \quad L_{+} L_{-})^{2}}{(1 + L_{+})^{2}(1 + L_{-})^{2}} \\ = \frac{1}{2} \sin^{2} \mathbf{F} \frac{1}{t^{2}} \quad 2 \quad \frac{2}{t^{2}} + 1 \quad \frac{2}{t^{2}} \quad 2 \quad \frac{3}{t^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{t} + 0 \quad (1 = t^{2})^{\#} \\ + \frac{\mathfrak{m}^{2}}{2} \sin^{2} \mathbf{F} \frac{1}{4} \quad 1 \quad \frac{2}{t^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{t^{2}} \quad 1 + 1 \quad \frac{3}{t^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{t} + 0 \quad (1 = t^{2}) \quad : \quad (53)$$

Here, we de ne L = I  $_1$ =I for a short notation. The rst term, which does not contain quark mass, coincides with the case of massless quarks [19, 20]. The second term proportional to m is further analyzed as followings. By taking a derivative with respect to x, we obtain

$$x \frac{d}{dx} \ln \frac{S_{K} (m; F; ix)}{S_{K} (m; 0; ix)} \quad ' \qquad \frac{1}{2} \sin^{2} F \qquad 4 \frac{x^{4}}{t^{6}} \qquad \frac{6}{t^{3}} + \frac{31^{2}}{t^{5}} \qquad \frac{46^{4}}{t^{7}} + \frac{21^{6}}{t^{9}} + \frac{m^{2}}{t^{9}} + \frac{m^{2}}{8} \sin^{2} F \qquad \frac{2}{t^{2}} \qquad \frac{3}{t^{3}} + \frac{6^{2}}{t^{4}} + \frac{23^{2}}{t^{5}} \qquad \frac{4^{4}}{t^{6}} \qquad \frac{41^{4}}{t^{7}} + \frac{21^{6}}{t^{9}} + \frac{21^{$$

Then, introducing new variables h = t = and z = x =, we have

$$E_{c}^{(K-1)}(m;F) = \frac{\sin^{2}F}{R} \lim_{t \to 0^{+}} \frac{2}{0} dz \frac{2z^{4}}{h^{6}} \frac{x^{4}}{\kappa} \cos(z) + \frac{\sin^{2}F}{R} \lim_{t \to 0^{+}} \frac{2}{0} dz \frac{6}{h^{3}} \frac{31}{h^{5}} + \frac{46}{h^{7}} \frac{21}{h^{9}} \frac{x^{4}}{\kappa} \frac{\cos(z)}{\kappa} + \frac{m^{2}}{8R} \sin^{2}F \lim_{t \to 0^{+}} \frac{2}{0} dz \frac{1}{h^{2}} + \frac{6}{h^{4}} \frac{4}{h^{6}} \frac{x^{4}}{\kappa} \cos(z) + \frac{m^{2}}{8R} \sin^{2}F \lim_{t \to 0^{+}} \frac{2}{0} dz \frac{1}{h^{3}} + \frac{23}{h^{5}} \frac{41}{h^{7}} + \frac{21}{h^{9}} \frac{x^{4}}{\kappa} \frac{\cos(z)}{\kappa} = 1$$

The sum mation over K 1 and the integral is achieved by the form ula Eq. (40). Finally, we arrive at the result

$$E_{C}^{(K-1)}(m;F)' = \frac{3}{16R} + \frac{2}{15R}\ln + \frac{12\ln 2}{30R}\frac{9}{30R} + \frac{m^{2}}{64R} - \frac{1}{158R}\ln + \frac{12\ln 2}{30R}\frac{9}{R}$$
(h + 1 4 ln 2) sin<sup>2</sup> F (56)

Therefore, we obtain a logarithm ic divergence term with coe cient of  $\sin^2 F$  for a nite quark mass. We note that our result reproduces the previous result for the massless quarks in m ! 0 [19, 20].

Now, in order to remove the logarithm ic divergence, we subtract the second derivative of the chiral C asim ir energy with respect to the chiral angle at the reference point F = 0, and we obtain a nite contribution

$$E_{c}^{fin}(m;F) = E_{c}(m;F) + \frac{1}{2}\sin^{2}F + \frac{\theta^{2}E_{c}(m;F)}{\theta^{2}F^{2}} = 0$$
(57)

In Fig. 1, we show the chiral Casim ir energy  $E_{C}^{fin}$  as a function of the chiral angle F. The lines are distinguished by the quark masses m R = 0 (dashed line), m R = 1 (solid line), respectively. It is a remarkable point that the Casim ir energy for the massive quark takes a nonzero value at F = , while that of the massless quark becomes zero at F = . This is because that the massive quark has an asymmetric energy spectrum between F = 0 and , while the massless quark has a symmetric spectrum, as shown in Fig.1 in [8].

Here, we recall the Cheshire C at picture in the chiral bag model with m assless quarks. There, the continuous transform ation from the chiral bag to the Skyrm ion was induced in the limit of sm all bag radius [13, 14, 15]. This picture seems not to be applied to the case of the massive quarks, since the chiral C asim ir energy takes a nite value at the zero bag radius, or F = ... However, this observation does not make us abandon our discussion. Indeed, in our formulation, the quark mass is a dynam ical variable which should be determined by the energy variation. Our previous result suggested that the chiral symmetry was restored in the limit of sm all bag radius [8]. Therefore, the NJL chiral bag model holds the Cheshire C at picture.



F igure 1: The C asim ir energy as a function of the chiral angle at the bag surface. The dashed and solid lines indicate the quark m asses m R = 0 and m R = 1, respectively.

#### 5 Summary

W e discussed the chiral properties in the chiral bag m odel which contained m assive quarks. C onsidering that the dynam ical quark m ass was generated by chiral sym m etry breaking, the fractional baryon number and the chiral C asim ir energy were investigated. W e discussed the e ects of the nite quark m ass in the hedgehog con guration with an emphasis on the technical procedure.

We showed the numerical calculation by the Strutinsky's smearing method and the analytical technique by the D ebye expansion. It was shown numerically and analytically that the fractional baryon number carried by vacuum massive quarks inside the bag was canceled that of the meson outside the bag. Therefore, the total baryon number is exactly conserved. By using the D ebye expansion, it was shown that the chiral C asim ir energy had a logarithm ic divergence term. The chiral C asim ir energy was obtained numerically by the Strutinsky's smearing method by removing the divergence term. It is a point di erent from massless quark that the chiral C asim ir energy for massive quark had a nonzero value at the chiral angle F =

As further development, we plan to discuss a fully chiral symmetric equation of motion for a nite quark mass. In our present analysis of the NJL chiral bag model, we performed a meanel approximation only in the scalar channel in the four point interaction [8]. However, in the hedgehog conguration, it may be allowed to have a nite expectation value of qq, not only in the sigma channel, but also in the pion channel. In the latter case, the expectation value of qq with pion quantum number can be given as a nite value in a basis set of the hedgehog quark wave function. This study is now in progress. The quantization of the hedgehog conguration for massive quark is an important subject in order to obtain the state with de nite spin and isospin. It is also an interesting subject to include the strangeness sector in our fram ework. These subjects are left as future works.

## A cknow ledgem ent

The author acknow ledge to Prof. H. Hosaka and Prof. M. Oka for useful discussions. The author is also grateful to Prof. T. Kunihiro. Part of this study was proceeded when the author was belonging to Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, K yoto University. This work was also partially supported by G rant-in-A id for Scienti c R essarch for Priority A reas, M EXT (M inistry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology) with No. 17070002.

#### References

- [1] A.R.Bodmer, Phys.Rev.D 4, 1601 (1971).
- [2] E.W itten, Phys. Rev. D 30, 272 (1984).
- [3] E.Farhiand R.L.Ja e, Phys. Rev. D 30, 2379 (1984).
- [4] F.Weber, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 54, 193 (2005).
- [5] O.K iriyam a and A.Hosaka, Phys. Rev. D 67, 085010 (2003).
- [6] S.Yasui, A.Hosaka and H.Toki, Phys. Rev. D 71, 074009 (2005).
- [7] S.Yasuiand A.Hosaka, Int. J.M od. Phys. E 15, 595 (2006).
- [8] S.Yasuiand A.Hosaka, hep-ph/0604206.
- [9] T.Kunihiro, PANIC (1984), Heidelberg, Book of Abstracts I, B41.
- [10] T.Kunihiro, Soryuushiron-Kennkyuu, vol. 68 (1983) C26.
- [11] T. Inoue and T. Maskawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. 54, 1833 (1975).
- [12] A. Chodos and C. B. Thom, Phys. Rev. D 12, 2733 (1975).
- [13] G.E.Brown and M.Rho, Phys. Lett. 82B, 177 (1979).
- [14] G.E.Brown, M.Rho and V.Vento, Phys. Lett. 84B, 383 (1979).
- [15] V. Vento, M. Rho, E. M. Nyman, J. H. Jun and G. E. Brown, Nucl. Phys. 345, 413 (1980).
- [16] A.W. Thom as, Adv. Nucl. Phys. 13, 1 (1984).
- [17] J.Goldstone and R.L.Ja e, Phys. Rev. Lett. 51, 1518 (1983).
- [18] P.J.Mulders, Phys. Rev. D 30, 1073 (1984).
- [19] I. Zahed, U-G. Meissner and A. Wirzba, Phys. Lett. 145B, 117 (1984).

- [20] I. Zahed, A.W irzba and U-G.M eissner, Ann. Phys. 165, 406 (1985).
- [21] A.Hosaka and H.Toki, Phys. Rep. 277, 65 (1996).
- [22] L.Vepstas, A.D. Jackson and A.S.Goldhaber, Phys. Lett. 140B, 280 (1984).
- [23] Y. Nam bu and G. Jona-Lasinio, Phys. Rev. 122, 345 (1961), 124 246 (1961); U. Vogl and W. Weise, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 27, 195 (1991); S. P. Klevansky, Rev. Mod. Phys. 64, 649 (1992); T. Hatsuda and T. Kunihiro, Phys. Rept. 247, 221 (1994).
- [24] E.W ust, L.Vepstas and A.D. Jackson, Phys. Lett. B 173, 217 (1986).
- [25] L.Vepstas and A.D. Jackson, Phys. Rep. 187, 109 (1990).
- [26] A.Hosaka and H.Toki, Phys. Lett. 167B, 153 (1986).
- [27] A.Hosaka and H.Toki, "Quarks, Baryons and Chiral Symmetry", World Scientic (2001).
- [28] T.H.R.Skyme, Proc. Roy. Soc. A 260, 127 (1961).
- [29] G.S.Adkins, C.P.Nappiand E.W itten, Nucl. Phys. B 228, 552 (1983).