

Correlation between Leptonic CP Violation and μ -Symmetry BreakingTeppei Baba and Masaki Yasue[†]Department of Physics, Tokai University,
1117 Kitakaname, Hiratsuka,
Kanagawa 259-1292, Japan

(Dated: December, 2006)

Considering the μ -symmetry, we discuss a direct linkage between phases of flavor neutrino masses and leptonic CP violation by determining three eigenvectors associated with $M = M^Y M$ for a complex flavor neutrino mass matrix M in the flavor basis. Since the Dirac CP violation is absent in the μ -symmetric limit, leptonic CP violation is sensitive to the μ -symmetry breaking, whose effect can be evaluated by perturbation. It is found that the Dirac phase (δ) arises from the μ -symmetry breaking part of $M_{e\mu}$ and an additional phase (ϕ) is associated with the μ -symmetric part of $M_{e\mu}$, where M_{ij} stands for an ij matrix element ($i, j = e, \mu, \tau$). The phase is redundant and can be removed but leaves its effect in the Dirac CP violation characterized by $\sin(\delta + \phi)$. The perturbative results suggest the exact formula of mixing parameters including that of δ and ϕ , which turns out to be free from the effects of the redundant phases. As a result, it is generally shown that the maximal atmospheric neutrino mixing necessarily accompanies either $\sin \theta_{13} = 0$ or $\cos(\delta + \phi) = 0$, the latter of which indicates maximal CP violation, where θ_{13} is the e - μ mixing angle.

PACS numbers: 12.60.-i, 13.15.+g, 14.60.Pq, 14.60.St

I. INTRODUCTION

Properties of neutrinos have been extensively studied by various experiments [1, 2, 3, 4] since the historical confirmation of the atmospheric neutrino oscillations by the Super-Kamiokande collaboration [5]. For our understanding of hidden properties of the neutrinos it is physically significant to observe leptonic CP violation in future neutrino experiments [6] since there is no reason that prevents the appearance of CP violation in the lepton sector. Theoretically, leptonic CP violation is sensitive to phases [7] of the PMNS (Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata) unitary matrix U_{PMNS} that converts the flavor neutrinos $\nu_{e;\mu;\tau}$ into the massive neutrinos $\nu_{1;2;3}$: $\nu_f = (U_{\text{PMNS}})_{fi} \nu_i$ ($f = e, \mu, \tau$; $i = 1, 2, 3$) [8], where a neutrino mass matrix M in the flavor basis is transformed into $U_{\text{PMNS}}^T M U_{\text{PMNS}} = \text{diag.}(m_1, m_2, m_3)$. CP effects in neutrino reactions are known to be characterized by the Jarlskog invariant J_{CP} proportional to $\sin \theta_{13} \sin \delta$ [9], where θ_{13} and δ , respectively, stand for the e - μ mixing angle and the CP violating Dirac phase. However, these two quantities are not experimentally well known and the current data show the upper bound on θ_{13} [10]:

$$\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.9^{+2.3}_{-0.9} \times 10^{-2}; \quad (1)$$

and no indication of the presence of δ . For a given value of θ_{13} , CP violation becomes maximal if $\delta = \pi/2$ (modulo π). It is of great importance to accumulate theoretical knowledge about θ_{13} and δ . We expect that it also provides useful information on the choice of CP phases in the leptogenesis [11] to create the baryon number in the Universe [12].

The leptonic CP violation is usually parameterized by phases in U_{PMNS} given by the particle data group [13], which we call $U_{\text{PMNS}}^{\text{PDG}}$, as $U_{\text{PMNS}}^{\text{PDG}}(\theta) = U(\theta; 0; 0) K(\theta_1; \theta_2; \theta_3)$:

$$U(\theta; 0; 0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} \\ 0 & s_{12}c_{13} & c_{12}c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{23} & s_{23}e^{-i\delta} & 0 \\ -s_{23} & c_{23}e^{-i\delta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{23} & s_{23}e^{-i\delta} \\ 0 & -s_{23} & c_{23}e^{-i\delta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\phi_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\phi_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\phi_3} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

[†]Electronic address: 5asnm016@keyaki.cc.u-tokai.ac.jp[†]Electronic address: yasue@keyaki.cc.u-tokai.ac.jp

for $c_{ij} = \cos \theta_{ij}$ and $s_{ij} = \sin \theta_{ij}$ ($i, j = 1, 2, 3$), where θ_{ij} stand for three neutrino mixing angles. The Majorana CP violation phases are determined by two combinations of $\theta_{1,2,3}$ such as θ_{i-3} ($i = 1, 2, 3$). Since the knowledge on flavor neutrino masses M contains all information of new physics of neutrinos, to speak about CP violations, it is useful to find direct linkage between phases in M and CP violating Dirac and Majorana phases. However, phases in M are not uniquely determined because of the freedom of the redefinition of phases of the flavor neutrinos without affecting physical consequences and thus contain redundant phases. This freedom dictates other versions of U_{PMNS} than Eq.(2). The true effect of the Dirac CP violation can only be discussed after obtaining U_{PMNS} and is described in terms $(U_{PMNS})_{fi}$ through J_{CP} , which is free from the effect of the redefinition. There is a useful formula without referring to U_{PMNS} to determine the Jarlskog invariant in terms of $M^{\dagger}M$ (M), whose matrix element is denoted by M_{ij} ($i, j = e, \mu, \tau$) [4]: $J_{CP} = \text{Im}(M_e M_{\mu} M_{\tau}) = (m_{12}^2 m_{23}^2 m_{31}^2)$, where $m_{ij}^2 = m_i^2 - m_j^2$ ($i, j = 1, 2, 3$). However, in any cases, it is not an easy task to find from J_{CP} which flavor neutrino mass of M is mainly responsible for the Dirac CP violating phase.

We have advocated the use of the μ -symmetry [5, 16, 17, 18, 19] to evaluate effects of leptonic CP violation [20, 21, 22, 23]. It is based on the observation that the μ -symmetric texture gives $\sin \theta_{13} = 0$ (or $\sin \theta_{12} = 0$ [24]) giving no Dirac CP violation.¹ Therefore, leptonic CP violation is sensitive to how the μ -symmetry is broken. For example, if the μ -symmetry breaking part of M is restricted to be pure imaginary and if its symmetric part is real, the Dirac CP violation becomes maximal for given mixing angles and the atmospheric neutrino mixing is also becomes maximal [20, 21, 22, 25]. This texture shows $M_e = M_e$ ($= 1$) and $M = M$, where M_{ij} ($i, j = e, \mu, \tau$) stands for the i - j matrix element of M .² It can be further shown that this condition is extended to a more general one: $M_{e\mu} = M_{e\tau}$ and $M_{\mu\tau} = M_{\mu\tau}$ [22].³

It is known that the μ -symmetry required for neutrinos is badly broken by charged leptons. Since a pair of the charged lepton and neutrino forms an $SU(2)_L$ -doublet, discussions based on the μ -symmetry required for neutrinos may be useless. However, it should be noted that to talk about the experimental results of neutrino mixings is almost equivalent to talk about theoretical results based on the approximate μ -symmetry. This is based on the good guiding principle to understand sources of small quantities in physics, which is the naturalness [26]. The naturalness dictates that the smallness of a certain physical quantity (such as $\sin^2 \theta_{13} \ll 1$ and/or $m^2 = |m_{atm}^2| \ll 1$) implies a certain protection symmetry (such as the μ -symmetry). Furthermore, the similarity between charged leptons and neutrinos loses direct linkage because charged leptons are Dirac particles but neutrinos can be Majorana particles. This significant difference yields sufficient power to construct various models based on the μ -symmetry [17, 18, 27, 28].

In this article, along this line of thought, we discuss properties of the leptonic CP violation without entailing details of textures and examine constraints on various flavor neutrino masses to be compatible with the current neutrino oscillation data. We focus on describing the CP violating Dirac phase as well as the mixing angles in terms of flavor neutrino masses as general as possible. As a result, U_{PMNS} is completely expressed in terms of flavor neutrino masses and we understand which flavor neutrino masses mainly control which part of the leptonic CP violation [29]. To construct U_{PMNS} , we must find three eigenvectors associated with M [24, 29, 30]. We first determine eigenvectors for the μ -symmetric part of M . In the symmetric limit, the eigenvectors are found to generally contain a redundant phase to be denoted by ϕ in the e -mixing, which serves as an additional CP violating Dirac phase after the μ -symmetry breaking effects are included. Next, to see the μ -symmetry breaking effects, we employ the usual perturbative analysis of M , where the μ -symmetry breaking part of M is treated as a perturbation. This perturbation yields another phase to be denoted by δ associated with the μ -mixing to all U_{PMNS} in a consistent manner. However, this phase δ is completely removed without affecting CP violation. On the other hand, to remove the phase ϕ affects CP violation and results in δ as a physical CP violating Dirac phase. We keep ϕ in the $PMNS$ unitary matrix to trace its effect. Considering the perturbative results, we are led to general formula to express these mixings, and CP phases in terms of M itself.

Our formula are shown to indeed reproduce the perturbative results and to consistently take care of the effect of the redundant phases ϕ and δ . It turns out that ϕ and δ are determined to be

$$\phi = \arg \sin \theta_{23} M_e^0 + \cos \theta_{23} M_e^0; \quad (3)$$

$$\delta = \arg \cos \theta_{23} M_e^0 - \sin \theta_{23} M_e^0; \quad (4)$$

¹ For $\sin \theta_{12} = 0$, textures must contain a small parameter denoted by ϵ and yield $\tan 2\theta_{12} = \epsilon$, which vanishes as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ but stays $O(1)$ for $\epsilon \neq 0$, where ϵ stands for a μ -symmetry breaking parameter. The Dirac CP violating phase becomes $\delta = \epsilon$, which vanishes as well be shown in Eq.(18).

² The parameter ϵ is so chosen to yield $\sin \theta_{23} = \epsilon/2$ in Eq.(2) after diagonalizing the μ -symmetric M , where $M_e = M_e$ and $M = M$ are satisfied. This assignment of ϵ also appears in Eq.(A2).

³ The condition is in fact found to be a solution to $M_e^{\dagger} M_e = M_e^{\dagger} M_e = M_e^{\dagger} M_e$ (corresponding to $M = M$), which is the relation satisfied by a set of flavor neutrino masses discussed in Ref[22].

where $M_e^0 = e^i M_e$, and $M_e^0 = e^{-i} M_e$ are the redefined masses, which mean that the effect of ϕ is absorbed by the redefinition of masses. If ϕ is removed, M_e^0 are shifted to $M_e^0 = e^{i(\phi - \theta)} M_e$, and $M_e^0 = e^{-i(\phi + \theta)} M_e$, which give $\phi + \theta$ in place of ϕ in Eq.(3) as expected. The atmospheric neutrino mixing angle is also determined and given by

$$\tan^2 \theta_{23} = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta_{13}}; \quad \sin \theta_{13} = \frac{\sin \theta_{13} \sin 2\theta_{12} \cos(\phi + \theta)}{2N}; \quad \sin \theta_{12} = \frac{(M_{ee} - M)}{2N}; \quad (5)$$

where $N = \text{Re}(M^0) = \text{Re}(M^0)$, $N = \frac{1}{4} \text{Re}^2 M^0 + (M_{ee} - M)^2/4$, and $M^0 = e^{2i} M$. The observed mass difference squared m^2 is given by $m^2 = m_2^2 - m_1^2 (> 0)$. For textures with $\sin \theta_{13} \neq 0$, the maximal atmospheric neutrino mixing is indeed provided by the maximal CP violating Dirac phase giving $\cos(\phi + \theta) = 0$ as long as $M_{ee} = M$ is satisfied. It should be noted that the combination of $M_{ee} M_{\mu\mu} (= M_{ee} M_{\mu\mu})$ appearing in J_{CP} becomes independent of the redefinition of the flavor neutrinos as expected because of $M_e^0 M^0 M_e^0 = M_{ee} M_{\mu\mu}$ for $M_e^0 = e^{i(\phi - \theta)} M_e$, $M_e^0 = e^{-i(\phi + \theta)} M_e$ and $M^0 = e^{2i} M$.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section Sec.II, we discuss physical consequence of the μ -symmetric part of M . The new phase ϕ is generally associated with M_{ee} . In Sec.III, the discussions are further extended to include textures without the μ -symmetry. We first calculate U_{PMNS} composed of three eigenvectors by the perturbative method, which treats a μ -symmetry breaking part of M as a perturbation. We next derive a set of formula suggested by the results of the perturbation to calculate the mixing angles and phases, which can be applicable to any textures without the approximate μ -symmetry. In Sec.IV, properties of neutrino oscillations are discussed by using our results given by the formula. To see the power of our formula, a simple neutrino mass matrix is analyzed. The final section is devoted to summary and discussions.

II. μ -SYMMETRY

Let us begin with defining a neutrino mass matrix M parameterized by⁴

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M_{ee} & M_e & M_e \\ M_{ee} & M & M & M \\ M_e & M & M & M \end{pmatrix} A; \quad (6)$$

The μ -symmetry can be defined by the invariance of the flavor neutrino mass terms in the lagrangian under the interchange of μ or τ . As a result, we obtain $M_e = M_e$ and $M_{\mu\mu} = M_{\mu\mu}$ for μ or $M_e = M_e$ and $M_{\tau\tau} = M_{\tau\tau}$ for τ . We use the sign factor $\eta = \pm 1$ to have $M_e = \eta M_e$ for the μ -symmetric part under the interchange of μ . If M has CP phases, we have to use the Hermitian matrix M to find eigenvectors of M , which can be divided into the μ -symmetric part M_{sym} and the μ -symmetry breaking part M_b as shown in the Appendix A.

The μ -symmetric part M_{sym} in Eq.(A3) is analyzed in this section. We introduce a phase parameter β to express

$$B_+ = e^i \beta_+ j; \quad (7)$$

leading to

$$M_{\text{sym}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A & e^i \beta_+ j & e^i \beta_+ j \\ e^{-i} \beta_+ j & D_+ & E_+ & A \\ e^i \beta_+ j & E_+ & D_+ & A \end{pmatrix}; \quad (8)$$

Three eigenvalues denoted by λ_1 and λ_2 associated with M_{sym} are found to be:

$$\lambda_1 = D_+ - E_+ + \beta_+ j; \quad \lambda_2 = D_+ + E_+; \quad (9)$$

⁴ It is understood that the charged leptons and neutrinos are rotated, if necessary, to give diagonal charged-current interactions and to define the flavor neutrinos of e , μ and τ .

where

$$X = \frac{A D_+ + E_+}{2\mathcal{B}_+ j} \frac{q}{(A D_+ + E_+)^2 + 8\mathcal{B}_+ j}; \quad (10)$$

The corresponding eigenvectors are calculated to be

$$\begin{aligned} j-i = N \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ X \\ e^{-i} A \end{pmatrix}; \quad j+i = N_+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ X + e^i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} A; \quad j-i = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} A; \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

respectively, for $-$, $+$ and $-$, where $N = \frac{q}{2 + X^2}$. There is an ambiguity to have an overall phase in each eigenvector. The orthogonality condition is obviously satisfied because of $X + X = 2$.

Considering the phase in Eq.(11), we parameterize the PMNS unitary matrix to be: $U_{\text{PMNS}}^{(0)}(\delta; \theta) = U(\delta; \theta) K(\theta_1; \theta_2; \theta_3)$ with

$$U(\delta; \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13}e^i & s_{13}e^{-i} \\ c_{23}s_{12}e^{-i} & s_{23}s_{12}e^i & c_{23}c_{12} & s_{23}s_{12}e^{i(+)} \\ s_{23}s_{12}e^{-i} & c_{23}c_{12} & c_{23}s_{12}e^i & s_{23}s_{12}e^{i(+)} \\ s_{23}s_{12}e^{-i} & c_{23}c_{12} & c_{23}s_{12}e^i & s_{23}s_{12}e^{i(+)} \end{pmatrix} A; \quad (12)$$

It should be noted that the Dirac CP violation is characterized by $\sin \theta_{13} \sin(\delta + \theta)$ instead of $\sin \theta_{13} \sin \delta$ as indicated by J_{CP} . It is obvious that M_{sym} is equivalent to

$$M_{\text{sym}}^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A & e^{-i} B_+ & e^{-i} B_+ \\ e^{-i} B_+ & D_+ & E_+ & A \\ e^{-i} B_+ & E_+ & D_+ & A \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A & \mathcal{B}_+ j & \mathcal{B}_+ j \\ \mathcal{B}_+ j & D_+ & E_+ & A \\ \mathcal{B}_+ j & E_+ & D_+ & A \end{pmatrix}; \quad (13)$$

corresponding to Eq.(A 7) with $\theta_+ =$ and $\theta_- = 0$. Since M_{sym}^0 yields $U_{\text{PMNS}}^{\text{PDG}}$ with $\theta = 0$, the phase δ disappears and no CP violation exists. However, if there are $-$ symmetry breaking effects, CP violation is active. It is anticipated that the effect of θ remains in Dirac CP violation, where in $U_{\text{PMNS}}^{\text{PDG}}$ is replaced by $\theta +$ at $U_{\text{PMNS}}^{(0)}$. To trace the effect of θ explicitly, we keep θ in the PMNS unitary matrix hereafter.

As have been pointed out in Ref.[24], there are two categories that characterize the effect from the $-$ symmetry. In terms of the mixing angles, the $-$ symmetry requires either $\sin \theta_{13} = 0$ or $\sin \theta_{12} = 0$. In terms of the eigenvalues of Eq.(9), two categories depend on the order of $j-j$ and $j-j$, namely, on how these three eigenvalues are assigned to $m_{1;2;3}$. For $m_1 =$, $m_2 = +$, and $m_3 =$, if M gives $j-j < j+j < j-j$ as the normal mass ordering or $j-j < j-j < j+j$ as the inverted mass ordering, we find U_{PMNS} described by

$$(j-i; j+i; j-i) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & X \\ \frac{1}{2 + (X_-)^2} & e^{-i} A & \frac{1}{2 + (X_+)^2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X + e^i \\ 1 & A \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & A \end{pmatrix}; \quad (14)$$

which yields

$$\tan 2\theta_{12} = \frac{2\sqrt{2}\mathcal{B}_+ j}{D_+ - E_+ A}; \quad \tan 2\theta_{23} = \frac{2\sqrt{2}\mathcal{B}_+ j}{D_+ - E_+ A}; \quad \sin \theta_{13} = 0; \quad (15)$$

On the other hand, for $m_1 = +$, $m_2 =$, and $m_3 =$, if the eigenvalues satisfy $j+j < j-j < j-j$ as the normal mass ordering or $j-j < j+j < j-j$ as the inverted mass ordering, we find that U_{PMNS} is described by

$$(j+i; j-i; j-i) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & X_+ \\ \frac{1}{2 + (X_+)^2} & e^{-i} A & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & A \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X e^i \\ 1 & A \end{pmatrix}; \quad (16)$$

where e^{-i} is multiplied to $j-i$ and the relative sign due to θ is adjusted to match $U_{\text{PMNS}}^{(0)}$, which yield

$$\sin \theta_{12} = 0; \quad \tan 2\theta_{23} = \frac{2\sqrt{2}\mathcal{B}_+ j}{D_+ - E_+ A}; \quad \tan 2\theta_{13} = \frac{2\sqrt{2}\mathcal{B}_+ j}{D_+ - E_+ A}; \quad (17)$$

By comparing Eq.(16) with Eq.(12), we obtain

$$= : \quad (18)$$

The Dirac CP violation is absent in both cases because of $\sin_{13} \sin(\delta + \phi) = 0$ satisfied by either $\sin_{13} = 0$ or $\delta + \phi = 0$.

We have more convenient formula for masses and mixing angles as shown in the Appendix B with $\delta = 0$. These formula yield the same results given by the eigenvectors and eigenvalues. Applying M_{sym} to these relations, we find that

$$\text{Re}(E_+) \cos 2\theta_{23} = s_{13} c_{13} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta m_{21}^2 s_{13} s_{12} c_{12} = 0; \quad (19)$$

and

$$X = \frac{(c_{23} + s_{23}) B_+}{c_{13}}; \quad Y = (s_{23} - c_{23}) B_+; \quad (20)$$

By using $\tan \theta_{13} = \frac{p}{1 + \tan^2 \theta_{13}} = \tan 2\theta_{13} (1 + \frac{1}{\tan^2 2\theta_{13}}) / \frac{1}{2} \Delta m_{21}^2$ from Eq.(19) and noticing that $\cos 2\theta_{23} = (c_{23} + s_{23})(c_{23} - s_{23})$, we find that the solution to Eq.(19) turns out to be either

$$c_{23} = s_{23}; \quad (21)$$

leading $\sin_{13} = 0$ with $\delta = 0$, or

$$c_{23} = -s_{23}; \quad (22)$$

leading $\sin_{12} = 0$ with $\delta = \pi$ because of Eq.(20) with $\tan 2\theta_{13} e^{i\delta} / Y$ and $B_+ / e^{i\delta}$.

III. μ -SYMMETRY BREAKING AND CP PHASES

The μ -symmetry breaking M_b in Eq.(A.3) is analyzed in this section. We choose conventional perturbative analysis with M_b treated as a perturbation to find eigenvectors and eigenvalues associated with M . An additional phase ϕ is induced by E in M_b as a main source, which is to be removed by the redefinition of δ . The inclusion of M_b creates either $\sin_{13} \neq 0$ or $\sin_{12} \neq 0$. However, the perturbative treatment only allows to induce tiny magnitude of $\sin_{12,13}$. For $\sin_{12} = 0$, we cannot give the consistent result with the observation, which requires that $\sin^2 2\theta_{12} = O(1)$, and physical consequence of textures with $\sin_{12} = 0$ in the μ -symmetric limit will be discussed in a separate article.

A. Perturbative Results

The starting eigenvectors and eigenvalues are provided by

$$1^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \sqrt{2} c_{12}^{(0)} \\ s_{12}^{(0)} e^{-i\phi} \end{pmatrix} A; \quad 2^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \sqrt{2} s_{12}^{(0)} e^{i\phi} \\ c_{12}^{(0)} \end{pmatrix} A; \quad 3^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} A; \quad (23)$$

and, from Eq.(B.3),

$$\begin{aligned} m_1^{(0)2} &= c_{12}^{(0)2} A + s_{12}^{(0)2} (D_+ + E_+) + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2} c_{12}^{(0)} s_{12}^{(0)} \Delta m_{21}^2; \\ m_2^{(0)2} &= s_{12}^{(0)2} A + c_{12}^{(0)2} (D_+ + E_+) + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2} c_{12}^{(0)} s_{12}^{(0)} \Delta m_{21}^2; \\ m_3^{(0)2} &= D_+ + E_+; \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

for textures with $\sin_{13} = 0$, where we have used the superscript (0) for mixing angles determined by Eq.(15). From these results, we can find the first order results $j_n^{(1)}$ and $m_n^{(1)2}$ ($n = 1, 2, 3$) as follows:

$$1^{(1)} = a_{13}^{(1)} 3^{(0)}; \quad 2^{(1)} = a_{23}^{(1)} 3^{(0)}; \quad 3^{(1)} = a_{13}^{(1)} 1^{(0)} + a_{23}^{(1)} 2^{(0)}; \quad (25)$$

where

$$a_{13}^{(1)} = \frac{P \bar{2} c_{12}^{(0)} B}{m_1^{(0)2}} \frac{s_{12}^{(0)} (D + iE) e^i}{m_3^{(0)2}}; \quad a_{23}^{(1)} = \frac{P \bar{2} s_{12}^{(0)} B}{m_2^{(0)2}} \frac{e^i + c_{12}^{(0)} (D + iE)}{m_3^{(0)2}}; \quad (26)$$

and

$$m_1^{(1)2} = m_2^{(1)2} = m_3^{(1)2} = 0; \quad (27)$$

The three eigenvectors are now described by $\vec{ji} = \vec{j}^{(0)}i + \vec{j}^{(1)}i$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$). For instance, $\vec{ji}$ is calculated to be:

$$\vec{ji} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2(2 - R \cos 2_{12}^{(0)})B}{2 m_{atm}^2} + P \bar{2} \sin 2_{12}^{(0)} (D + iE) e^i \\ \frac{(2 + R \cos 2_{12}^{(0)}) (D + iE) + P \bar{2} R \sin 2_{12}^{(0)} B e^i}{2 m_{atm}^2} \\ \frac{(2 + R \cos 2_{12}^{(0)}) (D + iE) + P \bar{2} R \sin 2_{12}^{(0)} B e^i}{2 m_{atm}^2} \end{pmatrix}; \quad (28)$$

where $m_{atm}^2 = m_3^2$ ($m_1^2 + m_2^2 = 2$), and $R = 1$ for $R = m_2^2 = m_{atm}^2$ is used.

The PMNS unitary matrix can be constructed to be: $(\vec{ji}, \vec{ji}, \vec{ji})$. By using Eq.(28), we observe that the corresponding part in $U_{PMNS}^{(0)}$, i.e. $(s_{13}e^{-i}; s_{23}c_{13}; c_{23}c_{13})^T$, cannot be constructed from $\vec{ji}$ because of the presence of the imaginary parts in all entries. It is found that a new phase defined in

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^i \\ 0 & 0 & e^i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ A \end{pmatrix}; \quad (29)$$

is responsible for all phases in Eq.(28).⁵ In fact, the PMNS unitary matrix U_{PMNS} that diagonalizes $M_{sym} + M_b$ can take the form of

$$U_{PMNS}(\vec{ji}; \vec{ji}; \vec{ji}) = P U_{PMNS}^{(0)}(\vec{ji}; \vec{ji}; \vec{ji}) = U(\vec{ji}; \vec{ji}; \vec{ji}) K(\vec{ji}; \vec{ji}; \vec{ji}); \quad (30)$$

where

$$U(\vec{ji}; \vec{ji}; \vec{ji}) = P U(\vec{ji}; \vec{ji}; 0); \quad (31)$$

We can identify $\vec{ji}$ with the third row in U_{PMNS} and find that

$$\vec{ji} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} s_{13}e^{-i} \\ s_{23}e^i A \\ c_{23}e^i \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} P \bar{2} s_{13}e^{-i} \\ (1 + i) A \\ 1 + i \end{pmatrix}; \quad (32)$$

This identification leads to

$$\begin{aligned} s_{13}e^{-i} &= \frac{P \bar{2} (2 - R \cos 2_{12})B}{2 m_{atm}^2} + R \sin 2_{12} (D + iE) e^i; \\ \cos 2_{23} (\vec{ji}) &= \frac{(2 + R \cos 2_{12})D + P \bar{2} R \sin 2_{12} R e B e^i}{m_{atm}^2}; \\ &\frac{(2 + R \cos 2_{12})E + P \bar{2} R \sin 2_{12} \text{Im} B e^i}{2 m_{atm}^2}; \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

⁵ There may be another phase (δ) associated with the 2-3 rotation as $\begin{pmatrix} \cos 2_{23} & \sin 2_{23}e^i \\ \sin 2_{23}e^{-i} & \cos 2_{23} \end{pmatrix}$ for $(\vec{ji}; \vec{ji})$, which is not appropriate to describe Eq.(28) in the limit of $j \rightarrow 1$. The coexistence of δ and δ is mathematically irrelevant because three phases of the Dirac type are sufficient to determine the PMNS unitary matrix. The phase δ can be absorbed into δ and δ . It can be proved that we have $\delta = \delta + \delta$ and $\delta = \delta + \delta$ in place of δ and δ in Eq.(36) with $\delta = \delta + \delta$ so that the freedom of δ expressed in terms of δ , δ and δ becomes hidden.

where $\theta_{12}^{(0)}$.

The phase ϕ turns out to consistently take care of other extra phases in θ_{11} and θ_{21} . It is known that the phase can be removed by appropriate redefinition of the neutrinos. Let $\nu_{\text{flavor}} = (\nu_e; \nu_\mu; \nu_\tau)^T$ and $m_{\text{ass}} = (m_1; m_2; m_3)^T$. Because $\nu_{\text{flavor}} = U_{\text{PMNS}}^{(0)} m_{\text{ass}}$, new flavor neutrinos given by $\nu_{\text{flavor}}^0 = U_{\text{PMNS}}^{(0)} \nu_{\text{flavor}}$ are transformed by $U_{\text{PMNS}}^{(0)}$. In this flavor base, we obtain that $L_m = \sum_{\text{flavor}} \bar{\nu}_{\text{flavor}}^0 M^0 \nu_{\text{flavor}}^0 = 2$ with $\nu_{\text{flavor}}^0 = U_{\text{PMNS}}^{(0)} m_{\text{ass}}$ and

$$M^0 = \begin{pmatrix} M_{ee} & e^{i\phi} M_e & e^{i\phi} M_e \\ e^{i\phi} M_e & e^{2i\phi} M & M \\ e^{i\phi} M_e & M & e^{2i\phi} M \end{pmatrix} A; \quad (34)$$

which is nothing but Eq.(A 7) with $\phi = \phi$ and $\phi_{e,\tau} = 0$. The phase ϕ is now absent in the PMNS unitary matrix for M^0 .

If the μ -symmetry breaking terms have similar strengths, we expect that $\theta_{13} \sim \theta_{23} \sim \theta_{12}$. If this is the case, Eq.(33) can be further reduced to

$$s_{13} e^{i\phi} = \frac{P}{m_{\text{atm}}^2} B; \quad \cos 2\theta_{23} = \frac{2D}{m_{\text{atm}}^2}; \quad \frac{2E}{2m_{\text{atm}}^2}; \quad (35)$$

because of $\theta_{12} \sim \theta_{23} \sim \theta_{13}$. Considering Eq.(35) and Eq.(7) for θ_{12} , we observe that

- the μ -symmetric B is the main source of θ_{12} ,
- the μ -symmetry breaking B is the main source of θ_{13} ,
- the μ -symmetry breaking D is the main source of $\cos 2\theta_{23}$,
- the μ -symmetry breaking E is the main source of θ_{23} .

These are our main results found in textures with the approximate μ -symmetry.

B. Exact Results

As suggested from the subsection IIIA, we may employ M^0 of Eq.(34) and U_{PMNS} of Eq.(30). The direct calculation from $U_{\text{PMNS}}^\dagger M U_{\text{PMNS}} = \text{diag.}(m_1^2; m_2^2; m_3^2)$ yields three constraints and three masses expressed in terms of M_{ij} ($i, j = e, \mu, \tau$) just corresponding to Eqs.(B 1), (B 2) and (B 3). Let us define new flavor neutrino masses and a new Dirac phase ϕ^0 :

$$\begin{aligned} B^0 &= e^{i(\phi - \theta)} B; \quad C^0 = e^{i(\phi + \theta)} C; \quad E^0 = e^{2i\phi} E; \\ X^0 &= e^{i\phi} X; \quad Y^0 = e^{i\phi} Y; \quad \phi^0 = \phi + \theta; \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

which are induced by the appropriate redefinition of the neutrinos with $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_e e^{i\theta}$ and $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\mu e^{-i\theta}$ in Eq.(A 7). In another words, it is equivalent to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{\text{flavor}} &= U_{\text{PMNS}} (\nu_e; \nu_\mu; \nu_\tau) m_{\text{ass}} = U (\nu_e; \nu_\mu; \nu_\tau) K (m_1; m_2; m_3) m_{\text{ass}}; \\ \nu_{\text{flavor}}^0 &= U_{\text{PMNS}}^{\text{PDG}} (\nu_e^0; \nu_\mu^0) m_{\text{ass}} = U (\nu_e^0; \nu_\mu^0; \nu_\tau^0) K (m_1; m_2; m_3) m_{\text{ass}}; \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

as in Eq.(A 5) with $\theta_e = \theta$. It is obvious that $BE C$ ($= M_e M_\mu M_\tau$) $= B^0 E^0 C^0$ ($= M_e^0 M_\mu^0 M_\tau^0$), which reassures that J_{CP} is a weak-base-independent quantity. The formula in the Appendix B are a little bit modified and relations to be modified are expressed in terms of the new masses and phase as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tan 2\theta_{12} &= \frac{2X^0}{2m_1^2 - m_2^2}; \quad \tan 2\theta_{13} e^{i\phi^0} = \frac{2Y^0}{m_3^2 - m_1^2}; \\ \text{Re}(E^0) \cos 2\theta_{23} + D \sin 2\theta_{23} + i \text{Im}(E^0) &= s_{13} e^{i\phi^0} X^0; \\ X^0 &= \frac{c_{23} B^0 - s_{23} C^0}{c_{13}} (= \text{real}); \quad Y^0 = s_{23} B^0 + c_{23} C^0; \\ \theta_{12} &= \theta_{23}^2 D + s_{23}^2 F - 2s_{23} c_{23} \text{Re}(E^0); \quad \theta_{13} = s_{23}^2 D + c_{23}^2 F + 2s_{23} c_{23} \text{Re}(E^0); \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

These relations together with other relations in Eqs.(B 3) and (B 4) guarantee that diagonal masses are obtained by U_{PMNS}^{PDG} , which does not contain δ and ϕ . To determine δ and ϕ can be rephrased as follows [1]:

$$\tan \theta_{23} = \frac{\text{Im}(e^{i(\phi+\delta)} B)}{\text{Im}(e^{i(\phi+\delta)} C)} = \frac{\text{Im}(e^{i(\phi+\delta)} C)}{\text{Im}(e^{i(\phi+\delta)} B)}; \quad (39)$$

owing to the absence of the imaginary part of X^0 and $e^{i\phi} Y^0$. Our formula can be used to examine textures with $\sin \theta_{12} \neq 0$ in the μ -symmetric limit.

From the relations, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{the CP phase } \phi \text{ is the phase of the flavor neutrino mass: } s_3 e^{i\phi} B &= s_3 e^{i\phi} \text{ as} \\ &= \arg c_{23} e^{i\phi} B = s_3 e^{i\phi} C; \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{the CP phase } \delta \text{ is the phase of the flavor neutrino mass: } s_3 e^{i\phi} B + c_{23} e^{i\phi} C &\text{ as} \\ &= \arg s_{23} e^{i\phi} B + c_{23} e^{i\phi} C; \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

the real part of Eq(2)

$$\text{Re}(e^{2i\phi} E \cos 2\theta_{23} + D \sin 2\theta_{23}) = s_3 \cos(\phi + \delta) e^{i\phi} X(\phi, \delta); \quad (42)$$

determines $\cos 2\theta_{23}$, which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \cos 2\theta_{23} &= \frac{D \frac{\text{Re}(e^{2i\phi} E) + D^2}{\text{Re}(e^{2i\phi} E) + D^2} x^2 + x \text{Re}(e^{2i\phi} E)}{\text{Re}(e^{2i\phi} E) + D^2} = \cos \left(\frac{\phi}{2} + \delta \right); \\ \cos \theta_{23} &= \frac{\text{Re}(e^{2i\phi} E) + D^2}{\text{Re}(e^{2i\phi} E) + D^2} x^2; \quad \sin \theta_{23} = \frac{x}{\text{Re}(e^{2i\phi} E) + D^2}; \\ \cos \theta_{23} &= \frac{\text{Re}(e^{2i\phi} E)}{\text{Re}(e^{2i\phi} E) + D^2}; \quad \sin \theta_{23} = \frac{D}{\text{Re}(e^{2i\phi} E) + D^2}; \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

where sgn is the sign of $\text{Re}(e^{2i\phi} E)$, from which we obtain that $\theta_{23} = \frac{\pi}{4} + (\phi + \delta)/2$.

the imaginary part of Eq(2)

$$\cos 2\theta_{23} \text{Im}(E) - \sin 2\theta_{23} \text{Re}(E) = s_3 \sin(\phi + \delta) e^{i\phi} X(\phi, \delta); \quad (44)$$

determines ϕ , which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sin 2\theta_{23} &= \frac{\text{Im}(E) \frac{\text{Re}(E) + D^2}{\text{Re}(E) + D^2} x^2 - x \text{Re}(E)}{\text{Re}(E) + D^2} = \sin(\phi + \delta); \\ \cos \theta_{23} &= \frac{\text{Re}(E) + D^2}{\text{Re}(E) + D^2} x^2; \quad \sin \theta_{23} = \frac{x}{\text{Re}(E) + D^2}; \\ \cos \theta_{23} &= \frac{\text{Re}(E)}{\text{Re}(E) + D^2}; \quad \sin \theta_{23} = \frac{\text{Im}(E)}{\text{Re}(E) + D^2}; \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where sgn is the sign of $\text{Re}(E)$, from which we obtain that $\phi = (\phi + \delta)/2$.

These exact results can be used to examine any textures. If textures are approximately μ -symmetric, the perturbative result can be shown to be reproduced.

To see that the perturbative result Eq.(33) is reproduced, we introduce the approximation due to $j \ll 1$, $j \ll 1$ and $\sin^2 \theta_{13} \ll 1$. Note that $j \ll 1$ in Eq.(45) practically requires the suppression of $\text{Im}(E)$. Using $j \ll 1$, and $\text{Re}(e^{2i\phi} E) = m_{\text{atm}}^2 = 2$ together with $X = e^{i\phi} \sin 2\theta_{12} m^2 = 2$ in Eq.(B 6), it is readily found that

$$\begin{aligned} \cos 2\theta_{23}(\theta_{12}) &= \frac{(2 + R \cos 2\theta_{12})D + s_{13} \cos(\phi + \delta) \sin 2\theta_{12} m^2}{m_{\text{atm}}^2}; \\ &= \frac{(2 + R \cos 2\theta_{12}) \text{Im}(E) - s_3 \sin(\phi + \delta) \sin 2\theta_{12} m^2}{2 m_{\text{atm}}^2}; \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

from Eqs.(43) and (45), which coincide with $\cos 2_{23}$ and $\sin 2_{23}$ in Eq.(33) because of $\frac{P}{2} B_- = s_{3e} e^i m_{atm}^2$. The mixing angle $\tan 2_{13}$ in Eq.(B1) with $\frac{3}{4} A = (2 + R \cos 2_{12}) m_{atm}^2 = 2$ becomes

$$\tan 2_{13} e^i = \frac{\frac{P}{4} \frac{B_- + (i)}{2} B_+}{\frac{P}{2} (2 - R \cos 2_{12}) B_- + (i) e^i \sin 2_{12} m_{atm}^2}; \quad (47)$$

where Eq.(B6) is used to give $B_+ = e^i \sin 2_{12} m_{atm}^2 = 2 \frac{P}{2}$. Inserting Eq.(46) into Eq.(47) and ignoring terms of $O(R^2)$, Eq.(47) coincides with the perturbative result Eq.(33). The conditions of $j \cos 2_{23} j \approx 1$, $j j \approx 1$, and $j \sin 13 j \approx 1$ are, respectively, satisfied if $\frac{P}{2} j m_{atm}^2$, $j m(E) j m_{atm}^2$ and $\frac{P}{2} j m_{atm}^2$.

Once again, predictions of the observed quantities such as neutrino masses and mixing angles are independent of δ and ϕ because they depend on the modified neutrino masses in Eq.(36), where δ and ϕ are hidden by the definition of the masses. However, it should be noted that δ supplies - symmetry breaking effects because the rotation induced by δ is of the - symmetry breaking type. In approximately - symmetric textures, $j j \approx 1$ should be satisfied.

IV . PROPERTIES OF NEUTRINO OSCILLATIONS

The mixing angles and associated phases are expressed in terms of the flavor neutrino masses. The CP violating Dirac phase $\theta = \phi + \delta$ is determined as $\theta = \arg(Y)$ and $\delta = \arg(X)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} X &= c_{23} e^i M_e - s_{3e} e^i M_e; \\ Y &= s_{23} e^i M_e + c_{23} e^i M_e; \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

which also controls the mixing angles as in Eq.(B1) given by

$$\sin 2_{12} e^i = \frac{2X}{m_{atm}^2}; \quad \tan 2_{13} e^i = \frac{2Y}{m_{atm}^2}; \quad (49)$$

where $\frac{2}{3} = 1 - \cos 2_{12} m_{atm}^2$ and $\frac{3}{4} A = m_{atm}^2$ are used. To obtain $\sin^2 13 < 0.01$ and $\tan 2_{12} = 0(1)$ calls for two constraints: 1) $\frac{P}{2} j m_{atm}^2$ and 2) $\frac{P}{2} j < 0.1 m_{atm}^2$. If there is an approximate - symmetry, $X = \frac{P}{2} (B_- + B_+)$ and $Y = \frac{P}{2} (B_- + B_+)$ are satisfied. Since the smallness of $\frac{P}{2} j$ and δ is a result of the approximate - symmetry, the second constraint is naturally expected. However, the smallness of $\frac{P}{2} j$ needs that of $\frac{P}{2} j$ as an additional requirement.

The deviation of the atmospheric neutrino mixing from its maximum alone is estimated to be:

$$2_{23} = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2}; \quad (50)$$

where δ , and ϕ are defined in Eq.(43). We observe that the phenomenological constraint that the atmospheric neutrino mixing is almost maximal is well satisfied if $M_{ee} = M_{\mu\mu}$ for $\delta = 1$ because $\delta = 1$ is always satisfied by $\frac{P}{2} j = m_{atm}^2 = 2 \sin 2_{12}$, and $\text{Re}(e^{2i} M_{ee}) = m_{atm}^2 = 2$ as in Eq.(B5). This constraint $M_{ee} = M_{\mu\mu}$ supplemented by $\frac{P}{2} j = 0$ indicates the presence of the approximate - symmetry if the additional constraint $j j \approx 1$ leading to the suppression of $j m(E) j$ is satisfied.

We can also argue how the mass hierarchy of $j m_{atm}^2 j m_{atm}^2$ constrains the magnitude of M_{ee} , $M_{\mu\mu} + M_{\tau\tau}$ and $M_{\mu\tau}$ from Eq.(B5). The results can be summarized as follows:

for the normal mass hierarchy with $j m_{atm}^2 j m_{atm}^2$, $M_{\mu\mu} + M_{\tau\tau} = 2 \text{Re}(e^{2i} M_{ee}) = m_{atm}^2 = 2 M_{ee}$ are required,

for the inverted mass hierarchy ($\frac{3}{4} j m_{atm}^2 j$) and the degenerate mass pattern ($\frac{3}{4} j m_{atm}^2 j$ with $m_1^2 = m_2^2 = m_3^2 = j m_{atm}^2 j$), both with $2 j m_{atm}^2 j m_{atm}^2$, $3 M_{ee} = M_{\mu\mu} + M_{\tau\tau} = 6 \text{Re}(e^{2i} M_{ee}) = 3 m_{atm}^2$ are required, and

for the degenerate mass pattern ($\frac{P}{2} m_{atm}^2 = j m_{atm}^2 j$ with $m_1^2 = m_2^2 = m_3^2 = j m_{atm}^2 j$), $2 M_{ee} = M_{\mu\mu} + M_{\tau\tau} = 2 \text{Re}(e^{2i} M_{ee})$ are required.

The set of these constraints serves as a useful guide to search for specific textures.

As an example, let us consider the simplest mass matrix discussed in Ref.[32], which is

$$M = \frac{m_0}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a''^2 & b'' & b''e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}} \\ \frac{m_0}{2} & b'' & 1+\alpha'' & A \\ b''e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}} & & 1+\alpha'' & \end{pmatrix}; \quad (51)$$

in our assignment, where a, b are real, and $\alpha'' = 1$. The normal mass hierarchy is realized. Because α'' turns out to be $O(\alpha'')$, the phases θ_{12} and θ_{13} are calculated from

$$\theta_{12} / e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}} = \frac{b''^2 m_0^2}{2} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos \frac{\pi}{2}; \quad \theta_{13} / e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}} = \frac{b'' m_0^2}{2} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin \frac{\pi}{2}; \quad (52)$$

to be

$$\theta_{12} = \frac{\pi}{2}; \quad \theta_{13} = \frac{\pi}{2}; \quad (53)$$

for

$$\tan 2\theta_{12} = \frac{b''^2 m_0^2}{2(1-\alpha'')} \cos \frac{\pi}{2}; \quad \tan 2\theta_{13} = \frac{b'' m_0^2}{3A} \sin \frac{\pi}{2}; \quad (54)$$

from which we find that $\theta_{12} / (\pi/2)$ and $\theta_{13} / (\pi/2)$ satisfies the condition $\theta_{12} \neq \theta_{13}$. From θ_{12} , m_{12}^2 is computed to be:

$$m_{12}^2 = \frac{b''^2 m_0^2}{2 \sin 2\theta_{12}} \cos \frac{\pi}{2}; \quad (55)$$

The dependence of α'' is different for $\tan 2\theta_{12}$ and $\tan 2\theta_{13}$. As a result, $\tan 2\theta_{12} = O(1)$ is satisfied because $\theta_{12} = \pi/2$ while $\tan 2\theta_{13} = O(\alpha'')$ is satisfied because of $\theta_{13} = \pi/2$ from Eq.(B5).

Since the Dirac CP violating phase is given by $\delta = \pi$, we find that $\delta = 2$. This texture shows

almost maximal CP violation

irrespective of the size of α'' . The same conclusion can be obtained by evaluating J_{CP} , which is given by

$$J_{CP} = \frac{\text{Im}(M_{e\mu} M_{\mu\tau} M_{\tau e})}{m_{12}^2 m_{23}^2 m_{31}^2} = \frac{b''^2}{8} \sin 2\theta_{12} \sin \frac{\pi}{2}; \quad (56)$$

$$J_{CP} = \frac{1}{8} \sin 2\theta_{12} \sin 2\theta_{23} \sin 2\theta_{13} \cos \theta_{13} \sin \frac{\pi}{2} = \frac{b''^2}{8} \sin 2\theta_{12} \sin \frac{\pi}{2} \sin \frac{\pi}{2}; \quad (57)$$

where we have used $m_{12}^2 = m_{12}^2$ and $m_{31}^2 = m_{23}^2 = m_{atm}^2$ in Eq.(56), and $\sin 2\theta_{23} = 1$, $\sin 2\theta_{13} = 1$ from Eq.(54), and $\cos \theta_{13} = 1$ in Eq.(57). These two results indicate that $\sin \theta_{12} = 1$ corresponding to $\theta_{12} = \pi/2$ in our estimation, thus confirming the conclusion: the emergence of almost maximal CP violation, which differs from the conclusion in Ref.[32]. The atmospheric neutrino mixing is almost maximal because of $\theta_{13} = \pi/2$ from $\theta_{13} = \pi/2$ and $\theta_{12} = 0$ from $M_{e\mu} = M_{\mu\tau}$ in Eq.(43), and is also consistent with Eq.(39) giving $\text{Im}(e^{i\theta_{12}} M_{e\mu}) = \text{Im}(e^{i\theta_{13}} M_{e\tau}) = 2a'' \sin(\theta_{12} = \pi/2)$. The advantage of our method lies in the fact that the source of the CP violating phase can be directly related to $M_{e\mu}$ in X for θ_{12} and in Y for θ_{13} . The appearance of the almost maximal CP violation can also be read off from the rede ned texture given by Eq.(A6) with $\alpha'' = \alpha''$, $\beta'' = \beta''$, and $\gamma'' = \gamma''$ ($\theta'' = 0$):

$$M^0 = \frac{m_0}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a''^2 e^{i\theta''} & b'' e^{i(\theta'' - \gamma'')} & b'' e^{i(\theta'' - \gamma'')} \\ \frac{m_0}{2} & b'' e^{i(\theta'' - \gamma'')} & (1 + \alpha'') e^{2i\theta''} & A \\ b'' e^{i(\theta'' - \gamma'')} & & (1 + \alpha'') e^{2i\theta''} & \end{pmatrix} \quad (58)$$

It yields the almost maximal CP violation because this texture approximately satisfies the condition on the maximal CP violation: $M_{e\mu}^0 = M_{e\tau}^0$, $M_{\mu\tau}^0 = M_{\mu\tau}^0$, and $M_{ee}^0 + M_{\tau\tau}^0 = \text{real}$ [20, 21, 22, 25], where the last condition is valid up to the order α'' .

V. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSIONS

We have clarified the connection between the CP violating phases and phases of the flavor neutrino masses. In terms of the PMNS unitary matrix, any textures can provide $U_{\text{PMNS}}^{\text{PDG}}$ constructed from their three eigenvectors if the flavor neutrinos are appropriately rotated. However, in terms of the flavor neutrino masses M , appropriate rotations modify the phase structure of M and the amount of the rotations can be absorbed in the redefinition of the flavor neutrino masses. Our formula automatically take care of the effect of these rotations, which yield

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A & B & C \\ B & D & E & A \\ C & E & F & \end{pmatrix} M^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A & B^0 & C^0 \\ B^0 & D^0 & E^0 & A \\ C^0 & E^0 & F^0 & \end{pmatrix} M^0 = \begin{pmatrix} A & e^{i(\dots)} B & e^{i(\dots)} C \\ e^{i(\dots)} B & D & e^{2i} E \\ e^{i(\dots)} C & e^{2i} E & F \end{pmatrix} M^0; \quad (59)$$

If M is employed, the PMNS unitary matrix is U_{PMNS} of Eq.(30) while, if M^0 is employed, it is $U_{\text{PMNS}}^{\text{PDG}}$ of Eq.(2), where α and β are absent. The phases α and β are thus redundant. Namely, for

$$U_{\text{flavor}}^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i\alpha} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{i\gamma} \end{pmatrix} U_{\text{flavor}}; \quad (60)$$

we have found that

$$\begin{aligned} U_{\text{flavor}} &= U_{\text{PMNS}}(\alpha; \beta; \gamma)_{\text{mass}} = U(\alpha; \beta; \gamma) K(\alpha_1; \alpha_2; \alpha_3)_{\text{mass}} \text{ for } M; \\ U_{\text{flavor}}^0 &= U_{\text{PMNS}}^{\text{PDG}}(\alpha + \beta; \gamma)_{\text{mass}} = U(\alpha + \beta; \gamma; 0) K(\alpha_1; \alpha_2; \alpha_3)_{\text{mass}} \text{ for } M^0; \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

For both cases, we obtain J_{CP} proportional to $\sin(\alpha + \beta)$. It should be noted that the Majorana phase for ν_1 is shifted by the rotation of the flavor neutrinos. The required amount of the rotations to remove α and β in the PMNS unitary matrix can be determined from $\alpha = \arg(X)$ with $X = (c_{23}e^{i\alpha} M_e - s_{23}e^{-i\alpha} M_e) = c_{13}$, and $\beta = (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) = 2$, where α_1 and α_2 are defined in Eq.(45). The Dirac CP phase is determined by $\gamma = \arg(Y)$ with $Y = s_{23}e^{i\alpha} M_e + c_{23}e^{-i\alpha} M_e$. It should be emphasized that the phases α and β are, respectively, associated with $\tan 2_{12}$ and $\tan 2_{13}$:

$$\tan 2_{12} e^{i\alpha} / X; \quad \tan 2_{13} e^{i\beta} / Y; \quad (62)$$

We have also found that J_{CP} is independent of the redefinition of masses in Eq.(59) because of $M_e^0 M_e^0 M_e^0 = M_e M_e M_e$.

The conditions satisfied by approximately μ -symmetric textures are equivalent to those for textures without CP violation, and are described by

$$s_{23}e^{i\alpha} M_e + c_{23}e^{-i\alpha} M_e = 0,$$

$$M = M^0.$$

The additional condition is required for textures with CP violation:

$$\text{Im}(M) = 0 \text{ corresponding to } \alpha = 0,$$

where α is calculated in Eq.(45). If the μ -symmetry breaking parts of M have similar strengths, we conclude that

the μ -symmetric B is the main source of α ,

the μ -symmetry breaking B is the main source of β ,

the μ -symmetry breaking D is the main source of $\cos 2_{23}$,

the μ -symmetry breaking E is the main source of γ ,

where B , D and E have been defined in Eq.(A2). As one of the exact results, we have obtained that

$$\alpha_{23} = \alpha + (\alpha + \beta) = 2; \quad (63)$$

where α , and β are defined in Eq.(43). The maximal atmospheric neutrino mixing is realized only if $\cos(\alpha + \beta) = 0$ and $M = M^0$ are satisfied. Therefore, we observe that

the maximal Dirac CP violation is linked to the maximal atmospheric neutrino mixing,

for $\sin \theta_{13} \neq 0$. This condition is satisfied in the textures discussed in Ref.[20, 21, 22, 25].

To give complete discussions on the leptonic CP violation, we have to consider the Majorana CP violation. As in Eq.(61), the redundant phases remain in the Majorana phase because Majorana masses directly receive the effect of phases in M . Since the present method is based on the Hermitic matrix $M^\dagger M$, the CP violating Majorana phases disappear in $M^\dagger M$. To obtain effects of the Majorana phases, the simplest way is to perform the transformation $U_{PMNS}^T M U_{PMNS} = \text{diag.}(m_1, m_2, m_3)$, which is expected to lead to the similar result to the one shown in the Appendix of Ref.[21]. We will discuss this extension of the present method in future publication.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work of M.Y. is supported by the Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology, Japan.

APPENDIX A : - SYMMETRIC TEXTURE AND BREAKING PART

In this Appendix, we show the parameterization of our neutrino mass matrix. For a given M , we can formally divide M into the symmetric part M_{sym} and its breaking part M_b expressed in terms of $M_e^{(+)} = (M_e + M_e^\dagger)/2$ ($= 1$) and $M_e^{(-)} = (M - M^\dagger)/2$ [20, 21]:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M_{ee} & M_e & M_e \\ M_e^\dagger & M & M & M \\ M_e^\dagger & M & M & M \end{pmatrix} A = M_{\text{sym}} + M_b \quad (\text{A1})$$

with

$$M_{\text{sym}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M_{ee} & M_e^{(+)} & M_e^{(+)} \\ M_e^{(+)} & M & M^{(+)} & M \\ M_e^{(+)} & M & M^{(+)} & M \end{pmatrix} A; \quad M_b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M_e^{(-)} & M_e^{(-)} & M_e^{(-)} \\ M_e^{(-)} & M & M^{(-)} & 0 \\ M_e^{(-)} & 0 & M^{(-)} & 0 \end{pmatrix} A : \quad (\text{A2})$$

The Lagrangian for M_{sym} : $\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \bar{\psi} M_{\text{sym}} \psi = 2$ with $\psi = (e; \mu; \tau)^T$ turns out to be invariant under the exchange of μ and τ . We parameterize M ($= M^\dagger M$) as $M = M_{\text{sym}} + M_b$:

$$M_{\text{sym}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A & B_+ & B_+ \\ B_+ & D_+ & E_+ & E_+ \\ B_+ & E_+ & D_+ & D_+ \end{pmatrix} A; \quad M_b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B & B & B \\ B & D & iE & A \\ B & iE & D & A \end{pmatrix} : \quad (\text{A3})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= M_{ee}^2 + 2 |M_e^{(+)}|^2 + |M_e^{(-)}|^2; \\ B_+ &= M_{ee} M_e^{(+)} + M_e^{(+)} M^{(+)} + M_e^{(-)} M^{(-)}; \\ B_- &= M_{ee} M_e^{(-)} + M_e^{(-)} M^{(+)} + M_e^{(+)} M^{(-)}; \\ D_+ &= |M_e^{(+)}|^2 + |M_e^{(-)}|^2 + |M^{(+)}|^2 + |M^{(-)}|^2 + M_{\mu\mu}^2; \\ D_- &= 2 \text{Re} M_e^{(-)} M_e^{(+)} + M^{(-)} M^{(+)}; \\ E_+ &= \text{Re}(E) = |M_e^{(-)}|^2 |M_e^{(+)}|^2 + 2 \text{Re} M^{(+)} M^{(-)}; \\ E_- &= \text{Im}(E) = 2 \text{Im} M^{(-)} M^{(+)} + M_e^{(-)} M_e^{(+)}; \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A4})$$

for $E = E_+ + iE_-$. Similarly, we define $B = B_+ + B_-$, $C = (B_+ - B_-)$, $D = D_+ + D_-$, and $F = D_+ - D_-$ to describe matrix elements of M .

By the redefinition of the flavor neutrino $\psi_{\text{flavor}} = (e; \mu; \tau)^T$ as

$$\psi_{\text{flavor}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i\phi} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^i & 0 \end{pmatrix} A_{\text{flavor}} = e^{i\phi} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i(\phi+\theta)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i(\phi+\theta)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i(\phi+\theta)} & 0 \end{pmatrix} A_{\text{flavor}}; \quad (\text{A5})$$

APPENDIX B: FORMULA FOR MASSES, MIXINGS AND PHASES.

By adopting U_{PMNS} of Eq.(30) to diagonalize M , which $U_{PMNS}^y M U_{PMNS} = \text{diag.}(m_1^2; m_2^2; m_3^2)$ is satisfied. We then, obtain that

$$\tan 2_{12} e^i = \frac{2X}{c_{12}^2 - s_{12}^2}; \quad \tan 2_{13} e^{-i} = \frac{2Y}{c_{13}^2 - s_{13}^2}; \quad (B1)$$

$$\text{Re } e^{2i} E \cos 2_{23} + D \sin 2_{23} + i \text{Im } e^{2i} E = s_{13} e^{i(\delta + \phi)} e^{-i} X; \quad (B2)$$

for three mixing angles and three phases, and

$$m_1^2 = c_{12}^2 - s_{12}^2 - 2s_{12} e^{-i} X; \quad m_2^2 = s_{12}^2 + c_{12}^2 + 2c_{12} s_{12} e^{-i} X; \quad m_3^2 = \frac{c_{13}^2 - s_{13}^2}{c_{13}^2 s_{13}^2} A; \quad (B3)$$

for three masses, where

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \frac{c_{23} e^i B - s_{23} e^{-i} C}{c_{13}}; \quad Y = s_{23} e^i B + c_{23} e^{-i} C; \\ 1 &= \frac{c_{13}^2 A - s_{13}^2}{c_{13}^2 - s_{13}^2}; \quad 2 = c_{23}^2 D + s_{23}^2 F - 2s_{23} c_{23} \text{Re } e^{2i} E; \\ 3 &= s_{23}^2 D + c_{23}^2 F + 2s_{23} c_{23} \text{Re } e^{2i} E; \end{aligned} \quad (B4)$$

Note that the Dirac CP violation involves the angle $\delta + \phi$. There are useful relations:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{m_1^2 \cos 2_{12} m_2^2 + s_{13}^2 2 m_{\text{atm}}^2 + \cos 2_{12} m_3^2}{2}; \\ D + \frac{1}{2} m_{\text{atm}}^2 + X m_1^2 &+ \frac{\cos 2_{12} m_2^2 - s_{13}^2 2 m_{\text{atm}}^2 + \cos 2_{12} m_3^2}{2}; \\ \text{Re } e^{2i} E - 2D - \frac{1}{2} m_{\text{atm}}^2 &= \frac{\cos 2_{12} m_2^2 + s_{13}^2 2 m_{\text{atm}}^2 + \cos 2_{12} m_3^2}{2}; \\ 1 &= \frac{m_1^2 \cos 2_{12} m_2^2}{2}; \quad 2 = \frac{m_1^2 + \cos 2_{12} m_2^2}{2}; \\ 3 &= \frac{2 m_{\text{atm}}^2 + m_1^2 - s_{13}^2 2 m_{\text{atm}}^2 + \cos 2_{12} m_2^2}{2}; \end{aligned} \quad (B5)$$

up to $O(s_{13}^2)$, where $m^2 = m_1^2 + m_2^2$ and $j m_{\text{atm}}^2 j m^2$ is used to neglect other terms. The term $\tan 2_{12}$ in Eq.(B1) is identically satisfied by these $1,2$ because

$$X = \frac{1}{2} e^i \sin 2_{12} m^2; \quad (B6)$$

is obtained from Eq.(B3).

-
- [1] Y. Fukuda et al., [Super-Kamiokande Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998) 1158; [Erratum-ibid 81 (1998) 4297]; B.T. Cleveland et al., Astrophys. J. 496 (1998) 505; W. Hampel et al., [GNO Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B 447 (1999) 127; Q.A. Ahmed et al., [SNO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 (2001) 071301; Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 011301. See also, J.N. Bahcall, W.A. Fowler, I. Iben and R.L. Sears, Astrophys. J. 137 (1963) 344; J. Bahcall, Phys. Rev. Lett. 12 (1964) 300; R.Davis, Jr., Phys. Rev. Lett. 12 (1964) 303; R.Davis, Jr., D.S. Hammer and K.C. Hoffman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 20 (1968) 1205; J.N. Bahcall, N.A. Bahcall and G. Shaviv, Phys. Rev. Lett. 20 (1968) 1209; J.N. Bahcall and R.Davis, Jr., Science 191 (1976) 264.
- [2] S.H. Ahn, et al., [K2K Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B 511 (2001) 178; Phys. Rev. Lett. 90 (2003) 041801.
- [3] M. Apollonio, et al., [CHOOZ Collaboration], Euro. Phys. J. C 27 (2003) 331; K. Eguchi, et al., [KamLAND collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 90 (2003) 021802. K. Inoue, [KamLAND collaboration], New J. Phys. 6 (2004) 147;
- [4] Y. Suzuki, "Accelerator and Atmospheric Neutrinos", Talk given at XXII International Symposium on Lepton-Photon Interactions at High Energy, Uppsala, Sweden (June 30-July 5, 2005); A. Poon, "Solar and Reactor Neutrinos", Talk given at XXII International Symposium on Lepton-Photon Interactions at High Energy, Uppsala, Sweden (June 30-July 5, 2005);

- [5] Y. Fukuda et al., [Super-Kamiokande Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998) 1562; Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999) 2430; T. Kajita for the collaboration, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 77 (1999) 123; A. Suzuki, "Evidence for Neutrino Mass", Talk given at Neutrino 2006: The XXII International Conference on Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics, Santa Fe, New Mexico, USA (June 13-19, 2006). See also, T. Kajita and Y. Totsuka, Rev. Mod. Phys. 73 (2001) 85.
- [6] For a recent review, O. Mena, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 20 (2005) 1; "Getting the most from Long Baseline Neutrino Experiments, Theoretical Overview", Talk given at Neutrino 2006: The XXII International Conference on Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics, Santa Fe, New Mexico, USA (June 13-19, 2006); W. J. Marciano, "Neutrino Superbeams and Leptonic CP Violation", Talk given at Neutrino 2006: The XXII International Conference on Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics, Santa Fe, New Mexico, USA (June 13-19, 2006); L. Camilleri, "Low energy Superbeams, Beta beams and the Neutrino Factory", Talk given at Neutrino 2006: The XXII International Conference on Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics, Santa Fe, New Mexico, USA (June 13-19, 2006).
- [7] S. M. Bilenky, J. Hosek and S. T. Petcov, Phys. Lett. 94B (1980) 495; J. Schechter and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Rev. D 22 (1980) 2227; M. Doi, T. Kotani, H. Nishihara, K. Okuda and E. Takasugi, Phys. Lett. 102B (1981) 323.
- [8] B. Pontecorvo, Sov. Phys. JETP 7 (1958) 172 [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 34 (1958) 247]; Z. Maki, M. Nakagawa and S. Sakata, Prog. Theor. Phys. 28 (1962) 870.
- [9] C. Jarlskog, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55 (1985) 1039.
- [10] G. L. Fogli, E. Lisi, A. Marrone, A. Palazzo, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 57 (2006) 742. See also, S. Goswami, A. Bandyopadhyay and S. Choubey, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 143 (2005) 121; G. Altarelli, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 143 (2005) 470; A. Bandyopadhyay, Phys. Lett. B 608 (2005) 115.
- [11] M. Fukugida and T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. 174 (1986) 45.
- [12] A. D. Sakharov, Sov. Phys. JETP Letters 5 (1967) 24 [Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 5 (1967) 32].
- [13] S. Eidelin et al. (Particle Data Group), Phys. Lett. B 592 (2004) 149. See also, L.-L. Chau and W.-Y. Keung, Phys. Rev. Lett. 53 (1984) 1802.
- [14] G. C. Branco, T. M. Orozum, B. M. Nobre and M. N. Rebelo, Nucl. Phys. B 617 (2001) 475; G. C. Branco, R. Gonzalez Felipe, F. R. Joaquim, I. Masina, M. N. Rebelo and C. A. Savoy, Phys. Rev. D 67 (2003) 073025.
- [15] T. Fukuyama and H. Nishihara, in Proceedings of International Workshop on Masses and Mixings of Quarks and Leptons edited by Y. Koide (World Scientific, Singapore, 1997), p. 252; "Mass Matrix of Majorana Neutrinos", [arXiv: hep-ph/9702253]; Y. Koide, H. Nishihara, K. Matsuda, T. Kikuchi and T. Fukuyama, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 093006; Y. Koide, Phys. Rev. D 69 (2004) 093001; K. Matsuda and H. Nishihara, Phys. Rev. D 69 (2004) 117302; Phys. Rev. D 71 (2005) 073001; Phys. Rev. D 72 (2005) 033011; Phys. Rev. D 73 (2006) 013008.
- [16] R. N. Mohapatra and S. Nussinov, Phys. Rev. D 60 (1999) 013002; Z. Z. Xing, Phys. Rev. D 61 (2000) 057301; Phys. Rev. D 64 (2001) 093013; C. S. Lam, Phys. Lett. B 507 (2001) 214; Phys. Rev. D 71 (2005) 093001; "Mass Independent Textures and Symmetry", [arXiv: hep-ph/0611017]; E. Ma and M. Raidal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 (2001) 011802; [Erratum - ibid 87 (2001) 159901]; A. Datta and P. J. O'Donnell, Phys. Rev. D 72 (2005) 113002;
- [17] W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, JHEP 0107 (2001) 045; Euro. Phys. J. C 28 (2003) 123; Phys. Lett. B 572 (2003) 189; Phys. Lett. B 579 (2004) 113; J. Phys. G 30 (2004) 1073; JHEP 0508 (2005) 013; W. Grimus, A. S. Joshipura, S. K. Aneko, L. Lavoura and M. Tanimoto, JHEP 0407 (2004) 078; W. Grimus, A. S. Joshipura, S. K. Aneko, L. Lavoura, H. Sawanaka and M. Tanimoto, Nucl. Phys. B 713 (2005) 151. W. Grimus, S. K. Aneko, L. Lavoura, H. Sawanaka and M. Tanimoto, JHEP 0601 (2006) 110.
- [18] T. Kitabayashi and M. Yasue, Phys. Lett. B 524 (2002) 308; Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 17 (2002) 2519; Phys. Rev. D 67 (2003) 015006; I. Aizawa, M. Ishiguro, T. Kitabayashi and M. Yasue, Phys. Rev. D 70 (2004) 015011; I. Aizawa, T. Kitabayashi and M. Yasue, Phys. Rev. D 71 (2005) 075011.
- [19] R. N. Mohapatra, JHEP 0410 (2004) 027; R. N. Mohapatra and S. Nasri, Phys. Rev. D 71 (2005) 033001; R. N. Mohapatra, S. Nasri and Hai-Bo Yu, Phys. Lett. B 615 (2005) 231; Phys. Rev. D 72 (2005) 033007; Phys. Lett. B 636 (2006) 114; Phys. Lett. B 639 (2006) 318.
- [20] T. Kitabayashi and M. Yasue, Phys. Lett. B 621 (2005) 133.
- [21] I. Aizawa and M. Yasue, Phys. Rev. D 73 (2006) 015002.
- [22] I. Aizawa, T. Kitabayashi and M. Yasue, Phys. Rev. D 72 (2005) 055014; Nucl. Phys. B 728 (2005) 220.
- [23] See for example, F. P. Lantieri and W. Rodejohann, Phys. Lett. B 625 (2005) 264; R. N. Mohapatra and W. Rodejohann, Phys. Rev. D 72 (2005) 053001; W. Grimus, S. K. Aneko, L. Lavoura, H. Sawanaka and M. Tanimoto, JHEP 0601 (2006) 110 in Ref. [18]; Z. Z. Xing, Phys. Rev. D 74 (2006) 013009; Phys. Lett. B 641 (2006) 189; Z. Z. Xing, H. Zhang, and S. Zhou, Phys. Lett. B 641 (2006) 189; Y. H. Ahn, S. K. Kang, C. S. Kim and J. Lee, Phys. Rev. D 73 (2006) 093005; " - Symmetry and Radiatively Generated Leptogenesis", [arXiv: hep-ph/0610007]; Z. Z. Xing and S. Zhou, "TeV-scale Leptogenesis and Tribimaximal Neutrino Mixing in the Minimal Seesaw Model", [arXiv: hep-ph/0607302]; U. Sarkar and S. K. Singh, "CP Violation in Neutrino Mass Matrix", [arXiv: hep-ph/0608030]; G. C. Branco, R. G. Felipe, F. R. Joaquim, "A New Bridge between Leptonic CP Violation and Leptogenesis", [arXiv: hep-ph/0609297]; R. N. Mohapatra, Hai-Bo Yu, Phys. Lett. B 644 (2007) 346; Y. Farzana and A. Yu. Smirnov, JHEP 0701 (2007) 059; S. K. Agarwalla, M. K. Parida, R. N. Mohapatra, G. Rajasekaran, "Neutrino Mixings and Leptonic CP Violation from CKM Matrix and Majorana Phases", [arXiv: hep-ph/0611225] (to appear in Phys. Rev. D (2007)); S. Pascoli, S. T. Petcov and A. Riotto, "Leptogenesis and Low Energy CP Violation in Neutrino Physics", [arXiv: hep-ph/0611338]; S. Luo and Z. Z. Xing, "Friedberg-Lee Symmetry Breaking and Its Prediction for ν_{13} ", [arXiv: hep-ph/0611360] (to appear in Phys. Lett. B (2007)).
- [24] K. Fukui and M. Yasue, Phys. Rev. D 73 (2006) 055014.
- [25] E. Ma, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 17 (2002) 2361; K. S. Babu, E. Ma and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Lett. B 552 (2003) 207; W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, Phys. Lett. B 579 (2004) 113 in Ref. [18].

- [26] G. 't Hooft, in *Recent Development in Gauge Theories: Proceedings of the Cargèse Summer Institute* edited by G. 't Hooft et al. (Plenum Press, New York, 1980), p.135 (NATO Advanced Study Institutes Series: Series B, Physics, Vol.59).
- [27] See for example, W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, JHEP 0107 (2001) 045, Euro.Phys.J.C 28 (2003) 123, and J.Phys.G 30 (2004) 73 in Ref.[18]; "A Three-Parameter Model for the Neutrino Mass Matrix", [arXiv: hep-ph/0611149]; E. Ma and G. Rajasekaran, Phys. Rev.D 68 (2003) 071302; R.N. Mohapatra, in Ref.[19]; K. Matsuda and H. Nishiura, in Ref.[15]; W. Grimus, A.S. Joshipura, S. Kaneko, L. Lavoura, H. Sawanaka and M. Tanimoto, in Ref.[18]; W. Grimus, "Realization of μ -Interchange Symmetry", [arXiv: hep-ph/0610158], Talk given at ICHEP06: The XXXIII International Conference on High Energy Physics, Moscow, Russia (July 26 - August 2, 2006); E. Ma, "Application of Finite Groups to Neutrino Mass Matrices", [arXiv: hep-ph/0612013]; and other references therein; "Lepton Family Symmetry and Possible Application to the Koide Mass Formula", [arXiv: hep-ph/0612022].
- [28] For recent studies, see for example, R.N. Mohapatra, S. Nasri and Hai-Bo Yu, Phys. Lett. B 636 (2006) 114 in Ref.[19]; N. Haba and W. Rodejohann, Phys. Rev.D 74 (2006) 017701.
- [29] M. Yasue, "Complex Neutrino Mass Matrix and PMNS Unitary Matrix" (unpublished), Talk given at 2005 Shizuoka Workshop on Quark and Lepton Masses and Mixings, University of Shizuoka, Shizuoka, Japan (Dec. 20-21, 2005).
- [30] C.S. Lam, Phys. Rev.D 74 (2006) 113004.
- [31] I. Aizawa and M. Yasue, Phys. Lett. B 607 (2005) 267.
- [32] R.N. Mohapatra, S. Nasri and Hai-Bo Yu, Phys. Rev.D 72 (2005) 033007 in Ref.[19].