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## 1. Introduction

$M$ odels of large [1, 2, 3] or wanped [4, 5] extra dim ensions allow the fundam ental scale of gravity to be as low as the electrow eak scale. For energies above the gravity scale, black holes can be produced in particle collisions. T his opens up the possibility to produce black holes at the Large H adron Collider (LHC). O nce form ed, the black hole will decay by em itting $H$ aw king radiation 6]. The nal fate of the black hole is unknown since quantum gravity w illbecom e im portant as the black hole mass approaches the P lanck scale. Ifblack holes are produced at the LHC, detecting them will not only test general relativity and probe extra dim ensions, but will also teach us about quantum gravity.

E arly discussion s ofblack hole production in colliders postulated a $r_{h}^{2}$ form for the cross section, where $r_{h}$ is the horizon radius of the black hole form ed in the parton scattering process 7, 8, [9]. C alculations based on classical general relativity have had lim ited success in im proving the cross section estim ates 10, 11]. T he e ects ofm ass, spin, charge, colour, and nite size of the incom ing particles are usually neglected in these calculations. T he e ects of nite size have been exam ined [12, 13] and only recently have angular m om entum [14] or charge been discussed 15]. A though these results are far from com plete, they do indicated that the sim ple geom etric cross section is correct ifm ultiplied by a form ation factor of order unity 16].

General relativistic calculations of the cross section have usually been perform ed using the trapped-surface approach. The two incom ing partons are m odeled as A ichelburg-Sexl shock waves 17]. Spacetim $e$ is at in all regions of space except at the shocks. The union of these shock w aves de nes a closed trapped surface. B lack hole form ation can be predicted by identifying a fiuture trapped surface, w ith no need to calculate the gravitational eld.

The trapped-surface approach was nst applied to TeV -scale gravity calculations by E ardley and G iddings [10] in four dim ensions. Thier w ork w as extended to the D -dim ensional case num erically by Yoshino and N am bu 11]. T he num erical studies were im proved by Y oshino and Rychkov [14] by analyzing the closed trapped surface on a di erent slige of spacetim e. These general relativistic calculations have enabled low er lim its to be obtained for the black hole production cross section of colliding particles in TeV-scale gravity scenarios.

Since black holes are highly $m$ assive objects, the $m$ om entum fraction of the partons in the protons that form them $m$ ust be high. Thus typically valence quarks will be involved in black hole form ation. This $m$ eans the $m$ ost probable charge of the black hole in proton collisions w ill be $+4=3$. Since the gravitational eld of each particle is determ ined by its energy-m om entum tensor, charge should a ect the black hole form ation. First exploratory work by Yoshino and $M$ ann 15] obtained a condition on the electric charges of the colliding particles for a closed trapped surface to form. T he results depend on the Standard M odel brane thickness. Since the LH C is about to start up, it is usefiul to investigate ideas, such as these, that m odify black hole production.

In this paper, we use the Yoshino and $M$ ann charge condition in its general form and build on their work by exam ining the e ect of charge on black hole production at the LH C . $T$ he cross section is obtained by sum $m$ ing over all possible parton pairs in the protons. By using the parton density functions of the proton and applying the charge condition, we obtain the black hole cross section. T he am ount of available energy that goes into the black hole form ation is also exam ined.

An outline of this paper is as follow s. W e rst review the trapped-surface approach in section 目. Then in section 3, we exam ine the $R$ eissner $N$ ordstrom spactim e of charged particles in higher dim ensions. Follow ing Yoshino and $M$ ann [15], we obtain the condition for an apparent horizon in section 4. Since electric charge is con ned to the three-brane, we relate the higher-dim ensional charge in the $R$ eissner -N ordstrom m etric to the Standard M odelelectric charge as the second step in our approach. W e then translate the condition for apparent horizon form ation into a condition for charged partons to form a black hole at the LH C. Low er lim its on the am ount of energy trapped behind the apparent horizon are show $n$ in section 5. In section 6, we apply the charge condition to the calculation of the black hole cross section for di erent values of the P lanck scale, num ber of dim ensions, and Standard M odelbrane thickness. W e conclude with a discussion in section 7.

## 2. Trapped surfaces and apparent horizon

In this section, we review the concepts of A ichelburg-Sexl shock waves, trapped surfaces,
and the apparent horizon. A charged particle can be m odelled using the R eissner -N ortsrom $m$ etric. By boosting the $R$ eissner $-N$ ortstrom spacetim e and taking the lightlike lim it, we obtain an approxim ation of a charged ultrarelativistic particle. The gravitational eld of the point $m$ assless particle is thus in nitely Lorentz contracted and form $s$ a longitudinal planefronted A ichelburg-Sexl gravitational shock w ave. Except at the shock wave, spacetim e is at before the collision. By com bining tw o A idhelburg-Sexl shock waves, we set up a head-on collision of ultrelativistic particles. At the instance of collision, the two shock waves pass through one another, and interact nonlinearly by shearing and focusing. A fter the collision, the two shocks continue to interact nonlinearly with each other and spacetim e w thin the future lightcone of the collision becom es highly curved.

To describe this nontrivial collision process, we choose the lightoone coordinates $u=t \quad z$ and $v=t+z$. Four regions of spacetim e can be identi ed.

Region I: $u<0 ; \mathrm{v}<0$, before the collision,
Region II: $u>0 ; v<0$, after the wave at $u=0$ has passed,
Region III: v>0;u<0, after the wave at $v=0$ has passed,
Region IV : u>0;v>0, interaction region after the waves have passed.
E xapt at the shock waves, spactim e is at in regions I, II, and III before the collision. N o one has been able to calculate the $m$ etric in the future of the collision (non-linear region $\mathbb{I V}$ ) except perturbatively in the distance far from the interaction $u=v=0$ 18, 19, 20]. It is possible to investigate the collision on the slice $u \quad 0 ; v=0$ and $v \quad 0 ; u=0$. It is also possible to proceed w ith the analysis on the slige of the future lightcone of the shock collision plane, given by the union of the outgoing shocks $u=0 ; v \quad 0$ and $v=0 ; u \quad 0$. This is the future $m$ ost slige that can be used w thout know ledge of region IV.
$T$ he di erent regions of spacetim e can be exam ined for trapped surfaces. W e search for $m$ arginally trapped-surface form ation on a slice of spacetim e $u=0, v \quad 0$ and $v=0, u \quad 0$. A marginally trapped surface is de ne as a closed spacelike (D 2)-surface, the outer null norm als of which have zero convergence 21]. M oving a sm all distance inside the marginally trapped surface one can nd a true closed trapped surface w th negative convergence. In physical term $s$ this means that there is a closed surface whose norm al null geodesics do not diverge, and so are trapped by gravity. For a Schw arzschild black hole, the m arginally trapped surface is a sphere around the singularity, which happens to coincide w ith the event horizon 22].

A $n$ apparent horizon is the outerm ost $m$ arginally trapped surface. The existence of a $m$ arginally trapped surface $m$ eans either that the $m$ arginally trapped surface is the apparent horizon, or that an apparent horizon exits in the exterior of the m arginally trapped surface. Existence ofan apparent horizon im plies the presence of a singularity in the future. A ssum ing cosm ic censorship [23], this singularity m ust be hidden behind an event horizon, and we may conclude that a black hole w ill form. M oreover, the black hole horizon $m$ ust lie outside the
closed trapped surface. Form ation of the apparent horizon is then a su cient condition for form ation of a black hole for which the event horizon is outside the apparent horizon 24]. Thus if one can prove the existence of a trapped surface, then one know s that in the future the solution will involve a bladk hole.

The area of a m arginally trapped surface is a low er bound on the area of the apparent horizon. U sing this inform ation, one can estim ate the event horizon area and, via the area theorem 25], the $m$ ass of the form ed black hole. Since the black hole horizon is alw ays in the exterior region, the trapped surface $m$ ethod gives only a low er bound on the nalblack hole $m$ ass. The black hole $m$ ass can have any value betw een this bound and the centre of $m$ ass energy of the collision.
3. $D-D$ im ensional $R$ eissner-N ordstrom spacetim e

The $R$ eissner- $N$ ordstrom solution describing the gravitation eld of a point particle of $m$ ass $m$ and electric charge $q$ in $D$ dim ensions in spherical coordinates ( $T$; ; $1 ;::: ; \quad 2$ ) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=g(R) d T+g(R)^{1} d R^{2}+R^{2} d{ }_{D}^{2} \quad 2 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
g(R) & =1 \frac{2 M}{R^{D} 3}+\frac{Q^{2}}{\left.R^{2(D} 3\right)} ;  \tag{3,2}\\
M & =\frac{8 G_{D} m}{(D \quad 2) D^{2}} ;  \tag{3.3}\\
Q^{2} & =\frac{8 G_{D} q^{2}}{(D \quad 2)(D \quad 3)} ; \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

$G_{D}$ is the $D$-dim ensional gravitational constant, and d $D 2$ and $\quad 2$ are the line elem ent and volum e of a (D 2)-dim ensional unit sphere, given by

$$
\text { D } \quad 2=\frac{\left.2^{(D)} 1\right)=2}{[(\mathbb{D}} 1 \begin{align*}
& 1)=2] \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where is Euler'sG am m a fiunction. The energy-m om entum tensor used in $E$ instein's equation is that of the electrom agnetic eld in the spacetim e that results from the charge on the particle. The $m$ etric is a unique spherically sym $m$ etric asym ptotically at solution of the $E$ instein M axw ellequations and is locally sim ilar to the $S$ chw arzschild solution. $T$ he $R$ eissnerN ordstrom solution does not describe the spin, magnetic moment, or colour charge of a particle.

The condition for the existence of an event horizon in D -dim ensional R eissner -N ordstrom spacetim e ( $Q^{2} \quad M^{2}$ ) is

W ew ill retum to this condition after we have related the electric charge in higher-dim ensional $M$ axw ell theory to the electric charge in four dim ensions. For the m om ent, we are ignoring that the electric charge of the particle is con ned to the Standard M odel three-brane. W e w ill consider the black hole production process as happening in at D-dim ensionalspacetim e since the horizon radius is m uch sm all than the com pacti cation radius.

Before Lorentz boosting the R eissner- N ordstrom m etric, it is convenient to convert the $m$ etric to isotropic coordinates ( $\mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{Z} ; \mathrm{R} ; 1 ;::: ; \mathrm{D} 3$ ), where $T=T, Z=Z, R=P \frac{Z^{2}+r^{2}}{Z^{2}}$ $R^{2} d_{D}^{2} \quad 2=d Z^{2}+d r^{2}+r^{2} d_{D}^{2} \quad 3$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=R \quad 1+\frac{M}{R^{D} 3}+\frac{M^{2} Q^{2}}{\left.4 R^{2(D} 3\right)}{\frac{1}{D^{3}}}: \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ hen the $R$ eissner $N$ ordstrom $m$ etric is boosted, the rest $m$ ass $m$ and charge $q$ are xed and boosted to a nite value of . In the ultrarelativistic lim it (! 1 ) both term $s$ in eq. (32) diverge unless we take both $m$ and $q$ to vanish in this lim it. Thus, we boost the R eissner N ordstrom solution by taking the lim it of large boost and sm allm w ith xed total energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=m ; \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $s m$ all $q^{2}$ with xed quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{e}^{2}=q^{2}: \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T h$ is is consistent $w$ ith the lightlike lim it of a particle $w$ ith $m$ ass and electric charge. C hoosing the particle to $m$ ove in the $+Z$ direction in $D$-dim ensionalspacetim $e$, the boosted coordinates
 transform ation, we take the lightlike lim it.
$N$ ext we de ne the retarded and advanced tim es $(u=t \quad z$ and $v=t+z$, also $r=r$ and $i_{i}=i$ ) to obtain the coordinates (u;v;r; 1;:::; D 3). This yields a nite result that is the charged version of the D -dim ensionalA chelburg-Sexlm etric 15, 26, 27]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=d u d v+d r^{2}+r^{2} d \quad{ }^{2}+(r) \quad(u) d u^{2} ; \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.a=\frac{2\left(4 G_{D ~ P}^{2}\right.}{\mathrm{D}}\right) 3 \frac{(2 \mathrm{D}}{3} 5\right)!!, \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The charge dependence is entirely contained in the general charge param eter $a$. The function depends only on the transvense radius $r=P \overline{x^{i} X_{i}}$. The A ichelburg-Sexlm etric is a solution
for a point particle (delta function source) $m$ oving at the speed of light. Them etric eq. 3.19)(3.12) reduces to the usualA ichelbrg-Sexlm etric in the lim it q! 0. For the particles we w ill consider in this study, \& $5 \quad 10^{3}$ and the mean value of is about $710^{5}$. The charged version of the A ichelberg-Sexl metric is thus a good approxim ation to an ultrarelativistic $m$ assive charged particle $w$ th nite, but large, .
$T$ he delta function in eq. 3.10) indicates that the ( $u ; \mathrm{V} \boldsymbol{r} \boldsymbol{r} ; \mathrm{i}$ ) coordinates are discontinuous at $u=0$. These coordinates are unsuitable for analysing the behaviour of geodesics crossing the shock at $u<0$, which is necessary for understanding the causalstructure. In the follow ing, we de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0}=\frac{8 G_{D} E}{D 3} 1 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

as the unit of length. We introduce new coordinates (u;v;r; i), which are continuous and sm ooth across the shock using the transform ations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{u}=\mathrm{u} ;  \tag{3.14}\\
& \mathrm{v}=\mathrm{v}+\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{r}) ;  \tag{3.15}\\
& \mathrm{r}=\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{r}) ;  \tag{3.16}\\
& \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{i} ; \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F(u ; r)=0$ and $G(u ; r)=r$ for $u<0$. In these coordinates, we require $v, r$, and $i$ equala constant to be a nullgeodesic $w$ th a ne param eter $u$. T hem etric in these coordinates becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ds}^{2}=\mathrm{dudv}+\mathrm{G}_{; r}^{2} \mathrm{dr}^{2}+\mathrm{G}^{2} \mathrm{~d} \underset{\mathrm{D}}{2}{ }_{3} ; \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where G and G;r are explicitly given by 15]

$$
\begin{align*}
G & =r+\frac{u(u)}{r^{D}} 13 \frac{a}{r^{D}} 3  \tag{3.19}\\
G ; r & =1+(D \quad 3) \frac{u}{r^{D}} \quad 2  \tag{3.20}\\
(u) & \frac{2 a}{r^{D} 3}
\end{align*}
$$

where ( $u$ ) is the H eaviside step function. B oth geodesics and their tangents are now continuous across the shock, and two coordinate singularities appear in the region $u>0$. These singularities have been analyzed in Ref. 15].

## 4. C ondition for apparent horizon form ation

To setup the tw o-particle head-on collision, we consider a second identical shock w ave traveling along $v=0$ in the $Z$ direction. By causality, the tw o shock waves $w$ illnot be able to in uence
each other until the shocks collide. This m eans that we can superim pose two of the above solutions to give the exact geom etry outside the future lightcone of the collision of the tw o shocks. W e assum e w thout loss of generallty that the tw o particles have the sam e energy E but di erent charge param eters $\mathrm{pe}^{(1)}$ and $\mathrm{pe}^{(2)}$.

In the rem ainder of this section, we follow Yoshino and $M$ ann 15] directly in studying the apparent horizon on the sliae $u>0, v=0$ and $v>0, u=0$. The closed trapped surfaces are sym $m$ etric under rotation of the transverse directions and the re ection $z!\quad z$. Because the system is axisym $m$ etric, the location on the apparent horizon surface on each side of $z$ is given by a function of $r$. W e assum e the apparent horizon is given by the union of two surfaces $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{1}: u=h^{(1)}(r) \quad\left(r_{m} \text { in } \quad r \quad r_{m a x}^{(1)}\right) \text { on } u \quad 0 \text { and } v=0 \text {; }  \tag{4.1}\\
& S_{2}: v=h^{(2)}(r) \quad\left(r_{m \text { in }} \quad r \quad r_{m a x}^{(2)}\right) \quad \text { on } \quad v \quad 0 \text { and } u=0 \text {; } \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $h^{(1)}$ and $h^{(2)}$ are $m$ onotonically increasing functions of $r$. When $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ cross $u=v=0, r=r_{m}$ in . Continuity of the $m$ etric at the apparent horizon requires $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ to coincide w ith each other at $u=v=0$. At $r_{m}(1)$ ax and $r_{m}{ }^{(2)}$ ax , we require $h^{(1)}(r)$ and $h^{(2)}(r)$ to cross the coordinate singularity. T he surface becom es a closed trapped surface by the above argum ents.

Follow ing Yoshino and $M$ ann [15], one derives the equations for $h^{(1)}$ ( $r$ ) and $h^{(2)}$ (r), and the di erential equation for the apparent horizon is then obtained. T he boundary condition that $m$ ust be im posed at $r=r_{m}$ in is

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{; r}^{(1)}\left(r_{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}\right) \mathrm{h}_{; \mathrm{r}}^{(2)}\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}\right)=4 ; \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where both $h_{i r}^{(1)}\left(r_{\mathrm{m}}\right.$ in $)$ and $h_{i r}^{(2)}\left(r_{m}\right.$ in $)$ are positive. $U$ sing this boundary condition, the apparent horizon equation is solved and the boundary condition becom e

$$
x^{4}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & a_{1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & a_{2} \tag{4.4}
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

where $x \quad r_{m \text { in }}^{D}$. This equation determ ines the value of $r_{m}$ in. The apparent horizon exists if, and only if, there is a solution to eq. 4.4) $w$ th $x>a_{1}$ and $x>a_{2}$.

### 4.1 C ondition on general charges

The special cases of collisions of particles $w$ th the sam e charge, and collisions of a charged and a neutral particle have been previously exam ined [15]. W e exam ine the case of general charge param eters and note the sim plifying cases.

Equation 4.4) has four roots. For $\mathrm{a}_{1}>0$ and $\mathrm{a}_{2}>0$ two solutions w ill have $\mathrm{x}<\mathrm{a}_{1}$ and $x<a_{2}$, and not correspond to an apparent horizon. $W$ e investigate the other two solutions. $F$ igure 1 show $s$ tw o representative cases of eq. 4.4). W e see that an apparent horizon exists if, and only if, the localm inim um in the $x>0$ region is less than or equal to zero. The
location of the $m$ inium is given by di erentiating the quartic equation, eq. 4.4), and solving the resulting cubic equation for the positive root. T he solution is


Figu re 1: Representative cases for the quartic equation $f(x)=x^{4} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & a_{1}\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & a_{2}\end{array}\right)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{r}{2} \frac{}{3} \cos \frac{}{3} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan =\overline{\frac{s}{3}{ }^{3} \frac{1}{\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right)^{2}}} 1: \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting this value into eq. 4.4) and draw ing the contour, we nd the region for apparent horizon form ation in the $\left(a_{1} ; a_{2}\right)$-plane, as shown in $g$. f. We see that both $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ $m$ ust be su ciently $s m$ all for apparent horizon form ation. For tw o particles of equal charge: $a_{1}=a_{2}=1=4$ gives $x=1=2$, whidh is a solution of eq. 4.4). For one charged particle and one neutral particle: $a_{1}=a=2=\left(3^{P} \overline{3}\right)$ and $a_{2}=0$ gives $x=1={ }^{P} \overline{3}$, which is also a solution of eq. 4.4).

W e can understand the requirem ent on $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ physically as follow $s$. Since $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ are proportional to $\left(p_{e}^{(1)}\right)^{2}$ and $\left(p_{e}^{(2)}\right)^{2}$, the condition derived in eq. 4.4) does not depend on the sign of the charge of either particle. This is because the gravitational eld due to each charge is generated by an electrom agnetic energy $m$ om entum tensor $T^{(e m)} p_{e}^{2}(u)=r^{2 D} \quad 5$ that depends on the square of the charge. The gravitational eld induced by $T$ (em) of the incom ing particles is repulsive, and its a ect becom es dom inant around the centre. As the value of a increases, the repulsive region becom es larger, preventing form ation of the apparent horizon. The critical value of a for apparent horizon form ation occurs when the repulsive


Figu re 2: Region of the apparent horizon form ation in the $\left(a_{1} ; a_{2}\right)$-plane.
gravitational force due to the electric eld becom es equivalent to the self-attractive force due to the energy of the system.

### 4.2 C ondition on parton electric charges

The approach for handling the con nem ent of the electric eld to the Standard M odelthreebrane is far from clear. So far, we have ignored this e ect by using the D \{dim ensional $E$ instein $M$ axw ell theory. A ssum ing the boosted $R$ eissner $-N$ ordstrom $m$ etric represents the gravitational eld of an elem entary particle with electric charge moving at high speed, we develop the relationship betw een the electric charge in four dim ensions $q_{4}$ and the charge in higher-dim ensional M axwell theory q. For two particles in D dim ensions w th the sam e charge at rest, for exam ple, the force betw een them is

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\frac{q^{2}}{r^{D}{ }^{2}}: \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we have been using G aussian units, the factor of $1=D_{2} \quad(1=4$ in the case of four dim ensions) is absorbed into the de nition of the charge. If the gauge elds are con ned to the Standard M odelbrane, the only characteristic length scale is the $w$ idth of the brane, which should be of the order of the $P$ lanck length. W e introduce the constant $C_{b r a n e}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{M_{D}}!\frac{C_{\text {brane }}}{M_{D}} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{C}_{\text {brane }}$ is a dim ensionless quantity of order unity. For su ciently large r,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F!{\frac{q^{2}}{r^{2}}}_{\frac{M}{D}^{C_{\text {brane }}}} \quad{ }^{\quad 4}=\frac{q_{4}^{2}}{r^{2}}: \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This condition is reasonable since the $C$ om pton wavelength $1=M_{D}$ of the black hole is much sm aller than its horizon radius $r_{h}$. T hus

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{2}=q_{4}^{2}{\frac{C_{\text {brane }}}{M_{D}}}^{D^{D}}: \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The brane thickness is a measure of how con ned the Standard M odel electric charge is to the brane. If the brane is thick, the $M$ axwell theory would be higher dim ensional in the neighbourhood of the particle. W e let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{q}_{4}^{2}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2} ; \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{q}$ is the charge in units of elem entary charge e ( $1=3$ or $+2=3$ for quarks and 0 for ghons) and is the ne structure constant. O ur treatm ent of the electric charge has not fully taken into account the e ects of con nem ent of the electric eld on the brane. W e have also ignored the brane tension and the structure of the extra dim ensions.

U sing eq. 4.10), eq. 4.11),

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{D}^{1}=\frac{8}{(2)^{D} 4} M_{D}^{D} \quad 2 \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and recalling the de nition of the volum e of the (D 2)-dim ensional unit sphere given by eq. 3.5), we obtain for eq. 3.12)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left.\frac{a}{r_{0}^{2(D}} 3\right)=C_{q}^{2} \quad \frac{M_{D}}{m} \quad \frac{M_{D}}{E} \quad \frac{D_{D} 3}{D} \frac{(2 \mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{D}} 3\right)!!{\frac{C_{b r a n e}}{}}^{(2 \mathrm{D}} 4\right)!!4 \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have reintroduced the unit length $r_{0}$. Choosing values for and $m$, we can use eq. 4.13) to study the condition for apparent horizon form ation as a function of,$M_{D}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{\text {brane }}$. An apparent horizon w ill not occur at the instance of collision if the brane is thick or if the spacetim e dim ensionally is low. C harge e ects w ill not be signi cant at high energies.

## 5. T rapped energy

W e now consider how m uch energy is trapped behind the horizon in black hole form ation from charged-particle collisions. From section 园, we saw that the event horizon m ust be outside the apparent horizon. T he area theorem 25] states that the event horizon area can never decreases. H ence, we naturally expect the apparent horizon $m$ ass to be de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{A H}=\frac{(D \quad 2) D_{2}}{16 G_{D}} \frac{A_{D} 2^{\frac{D}{D}}{ }^{2}}{D \quad 2} ; \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{D} \quad 2$ is the (D 2)-dim ensional area of the apparent horizon give by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{D} \quad 2=\frac{2}{D \quad 2} \quad D \quad 3 r_{0}^{D} \quad 2 x^{\frac{D}{D} \quad 2} \text {. } \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

This m ass provides a lower bound on the m ass of the nalblack hole, and thus $M_{A H}$ is an indicator of the energy trapped behind the event horizon. T he param eter $x$ can be considered to be a function of $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$, $w$ ith $x=1$ for $a_{1}=a_{2}=0$. The results of Eardley and $G$ iddings 10] are reproduced for the case of tw o neutral particles.
$F$ igure 3 show $s$ the behaviour of $M_{A H}=\bar{S}$ as a function of $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ for $D=4$, where $P_{\bar{S}}$ is the centre ofm ass energy of the collision. We nd that $M_{A H}=\bar{S}_{\text {decreases slow ly }}$ w ith increasing $a$, but drops rapidly near the $m$ axim um value of $a$. $F$ igure (particles of sam e charge) and 9.5 (one neutral particle) show the behaviour of $M_{A H}=\hat{S}$ as a function of a for di erent values of the num ber of dim ensions. T he horizon $m$ ass decreases $w$ ith increasing num ber of dim ensions. In the higher-dim ensional spactim e, the am ount of energy trapped behind the horizon decreases because the gravitational eld distributes in the space of the extra dim ensions and only a sm all portion of the total energy of the system can contribute to the horizon form ation. T he non-trapped energy will be radiated aw ay quickly after the form ation of the black hole.

## 6. E ect of charged partons on the cross section

The classical black hole cross section at the parton level is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{a b l ~ B H}=r_{\mathrm{h}}^{2} ; \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $r_{h}$ depends on the $m$ ass of the black hole $M_{B H}$, the spacetim e param eters $D$ and $M_{D}$, and a and b are the parton types.

O nly a fraction of the total centre of $m$ ass energy ${ }^{P} \bar{s}$ in a proton-proton collision is available in the parton scattering process. W e de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{sx}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{b}} \quad \mathrm{~s} \quad \mathrm{~s} ; \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $x_{a}$ and $x_{b}$ are the fractional energies of the tw o partons relative to the proton energies. The total cross section can be obtained by convoluting the parton-level cross section w ith the parton distribution functions (PDFs), integrating over the phase space, and sum ming over the parton types. A ssum ing all the available parton energy $\bar{s}$ goes into form ing the black hole, the fullparticle-level cross section is

$$
p p!B H+x\left(M_{B H}\right)=\begin{align*}
& X_{a ; b}^{Z_{1}} M_{B H}^{2}=s  \tag{6.3}\\
& d x_{a} \\
& M_{B H}^{2}=\left(x_{a} S\right)
\end{align*} d x_{b} f_{a}\left(x_{a}\right) f_{b}\left(x_{b}\right)^{\wedge} \wedge_{a b!} B_{B H}\left(S=M_{B H}\right) ;
$$

where $f_{a}$ and $f_{b}$ are PDFs for the proton. The sum is over all possible quark and gluon pairings.


Figure 3: Relation betw een the horizon $m$ ass $M_{A H} \stackrel{P}{=} \bar{s}$ and the charge param eters $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ for $D=4$.

Throughout this paperwe use the CTEQ 6L1 (leading orderw ith leading order s) parton distribution functions 28] w thin the LHAPDF fram ew ork 29]. Them om entum scale for the PD Fs is set equal to the black hole $m$ ass for convenience.

In term s of the parton lum inosity (or parton ux), we write the di erential form of eq. 6.3) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d_{\mathrm{pp}}!\mathrm{BH}+\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{dM}}=\frac{\mathrm{dH}}{\mathrm{dM}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{BH}} \wedge_{\mathrm{ab}}{ }_{\text {BH }} ; \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

T he di erential cross section thus factorizes. It can be w ritten as the product of the parton cross section tim es a lum inosity function. The parton cross section ${ }^{\wedge}$ ab! BH is independent of the parton types and depends only on the black hole m ass, P lanck scale, and num ber of


Figure 4: Relation betw een the horizon $m$ ass $M_{A H}=\bar{\rho} \bar{s}$ and the charge param eters $a_{1}=a_{2}=a$ (particles of equal charge) for $D=4 ;:: ; ; 11$.
dim ensions. The parton hum inosity function contains all the inform ation about the partons. Besides a dependence on the black hole $m$ ass, it is independent of the characteristics of the higher-dim ensional space, i.e. the P lanck scale and num ber of dim ensions. The dependence of the black hole $m$ ass occurs only in the proportionality and the lim it of integration.
$T$ he transition from the parton-level to the hadron-level cross section is based on a factorization form ula. The validity of this form ula for the energy region above the $P$ lanck scale is unclear. Even if factorization is valid, the extrapolation of the parton distribution functions into this transplanckian region based on Standard M odel evolution from present energies is questionable, since the evolution equations neglect gravity.

For a xed proton-proton centre of $m$ ass energy, the parton lum inosity function can be pre-calculated to obtain a function depending only on a single $m$ ass param eter. Figure G shows the parton lum inosity function versus black hole m ass for ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{s}}=14 \mathrm{TeV}$ for di erent partons in the sum ofeq. 6.5). The solid line is for allpartons, include sea quarks and gluons. The dashed line shows the hum inosity w th the sea quarks rem oved. The doted line show s the lum inosity $w$ th the sea quarks and ghons rem oved, and the dash-dotted line is for only ghons. Figure G indicates that to a good approxim ation, we can ignore the contribution from $^{\text {ind }}$ the sea quarks at high black hole $m$ asses. The ghuon-only contribution is the low er bound on the lum inosity function when the charged quarks do not contribute to the cross section.
$T$ hroughout the rem ainder of this analysis, we ignore the contribution to the cross section from the sea quarks. We work w ith parton lum inosity, which is independent of $D$ and $M_{D}$.


Figure 5: R elation betw een the horizon $m$ ass $M_{A H}=\stackrel{P}{s}$ and the charge param eters $a_{1}=a$ and $a_{2}=0$ (one neutral particle) for $D=4 ;::: ; 11$.

O nly the condition on which quarks to include in the sum of eq. 6.5) depends on $D$ and $M_{D}$. Thus the upper and lower bounds on the parton lum inosity do not change for di erent param eters. W e take the running of the coupling constant into account; ranges from about $1 / 126$ to $1 / 122$ over a black hole $m$ ass range of 1 TeV to $10 \mathrm{TeV} \cdot \mathrm{W}$ e choose equal to $1 / 124$ in the follow ing calculations. Because of the large $m$ om entum transfer in black hole production, we use current quark $m$ asses. $Q$ uark $m$ asses of $m_{d}=8 \mathrm{MeV}$ and $m_{u}=4 \mathrm{MeV}$ are chosen for the valence quarks in the proton. To study eq. 6.5), we m ust rst boost the partons to the equal-energy fram e to calculate eq. 4.13), and then determ ine if the condition in $g$. 1 is satis ed. If it is, the parton pair is inchuded in the sum in eq. (6.5).
$F$ igure 7 show s the distribution of values of the charges $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ for 11 dim ensions, a P lanck scale of 1.75 TeV , and a brane thickness of 1 . D istributions for sam e charges, di erent charges, and one neutral parton com binations are clearly visible. The distributions fallo with increasing values of a. Them axim um values of $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ depend on the higherdim ensional spacetim e param eters $D, M_{D}$, and $C_{b r a n e}$. The bin representing ghon-gluon collisions ( $a_{1}=a_{2}=0$ ) is surrounded by a region (not visible) of no events. This vacated region increases w ith increasing $P$ lanck scale.

Figure 8 show s the parton lum inosity for di erent brane thidknesses for 11 dim ensions and a P lanck scale of 1 TeV . The top curve is the case when all the partons contribute to the cross section, while the low er curve is the case when only the neutralgluons contribute to the cross section. The contributions of the di erent quarks in the interm ediate region depends


Figure 6: D i erent contributions to the parton lum inosity function versus black hole mass.
on $M_{B H}, D_{D}, M_{D}$, and $C_{b r a n e}$. The thresholds for di erent quarks to contribute occur as a function of $M_{B H}$ for xed $D, M_{D}$, and $C_{\text {brane }}$. The location of the thresholdsm ay orm ay not occur in the $m$ ass region of our plot. From g.8, we see that charge can a ect any black hole $m$ ass and the e ect is very sensitive to the brane thickness. T he decrease in parton lum inosity, and thus cross section, can range from about one to four orders of $m$ agnitude over a black hole mass range of $1 \quad 10 \mathrm{TeV}$ due to charge e ects. The cross section is nontrivial only over a range of brane thicknesses from 1.1 to 2.2. P lots w ith di erent num ber of dim ensions are sim ilar to g. B; they are alw ays bounded above and below by the sam e values, but for di erent values of the brane thickness.

For each num ber of dim ensions, we determ ine the $m$ axim um brane thickness for all partons to be included in the parton lum inosity and them inim um brane thickness for only ghons to be included in the parton lum inosity. The results are shown in 9. 9. For a thin brane, the cross section is not a ected for high dim ensions. For a thidk brane, the cross section is reduced for $m$ ost num ber of dim ensions. For a P lanck scale of 1 TeV and a brane thickness of $1 \mathrm{TeV}{ }^{1}$, the cross section is $m$ inim al for $D .8$, not a ected for $D \& 11$, and has a range of values in the region 9 . D . 10.

U sing the de nition of the P lanck scale and electric charge, we nd that the condition for a R eissner-N ordstrom horizon, eq. 3.6), becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{q_{1}}+C_{Q_{2}} j \frac{M_{B H}}{M_{D}} P-\frac{1}{D \quad 2} \frac{\mathrm{D}}{\frac{D}{D} 2^{2}} \frac{2}{C_{b r a n e}}{ }^{\frac{D 4}{2}}: \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 7: H istrogram of values of the particle charges $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ in proton-proton collisions with $D=11, M_{D}=1: 75 \mathrm{TeV}$, and $C_{\text {brane }}=1$.

This inequality is satis ed for $\mathrm{D}>4$ provided the brane is not too thidk. This result is contradictory to Ref. 30], where the e ect of the Standard M odel con nem ent to the threebrane seem s to have been ignored. Table 1 show s upperbounds on the brane thickness for the inequality in eq. 6.6) to be satis ed. H ow ever, the natural thickness of the brane in $m$ odels w ith low-scale quantum gravity can not be much larger than $\mathrm{C}_{\text {brane }}=1$, and thus it appears that the condition will alw ays be satis ed for m ost natural values of the brane thickness. O ur previous results indicated that a collision of two partonsm ay not form a black hole even though the condition for a $R$ eissner $N$ ordstrom horizon is satis ed. This is because eq. 6.6) is a necessary, but not su cient, condition for black hole form ation.

| D | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\text {brane }}$ | NA | 0.8 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 4.1 | 4.4 |

Table 1: M axim um brane thicknesses for a Reissner-N ordstrom black hole to form.

T he uncertainties in our analysis are large and particular values for the brane thicknesses


Figure 8: Parton lum inosity function versus black hole $m$ ass $w$ ith the charge condition applied for di erent brane thicknesses for $D=11$ and $M_{D}=1 \mathrm{TeV}$. $T$ he numbers on the plot show the di erent parton contributions to the parton lum inosity function.
obtained in this section should be taken w ith caution. H ow ever, the trends in values ofbrane thicknesses should be indicative of a $m$ ore accurate form ulation of the e ects of charge on the black hole cross section.

## 7. D iscussion

The electrom agnetic interaction betw een the quarks could enhance or degrade black hole for$m$ ation depending on the sign of the charges involved. C ollisions betw een like-signed charged quarks w ill degrade black hole form ation, while collisions between opposite-signed charged quarks will enhance black hole form ation. It is not possible to know how e ective the electrom agnetic interaction is w ithout directly com puting the subsequent tem poral evolution of the system .

The apparent horizon analysis was carried out in a regim e where QED e ects $m$ ay be im portant and could restrict the reliability of the m etric. Q ED e ectsbecom e im portant when the exterior electrostatic energy of a point charge is equal to its rest $m$ ass. This condition can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\frac{\mathrm{a}}{\mathrm{r}_{0}^{2(\mathrm{D}}} 3 \text { 3) } \cdot \frac{\mathrm{D} 3(2 \mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{D}} 2 \mathrm{~F}\right)!!\text { (2D } 4\right)!! \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 9: Brane thickness versus num ber of dim ensions for which all quarks (dashed lines) and no quarks (solid lines) contribute to the cross section. T he circles are for $M_{D}=1 \mathrm{TeV}$ and the squares are for $M_{D}=5 \mathrm{TeV} . \mathrm{N}$ o quarks contribute to the cross section in the region above the solid curves. The cross section is not a ect by the charge condition below the region of the dashed curves. Som e quarks contribute to the cross section in the region betw een the di erent curve types.

The values of the right hand side range from 0.56 to 0.68 for $4 \mathrm{D} \quad 11$. Since the apparent horizon occurs below $a=2=\left(3^{P} \overline{3}\right)=0: 38$, the condition given by eq. 7.1) is alw ays satis ed. H owever, the condition for the im portance of QED e ects is a su cient condition, not a necessary condition. It is possible that QED e ects are im portant in the neighbournood of the equality in eq. 7.1). W e can not be sure if $Q E D$ e ects suppress or enhance the repulsive charge e ect we have obtained.
$T$ aking the boosted $R$ eissner $-N$ ordstrom $m$ etric as a reasonable description of ultarelativistic quarks, we have show $n$ that charge e ects w ill signi cantly decrease the rate ofblack hole form ation at the LH C , if the brane is som ew hat thick or ifthe dim ensionality D is not too large. The charge e ects can be quite large because the electrom agnetic energy $m$ om entum tensor is proportional to $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}$ and the Lorentz factor is much larger than $1=$ for ultrarelativistic quarks.

By using parton lum inosity we have not had to specify the parton-levelblack hole cross section. As long as the cross section depends only on $M_{B H}, D$, and $M_{D}$, our results should be applicable for any form of the parton-level cross section.

There rem ains a possibility that a black hole will form under collision even if there is no apparent horizon on the slige we considered, because apparent horizon form ation is only a su cient condition for black hole form ation. In order to specify $m$ ore detailed criteria for
black hole form ation, it will be necessary to study the tem poral evolution of spacetim e after the collision. The inclusion of the spin of the incom ing particles is also required. Inclusion of QED e ects and brane e ects on gauge- eld con nem ent $m$ ay also be necessary.
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