G lueball P roduction via G luonic Penguin B Decays

X iao-G ang He^1 and T zu-C hiang Y uan² y

1. Department of Physics and Center for Theoretical Sciences,

National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan 10764, R.O.C.

2. Department of Physics, National T sing Hua University, H sinchu, Taiwan 300, R Ω \mathcal{C} .

(Dated: March 26, 2022)

Abstract

We study glueball G production in gluonic penguin decay B ! X_sG , using next-to-leading order b ! sg gluonic penguin interaction and an elective coupling of a glueball to two gluons. The elective coupling allows us to study the decay rate of a glueball to two pseudoscalars in the fram ework of chiral perturbation theory. Identifying the f_0 (1710) to be a scalar glueball, we then determ ine the elective coupling strength with the branching ratio of f_0 (1710) ! $K\overline{K}$. We not that the charm penguin to be important and obtain a sizable branching ratio for Br(B ! X_sG) in the range of (0:7 1:7) 10⁴. Rare hadronic B decay data from B_AB_{AR} and Belle can provide important inform ation about glueballs.

Em ail address: hexg@phys.ntu.edu.tw

^y Em ail address: tcyuan@phys.nthu.edu.tw

The existence of glueballs is a natural prediction of QCD. However, glueball state has not been con med experimentally. The prediction for the glueballm asses is a di cult task. Theoretical calculations indicate that the lowest lying glueball state is a scalar with a mass in the range of 1.6 to 2 G eV. Recent quenched lattice calculations give a glueballm ass m_G equals 1710 50 80 M eV [1]. These results support that the scalar meson f₀ (1710) to be a glueball. Phenom enologically, f₀ (1710) could be an impure glueball since it can be contam inated by possible mixings with the quark-antiquark states that have total isospin zero [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. These mixing e ects can be either sm all [4, 5, 6, 7] or large [2, 3, 4, 8], depend largely on the mixing schemes one chose to do the ts and com plicate the analysis. For simplicity, we will ignore these mixing e ects and assume f₀ (1710) is indeed a glueball throughout this work.

Since the leading Fock space of a glueball G is made up of two gluons, production of glueball is thereforem ost e cient at a gluonic rich environment like J= or ! (gg) ! G [9, 10]. Direct glueball production is also possible at the e⁺ e [11] and hadron [12] colliders. In this work, we point out another interesting mechanism to detect a glueball via the rare inclusive process B ! X_sG decay. The leading contribution for this process is shown in Fig. [1], where the squared vertex refers to the gluonic penguin interaction and the round vertex stands for an elective coupling between a glueball and the gluons. The gluonic penguin b ! sg has been studied extensively in the literature and was used in the context for inclusive decay b ! sg ⁰ [13]. The elective interaction for b ! sg with next-to-leading QCD correction can be written as [14]

$${}_{a} = \frac{G_{F}}{P} \frac{g_{s}}{2} V_{ts} V_{tb} s (p^{0}) [F_{1} (q^{2} - q - q) L - im_{b} F_{2} - q R] T^{a} b (p);$$
(1)

where $F_1 = 4$ (C_4 (q_7) + C_6 (q_7)) = $_s$ () and $F_2 = 2C_8$ () with $C_1(q_7)$ (i = 4;6; and 8) the W ilson's coe cients of the corresponding operators in the B = 1 e ective weak H am iltonian, q = p $p^0 = k + k^0$, and T^a is the generator for the color group. We will use the next-to-leading order num erical values of F_1 and F_2 [14]. The top quark contribution gives $F_1^{top} = 4.86$ and $F_2^{top} = +0.288$ at = 5 GeV; whereas the charm quark contribution involves a q^2 dependence through C_4^{charm} (q_7) = C_6^{charm} (q_7) = P_s^{charm} (q_7) with

$$P_{s}^{charm}(q;) = \frac{s()}{8}C_{2}() \frac{10}{9} + Q(q;m_{c};) ;$$
 (2)

and

$$Q (q;m;) = 4 \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dx x (1 x) \ln \frac{m^{2} x (1 x)q^{2}}{2}$$

$$= \frac{2 [3q^{2} \ln (m^{2} = 2) 12m^{2} 5q^{2}]}{9q^{2}} + \frac{4 (2m^{2} + q^{2})^{p} \frac{4m^{2} q^{2}}{3^{3} q^{2}}}{3^{3} q^{2}} \arctan \frac{q^{2}}{4m^{2} q^{2}};$$
(3)

Here m $_{\rm c}$ = 1.4 GeV is the charm quark mass and C $_2$ (= 5 GeV) = 1:150.

The following e ective coupling between a scalar glueball and two gluons was advocated recently by Chanowitz [15]

$$L = fGG^{a} G^{a} ; \qquad (4)$$

where G is the interpolating eld for the glueball G, G^{a} is the gluon eld strength, and f is an unknown coupling constant. This form of elective coupling suggests that glueball couples to the QCD trace anom aly.



FIG.1: The leading diagram for B $\,!\,$ X $_{\rm s}G$.

The interaction of a scalar glueball with light hadrons through the trace anom aly can be form ulated system atically by using techniques of chiral Lagrangian, as was brie y m entioned in [16]. The kinetic energy and the sym m etry breaking m ass terms for the light pseudoscalar m esons are given by [17]

$$L = \frac{f^2}{8} [Tr(@ @ ^{y}) + Tr(^{y} ^{y} +)];$$
 (5)

where f = 132 MeV being the pion decay constant, $^2 = = \exp(2i = f)$ with the SU (3) pseudoscalar octet m eson,

and

$$= 2B_0 \operatorname{diag}(\mathfrak{m};\mathfrak{m};\mathfrak{m}_s) = \operatorname{diag}(\mathfrak{m}^2;\mathfrak{m}^2;2\mathfrak{m}_K^2 - \mathfrak{m}^2)$$
(7)

with $B_0 = 2031 \text{ MeV}$. Here we have neglected the isospin breaking e ects due to small m ass di erence between the light u and d quarks and used $\hat{m} = m_u = m_d$. The QCD trace anomaly is well known and given by [17]

$$= \frac{b_{s}}{8}G^{a}G^{a} + m_{q} qq; \qquad (8)$$

where b = 11 $2n_f = 3$ is the QCD one-loop beta function with $n_f = 3$ being the number of light quarks. Treating the elective interaction (4) as a perturbation to the energy momentum stress tensor, one would then modify to be

$$\frac{b_{s}}{8}G^{a}G^{a} + f\frac{8}{b_{s}}G^{a} + m_{q} + f_{q}G) qq; \qquad (9)$$

$$L = \frac{1}{8}f^{2} + f\frac{8}{b_{s}}G + f\frac{9}{b_{s}}G + \frac{1}{8}f^{2}Tr^{y}(+ 2B_{0}fG)^{y} + (+ 2B_{0}fG); \qquad (10)$$

where $f = \text{diag}(f_u; f_d; f_s)$. Using the above chiralLagrangian, one can then calculate the decay rates for $G \stackrel{+}{}_{*} \stackrel{0}{}_{*} \stackrel{0}{}_{*} \stackrel{0}{}_{*} K \stackrel{K}{}_{*} K \stackrel{0}{}_{K} \stackrel{0}{}_{*} \stackrel{0}{}_{*} \text{ and } \stackrel{0}{}_{*} \text{ Since } f_0 (1710) \text{ is interpreted as the glueball, one can use the experimental branching ratio <math>Br(f_0 (1710) \stackrel{!}{}_{K} K \stackrel{0}{}_{K}) = 0.38^{+0.09}_{-0.19}$ and its total width 137 8 M eV [18] to be our input. Together with the decay rate formulas derived from the chiral Lagrangian (10) and a value of the strong coupling constant $_{s} = 0.35$ extracted from the experimental data of decay, one can estimate the unknown coupling $f = 0.07^{+0.009}_{-0.018}$ G eV $^{-1}$.

In our estimation of f given above, we have extrapolated low energy theorems to the glueball mass scale. One m ight overestimate the hadronic matrix elements in due course. This implies that the extracted value of f would be too small.

Decay G ! K K has also been obtained using perturbative QCD calculations [16]. This approach can also give some estimate of the amplitude. The problem facing this approach is that the energy scale may not be high enough to have the perturbative QCD contribution to dominate. Using the asymptotic light-cone wave functions, we not that for a given branching ratio for G ! K K decay, the resulting f would be about 20 times larger than the value obtained above using the chiral approach. Incidentally, the value off derived from the chiral Lagrangian is within a factor of 2 compared with the value estimated just by using the free quark decay rate of G ! ss [12]. In our later calculations, we will use the conservative value $f = 0.07^{+0.009}_{-0.018}$ G eV ⁻¹ determined using the chiral Lagrangian given in Eq.(10). This will give the most conservative estimate for the branching ratio since the chiral approach gives the smallest f.

W ith the two e ective couplings given in Eqs.(1) and (4), the following decay rate for $b! \ sgG \ (Fig.(1))$ can be obtained readily

$$\sum_{b! \ sgG} = \frac{N_{c}^{2} \ 1}{4N_{c}} \frac{G_{F}^{2} m_{b}^{5} \dot{y}_{ts} V_{tb} \dot{f}}{2^{5} \ 3} \frac{g_{s}}{4^{2}} (m_{b}f)^{2} \frac{g_{s}}{4^{2}} (m_{b}f)^{2} \frac{g_{s}}{4^{2}} (m_{b}f)^{2} \frac{g_{s}}{4^{2}} (m_{b}f)^{2} \frac{g_{s}}{4^{2}} \frac{g_{s}}{4^{2}} (m_{b}f)^{2} \frac{g_{s}}{4^{2}} (m_{b}f)^{2} \frac{g_{s}}{4^{2}} \frac{g_{s}}{4^{2}} (m_{b}f)^{2} \frac{g_{s}}{4^{2}} \frac{g_{s}}{4^{2}} \frac{g_{s}}{4^{2}} (m_{b}f)^{2} \frac{g_{s}}{4^{2}} \frac{g_{s}}{4^{2}} \frac{g_{s}}{4^{2}} (m_{b}f)^{2} \frac{g_{s}}{4^{2}} \frac{g_{s$$

with

$$y = \frac{1}{2}^{h} (1 + x^{0})^{p} \frac{p}{(1 + x^{0})^{2} + 4x^{0}}^{i} :$$
(12)

In Eq.(11), N_c is the number of color and $c_{0;1;2}$ are given by

$$c_{0} = \frac{1}{2} [2x^{2}y + (1 \quad y)(y \quad x^{0})(2x + y \quad x^{0})];$$

$$c_{1} = (1 \quad y)(y \quad x^{0})^{2};$$

$$c_{2} = \frac{1}{2} [2x^{2}y^{2} \quad (1 \quad y)(y \quad x^{0})(2xy \quad y + x^{0})];$$
(13)

with $x = (p^0 + k)^2 = m_b^2$, $y = (k + k^0)^2 = m_b^2$, and $x^0 = m_g^2 = m_b^2$.

Using the value of f determ ined above from the chiral Lagrangian, we nd the branching ratio for b ! sgG to be 4.5 10^{-5} (f GeV=0.07) with just the leading top penguin contribution to F₁ is taken into account. The correction from the charm penguin is nevertheless substantial and should not be neglected. Inclusion of both top and charm penguins gives rise to an enhancement about a factor of 3 in the branching ratio Br(b ! sgG) 1.3 10^{-4} (f GeV=0.07). Since f₀ (1710) has a large branching ratio into K K, the signal of scalar glueball can be identied by looking at the secondary K K invariant mass. The recoil mass spectrum of X_s can also be used to extract information. The distribution of dBr(b ! sgG)=dM_{Xs} as a function of the recoil mass of X_s is plotted in Fig.(2).



FIG.2: dBr(B ! X_sG)=dM $_{X_s}$ in unit of 10⁵. In the gure the b quark mass m_b is taken to be 4248 GeV, $_s = 0.21$ at the b quark scale, $_B = 1.674$ 10¹² s, and f = 0.07 GeV¹.

A nalogously one can also study inclusive B decays into a pseudoscalar glueball G, whose leading e ective coupling to the gluon can be parameterized as [19],

$$L = \mathbf{f} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{G}^{a} \mathbf{G}^{a} \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{G}^{a} = \frac{1}{2} \qquad \mathbf{G}^{a} \quad ; \tag{14}$$

and G denoting the interpolating eld for the pseudoscalar glueball. The decay rate for this case can be deduced from the scalar glueball one by replacing the coupling f with f and the mass $m_{\rm G}$ with the pseudoscalar glueball mass $m_{\rm G}$ in Eq.(11). This also reproduces previous result obtained in Ref.[13] for a similar process b ! sg ⁰. We therefore expect similar distribution and branching ratio for the pseudoscalar glueball production from the B decay as in the scalar case that we have studied in this work.

Recently, BES has observed an enhanced decay in $J = ! ^{0}$ with a peak around the invariant m ass of 0 at 1835 M eV [20]. Proposal has been m ade to interpret this state X (1835) to be due to a pseudoscalar glueball [21]. Taking X (1835) to be a pseudoscalar glueball, we would obtain a branching ratio of 3:7 10 5 (f $G eV = 0.07^{2}$) with top penguin contribution only, and is enhanced to 1:1 10 4 (f $G eV = 0.07^{2}$) if charm penguin is also included. If the coupling f is of the sam e order of m agnitude as f, the branching ratio for B ! X sG is also sizable. Pseudoscalar glueball m ay also be discovered in rare B decays.

Since a pseudoscalar glueball cannot decay into two pseudoscalar mesons, the identi cation of a pseudoscalar glueball necessitates the study of the three-body system 0 . This makes the analysis more di cult compared with the case of a scalar glueball.

To conclude, we have studied inclusive production of a scalar glueball in rare B decay through the gluonic penguin and an e ective glueball-gluon interaction. The branching ratio is found to be of the order 10⁴. B decays into a pseudoscalar glueball through gluonic penguin is also expected to be sizable. Note that we have used a conservative estimate of f.Observation of B ! $X_s f_0$ (1710) at a branching ratio of order 10⁴ or larger will provide an strong indication that f_0 (1710) is mainly a scalar glueball. W ith more than 600 m illions of B B accumulated at Belle and more than 300 m illions at $B_A B_{AR}$, test of Br(b ! sgG) at the level of 10⁴ is quite feasible. W e strongly urge our experimental colleagues to carry out such an analysis.

A cknow ledgm ents

W e are gratefulto K m. Cheung and J.P.M a form any useful discussions. This research was supported in part by the National Science Council of Taiwan R.O.C. under G rant Nos. NSC 94-2112-M -007-010- and NSC 94-2112-M -008-023-, and by the National Center for Theoretical Sciences.

- Y. Chen, A. Alexandru, S. J. Dong, T. Draper, Horvth, F. X. Lee, K. F. Liu, N. Mathur, C. Momingstar, M. Peardon, S. Tam hankar, B. L. Yang, and J. B. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D 73, 014516 (2006) [arXiv:hep-lat/0510074].
- [2] W . Lee and D . W eingarten, Phys. Rev. D 61, 014015 (1999); [arX iv hep-lat/9805029].
- [3] L.Burakovsky and P.R.Page, Phys.Rev.D 59, 014022 (1998).
- [4] F.Giacosa, Th.Gutsche, V.E.Lyubovitskij, and A.Faessler, Phys. Rev. D 72, 094006 (2005).
- [5] F.E.Close and Q.Zhao, Phys.Rev.D 71, 094022 (2005).
- [6] X.G.He, X.Q.Li, X.Liu, and X.Q.Zeng, Phys. Rev. D 73, 051502 (2006); ibid. D 73, 114026 (2006).
- [7] A.H.Fariborz, Phys. Rev. D 74 054030 (2006) [arX iv hep-ph/0607105].
- [8] H.Y.Cheng, C.K.Chua, and K.F.Liu, arX iv hep-ph/0607206.
- [9] V.A.Novikov, M.A.Shifman, A.I.Vainshtein, and V. I.Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B 165, 67 (1980).
- [10] X.-G.He, H.-Y. Jin, and J.-P. Ma, Phys. Rev. D 66, 074015 (2002).
- [11] S.Brodsky, A.S.Goldhaber, and J.Lee, Phys.Rev.Lett. 91, 112001 (2003).
- [12] K m. Cheung, W. Y. Keung, and T. C. Yuan, in preparation.
- [13] D.Atwood and A.Soni, Phys.Lett. B 405, 150 (1997); W.S.Hou and B.Tseng, Phys.Rev. Lett. 80, 434 (1998).
- [14] X.G.He and G.L.Lin, Phys. Lett. B 454, 123 (1999). In Eq.(4) of this paper, $P_s(q^2;)$ should be replaced by $+P_s(q^2;)$.
- [15] M.S.Chanowitz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 172001 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0506125].
- [16] K.T.Chao, X.G.He, and J.P.Ma, arX iv hep-ph/0512327.
- [17] For an introductory exposition of chiral Lagrangian, we refer to the textbook by J.F.Donoghue, E.Golowich, and B.R.Holstein, Dynamics of the Standard Model, Cam bridge University Press (1992).
- [18] W.M.Yao et al, Particle Data Group, J. Phys. G 33, 1 (2006).
- [19] J.M. Comwalland A. Soni, Phys. Rev. D 32, 764 (1985); ibid. D 29, 1424 (1984).
- [20] M.Ablikim et al., (BES Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 262001 (2005).
- [21] X.-G. He, Xue-Qian Li, Xiang Liu, and J. P. Ma, arXiv hep-ph/0509140.