Heavy m eson sem ileptonic decays in two dimensions in the large N $_{\rm c}$

Jorge M ondejar^a

^aD ept. d'Estructura i Constituents de la Materia, U.Barcelona, Diagonal, 647, E-08028 Barcelona, Spain

W e study QCD in 1 + 1 dimensions in the large N_c lim it using light-front H am iltonian perturbation theory in the $1=N_c$ expansion. W e use this form alism to exactly compute hadronic transition matrix elements for arbitrary currents at leading order in $1=N_c$, which we use to write the sem ileptonic di erential decay rate of a heavy m eson and its moments. We then compare with the results obtained using an elective eld theory approach based on perturbative factorization, with the intention of better understanding quark-hadron duality. A very good num erical agreement is obtained between the exact result and the result using elective theories.

1. Introduction

The results presented here are part of a work done in collaboration with Antonio Pineda and Joan Rojp [1].

A symptotic freedom can be seen as the rst example of factorization between high and low energies, since it dictates that G reen functions at high Euclidean energies (Q^2) can be described by perturbation theory up to corrections suppressed by powers of QCD overQ. Therefore, the use of the operator product expansion (OPE) in processes where the relevant m om entum scale is large and Euclidean is safe. This is quite restrictive, since, in most of the cases, it can only be tested with experiment through dispersion relations, which involve m easurem ents up to arbitrarily high energies. W hat one usually does is to try to directly apply the sam e perturbative factorization techniques to observables living in the M inkow ski regime. In practice this means to perform the analytic continuation of approximate perturbative results obtained in the Euclidean region to the M inkowski region, but such calculations do not come from rst principles. This problem a ects the OPE and e ective eld theories that are built using perturbative factorization techniques aim ing to factorize high from low energies, and it is usually stated as duality violations. W e will follow here the de nition of [2] for duality violations.

exact result and the one using perturbative factorization in the large N_c lim it of QCD [3]. In this case one nds a clear discrepancy between both results in the physical cut of the G reen functions, where one has in nitely narrow resonances on the one hand and an smooth function on the other. This can be further quanti ed in the 't H ooft m odel [4], which we will consider in what follows.

The speci c observable we use to illustrate this discussion is the di erential sem ileptonic inclusive decay of a heavy m eson: H_Q ! X l . The duality violations in this case are maxim al, but if we consider the M ellin moments of the di erential decay rate we nd that there is a very good agreem ent between the exact and the perturbative result.

In sec. 2 we analyze $Q C D_{1+1}$ in the light front. In sec. 3 we compute the hadronic di erential decay rate and its m on ents. In sec. 4 we construct an elective theory to compute these quantities at one loop, and compare the two results. Finally, in section 5 we present our conclusions.

2. QCD $_{1+1}$ in the light front

The QCD lagrangian is given by

$$L = \frac{1}{4}G^{a}G^{a}; + \frac{X}{i}(i D m_{i}+i)_{i}; (1)$$

One can quantify the discrepancy between the

where D = 0 + igA and i labels the avor.

Jorge M ondejar

W e work in light-cone coordinates: we de ne two light-like vectors,

$$n = (1;1); n_{+} = (1; 1);$$
 (2)

and de ne the light-cone coordinates as

$$x^{+}$$
 n_{+} $x = (x^{+} x^{1});$ x n $x = (x^{0} x^{1}):(3)$

In this coordinates the usual quantization gauge is A^+ n_+ A = 0, the so called light-cone gauge. As for the mass elds i, we split them in

$$_{+} = \frac{1}{4} + ; = \frac{1}{4} + ; (4)$$

 \mathbbm{W} ith these de nitions, the QCD lagrangian looks like

$$L = \frac{1}{8} (\theta^{+} A)^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{X} (i\theta^{+} + gA)_{i+}$$
(5)

+
$$_{i}$$
 $i0^{+}$ $_{i}$ m_{i} $(y 0 + y 0 + i + i + i +)$:

As our quantization frame, we choose to quantize at $x^+ = constant$, which means that x^+ plays the role of time, and x that of space in our equations. In this quantization frame, neither A nor _i are dynamical elds, so we can integrate them out and construct the H am iltonian

$$P = \int_{i}^{x} dx dy \qquad (6)$$

$$f = \int_{i}^{i} \frac{im_{i}^{2}}{4} \int_{i+}^{y} (x) (x + y) \int_{i+}^{x} (y)$$

$$X = \int_{j}^{q^{2}} \int_{i+}^{y} t^{a} \int_{i+}^{x} (x) j x + y j \int_{j+}^{y} t^{a} \int_{j+}^{x} (y) g;$$

where

By solving the eigenstate equation P $jni = P_n jni$ one obtains the basis of states on which the H ilbert space of physical states can be spanned. Here we will focus on the meson sector of this space, and we will generically label the state as jij;ni, where i labels the avor of the valence quark, j labels the avor of the valence antiquark and n labels the excitation of the bound state. The solution to the eigenstate equation can be obtained from the large N_c lim it solutions within a system atic expansion in $1=N_c$ using standard time-independent quantum perturbation theory. Up to 0 ($1=N_c$), it has the following structure

⁽⁰⁾ hik; n⁰ j⁽⁰⁾ hk j; m
$$\mathcal{P}$$
 jij; ni⁽⁰⁾ $\frac{1}{P_n^{(0)} P_m^{(0)} P_n^{(0)}}$;

where the second term in the expression is $1 = \frac{N_c}{N_c}$ suppressed: in our calculations we are staying at leading order in $1=N_c$, but we have to keep this term because it gets enhanced by $\frac{N_c}{N_c}$ when computing transition matrix elements. jij;ni⁽⁰⁾ represents the eigenstate solution in the large N_c lim it,

$$jij;ni^{(0)} = \frac{p}{N_{c}} \int_{0}^{Z_{P_{n}^{+}}} \frac{p}{2(2)} \frac{dp^{+}}{n} \int_{0}^{ij} \frac{p^{+}}{P_{n}^{+}} \qquad (9)$$
$$a_{ij}^{y} (p)b_{j}^{y} (P_{n} p) ji;$$

where is the color index, $\frac{ij}{n}$ is the solution to the 't H ooft equation, and the state is norm alized as

⁽⁰⁾hij;m ji⁰j⁰;ni⁽⁰⁾ = 2
$$2P_n^{(0)+} mn ii^0 jj^0$$
 (10)
 $(P_m^{(0)+} P_n^{(0)+}):$

W ith this we can compute transition matrix elements, hcs; m j $_{\rm c}$ Q $\rm D$ s; ni. For reasons of space, we cannot show the form ulas here, and refer the reader to [1].

3. Sem ileptonic di erential decay rate: hadronic com putation

We consider the sem ileptonic heavy meson decay: H_Q ! $X_{c}l_{a}l_{b}$, where H_Q represents a bound state m ade of a heavy quark Q and a light (spectator) quark s, X_c represents any hadronic nal state with c (hard-collinear) avour content and $l_{a,b}$ represent m assless leptons. We will consider the situation on which the spectator, s, and hard-collinear, c, quarks have di erent avour in order to avoid annihilation and Pauli interference term s. This decay has already been studied Heavy meson sem ileptonic decays in two dimensions in the large N $_{\rm c}$

in the past, we will follow here the work of Bigi et al. [5]. The authors considered the avour changing weak interaction

$$L_{weak}^{V} = \frac{G}{P_{\overline{2}}} c Q l_{a} l_{b} : \qquad (11)$$

The total decay width can be written as

$$_{\rm H_{\,0}} = \frac{G^2}{M_{\rm H_{\,0}}}^2 \frac{d^2q}{(2)^2} \, {\rm Im} \qquad (q) \, {\rm Im} \, {\rm T} \qquad (q) ; (12)$$

where (x) and T (x) are de ned as

$$(x) = ih0 f [l_a(x)]_b(x) l_b(0) [l_a(0)] f(13)$$

$$T (x) = ihH_Q T Q(x) c(x) c(0) Q(0) H_Q i;$$

and their Fourier transform as

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (q) & = & d^{2}x \, e^{iqx} & (x) & (14) \\ & & & Z & \\ T & (q) & = & d^{2}x e^{-iqx} \, T & (x) : \end{array}$$

The leptonic tensor can be easily calculated; to calculate the hadronic tensor we just have to insert a complete set of interm ediate states: in the large N_c lim it this set will consist exclusively of m esons, so we can use the transition m atrix elements we found previously. In the end, the di erential decay rate reads (the details can be found in [1])

$$\frac{d^{hadr}}{dx} = \frac{X}{M_{n} M_{H_{Q}}} \frac{G^{2}}{4} \frac{M_{H_{Q}}^{2}}{M_{H_{Q}}} \frac{M_{n}^{2}}{M_{H_{Q}}}$$
(15)
$$\frac{Z}{dz} \frac{C}{n} (z) H_{Q} (z) x 1 + \frac{M_{n}^{2}}{M_{H_{Q}}^{2}};$$

where

$$x \quad \frac{q^{\dagger}}{P_{H_{Q}}^{+}}: \qquad (16)$$

The moments are de ned as

$$M_{N} = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dx x^{N-1} \frac{d}{dx} :$$
 (17)

W e can insert (15) in this expression right away, but since our interest here is to compare the result with that of an e ective theory, we will give an alternative expression for the moments which is valid up to N $m_Q =$ (again, the details of the calculation, and the approximations made, can be found in [1]),

$$M_{N}^{hadr} , \frac{G^{2}M_{H_{Q}}}{4} \frac{m_{Q;R}^{2}}{M_{H_{Q}}^{2}} 1 \frac{m_{C;R}^{2}}{m_{Q;R}^{2}} (18)$$

$$\frac{Z_{1}}{m_{Q;R}^{2}} \frac{dx}{x^{2}} x^{N}_{H_{Q}}^{2} (x) ;$$

where $m_{x;R}^2 = m_x^2$ ². This form ula has a precision of O (${}^2=m_Q^2$) when N 1 which reduces to O ($=m_Q$) when N $m_Q = .$

4. E ective theory

To construct the elective theory we switch to a partonic picture, in which the decay of the initial m eson becomes just the decay of the heavy quark into a light quark. The decay is given by the imaginary part of the diagram s shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. D lagram s involved in the construction of the elective theory at one loop. The dotted lines represent the outgoing leptons.

We work in the kinematics in which the momentum carried away by the leptons is

$$q^{+} = x p_{0}^{+}; q = 0:$$
 (19)

This means that the light outgoing quark resulting from the decay has the following momentum:

$$p_{c}^{+} = p_{0}^{+} (1 x) ; p_{c} = p_{0} :$$
 (20)

The important point is that $p_c = p_Q = m_Q$, which in our light front quantization fram emeans that the propagating light quark in the diagram s has a very large \energy", and that the process happens at very short \tim es". So, we can safely integrate out the light quark from our lagrangian. The resulting lagrangian willbe essentially that of HQET, plus a local vertex in x^+ , the imaginary part of which will represent the decay process. The construction of the elective theory amounts then to computing in full QCD the diagram s in F igure 1, and then m atching to an elective vertex in which the intermediate light quark will have disappeared. The elective vertex is

$$L_{I} = \frac{G^{2}}{2} (0^{+}) \frac{m_{Q;R}}{i0^{+}} Q_{+} \frac{y}{i0^{+}} \frac{1}{i0^{+}} \frac{m_{Q;R}^{2}}{m_{Q;R}^{2}} i0^{+} \frac{m_{Q;R}}{m_{Q;R}^{2}} i0^{+} \frac{m_{Q;R}}{i0^{+}} Q_{+} (0^{+} y); \qquad (21)$$

where is a pseduoscalar massless eld that represents the (massless) outgoing leptons. The differential decay rate is then given by

$$\frac{d}{dx}^{\text{pert}} = \frac{1}{M_{H_0}} \frac{1}{2(2)x} \frac{G^2}{2} (M_{H_0} x)^2 2 \text{Im} T_{\text{eff}} (22)$$
$$= \frac{G^2 M_{H_0}}{4} \frac{m_{Q,R}^2}{m_{Q,R}^2} \frac{m_{C,R}^2}{m_{Q,R}^2} - \frac{\frac{m_{Q,R}^2}{2}}{\frac{M_{H_0}}{2}} \frac{1}{x} \frac{2}{H_0} \frac{B}{2} \frac{x}{1 - \frac{m_{C,R}^2}{\frac{m_{Q,R}^2}{\frac{$$

C om paring with (15) we see the maxim alduality violation: one result is a sum of deltas whereas the other is a smooth function. However, if we compute the moments, we nd

$$M_{N}^{\text{pert}} = \frac{G^{2}M_{H_{Q}}}{4} \frac{m_{Q;R}^{2}}{M_{H_{Q}}^{2}} 1 \frac{m_{C;R}^{2}}{m_{Q;R}^{2}} (23)$$

$$Z_{1}$$

$$dxx^{N-2} Z_{H_{Q}}^{2} (x);$$

the same expression as (18), with the same precision. Thus, up to the precision we are working with, there are no duality violations for the moments. A ctually, a numerical analysis (see [1]) shows that the numerical agreement is very good up to high moments. In [5] it is shown for the inclusive decay width that di erences appear at O $(1=m_Q^9)$ (they are strongly suppressed, but they are there). In [1] it is also shown that, although very good results are found for the moments, things don't look so well if we average over sm aller ranges. Namely, for

$$Z_{x_{n}+x} \frac{d}{dx} dx; \qquad (24)$$

where x_n is any x which satis es the delta in (15), we nd that, rst, we have to ne-tune the value of x for the hadronic and perturbative results to agree at leading order, but even then, they di er at 0 (${}^2 = m_0^2$).

5. Conclusions

To sum up, we have computed the di erential sem ileptonic inclusive decay of a heavy meson and its moments, through both a hadronic calculation and a perturbative calculation (using an elective theory), and found that, whereas a comparison between the two results is in possible for the differential decay rate, the moments show no duality violations with the precision we have worked with; how ever, other observables, like the one de-

ned in (24) don't work so well. So, in conclusion, ¹ e ective theories can only be a good approxim ac tion for inclusive observables on which one av-^A erages over a large fraction of the nal bound states. They are not suited for point-to-point com parisons, or com parisons between arbitrarily sm eared functions.

REFERENCES

- J. Mondejar, A. Pineda and J. Rojo, J. High Energy Phys. 09, 060 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0605248].
- 2. M.A.Shifm an, arX iv:hep-ph/0009131.
- 3. G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B 72, 461 (1974).
- 4. G. 't Hooff, Nucl. Phys. B 75, 461 (1974).
- I.I.Y.Bigi, M.A.Shifman, N.Uraltsev and A.I.Vainshtein, Phys. Rev. D 59, 054011 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9805241].