D ynam ically G enerated O pen and H idden C harm M eson System s

D.G am erm ann¹, E.O set¹, D.Strottm an¹ and M.J.V icente Vacas¹ ¹D epartamento de F sica Teorica and IFIC, Centro M ixto Universidad de Valencia-CSIC,

Institutos de Investigacion de Paterna, Aptdo. 22085, 46071 Valencia, Spain

M arch 24, 2024

Abstract

W ewillstudy open and hidden charm scalarm eson resonances within two di erent models. The rst one is a direct application of a chiral Lagrangian already used to study avor symmetry breaking in Skyrme models. In another approach to the problem a SU (4) symmetric Lagrangian is built and the symmetry is broken down to SU (3) by identifying currents where heavy mesons are exchanged and suppressing those. Unitarization in couple channels leads to dynamical generation of resonances in both models, in particular a new hidden charm resonance with mass 3.7 G eV is predicted. The small di erences between these models and with previous works will be discussed.

1 Introduction

The discovery and soon after con m ation of charmed scalar resonances by BaBar and Belle [1], [2], [3] has opened a controversy about their structures. In the qq picture these resonances are naturally assigned as ${}^{3}P_{0}$ states in the ${}^{2S+1}l_{j}$ notation, but calculations done long before in the fram ework of quark m odel potentials [4] had m ass predictions which turned out to be m ore than 100 M eV o the realm ass of the states. Lattice calculations also fail in calculating the m asses with a qq assignment [5].

This situation has sparked the discussion whether these resonances could have a di erent structure. Some authors have suggested a qqqq structure [6], [7] or a m ixing between four quarks and the usual qq structure [8]. A loo m olecular states have been suggested [9], [10], [11]. For discussions on these and other controversial heavy m esons refer to [12], [13], [14].

Unitarized coupled channel models have also been considered for the study of these resonances in [15], [16] and [17]. These works have used a chiral Lagrangian based on heavy quark symmetry [18], [19], [20], [21] for the open charm sector which neglects exchanges of heavy vector mesons in the implicit W einberg-Tom ozawa term.

W e intend to extend the study for all possible sectors of the interaction, including the hidden charm and the double charm ed sector. The exchange of heavy vector m esons is also taken into account in our approach, with the corresponding term s properly accounting for the larger m ass of the heavy vector m esons.

In the present work we will construct a Lagrangian for the interaction of the 15plet of pseudoscalar m esons in SU (4). SU (4) sym m etry breaking will be considered by suppressing the exchange of heavy vector m esons. The SU (3) structure of the interaction will be thoroughly analysed and unitarization in coupled channels will lead to the generation of scalar resonances corresponding to poles in the T-m atrix. For com parison, in the open charm sector, we will also solve the problem with yet another Lagrangian which has been considered in the study of avor sym m etry breaking e ects in Skym e m odels. This Lagrangian gives sim ilar results, supporting our present m odel.

The work is organised as follows: in the next section a brief review of the structure of the SU (4) 15-plet will be presented. Section 3 is dedicated to the explanation of the construction of the Lagrangian and in section 4 the theoretical fram ework for solving the scattering equations in a unitarized approach is presented. Section 5 is dedicated to analysing the results and a brief sum mary is presented in section 6.

2 The 15-plet

In this work the fram ework already used to study the interaction of the octet of pseudoscalar mesons in SU (3) [22] will be extended to include charm ed mesons. This will involve some extrapolation to SU (4). In the qq picture, mesons involving charm will be classified as 4 = 15 1, hence belonging to a 15-plet or a singlet. It is interesting to understand how the 15 representation of SU (4) breaks down into representations of SU (3) and in which channels the interaction of the multiplets will be attractive or repulsive.

The pseudoscalarm esons are represented by a 15-plet of SU (4) as shown in gure 1. Once SU (4) symmetry is broken into SU (3), the 15-plet breaks down into four multiplets of the lower symmetry, an octet, a triplet, an antitriplet and a singlet:

The octet and the singlet have null charm quantum number, the triplet and the antitriplet have negative and positive charm quantum number, respectively. When studying the meson-meson interaction, one can decompose the scattering of two 15-plets of SU (4) according to its SU (3) inner structure, see Table 1.

The interaction 8 8 is already very well studied [22]-[29]. It is already known that in s-wave it generates dynam ically poles in the T-m atrix which are associated with the f_0 (980), , a_0 (980) and resonances. Also the interaction 3 8 has already been studied [15], [16], [17] in some di erent approaches. In this sector, as we will show in the following sections, the interaction, when diagonalized in a SU (3) basis, is attractive in 3 and 6, while repulsive in the 15, so one could expect to generate 5 poles in (S;I): from the 3 with isospin 0 and $\frac{1}{2}$ and strangeness 1 and 0, respectively;



Figure 1:15-plet from SU (4) with its mesons assignments.

charm	Interacting multiplets							
2	3	3!	3	6				
1	3 3	8! 1!	15 3	3	6			
0	3 1 8 8	3! 1! 1! 8!	8 1 8 1	1	8-	10	10	27

Table 1: SU (3) decomposition of the meson-meson interaction in SU (4). The sectors not shown in the table correspond to the C = 1; 2 states which are just charge conjugate states (antiparticles) from the ones shown.

from the 6 with $I = 0; \frac{1}{2}; 1$ and S = 1;0;1, respectively. Moreover the interaction in the 3 3 is attractive in both the 8 and the 1, so one can, in principle, expect four new resonances in the hidden-charm sector. The interaction in the C = 2 sector is repulsive in the 6 and in the 3 the interaction vanishes.

A part from studying the di erent sectors separately, it is interesting to see how the mixing of states from di erent sectors with the same SU (3) representation of Table 1 a ects the interaction.

Furtherm ore, if heavy resonances are generated from the 3 3 one can expect, in principle, that the mixing of those heavy channels with light ones coming from 8 8 will make its width quite large because of the large phase-space available for decay. How ever, we shall also see that there are subtleties in the interaction which suppress these decays.

3 The Lagrangians

The SU (3) lowest order chiral Lagrangian reads [30], [31]:

$$L = \frac{f^2}{4} Tr (0 U 0 U) + \frac{f^2 m^2}{4} Tr U + U^{y} 2$$
 (2)

where U is the eld containing the pseudoscalar m esons from the SU (3) octet and Tr represents a trace in avor space.:

$$U = e^{\frac{p}{2} \frac{p}{2}}_{0} + p^{-}_{0} + p^{-}_{0} + K^{+}_{0}$$
(3)

$${}_{8} = {}^{B}_{0} = {}^{P_{2} + P_{6}}_{R} K^{0} {}^{C}_{A} K^{0} {}^{C}_{A}$$
(4)

F lavor symmetry breaking e ects can be introduced with two new terms in the Lagrangian [32], [33]:

$$L_{SB} = \frac{f_{K}^{2} m_{K}^{2} f^{2} m^{2}}{6} Tr (\hat{1} \overline{3}_{8}) (U + U^{Y} 2) + \frac{f_{K}^{2} f^{2}}{12} Tr (\hat{1} \overline{3}_{8}) (U 1 1 + 11U^{Y})$$
(5)

$$1 = U^{Y} Q U$$
(6)

where $_8$ is the SU (3) generator.

In [34] these Lagrangians are extended to SU (n). In this new approach the symmetry breaking sector is written as:

$$L_{SB} = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{k=3}^{X^{n}} {}_{k}Tr (\hat{1} - \frac{1}{2}k(k-1)) \sum_{k^{2}} (U11 + 11U^{y})$$
(7)

+
$$\frac{1}{8} \frac{X^{n}}{k^{2} 3} + \frac{r}{2} \frac{1}{2} k (k - 1) \frac{1}{k^{2} 1} (U + U^{Y} 2)$$
 (8)

but now U belongs to a SU (n) representation.

By expanding the U m atrix until fourth order in the m eson elds, one can identify the m ass and kinetic terms for each eld and x the sym m etry breaking parameters for SU (4) and SU (3) as:

$$_{3} = \frac{4}{6} (f_{K}^{2} f^{2})$$
 (9)

$$_{3} = \frac{4}{3} (f_{K}^{2} m_{K}^{2} f_{K}^{2} m_{K}^{2})$$
 (10)

$$_{4} = \frac{1}{2} (f_{D}^{2} + f_{K}^{2} - 2f^{2})$$
(11)

$$_{4} = f_{D}^{2} m_{D}^{2} - \frac{1}{3} f_{K}^{2} m_{K}^{2} - \frac{1}{3} f^{2} m^{2}$$
(12)

In this work we will consider only the di erence between f_D and f which is about 70% and we will make the aproximation f_K = f .

For constructing ourm odel we will rst consider a SU (4) eld containing all elds from the 15-plet $^1\colon$

$$= \bigvee_{\substack{j=1\\ j=1\\ p \ = 1}}^{\chi^{15}} y = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{p \ = 1} +$$

A current is then de ned:

$$J = [0; ;]$$
 (14)

and a Lagrangian is build by connecting two currents and adding an extra term proportional to the square mass of the elds:

$$L_{PPPP} = \frac{1}{12f^2} Tr(J J + {}^{4}M):$$
 (15)

SU (4) and SU (3) avor symmetry breaking already arise from the mass term when the matrix M is not proportional to the identity matrix. We take:

 $^{^1\}rm W$ hat here is called and $_{\rm c}$ are actually $_8$ and $_{15}$ states, which mix with a singlet, $_1$ to form the physical states , 0 and $_{\rm c}$, but in this work this mixing won't be taken into account, and it will be considered that the physical states are just described by their most important components.



The term with the matrix M is exactly the same one appearing in the chiral Lagrangian of eq. (2) after breaking SU (4) and SU (3) by means of (5). The term J J in eq. (15) appears for four meson elds from the kinetic term, @U@U, of the chiral Lagrangian in eq. (2) if U is taken as a SU (4) representation by means of replacing $_{8}$ in eq. (4) by in eq. (13)

W e will also implement a dierent sort of symmetry breaking in a way that we explain below.

The constant f appearing in the Lagrangian (15) is, in principle, the pion decay constant (in this work f = 93.0 MeV). However, a di erent one will be used for the heavy m esons. In this latter case, the f^2 appearing in the am plitudes should be thought as the product of $\frac{P}{f}$ for each m eson leg in the corresponding vertex, with f = f = 93.0 MeV for light m esons and $f = f_D = 165 \text{ MeV}$ for heavy ones. This value for f_D is of the order of m agnitude expected from the experimental point of view [36] and lattice calculations [37].

D irectly applying Feynman rules to obtain transition amplitudes from this Lagrangian would be too much of a simplication. Indeed, the term J J of the chiral Lagrangian is usually visualized as the exchange of a vector meson between pairs of pseudoscalar elds in the limit of $q^2 << m_V^2$ (the Weinberg Tom ozawa term). In this case the kinetic term of the Lagrangian of eq. (15) is SU (4) avor symmetric and therefore implicitly assumes equal m_V for all the exchanges of heavy and light vector mesons. In refs [15], [16], [17] an SU (3) version of the interaction based on heavy quark symmetry is used which would correspond to allowing the exchange of only light vector mesons in the Weinberg-Tom ozawa Lagrangian described by the derivative term of eq. (15), and neglecting the M term [35]. In the present work we shall go one step further by allowing also the exchange of heavy vector mesons but weighted by their respective squared masses and we shall also keep the mass term as done in [32], [33], [34]. In order to implement this we rst decompose the eld into its SU (3) components:

$$= \frac{{}_{8} + \frac{p_{12}}{12} {}_{1}\hat{1}_{3} {}_{3}}{}_{3} \frac{p_{31}^{3}}{12} {}_{1}}$$
(17)

The $\hat{1}_3$ is the 3x3 identity matrix and the elds $_i$ contain the meson elds for each i-plet of SU (3) into which the 15-plet of SU (4) decomposes:



In this way the Lagrangian in (15) can be decomposed into six parts:

$$L_{PPPP} = \frac{1}{12f^2} (L_8 + L_3 + L_{31} + L_{83} + L_{831} + L_{m ass})$$
(18)

$$L_{8} = Tr J_{88} J_{88}$$
(19)

$$L_3 = J_{33} J_{33} + Tr J_{33} J_{33}$$
 (20)

$$L_{31} = \frac{8}{3} J_{31} J_{13}$$
 (21)

$$L_{83} = 2 J_{38} J_{83} + Tr J_{33} J_{88}$$
 (22)

$$L_{831} = \frac{4}{93} J_{31} J_{83} + J_{38} J_{13}$$
(23)

$$L_{mass} = Tr M$$
⁴ (24)

where the currents are de ned as $J_{ij} = (0 \ i) \ j \ i(0 \ j)$.

Now the exchange of charm ed (heavy) vector mesons can be easily identi ed in the di erent pieces of the Lagrangian by identifying currents carrying explicitly charm quantum number. The L_8 term accounts for the exchange of light vector mesons only. In L_{83} the rst term is mediated by heavy vector mesons and the second term by light ones, L_{831} and L_{31} have only contributions from heavy vector mesons and L_3 will still have to be worked out further.

The separation of the heavy vector meson contribution from L_3 is more subtle because the exchange of a heavy hidden charm meson in this sector occurs in charge and avor conserving hadronic currents, where the $_0$ and ! also contribute. The strategy followed here is to construct a Lagrangian connecting the current J with a vector eld V [35], [38]:

$$L_{PPV} = \frac{ig}{2} Tr([0; V]): \qquad (25)$$

Here V is a 4x4 m atrix with the same structure as , but with the 15-plet of vector m esons instead. The heavy vector m eson which can be exchanged in charge and avor conserving hadronic currents is the J \cdot .

The J contribution can be calculated from the Lagrangian (25) and it is easy to see that when the vector mesons are connecting equal hadronic currents one has a contribution with weights $\frac{1}{3}$ and $\frac{2}{3}$ for light vector mesons and the J , respectively, while the weights are $\frac{1}{3}$ and $\frac{4}{3}$ in terms connecting di erent currents. Appendix C shows in detail how to work out L_3 .

W ith all these considerations the full Lagrangian can now be rewritten in terms of the correction parameters:

$$= \frac{m_{\rm L}}{m_{\rm H}}^2$$
(26)

$$_{3} = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{m_{\rm L}}{m_{\rm J}}^{2}$$
(27)

$$_{5} = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{4}{3} \frac{m_{\rm L}}{m_{\rm J}}^{2} :$$
 (28)

Here m_L and m_H are masses of light and heavy vector mesons. They will be set to $m_L = 800 \text{ MeV}$ and $m_H = 2000 \text{ MeV} \cdot \text{W}$ it these ingredients the full corrected Lagrangian can be written as:

$$L = \frac{1}{12f^2} \operatorname{Tr} J_{88} J_{88} + 2J_{33} J_{88} + J_{33} J_{33} + \frac{8}{3} J_{31} J_{13} + \frac{4}{\frac{1}{2}} J_{31} J_{83} + J_{38} J_{13} + 2 J_{38} J_{83} + 5J_{33} J_{33} + L_{mass} : (29)$$

Note that from eq. (29) we can recover the usual lowest order chiral Lagrangian for SU (3), which is the term proportional to $Tr(J_{88} \ J_{88})$, while the Lagrangian used by K olom eitsev [15] and G uo [17], based on heavy quark symmetry [18], [19], [20], [21], is proportional to the term $Tr(J_{33} \ J_{88})$. Our model has also terms for the interaction of heavy mesons only, proportional to $Tr(J_{33} \ J_{33})$ and $J_{33} \ J_{33}$ and all the other terms are corrections that can be controlled by the parameter .

From this Lagrangian, applying the usual Feynm an rules, the transition amplitudes in appendix A are calculated and used as potential for each possible reaction. This potential will be used as the kernel for solving the scattering equation.

In order to support our results also the chiral Lagrangian with the avor sym m etry breaking pieces will be used to solve the scattering problem in the open charm sector. Very sim ilar results are found and will be discussed in section 5.

4 The Scattering P roblem

The amplitudes needed, M (s;), are written in Appendix A for the Lagrangian of eq. (29). Since we are only interested in s-wave meson-meson scattering, we rst project the amplitudes over s-waves, by making a simple angular integration. A fler projecting the amplitudes for s-wave they will be transformed to isospin basis and inserted into the Bethe-Salpeter equation which in the on-shell form alism of [22], [39] is reduced to an algebraic equation:

$$T = V + V G T :$$
(30)

In this equation V is the potential, a matrix constructed with the tree level transition amplitudes for each one of the possible channels, projected over s-wave. The matrix f(x) = 0

G is diagonal with each one of its non-zero elements given by the loop function for the two particles in each channel:

$$G_{ii} = i^{R} \frac{dq^{4}}{(2)^{4}} \frac{1}{q^{2} m_{1}^{2} + i} \frac{1}{(P q)^{2} m_{2}^{2} + i} = (31)$$

$$\frac{1}{16^{2}} i^{4} Log \frac{m_{1}^{2}}{2} + \frac{m_{2}^{2} m_{1}^{2} + s}{2s} Log \frac{m_{2}^{2}}{m_{1}^{2}} +$$

$$\frac{P}{\overline{s}} Log \frac{s m_{2}^{2} + m_{1}^{2} + 2p^{p} \overline{s}}{s + m_{2}^{2} m_{1}^{2} + 2p^{p} \overline{s}} + Log \frac{s + m_{2}^{2} m_{1}^{2} + 2p^{p} \overline{s}}{s m_{2}^{2} + m_{1}^{2} + 2p^{p} \overline{s}} : (32)$$

P in equation (31) is the total four-m om entum of the two mesons in channel i and m_1 and m_2 are the masses of the two mesons in this channel. The expression in eq. (32) is calculated using dimensional regularisation. Over the real axis p is the three-m om entum of the mesons in the center of mass fram e:

$$p = \frac{p \left[\frac{p}{(s (m_1 + m_2)^2)(s (m_1 - m_2)^2)} + \frac{p}{2^{p} \overline{s}}\right]}{2^{p} \overline{s}}$$
(33)

In the complex plane the momentum p is calculated using the same expression. Eq. (30) with eqs. (31-32) m akes implicit use of dispersion relations in which only the right hand (physical) cut is considered. It was proved in [40] that the left hand cut provides a moderate contribution, and more important, very weakly energy dependent, such that its contribution can be easily accommodated in terms of the subtraction constant that we use, in the range of energies of interest to us.

In this work we will set the loop parameter in eq. (32) to = 1500 MeV and t the substraction constant, , as a free parameter.

This loop function has the right imaginary part to ensure the unitarity of the T-m atrix [23]:

Im (G_{ii}) =
$$\frac{p}{8 \ s}$$
: (34)

Equation (30) can be easily inverted:

$$T = (\hat{1} VG)^{1}V;$$
 (35)

W hen boking for poles in the complex plane one should be careful because of the cuts of the loop function beyond each threshold. Bound states appear as poles over the real axis and below threshold in the rst R iem ann sheet. R esonances show them selves as poles above threshold and in the second R iem ann sheet of the channels which are open.

Over the real axis the discontinuity of the loop function is known to be two times its imaginary part [41] so, knowing the value of the imaginary part of the loop function over the axis, eq. (34), one can do a proper analytic continuation of it for the whole complex plane:

$$G_{ii}^{II} = G_{ii}^{I} + i \frac{p}{4 s}; \quad Im (p) > 0:$$
 (36)



Figure 2: Upper left: Im aginary part of the loop on the rst and second R iem ann sheets superposed. Upper R ight: Real part of the loop in the rst R iem ann sheet. B otton left, right are the imaginary part of the loop in the rst and second R iem ann sheets, respectively

 $G^{\rm \,II}$ and $G^{\rm \,I}$ refer to the loop function in the second and $\,$ rst R iemanian sheets, respectively.

Figure 2 shows some plots of the loop function in the complex plane.

5 Results

The amplitudes listed in appendix A are in a charge basis. First, they are transformed to an isospin basis and then to a SU (3) basis by means of the isospin and SU (3) states given in appendix B. The SU (3) symmetry breaking is then studied. The amplitudes in a SU (3) basis show in which sectors the interaction is attractive (where it may generate resonances). The most important term in each amplitude is the sterm, so when an amplitude has a negative factor multiplying s it is considered to be attractive. The results of the diagonalization of the interaction in SU (3) basis are as follow s:

8 8 ! 1 8_s 8_a 10 10 27: The interaction here is repulsive in the 27; there is no interaction in the 10 nor in the 10; in one of the octets, because of

its sym m etry properties (here we should consider scattering of identical particles if SU (3) sym m etry is restored) there is no interaction in even 1 partial waves; in the other octet and in the singlet there is attraction, which will lead to the form ation of 4 states, to be identi ed as the light scalar resonances, f_0 , a_0 and .

3 3 ! 3 6: Here there is no interaction in the 3 when the correction factors are set to 1, otherwise the interaction has a p-wave structure (t u). In the sextet the interaction is repulsive, therefore, no double charm ed scalar resonances are expected from our model.

3 3! 8 1: The interaction is attractive in both the octet and the singlet if the correction parameters $(_3, _5)$ are set to 1. In this case, where the large m ass of the J is disregarded, one can see resonances generated. However, since the term s with the heavy vector m eson have the largest weight in the am plitude, when the correction parameters are considered to take into account the di erent m asses of the exchanged vector m esons, the resonances disappear for the octet. The singlet is always attractive irrespective to the correction parameters.

3 8! 3 6 15: In the anti-triplet and sextet there is attraction while in the 15-plet there is repulsion. We generate in our model ve resonances with charm ed quantum number, two from the antitriplet and three from the sextet.

We discuss below the free parameters of the theoretical framework and how we t them. The parameters changed are $_{\rm H}$ and $_{\rm L}$. The 's are the subtraction constants for the loop functions. The parameter $_{\rm H}$ was chosen for channels involving at least one heavy pseudoscalar meson and a dierent one for channels where there are just light ones, $_{\rm L}$.

One of the novel aspects of the present work is that we allow the mixing of the light m esons with the heavy ones in the search of zero charm or hidden charm scalar m esons. The rst interesting result is that the in uence of the heavy m eson sector in the generation of the light scalar resonances ($, f_0, a_0$,) is negligible. For instance it was checked that di erent values _H have very smalle ect over the pole position for the light resonances. Varying _H between -0.3 and -2.3 has less than 10% e ect over the pole position of the f₀ resonance, for example. So the heavy sector can be worked independently from the light one.

W ith this in m ind the open-charm (C = 1) sector was used to t $_{\rm H}$ so that the position of the pole in the S= 1, I= 0 sector m atch the D $_{\rm s0}$ (2317), which has already been suggested as being dynam ically generated in [15], [16] and [17]. A fler xing the heavy parameter, the $_{\rm L}$ was tted by locating the pole position in the sector C = 0, S= 0, I= 1, which correspond to the a_0 resonance. We also m ade the t of $_{\rm H}$ for the m odel involving the chiral Lagrangian. The results are as follow s:

Phenom enological model: $_{\rm H} = -1.3$ and $_{\rm L} = -1.3$

Chiralmodel: $_{\rm H} = -1.15$ (we only applied this model for the open charm sector.)

5.1 SU (3) Sym m etry B reaking

In our phenom enological model it is assumed that the SU (3) avor symmetry breaking arises from the dierent masses of the interacting mesons. The mass used for each member of the 15-plet is: m = 138.0 M eV, $m_{K} = 495.0 \text{ M eV}$, m = 548.0 M eV,

m $_{\rm D}$ = 1865.0 M eV , m $_{\rm D}$ $_{\rm s}$ = 1968.0 M eV and m $_{\rm c}$ = 2979.0 M eV

Note that there is no isospin breaking in the model, all particles in a same isospin multiplet are considered to have the same mass. So, in this work, the Bethe-Salpeter equation, eq. (30), was solved with V in isospin basis.

SU (3) sym m etry can then be gradually broken by m eans of a sym m etry breaking parameter x which takes values between 1 and 0, 1 m eaning sym m etry broken as we see it in the real world, and 0 sym m etry restored. The m asses of the m esons as a function of the parameter x are given by:

$$m(x) = m + x(m_{phys}; m)$$
 (37)

where m is the meson mass in the symmetry limit.

Two di erent values of mwere used, for the light mesons (the ones belonging to the octet) it was set to 430 MeV and for the heavy ones, 1900 MeV .

A lso the correction parameters were changed along with x, although they just violate SU (4) symmetry:

$$(x) = 1 + x (phys: 1)$$
 (38)

Sim ilar functions are constructed for $_3$ and $_5$.

All scalar resonances in the sam e multiplet have the sam e m assonce SU (3) is restored while its breaking splits the m asses of the di erent isospin multiplets. So, when written in the SU (3) basis, the non-diagonal elements of the matrix V (the ones which represent mixing between di erent SU (3) multiplets) are always proportional to $m^2 m_K^2$. Figures 3 and 4 show the results for the C = 0 and C = 1 sectors, varying x from 0 to 1 in steps of 0.2. We should note that som e resonances, for example, the and the D₀ (2400) appear as cusps for small x. This happens because thresholds appear during the symmetry breaking procedure.

Table 2 displays the experimental situation of the scalar resonances, Table 3 shows the results in the open chann sector for the problem solved with the chiral Lagrangian and Table 4 shows the pole positions found within the phenomenological model developed in this work.

In the following the results for each sector will be discussed separately.

5.2 C = 0, S = 0, I = 0

O urm odel successfully generates poles which can be associated with the known light scalar resonances. In this sector, in the low energy region, two poles can be found in the T-m atrix, one corresponding to the f_0 , but with a lower mass than one expects and another one for the $\$. It is actually possible to adjust the mass of the f_0 pole in our model by increasing the $_L$ parameter, but two prices are paid: rst the a_0 pole in the S = 0, I = 1 sector disappears for much bigger $_L$ and also the width of a more massive f_0 decreases.



Figure 3: Light Scalars. The octet starts from E = 851.76 M eV and the singlet from 663.13 M eV .



Figure 4: Heavy Scalars. The antitriplet starts from 2327.96 MeV and the sextet from (2394.87-i 219.33)MeV

Resonance ID	С	S	Ι	Mass (MeV)	(MeV)
f ₀	0	0	0	980 10	40–100
	0	0	0	400-1200	250-500
a ₀	0	0	1	984.7 1.2	50–100
	0	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	841 30 ⁺⁸¹	618 90 ⁺⁹⁶
D _{s0} (2317)	1	1	0	2317.3 0.4 0.8	< 4.6
D ₀ (2400)	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	2403 14 35	283 24 34
				2352 50	261 50

Table 2: Data from [36]

				<u>n</u>	n
Resonance ID	С	S	Ι	RE (<u>s</u>) (MeV)	™ ([¯] s) (MeV)
D _{s0} (2317)	1	1	0	2315.41	0
D ₀ (2400)	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	2147.65	-107.29
(?)	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	Nopole	_
(?)	1	1	1	2427.70	-248.40
(?)	1	-1	0	2410,26	-193.80

Table 3: Pole positions for the chiral Lagrangian

Two more poles can be expected in this sector, one from the octet and the other one from the singlet, coming from the scattering of the heavy mesons. For x=0 both poles appear, the singlet always very narrow, because its coupling to the light channels is very suppressed, and the octet with a much bigger width. The octet state disappears before x = 1.0. One should notice that the width found for this new heavy resonance is very small. This happens because, as mentioned (see Table 5), the couplings to the light channels are very suppressed and the other possible decay channel is an octet form ed by $_c$ with a light meson which violates SU (3). Table 5 shows the absolute value of the residues for the resonances in this sector.

Figure 5 shows the absolute value of the square of the transition matrix for this sector, as an illustration.

5.3 C = 0, S = 0, I = 1

In this sector the model successfully generates the a_0 resonance. Both the mass and the width found for it in the model agree very well with experimental values. Note, however that this sector was actually used to t_L, but thing just this one parameter, both the width and the mass for the a_0 are in good agreement with experiment. As mentioned if we used the pole position of the f_0 resonance to adjust the parameter _L we would lose the a_0 pole. This relative instability of the a_0 resonance with respect to the parameters of the theory is not new, it also occurs when using the inverse amplitude method for unitarization and the potential of the

				n	n
Resonance ID	С	S	Ι	RE (<u>s</u>) (MeV)	
f_0	0	0	0	916.83	-19.28
	0	0	0	610.33	-144.10
(?)	0	0	0	3718.87	-0.11
a ₀	0	0	1	987.45	-38.40
	0	1	12	840.91	-127.48
D _{s0} (2317)	1	1	0	2317.32	0
D ₀ (2400)	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	2129,22	-156.95
(?)	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	2690.50	-439.09
(?)	1	1	1	2700.15	-456.88
(?)	1	-1	0	2686.13	-430.87

Table 4: Pole positions for the phenom enological model

low est order chiral Lagrangian where the a_0 appears as a cusp and not a pole. The pole is, how ever, regained when the inform ation of the second order Lagrangian is used as input in the potential [23].

Table 6 shows its $couplings^2$ to the di erent channels.

In the heavy sector again the pole for the octet just appears for sm all values of x.

5.4 C = 0, S = 1, I =
$$\frac{1}{2}$$

The pole generated here should be identified with the resonance. This resonance, however, is a very broad one and although there is debate on the existence of this resonance, recent experiments have come to support it [42]-[47].

Again there are no heavy resonances for x=1.

5.5 C = 1, S = 1, I = 0

The D_{s0} (2317) is reproduced in this work as a mixed bound state of $\mathcal{D} K >$ and $\mathcal{D}_s > .$ Experimentally the observed decay channel for this resonance is D_s which is not allowed in the model because it is an isospin violating process. However if one considers isospin violation by solving the Bethe-Salpeter equation for charge eigenstates instead of isospin ones and considering the real masses of the mesons, including the differences between different I₃ components, one gets a very narrow width of less then a keV for this resonance. Another possible source of contribution is to consider 0 mixing by means of which in [17] one gets a width of the order of a few keV. The width of this resonance is given as an upper bound of about 4 M eV in [36].

The couplings of this pole to the channels is shown in Table 8 for both models considered in this work.

²because of the identical particles, the dhannel in I = 1 just contributes to odd parity partial waves (indeed, the amplitude has a p-wave structure t u).

Channel	$f_0 \text{ res (G eV)}$	res (GeV)	Heavy Singlet res (GeV)
	1.96	4.23	0.21
ΚK	3.82	128	0.03
	4.47	0.47	0.00
D D	0.71	4.08	10.41
D _s D _s	3.73	0.49	6.73
С	2.07	1.04	0.29

Table 5: Residues for the poles in the C = 0, S = 0, I = 0 sector

5.6 C = 1, S = 0, I = $\frac{1}{2}$

Two poles are found here, one is the antitriplet companion of the D $_{\rm s0}$ (2317), also experim entally known and to be identi ed as D $_0$ (2400). A lthough the antitriplet pole generated by the model in this sector has a width in agreem ent with the experim ental value, the model fails in predicting its mass by around 150 M eV, which m ight not be too serious considering that the experim ental width is around 300 M eV.

A dditionally another state is generated, belonging to the sextet. Here the two models dier from each other. In the chiralmodel this resonance has a smaller mass and width, but disappears as x reaches 1 because of thresholds e ects, while the pole is predicted by our model around 2700 MeV but with a huge width that makes it irrelevant from the experimental point of view.

Residues for the D $_0$ (2400) pole are in Table 9 for both m odels.

5.7 C = 1, S = 1, I = 1 and C = 1, S = -1, I = 0

The other two states belonging to the sextet are to be found in these sectors. How ever they dier in mass and width from one model to the other. While with the chiral Lagrangian these poles have mass around 2400 MeV and width about 0.5 GeV, within our model their mass is 300 MeV larger and the huge width of the order of 1 GeV would make these poles irrelevant from the experimental point of view.

5.8 Comparison W ith O ther W orks

The light scalar resonances reproduced in this work have been thoroughly investigated in more sophisticated approaches and with higher orders of the chiral Lagrangian [22], [23], [24], [28], [29]. In our study of the hidden charm states we have now used



Figure 5: TT^{y} for -channel in C = 0, S = 0, I = 0 sector

coupled channels involving light and heavy pseudoscalar mesons and we nd actually a negligible mixing of the two sectors.

The open charm sector has been studied by K olom eitsev [15] and Guo [17] in a very similar framework but with dierent Lagrangians from ours; both have used the same Lagrangian, and very similar parameters. In [16] higher order chiral Lagrangians are used in this sector. The Lagrangian in these works neglects exchange of heavy vector mesons while the present work includes it although suppressed in a properway. The second term of the Lagrangian in eq. (22) can be identied with the low est order chiral Lagrangian used in [15] and [17] except that in the present work this term of the Lagrangian is a factor $\frac{3}{2}$ smaller. Another dierence between this present work and previous ones is the meson decay constant, f. In previous works it was always set to the pion decay constant, while in the present one, inspired by experimental measurements and lattice calculations we use a dierent value for the decay constant of the charm ed mesons.

In the S=1, I=0 sector the results of all works coincide and the D $_{s0}$ (2317) is well reproduced. Its anti-triplet companion, the D $_0$ (2400), is also well reproduced in the S=0, I= $\frac{1}{2}$ sector. However, in this sector the present work di ers from previous ones: while within our model, the sextet state is extremely broad, in the works of K olom eitsev and G uo a narrow state is predicted in this sector. The chiralLagrangian we used seam s to give an intermediate situation between our work and these previous ones, it generates for the sextet states a broad resonance although not as broad as in ourmodel. The huge width of these resonances with in ourmodel is also a consequence

Channel	a_0 res (GeV)
	0
	0
ΚK	3.84
	2.65
D D	3.64
с	1.63

Table 6: Residues for the a_0 pole

Channel	res (GeV)
К	3.81
K	2.00
D _s D	4.10
K _c	2.25

Table 7: Residues for the pole

of its much bigger mass which causes a much bigger phase space for decaying into the open channels.

A nother novelty in the present work is the study of the hidden charm sector. Here we mixed light with heavy pseudoscalar pairs and concluded that there was barely any mixing of the heavy and light sectors. This result supports the indings for the light scalars, using only light pseudoscalar mesons as building blocks. On the other hand we ind a heavy scalar with mass around 3.7 GeV corresponding mostly to a D D state, very narrow (see Table 4), which is surprising in view of the large phase space available for decay into pairs of pseudoscalars. The dynamics which prevents the mixing of the heavy and light sectors is responsible for this very small width.

We should also note that with a di erent form alism using the Schrödinger equation with one vector-meson exchange potential, DD states also appear for some choices of a cut o parameter in [48].

Channel	Chiral	Phenom.		
	m odel res (GeV)	m odel res (GeV)		
D K	10.21	10.36		
D _s	6.40	6.00		
D _{sc}	0.48	1.52		

Table 8: Residues for the D $_{s0}$ (2317) pole

Channel	Chiral	Phenom.
	m odel res (GeV)	m odel res (GeV)
D	8.91	10.87
D	1.36	3.77
D _s K	5.71	8.52
D _c	3.20	2.14

Table 9: Residues for the D $_0$ (2400) pole.

6 Summary

We studied the dynam ical generation of resonances in a unitarized coupled channel fram ework. We constructed a Lagrangian based on SU (4) avor symmetry and after decomposing the eld of pseudoscalar mesons in this Lagrangian into its SU (3) components, we were able to identify term sm ediated by exchange of heavy vector mesons and thus suppress these, hence breaking the SU (4) structure of the Lagrangian. The results were also compared with previous works based on chiral theory and heavy quark symmetry and with results obtained from a chiral Lagrangian considering avor symmetry breaking elects.

The amplitudes calculated from this Lagrangian, written in a SU (3) basis, show in which sectors the interaction is attractive so that it might generate resonances. W ithin the fram ework developed in the present work an SU (3) octet and a singlet of scalar m esons appear in the light sector. These resonances can be identied with the light scalar m esons, , f₀, a₀ and , which have been thoroughly investigated before, but which also show up, practically undisturbed, in the enlarged basis of coupled channels used in the present work.

In the heavy sector an anti-triplet is generated leading to two states which can

be identi ed with the controversial D $_{s0}$ (2317) and D $_0$ (2400) states, though the m ass generated for this second one is som ew hat low er than the experim entalone. Thus, in the fram ew ork developed here, these scalar states should be interpreted as bound and quasi-bound states in coupled channels: The D $_{s0}$ (2317) being m ainly a D K bound state with no decay, except for a tiny one when allowing isospin violation and the D $_0$ (2400) a D resonance.

A loo a very broad sextet is generated in the heavy sector, but these states are extrem ely broad, making them irrelevant from the experimental point of view. One should note, however that these broad states contrast with states generated in previous works [15], [17] where narrow structures are found with the same quantum numbers. The Lagrangian in these previous works neglects the exchange of heavy vector m esons and uses a much stronger coupling.

A loo a heavy singlet appears as a pole in the T-m atrix. This singlet com es from the attraction generated in the 3 $\,$ 3 sector and its structure is mainly a D D quasibound state.

7 A cknow ledgm ents

This work is partly supported by DGICYT contract number BFM 2003-00856, the Generalitat Valenciana and the EJ.EURIDICE network contract no. HPRN-CT-2002-00311. This research is part of the EU Integrated Infrastructure Initiative Hadron Physics Project under contract number RII3-CT-2004-506078.

A Amplitudes

This appendix shows the amplitudes obtained from the Lagrangian in eq. (29). In the column of the states, the following momenta assignments should be taken into account: where it reads M $_1$ M $_2$! M $_3$ M $_4$ it means M $_1$ (p)M $_2$ (k) ! M $_3$ (p⁰)M $_4$ (k⁰) and the M andelstam variables are dened as follows:

$$s = (p + k)^2 = (p^0 + k^0)^2$$
 (39)

$$t = (p \quad p^0)^2 = (k \quad k^0)^2$$
 (40)

$$u = (p k^0)^2 = (k p^0)^2$$
 (41)

W hen inserting these amplitudes (or transform ed to isospin or SU (3) basis) in the BS-equation, one should be careful to divide the amplitude by $\frac{1}{2}$ each time the initial or the nal state contains a pair of identical particles, (unitary normalization) in order to ensure closure of the intermediate states. The extra normalization for the external lines must be kept in m ind but does not matter for the pole search. The factors , 3 and 5 are de ned in eqs. (26)-(28).

A .1 C = 2, S = 2

States	Amplitude
$D_s^+ D_s^+ ! D_s^+ D_s^+$	$\frac{1}{3f^2}$ (3 (2s t u) + 2m $_D^2$ + 2m $_K^2$ 2m 2)

A .2
$$C = 2$$
, $S = 1$

States	Amplitude					
$D_s^+ D_s^0 ! D_s^+ D_s^0$	$\frac{1}{6f^2}$ (5 (s	u)	(s	t) + $2m_{D}^{2}$ + m_{K}^{2}	m ²)

A.3 C=2, S=0

States	Amplitude				
$D^+D^0!D^+D^0$	$\frac{1}{6f^2}$ (5 (s	u)	(s	t) + $2m_{D}^{2}$)

A.4 C = 1, S = 2

States	Amplitude	
$K^{0}D_{s}^{+}$! $K^{0}D_{s}^{+}$	$\frac{1}{6f^2}$ ((s u) (s t) + m _D ² + 2m _K ² m ²)

A .5 C = 1, S = 1

States	Amplitude
K^+D^0 ! K^+D^0	$\frac{1}{6f^2}$ ((t u) + (s u) + m _D ² + m _K ²)
! K ⁰ D ⁺	$\frac{1}{6f^2}$ ((t u) + (s u) + m _D ² + m _K ²)
! ⁰ D ⁺ _s	$\frac{1}{6^{2} 2 r^{2}}$ ((s u) (s t) + m _D ² + m _K ²)
! D _s ⁺	$\frac{1}{6^{2} 6f^{2}}$ ((u t) (3 +)(s u) m _D ² 3m _K ² + 2m ²)
K ⁰ D ⁺ ! K ⁰ D ⁺	$\frac{1}{6f^2}$ ((t u) + (s u) + m _D ² + m _K ²)
! ⁰ D ⁺ _s	$\frac{p^{1}}{6^{5} 2f^{2}}$ ((s u) + (s t) m _D ² m _K ²)
! D _s ⁺	$\frac{p^{1}}{6^{p} 6f^{2}}$ ((u t) (3 +) (s u) m_{D}^{2} $3m_{K}^{2}$ + 2m ²)
$^{0}D_{s}^{+}!$ $^{0}D_{s}^{+}$	-
! D + s	-
$D_s^+ ! D_s^+$	$\frac{1}{9f^2}$ ((s+2t u) + 2m _D ² + 6m _K ² 4m ²)
_c D ⁺ _s ! _c D ⁺ _s	$rac{1}{18 { m f}^2}$ (4 (s + 2t u) + 11m $_{ m D}^2$ + 3m $_{ m K}^2$ 7m 2)
! K + D 0	$\frac{p^{1}}{6}$ $\frac{1}{3f^{2}}$ (2 (s+2t u) $2m_{D}^{2} + m^{2}$)
! K ⁰ D ⁺	$\frac{1}{6^{p} \frac{1}{3f^{2}}}$ (2 (s+2t u) $2m_{D}^{2} + m^{2}$)
! ⁰ D ⁺ _s	-
! D _s ⁺	$\frac{p^2}{2f^2}$ (2 (s+2t u) + 2m _D ² m ²)

A .6 C = 1, S = 0

States	Amplitude
⁰ D ⁰ ! ⁰ D ⁰	$\frac{1}{12f^2}$ ((s+2t u) + 2m _D ² + 2m ²)
! D +	$\frac{1}{6^{2} 2f^{2}} ((2 +)(s u))$
! D ⁰	$\frac{1}{12^{p} 3f^{2}}$ ((s + 2t u) + 2m _D ² + 2m ²)
! K D +	$\frac{12}{6^{2} 2 f^{2}}$ ((t u) + s u + m _D ² + m _K ²)
D + ! D +	$\frac{1}{6f^2}$ ((t u) + s u + m _D ² + m ²)
! D ⁰	$\frac{p^{1}}{6^{-}6f^{2}}$ ((s+2t u) + 2m _D ² + 2m ²)
! K D + s	$\frac{1}{6f^2}$ ((t u) + s u + m _D ² + m _K ²)
$D^0 ! D^0$	$\frac{1}{36f^2}$ ((s+2t u) + 2m _D ² + 2m ²)
! K D +	$\frac{p^{1}}{6^{F} \tilde{6} f^{2}}$ ((s t) + (3 +) (s u) m_{D}^{2} $3m_{K}^{2}$ + $2m^{2}$)
K D ⁺ _s ! K D ⁺ _s	$\frac{1}{6f^2}$ ((t u) + s u + m _D ² + 2m _K ² m ²)
$^{\circ}$ $\rm M_{2}$! $^{\circ}$ $\rm M_{2}$	$\frac{1}{18f^2}$ (4 (s + 2t u) + 11m ² _D 4m ²)
! ⁰ D ⁰	$\frac{p^{1}}{6^{6} \tilde{f}^{2}}$ (2 (s + 2t u) $2m_{D}^{2} + m^{2}$)
! D +	$\frac{1}{6^{2} 3f^{2}}$ (2 (s+2t u) $2m_{D}^{2} + m^{2}$)
! D ⁰	$\frac{1}{18^{2} 2f^{2}}$ (2 (s+2t u) $2m_{D}^{2} + m^{2}$)
! K D +	$\frac{1}{6^{2} \text{ Jf}^{2}}$ (2 (s+2t u) $2m_{D}^{2} + m^{2}$)

A .7 C = 1, S = -1

States	Amplitude
K D ⁺ ! K D ⁺ ! K ⁰ D ⁰	$\frac{1}{6f^2}$ ((s u) (s t) + m _D ² + m _K ²)
$K_0 D_0 i K_0 D_0$	_

A .8 C = 0, S = 1

States	Amplitudes
D_s^+D ! D_s^+D	$\frac{1}{6f^2}$ (t u + ₅ (s u) + 2m $_D^2$ + m $_K^2$ m 2)
₀ K ₀ ; ₀ K ₀	$\frac{1}{12f^2}$ (s + 2t u + 2m $_K^2$ + 2m ²)
! K ⁺	$\frac{1}{2^{r} 2f^{2}}$ (s+u)
! K ⁰	$\frac{1}{12^{5} 3f^{2}}$ (3 (s 2t + u) + 2m $_{K}^{2}$ 2m ²)
K + ! K +	$\frac{1}{6f^2}$ (s + t 2u + m ² _K + m ²)
! K ⁰	$\frac{1}{6^{6} - 6f^{2}}$ (3(s 2t + u) 2m ² _K + 2m ²)
K ⁰ ! K ⁰	$\frac{1}{12f^2}$ (3(s 2t+u) + 6m $_{\rm K}^2$ 2m 2)
_c K ⁰ ! _c K ⁰	$\frac{1}{6f^2}$ m $\frac{2}{K}$
$D_{s}^{+}D$! ${}^{0}K$ 0	$\frac{-p}{6}\frac{1}{2f^2}$ (t u (s t) m _D ² m _K ²)
! K +	$\frac{1}{6f^2}$ ((t u) + (s t) + m _D ² + m _K ²)
! K ⁰	$\frac{p^{1}}{6^{p} 6^{f^{2}}}$ ((3 +) (u t) (s u) m_{D}^{2} $3m_{K}^{2}$ + 2m ²)
$D_{s}^{+}D$! $_{c}K^{0}$	$\frac{p_1^2}{6^{-3}f^2}$ (2 (2s t u) $2m_D^2 + m^2$)
_c K ⁰ ! ⁰ K ⁰	$\frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{6f^2}$ ($2m_K^2 m^2$)
! K ⁺	$\frac{1}{6^{p} \frac{1}{3f^{2}}}$ (2m $_{K}^{2}$ + m 2)
! K ⁰	$\frac{1}{6}\frac{1}{2f^2}$ ($2m_K^2 + m^2$)

A .9 C = 0, S = 0

States	Am plitude
$D_s^+D_s ! D_s^+D_s$	$\frac{1}{3f^2}$ ($_3$ (s + t 2u) + 2m $_D^2$ + 2m $_K^2$ 2m 2)
! D + D	$\frac{1}{6f^2}$ (5 (t u) + s u + 2m _D ² + m _K ² m ²)
! D ⁰ D ⁰	$\frac{1}{6f^2}$ (5 (t u) + s u + 2m _D ² + m _K ² m ²)
D + D ! D + D	$\frac{1}{3f^2}$ (3 (s + t 2u) + 2m ² _D)
! D ⁰ D ⁰	$\frac{1}{6f^2}$ (5 (t u) + s u + 2m $_{\rm D}^2$)
$D^{0}D^{0}! D^{0}D^{0}$	$\frac{1}{3f^2}$ (3 (s + t 2u) + 2m ² _D)
K ⁺ K ! K ⁺ K	$\frac{1}{3f^2}$ (s + t 2u + 2m $_{\rm K}^2$)
! K ⁰ K ⁰	$\frac{1}{6f^2}$ (s + t 2u + 2m $_{\rm K}^2$)
! +	$\frac{1}{6f^2}$ (s + t 2u + m $_K^2$ + m ²)
! 0 0	$\frac{1}{12f^2}$ (2s t u + 2m $_{\rm K}^2$ + 2m 2)
! 0	$\frac{p^{1}}{12^{5} \sqrt{3}f^{2}}$ (3 (2s t u) $2m_{K}^{2} + 2m^{2}$)
!	$\frac{1}{12f^2}$ (3 (2s t u) + 6m $\frac{2}{K}$ 2m 2)
K ₀ K ₀ ; K ₀ K ₀	$\frac{1}{3f^2}$ (s + t 2u + 2m $_{\rm K}^2$)
! +	$\frac{1}{6f^2}$ (s 2t+ u + m $_K^2$ + m ²)
! 0 0	$\frac{1}{12f^2}$ (2s t u + 2m $_{\rm K}^2$ + 2m 2)
! 0	$\frac{12^{12}}{12^{12}}$ (3(2s t u) + 2m ² _K 2m ²)
!	$\frac{1}{12f^2}$ (3 (2s t u) + 6m $\frac{2}{K}$ 2m 2)
+ ! +	$\frac{1}{3f^2}$ (s + t 2u + 2m ²)
! 0 0	$\frac{1}{3f^2}$ (2s t u + m ²)
! 0	_
!	$\frac{1}{3f^2}$ m ²
00!00	$\frac{1}{f^2}$ m ²
! 0	-
!	$\frac{1}{3f^2}m^2$
0 ! 0	$\frac{1}{3f^2}$ m ²
!	- 1
!	$\frac{1}{9f^2}$ (16m $\frac{1}{k}$ /m ²)
c c	$\frac{1}{6f^2}$ m ²
c .	
с ! с	$\frac{1}{18f^2}$ (4m $\frac{2}{k}$ m ²)
$D_{s}D_{s}$! $K^{T}K$	$\frac{1}{6f^2}$ (t u + (s u) + m ² _D + 2m ² _K m ²)
! K °K °	$\frac{1}{6f^2}$ (t u + (s u) + m _D ² + 2m _K ² m ²)
! ' . 0 0	-
. 0	_
. !	$\frac{1}{9f^2}$ ((2s t u) + 2m _D ² + 6m _K ² 4m ²)

States	Amplitude
D ⁺ D ! K ⁺ K	_
! K ⁰ K ⁰	$\frac{1}{6f^2}$ ((t u) + (s t) + m _D ² + m _K ²)
! +	$\frac{1}{6f^2}$ (t u + (s u) + m _D ² + m ²)
! 0 0	$\frac{1}{12f^2}$ ((2s t u) + 2m _D ² + 2m ²)
! 0	$\frac{1}{12^{p}\sqrt{2}f^{2}}$ ((2s t u) $2m_{D}^{2}$ $2m^{2}$)
!	$\frac{1}{36f^2}$ ((2s t u) + 2m _D ² + 2m ²)
$D^{0}D^{0}$! K ⁺ K	$\frac{1}{6f^2}$ ((t u) + (s t) + m _D ² + m _K ²)
! K ⁰ K ⁰	-
! +	$\frac{1}{6f^2}$ ((t u) + (s t) + m _D ² + m ²)
! 0 0	$\frac{1}{12f^2}$ ((2s t u) + 2m _D ² + 2m ²)
! 0	$\frac{1}{12^{D} 3f^{2}}$ ((2s t u) + 2m _D ² + 2m ²)
!	$\frac{1}{36f^2}$ ((2s t u) + 2m _D ² + 2m ²)
$D_{s}^{+}D_{s}!$ c	-
! c	$\frac{p^{1}}{9^{p} 2 f^{2}}$ (2 (2s t u) + 2m _D ² m ²)
$D^+D ! c^0$	$\frac{p_1^2}{6^{1} - 6f^2}$ (2 (2s t u) + 2m _D ² m ²)
! c	$\frac{p^{1}}{18^{2} f^{2}}$ (2 (2s t u) $2m_{D}^{2} + m^{2}$)
$D^{0}D^{0}! c^{0}$	$\frac{p^{1}}{6^{F} 6f^{2}}$ (2 (2s t u) $2m_{D}^{2} + m^{2}$)
! c	$\frac{1}{18^{2}2f^{2}}$ (2 (2s t u) $2m_{D}^{2} + m^{2}$)
c ⁰ ! K ⁺ K	$\frac{1}{6^{p}} \frac{1}{6f^{2}} (2m{K}^{2} + m^{2})$
! K ⁰ K ⁰	$\frac{1}{6\pi^2} (2m_K^2 m^2)$
! +	
! 00	-
! 0	$\frac{p^{\frac{1}{2}}}{3} \frac{p^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2f^{2}} m^{\frac{2}{2}}$
!	_
_c ! K ⁺ K	$\frac{-p \frac{1}{2f^2}}{6 2f^2} (2m_K^2 + m^2)$
! K ⁰ K ⁰	$\frac{1}{6^{5} 2f^{2}}$ ($2m_{K}^{2} + m^{2}$)
! +	$\frac{1}{3^{p} \frac{1}{2f^{2}}} m^{2}$
! 0 0	$\frac{5}{r^{2}}$ $\frac{1}{r^{2}}$ m ²
! O	3 2±² —
!	$\frac{1}{9^{p} 2f^{2}}$ ($8m_{K}^{2} + 5m^{2}$)

B Isospin and SU (3) basis

The following phases are taken for the meson assignments of the 15-plet: t_{1}^{+}

$$p_{s} >_{0} = p_{s}^{+} >, p_{s} >_{\frac{1}{2}} = p^{+} >, \chi >_{\frac{1}{2}} = \chi^{+} >,$$

$$p_{0} >, \chi >_{\frac{1}{2}} = \chi^{0} >,$$

$$p_{0} >, \chi >_{\frac{1}{2}} = \chi^{0} >,$$

$$p_{0} >, p_{1} >, p_{1} = p^{0} >, p_{1} >, p_{1} = p^{0} >,$$

$$p_{1} >, p_{1} = p^{0} >, p_{1} >, p_{1} = p^{0} >, p_{1} >, p_{1} = p^{0} >,$$

$$p_{1} >, p_{1} = p^{0} >, p_{1} >, p_{1} = p^{0} >, p_{1} >, p_{1} = p^{0} >,$$

$$p_{1} >, p_{1} >, p_{1} = p^{0} >, p_{1} >, p_{1} = p^{0} >,$$

$$p_{1} >, p_{1} >, p_{1} >, p_{2} = p^{0} >, p_{1} >, p_{2} = p^{0} >,$$

$$p_{1} >, p_{2} >, p_{2} >, p_{2} >, p_{2} >, p_{2} >, p_{2} >,$$

$$p_{1} >, p_{2} >$$

$$\mathfrak{P} > \frac{\mathfrak{P}^{0} > \mathfrak{P}^{0} >$$

In the following we will list for the sectors where a SU (3) decomposition is not trivial, the isospin and SU (3) states used to transform the amplitudes from a charge basis to isospin and then from isospin into a SU (3) basis. For reviews on phase conventions and isoscalar factors of the SU (3) C lebsch-G ordan coe cients one can refer to [49],[50].

B.1 3 3 (C = 2)

$$\mathfrak{P}_{s} \mathfrak{D}_{s} >_{0} = \mathfrak{P}_{s}^{*} \mathfrak{D}_{s}^{*} >$$

 $\mathfrak{P}_{s} \mathfrak{D}_{s} >_{\frac{1}{2}} = \mathfrak{P}_{s}^{0} \mathfrak{D}_{s}^{*} >$
 $\mathfrak{P}_{s} \mathfrak{D}_{s} >_{\frac{1}{2}} = \mathfrak{P}_{s}^{0} \mathfrak{D}_{s}^{*} >$
 $\mathfrak{P}_{s} \mathfrak{D}_{s} >_{\frac{1}{2}} = \mathfrak{P}_{s}^{0} \mathfrak{D}_{s}^{*} >$
 $\mathfrak{P}_{s} \mathfrak{D}_{s} >_{1} = \mathfrak{P}_{s}^{1} = \mathfrak{P}_{s}^{1} \mathfrak{D}_{s}^{*} >$



Figure 6: 3 = 3 = 6.

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{D}_{s};2;0 > {}^{3} = \mathcal{D}_{s} \mathbb{D}_{s} > 0 \\ & \mathcal{D}_{s};1;\frac{1}{2} > = \frac{1}{P_{2}^{1}} (\mathcal{D} \mathbb{D}_{s} > + \mathcal{D}_{s} \mathbb{D} >)^{4} \\ & \mathcal{D}_{s};0;1 > = \mathcal{D} \mathbb{D} > \\ & \mathcal{D}_{s};1;0 > = \frac{1}{P_{2}^{1}} (\mathcal{D} \mathbb{D}_{s} > - \mathcal{D}_{s} \mathbb{D} >) \\ & \mathcal{D}_{s};0;\frac{1}{2} > = \mathcal{D} \mathbb{D} > \end{split}$$

B.2 3 8 C=1

³SU (3) states are represented as jIrrep;S;I >

 4 from now on the label for the isospin of the states will be om itted for the SU (3) states.

Figure 7:8 3 = 6 15 3.

$$j 5; 2; \frac{1}{2} > = K D_{s} > j 5; 1; 1 > = \frac{1}{2} (K D > j D_{s} >) j 5; 1; 0 > = \frac{p_{3}}{2} j D_{s} > + \frac{1}{2} K D > j 5; 0; \frac{3}{2} > = j D > q_{3} K D_{s} > j 5; 0; \frac{1}{2} > = \frac{1}{4} j D > + \frac{3}{4} j D > \frac{q_{3}}{3} K D_{s} > j 5; 1; 1 > = K D > b; 1; 1 > = K D > f; 0; \frac{1}{2} > = \frac{3}{8} j D > \frac{q_{3}}{3} j D > \frac{1}{2} K D_{s} > b; 1; 0 > = K D > g; 1; 0 > = K D > g; 0; \frac{1}{2} > = \frac{3}{4} j D > \frac{1}{4} j D > \frac{q_{3}}{3} K D_{s} > B .3 3 3 C = 0 p_{s} D > \frac{1}{2} = p_{s}^{*} D > p_{0} > 1 = \frac{1}{p_{2}} 1 1 p_{0}^{*} D > g; 0; 1 > = p_{s} D > p_{1}; \frac{1}{2} > = p_{s} D > g; 0; 1 > = p_{s} D > g; 0; 0 > = \frac{2}{3} p_{s} D_{s} > \frac{1}{3} p D > g; 1; \frac{1}{2} > = p_{s} D >$$

g; 1; 1; 2 = p_{s} D >
g; 1; \frac{1}{2} > = p_{s} D >



Figure 8:3 3 = 8 1.

 $j_{1;0;0} > = \frac{p_{1}^{1}}{p_{3}} j_{s} D_{s} > + \frac{q_{2}}{3} j_{D} D >$

B.4. 8 8 C = 0 $jK > \frac{1}{2} = 0$ $q = \frac{1}{3}$ $j^{0}K^{0} > 1$ $jK > \frac{1}{2} = 0$ $q = \frac{1}{3}$ $j^{0}K^{0} > 1$ $jK > \frac{1}{2} = jK^{0} > 1$ jK + > 1 $jK > \frac{1}{2} = jK^{0} > 1$ $K^{+}K > 1$ $KK > 0 = \frac{1}{p-2}$ 1 $K^{+}K > 1$ KK > 1 $q = \frac{1}{p-2}$ 1 $K^{0}K^{0} > 1$ KK > 1 $Q = \frac{1}{p-2}$ $R^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}$ $Q = \frac{1}{2}$ Q =



Figure 9:8 8 = 1 8 8 10 10 27

j27;2;1>= jKK >

 $\begin{array}{l} \frac{9}{2}7;1;\frac{3}{2}>=\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2})+\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2})>+\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2$

C Isolating The J Contribution from L_3

The L_3 Lagrangian in eq. (20) has two terms, the rst one contains just hadronic currents where the initial and nalstate have the same electric charge and, therefore, exchange neutral vector m esons only. The other term has contributions from both charged and neutral vector m esons; from this second term rst one should isolate the contribution from neutral vector m esons. Let us add and subtract the appropriate term,

$$Tr J_{33} J_{33} ! Tr J_{33} J_{33} J_{D_{s}D_{s}} J_{D_{s}D_{s}} + J_{D^{+}D} J_{D^{+}D} + J_{D^{0}D^{0}} J_{D^{0}D^{0}} + J_{D_{s}D_{s}} J_{D_{s}D_{s}} + J_{D^{+}D} J_{D^{+}D} + J_{D^{0}D^{0}} J_{D^{0}D^{0}}$$
(42)

such that now the sum of the rst two terms in eq. (42) has no contribution from heavy vector meson which is now in the third term alone.

The second term of Lagrangian L_3 in eq. (20) will then be expanded in order to identify term swhere equal hadronic currents are connected and term swhere di erent ones are connected:

$$J_{33} J_{33} = 2 J_{D_{s}D_{s}} (J_{D^{+}D^{-}} + J_{D^{0}D^{0}}) + J_{D^{+}D^{-}} J_{D^{0}D^{0}} + J_{D_{s}D_{s}} J_{D_{s}D_{s}} + J_{D^{+}D^{-}} J_{D^{+}D^{-}} + J_{D^{0}D^{0}} J_{D^{0}D^{0}}$$

Now term s with the product of equal neutral hadronic currents are to be multiplied by the correction $_3$ and term s connecting di erent ones by $_5$, given in eqs. (27) and (28). As a result:

$$L_{3} = Tr J_{33} J_{33} J_{D_{s}D_{s}} J_{D_{s}D_{s}} + J_{D^{+}D} J_{D^{+}D} + J_{D^{0}D^{0}} J_{D^{0}D^{0}} + 2 J_{D^{+}D} J_{D^{+}D} + J_{D^{0}D^{0}} + J_{D^{+}D} J_{D^{+}D} J_{D^{0}D^{0}} + 2 J_{D^{0}D^{0}} J_{D^{0}D^{0}} + J_{D^{+}D} J_{D^{+}D}$$

One can work it out:

$$L_{3} = Tr J_{33} J_{33} + 2 5 J_{D_{s}D_{s}} (J_{D^{+}D} + J_{D^{0}D^{0}}) + J_{D^{+}D} J_{D^{0}D^{0}} + \frac{2}{3} J_{D_{s}D_{s}} J_{D_{s}D_{s}} + J_{D^{+}D} J_{D^{+}D} + J_{D^{0}D^{0}} J_{D^{0}D^{0}} = Tr J_{33} J_{33} + 5 J_{D_{s}D_{s}} J_{D_{s}D_{s}} + J_{D^{+}D} J_{D^{+}D} + J_{D^{+}D} + J_{D^{0}D^{0}} J_{D^{0}D^{0}} + 2J_{D_{s}D_{s}} (J_{D^{+}D} + J_{D^{0}D^{0}}) + 2J_{D^{+}D} J_{D^{0}D^{0}} = Tr J_{33} J_{33} + 5J_{33} J_{33}$$

And this is the simple form we write down in eq. (29). Yet, in the amplitudes we use the $_3$ and $_5$ factors.

References

- B.Aubert et al. BABAR Collaboration], 2.32-GeV/c²," Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 242001 (2003) [arXiv:hep-ex/0304021].
- [2] P. K rokovny et al. [Belle Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 262002 (2003) [arX iv hep-ex/0308019].
- [3] K. Abe et al. [Belle Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 69, 112002 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ex/0307021].
- [4] S.Godfrey and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. D 32, 189 (1985).
- [5] G.S.Bali, Phys. Rev. D 68, 071501 (2003) [arX iv hep-ph/0305209].
- [6] K. Terasaki, Phys. Rev. D 68, 011501 (2003) [arX iv hep-ph/0305213].
- [7] Z. G. Wang and S. L. Wan, Nucl. Phys. A 778, 22 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0602080].
- [8] J. Vijande, F. Fernandez and A. Valcarce, Phys. Rev. D 73, 034002 (2006)
 [Erratum -ibid.D 74, 059903 (2006)] [arXiv:hep-ph/0601143].
- [9] A.P.Szczepaniak, Phys. Lett. B 567, 23 (2003) [arX iv hep-ph/0305060].
- [10] T. Barnes, F. E. Close and H. J. Lipkin, Phys. Rev. D 68, 054006 (2003) [arX iv:hep-ph/0305025].
- [11] F.P.Sassen and S.K rewald, Int.J.M od. Phys. A 20, 705 (2005).
- [12] E.S.Swanson, Phys. Rept. 429, 243 (2006) [arX iv hep-ph/0601110].

- [13] A.A. Petrov, In the Proceedings of Flavor Physics and CP Violation (FPCP 2003), Paris, France, 3-6 Jun 2003, pp MEC 05 [arX iv:hep-ph/0311371].
- [14] P.Colangelo, F.De Fazio and R.Ferrandes, M od. Phys. Lett. A 19, 2083 (2004) [arX iv:hep-ph/0407137].
- [15] E. E. Kolom eiter and M. F. M. Lutz, Phys. Lett. B 582 (2004) 39 [arX iv:hep-ph/0307133].
- [16] J. Hofmann and M. F. M. Lutz, Nucl. Phys. A 733, 142 (2004) [arX iv:hep-ph/0308263].
- [17] F.K.Guo, P.N. Shen, H.C. Chiang and R.G. Ping, Phys. Lett. B 641 (2006) 278 [arX iv:hep-ph/0603072].
- [18] N. Isgur and M. B. W ise, Phys. Lett. B 232, 113 (1989).
- [19] N. Isgur and M. B. W ise, Phys. Lett. B 237, 527 (1990).
- [20] N. Isgur and M. B. W ise, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 1130 (1991).
- [21] M.B.W ise, Phys. Rev.D 45, 2188 (1992).
- [22] J.A.Oller and E.Oset, Nucl. Phys. A 620 (1997) 438 [Erratum-ibid. A 652 (1999) 407] [arXiv:hep-ph/9702314].
- [23] J.A.Oller, E.Oset and J.R.Pelaez, Phys. Rev. D 59 (1999) 074001 [Erratum ibid.D 60 (1999) 099906] [arXiv:hep-ph/9804209].
- [24] A. Gom ez Nicola and J. R. Pelaez, Phys. Rev. D 65 (2002) 054009 [arXiv:hep-ph/0109056].
- [25] N.Kaiser, Eur. Phys. J.A 3 (1998) 307.
- [26] J. Nieves and E. Ruiz Arriola, Phys. Lett. B 455, 30 (1999) [arXiv:nucl+th/9807035].
- [27] V.E.Markushin, Eur. Phys. J.A 8, 389 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ph/0005164].
- [28] J.R. Pelaez, arX iv hep-ph/0612052.
- [29] H. Leutwyler, arX iv hep-ph/0612112.
- [30] J.Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Nucl. Phys. B 250, 465 (1985).
- [31] J.A.Oller, E.Oset and A.Ramos, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 45, 157 (2000) [arX iv:hep-ph/0002193].
- [32] G. Pari, B. Schwesinger and H. Walliser, Phys. Lett. B 255, 1 (1991).
- [33] S. T. Hong and Y. J. Park, Phys. Rev. D 63, 054018 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0011046].
- [34] H.W alliser, Nucl. Phys. A 548, 649 (1992).
- [35] J. Hofmann and M. F. M. Lutz, Nucl. Phys. A 763, 90 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0507071].
- [36] S. Eidelm an et al. [Particle Data Group], Phys. Lett. B 592 (2004) 1.
- [37] A.X.El-Khadra, A.S.Kronfeld, P.B.Mackenzie, S.M.Ryan and J.N.Simone, Phys. Rev. D 58 (1998) 014506 [arXiv:hep-ph/9711426].

- [38] J. E. Palomar and E. Oset, Nucl. Phys. A 716 (2003) 169 [arXiv:nucl-th/0208013].
- [39] E.O set and A.Ram os, Nucl. Phys. A 635, 99 (1998) [arX iv nucl-th/9711022].
- [40] J.A.Ollerand E.Oset, Phys. Rev. D 60, 074023 (1999) [arX iv hep-ph/9809337].
- [41] T. Inoue, E. O set and M. J. Vicente Vacas, Phys. Rev. C 65, 035204 (2002) [arX iv:hep-ph/0110333].
- [42] E. van Beveren, D. V. Bugg, F. K leefeld and G. Rupp, Phys. Lett. B 641, 265 (2006) [arX iv hep-ph/0606022].
- [43] D.V.Bugg, Eur. Phys. J.C 47, 57 (2006) [arX iv hep-ph/0603089].
- [44] D.V.Bugg, Eur. Phys. J.C 47, 57 (2006) [arX iv:hep-ph/0603089].
- [45] D.V.Bugg, Eur. Phys. J.A 25, 107 (2005) [Erratum -ibid. A 26, 151 (2005)] [arX iv:hep-ex/0510026].
- [46] F.K.Guo, R.G.Ping, P.N.Shen, H.C.Chiang and B.S.Zou, Nucl. Phys. A 773, 78 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0509050].
- [47] I. Bediaga [Ferm ilab E 791 collaboration], arX iv hep-ex/0208039.
- [48] Y.J.Zhang, H.C.Chiang, P.N.Shen and B.S.Zou, Phys. Rev. D 74 (2006) 014013 [arX iv hep-ph/0604271].
- [49] J.J.de Swart, Rev.M od.Phys. 35, 916 (1963).
- [50] T.A.Kaeding, arX iv nucl-th/9502037.