DEBYE SCREENING IN THE HOT NON-ABELIAN PLASMA

N.O.AGASIAN and YU.A.SIMONOV

Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, 117218, Russia E-m ail: agasian@ itep.ru

The Debye mass m $_{\rm D}$ is computed nonperturbatively in the decon ned phase of QCD, where chrom om agnetic con nem ent is known to be present. The latter de nesm_D to be $m_D = c_D p_{s}^{-1}$, where $c_D = 2.06$ and s = s (T) is the spatial string tension. The resulting m agnitude of m $_D$ (T) and tem perature dependence are in good agreem ent with lattice calculations.

Keywords: quark-gluon plasm a; background perturbation theory.

1. Introduction

The screening of electric elds in QCD was originally considered in analogy to QED plasm a, where the D ebye screening m ass was wellunderstood¹, and the perturbative leading order (LO) result for QCD was obtained long ago ², $m_{D}^{(LO)} = \frac{N_{c}}{3} + \frac{N_{f}}{6}^{1=2} gT$. Another di culty of the perturbative approach is that the gauge-invariant de nition of the One starts with the correlator of Polyakov one-gluon Debye mass is not available. Recently the calculations of m $_{\rm D}$ (T) was com puted on the lattice for N_f = $0; 2^{3;4;5}$ using the free-energy asym ptotics.

The purpose of our talk is to provide a gauge-invariant and a nonperturbative method, which allows to obtain Debye masses in a rather simple analytic calculational scheme. In what follows we use the basically nonperturbative approach of Field Correlator Method (FCM) 6;7 and Background Perturbation Theory (BPTh) for nonzero T $^{8;9;10}$ to calculate m $_{\rm D}$ (T) in a series, where the rst and dom inant term is purely nonperturbative ¹¹

$$m_D$$
 (T) = M_0 (T) + BPTh series: (1)

Here M $_0$ (T) is the gluelum p m ass due to chrom om agnetic con nem ent in 3d, which is computed ¹¹ to be M $_0$ (T) = c_b s(T),with $_{\rm s}$ (T) being the spatial string tension and 2 for $N_c = 3$. The latter is simply expressed in FCM through chrom om agnetic correlator 12;13, and can be found from the lattice data $^{14;15}$. Therefore M $_{0}$ (T) is predicted for all T and can be compared with lattice data.

2. Background Perturbation

Theory for the therm alW ilson loop

 $bopshL(0)L^+(r)i$

hL (0)L⁺ (r)i =
$$\frac{1}{N_c^2} \exp \frac{F_1(r;T)}{T}$$

+ $\frac{N_c^2}{N_c^2} \exp \frac{F_8(r;T)}{T}$:

The rst term contributing to the free energy F_1 of the static QQ -pair in the singlet color state, the second to the octet free energy F $_8$.

A convenient way to de ne Debye mass was suggested in $^{3;4}$

F₁(r;T) F₁(1;T)
$$\frac{4}{3} \frac{s(T)}{r} e^{m_{D}(T)r}$$

A coordingly one ends for F₁ with the therm al W ilson loop of time extension = 1=T and space extension r,

$$\exp (F_1(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{r})) = hW(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{r})i$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} htr P \exp (ig A dz)i; (2)$$

arXiv:hep-ph/0612233v2 9 Jul 2007

2

Eq.(2) is the basis of our approach. In what follows we shall calculate however not F_1 , which contains all tower of excited states over the ground state of heavy quarks QQ, but rather the static potential V_1 (r;T), corresponding to this ground state. As a result the D ebye m ass m_D is calculated gauge-invariantly in terms of the therm al W ilson loop.

Separating, as in BPTh the eld A into NP background B and valence gluon eld a

$$A = B + a \tag{3}$$

one can assign gauge transform ations as follows

B ! U⁺ (B +
$$\frac{i}{g}$$
)U; a ! U⁺ a U: (4)

As a next step one inserts (3) into (2) and expands in powers of ga , which gives an expansion for the W ilson loop

$$hW$$
 (r;)i = hW ⁽⁰⁾ (r;)i_B +

+ hW ⁽²⁾ (r;)i_{B ;a} + :::; (

and hW ⁽²⁾ i can be written as

$$hW^{(2)}i_{B;a} = \frac{(ig)^2}{N_c}^{Z} dx dy$$

htmP () ha (x) a (y)
$$i_a$$
 () i_B : (6)

Here (5) and () are parallel transporters along the pieces of the original W ilson bop W (r;), which result from the dissection of the W ilson bop at points x and y. Thus the W ilson bop W $^{(2)}(r;)$ is the standard bop W $^{(0)}(r;)$ augmented by the adjoint line connecting points x and y. It is easy to see using (4), that this construction is gauge invariant.

For OGE propagator one can write where $t_4 = x_4$ the path integral Fock-Feynman-Schwinger and G_{44} (r; t_4) is (FFS) representation for nonzero T

$$G (x;y) = ha (x)a (y)i_{z}$$

$$Z_{1} Z$$

$$= ds (D^{4}z)_{xy}^{w} \exp(K)^{adj}(C_{xy})$$

$$= Z_{s}$$

$$P_{F} \exp(2ig F (z())d) ; (7)$$

$$= Z$$

where the open contour C_{xy} runs along the integration path in (7) from the point x to the point y and $K = \frac{1}{4} \frac{R_s}{_0} (\underline{z})^2 d$. The $(D^4 z)_{xy}^w$ is a path integration measure with boundary conditions z (= 0) = x and z (= s) = y and with all possible windings in the Euclidean temporal direction (this is marked by the superscript w).

W e must now average over B the geom etrical construction obtained by inserting (7) into (6), i.e.

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
 Y & \stackrel{\overset{\bullet}{a}y}{\overset{\bullet}{}} \\
 h () & \stackrel{adj}{} (C_{xy}) & ()i_B & hW_{xy}(r;)i_B: \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 \end{array}$$

For $T > T_c$ one can apply the nonabelian (5) Stokes theorem, which yields the area law ⁶ for distances r _g, _g gluon correlation length, _g 0.2 fm

$$hW_{xy}(\mathbf{r};)i_{B} = \exp\left(-\frac{H}{adj}S_{\alpha l}^{H}\right); \quad (9)$$

where S_{g1}^{H} is the area of the space-like projection of gluon-deform ed piece of surface S_{g1} , and $_{adj}^{H}$ is the adjoint spatial string tension, $_{adj}^{H} = (9=4)_{s}$ for SU (3). $_{s}$ is the funda-mental spatial string tension.

As the result one obtains exactly the form containing the gluelum p G reen's function

$$HW \stackrel{(2)}{=} i_{B;a} = (ig)^{2}C_{2}(f)$$

$$Z \qquad Z$$

$$dx_{4} \qquad dy_{4}G_{44}(r;t_{4}); \quad (10)$$

$$0 \qquad 0$$

where t_4 x_4 y_4 , C_2 (f) = (N_c² 1)=2N_c and G_{44} (r; t_4) is

$$G_{44}(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{t}_{4}) = ds (D^{4}z)_{xy}^{W}$$

exp(K) exp($_{adi}^{H}S_{gl}^{H}$): (11)

Thus the gluon G reen's function in the con ned phase becom es a gluelum p G reen's function, where the adjoint source trajectory is the projection of the gluon trajectory on the W ilson loop plane. G luelum p is the system when a gluon is connected by the string to the W ilson loop plane. String is made of chrom om agnetic eld only. Thus the problem reduces to the calculation of the gluelum p G reen's function

$$G(x;y) = hxj exp(H_nr)jyi:$$

The lowest eigenvalue of M₀ Ham iltonian yields the static QQ potential

$$V_1^{(1)}(r;T) = \frac{N_c^2}{2N_c} \frac{1}{r} e^{M_o r}$$

As a result one nds 11 m $_{\rm D}$ = M $_{\rm O}$

 $m_{D} = (2.82 \quad 5.08 \frac{e}{s})^{p} - 2.06 \frac{p}{s}$

This is the central result of our tak. Note, that no free parameters are used, $\frac{e}{s}$ is taken from glueball spectrum and BFKL.

3. Num erical results

 $m_{\rm D}$ (T) = $c_{\rm D}$ $_{
m s}$ (T) with the latest lattice data ⁵. At tem peratures T > T_{dr}

ti cation for resorting to dimensionally re- with a perturbative-like ansatz 5 . duced reqime at T ' s (T) was given in ^{13;16}) the spatial string tension is chosen in the form $^{14;15}$

$$p_{s}(T) = c g^{2}(T)T;$$
 (12)

with the two-loop expression for g^2 (T)

$$g^{2}$$
 (t) = $2b_{0} \ln \frac{t}{L} + \frac{b_{l}}{b_{0}} \ln 2 \ln \frac{t}{L}$; (13)

where t $T = T_c$.

The measured ¹⁵ spatial string tension at $T=T_c$ in pure glue QCD corresponds to the values of c = 0.5660**:**013 and L



Fig. 1. Chrom celectric Debye mass m D =T for 2avor QCD (upper lines) and quenched ($N_f = 0$) QCD (lower lines) versus T=T_c. Solid lines are calculated using m $_{\rm D}$ (T) = 2:09 $\sqrt{\rm s}$ (T), where $\sqrt{\rm s}$ (T) corresponds to Eq.(12) with N $_{\rm f}$ = 2 for upper solid line and N $_{\rm f}$ = 0 for low er solid line. D ashed lines are calculated using Eq.(14), $N_f = 2\{\text{upper line, } N_f =$ 0 { low er line. The lattice data are from 0 K aczm arek and F Zantow, Phys. Rev. D 71 114510 (2005).

0:009:0n the Fig. 1 are shown lat-0:104 tice data ³ and theoretical curves for the D eby em ass in quenched (N $_{\rm f}$ = 0) and 2- avor QCD. Solid lines correspond to our theoretical prediction, m_D (T) = C_D _s(T), with $_{\rm s}$ (T) we exploit the $q_{\rm D} = 2.09$ and for parameters c = 0.564; L = 0.104. The upper solid line is for the Debye mass in 2avor QCD, and the lower { for quenched One can now compare our prediction for QCD.We note that in computing m $_{\rm D}$ (I) using (12), (13) all dependence on N_f enters only through the Gell-M ann {Low coe cients $(1.2 \quad 1.5)T_c$, (where T_{dr} is the tem perature b_0 and b_1 . For comparison we display in the of dimensionally reduction and physical jus- Fig. 1 dashed lines for m_D (T)=T, calculated

$$m_{D}^{Latt}(T) = A_{N_{f}} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{N_{f}}{6}} g(T)T:$$
 (14)

C om parison of our theoretical prediction with the perturbative-like ansatz shows that both agree reasonably with lattice data in the tem perature interval $T_c < T$ 5T_r; the agreem ent is slightly better for our results. At the same time, in (14) a tting constant is used A_N, 1:5, which is necessary even 5. W e expect the accuracy of the rst approxim ation of our approach is around =T_c = 10% , taking also into account the bias in the



4

de nition of ^e_s for the gluelum p. The tem - R eferences perature region near T_c needs additional care because i) the behaviour of s (T) deviates from the lattice data and ii) contribution of chrom oelectric elds above T_c which was neglected above.

4. Conclusions

W e have studied D ebye screening in the hot nonabelian theory. For that purpose the gauge-invariant de nition of the free energy of the static QQ -pair in the singlet color state was given in terms of the thermal W ilson loop. Due to the chrom om agnetic con nem ent persisting at all tem peratures T, the hot QCD is essentially nonperturbative. To account for this fact in a gauge-invariant way the BPTh was developed for the thermal W ilson loop using path-integralFFS form alism . As a result one obtains from the thermalW ilson loop the screened Coulomb potential with the screening mass corresponding to the lowest gluelump mass. Applying the Hamiltonian form alism to the BPTh Green's functions with the einbein technique 11. N.O. Agasian and YuA. Simonov, the gluelum p m ass spectrum was obtained. As a result, we have derived the leading term of the BPTh for the Debye mass which is the purely nonperturbative,

 $m_{\rm D}$ (T) = $q_{\rm D}$ (T);2:

A cknow ledgm ents

The authors are grateful to F.Karsch for supplying us with num erical data and we thank B L. Io e, A B.K aidalov, D.K harzeev and V A .Novikov for useful discussions. Our gratitude is also to M A .Trusov for help and useful advices.

The work is supported by the Federal Program of the Russian M inistry of Industry, Science, and Technology No.40.052.1.1.1112, and by the grant of RFBR No. 06-02-17012, and by the grant for scientic schools NS-843,2006,2.

- 1. I. A khiezer and S. Peletm inski, ZhETF 38, 1829 (1960); J.-P. B laizot, E. Iancu and R. Parwani, Phys. Rev. D 52, 2543 (1995).
- 2. E.Shuryak, ZhETF 74, 408 (1978); J.Kapusta, Nucl. Phys. B148, 461 (1979); D. Gross, R. Pisarski and L. Ya e, Rev. M od. Phys. 53, 43 (1981).
- 3. O.Kaczmarek and F.Zantow, Phys. Rev. D 71,114510 (2005).
- 4. M. Doring, S. Ejiri, O. Kaczmarek, F. Karsch and E. Laerm ann, hep-lat/0509150.
- 5.0. Kaczmarek and F. Zantow, heplat/0512031.
- 6. H.G. Dosch, Phys. Lett. B 190, 177 (1987); H.G.Dosch and YuA.Sim onov, Phys. Lett. B 205, 339 (1988); Yu A. Sim onov, Nucl. Phys. B 307, 512 (1988); A. D iG iacom o, H.G.Dosch, V.J. Shevchenko and YuA.Simonov, Phys. Rept. 372, 319 (2002).
- 7. Yu A Sim onov, JETP Lett. 54, 249 (1991); JETP Lett. 55, 605 (1992).
- 8. YuA. Sim onov, Phys. At. Nucl. 58, 309 (1995); N.O. Agasian, JETP Lett. 57, 208 (1993); JETP Lett. 71, 43 (2000).
- 9. YuA.Sim onov and JA.T jon, Ann. Phys. 300,54 (2002).
- 10. E L.G ubankova and ${\tt Yu}\,{\tt A}$. Sim onov, P hys. Lett. B 360, 93 (1995).
- Phys.Lett. B 639, 82 (2006).
- 12. YuA.Sim onov, JETP Lett. 54, 249 (1991).
- 13. N.O. Agasian, Phys. Lett. B 562, 257 (2003).
- 14. F.Karsch, E.Laerm ann and M.Lutgem eier, Phys. Lett. B 346, 94 (1995).
- 15. G. Boyd et al, Nucl. Phys. B 469, 419 (1996).
- 16. N.O. Agasian and K. Zarembo, Phys. Rev. D 57,2475 (1998).