## Chiral dynamics and s-wave exotic hadrons

Tetsuo Hyodo<sup>1</sup>, Daisuke Jido<sup>1</sup>, and Atsushi Hosaka<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, K yoto University, K yoto 606{8502, Japan

<sup>2</sup> Research Center for Nuclear Physics (RCNP), Ibaraki, Osaka 567-0047, Japan.

Received:date / Revised version:date

Abstract. Based on chiral dynam ics, existence of exotic hadrons is discussed in the SU (3) symmetric limit. The low energy s-wave interaction of the N am bu-G oldstone boson with a hadron is known to be determined m odel-independently, which has been used to generate some hadron resonances in nonexotic channels. We show that this interaction in any exotic channels is not strong enough to generate a bound state.

PACS. 1420.{c Baryons (including antiparticles) { 11.30 R d Chiral sym m etries { 11.30 H v F lavor sym - m etries

Experim entally, m ore than hundred of hadrons have been discovered so far, whose properties are sum m arized by the Particle D ata G roup (PDG) [1]. M ost of the hadrons can be, in principle, described in terms of qq or qqq, and the only state with exotic avor quantum numbers in PDG is the S = +1 baryon <sup>+</sup> [2]. Thus, exotic hadrons are indeed \exotic" from an experim ental point of view, while there is no clear theoretical explanation of the nonobservation (or non-existence) of the exotic hadrons. This is certainly a non-trivial issue to be explained theoretically, irrespective to the existence of the <sup>+</sup>.

Here we report our recent work on this issue [3,4], in which we have studied the existence of the exotic hadrons in s-wave scattering of a hadron and the N am bu-G oldstone (NG) boson. We utilize the theoretical fram ework based on the S-m atrix theory. In 60's, the fram ework was used to describe hadron resonances such as (1405) [5,6] with the e ective vector m eson exchange interaction. Recently, the interaction has been founded by chiral symmetry [7, 8,9,10], leading to a successful description of the hadron resonances in chiral unitary approaches [11,12,13].

In recent applications of the chiral unitary approach, it was shown that som e resonances obtained in the coupled channeldynam ics with SU (3) breaking became bound states of a single channel in the avor SU (3) lim it [11,14,15,16, 17,18]. Therefore, we expect that the origin of the physical resonances may be clarified by studying the bound states in the SU (3) lim it. We focus on the s-wave scatterings, since the low energy interaction of the NG boson with any hadrons in s-wave are uniquely determined by chiral symmetry. In this fram ework, we exam ine the possibility to generate the exotic hadron as a bound state of the NG boson and a hadron.

The low energy s-wave interaction of the NG boson (Ad) with a target hadron (T) is m odel-independently given by



Fig. 1. (a) : Notation of the representations , Ad and T for the W T term . (b) : The bound state pole diagram after unitarization of the amplitude.

the W einberg-Tom ozawa theorem [19,20] as

$$V = \frac{!}{2f^2}C_{;T};$$
 (1)

with the decay constant (f) and energy (!) of the NG boson. The group theoretical factor C  $_{,T}$  is determined by specifying the avor representations of the target T and the scattering system 2 T Ad (see Fig. 1):

$$C_{T} = h_{2}F_{T} \quad \text{F}_{d}i = C_{2}(T) \quad C_{2}() + 3;$$
 (2)

where  $C_2(R)$  is the quadratic Casim ir of SU (3) for the representation R, and we use  $C_2(Ad) = 3$  for the adjoint representation of the NG boson.

For the target hadron with an arbitrary SU (3) representation T = [p;q], possible representations for the scattering channels are obtained as

where the labels of representations [a;b] should satisfy a;b = 0, and one of the two [p;q] representations on the right hand side should satisfy p = 1 and the other q = 1. U sing Eq. (2), we evaluate the coupling strengths C  $_{,T}$  for

Table 1. Properties of the W T interaction in the channel of the NG boson scattering on the target hadron with the T = [p;q] representation. The coupling strengths of the W T term is denoted as C  $_{,T}$ , E is the di erences of the exoticness E between the channel and the target hadron T, and C  $_{,T}$  (N  $_{c}$ ) denotes the coupling strengths for arbitrary N  $_{c}$ .

	С ;т	Е	С <sub>;Т</sub> (N <sub>с</sub> )
[p+1;q+1]	рq	1 or 0	<u>3 N<sub>c</sub></u> p q
[p+2;q 1]	1 p	1 or 0	1 p
[p 1;q+2]	1 q	1 or 0	$\frac{5 N_c}{2} q$
[p;q]	3	0	3
[p+1;q 2]	3+ q	0 or 1	$\frac{3 + N_{c}}{2} + q$
[p 2;q+1]	3+ p	0 or 1	3+ p
[p 1;q 1]	4+p+q	0 or 1	$\frac{5 + N_{c}}{2} + p + q$

the possible representations of the channel as shown in Table 1.

In order to specify the exotic channels, we de ne the exoticness quantum number E [21,22,23,24] as the number of valence quark-antiquark pairs to construct the given avor multiplet [p;q] with the baryon number B carried by the u, d, and s quarks. For B > 0 the exoticness E is given by

$$E = () + ();$$

where we de ne (p + 2q)=3 B, (p - q)=3 B and (x) is the step function. For each , we evaluate the di erence of the exotioness E between the target T and

, as shown in Table 1. Taking p;q  $\,$  0 into account, we nd that most of exotic channels are repulsive (C  $_{\rm ,T}$  < 0) and that the attractive interaction (C  $_{\rm ,T}$  > 0) is realized with the universal strength

$$C_{\text{exotic}} = 1$$
: (3)

By boking at the construction in detail, we can further specify the attractive channels as  $= [p \ 1;2]$  for T = [p;0] and  $p \ 3B \ [3,4]$ .

Next we study the scattering problem with the W T interaction V of Eq. (1) in order to exam ine whether the attractive interaction (3) can accommodate an exotic bound state. We utilize the N/D method [9] to construct the scattering amplitude which satis es the elastic unitarity condition. The scattering amplitude of the NG boson and the target hadron in the channel is given by

$$t \left( \begin{array}{c} p \\ \overline{s} \end{array} \right) = \frac{1}{1 \quad V \left( \begin{array}{c} \overline{s} \end{array} \right) G \left( \begin{array}{c} \overline{s} \end{array} \right)} V \left( \begin{array}{c} p \\ \overline{s} \end{array} \right);$$

as a function of the center-ofm assenergy P s. Here G (r s) is given by the once-subtracted dispersion integral

$$G(\overset{p}{s}) = a(s_{0}) \quad \frac{1}{2} \overset{2}{_{s^{+}}} ds^{0} \quad \frac{(s^{0})}{s^{0} s} \quad \frac{(s^{0})}{s^{0} s_{0}} ;$$

with  $(s) = 2M_T^{P} (s s^+) (s s) = (8 s)$  and  $s = (m_T)^2$ .

The subtraction constant  $a(s_0)$  should be in principle determ ined so as to reproduce som e experim ental observables. Here we would like to discuss a prescription which



F ig. 2. C ritical coupling strength C  $_{\rm crit}$  for f = 93 M eV and m = 368 M eV (Solid line). The dashed line denotes the universal attractive coupling strength in exotic channels C  $_{\rm exotic}$  = 1.

can be applicable to the cases without experim ental inform ation. For this purpose, we adopt the prescription given in Refs. [25,10]:

$$G(M_T) = 0;$$
 (4)

which is equivalent to t  $(\bar{s}) = V (\bar{s})$  at  $\bar{s} = M_T$ . This allows us to m atch the amplitude with that of the uchannel ressum ation at this energy. In addition, we show that this prescription provides a \natural size" of the subtraction parameter [4], with which the experimental observables in the S = 1 m eson-baryon channel are well reproduced [9].

Since the W T interaction is the leading order term of the chiral perturbation theory, the condition (4) m eans that the full amplitude becomes that of the chiral perturbation theory at  $\overline{s} = M_T$ . It is worth noting that t  $(5\overline{s}) = V$  ( $\overline{s}$ ) can be achieved only in the region where G ( $\overline{s}$ ) is real, since the subtraction constant  $a(s_0)$  is a real number. Therefore, in order to m atch the full amplitude to the chiral perturbation theory, we need

$$G(s) = 0$$
 within  $M_T$  m  $p = M_T + m$ :

If this condition is not satis ed, the unitarized amplitude t  $(\overline{s})$  does not coincide with the tree level amplitude obtained in chiral perturbation theory.

W ith the renorm alization condition (4), we derive the smallest attractive coupling strength  $C_{\rm crit}$  to produce a bound state of the NG boson with the target hadron as

$$C_{crit} = \frac{2f^2}{m - G(M_T + m)} :$$
 (5)

U sing f = 93 M eV and m = 368 M eV, we plot  $C_{\rm crit}$  in Fig. 2 as a function of M<sub>T</sub> together with the universal attractive strength  $C_{\rm exotic}$  = 1 found in Eq. (3). It is seen that  $C_{\rm exotic}$  is not strong enough to generate a bound state in this region. We also exam ine the dependence of the  $C_{\rm crit}$  on f and m and nd that  $C_{\rm crit}$  becomes smaller, either for the larger m or the smaller f. However,  $C_{\rm crit}$  cannot be smaller than  $C_{\rm exotic}$  = 1 in physically reasonable param eter region.

For a baryon target, we can evaluate the W T interaction in large-N  $_{\rm c}$  lim it using the analytic form of the coupling strength (2).W ith the standard extension of the

avor representation [p;q+ (N  $_{\rm c}$  3)=2] with xed baryon spin [26], we derive the nontrivial linear N  $_{\rm c}$  dependence of the coupling strength C  $_{;T}$  (N  $_{c}$ ), as shown in Table 1.W e nd that the interaction in exotic channels has negative dependence of N  $_{\rm c}$ . C om bining with the 1=N  $_{\rm c}$  dependence of the  $1=f^2$  factor, we show that no attractive interaction exists in the large-N c lim it.

W e have studied the exotic hadrons in s-wave chiral dynam ics. Based on the group theoretical argum ent and the general principle of the scattering theory, we have drawn the following two conclusions:

- { Attractive interaction in exotic channels is universal  $C_{\text{exotic}} = 1.$
- { The interaction is smaller than the critical value to generate a bound state C<sub>exotic</sub> < C<sub>crit</sub>.

C om bining these two, we have shown that the exotic hadrons 19. S.W einberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 17, 616 (1966). are not generated in s-wave scattering of the NG boson with a target hadron in the SU (3) symmetric limit.

It should be, however, noted that the present analysis is based on the sim plest fram ew ork with the leading order interaction in the SU (3) limit. In practice, the e ect of the avor SU (3) breaking and higher order terms in the chiralLagrangian should be taken into account [27,28,29, 30,31]. In addition, we do not exclude the existence of the exotic state with di erent origins, such as genuine quark states or the rotational excitation of the chiral solitons. Nonetheless, the conclusion drawn here should contribute to partly explain the di culty to observe of the exotic hadrons in Nature.

The authors are grateful to Prof. M. Oka for helpful discussion. We also thank Professor V. Kopeliovich for useful comments on exotioness. T.H. thanks the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) for nancial support. This work is supported in part by the G rant for Scientic Research (No. 17959600, No. 18042001, and No. 16540252) and by G rant-in-A id for the 21st C entury COE "Center for Diversity and Universality in Physics" from the M inistry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) of Japan.

## References

- 1. Particle Data Group, W . M . Yao et al., J. Phys. G 33, 1 (2006).
- 2. T.Nakano et al, (LEPS Collaboration), Phys.Rev.Lett. 91,012002 (2003).
- 3. T. Hyodo, D. Jido, and A. Hosaka, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 192002 (2006).
- 4. T. Hyodo, D. Jido, and A. Hosaka, hep-ph/0611004.
- 5. R.H.Dalitz and S.F.Tuan, Ann.Phys. (N.Y.) 10, 307 (1960).
- 6. J.H.W .W yld, Phys. Rev. 155, 1649 (1967).
- 7. N.Kaiser, P.B.Siegel, and W.Weise, Nucl. Phys. A 594, 325 (1995).
- 8. E.O set and A.R am os, Nucl. Phys. A 635, 99 (1998).
- 9. J.A.Oller and U.G.Meissner, Phys.Lett.B 500, 263 (2001).

- 10. M.F.M.Lutz and E.E.Kolom eitsev, Nucl. Phys. A 700, 193 (2002).
- 11. D. Jido, J.A. Oller, E. Oset, A. Ramos, and U.G. Meissner, Nucl. Phys. A 725, 181 (2003).
- 12. T. Hyodo, A. Hosaka, E. Oset, A. Ramos, and M. J. Vicente Vacas, Phys. Rev. C 68, 065203 (2003).
- 13. V.K.Magas, E.Oset, and A.Ramos, Phys.Rev.Lett. 95,052301 (2005).
- 14. C.Garcia-Recio, M.F.M.Lutz, and J.Nieves, Phys.Lett. B 582,49 (2004).
- 15. E.E.Kolom eitsev and M.F.M.Lutz, Phys.Lett.B 585, 243 (2004).
- 16. S. Sarkar, E. O set, and M. J. Vicente Vacas, Nucl. Phys. A 750,294 (2005).
- 17. M.F.M.Lutz and E.E.Kolom eitsev, Nucl. Phys. A 730, 110 (2004).
- 18. E.E.Kolom eitsev and M.F.M.Lutz, Phys.Lett.B 582, 39 (2004).
- 20. Y. Tom ozawa, Nuovo C im ento 46A, 707 (1966).
- 21. V.Kopeliovich, Phys.Lett.B 259, 234 (1991).
- 22. D. Diakonov and V. Petrov, Phys. Rev. D 69, 056002 (2004).
- 23. V.Kopeliovich, hep-ph/0310071.
- 24. E. Jenkins and A. V. Manohar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 022001 (2004).
- 25. K. Igiand K.-i. Hikasa, Phys. Rev. D 59, 034005 (1999).
- 26. R.F.Dashen, E.Jenkins, and A.V.Manohar, Phys. Rev. D 49, 4713 (1994).
- 27. T.Hyodo, S.I.Nam, D.Jido, and A.Hosaka, Prog.Theor. Phys. 112, 73 (2004).
- 28. B. Borasoy, R. Nissler, and W. Weise, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94,213401 (2005).
- 29. B. Borasoy, R. Nissler, and W. Weise, Eur. Phys. J. A 25, 79 (2005).
- 30. J.A.Oller, J.Prades, and M.Verbeni, Phys.Rev.Lett. 95,172502 (2005).
- 31. J.A.Oller, Eur. Phys. J.A 28, 63 (2006).