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#### Abstract

A study of sem i-inclusive deep inelastic scattering o transversely polarized ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ is presented. The form al expressions of the Collins and Sivers contributions to the azim uthal single spin asym $m$ etry for the production of leading pions are derived, in im pulse approxim ation, and estim ated in the kinem atics of forth-com ing experim ents at JLab. The AV 18 interaction has been used for a realistic description of the nuclear dynam ics; the nucleon structure has been described by proper param eterizations of data or suitable $m$ odel calculations. The in itial transverse $m$ om entum of the struck quark has been properly included in the calculation. The crucial issue of extracting the neutron inform ation from ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ data, planned to shed some light on the puzzling experim ental soenario arisen from recent $m$ easurem ents for the proton and the deuteron, is thoroughly discussed. It is found that a m odel independent procedure, w idely used in inclusive deep inelastic scattering to take into account the $m$ om entum and energy distributions of the bound nucleons in ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$, can be applied also in the kinem atics of the planned Jab experim ents, although fragm entation functions, not only parton distributions, are involved. The possible role played by nal state interactions in the process under investigation is addressed.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

T he distribution of transverse quark spin, the so called transversity distribution, is one of the least know $n$ features of the nucleon partonic structure (for a recent review, see, e.g., Ref. [1]). In a few years, som e light should be shed on it, thanks to relevant experim ental e orts which are in tum stim ulating the theoretical activity (for recent developm ents, see Ref. [2]).

Sem i-inclusive deep inelastic scattering (SID IS), i.e. the process A (e;eh)X, with the detection in the nalstate of a produced hadron $h$ in coincidence $w$ ith the scattered electron $e^{0}$, is one of the proposed processes to access the transversity distribution, $h_{1}$, and other related observables. In fact, due to its chiralodd nature, $\mathrm{h}_{1}$ is not observable in inclusive deep inelastic scattering (D IS ) , while it can appear, multiplied by a chiralodd fragm entation function, in the expression ofSID IS cross-sections. Since severalyears it hasbeen know $n$ that SID IS o a transversely polarized target should show azim uthal asym m etries, the so called \single spin asym m etries" (SSA s). A s a m atter of facts, it is predicted that the counting of produced hadrons in a given direction or in the opposite one, w ith respect to the reaction plane, depends on the orientation of the transverse spin of a polarized target $w$ ith respect to the direction of the unpolarized beam. It can be shown that the SSA in SDI IS o transverse polarized targets is essentially due to two di erent physical mechanism s. O ne of them is the Collins m echanism, due to parton nalstate interactions in the production of a spinless hadron by a transversely polarized quark. Thism echanism produces a term in the SSA given essentially by the product of the transversity distribution and the collins fragm entation function. This latter quantity is tim e reversal odd ( $T$-odd) and counts the num ber density of scalar hadrons originating from the fragm entation of a transversely polarized quark [3]. The other is the Sivers mechanism, producing a term in the SSA which is given by the product of the unpolarized fragm entation function $w$ ith the Sivers parton distribution [4], naturally related to the parton O rbitalA ngular M om entum (OAM) , describing the num ber density of unpolarized quarks in a transversely polarized target.

It tums out that, in the experim ental asym $m$ etry, it is technically possible to distinguish the di erent contributions of the $C$ ollins and Sivers $m$ echanism $s$ [5,6,7].

Recently, the rst data of SID IS o transverse polarized targets have been published, for the proton [8] and the deuteron [9]. It has been found that, while the Sivers e ect is
sizable for the proton, it becom es negligible for the deuteron, so that apparently the neutron contribution cancels the proton one, show ing a strong avor dependence of the m echanism. Very recently, it has been argued that these results can be interpreted as an evidence of a negligible gluon contribution to the OAM of the partons in the proton [10]. In the sam e paper, it is also stressed that a transversely polarized ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ e target, due to its peculiar spin structure [11, 12], could be used to obtain relevant inform ation on SSA s of the free neutron, to better understand the present experim ental scenario.
$W$ ith the aim at $m$ easuring the neutron transversity and the neutron SSA $s$, two experim ents of SID IS O transversely polarized ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ targets have been approved at JLab, w ith scienti c rate $\backslash \mathrm{A} "$ ", for the detection of (experim ent $\mathrm{E}-06-010$ [13]) and ${ }^{+}$(experi$m$ ent $E-06-011$ [14]) in coincidence $w$ ith the scattered electron, in the valence quark region (0:19 x 0:41), for m oderate values of $Q^{2}\left(1.77 \mathrm{GeV}^{2} \mathrm{Q}^{2} \quad 2: 73 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right)$. It has been planned to detect the leading fragm entation pion which carries $z$ ' $0: 5$ of the energy transfer, to favor current fragm entation.

In this theoretical and experim ental scenario, it becom es urgent to con $m$ the possibility to use a transversely polarized ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ e target to extract the inform ation for the free neutron. In the present paper, this issue is thoroughly investigated. A calculation of SSA s for SDD IS o transversely polarized ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ e, described in an im pulse approxim ation (IA ) fram ew ork, by $m$ eans of wave functions obtained using a m odem nucleon-nucleon potential, w ill.be illustrated. In the calculation, the treatm ent of the nuclear structure is realistic, while the nucleon part relies on $m$ odelestim ates, being som e ingredients of the calculation experim entally unknow $n$. A s a consequence, the $m$ ain goal of the paper is not that of producing realistic predictions but that of establishing to what extent a transversely polarized ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ e nucleus behaves as an e ective transversely polarized free neutron.

T he paper is structured as follow s . In the second section, the m ain quantities of interest w ill be introduced. In the follow ing one, the IA expressions for the Collins and Sivers contributions to the nuclear SSA will be derived and the calculation will be set-up, in the kinem atics ofthe JLab experim ent. T he ingredients to be used w illbe presented in the fourth section, together w ith the num erical results and their discussion. Eventually, conclusions w ill be draw $n$ in the last section.

```
II. SIDISAND SINGLE SPIN ASYMMETRIES
```

The quantities to be $m$ easured are the $C$ ollins, $A_{U T}^{C}$ ollin $s$, and Sivers, $A_{U T}^{S \text { ivers }}$, contributions to the azim uthal single spin asym m etry, $A_{U T}$ (w here $U$ m eans U npolarized beam, T m eans T ransversely polarized target and it is assum ed that the produced hadron is spinless, or that its polarization is not detected) [7]:
where the angles are de ned according to the \Trento convention" [15], see Fig. 1. The cross section are di erential in x;y;z; s;h? where, as usual, one has:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{x}=\frac{\mathrm{Q}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{P}} \mathrm{q}^{\prime}  \tag{2}\\
& \mathrm{y}=\frac{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{q}=\frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{lab}}} ;  \tag{3}\\
& \mathrm{z}=\frac{\mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{~h}}{\mathrm{P}}=\frac{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{lab}}}{\mathrm{q}} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $P, q, h$ are the target $m$ om entum, the $m$ om entum transfer and the $m$ om entum of the produced hadron, respectively. A clari cation conceming the notation in $S \mathbb{D} I S$ is in order. In general, a quantity which is transverse in a fram ew here $P$ and $h$ have no transverse com ponents (thus $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{T}}=0$ ), is indicated w ith a subscript T , so that $\mathrm{T} m$ eans transverse $w$ ith respect to $\tilde{n}$, while those $w$ ith a subscript? are de ned in a fram $e w$ here $q$ and $P$ have no transverse com ponents (standard D IS fram e), so that ? m eans transverse w ith respect to $q$ (thus $q_{?}=P_{?}=0$ ) [5].

O ther relevant variables for the description of this process are $k_{T}$, the transverse $m$ om entum of the struck quark before the interaction, and $T$, the transverse $m$ om entum of the struck quark after the interaction. It is found that $q_{T}=h_{?}=z+O\left(1=Q^{2}\right)$ :

The Collins and Sivers asym m etries, according to the $T$ rento convention [15], are de ned in term $s$ of the experim ental cross sections:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{U T}^{C \text { ollins }}=\frac{R_{S_{S}} d_{h} \sin \left({ }_{h}+{ }_{s}\right) d^{6}{ }_{U T}}{d_{S} d_{h} d^{6}{ }_{U U}} ;  \tag{5}\\
& A_{U T}^{S \text { ivers }}=\frac{d_{S} d_{R} \sin \left({ }_{h} S_{S}\right) d^{6} U_{T}}{d_{S} d_{h^{\prime}} d^{6} U_{U}}: \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

The cross sections them selves can be written in term $s$ of $k_{T}$-dependent distribution and fragm entation functions [5], so that also the Collins and Sivers asym $m$ etries, Eqs. (5) and
(6), can be w ritten through the sam e functions. In particular, $A_{U}^{C}$ ollins is found to be:
where $S_{T}$ is the transverse spin of the target hadron, $\hat{h}_{?}=h_{?}=h_{?} j M_{h}$ is the $m$ ass of the produced hadron and two $k_{T}$-dependent distributions, the unpolarized one, $f_{1}^{q}\left(x ; k_{T}{ }^{2}\right)$, and the transversity distribution, $\mathrm{h}_{1}^{q}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{T}}{ }^{2}\right)$, appear. The other two ingredients of Eq. (7) are the $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{T}}$-dependent unpolarized fragm entation function for the production of a scalar hadron, $\mathrm{D}_{1}^{\text {q;h }}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{;}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{2}\right)$, and the quantity $\mathrm{H}_{1}^{?}{ }^{\text {q.h }}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{;}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)^{2}\right)$, the so-called Collins fragm entation function, a $T$-odd quantity describing the num ber density of scalar hadrons originating from the fragm entation of a transversely polarized quark [ß]

In the sam e way, $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{UT}}^{\text {Sivers }}$ is found to be:
 $w$ here $M$ is the target $m$ ass and, $w$ ith respect to the previous asym $m$ etry, Eq. (7), there is a new $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{T}}$-dependent distribution function, $\mathrm{f}_{1 \mathrm{~T}}^{?}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{T}}{ }^{2}\right)$. The latter is a T -odd quantity, the so called Sivers distribution function, describing the num ber density of unpolarized quarks in a transversely polarized target [4].

O ften, an $x \quad z$ factorized structure is assum ed for Eqs. (7) and (8), a procedure which is justi ed if there is a good experim ental coverage in $\dagger$ ? $j$. In fact, in this case, one can integrate the num erator and the denom inator of Eq . ( (1) over $\mathrm{h}_{\text {? }}=\mathrm{zq}_{\mathrm{T}}$, w ith proper weights, selecting the Collins and Sivers contributions and getting simpli ed expressions for the azim uthal asymm etries [6, 7]. D ue to the peculiar kinem atical conditions of the Jlab experim ents [13, 14], where $\dagger_{?} j$ is varying in a narrow range, such a procedure will not be applied here. The general expressions, Eqs. (7) and (8), for the C ollins and Sivers asym $m$ etries, $w$ ill be considered in what follow $s$.
III. AN IM PULSE APPROXIMATION APPROACH TO SDIS OFF TRANSVERSELY POLARIZED ${ }^{3} \mathrm{HE}$

An Im pulse A pproxim ation (IA ) approach to perform the rst calculation of the Collins and Sivers A sym m etries of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ e willbe now described. The idea is that in the SID IS process
a single nucleon is interacting w the hard probe and there are no further interactions w ith the recoiling nuclear system, neither ofthe jet originating from the interacting nucleon, nor of the em itted hadron. The other crucial assum ption of IA is that the intemal structure of the bound nucleon is not di erent from that of the free one, the nuclear dynam ics determ ining only its m om entum and its binding energy distributions.
$N$ ow it w illbe show $n$ that, in this fram ew ork, the C ollins and Sivers A sym m etries of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$, for the production of a hadron $h$, in the kinem atics of the $J L a b$ experim ents, are given by the follow ing convolution expressions:
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{UT} ; \mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{S} \text { ivers }{ }^{3} \mathrm{He}}, \quad \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{T}} \frac{\mathrm{~N}^{\mathrm{S} \text { ivers, }{ }^{3} \mathrm{He}}}{\mathrm{D}^{{ }^{3} \mathrm{He}}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
and

Now the quantities appearing in the above equations will be described. First of all, the variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{p}^{+}}{\mathrm{M}}=\frac{\mathrm{p}_{0}+\mathrm{p}_{3}}{M} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

represents the light-cone plusm om entum com ponent of the nucleon $N$, with $m$ om entum $p$, to which the struck quark belongs, divided by the nucleon $m$ ass, $M$ [35].

The functions $G_{?}^{3 ; N}()$ and $F^{3 ; N}()$ are the transverse spin-dependent and the spinindependent light-cone $m$ om entum distributions of the nucleon $N$ in ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$, respectively, dened as

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{?}^{3 ; N}()={ }^{z} d E^{z} d p \frac{p^{2} p^{+}}{p_{0}} P_{?}^{N}(p ; E) \quad \frac{p_{\overline{2} p^{+}}!}{M} ; \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{3 ; N}()={ }^{z} d E^{z} d p \frac{p}{\overline{2} p^{+}} \frac{p^{2}}{p_{0}} P^{N}(p ; E) \quad \frac{p^{+}}{M}: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

B oth these quantities depend on the nuclear structure, being the functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{?}^{N}(\rho ; E)=P_{\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} ; \frac{1}{2}}^{N}(p ; E) \quad P^{N_{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{1}{2} ; \frac{1}{2}(\rho ; E) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{N}}(\rho ; \mathrm{E})=\mathrm{P}_{\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} ; \frac{1}{2}}^{\mathrm{N}}(\rho ; \mathrm{E})+\mathrm{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\mathrm{N}} \frac{1}{2} ; \frac{1}{2}(\rho ; \mathrm{E}) ; \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

de ned in term s of the com ponents of the spin-dependent spectral function of the nucleon N in the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ e nucleus, rstly de ned in 16]:
 an eigenstate of the (A 1) nucleon system interacting with the sam e two-body potential of the target nucleus, and $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{v}}$ the plane wave state for the nucleon N w th the spin projection along the $x$-axis equal to $x$. From Eqs. (14)-(16) it is clear that, in the extrem $e$ non-relativistic $(\mathbb{N} R) \lim$ it, i.e. w ith nucleons at rest, $\quad 1$ and no nucleare ects are found. The size of the leading nucleare ects discussed here is of the order jpm .

O ne should notige that, in this fram ew ork, the probability to nd a transversely polarized nucleon in a transversely polarized nucleus is the sam e of that of nding a longitudinally polarized nucleon in a longitudinally polarized nucleus, since the only possible treatm ent of the nuclear system is NR. It tums out therefore that the transverse and longitudinal spin dependent light-cone $m$ om entum distributions are equal and that the results obtained for the latter can be used also for the rst quantity, the one of interest here. In other words,

fram ew ork (for the identity of the helicity and transversity distributions in a N R fram ew ork see, e.g., R ef [17,18]).

The $m$ ain lines of the form al derivation of Eqs. (9) and (10) will be now discussed. It is a generalization of the standard procedure for obtaining the nuclear parton distributions of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ in D IS. In particular, the derivation of the expression in the num erator of Eq. (9) and (10) generalizes the one of the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ e helicity distribution, to be found in [12, 19, 20], while that of the denom inator generalizes the IA. derivation of the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ e unpolarized parton distribution, which can be found, e.g., in $R$ ef. [21]. W ith respect to the cited papers, the di erence here is the presence of the fragm entation functions and of the $k_{T}$-dependence in the distribution functions in the SID IS cross-sections. Let us start from the general expressions Eqs. (7) and (8). In order to evaluate them for a generic target A, one has to estim ate therefore three $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{T}}$-dependent parton distributions, $\mathrm{f}_{1}^{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{A}} ; \mathrm{h}_{1}^{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{A}} ; \mathrm{f}_{1 \mathrm{~T}}^{? \mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{A}}$, and two $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{T}}-$ dependent fragm entation functions, $D_{1}^{q ; A ; h} ; H_{1}^{? ~ q ; A ; h}$. Let us discuss how to obtain the nuclear $e$ ects in IA. for one of the $k_{T}$-dependent parton distributions and one of the $k_{T}$-dependent fragm entation functions.

A s an exam ple, let us consider the parton distribution $f_{1}^{q ; A}$, whose de nition reads (see, e.g., [1]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}^{q ; A}\left(x ; k_{T}^{2}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{Z}}{2(2)_{T}^{3}} e^{i\left(x P^{+}\right.} \quad \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~T}^{\prime} h \mathrm{hP} j(0 ; 0 ; 0) \quad+\quad(0 ; \quad ; \quad \mathrm{T}) \mathcal{P} i ; \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

w here the light-cone target states $f P$ i are norm alized according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
h P^{0} \mathrm{P} i=(2)^{3} 2 \mathrm{P}^{+}\left(\mathrm{P}^{0+} \mathrm{P}^{+}\right)^{2}\left(\mathrm{P}_{?} \quad \mathrm{P}_{?}^{0}\right): \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substituting in Eq. (20) the standard expansion ofthe quark eld, writing for sim plicity the quark degrees of freedom only, one gets:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.f_{1}^{q ; A}\left(x ; k_{T}^{2}\right)=\frac{Z}{2(2)^{3}} e^{i\left(x P^{+}\right.} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{T}} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{T}}\right) \\
& \left.\frac{Z}{2 k^{0+}(2)^{3}} h P \hat{\rho O} e^{i\left(k^{0+}\right.} \quad k_{T}^{0}{ }^{T}\right) \mathcal{P} i \\
& =\frac{1}{2 P^{+}}{ }^{Z} \frac{d k^{O+} d k_{T}^{0}}{2 k^{0+}(2)^{3}} h P \hat{D^{\prime}} \not P i \quad x \quad \frac{k^{O+}}{P^{+}}{ }^{2}\left(k_{T}^{0} \quad k_{T}\right) \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\hat{O}$ is the quark operator:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{O}={\left.\underset{r}{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{r}}\left(\mathrm{k}^{0+} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{T}}^{0}\right) \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{y}}\left(\mathrm{k}^{0+} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{T}}^{0}\right)^{+} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{r}}\left(\mathrm{k}^{0+} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{T}}^{0}\right) \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{r}}\left(\mathrm{k}^{0+} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{T}}^{0}\right)\right)}^{0} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the creation and annihilation operators, $\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{k})$ and $\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{k})$, obey the com $m$ utation relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{fb}\left(\mathrm{k}^{0}\right) ; \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{g}=(2)^{3} 2 \mathrm{k}^{+} \quad\left(\mathrm{k}^{0+} \quad \mathrm{k}^{+}\right)^{2}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{T}} \quad \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{T}}^{0}\right): \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us think now to a nuclear target with A nucleons. Follow ing a standard procedure, in the above equation tw o com plete sets of states, corresponding to the interacting nucleon in an IA scenario and to the recoiling nuclear system, are properly inserted to the left and right-hand sides of the quark operator:

Since later the nuclearm atrix elem ents have to be evaluated by $m$ eans ofN $R$ wave functions, the inserted states are norm alized in a NR m anner:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \mathbb{P}^{0}{ }_{F} \mathrm{P} i=(2)^{3}{ }^{3}\left(\mathbb{P}^{0} \mathrm{P}\right) ; \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that one has $\mathrm{P} i=\mathrm{P} \overline{2 \mathrm{P}_{0}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{i}}$ (cf. Eq. [21) ) [22]. D ue to this norm alization and since, using IA and translational invariance,

Eq. (25) can be rew ritten:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}^{q ; A}\left(x ; k_{T}^{2}\right)={\frac{P_{0}}{P^{+}}}^{Z} d \rho{ }_{S_{R} ; s}^{x} h \widetilde{P_{R}} S_{R} ; \rho \operatorname{sih} \Psi_{R} S_{R} ; \rho \operatorname{pr} i \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

In the nuclear rest fram e (RF), where $M_{A}=P_{0}={ }^{P} \overline{2} P^{+}$, introducing the $B$ jonken variable $x_{B}=\frac{Q^{2}}{2 M}=\frac{M_{A}}{M} x$, and using the de nition of , Eq. (14), one of the functions in the above equation can be written:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x} \quad \frac{\mathrm{k}^{0+}}{\mathrm{P}^{+}}=\frac{1}{-} \quad \frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{k}^{\circ+}}{ }^{!}=\frac{1}{-} \frac{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{A}}}{\mathrm{M}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{B}}}{} \quad \frac{\mathrm{k}^{0+}}{\mathrm{p}^{+}} \text {; } \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that Eq. (28), using the de nition of the unpolarized nuclear spectral function, Eq. (18), and the expression of $f_{1}^{q ; A}\left(x ; k_{T}^{2}\right)$ for a generic A target, Eq. (22) , becom es:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}^{q ; A}\left(x ; k_{T}^{2}\right)={\frac{M_{A}}{M}}_{N}^{x^{z}} d E \quad d \rho P^{N}(\rho ; E) \frac{{ }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{2} p^{+}}{p_{0}} \underline{1}_{f_{1}^{q ; N}} \quad \frac{x_{B}}{} ; k_{T}^{2} \quad: \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (30) gives the $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{T}}$-dependent nuclear parton distribution in a convolution-like form, in term s of the nuclear spectral function and of the $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{T}}$-dependent parton distribution for the intemal, $m$ oving nucleon $N$. Sim ilar expressions can be obtained for the other two nuclear $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{T}}$-dependent parton distributions, $\mathrm{h}_{1}^{\mathrm{q} A}$ and $\mathrm{f}_{1 \mathrm{~T}}^{? \mathrm{q} / \mathrm{A}}$, appearing in Eqs. (7) and (8).

C onœming the nucleare ects on the fragm entation functions, let us consider the standard unpolarized $\mathrm{k}_{\text {? }}$-dependent one, $\mathrm{D}_{1}^{\mathrm{q}: \mathrm{A} \text { in }}\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{2}\right)$. Since the hadron h is produced by the fragm entation of a quark belonging to a nucleon with fourm om entum $p$ in the nuclear medium, in an IA scenario, where the nucleon structure is not modi ed by the nuclear $m$ edium, $D_{1}^{q ; A ; h}$ is given by the corresponding quantity for the nucleon, $w$ ith $z=\frac{p}{p}{ }_{d}$ ie.e.:

A sim ilar expression can be obtained for the other nuclear $k_{T}$-dependent fragm entation function, $H_{i}^{? q ; A ; h}$, appearing in Eq. (7) .

D ue to the general structure of Eqs. (30) and (31), it is therefore possible to write the nal form ulae for the Sivers and Collins contributions to the single spin asym m etry of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H} e$, in IA, in the nuclear R $F$.:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{U T}^{\text {Sivers }}=\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{T}} \frac{\mathrm{~N}^{\text {Sivers }}}{\sqrt[D]{ }} ; \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith:

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{q} e_{q}^{2}{ }^{z} d^{2}{ }_{T} d^{2} k_{T}{ }^{2}\left(k_{T}+q_{T} \quad{ }_{T}\right) \frac{\hat{h} T_{T}}{M_{h}} \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }_{q}{ }_{q} e_{q}^{2} d^{2}{ }_{T} d^{2} k_{T}{ }^{2}\left(k_{T}+q_{T} \quad{ }_{T}\right) \frac{\hat{h} \quad k_{T}}{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

I note in passing that the term $\frac{{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{p}}^{+}}{\mathrm{p}_{0}}$, appearing in Eqs. (34)- (36), is the so called uxfactor [23, 24], clearly em erging when one goes from eld theoreticalde nitions in light-cone quantization to a NR fram ew ork, in order to use the available NR nuclear wave functions.

Eqs. (34)-(36) show that the Ferm im otion and binding e ects predicted by IA . a ect the longitudinalm om entum in the parton distribution ofthe bound nucleon, and the longitudinal and transverse $m$ om entum in the fragm entation function of a strudk quark belonging to $a$ bound nucleon. The evaluation of Eqs. (32) and (33) is therefore rather involved and the extraction of the quantities of interest from experim ental data looks quite cumbersome. N evertheless, in the kinem atics of the Jab experim ents $\mathrm{E}-06-010$ and $\mathrm{E}-06-011$, everything becom es sim pler. A s a m atter of facts, the chosen kinem atics helps in two di erent ways. $F$ irst of all, to favor pions from current fragm entation, the variable $z$ has been chosen to be around the value of 0.5 , which $m$ eans that, in the kinem atics of the proposed experim ent, only ultra-relativistic pions with an energy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{h}}$ ' $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{\prime} 2: 4 \mathrm{GeV}$ are detected. Secondly, the pions are detected in a narrow cone around the direction of the $m$ om entum transfer $q$. The $m$ axim um value of $h q$, the angle between the directions of the virtual photon and of the
em itted pion is around $12^{\circ}$ [13]. Therefore, also the angle between $\rho$ and $q, p q$, and the one between $p$ and $\tilde{h}$, ph, are very sim ilar. This $m$ akes possible to observe that, in D IS kinem atics ( $\quad$ 'g $\overline{9}$ ):
the last identity holding due to the little di erence between pq and ph. A s a matter of facts, the leading nuclear e ects discussed here, a ecting the nuclear parton distributions, are of the order $O \frac{p}{p_{0}}$, and therefore the $p$-dependence in the argum ent of the fragm entation functions, given by $p=p_{0}$ m ultiplied by a vanishing coe cient, $y$ ields subleading e ects which can be disregarded.

Eventually, inserting Eq. (37) in the Eqs (34) - (36), Eqs. (32) and (33) reduce to Eqs. (9) and (10), which are therefore the expressions to be evaluated in the kinem atics of the Jab experim ents.

A pplying the proposed form alism, the SSA sfor ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ e can be estim ated by calculating Eqs. (9) and (10), i.e. by m odelling the functions $G$ ? ${ }_{\text {? }}^{3 ; N}$ ( ) and $F^{3 ; N}$ ( ), dependent on the nuclear structure, and the ve functions $\mathrm{f}_{1}^{\mathrm{q} ; \mathbb{N}} ; \mathrm{h}_{1}^{\mathrm{q} ; \mathbb{N}} ; \mathrm{f}_{1 \mathrm{~T}}^{? q ; \mathbb{N}} ; \mathrm{D}_{1}^{q ; h} ; \mathrm{H}_{1}^{?}{ }^{q ; h}$, depending on the nucleon structure. The nuclear part can be properly treated by using a realistic nuclear polarized spectral function, Eq. (19), describing the Ferm im otion and binding e ects, which allow s one to evaluate the light-cone $m$ om entum distributions, $G_{?}^{3 ; N}()$ and $F^{3 ; N}()$, according to Eqs. (15) - (18). The nucleon part can be evaluated by using ts of experim ental data, whenever they are available, or results of m odel calculations. The ingredients used in the present analysis will be discussed in the next section.
$N$ ow the $m$ ain issue of extracting the neutron inform ation from the nuclear asym $m$ etries will be addressed. To this aim, in [13, 14], it has been proposed to use a m ethod, the one suggested and justi ed, for the rst time for D IS processes, in [12]. In that paper, it was show $n$ that in polarized D IS nuclear e ects described by IA, i.e., Ferm im otion and binding e ects, are safely taken care of by considering the nucleons with an e ective polarization, safely predictable w th realistic nuclear wave functions [11]. In the present case, the idea leads to approxim ate the experim entalasym $m$ etry for ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}, \mathrm{A}_{3}^{\exp }$, by the follow ing expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{3}^{\exp ; i}, 2 f_{p} p_{p} A_{p}^{i}+f_{n} p_{n} A_{\mathrm{n}}^{i} ; \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{p(n)}$ is the proton (neutron) \dihution factor", the index im eans C ollins or Sivers, $A_{p(M)}^{i}$ is the proton (neutron) asym $m$ etry and the e ective nucleon polarizations are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{p}={ }_{z}^{z}{ }_{z}^{z} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{dpP}{ }_{?}^{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{pE})=0: 028 \text { 0:004; }  \tag{39}\\
& p_{n}=d E \quad d p P_{?}^{n}(\rho E)=0: 86 \quad 0: 02 \text {; } \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

as obtained by averaging $m$ any few -body calculations, available at the tim e ofpublication of Ref. [11] [36]. O ne should notice that, were polarized ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ e a perfect e ective neutron target $w$ ith all the nucleons in $S$ wave, one would nd $p_{n}=1$ and $p_{p}=0$.

Eventually, the dilution factors are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{p(n)}(x ; z)=\frac{P_{q}^{P}{ }_{q} e_{q_{1}^{2}}^{q} f_{1}^{q ; p(n)}(x) D_{1}^{q ; h}(z)}{N_{N=p ; n}^{p}{ }_{q} e_{q}^{2} f_{1}^{q ; N}(x) D_{1}^{q ; h}(z)}: \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hile the approxim ation Eq. (38), theoretically proposed and justi ed in [12], has been widely used in the treatm ent ofD IS data, the possibility of using it also in SID IS deserves a careful analysis, which will be perform ed in the next section. Since now it is worth to stress that, if Eq. (38) were a good approxim ation of reality, it would be possible to use it to extract the neutron A sym $m$ etry according to the follow ing form ula, suggested in [12]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}^{i}, \frac{1}{p_{n} f_{n}} A_{3}^{\exp ; i} \quad 2 p_{p} f_{p} A_{p}^{\exp ; i}: \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

If one uses in Eq. (42) a realistic calculation to sim ulate the experim ental data $A_{3}^{\text {exp;i }}$, and the $m$ odel used for the proton in the calculation to sim ulate the experim ental data for $A_{p}^{e x p ; i}$, if nuclear e ects were safely taken care ofby Eq. 38), one should be able to extract, according to Eq. (42), the neutron asym $m$ etry used as an input for the calculation. In the sam e way, the neutron inform ation would be obtained safely from nuclear and proton data using Eq. (42), if the $m$ ain nuclear structure e ects were properly described by Eq. (38)
IV. RESULTSAND D ISCUSSION

A s stated in the previous section, in order to caloulate the SSA $s$ of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}, \mathrm{Eqs}$. (9) and (10), one nuclear structure ingredient, the polarized spectral function, Eq. (19), providing the light-cone $m$ om entum distributions $G{ }_{?}^{3 ; N}()$ and $F^{3 ; N}()$, and ve nucleon structure ingredients, the functions $\mathrm{f}_{1}^{\mathrm{q} ; \mathbb{N}} ; \mathrm{h}_{1}^{\mathrm{q} ; \mathbb{N}} ; \mathrm{f}_{1 \mathrm{~T}}^{? \mathrm{q} ; \mathbb{N}} ; \mathrm{D}_{1}^{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{h}} ; \mathrm{H}_{1}^{?}{ }^{\text {q;h }}$, have to be $m$ odelled.

In the follow ing, the quantities used in the calculation are presented and discussed.
C onceming the nuclear part, the spin-dependent polarized spectral function, Eq. (19), corresponding to the A rgonne V 18 interaction (AV 18, [26]), has been evaluated. This has been done along the line of $R$ ef. [25], using the overlaps calculated in [27] by m eans of a wave function obtained by the $m$ ethod of $R$ ef. [28]. The procedure gives, for the proton and the neutron in ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$, the e ective polarizations $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{p}}=0: 024$ and $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}=0: 878$, respectively, in agreem ent w th the analysis of R ef. [11], E qs. (39) and (40), and only slightly di erent from the predictions of the AV 14 interaction ( $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{p}}=0: 026, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}=0: 873$ [25]), as expected.

C onceming the nucleon, to perform the calculation, the follow ing ve ingredients have been used:

1. for the unpolarized $k_{T}$-dependent parton distribution, $f_{1}^{q ; N}\left(x ; k_{T}{ }^{2}\right)$, use hasbeen $m$ ade of the usual $G$ aussian factorization:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{1}^{\mathrm{q} ; \mathbb{N}}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{T}}{ }^{2}\right)=\mathrm{f}_{1}^{\mathrm{G} ; \mathbb{N}}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{T}}{ }^{2}\right) ; \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith [31]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(k_{T}^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\left\langle{k_{T}}^{2}\right\rangle} e^{k_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}=\left\langle\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}\right\rangle} ; \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\langle\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{T}}{ }^{2}\right\rangle=0.25 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$, and for the standard parton distribution $\mathrm{f}_{1}^{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{x})$ use has been $m$ ade of the param eterization of $R$ ef. [29];
2. for the transversity distribution, $\mathrm{h}_{1}^{\text {q;N }}$, still experim entally poorly know $n$, use has been $m$ ade of the sam e G aussian factorization above, w th the ansatz $h_{1}^{q}(x)=g_{1}^{q}(x)$, i.e., the transversity distribution has been taken to be equal to the standard helicity distribution. This gives certainly the correct order of $m$ agnitude. In particular, the param eterization of $R$ ef. [30] has been used;
3. for the Sivers function, $f_{1 T}^{? q}\left(x ; k_{T}{ }^{2}\right)$ in Eq. (10), use has been $m$ ade of $a$ of the few available data, proposed in Ref. |31];
4. for the unpolarized fragm entation function $D_{1}^{q ; h}\left(z_{;}\left(z_{I}\right)^{2}\right)$, di erent $m$ odels are used for evaluating the Sivers and Collins A sym m etries. For evaluating the Sivers one, use has been $m$ ade of the $G$ aussian factorization:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{1}^{q ; h}\left(z_{;}\left(z_{T}\right)^{2}\right)=D_{1}^{q}(z) G\left[\left(z_{T}\right)^{2}\right] ; \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

with [31]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(p_{T}{ }^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\left\langle p_{T}{ }^{2}\right\rangle} e^{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}=\left\langle\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}{ }^{2}\right\rangle} ; \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\langle\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}{ }^{2}\right\rangle=02 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$, and using for the standard fragm entation function $\mathrm{D}_{1}^{q}(\mathrm{z})$ the param eterization in Ref. [32]. For evaluating the C ollins A sym m etry, use has been $m$ ade of the $m$ odel calculation of ${ }_{1}^{q ; h}\left(z_{;}\left(z_{T}\right)^{2}\right)$ discussed in Ref. [33], in particular, the one perform ed considering pseudoscalar pion-quark coupling, Eq. (6) of that paper. The latter choice hasbeen done for consistency reasons, since the sam e scenario has been used to $m$ odel the $C$ ollins fragm entation function in evaluating the C ollins A sym $m$ etry, as explained in the follow ing point;
5. for the basically unknown Collins fragm entation function, $\mathrm{H}_{1}^{?}{ }^{q}\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{F}}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{2}\right)$, appearing in Eq. (9), use has been $m$ ade of the $m$ odel calculation of $R$ ef. [33], in particular, of the contribution arising from pion loops, considering pseudoscalarpion-quark coupling, Eqs. (8) \{ (12) of that paper.

To $x$ the ideas, results $w$ ill be show $n$ for the production of , although everything can be immediately extended to ${ }^{+}$production.

The results for the SSA s of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ are not very illum inating by them selves, being the calculation strongly dependent on the $m$ odels used as an input for the nucleon transverse spin structure, i.e. on the $m$ odels chosen for the basically unknow $n h_{1}$ distribution, Sivers distribution and Collins fragm entation function. In presenting the results, it is better therefore to em phasize the relevance of nuclear e ects in the extraction of the neutron inform ation. A convenient scheme to illustrate them is the follow ing. O ne could assume that the full calculation, i.e. the evaluation of Eqs. (9) and (10), using the ingredients listed above, represents a set of experim entaldata. The neutron inform ation is then extracted from them by using di erent $m$ odels of the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ spin structure. The obtained result is then com pared to the neutron SSA used as an input in the calculation. T he closer the obtained curves are, the better the proposed extraction procedure works. This would allow to proper take into account Ferm im otion and binding e ects in obtaining the neutron inform ation, without perform ing di cult deconvolutions of the Eqs. (9) and (10), once the data are available. of course this procedure does not take into account e ects beyond Ferm im otion and binding, i.e. beyond $\mathbb{A}$. This schem e is used to present the results in $F$ igs. 2 and 3. The free neutron asym $m$ etry used as a model in the calculation, given by a full line, is com pared with two
curves. O ne is the quantity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}^{i}, \frac{1}{f_{n}} A_{3}^{\exp ; i} ; \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{3}^{\exp ; i}$ is the result of the full calculation, simulating data, and $f_{n}$ is the neutron dilution factor, Eq. (41). Eq. (47) is the relation one would expect to hold betw een the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ and the neutron SSA s if there w ere no nuclear e ects, ie. ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ e w ere a system of free nuleons in a pure $S$ wave. In fact it can be obtained from Eq. (42) by im posing $p_{n}=1$ and $p_{p}=0$. $A_{n}^{i}$ is given by the dotted curve in the gures. The third curve, the dashed one, is obtained by using Eq. (42), i.e. thinking to ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ as a nucleus where the e ects of its com plicated spin structure, leading to a depolarization of the bound neutron, together w ith the ones of Ferm i $m$ otion and binding, can be easily taken care of, according to Eq. (38), by param eterizing the nucleon e ective polarizations of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$. For the latter quantities, in Eq. (42) the values given in Eqs. (39) and (40) from Ref. [11], not the ones obtained by the AV 18 interaction used in the fiull calculation, have been chosen. This is done to take into account the possible error due to the choice of the nucleon-nucleon potential.

In $F$ ig. 2 it is show $n$ the result of the procedure for the $C$ ollins A sym $m$ etry, corresponding to the production of , as a function of $x_{B}$ and for various values of $z$. The values $Q^{2}=2.2$ $\mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{qh}^{2}=10^{\circ}$, central values in the kinem atics of the T JNAF experim ent $\left.\mathbb{1 3}\right]$, have been chosen for the calculation. In the latter experim ent, data will be taken for 0:13 < $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{B}}<0: 41,0: 46<\mathrm{z}<0: 59$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{lab}}=6 \mathrm{GeV}$. It is clear from the gure that the di erence between the fiull and dotted curve, show ing the am ount of nuclear e ects, is sizable, being around $10-15 \%$ for any $x$ and $z$ values in the range relevant for the planned experim ent, while the di erence between the dashed and full curves reduces drastically to a few percent, show ing that the extraction schem e Eq. (42) takes safely into account the spin structure of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$, together w ith Ferm im otion and binding e ects. O ne should rem ember that in the proposed experim ents only the region 0:13 < $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{B}}<0: 41 \mathrm{w}$ ill be actually explored.

In $F$ ig. 3 the same is shown for the Sivers A symmetry, again in the kinem atics of the proposed T JN A F experim ent. In this region, for the Sivers A sym $m$ etry, the sam e conclusions discussed previously for the Collins one hold. I reiterate that one should not take the absolute size of the A sym m etries too seriously, being the obtained neutron Collins and Sivers asym $m$ etry strongly dependent on the $m$ odels chosen for the unknown distribution functions. O ne should instead consider the di erence betw een the curves which are show $n, a$
m odel independent feature which is the m ost relevant outcom e of the present investigation.
From Figs 2 and 3 it is clear therefore that Eq. (42) w ill be a valuable tool for the data analysis of the experim ents [13] and [14].

In principle, e ects beyond $\mathbb{I A}$ could be relevant in the process under scrutiny. Am ong them, shadow ing e ects and nal state interactions (FSI) could play a role. As a matter of facts, som e doubts on the possibility of using safely the extraction procedure Eq. (42) due to shadow ing e ects have arisen 34]. O ne should rem ember anyway that these e ects are supposed to be active for values of B jorken x sm aller than the ones explored by the planned experim ents. From this point of view, problem s should not arise. C onceming FSI, the assum ption that even the outgoing hadron, which can be slow, does not interact further, $m$ akes the use of the $\mathbb{A}$ in $S \mathbb{D}$ IS $m$ ore questionable $w$ th respect to the standard inclusive $D$ IS case. M oreover, since the planned values of $Q^{2}$ are smaller than $3 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$, in principle the e ects of FSI cannot be ignored. O ne should notice anyway that, in the planned experim ents, the energy of the em itted pions is high, being $0: 46<\mathrm{z}<0: 59$, chosen to give E ' 2.4 GeV . The latter observation should $m$ ake rather safe the use of $\mathbb{I A}$ results for the analysys of the $J L a b$ experim ents. M oreover, in the num erator of the asym $m$ etry, Eq. (1), the e ects of any spin-independent interaction should cancel out, in particular for a spinless hadron as the pion. In any case, the analysis of F SI for this com plicated process deserves a dedicated analysis. This work is in progress, together w ith the inclusion in the schem e of other $m$ ore realistic $m$ odels of the nucleon structure, able to predict reasonable gures for the experim ents.
V. CONCLUSIONS
$T$ he recent $m$ easurem ent of the single spin asym $m$ etry for the proton and the deuteron exhibited the im portance of safely accessing the sam equantity for the neutron. As for any polarized neutron observable, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$, due to its peculiar spin structure, is the natural target. Two experim ents, aim ed at m easuring azim uthal asym $m$ etries in the production of
from transversely polarized ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$, have been approved at JLab. It is planned to obtain the neutron inform ation from ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ data, using a procedure that perform s well in the inclusive D IS case, being able to take care of several crucial aspects of nuclear dynam ics, such as the spin structure of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ and the m om entum and energy distributions of bound nucleons.

H ere, the problem whether or not that procedure can be extended to the present SDD IS soenario, involving therefore fragm entation functions and not only parton distributions, has been thoroughly analyzed, in im pulse approxim ation. The general form ulae including the nuclear structure e ects are derived, and evaluated in the speci c JLab kinem atics, using the AV 18 interaction to describe the nuclear structure and param eterizations of data or suitable $m$ odel calculations to describe the nucleon part. The initial transverse $m$ om entum of the struck quark has been properly included in the calculation. It is found that, in the kinem atics of the proposed experim ents, Ferm im otion and binding e ects can be safely taken care ofby a sim ple extraction procedure, where the only nuclear structure ingredients are the nucleon e ective polarizations, quantities known from precise few body calculations in a rather model independent way. The possible role of nal state interactions, and the evaluation of the quantities of interest by $m$ eans of realistic $m$ odels of the nucleon, to get gures for the planned experim ents, have been addressed and are under investigation.
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## Figure C aptions

Fig. 1: De nition of the azim uthal angles for the process A " $(\mathrm{e} ; \mathrm{e} \mathrm{h}) \mathrm{X}$, in the rest fram e of the target A, according to the \Trento convention" (after Ref. [15]).

Fig. 2: The m odel neutron Collins asym m etry for the production of (fill), and the one extracted from the full calculation according to Eq. (42) (dashed) and Eq. (47) (dotted), for $z=0: 3 ; 0: 45 ; 0: 6$, in panels (a), (b), (c), respectively, for $Q^{2}=2: 2 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$.

Fig. 3: The sam e as in Fig. 2, but for the Sivers asym m etry.
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