On mass lim its for leptoquarks from K_{L}^{0} ! e ; B^{0} ! e decays

A.D.Sm imov^a ^a Yaroslavl State University Yaroslavl, Russia

Abstract

The contributions of the scalar leptoquark doublets into widths of the K $_{\rm L}^0$! e , B 0 ! e decays are calculated in M Q LS model with H iggs mechanism of the quark-lepton m ass splitting. The resulted m ass lim its for the scalar leptoquarks are investigated in comparision with those for vector and chiral gauge leptoquarks. It is shown that the scalar leptoquark m ass lim its are essentially weaker (about 200 G eV) than those (a few hundreds T eV) for gauge leptoquarks. The search for such scalar leptoquark doublets at LHC and the search for the leptonic decays B 0 ! l_1^+ l_1 are of interest.

The search for a new physics beyond the Standard M odel (SM) is now one of the aim s of the high energy physics. One of the possible variants of such new physics can be the variant induced by the possible four color symmetry [1] between quarks and leptons. The four color symmetry can be unied with the SM by the gauge group

$$G_{new} = G_{c} \quad SU_{L} (2) \quad U_{R} (1)$$
 (1)

where as the four color group G_c can be the vectorlike group [1, 2, 3]

$$G_{c} = SU_{V} (4) \tag{2}$$

the general group of the chiral four color sym m etry

$$G_{c} = SU_{L} (4) \quad SU_{R} (4)$$
 (3)

or one of the special groups

$$G_{c} = SU_{L}(4) \quad SU_{R}(3); \quad G_{c} = SU_{L}(3) \quad SU_{R}(4)$$
 (4)

of the left or right four color symmetry. A coording to these groups the four color symmetry predicts the vector gauge leptoquarks the left and right gauge leptoquarks and the left (or right) gauge leptoquarks respectively. The most stringent mass limits the vector leptoquarks are resulted from K_L^0 ! e decay and are known to be of order of 10^3 TeV [4, 5, 6]. The four color symmetry allows also the existence of scalar leptoquarks and such particles have been phenom enologically introduced in ref. [7] and have been discussed in a number of papers. The experimental lower mass limits for the scalar leptoquarks from their direct search depend on additional assumptions and are about 250 G eV or slightly less [8].

It should be noted that in the case of H iggs mechanism of the quark-lepton m ass splitting the four color symmetry predicts [2, 3, 9] the scalar leptoquarks

$$S_{a}^{()} = \begin{array}{c} S_{1}^{()} \\ S_{2}^{()} \end{array};$$
 (5)

e-m ail: asm imov@ univ.uniyar.ac.ru

with doublet structure under electrow eak group SU $(2)_L$. Due to their Higgs origin these scalar leptoquark doublets interact with the ferm ions with coupling constants which are proportional to the ratios m_f = of the ferm ion m asses m_f to the SM VEV . As a result these coupling constants are sm all for the ordinary u;d;s quarks (m_u = m_d = 10⁵;m_s = 10³) but they are more signi cant for c;b quarks and, especially, for t-quark (m_c = m_b = 10²; m_t = 0.7).

The analysis of the contributions of these scalar leptoquark doublets into rediative corrections S ;T ;U parameters showed [10, 11] that these scalar leptoquarks can be relatively light, with m asses below 1 TeV. It is interesting now to know what are the m ass limits for these scalar leptoquarks which are resulted from K_{L}^{0} ! e decay and from other decays of such type.

In this talk I discuss the mass lim its for the scalar leptoquark doublets which are resulted from K $_{\rm L}^{0}$! e ; B 0 ! e decays in comparision with the corresponding mass lim its for the vector leptoquarks in frame of MQLS - model and with those for the chiral gauge leptoquarks.

The interaction of the gauge and scalar leptoquarks with down ferm ions can be described in the model independent way by the lagrangians

$$L_{V dl} = (d_{p} [(g_{k}^{L})_{pi} P_{L} + (g_{k}^{R})_{pi} P_{R}]l_{i})V^{k} + h c;;$$
(6)

$$L_{Sdl} = (d_{p} [(h_{m}^{L})_{pi}P_{L} + (h_{m}^{R})_{pi}P_{R}]]_{i})S_{m} + h x;$$
(7)

where $(g_k^{L,R})_{pi}$ and $(h_m^{L,R})_{pi}$ are the phenom enological coupling constants, p; i = 1; 2; 3; ... are the quark and lepton generation idexes, the indexes k; m num erate the gauge and scalar leptoquarks, = 1; 2; 3 is the SU (3) color index and P_{L,R} = $(1 \ _5)=2$ are the left and right operators of ferm ions.

The MQLS -m odel (with V¹ V, V is the vector leptoquark of the m odel) gives for the phenom enological coupling constants in (6), (7) the expressions

$$(g_{1}^{L,R})_{pi} = \frac{g_{4}}{p} (K_{2}^{L,R})_{pi};$$
 (8)

$$(h_{m}^{L\,;R})_{pi} = (h_{2m}^{L\,;R})_{pi} = h_{pi}^{L\,;R} c_{m}^{()};$$
(9)

where $g_4 = g_{st} (M_c)$ is the SU_V (4) gauge coupling constant related to the strong coupling constant at the mass scale M_c of the SU_V (4) sym m etry breaking and

$$h_{pi}^{L,R} = \int_{-\infty}^{p} \frac{1}{3=2} \frac{1}{\sin} \int_{-\infty}^{h} m_{dp} (K_{2}^{L,R})_{pi} (K_{2}^{R,L})_{pi} m_{l_{i}}; \qquad (10)$$

is the SM VEV, is the two Higgs doublet mixing angle of the model, m $_{d_p}$; m $_{l_i}$ are the quark and lepton masses and $c_m^{()}$ are the scalar leptoquark mixing parameters enterring in superpositions

$$S_{2}^{(+)} = \sum_{m=0}^{X^{3}} c_{m}^{(+)} S_{m}; \qquad S_{2}^{(-)} = \sum_{m=0}^{X^{3}} c_{m}^{(-)} S_{m}$$
(11)

of three physical scalar leptoquarks S_1 , S_2 , S_3 with electric charge 2/3 and a sm all admixture of the G oldstone m ode S_0 (in general case the scalar leptoquarks $S_2^{(+)}$ and $S_2^{(-)}$ with electric charge 2/3 are m ixed and can be written as superpositions (11)). The m atricies K $_a^{L,R}$; a = 1;2 describe the (down for a = 2) ferm ion m ixing in the leptoquark currents and in general case they can be nondiagonal.

In particular case of the two leptoquark m ixing the superpositions (11) can be approximately written as

$$S_{2}^{(+)} = cS_{1} + sS_{2};$$

 $S_{2}^{(-)} = sS_{1} + cS_{2}$ (12)

where $c = \cos s = \sin s$ is the scalar leptoquark m ixing angle.

Om itting the details of calculations we present the nal expressions for the widths of the K $_{\rm L}^0$! e; B 0 ! e decays with account of the contributions of the vector leptoquark V and of the scalar leptoquarks S₁;S₂ with mixing (12) in MQLS -m odel for K $_2^{\rm L}$ = K $_2^{\rm R}$ = I

$$(K_{\rm L}^{0} ! e) = \frac{m_{\rm K} \circ f_{\rm K}^{2} \circ}{64} 1 \frac{m^{2}}{m_{\rm K_{\rm L}}^{2}} \frac{4}{m_{\rm V}^{2}} (\dot{m}_{\rm K} \circ + m = 2)$$

$$\frac{h_{1}h_{2}}{2} m h_{\rm m_{\rm S}^{2}}^{1} \dot{m}_{\rm K}^{1} \circ cs (\frac{1}{m_{\rm S_{1}}^{2}} \frac{1}{m_{\rm S_{2}}^{2}}) + L \$ R ; \qquad (13)$$

$$(B^{0}! e) = \frac{m_{B^{0}}f_{B^{0}}^{2}}{32} 1 \frac{m^{2}}{m_{B^{0}}^{2}} \left(\frac{4}{m_{V}^{2}}(m_{B^{0}}^{2}+m_{E}^{2})\right)$$

$$\frac{h_{1}h_{3}}{2} m h_{M^{2}_{S}}^{1} i^{L} m_{B^{0}}^{2} cs \left(\frac{1}{m_{S_{1}}^{2}} - \frac{1}{m_{S_{2}}^{2}}\right) + L \$ R ; \qquad (14)$$

where

$$h_{p} = \frac{p_{a}}{3=2} \frac{1}{\sin} (m_{d_{p}} m_{l_{p}}); \qquad (15)$$

$$h\frac{1}{m_{S}^{2}}i^{L} = \frac{s^{2}}{m_{S_{1}}^{2}} + \frac{c^{2}}{m_{S_{2}}^{2}}; \quad h\frac{1}{m_{S}^{2}}i^{R} = \frac{c^{2}}{m_{S_{1}}^{2}} + \frac{s^{2}}{m_{S_{2}}^{2}}; \quad (16)$$

$$\oint_{K_{0}} = m_{K_{0}}^{2} = (m_{s} + m_{d}); \quad \oint_{B_{0}} = m_{B_{0}}^{2} = (m_{b} + m_{d});$$
 (17)

the form factor $f_K \circ \mbox{ is de ned as }$

h0;
$${}^{5}dK^{0}(p)i=if_{K^{0}}p;$$
 h0; ${}^{5}dK^{0}(p)i=if_{K^{0}}f_{K^{0}};$

p is 4-m on entum of the decaying m expon (the same de nition has been used for f_{B^0}) and the relations $K^0 = (sd)$, $K_L^0 = (sd \ ds) = 2$, $B^0 = (bd)$ have been taken into account.

In formulae (13), (14) we imply that

$$(K_{\rm L}^{0} ! e) = (K_{\rm L}^{0} ! e^{+}) + (K_{\rm L}^{0} ! e^{+}) = 2 (K_{\rm L}^{0} ! e^{+});$$

$$(B^{0} ! e) = (B^{0} ! e^{+}) + (B^{0} ! e^{+}) = 2 (B^{0} ! e^{+}):$$

It is interesting to note that the contributions of the scalar leptoquarks in (13), (14) can have the opposite sign relatively to vector leptoquark contribution, so that the destructive interference of these contributions can take place in the decays under consideration. The m agnitude of the scalar leptoquark contributions depends on the scalar leptoquark m asses on the m asses of quarks and leptons and on the scalar leptoquark m ixing angle in (12).

The interaction of the chiral gauge leptoquarks with ferm ions can be obtained from (6) by believing

$$(g_{1}^{L})_{pi} = \frac{g_{4}^{L}}{p} (K_{2}^{L})_{pi}; (g_{1}^{R})_{pi} = 0;$$
 (18)

$$(g_2^{\rm L})_{\rm pi} = 0; \quad (g_2^{\rm R})_{\rm pi} = \frac{g_4^{\rm R}}{p} (K_2^{\rm R})_{\rm pi}$$
 (19)

and takes the form

$$L_{V dl} = \frac{g_4^L}{P} (d_p [(K_2^L)_{pi} P_L]]_i) V^L + \frac{g_4^R}{P} (d_p [(K_2^R)_{pi} P_R]]_i) V^R + h \varepsilon;; \qquad (20)$$

where g_4^L , g_4^R are the gauge coupling constants of the group (3) or (4) which are related to strong coupling constant by the equation

$$q - \frac{q}{(g_4^L)^2 + (g_4^R)^2} = g_{st};$$
(21)

As a result of calculations we have obtained the widths of the K $_{L}^{0}$! e; B 0 ! e decays with account of the contributions of the chiral gauge leptoquarks V L ; V R in the form

$$(K_{\rm L}^{0} ! e) = \frac{m_{\rm K} \circ f_{\rm K}^{2} \circ m^{2}}{64} \quad 1 \quad \frac{m^{2}}{m_{\rm K}^{2} \circ} \quad \frac{(g_{\rm L}^{\rm L})^{4}}{4m_{\rm V^{\rm L}}^{4}} j_{21}^{0} j_{21}^{2} + L \ \ R \ ; \qquad (22)$$

$$(B^{0} ! e) = \frac{m_{B^{0}} f_{B^{0}}^{2} m^{2}}{32} 1 \frac{m^{2}}{m_{B^{0}}^{2}} \frac{(g_{4}^{L})^{4}}{4m_{VL}^{2}} \dot{k}_{31}^{L} \dot{j}^{2} + L \$ R ; \qquad (23)$$

where the param eters

$$k_{ij}^{(L,R)} = (K_{2}^{L,R})_{3i} (K_{2}^{L,R})_{1j}$$
(25)

account the e ects of the ferm ion m ixing in the leptoquark currents.

We have numerically analysed the widths (13), (14), (22), (23) in dependence on the keptoquark masses with varying the values of the quark masses in the scalar keptoquark coupling constants and in (17)). The gauge coupling constants we relate to $g_{st} (M_{pc}) = 1000 \text{ TeV}$, in the case of chiral gauge keptoquarks we assume also that $g_4^L = g_4^R (= 2g_{st})$. For simplicity we assume K $_2^L = K_2^R = I$ and for de niteness we believe sin = 0.7: We use the values of the form factors $f_{K^0} = 160M \text{ eV}$; $f_{B^0} = 170M \text{ eV}$ and the life times [8] of K $_L^0$ and B 0 m esons.



Figure 1: Branching ratio of K_{L}^{0} ! e decay in dependence on the mass m_{SL} of the chiral scalar leptoquark S^L for 1)m_d = 11M eV; m_s = 175M eV; 2)m_d = 14M eV; m_s = 230M eV; 3)m_d = 22M eV; m_s = 350M eV:

Fig.1 shows the branching ratio of K $_{\rm L}^0$! e decay in dependence on the mass m $_{\rm S^L}$ of the chiral scalar leptoquark S $^{\rm L}$. The horizontal dashed line shows the experimental upper limit B r (K $_{\rm L}^0$! e) < 4:7 10¹² [8]. The curves 1, 2 correspond to quark masses

$$m_d = 11M \text{ eV}; m_s = 175M \text{ eV};$$
 (26)

$$n_d = 14M \text{ eV}; m_s = 230M \text{ eV}$$
 (27)

which are taken at the mass scale = 1 G eV and (approximately) at = 750 M eV respectively. Strictly speeking one should to use the quark masses at the mass of K_{L}^{0} -m eson but this is a nonpertubative region and we can not calculate them. Nevertheless one can hope that the quark masses at m_{KL}⁰ are larger that those at 750 M eV and, hence the contribution of the scalar leptoquark is also larger. To illustrate how such contribution could a ect the branching ratio of K_{L}^{0} ! e decay we present also the curve 3 for the quark masses

$$m_d = 22M \text{ eV}; m_s = 350M \text{ eV}$$
 (28)

which are chosen twice as large as those at = 1 GeV. As seen in all three cases the lowerm ass lim its for the chiral scalar leptoquark are small, below the mass lim its from the direct search for scalar leptoquarks. The contributions of the leptoquarks of the scalar or pseudoscalar types are slightly larger but the corresponding mass lim its are still below the direct scalar leptoquark mass lim its.



Figure 2: Branching ratio of K_{L}^{0} ! e decay in dependence on the mass m_V of the vector leptoquark V for the quark masses m_d = 11M eV; m_s = 175M eV (curve 1), m_d = 14M eV; m_s = 230M eV (curve 2), m_d = 22M eV; m_s = 350M eV (curve 3) for the case a) with neglect of the scalar leptoquark contribution and for the case b) with account of the pseudoscalar leptoquark contribution and on the chiral gauge leptoquark mass m_V (curve 4a).

The branching ratios of K $_{\rm L}^0$! e decay as the functions of the masses m $_{\rm V}$; m $_{\rm V^L}$ of the vector and chiral gauge leptoquarks V; V $^{\rm L}$ are shown in Fig.2. The curves 1, 2, 3 correspond to the quark masses (26), (27), (28) respectively for the case a) when only the vector leptoquark V is taken into account with neglecting the scalar leptoquarks and for the case b) with account of both the vector leptoquark V and the pseudoscalar leptoquark S $^{\rm P}$ with m $_{\rm S^P}$ = 250 G eV. For the case 1a) the lower vector leptoquark mass limit is of order of m $_{\rm V}$ > 1100 T eV.

As seen, in these cases (due to the destructive interference of the pseudoscalar and vector leptoquark contributions and due to the mass dependence in (17)) the lower mass lim it for the vector leptoquark can be decreased to about $m_V > 800 \, \text{TeV}$ or slightly below in dependence on the quark masses. The curve 4a) corresponds to case when only the chiral gauge leptoquark V^L is taken into account. The lower mass lim it for the chiral gauge leptoquark in this case is of order of $m_{V^L} > 260 \, \text{TeV}$. One can hope that the account of the scalar leptoquarks can result in further decreasing the mass lim it for the chiral gauge leptoquark.

As concerns the B⁰ ! e decay the current experimental limit on its branching ratio Br(B⁰ ! e) < 5:3 10⁴ [8] gives the relatively weak limits on the leptoquark masses. For example the lower mass limits from B⁰ ! e decay for the scalar leptoquarks are only of order of a few GeV, i.e. they lie essentially below the mass limit from the direct search for scalar leptoquarks. The mass limits from B⁰ ! e decay for the gauge leptoquarks are also weaker than those from K⁰_L ! e decay nevertheless they are of interest as the new independent on K⁰_L ! e decay mass limits.





The Fig.3 showes the branching ratios of B⁰ ! e decay as the functions of the m asses $m_V; m_{V^L}$ of the vector and chiral gauge leptoquarks V; V^L. The curve 1 corresponds to the case when only the vector leptoquark V is taken into account with neglecting the scalar leptoquarks. In this case the lower m ass limit for the vector leptoquark is of order of $m_V > 3.4 \, \text{TeV}$. The curve 2 corresponds to the case when only the chiral gauge leptoquark V^L is taken into account. The lower m ass limit for the chiral gauge leptoquark in this case is of order of $m_{V^L} > 1.6 \, \text{TeV}$. Because the contributions into B⁰ ! e decay from the scalar leptoquarks with m asses allowed by their direct search are small the interference of the scalar and gauge leptoquark contributions in the B⁰ ! e decay is negligible.

In conclusion one can say that the mass lim its for the scalar leptoquark doublets from the current experimental bounds on the branching ratios of K $_{\rm L}^0$! e and B 0 ! e decays (in contrast to the corresponding mass lim its for the gauge leptoquarks) occur to be small, of order of or below the mass lim its from the direct search for scalar leptoquarks. The search for these scalar leptoquarks at LHC as well the further search for the B 0 leptonic decays of B 0 ! $l_1^+ l_2$ type are of interest.

The author is grateful to Organizing Comm ittee of the International Sem inar "Quarks-2006"

for possibility to participate in this Sem inar. The work was partially supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research under the grant N o 04-02-16517-a.

References

- [1] J.C. Pati, A. Salam, Phys. Rev. D 10 (1974) 275.
- [2] A D. Sm imov, Phys. Lett. B 346 (1995) 297.
- [3] A D. Sm imov, Yad. Fiz. 58 (1995) 2252;
 Phys. At. Nucl. 58 (1995) 2137.
- [4] G.Valencia, S.W illenbrock, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 6843.
- [5] A.V.Kuznetsov, N.V.M ikheev, Phys. Lett. B 329 (1994) 295
- [6] A.V.Kuznetsov, N.V.M ikheev Yad. Fiz. 58 (1995) 2228; Phys. At. Nucl. 64 (2001) 74.
- [7] W .Buchmuller, R.Ruckl, D.W yler Phys. Lett. B191 (1987) 442.
- [8] Particle Data Group, S. Eidelm an et al., Phys. Lett. B 592 (2004) 1.
- [9] A.V. Povarov, A.D. Sm imov, Yad. Fiz. 64 (2001) 78; Phys. At. Nucl. 66 (2001) 74.
- [10] A.D.Smirnov, Phys. Lett. B 513, 237 (2002).
- [11] A.V. Povarov and A.D. Sm imov YaF. 66, No.12, 2259 (2003). (Physics of Atom ic Nuclei 66, No.12, 2208 (2003).)