arXiv:hep-ph/0701014v1 2 Jan 2007

# Constraints on SUSY Seesaw from Leptogenesis and LFV

Frank Deppisch*, Simon Albino* and Reinhold Rückl†

*Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, D-22603 Hamburg, Germany
†Institut für Theor. Physik und Astrophysik, Universität Würzburg, D-97074 Würzburg, Germany

**Abstract.** We study constraints on the fundamental parameters of supersymmetric type I seesaw models imposed by neutrino data, charged lepton flavor violation, thermal leptogenesis and perturbativity of the neutrino Yukawa couplings.

**Keywords:** SUSY, Seesaw, mSUGRA, LFV, Leptogenesis
**PACS:** 11.30.Hv, 12.60.Jv, 14.60.St

## SUSY SEESAW MODEL AND SLEPTON MASS MATRIX

The seesaw mechanism involving heavy right-handed Majorana neutrinos can naturally explain the smallness of the neutrino masses. A consequence in supersymmetric extensions of the standard model, which may be tested by experiment, is the violation of lepton flavor conservation (LFV). In addition, heavy Majorana neutrinos violate lepton number which via leptogenesis may give rise to the observed baryon-antibaryon asymmetry of the universe.

If three right-handed neutrino singlet fields $\nu_R$ are added to the MSSM particle content, one gets additional terms in the superpotential,

$$W_\nu = -\frac{1}{2}\nu_R^{cT} M \nu_R^c + \nu_R^{cT} Y_\nu L \cdot H_2, \tag{1}$$

where $L$ and $H_2$ denote the left-handed lepton and hypercharge +1/2 Higgs doublets, respectively, $Y_\nu$ is the matrix of neutrino Yukawa couplings and $M$ is the neutrino Majorana mass matrix. If the Majorana mass scale is much greater than the electroweak scale, and thus much greater than the scale of the Dirac mass matrix $m_D = Y_\nu \langle H_2^0 \rangle$, $\langle H_2^0 \rangle = v \sin\beta$ being the appropriate Higgs v.e.v. with $v = 174$ GeV and $\tan\beta = \langle H_2^0 \rangle / \langle H_1^0 \rangle$), the resulting mass matrix $M_\nu$ for the light neutrinos is given by

$$M_\nu = m_D^T M^{-1} m_D = Y_\nu^T M^{-1} Y_\nu (v \sin\beta)^2. \tag{2}$$

This matrix is diagonalized by a unitary transformation,

$$U_{MNS}^T M_\nu U_{MNS} = \mathrm{diag}(m_1, m_2, m_3), \tag{3}$$

leading to the physical mass eigenvalues $m_i$. The remaining neutrino mass eigenstates are too heavy to be observed directly. However through virtual corrections they induce

small off-diagonal terms in the renormalized MSSM slepton mass matrix,

$$m_{\tilde{l}}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} m_L^2 & (m_{LR}^2)^\dagger \\ m_{LR}^2 & m_R^2 \end{pmatrix}_{\rm MSSM} + \begin{pmatrix} \delta m_L^2 & (\delta m_{LR}^2)^\dagger \\ \delta m_{LR}^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4}$$

which may give rise to observable LFV processes. In the minimal supergravity scheme (mSUGRA) and in leading logarithmic approximation, these corrections read [1]

$$\delta m_L^2 = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2}(3m_0^2 + A_0^2)(Y_\nu^\dagger L Y_\nu), \qquad \delta m_{LR}^2 = -\frac{3A_0 v\cos\beta}{16\pi^2}(Y_l Y_\nu^\dagger L Y_\nu), \tag{5}$$

where $L_{ij} = \ln(M_{GUT}/M_i)\delta_{ij}$, $M_i$ being the heavy neutrino mass eigenvalues, and $m_0$ and $A_0$ are the common scalar mass and trilinear coupling, respectively, at the unification scale $M_{GUT}$. The product $Y_\nu^\dagger L Y_\nu$ entering these corrections can be determined by making use of the result [2]

$$Y_\nu = \frac{1}{v\sin\beta}{\rm diag}(\sqrt{M_1},\sqrt{M_2},\sqrt{M_3})\cdot R\cdot{\rm diag}(\sqrt{m_1},\sqrt{m_2},\sqrt{m_3})\cdot U_{MNS}^\dagger, \tag{6}$$

following from (2) and (3). The unknown complex orthogonal matrix $R$ appearing in (6) can be parametrized in terms of 3 complex angles $\theta_i = x_i + iy_i$. Using neutrino data as input, $Y_\nu$, respectively $Y_\nu^\dagger L Y_\nu$, is to be evolved from the electroweak to the GUT scale.

## CHARGED LEPTON FLAVOR VIOLATION

For a first brief discussion, we assume the heavy Majorana neutrinos to be degenerate in mass, $M_i = M_R$, and the matrix $R$ to be real, $y_i = 0$. In this case, $R$ drops out from the product $Y_\nu^\dagger L Y_\nu$ in (5).

In the presence of the flavor off-diagonal terms (5) in the slepton mass matrix, virtual slepton exchange in loops induces LFV radiative decays $l_i \to l_j\gamma$. To lowest order in $(\delta m_L)_{ij}^2$ one has [1, 2]

$$\Gamma(l_i \to l_j\gamma) \propto \alpha^3 m_{l_i}^5 \frac{|(\delta m_L^2)_{ij}|^2}{\tilde{m}^8}\tan^2\beta, \tag{7}$$

where $\tilde{m}$ characterizes the typical sparticle masses in the loop. Another feasible test of LFV is provided by the linear collider processes $e^+e^- \to \tilde{l}_a^-\tilde{l}_b^+ \to l_i^- l_j^+ + 2\tilde{\chi}_1^0$. Analogously to (7), one finds the approximate cross section [3]

$$\sigma(e^+e^- \to l_i^- l_j^+ + 2\tilde{\chi}_1^0) \approx \frac{|(\delta m_L^2)_{ij}|^2}{m_{\tilde{l}}^2\Gamma_{\tilde{l}}^2}\sigma(e^+e^- \to l_i^- l_i^+ + 2\tilde{\chi}_1^0) \tag{8}$$

in the limit of small slepton mass corrections.

The left plot of Fig. 1 displays ${\rm Br}(\mu \to e\gamma)$ and ${\rm Br}(\tau \to \mu\gamma)$ as a function of the Majorana mass $M_R$ [4] in the mSUGRA scenario SPS1a [5]. Also indicated are the current bounds [6] and expected sensitivities of future experiments [3]. As can be seen,





**FIGURE 1.** [left] Br($\tau \to \mu\gamma$) (upper) and Br($\mu \to e\gamma$) (lower) versus $M_R$ in mSUGRA scenario SPS1a [5] for real $R$. The solid (dashed) horizontal lines mark existing bounds (expected sensitivities of future experiments) [3, 6]. [right] Contours of the polarized cross section $\sigma(e^+e^- \to \mu^+e^- + 2\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ (solid) and of Br($\mu \to e\gamma$) (dashed) in the $m_0 - m_{1/2}$ plane. The collider energy is $\sqrt{s_{ee}} = 800$ GeV and the beam polarizations are $P_{e^-} = +0.9, P_{e^+} = +0.7$. The remaining mSUGRA parameters are chosen to be $A_0 = 0$,tan$\beta = 5$ and sign$(\mu) = +$. The neutrino oscillation parameters are fixed at their best fit values [7], the lightest neutrino mass $m_1$ and all complex phases are set to zero, and the degenerate heavy neutrino mass is taken to be $M_R = 10^{14}$ GeV. The shaded (red) regions are forbidden by mass bounds from various experimental sparticle searches.

the existing limit Br($\mu \to e\gamma$) $< 1.2 \times 10^{-11}$ implies the upper bound $M_R < 3 \cdot 10^{14}$ GeV, while Br($\mu \to e\gamma$) $< 10^{-13}$ would probe Majorana masses smaller by roughly one order of magnitude. In comparison, the experimental sensitivity in the channel $\tau \to \mu\gamma$ cannot quite compete with $\mu \to e\gamma$, at least in the scenario considered. On the other hand, Br($\tau \to \mu\gamma$) is less affected by experimental errors in the light neutrino parameters, allowing in principle a more accurate determination of $M_R$ than Br($\mu \to e\gamma$).

The right plot of Fig. 1 shows contours of fixed values of the polarized cross section for $\sigma(e^+e^- \to \mu^+e^- + 2\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ and of Br($\mu \to e\gamma$) in the $m_0 - m_{1/2}$ parameter plane. As expected, for large $m_0$, resulting in relatively heavy sleptons, rare decay experiments are superior probes of LFV, while for sufficiently small $m_0$, linear collider experiments could probe regions of large $m_{1/2}$ not yet excluded by the present bound on Br($\mu \to e\gamma$).

## LEPTOGENESIS

Thermal leptogenesis is an efficient mechanism for generating the observed baryon asymmetry of the universe (see e.g. [8]). As well known, simple realizations of leptogenesis favor a hierarchical spectrum of heavy Majorana neutrinos, $M_1 \ll M_2 \ll M_3$. Out-of-equilibrium decays of the lightest species of the heavy neutrinos result in a lepton asymmetry which is determined by the mass $M_1$, the light neutrino masses and the imaginary parts of the matrix $R$. Later in the evolution of the universe the lepton asymmetry is converted in a baryon asymmetry by sphaleron processes. The condition to reproduce the observed baryon to photon ratio $\eta_B = 6.3 \cdot 10^{-10}$ puts constraints on the parameters





**FIGURE 2.** [left] Values of $(M_1, x_2)$ consistent with the baryon to photon ratio $\eta_B = 6.3 \cdot 10^{-10}$ via leptogenesis. [right] $Br(\mu \to e\gamma)$ vs. $M_3$ for $M_1 = 10^{10}$ GeV ($|\cos\theta_2| \approx 1$) in the mSUGRA scenario SPS1a [5]. The solid (dashed) line indicates the existing bound (expected MEG sensitivity). All other seesaw parameters are scattered in their allowed ranges assuming hierarchical light and heavy neutrinos.

$x_2$ and $x_3$ of the $R$ matrix [9]. This is illustrated in the left plot of Fig. 2 for $x_2$. One sees that for $M_1 < 10^{11}$ GeV, $x_2$ has to approach the values $n\pi$. A similar behavior is found for $x_3$.

As discussed in the previous section for degenerate heavy neutrinos and real $R$, measurements of $\mathrm{Br}(l_i \to l_j\gamma)$ can be used to constrain the heavy neutrino masses. This is illustrated in the right plot of Fig. 2 for $\mu. \to e\gamma$ and $M_3$. As can be seen, the present bound $\mathrm{Br}(\mu \to e\gamma) < 1.2 \times 10^{-11}$ constrains $M_3$ to be smaller than about $10^{13}$ GeV. The sensitivity of the MEG experiment at PSI will set the limit $M_3 < \mathcal{O}(10^{12})$ GeV or provide a first rough determination of $M_3$.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank H. Päs and Y. Shimizu for collaboration. This work was supported by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) under contract 05HT6WWA.

## REFERENCES

1. J. Hisano and D. Nomura, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 116005 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9810479].
2. J. A. Casas and A. Ibarra, Nucl. Phys. B **618**, 171 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0103065].
3. F. Deppisch, H. Päs, A. Redelbach, R. Rückl and Y. Shimizu, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 054014 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0310053].
4. F. Deppisch, H. Päs, A. Redelbach, R. Rückl and Y. Shimizu, Eur. Phys. J. C **28**, 365 (2003) [arXiv:hep-ph/0206122].
5. M. Battaglia *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. C **22** (2001) 535 [arXiv:hep-ph/0106204].
6. W.-M. Yao *et al.* [PDG Collab.], Journal of Physics G **33**, 1 (2006).
7. M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, C. Pena-Garay and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 033005 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0009350].
8. W. Buchmüller, P. Di Bari and M. Plümacher, Annals Phys. **315**, 305 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0401240]; P. Di Bari, arXiv:hep-ph/0406115; P. Di Bari, Nucl. Phys. B **727**, 318 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0502082].
9. F. Deppisch, H. Päs, A. Redelbach and R. Rückl, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 033004 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0511062].