# Self-consistent evaluation of quark masses in three avor crystalline color superconductivity

N.D. Ippolito, G. Nardulli and M. Ruggieri

D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Bari, I-70126 Bari, Italia and IN F N., Sezione di Bari, I-70126 Bari, Italia E-m ail: nicola.ippolito@ba.infn.it,giuseppe.nardulli@ba.infn.it, marco.ruggieri@ba.infn.it

A bstract: W e present a self-consistent evaluation of the constituent quark masses in the three avor Larkin-O vchinnikov-Fulde-Ferrell (LOFF) phases of QCD, employing a N am bu-Jona Lasinio model. This result allows to determ ine the window for values of the baryonic chemical potential where the LOFF state is energetically favored.

K eyw ords: H igh density QCD, N am bu-Jona Lasinio m odel, C rystalline color superconductivity, C onstituent quark m asses.

#### C ontents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Nambu-Jona Lasinio modelat = 0	2
3.	Constituent masses in the LOFF phases	5
4.	Conclusions	11

### 1. Introduction

The phase diagram of three avorQCD in the low tem perature and high density regime has recently received considerable theoretical attention. Quark matter in these conditions is expected to be a color superconductor [1, 2, 3] and this state of hadronic matter de nitely deserves a careful study. On the other hand the core of the compact stars is the place where this exotic state of matter might be found. Therefore the study of color superconductivity is interesting not only theoretically, for it allows a deeper know ledge of the phase diagram of QCD, but also phenom enologically, since this phenom enon might be revealed in compact stellar objects.

At very high densities the color superconductor is expected to be in the color-avor locked state (CFL) [3], characterized by a spin zero hom ogeneous condensate with nine gapped ferm ion excitations. W hen density is decreased, the electrical and color neutrality conditions together with nite quark mass elects produce a mism atch of the Ferm isurfaces of the pairing ferm ions. In this case the CFL state has to be replaced by som eless sym metric phase. Am ong them the gapless CFL (gCFL) [4], the gapless 2SC (g2SC) [5] and the S = 1 color superconductors [6] have been extensively studied.

B esides the aforem entioned color superconductive phases one can also consider inhom ogeneous states, produced when the ferm ion pair has nonvanishing totalm om entum. This possibility was rst discussed in a condensed matter physics context [7]; the corresponding phase is known as the LOFF state. In QCD the LOFF color superconductive phase has been studied rstly in the two avor case [8] and then extended to the more interesting three avor case [9, 10, 11, 12]. LOFF states are interesting since allow for pairing in conditions of highly m ism atched Ferm i surfaces. Moreover it has been shown that they do not su er of the chrom o-magnetic instability problem [13, 14, 15, 16, 17], contrarily to the hom ogeneous gapless phases [18].

In the last years the studies on color superconductivity have been in proved by a selfconsistent treatment of the constituent quark masses in hom ogeneous phases [19, 20, 21, 22, 23]. These studies are based on phenomenological models, because their aim is to study quark matter in an intermediate density regime where the use of perturbative QCD is questionable. The favorite approach is based on the Nambu-Jona Lasinio (NJL) model [24] (see [25, 26, 27] for reviews). This model embodies the symmetries of QCD. Although it su ers many limitations, mainly due to the lacking of gluons as dynamical elds, it is believed to capture some basic physics of the strong interactions and to describe the qualitative features of the QCD phase diagram.

The aim of this paper is to evaluate in a self-consistent way the constituent quark m assess in the three avor LOFF phase of QCD. Our approach is similar to that employed in Refs. [21, 22, 23] for the hom ogeneous phases and therefore represents an extension of these works to the LOFF color superconductive phases. To test the robustness of our results we will consider two ways to treat the ultraviolet cuto at € 0. In the rst case we will use, as usual in the literature, the same cuto employed at = 0; in the second case we treat the cuto by the method discussed in [28], where a link between the cuto and the coupling constants is assumed. The two methods provide rather similar results and in both cases w indows of values of the chemical potential are found where the LOFF phase is energetically favored.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we review the NJL model at = 0 and in particular we extend some of the results that were obtained in [28] for massless quarks to the case of massive quarks. In Section 3 we extend these results at € 0. We minimize the free energy for the order parameters in the qq and qq channels. For the qq condensate we consider two di erent LOFF states: the one plane wave ansatz and two structures based on cubic symmetry, one having 8 plane waves and another having 16 plane waves. These two cases were considered in Refs. [9, 10] and [11] respectively, assuming zero masses for the up and down quarks and taking the strange quark mass M<sub>s</sub> as a free parameter. The result of these papers is that the LOFF phase is favored for certain values of the parameters M<sub>s</sub><sup>2</sup> = . Since now we compute M<sub>s</sub> as a function of we are able to determ ine a range of values of where the LOFF phase is energetically favored. Finally in Section 4 we draw our conclusions.

#### 2. Nambu-Jona Lasinio modelat = 0

In this Section we review the NJL model at zero quark chem ical potential. We consider a system of u, d and s quarks described by the Lagrangian

$$L = \int_{f}^{X} L_{f} = L_{mass} + L_{4} + L_{6}; \qquad (2.1)$$

where the sum is over the avors f = 1;2;3 for u;d;s). The mass term in the lagrangian is

$$L_{mass} = \underset{f}{\overset{X}{m_{ff}}} m_{ff} \qquad (2.2)$$

and  $m_f$  is the current mass. In this paper we shall assume from the very beginning  $m_u = m_d$ . The NJL four-ferm ion and six-ferm ion interaction Lagrangians are [25, 26]

$$L_{4} = G \begin{bmatrix} X^{8} & h \\ a & 2 \\ a = 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2} + i 5 a \begin{bmatrix} 2^{1} \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} ;$$
 (2.3)

$$L_6 = K \det_f (1 + 5)_{f^0} + \det_f (1 5)_{f^0};$$
 (2.4)

where  $_{a}$  are the Gell-M ann matrices in avor space ( $_{0} = \frac{p}{2=3} 1_{f}$ ) and the determ inant is in avor space as well. L<sub>4</sub> and L<sub>6</sub> describe two-body and three-body interactions respectively. In the Hartree approximation one has for L<sub>4</sub> the result

$$L_4 = 4G \begin{array}{c} X \\ f \\ f \end{array} \begin{array}{c} X \\ f \end{array} \begin{array}{c} X \\ 2G \end{array} \begin{array}{c} X \\ f \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ f \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ f \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ f \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ f \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ f \end{array} \end{array}$$
 (2.5)

and for  $L_6$ 

$$L_{6} = 2K \sum_{f+1 f+2 f f}^{X} + 4K u d s :$$
(2.6)

In deriving these equations one assum as condensation only in the quark-antiquark channel and treats consistently the number of colors N<sub>c</sub> assuming that K N<sub>c</sub><sup>2</sup> = 0 (1), neglecting 1=N<sub>c</sub> corrections [25, 26]. For each avor f one has

$$_{\rm f} = i N_{\rm c} t r S_{\rm f} ; \qquad (2.7)$$

where  $S_f$  is the propagator of the quark of avour f,  $N_c$  is the number of colors, and the trace is on spinor indices only. By denition  $_4 = _u$ ;  $_5 = _d$ .

The six-ferm ion t'H ooft term mixes avors and originates from the  $U_A$  (1) breaking contribution. At zero density it has the e ect to lift the degeneracy of the and Om esons. Note that in a di erent approximation scheme it also modiles the four-ferm ion giving rise to an elective four-ferm ion coupling constant. Using (2.5) and (2.6) the self-consistent equations for the constituent quark masses at zero baryon density read [25, 22]

$$M_{f} = m_{f} \quad 4G_{f} + 2K_{f+1 f+2};$$
 (2.8)

where

$$f = \frac{3M_{f}}{2} \int_{0}^{Z} \frac{p^{2}}{p^{2} + M_{f}^{2}} dp :$$
 (2.9)

To x the parameters of the model we use as an input the pion decay constant f = 93 M eV, the pion and kaon m asses m = 135 M eV and m  $_{\rm K}$  = 497 M eV, the ratio

$$\frac{\langle ss \rangle_{0}}{\langle uu \rangle_{0}} / 0.80$$
 (2.10)

of the strange to the up chiral condensate, and the values of the current quark m asses. In detail the equation for f  $\,$  is

$$f^{2} = 4iN_{c}M_{u}^{2}I(0;M_{u};M_{u});$$
 (2.11)

where

$$I(k^{2}; M_{1}; M_{2}) = \frac{d^{4}p}{(2)^{4}} \frac{1}{[(p + k = 2)^{2} M_{1}^{2}][(p - k = 2)^{2} M_{2}^{2}]}; \qquad (2.12)$$

From this relation we get M  $_{\rm u}$  ( ). We note that the quark condensates are given by [26]

$$h_{f} f_{i} = f + \frac{3m_{f}}{2} \int_{0}^{Z} \frac{p^{2}}{p^{2} + m_{f}^{2}} dp:$$
 (2.13)

Using  $m_u = m_d = 5.5$  MeV, and  $M_u$ () we get  $h_{f}_{f}i_0$  as a function of the cuto . To obtain  $M_s$ () we use Eq. (2.13) evaluated for f = 3 and Eq. (2.10). For the current strange mass we take  $m_s = 135.4$  MeV, which is in the range of values allowed by QCD sum rules [29] and otherm ethods [30] for the running current mass at the subtraction point of 1 GeV and is to be preferred in view of a t to the and <sup>0</sup> mass, see below. The two constituent m asses are reported in Fig. 1 as a function of the cuto .



Figure 1: Constituent quark m asses M  $_{\rm u}$  and M  $_{\rm s}$  as a function of the cuto

The equations giving the neutral pion and the neutral kaon m asses represent the m assshell condition and are obtained in the random phase approximation [25]. They are as follow s:

1 (2G K<sub>u</sub>) (m 
$${}_{\rm K}^2$$
; M<sub>u</sub>; M<sub>s</sub>) = 0; (2.15)

with

$$(k^{2}; M_{1}; M_{2}) = 6i \frac{d^{4}p}{(2)^{4}} \frac{k^{2}}{(p + k = 2)^{2}} \frac{4p^{2} + 4M_{1}M_{2}}{M_{1}^{2}[(p - k = 2)^{2} - M_{2}^{2}]};$$
 (2.16)

and allow to get G and K as a function of . These results are in Fig. 2.

Finally we x the value of the cuto by requiring that the gap equation Eq. (2.8) be satisfied for the strange quark: on the lhs of Eq. (2.8) we use the M  $_{\rm s}$  () shown in Fig.1;



Figure 2: Couplings  ${}^{2}G$  ( ) and  ${}^{5}K$  ( ) as a function of .

the same function is used on the rhs. to evaluate  $_{s}()$ , and G and K are replaced by the functions G(), K() plotted in Fig. 2. If we denote by  $_{0}$  such a value of the cuto, valid at zero density and zero tem perature, we get

W e note that by thism ethod one has another solution, with a sm aller value of  $_0$ . However using equations analogous to (2.14), (2.15) for the and  $^0$ m esons (for the relevant form ulae see Section IV B of Ref. [25]) and the value (2.17) one gets as a result m = 481 M eV (exp. 548 M eV) and m  $_0$  = 924 M eV (exp. 956 M eV), while the use of the sm aller cuto would produce a worse t to the experim ental m asses. As a check of the procedure we com pute also f<sub>K</sub> [25]. W e get f<sub>K</sub> ' 98 M eV (exp. 112 M eV). The values of the other parameters, com puted at  $_0$ , are M  $_u$  = M  $_d$  = 324 M eV, M  $_s$  = 521 M eV and huui $_0$  = ( 247 M eV)<sup>3</sup>. Finally we nd

G ( 
$$_{0}$$
) =  $\frac{1.81}{\frac{2}{0}}$ ; K (  $_{0}$ ) =  $\frac{8.80}{\frac{5}{0}}$ : (2.18)

### 3. Constituent masses in the LOFF phases

In this section we evaluate constituent quark m asses and gaps for the color superconductive LOFF phase of QCD.At nite chemical potential and in presence of color condensation the lagrangian becomes

 $L = (i\theta + \gamma_0) + L_{mass} + L_4 + L_6 + L_;$ (3.1)

where  $L_{mass}$ ,  $L_4$  and  $L_6$  have been discussed in Section 2 and we have added a term L whose presence is responsible for color condensation. The matrix  $^{i}$  is a matrix diagonal

both in avor and color. It depends on (the average quark chemical potential),  $_{\rm e}$  (the electron chemical potential), and  $_{3}$ ;  $_{8}$  (color chemical potentials) [4]. For color and electric neutrality to be implemented it is su cient to consider only these chemical potentials, related as they are to the charge matrix and the diagonal color operators  $T_{3} = \frac{1}{2}$  diag (1; 1;0) and  $T_{8} = \frac{1}{2}$  diag (1;1; 2) (in general one should introduce a color chemical potential for each SU (3) color charge; however, as shown in [31], for the condensate with the color- avor structure considered in this paper it is enough to consider only  $_{3}$  and  $_{8}$ , since the charges related to the other color generators autom atically vanish). Therefore the matrix ^ is written as follow s

$$_{ij} = (_{ij} e^{Q_{ij}}) + _{ij} {}_{3}T_{3} + \frac{2}{p_{3}} {}_{8}T_{8}$$
 (32)

with Q = diag(2=3; 1=3; 1=3) (i; j = 1; 3 avor indices; ; = 1; 3 colour indices). The interaction term in the quark-quark channel L is

$$L = \frac{3G}{4} \sum_{I=1}^{X^3} \sum_{i=1}^{Y_{i}} \sum_{j=1}^{I} \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{I} \sum_{m=1}^{T_{m}} \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{m=1}^{I} \sum_{m=$$

Both L and  $L_4$  in Eq. (2.3) can be obtained by a Fierz rearrangement of the four ferm ion interaction with the quantum numbers of a single gluon exchange (see for example [27]). This procedure xes the ratio of the coupling constants, in the quark-antiquark and in the quark-quark channel, to be equal to 3=4. In principle an additional contribution to L arises from the six-ferm ion interaction term, when treated in the mean eld approximation. M oreover, there exists also a repulsive interaction in the symmetric color channel. In this paper we neglect both of these terms for simplicity: the elects of these interactions are expected to be small in the high density regime, to which we are interested here.

In the mean eld approximation one gets

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I=1}^{X^3} I(r) \sum_{i=5}^{Y} \sum_{ijI}^{I} C_{j} + hc: + \frac{I(r) I(r)}{3G} : (3.4)$$

In order to study the LOFF phase in a generic case we assume

$$I(\mathbf{r}) = I \exp 2iq_{m}^{I} \mathbf{r} :$$

$$m = 1$$
(3.5)

and  $P_{I}$  is the number of plane waves for each diquark condensation channel and  $2q_{m}^{I}$  is the quark pair momentum. In the sequel we consider only the case  $q_{m}^{I} = q_{I}\hat{n}_{m}^{I}$ . Clearly 1; 2; 3 refer to ds, us, ud pairings respectively.

Once the lagrangian is xed we compute the quark contribution to the free energy in the H artree approximation:

$$= e + n + ;$$
 (3.6)

where  $_{e}$  is the free energy of the ideal gas of electrons:  $_{e} = \frac{4}{e}=12^{-2}$ , and  $_{n}$  is the contribution of the unpaired phase:

$$n = 4K_{uds} + 2G_{f=uds} + 2G_{f=uds} + 2R_{c} + \frac{X_{f}}{f} + 2N_{c} + \frac{X_{f}}{f} + \frac{Z_{f}}{(2)^{3}} + \frac{d^{3}p}{(2)^{3}} + E_{f} + E_{f$$

where  $E_f = \frac{q}{p^2 + M_f^2}$ . The constituent masses  $M_f$  are here expressed in terms of the f by means of (2.8). We note that the t'H ooff term gives rise to contributions that mix qq and qq condensates. Here, as in [22], we neglect such contributions for sim plicity, since they are not expected to change qualitatively the structure of the phase diagram. is the contribution from the condensation in the diquark channel. We write this last term in the G inzburg Landau approximation, as this is the scheme where the LOFF state of QCD with three avors has been studied so far, see [9], [11] and, for a test of the results of [9], also [10]:

$$= \frac{2}{2} \frac{2}{2} X P_{I I I I} + \frac{1}{2} X I (I I)^{2} + X IJ I I J J$$

$$= \frac{4}{2} \frac{2}{2} X P_{I I I I} + \frac{1}{2} X I (I I)^{2} + X IJ I I J J$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} X I (I I)^{3} + X IJJ I I J J J J J J + \frac{1}{3} I^{2} I^{3} + \frac{1}{3} I^{3} I^{3} + \frac{1}{3}$$

W e include term s up to sixth order in the gap paramenters, consistently with the results of [11].

It is useful to note that, since we are interested in the three avor paired quark m atter, the chem ical potential of the strange quark has to be larger than its constituent m ass, otherw ise no Ferm isphere for s quarks would exist. In order to determ ine the ground state we have to m inimize with respect to  $f_{1}$ ,  $f_{1}$  and  $q_{1}$  under the conditions of electrical and color neutrality. In the region of interest we expect  $e_{1}$  M $_{s}^{2}=4$  and  $g_{1}$  and  $g_{2}$  and  $g_{3}$ ,  $g_{1}$ , i.e. the results for the normal non-superconductive phase. Therefore we assume from the very beginning  $f_{1} = 0, q_{2} = q_{3}$  0:6( $g_{1}$ ) and  $g_{2} = g_{3}$  [9, 11]. Moreover we are interested to structures with  $P_{2} = P_{3}$  P.W ith these approximations one can write [11]

$$= \frac{2^{2}}{2} 2P \quad () \quad 2 + \frac{eff}{2^{2}} \quad 4 + \frac{eff}{3^{4}} \quad 6 \quad ; \quad (3.9)$$

where  $_{eff}$  and  $_{eff}$  are dimensionless coecients that do not depend on  $= M _{s}^{2}=8$  and are evaluated in [11] for several crystal structures. In the following we refer to the values reported in Table II of [11]. We notice that the parameters  $_{eff}$ ,  $_{eff}$  do not depend on the ultraviolet cuto. Furthermore, the cuto dependence of the quadratic coecient in

is replaced by the dependence on the BCS gap parameter, which we calculate for each value of ; at the minimum one has

$$() = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\frac{2}{2SC}}{4^{-2} (2 - 1)} ; \qquad (3.10)$$

in the above equation = 1:1997 and  $_{2SC}$  denotes the gap parameter in the 2SC phase; it is related to the gap of the CFL phase with massless avors  $_0$  by the relation

$$_{2SC} = 2^{1=3} _{0} :$$
 (3.11)

K eeping in m ind these approximations we are left with the four equations (f = u;d;s)

$$\frac{0}{0}_{f} = 0; \quad \frac{0}{0} = 0; \quad (3.12)$$

which have to be solved simultaneously. Eqs. (3.12) are equivalent to the Schwinger-Dyson equations for the proper self-energy of the quarks; in particular for = 0 one gets the equations for the constituent quark masses in the normal Ferm i liquid phase, at

nite chem ical potential [32]. We note that we have adapted the num erical values of the parameters of the G inzburg Landau expansion to the num erical values for used in the present paper.

 $10^4$  for the values of of interest. As a result = n Numerically we nd that the constituent quark masses in the LOFF phases do not dier signi cantly from the ones in the unpaired phase ( gives a shift in the masses at most of the 2 percent from the norm alphase result). The results are shown in Fig. 3, where we plot the constituent quark m asses versus the chem ical potential . Note that in this gure we use for the ultraviolet cuto the value (2.17). One can note a rst order phase transition at 350 characterized by a discontinuity in the values of the constituent light quark m asses, and a second order transition at 350 M eV there is a discontinuity of the strange quark 500 M eV (at m ass too: it is related to the t'H ooft interaction term which links the strange quark m ass to the light quarks condensates, see Eq. (2.8)). As discussed in [25], the order of the phase transitions at T = 0 and large depends in NJL models with norm al Fermi liquid behavior on the approxim ations and the choice of the parameters. This holds also in our case. The dierence between M  $_{\rm u}$  and M  $_{\rm d}$  arises from the nite value of  $_{\rm e}$  for > 350 MeV.We note that in the range of of interest for the LOFF phase ( 500 M eV) the condensates  $< uu >_0$  and  $< dd >_0$  vanish, which implies that the constituent masses  $M_{\rm u}$  and  $M_{\rm d}$  are negligible in comparison with to  $M_{\rm s}$ . As a consequence the values of the gap parameters depend only on the strange quark mass. As stressed in the introduction, di erently from the previous analyses [9, 10, 11] here the strange quark m ass is not treated as a free param eter, but determ ined self-consistently.

Using these results we are able to compute the interval ( $_1$ ;  $_2$ ) of values of where the LOFF phase is favored. To begin with we exam ine the simple case of one plane wave per condensate (denoted as 2PW in [11]) corresponding to P<sub>2</sub> = P<sub>3</sub> = 1 in Eq. (3.5). In Ref. [9, 11, 10] it was found that this LOFF state is energetically favored for the following values of the ratio M  $_s^2$ = ,

$$4:80_{0} \frac{M_{s}^{2}}{s} 7:56_{0}; \qquad (3.13)$$

where  $_0$  is the CFL gap in the chiral lim it. It is given by

$$_{0} = 2^{2=3} (_{0}) \exp \left( \frac{2}{6G(_{0})^{2}} \right)$$
 (3.14)



Figure 3: Constituent quark m asses M  $_{\rm s}$  , M  $_{\rm d}$  and M  $_{\rm u}$  as functions of the baryon chem ical potential .

with  $_0$  and G ( $_0$ ) given in (2.17) and (2.18). We note that at the reference value of = 500 M eV we nd  $_0$  50 M eV.

The LOFF window is de ned by the two points where the horizontal dotted lines cross the vertical axis in Fig.4. The curve represents M  $_s^2$ =( \_\_0) as a function of , with M  $_s$  and

 $_0$  both calculated self-consistently as functions of the average quark chem ical potential . The dotted horizontal lines intersect the curve at the values  $_1$ ;  $_2$  depicted in the gure. In this way we obtain that the LOFF phase is favored in comparison with the norm al phase (this comparison xes  $_1$ ) and the gCFL phase (this latter comparison xes  $_2$ ) for 2 ( $_1$ ;  $_2$ ), i.e.

Therefore there exists a smallbut nite window in where the LOFF phase is favored in comparison with the norm allstate and the unstable gCFL phase.

As stressed in the introduction, the analysis of [11] shows that more complicated crystalline structures can lead to a free energy smaller than the 2PW case. In [11] it was found by a G inzburg Landau expansion that a crystalline color superconductive phase exists in the following interval:

$$2:88_0 \quad \frac{M_s^2}{2} \quad 10:36_0:$$
 (3.16)

In more detail, for 2.88  $_{0}$  M<sub>s</sub><sup>2</sup>= 6.20  $_{0}$  the ground state of three avor quark matter is the CubeX. In this structure P<sub>2</sub> = P<sub>3</sub> = 4; for each pairing channel the wave vectors fq<sub>I</sub>g form a square, and the two squares are arranged in such a way that they point to the vertices of a cube. In the remaining region the favored structure is the 2C ube45z in which



Figure 4: Solid line:  $\frac{M_s^2}{s}$  versus the baryon chemical potential (MeV) for the LOFF phase with one-plane-wave structure. The UV cuto is given by Eq. (2.17). The points 1; 2 de ne the range of where the LOFF phase prevails. For < 1 the favored phase is the normal one. The upper limit is 2 is obtained comparing the free energies of the gCFL and the LOFF phase (see text).

 $P_2 = P_3 = 8$ ; each wave vector set fq<sub>I</sub>g forms a cube, and the two cubes are rotated by 45 degrees around an axes perpendicular to one of the faces of the cube. Using Eq. (3.16) and the results for the constituent strange quark mass, plotted in Fig. 3, we obtain the LOFF phase is favored for the values of in the window (1; 2) de ned by

W e conclude that there exists a window in , larger than that of the 2PW structure, where the cubic LOFF phase is energetically favored in comparison with the norm all state, the 2PW LOFF phase, and the unstable gCFL phase.

The results obtained here for the constituent quark m asses are in agreement with those obtained in Ref. [22]. As already stressed, this is due to the fact that the contribution of the quark-quark condensation to the free energy is negligible, and the constituent quark m asses are almost equals to their value in the phase with = 0.

Let us now discuss the dependence of these results on the cuto . Thus far we have used an ultraviolet cuto independent of the quark chem ical potential and num erically equal to the value of the model at = 0 given by eq. (2.17). For large values of (ie 500 M eV) this choice leads to a non-monotonic behavior of with . Therefore in [28] a di erent procedure was suggested. It is based on the following choice: () = + , with = c. The constant c was xed in posing that the values of the gap are almost independent of c. In this way it was found

$$c = 0.35 \quad 0.10;$$
 (3.18)

which is what we assume here, though other choices are possible [33]. Together with this choice of the cuto at  $\bigcirc$  0 one has to use values for the couplings G and K computed at



Figure 5: Solid line: ratio  $\frac{M_s^2}{0}$  versus the baryon chem ical potential (M eV) for the LOFF phase with cubic crystalline structure. The UV cuto , 1; 2 as in Fig. 4.

the same value of (the dependence can be read from q. 2). To test the robustness of our computation we have therefore in plan ented this second choice of the cuto. The results we obtain are as follows. The values of the masses are almost identical to the ones found with  $_{0}$ , see Fig. 3. As to the windows where the LOFF phase prevails, for c = 0.35the cuto and the case of the one-plane-wave structure we nd 468 M eV < < 481 M eV instead of (3.15); for the case of the cubic structure we nd 445 M eV < < 640 MeV instead of the results (3.17). Varying c in the window (3.18) we obtain similar results. Though num erically di erent from the results obtained with the cuto  $_{0}$ , the results found with the alternative cuto procedure do not alter the overall conclusion, ie that there exists a window of values of where the LOFF phase is energetically favored. For the case of the single plane wave the range is between 470 and 480 M eV. For the case of the cubic structure the window is larger, with a lower lim it at 445 M eV and an upper lim it m ore m odel dependent, but, in any case not sm aller that 545 M eV.

Let us nally note that the crystalline color superconductive phase does not have a free energy smaller than gCFL for all the values of the strange quark mass. If the gCFL phase has smaller free energy, as it is unstable, it must be replaced by a new state, most likely to be a current-carrying meson condensate state and/or gluonic phases [34].

## 4.Conclusions

We have evaluated the three avor QCD LOFF phase by a self-consistent computation of the quark masses. We have adopted two dimensions to cuto the theory in the ultraviolet regime, with results that are basically consistent. We have determined the LOFF window as a function of the baryon chemical potential only, instead of the usual  $M_s^2 =$  representation where the constituent quark masses are taken as external parameters. We

nd that there exist ranges of values of the quark chem ical potential where the crystalline color superconductive phase has a free energy sm aller than the hom ogeneous and the norm al phases. We nd the range of given by Eq. (3.15) for the one plane wave structure of the LOFF condensate, with the the cuto (2.17), and a slightly smaller range for the alternate + c with c in (3.18). As shown in [11] the cubic structure is energetically = cuto favored in comparison to the single plane wave. The num erical results of [11] might be called into question since the phase transition to the norm alphase for the cubic structures is rst order and therefore the use of the G inzburg Landau approxim ation is questionable in this case. In any event also for the cubic structure there exists a range of values of the quark chem ical potential, expressed by Eq. (3.17) and, as expected, larger than the range (3.15), where the cubic crystalline LOFF structure is energetically favored (for the alternate cuto the range is even larger). Let us nally note that, as shown by Fig. 3, in the region 350 M eV < < 445 M eV the Ferm isurfaces of the u and d quarks are present, whereas the one of the strange quark is not. Even though the LOFF state with three avors is not possible in this case, still there exists the possibility of a LOFF state with a hudi color condensate. This case has been well studied in the literature [8]. We have computed in this case the di erence in chem ical potential between the u and d quarks: = e=2, and we have noted that in correspondence of these values one or more crystalline structures of the LOFF state with two avors (and more than one plane wave) are possible. Therefore the window for available for the LOFF color superconductivity is actually larger than shown by gures 4 and 5.

0 ur results could be relevant for astrophysical applications of the LOFF phase, because the ranges of we have found approximately coincide with those considered for the core of compact stellar objects. If the density in some region of a compact star do correspond to baryon them ical potential belonging to the range (3.17), one should conclude the quark matter is in an inhom ogeneous color superconductive state with a cubic crystal structure. For a better understanding of the role of the QCD LOFF phase in compact stars it is how ever of vital in portance to compute the transport properties of the crystalline three avor color superconductor. Since in the LOFF phase both the rotational and the translational symmetries are broken by the condensate, phonons appear in the spectrum. Recently the e ective lagrangian of such phonons has been evaluated in [35]. It has been found that the crystalline color superconductor responds to shear stress as a very rigid solid because of its high shear m odulus; the results of [35] show that som e pulsar glitches m ay originate within a quark matter core deep within a neutron star. Beside the phonons, in the LOFF phase there exist an octet of pseudo-G oldstone bosons related to the breaking of SU  $(3)_{A}$ : it would be interesting to investigate if a kaon condensation occurs in the ground state (in the CFL phase this problem has been extensively studied, see for example [36]). We will come back to this problem in the future. Besides the transport coe cients, it might be interesting the evaluation of the cooling curves of a compact star whose core is in a cubic LOFF state (the simple case of two plane waves has been studied in [37]). Another interesting investigation is the use of di erent density dependent cuto s as in R ef. [33]. We have also this study to future work.

#### A cknow ledgm ents

W e thank R. Anglani, D. Blaschke, M. Buballa, R. Casalbuoni, M. Ciminale, R. Gatto, V. Laporta, M. Mannarelli, K. Rajagopal and R. Sharm a for enlightening discussions and comments.

#### References

- [1] J.C. Collins and M. J. Perry, Phys. Rev. Lett. 34, 1353 (1975); B.C. Barrois, Nucl. Phys. B 129, 390 (1977); D. Bailin and A. Love, Phys. Rept. 107, 325 (1984); M.G. Alford, K. Rajagopaland F.W ilczek, Phys. Lett. B 422, 247 (1998) [arX iv hep-ph/9711395]; R.Rapp, T. Schafer, E.V. Shuryak and M. Velkovsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 53 (1998) [arX iv hep-ph/9711396]. D.T. Son, Phys. Rev. D 59, 094019 (1999) [arX iv hep-ph/9812287]; R.D. Pisarski and D. H. Rischke, Phys. Rev. D 61, 074017 (2000) [arX iv nucl-th/9910056].
- [2] K.Rajagopaland F.W ilczek, arX iv hep-ph/0011333; M.G.Alford, Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part. Sci. 51, 131 (2001) [arX iv hep-ph/0102047]; G.Nardulli, Riv.Nuovo Cim. 25N 3, 1 (2002) [arX iv hep-ph/0202037]; T.Schafer, arX iv hep-ph/0509068.
- [3] M.G.Alford, K.Rajagopaland F.W ilczek, Nucl. Phys. B 537, 443 (1999) [arX iv:hep-ph/9804403].
- [4] M. Alford, C. Kouvaris and K. Rajagopal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 222001 (2004)
   [arX iv hep-ph/0311286]; M. Alford, C. Kouvaris and K. Rajagopal, Phys. Rev. D 71, 054009
   (2005) [arX iv hep-ph/0406137]; K. Fukushim a, C. Kouvaris and K. Rajagopal, Phys. Rev. D 71, 034002 (2005) [arX iv hep-ph/0408322].
- [5] I. Shovkovy and M. Huang, Phys. Lett. B 564, 205 (2003) [arX iv hep-ph/0302142];
   M. Huang and I. Shovkovy, Nucl. Phys. A 729, 835 (2003) [arX iv hep-ph/0307273].
- [6] T. Schafer, Phys. Rev. D 62,094007 (2000) [arX iv hep-ph/0006034]; M.G. Alford, J.A. Bowers, J.M. Cheyne and G.A. Cowan, Phys. Rev. D 67,054018 (2003) [arX iv hep-ph/0210106]; A. Schm itt, Phys. Rev. D 71,054016 (2005) [arX iv nucl-th/0412033]; D.N. Aguilera, D. Blaschke, M. Buballa and V.L. Yudichev, Phys. Rev. D 72,034008 (2005) [arX iv hep-ph/0503288]; F.M arhauser, D. Nickel, M. Buballa and J.W am bach, arX iv hep-ph/0612027.
- [7] A. I. Larkin and Yu. N. Ovchinnikov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 47, 1136 (1964) (Sov. Phys. JETP 20, 762 (1965)); P. Fulde and R. A. Ferrell, Phys. Rev. 135, A 550 (1964).
- [8] M.G.Alford, J.A.Bowers and K.Rajagopal, Phys.Rev.D 63,074016 (2001)
  [arX iv hep-ph/0008208]; J.A.Bowers, J.K undu, K.Rajagopaland E.Shuster, Phys.Rev.D 64,014024 (2001)
  [arX iv hep-ph/0101067]; A.K.Leibovich, K.Rajagopaland E.Shuster, Phys.Rev.D 64,094005 (2001)
  [arX iv hep-ph/0104073]; R.Casalbuoni, R.Gatto,
  M. Mannarelli and G.Nardulli, Phys.Rev.D 66,014006 (2002)
  [arX iv hep-ph/0201059];
  J.A.Bowers and K.Rajagopal, Phys.Rev.D 66,065002 (2002)
  [arX iv hep-ph/0204079];
  R.Casalbuoni, M.Ciminale, M.Mannarelli, G.Nardulli, M.Ruggieri and R.Gatto, Phys. Rev.D 70,054004 (2004)
- [9] R.Casalbuoni, R.Gatto, N. Ippolito, G.Nardulli and M.Ruggieri, Phys.Lett. B 627, 89 (2005) Erratum-ibid. B 634, 565 (2006)] [arXiv:hep-ph/0507247].
- [10] M.Mannarelli, K.Rajagopaland R.Shama, Phys. Rev. D 73, 114012 (2006) [arX iv hep-ph/0603076].

- [11] K.Rajagopaland R.Shama, Phys. Rev. D 74, 094019 (2006) [arXiv hep-ph/0605316].
- [12] R.Casalbuoni, M.Ciminale, R.Gatto, G.Nardulli and M.Ruggieri, Phys.Lett.B 642, 350 (2006) [arX iv hep-ph/0606242].
- [13] I.Giannakis and H.C.Ren, Phys.Lett.B 611, 137 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0412015].
- [14] I.Giannakis and H.C.Ren, Nucl. Phys. B 723, 255 (2005) [arXiv hep-th/0504053].
- [15] I.Giannakis, D.f. Hou and H.C.Ren, Phys.Lett. B 631, 16 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0507306].
- [16] E.V.Gorbar, M.Hashim oto and V.A.M iransky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 022005 (2006) [arX iv hep-ph/0509334].
- [17] M.Ciminale, G.Nardulli, M.Ruggieri and R.Gatto, Phys. Lett. B 636, 317 (2006) [arX iv hep-ph/0602180].
- [18] M.Huang and I.A.Shovkovy, Phys. Rev. D 70, 051501 (2004) [arX iv hep-ph/0407049];
   R.Casalbuoni, R.Gatto, M.Mannarelli, G.Nardulli and M.Ruggieri, Phys. Lett. B 605, 362 (2005) [Erratum-ibid. B 615, 297 (2005)] [arX iv hep-ph/0410401]; K.Fukushim a, Phys. Rev. D 72, 074002 (2005) [arX iv hep-ph/0506080]; M.Alford and Q.h.W ang, J.Phys.G 31, 719 (2005) [arX iv hep-ph/0501078].
- [19] A.W. Steiner, S.Reddy and M. Prakash, Phys. Rev. D 66, 094007 (2002) [arX iv hep-ph/0205201].
- [20] M.Buballa and M.Oertel, Nucl. Phys. A 703, 770 (2002) [arX iv hep-ph/0109095].
- [21] H.Abuki, M.K itazawa and T.Kunihiro, Phys. Lett. B 615, 102 (2005) [arX iv hep-ph/0412382].
- [22] S.B.Ruster, V.Werth, M.Buballa, I.A. Shovkovy and D.H.Rischke, Phys. Rev. D 72 (2005) 034004 [arX iv hep-ph/0503184].
- [23] D.Blaschke, S.Fredriksson, H.Grigorian, A.M. Oztas and F.Sandin, Phys. Rev. D 72, 065020 (2005) [arX iv:hep-ph/0503194].
- [24] Y.Nambu and G.Jona-Lasinio, Phys. Rev. 122, 345 (1961); Y.Nambu and G.Jona-Lasinio, Phys. Rev. 124, 246 (1961).
- [25] S.P.K levansky, Rev.M od.Phys. 64 (1992) 649.
- [26] T.Hatsuda and T.Kunihiro, Phys. Rept. 247, 221 (1994) [arXiv:hep-ph/9401310].
- [27] M.Buballa, Phys. Rept. 407, 205 (2005) [arX iv hep-ph/0402234].
- [28] R.Casalbuoni, R.Gatto, G.Nardulli and M.Ruggieri, Phys. Rev. D 68 (2003) 034024 [arX iv hep-ph/0302077].
- [29] P.Colangelo, F.De Fazio, G.Nardulli and N.Paver, Phys. Lett. B 408, 340 (1997) [arX iv hep-ph/9704249].
- [30] W.M.Yao et al. [Particle Data Group], J.Phys.G 33, 1 (2006).
- [31] M.Buballa and I.A.Shovkovy, Phys. Rev. D 72, 097501 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0508197].
- [32] M.Asakawa and K.Yazaki, Nucl. Phys. A 504, 668 (1989); S.K limt, M. Lutz and W. Weise, Phys. Lett. B 249, 386 (1990).
- [33] M. Baldo, G. F. Burgio, P. Castorina, S. Plum ari and D. Zappala, arX iv hep-ph/0607343.

- [34] M. Huang, Phys. Rev. D 73, 045007 (2006) [arX iv hep-ph/0504235]; D. K. Hong, arX iv hep-ph/0506097; M. A lford and Q. h. W ang, J. Phys. G 32, 63 (2006)
  [arX iv hep-ph/0507269]; A. Kryjevski, arX iv hep-ph/0508180; T. Schafer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 012305 (2006) [arX iv hep-ph/0508190]; E. V. G orbar, M. Hashim oto and V. A. M iransky, Phys. Lett. B 632, 305 (2006) [arX iv hep-ph/0507303]; A. G erhold, T. Schaefer and A. Kryjevski, arX iv hep-ph/0612181.
- [35] M.Mannarelli, K.Rajagopaland R.Sharma, arX iv hep-ph/0702021.
- [36] P.F.Bedaque and T.Schafer, Nucl. Phys. A 697, 802 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0105150].
- [37] R.Anglani, G.Nardulli, M.Ruggieri and M.Mannarelli, Phys. Rev. D 74, 074005 (2006) [arX iv hep-ph/0607341].